

By the same Author,

ON POISONS.

Fcp. 8vo. cloth, 12s. 6d.

LONDON:

Printed by Wilson and Ogilvy, 57, Skinner Street.

MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE.

BY

ALFRED S. TAYLOR, F.R.S.

LICENTIATE OF THE BOYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS; MEMBER OF THE ROYAL COLLEGE OF SURGEONS; AND PROFESSOR OF MEDICAL JURISTRUDENCE AND CHEMISTRY IN CUT'S MOSFITTAL.

La vastità delle cognizioni cui addomanda la Medicina Legale basterebbe di già a meritarle uno dei più elevati gradi frà le Scienze. Non è rado che tutti i rami della Medicina, debbano ad un tempo concorrere a sciogliere le questioni medico-legale."—MARTINI.



Third Edition.

LONDON:

JOHN CHURCHILL, PRINCES STREET, SOHO.

MDCCCXLIX.

PREFACE

SINCE the appearance of this work in 1844, no less than four thousand copies have been sold. This is to the author not only a gratifying proof of the favourable estimate set upon his labours by the members of the Medical and Legal professions, but a striking indication of the interest taken in the study of Medical Jurisprudence by the present race of practitioners.

In preparing this edition, no pains have been spared in order to keep the work on a level with the advanced state of seieuce. The Medical Journals of the United Kingdom, as well as those of France, Germany, Italy and America, have been searched for those newly-observed and accurately-recorded facts, which are constantly increasing the stores of medical experience. A proper selection of these in their medico-legal relations has been made for this edition; and those legal cases have been added, which have given rise to new questions requiring the aid of a medical jurist for their solution.

A large portion of this volume has been re-written; eight chapters have been added; and, for the convenience of reference, these have been subdivided into numerous sectional paragraphs, referring to special facts and cases. A greatly enlarged Table of Contents and Index have also been introduced, and the work has been increased by the addition of more than one hundred pages.

Although the general doctrines of the science contained in the first edition remain unaltered, yet the reader will find that very considerable additions, in the shape of medico-legal observations and eases, have been made. In the few years which have elapsed since the first appearance of this work, all the branches of medical science have made great progress; and with these, Medical Jurisprudence has also advanced.

vi PREFACE.

Chemical, Microscopical, and Pathological researches have each contributed within a recent period to the resources of the medical jurist; and the new aids to the detection of crime which have been thus brought to light, are here laid before the reader in a concise but, it is hoped, a practical form.

The recent publication of a separate work on Poisons, has rendered it unnecessary to occupy with this subject so much of the present as of the preceding editions. This portion of the work has been therefore abridged, care being taken that those parts of toxicology upon which medical opinions are most urgently required, are given in sufficient detail for practice. The section on Poisoning will be found to contain a description of many nem tests and processes, as well as many additional facts connected with the action of poisons on the body.

In the section on Wounds, additions have been made on the following subjects:—The medico-legal examination of wounds:—physical, chemical, and microscopical evidence in reference to bloodslains:—on imputed or self-inflicted wounds:—medico-legal facts connected with the fatal results of surgical operations:—medical responsibility and malapraxis:—death from the entrance of air into the veins:—ruptures of the kidney and urinary bladder:—spontaneous fractures:—wounds from fire-arms not loaded with ball:—varieties of burns:—injuries from melted metals:—and on injuries (simulating wounds) caused by fire, for an important paper on which the Anthor is indebted to Mr. T. B. Curling, surgeon of the London Hospital.

In the section on INFANTICIDE, the reader will find additions to the chapters:—on the death of the child before respiration:—on the alteration in the chemical composition of the lungs by respiration:—on marks of violence, and on the detection of food in the stomach as signs of live birth:—additional observations on the hydrostatic test:—on the newly-discovered fallacies of the Docimasia Circulationis:—the congenital closure of the ductus arteriosus and foramen ovale: and on the occurrence of severe accidental injuries to children during

PREFACE. vii

birth. Considering the complexity of the questions connected with the medical proofs of child-murder, and the numerous objections which may be brought against them, it has been deemed advisable to append to each chapter, a concise summary of the principal conclusions to be derived from the facts and observations contained therein.

The Chapters on Pregnancy and Delivery have been almost entirely re-written. Under these heads will be found additional cases and observations on the plea of pregnancy, and on the signs of delivery in the dead in cases of criminal abortion. Under this branch of the subject, the new views of physiologists regarding the evidence derivable from the presence of corpora lutea are also fully considered.

Many additional facts have been recorded in reference to the medico-legal bearings of the Cæsarean operation, Tenancy by Courtesy, and Age and Minority. The Chapter on Legitimacy has been considerably enlarged. The evidence given on some important trials of receut occurrence, has rendered it necessary to re-arrange the hitherto ascertained facts regarding premature and protracted births,—the determination of the period of uterine life, from the development of the offspring, as well as certain questious connected with PATERMITY.

In the section on ASPHYXIA, new cases illustrative of the medicolegal difficulties connected with the proofs of drowning, hanging, strangulation, and suffocation, are introduced; and the Chapter on Poisonous Gases and Vapours, has been in great part re-written. In the section on Insanity, there will be found, among the additions, the recent decision of the Judges on Medical certificates, with new cases and observations.

3, Cambridge Ptace, Regent's Park, August, 1848.

INDEX.

[The principal subjects are in capitals, the cases in italics.]

Abdomen, wounds of the, 361; peritonitis from blows on the, 362.

Abortion, 541; criminal causes of, 542; from drugs, 543; post-mortem appearances, 530: feigned, 546; law relative to, 547; medical responsibility in cases of, 548; proofs required, 550; of monsters, 551.

Abortives, specific, 544. Absorption, loss of poisons by, 28.

Abstinence, long, effects of, 761. Acceleration of death in wounds, 299.

Access presumed in contested legitimacy, 580, 594.

Accumulative poisons, 20. Acctate of lead, 104; of copper, 114; of morphia, 150.

Acetic acid, 59.

ACID, sulphuric, 41; nitric, 48; muriatic, 53; oxalic, 53; tartaric, 58; acctic, 59; arsenious, 64; arscnie, 85; meconie, 154; prussic, 156; carbonic, 731; sulphurous, 737.

Acid poisons, 41, 53.

Aconite, poisoning by, 186. Adams, case of, 386.

Adipocere, production of, in the drowned, 668.

Æthusa cynapium, 185. Affiliation, questions of, 592, 598. Age of the new-born child, rules for determining, 416; medical questions concerning, 562; no legal impediment to marriage, 625; its effect on the crime of rape in relation to consent, 630; its influence on suicide, 679, 706; impotency, depending on, 614.

Ague-drop, tasteless, 84.

Air, confined, suffocation from, 740; of drains and sewers, composition of, 748.

Albumen, detection of, in the stomach, 469.

Alcohol, poisoning by, 175.

Algaroth, powder of, 123.

Alienation, mental. (Sec In-SANITY, 764.)

Alkalies, poisoning by, 60. Allnutt, case of, 790.

Almonds, bitter, essential oil of, 169.

Aloes, poisoning by, 130.

Alum, action of, on blood-stains,

Amaurosis from wounds of the orbit, 345.

Ambidextrous persons, produced by, 230,

Amenorrhœa, a cause of insanity, 619.

Ammonia, poisoning by, 62.

Ammonio-chloride of mercury, 100.

Ammonio-sulphate of copper, 75. Analysis, chemical, in poisoning,

26; articles preserved for, 37; rules for, 39.

Ancliffe, case of, 498. Anderson, case of, 484.

Anderton v. Gibbs, 588.

Androgyni and Androgynæ, 605. Angus, Mr., case of, 538. Animals, effects of prussic acid on, 161; analysis of blood of, 282.Animal food, poisonous effects of, 139.Animal irritants, 134. Ankers, case of, 219. Ankerström, case of, 245. Antimony, tartarised, poisoning hy, 120; chloride of, 123; detection of, 124. Aorta, wounds of the, 357. Aphonia from oxalic acid, 54. Auscultation in pregnancy, 514. Aqua fortis, 48. Aram, Eugene, case of, 374. Areolæ of the breasts, state of, in pregnancy, 512. Arscoiates, alkaline, poisoning by the, 86. ARSENIC, mctallic, 84; white, taste and solubility of, by, symptoms caused 65; chronic poisoning by, 66; postmortem appearances, 68; death from external application of, 68: fatal doses, 71, 822; doses of, infinenced by habit, 6; period at which death occurs, 71; treatmeet, 73; analysis, as a solid, 73; iu solution, 75; Marsh's process, 77; Reinsch's process, 78; analysisin organic mixtures, 79; in the tissues, 82; in the soil of cemeteries, 83; in solids, 84; sulphnrets of, 86; contained in sulphuric acid and

Arsenites, alkaline, poisoning by, Arscnite of copper, 115, 822. Arsenuretted hydrogen, fatal effects of, 87.

Arsenious acid (see ARSENIC), 64.

zinc, 78.

Arsenic acid, 85.

Arsenic, yellow, 86.

Arteries, wounds of, 357. Arterial and venous blood, 247. Artificial ioflation of the lungs, 445, 825. Ashford, case of, 663. Asiatic cholera, mistaken for poisoning, 15. ASPHYXIA, from sulphuric acid, 43; various forms of, 645; cause of death in, 646; from gases, 729. Atavism io insanity, 770. Atelectasis of the lungs, 436. Atropa helladouoa, 187.

Bacon, poisoning by, 141. Bayster, Miss, case of, 779, 781. Baker v. Lowe, 316. Ballottement in pregnaocy, 516. Balls, apertures produced by, 377; deflection of, 383. Balsoner, case of, 369. Banbury peerage case, 615. Barker, case of, 658. Barton, case of, 786. Bastardy, adulterioe, law regardiog, 567. Battley's sedative solution, 151. Bean of Saint Ignatius, 183. Belladoona, 187. Berries of the yew, 190. Berri, Duke de, case of, 322. Bestiality, 643. Bichloride of mercury, 88, 822. Bichromate of potash, 127. Bicyanide of mercury, 102. Binoxalate of potash, 57. BIRTH, proof of, in criminal law, 457; in civil law, 553; coucealment of, 539; date of, 562; plural, 563; premature, 571; protracted, 582. Births, post-mortem, 561; posthumous, 568. Birtwhistle v. Vardell, 567.

Bishop and Williams, case of, 728,

Bismuth, poisoning by, 127. BITTER ALMONDS, essential oil of, 169.

Bitter-sweet, effects of, 173.

Black drop, 151.

Bladder, ruptures of the, 366; spontaneous, 367.

Blandy, case of, 20.

Bleeding, death from. (see HEMORRHAGE), 263; of bodies after death, 266.

Blewitt, case of, 785.

Blight, case of, 241.

Blisters from burns and scalds, 397.

Blistering fly, poisoning by, 134. (See Cantharides.)

BLOOD, marks of, in death from wounds, 244; arterial and venous, 247 ; on weapons, 243, 281; evidence from spots, 248; tests for, 271; human and animal, 282; extravasation on the brain, 337.

BLOOD-STAINS, chemical examination of, 271; on linen, 273; distinguished from rust and fruit stains, 276; on weapons, 243, 278; caution respecting the analysis of, 279.

Blue-rocket, poisoning by, 186. Blue stone (see Blue Vitriol), 112. Blue Vitriol, 112.

Body, examination of the, in poisoning, 33; exhumation of the, 35; preternatural combustibility of the, 405; length and weight of the, in new-born children, 417; buoyancy of the, in drowning, 666; evidence of the position of the, in hanging, 703.

Boiling water, action of, 5.

Bolam, case of, 254.

Bones, fractures of the, 371; union by callus, 373; examination of exhumed, 374; of the fœtus, analysis of, 540.

Born alive, signification of, in civil and criminal law, 457, 553. Borradaile v. Hunter, 809, 820. Boughton, Sir T., case of, 13.

Brain, extravasation of blood on the, 337; wounds of the, 345; locomotion after severe injury

to the, 323. Brain, case of, 457, 501.

Bread, mouldy, poisonous action of, 133.

Breasts, changes in the, in pregnancy, 512.

Brick-kilns, suffocation from the vapour of, 739.

Brittleness of the bones, 300, 371. Brixey, case of, 803.

Brookbank, case of, 199.

Brooke, case of, 820.

Brown, case of, 339.

Brnnswick green, 114. Bullets, wounds produced by, 377. Buoyancy of the lungs, 433, 442:

of the body in drowning, 665. Burgess, case of, 335.

Burke and M'Dougall, case of, 728, 827.

Burning, deaths of children from, 403.

Burns, Miss, case of, 538.

Burns and Scalds, 394; before or after death, 397; characters of,in the living,395; in the dead, 398; accidental or homicidal. 402; by corrosive liquids, 407;

from lightning, 754. Burton, case of, 804.

Bury, case of, 627.

Butter of autimony, 123.

Byrne, case of, 711.

Byron, case of, 503.

Cadaverous spasm, 242; in drowning, 655.

Cæsarean operation, 559. Calamine, 125.

Callus of bone, 373.

vation from small doscs of, 91; conversion to corresive sub-

limate—chemical analysis, 99. Camphor, psisoning by, 174; compound tineture of, 150. (See Parcgoric elixir.) Camplin, case of, 639. Cancrum oris, 92. Canalis venosus, closure of, 467. Cantharides, 134; p. m. appearances, 135; fatal doses, 136; treatment and analysis, 137; medicinal preparations of, 139. Cantharidine, 137. Capacity, testamentary, 785. Carbonate of potash and soda, 61; of lead, 109; of copper, 114; of zinc, 125. Carbonic Acid, suffocation by, 731; p. m. appearances, 732; mode of action, 732; treatment, 734; analysis, 734; combustion in mixtures of, 741; rapid diffusion of, 741; alleged murder by, 731; of limekilus, 739. Carburctted hydrogen, suffocation by, 743. Carbonic oxide, 745. Carminative, Dalby's, 149. Carnal knowledge, 630, 642. Carnot, case of, 233. Carotid arteries, locomotion after wounds of the, 321. Carpenter, ease of, 779. Cashin, Miss, case of, 408. Castor-oil seeds, poisoning hy, 132. Catamenia, cessation of the, a sign of pregnancy, 510. (See Menstruation.) Catsup, poisoning by, 190. (See Mushrooms.) Caumartin, case of, 232. Caustic alkalies, poisoning hy, 60 lunar, 125. Caroley, case of, 204, 265, 370.

Calonel, poisoning by, 99, sali-Cemeteries, mephitic vapours of, ertificates of insanity, rules regarding, 775. Ceruse, poisoning by, 109. Chapman, case of, 389. Charcoal, spontaneous combustion of, 410; vapour, effects of, 735. Charles XII. of Sweden, death of, 381. Chattaway, case of, 826. Cheese, poisoning by, 140.

Chemical analysis of poisons, evidence from, 26; failure of, 28; articles preserved for, 37.

Chemical evidence in poisoning, sources of, 27.

Cherry kernels, 170. Cherry laurel-water, and oil, 171.

Cherry ratafia, poisoning hy, 170. Chest, wounds of the, 351; changes produced in the, by respiration, 125.

Child-murder, 413. (See In-FANTICIDE.)

Child, new-born, age and maturity of, from the sixth to the ninth month, 416; proofs of live birth in the, 425; survivorship of, 555.

Children, supposititious, 603.

Chipcake, spontaneous combustion of, 411.

Chloride of mercury (calomel), 99; of copper, 114; of antimony, 123.

Cholera mistaken for poisoning, 15. Christina Ritta, case of, 565.

Christopher, case of, 458.

Chrome, poisoning by, 127.

Chronic poisoning, 19; by arscnic, 66; by mercury, 89; by lead, 110.

Cicatrix, nature of a, 317; evidence from, 319, 348. Cicatrization of wounds, 317. Cicuta virosa, 185.

Cinnabar, poisoning by, 101. Circulation, feetal, changes produced in the, by respiration, 429, 432, 463. Circumstantial evidence, in wounds, Citrate of iron mistaken for blood. **27**9. Civil responsibility of the iusane, 784. Clark v. Tutom, 620. Clarke, case of, 374. Classes of poisons, 7. Classification of poisons, 7. Clothing, analysis of acid stains on, 47, 53; of blood on, 273. Coal-vapour, effects of, 737; gas, suffocation by, 743, Cocculus Indicus, poisouing by, 188. Coke-vapour, effects of, 737. Colchicina, 183. Colchicum, poisoning by, 183. COLD, death from, 758; appearances, 759; effects of, on the insane, 766; infanticide by, 485; murder by, 760. Colie, painter's, 109. Colica pictonum, 109. Colocynth, action of, 130. Coma from burns and scalds, 396. Combustion, human, alleged, 405; spontaneous, of substances, 409. Commissions of lunacy, 781; superseded, 782. Compos mentis, 765, 778. Compression of the lungs, effects of, 451. Concealment of birth, 539. Concealment of pregnancy, 521. Concealment of delivery, 524. Conception, 568, 571. Concussion of the brain, 335; known from intoxication, 336; of the spinal marrow, 348.

Condé, Prince de, case of the,

703.

Confessions (Sec Dying Declarations), 195; in drunkenness, 816; medical evidence in relation to, 823.

Confined air, effects of, 740. Congenital disease, a cause of death in new-born children, 478; defects, causes of impotency and sterility, 612.

Conium, poisoning by, 185, 823. Conscionsness, retention of, in poisoning by prussic acid, 160; after severe injuries to the head, 323.

Contents of the stomach, rules for collecting, 34; identity of, preserved, 36.

Contracts made by the insane, when invalid, 784.

Contused wounds, 220.
Contusions on the living and dead, 207; when inflicted on the living, 320.

Convictions without chemical evidence, 26.

Cook, case of, 326, 400.

Cope v. Cope, 594.

COPPER, poisoning by, 112; salts of, 113; in articles of food, 119, 822; analysis of the salts of, 116.

Copperas, poisoning by, 126. Copper, sulphate of, trial for administering, 2; poisoning by, 113.

Cord, umbilical, point of insertion of the, 418; death from compression of the, 477; evidence furnished by the, 473; strangulation by the, 495.

Cord, mark of the, in hanging, 691. Corder. case of, 236.

Cordial, Godfrey's, 149.

Corpora lutea, 531; conflicting evidence respecting, 533.

Corrosion distinguished from ulceration, 23. Corrosive liquids, burns from 407. Corrosive poisons, knowu from irritants, 8. CORROSIVE SUBLIMATE, solubility, taste, symptoms, 88; salivatiou, au effect of, 90; p. m. appearances, 93; death from external application, 92; fatal dosc, 94, 822; period of death, 95; treatmeut, 95; chemical aualysis, 95; conversion to calomel, 99. Cotterall v. Cotterall, 588. Cotton, spontaneous combustion of, 410. Conuterfractures, 344. Counterstroke, fractures by, 344. Courtesy, tenancy by, 558. Courvoisier, case of, 228. Cowper, Spencer, case of, 665. Cox, case of, 727. Cranium, fractures of the, 343; accidental, in the new-born child, 488. Crepitation of the lungs, 428. CRIMINAL ABORTION, 541. CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY insanity, 790; in drunkenucss, 816; somnambulism, 818; in deafness and dumbness, 820. Croton-oil, poisoning by, 131. Cruse, case of, 816. Crutchley, case of, 502. Crypsorchides, virility of, 616. Cuts and stabs, 221. Cyanide of potassium, poisoning by, 171; of mercury, 102. Cytisus laburnum, poisoning by,

Dalby's carminative, 149.

Dalmas, case of, 229.

Daly, case of, 376.

Dalhousie v. M Douall, 567.

Danks, case of, 327.

Darnel, bearded (Lolium temulentum), 134.

188.

Dash, case of, 477.
Date of birth, 562.
Datura strannonium, poisoning by, 186.
Daturia, 186.
Davey, cuse of, 763.
Day v. Day, 603.
Day, case of, 785.
Dead. exhalations from the, 751:

Dead, exhalations from the, 751; contusions on the, 207.

Deadly poison, 2.

Deadly nightshade, 187. Deaf and dumb, 820.

Deafness and dumbness feigned, detection of, 821.

Debility, death of the new-born child from, 476.

Decay, food rendered poisonous by, 141.

Decayed flesh, poisoning by, 141.
Declarations, dying, 195.

Decoction of poppies, death from, 148.

Deeds executed by the insane, law regarding, 784; by drunken men, 815.

Defects, sexual, 612.

Deformities, evidence from, in disputed paternity, 597.

Deformity from wounds of the face, 347; fractures and dislocations, 375.

Deflection of balls, 383.

766.

Defloration, signs of, 636. Delirium, mistaken for insanity,

Delirium tremens, 304, 818.

Delivery, protracted, death of the child from, 476; accidental, 484; sudden, in the erect posture, 489; locomotion and exertion after, 484; sigus of, in the living, 525; feigned and unconscious, 527; signs of, in the dead, 530; of moles and hydatids, 530.

Delusion the main character of insauity, 764; connection of, with the acts of the insane, 786, 797. De lunatico inquirendo, 778. Dementia, 768, 789. Depilatories, arsenical, 68. Development, progress of, in the child, 416; evidence from, in legitimacy, 571, 576, 578, 591. De ventre inspiciendo, writ of, 518. Destructive thing, meaning of in law, 4, 547.

Denonald v. Hope, 517.

Diagnosis, mistaken, in operations,

Diaphragm, ruptures of the, 360; wounds of the, 358.

Dickenson, case of, 315, 792. Dicephalous monsters, 565.

Digitalia, 184.

Digitalis, poisoning by, 184. Dipsomania, 815.

Direction of wounds, evidence from, 229.

Disease, action of poisons aggravated by, 11; mistaken for poisouing, 15; latent, death from, in wounds, 289; accelerating death from personal injuries, 299.

Disease, effect of, on the mind, 785. Diseased flesh poisonous, 141.

Dislocations, legal meaning of, 194; from muscular spasm, 375; accidental in drowning, 671.

Disomatous monsters, 565.

Divorce, medical evidence in suits of, 624.

Decimasia pulmonaris, 435. (See HYDROSTATIC TEST.) Circulationis, 463, 468.

Douglas-peerage case, 597, 622. Double monsters, 565.

Dover's powder, 150.

Dress, evidence from the, in wounds, 223.

Dripping poisoned by lead, 111.
Drowning—in child-murder, 482; cause of death in, 645; period at which death accurs, 649; treatment, 653; appearances, 655; was death caused by? 661; buoyancy of the body in, 665; presumption of survivorship, 667; summary of proofs, 669; origin of marks of violence in cases of, 671; houncidal or suicidal, 675; in shallow water, 676; from partial immersion, 677; weights attached to the body in, 679.

Drugs used as abortives, 543.

Drummond, Mr., case of, 377, 800.

Drunkenness, civil and criminal responsibility in cases of, 815; restraint in cases of, 817.

Ductus arteriosus, closure of, 464, 826.

Dujarrier, case of, 393.

Duration of cases of poisoning, 17. Durnell v. Corfield, 789.

Dyce Sombre's case, 782.

Dyes, hair, 111.
Dyes, red, mistaken for blood, 275.
Dyer's spirit, poisoning by, 125.
Dying declarations, 195.

Eager v. Grimwood, 582. Eccentricity mistaken for insanity, 787.

of, and changes of colour in, 206; evidence from, 207; production of, after death, 207; various causes of, in the living, 210; spontaneous, in the dead, 211; changes of colour in, 213; not always a result of contusion, 214; exceptional cases, 216; in strangulation by the umbilical cord, 495; in hanging, 687.

Eccles, case of, 367.

Edey, case of, 340. Edwards, case of, 611. Effluvia of drains and sewers, 748. Elderfield, case of, 614. Elder leaves, effects of, 132. Electric fluid, action of, 752. (See | Extravasation of blood on the LIGHTNING). Elixir, paregoric, 150. Ellison, case of, 242. Elphick, case of, 201. Embryo, characters of the, to the sixth month, 535. Emerald green, poisoning by, 115. Emetic, tartar, poisoning by, 120. Emphysema of the lungs, 442. Enemata, poisoning by, 12. Enoch, case of, 500. Epigastrium, death from blows on tbc, 362. Epilepsy, its effect on the mind, 785.Epileptics, Parisian, cases of the, 162.Epispadias, 617. Ergot of rye in bread, 134; properties of, as an abortive, 514. Erysipclas following wounds, 312. Essex, Earl of, case of, 243. Ether, poisoning by, 176. Evidence, identity of articles for analysis, 36; notes when and how used in, 38; of poisoning in the living, 10; in the dead, 17, 822; sources of chemical, 26; circumstantial and presumptive in wounds, 239; medical in fractures and dislocations, 375. Examination of wounds, 198; of the female in child-murder, Excitement a cause of extravasation, 341. Exfoliation of the cuticle, 463, 472.Exhalations from the dead, 751. Exhumation of bodies, 35.

Extent of wounds, 226.

Extract, Goulard's, poisoning by, 108.Extra quatuor maria, rule of, 567. Extra-uterine pregnancy, life, 556. brain, 337; causes and seat of, 338; conflicting medical evidence respecting, 342; from excitement and intoxication, 341. Fabricius, Dr., case of, 298. Face, wounds of the, 345. Fajat, François, case of, 622. Fama clamosa, 576. Family likeness, evidence from, 597. Farina, detection of, in the stomach of the new-born child, 469. Fasting, long, effects of, 761. Fat poisoned by lead glaze, 111. Fawcet, Col., case of, 361. Features, evidence from the, 596. Fever, death from, after wounds and operations, 292. Feigned wounds, 254; preguancy, 517; menstruation, 511; delivery, 527; insanity, 770; abortion, 546; dcafness and dumbness, 821. Fibrin, detection of, in blood-stains,

274.

410.

85.

Fish-poison, 139.

Fish v. Palmer, 556.

Flanagan, case of, 715.

Flagellation, death from, 268.

Flax, spontaneous combustion of,

Flesh, diseased, poisoning by, 141.

Fly-powder and water, death from,

Fœtal circulation, changes in the,

Foctal stomach, contents of the,

Fœticide, 541. (See Abortion.)

caused by respiration, 426, 464.

470; heart, sounds of the, 514.

Fœtus, characters of the, from conception to the sixth month, 535; from the sixth to the ninth month, 416. Food, poisonous, 15, 139; evidence from the presence of poison in, 16. Fool's parsley, poisoning by, 185. Foramen ovale, closure of the, 467.Fowler's mineral solution, 84. Fowles, case of, 715. Fox, case of, 518. Foxglove, poisoning by, 184. Fractures of the skull, 343; of the spine, 349, 674; in infanticide, 488; spontaneous, 372; before or after death, 373; period required for union of, 373; proofs of, in exhumed bones, 374; locomotiou after, 374; resembling dislocations, 375; accidental in the drowned, 674; from lightning, 754. Fragilitas ossium, 372. Francis, ease of, 796. Franklin and Randall, case of, 822. Fratris possessio, 558. Frazer v. Bagley, 526, 598, 637. French, case of, 819. Frere v. Peacock, 787. Frith, case of, 465. Frost, case of, 792. Fruits, preserved, poisoned by copper, 119. Fungi, poisoning by, 189. Fungin, 189.

Gall-bladder, ruptures of the, 363. Gallop, case of, 790. Gamboge, poisoning by, 130. Gammon, case of, 631. Gangrene of the mouth, 92. Gardner-peerage case, 589. Gas-coal, suffocation by, 743. Gascous poisons, 729.

Gelatinised (spontaneous) pcrforation of the stomach, 25. Genitals, wounds of the, 370. George, case of, 655. GESTATION, natural period of, 568; duration from one intercourse, 569; premature, 571; protracted, 582; legal decisious respecting, 587. Gibbs v. Tunaley, 316. Giese's prussic acid, 157. Gilchrist, case of, 403. Giles, case of, 792. Gilmour, case of, 72. Glass, powdered, fatal effects of, 5. Glaze, lead, poisonous effects of, 111. Gluten, an antidote to corrosive sublimate, 95. Göbel's prussic acid, 157. Godfrey's cordial, 149. Good, case of, 400. Goodall, case of, 550, 552. Goodman, case of, 820. Gonorrhœa, evidence from, in cases of rapc, 633. Gonlard's extract, poisoning by, 108. Grave-yards, vapour of, 751. Greek, case of, 715. Greenaere, case of, 200, 713. Greensmith, case of, 791. Green vitriol, poisoning by, 126. Gricvous bodily harm in wounds, 195. Grimwood, case of, 246, 281. Grotta del Cane, 733. *Grove*, case of, 801. Gunpowder, wounds from, 389; identity from the flash of, 392. Guns, evidence of recent discharge of, 393. Gunshor wounds, 376; before or after death, 377; characters ' of, 378; accidental or suicidal,

384 : received before or behiud,

387; from small shot, 388; from

wadding and gunpowder, 389.

Habit, its influence on poisons, 6. Hacking, case of, 507.
Hadfield, case of, 795, 798.
Hæmatalloscopy, 282.
Hæmatosine, properties of, 272.
Hæmarenal, 265; post-mortem, 266; death of the new-born child from, 476.
Hang, case of, 504.
Haines, case of, 392.
Hair, evidence from the colour of the, in pateruity, 598.

Hair-dyes, poisonous action of, 111. Hallucinations in insanity, 766.

Hamilton, case of, 640.

Hanging, death from, 680; injury to spinal marrow in, 681; treatment and resuscitation in cases of, 683; rapidity of death in, 685; post-morten appearances, 686; evidence of, from the mark of the cord, 687, 691; summary of proofs, 693; marks of violence on the body, 695; homicidal, 699; evidence from position of the body in, 703.

Hargrave v. Hargrave, 579. Hartley, case of, 783.

Hartshorn, poisoning by, 62. Harwood v. Baker, 785.

Hawkey, case of, 310.

Haynes, case of, 550.

Hazell, case of, 244.

Head, wounds of the, 334; locomotion after severe, 323.

Heart, locomotion after wounds of the, 325; wounds of the, 353.

Hellebore, white, poisoning by, 183, 548.

Hemiplegia, its effect on the mind, 785.

Hemlock, poisoning by, 185, 823.

Hemp, spontaneous combustion of, 410.

Henbane, poisouing by, 172.

Hepatization of the lungs in infanticide, 436.

Hereditary transmission of insanity, 769.

Hermaphroditism, 604.

Hernia, pbreuic, 359. Heywood, case of, 303, 728.

Hierapiera, 130.

Hoffman's liquor, analysis of, 177. Ilomicidal wounds, characters of, 229.

HOMICIDAL MONOMANIA, 791; causes of, and symptoms of, 793; legal tests of, 794; medical tests of, 795; Esquirol's division of, 805; diagnosis of, 806; sudden recovery from attacks of, 807.

Hooner, Commonwealth v. 593.

Horder, case of, 493. Howe and Wood, case of, 379.

Hull, case of, 196.

Hulme, ease of, 297. Human combastion, 405.

llunger, death from, 760. (See STARVATION.)

Hunt, case of, 520.

Hunter (Dr. W.) on the hydrostatic test, 455; on the accidental suffocation of new-born children, 481; on infanticide by strangulation, 494.

Hydatids, uterine, expulsion of, 536.

Hydrated oxide of iron in arsenical poisoning, 73.

Hydrochloric acid, 53. (See Mu-RIATIC ACID.)

Hydrocyanic acid, poisoning by, 156. (Sec Prussic ACID.)

Hydrogeu, arsenuretted, 87. Hydrogeu, action of, when breathed, 730. Hydrogen test for arsenic, 77.
HYDROSTATIC TEST, 435; evidence furnished by, 455; objections to the, from sinking of the langs, 436; from putrefaction, 443; artificial inflation, 445; results of compression, 447; erroncous notions respecting the, 455.
Hydrosulphuret of ammonia ac-

Hydrosulphuret of ammonia, action of vapour of, 748.

Hyland, case of, 500.

1lymen, evidence derived from the, in rape, 631, 643; as a sign of virginity, 637.Hyoseyamia, 172.

Hyoseyamus, poisoning by, 172. Hypospadias, 617.

rrypospacias, 017

Identity of substances for analysis, 36; mistaken, 197; from fractured bones, 374; from the flash of gunpowder, 392; of the body in infanticide, 462.

Idiocy, 768.

Idiosyncrasy in poisoning, 7. Ignition, spontaneous, of bodies,

410.

Illusions in insanity, 766; distinguished from delnsion, 766; in drunkenness, 817.

Imbeeility, 765; scnile, 768.

Immaturity of the fectus, 415; death of the child from, 475; in cases of abortion, 486; evidence from, in cases of legitimacy, 571.

Impediments, canonical, to mar-

riage, 624.

Impotency, 612; from age, 614; disease and malformation, 615, 608; as a ground of divorce, 625.

Improper food, death from, 139. Imputed wounds, 254.

Incapacity, sexual, 612. (See IMPOTENCY.)

Incendiarism, propensity to, 813. Incoherency, 765.

Incompetency, mental, medical tests of, 780; from ignorance, 781.

Indictments, technicalities in, 2. Indigo, sulphate of, poisoning by, 48.

INFANTICIDE, 413; evidence in cases of, 414; proofs of life before respiration, 421; after respiration, 425; rules for inspection of the body in, 426; static test in, 428; Plouequet's test in, 431; legal proofs of, 456; proofs of live birth in, 457; survivorship of the child in cases of, 472; natural causes of death in, 474; violent causes of death, 480; snmmary of medical proofs in, 506; frequent acquittals in cases of, 507.

Infants, action of opium on, 147. Inflation, artificial, of the lungs, 445, 825.

Inheritance, questions relating to, 553.

Insane, responsibility of the, in civil cases, 784; in criminal cases, 790; effects of cold on the, 766.

Insanity, legal definition of, 764; various forms of, 765; hallucinations and illusions in, 766; moral, 767; hereditary transmission of, 769; feigned, 776; rules for applying restraint in, 773; certificates of, 775; interdiction in cases of, 778; lucid intervals in, 782; civil responsibility in cases of, 784; plea of, in criminal cases, 790; homicidal (see Homicidal Monomania), 791.

Inspection of the body, rules for the, in poisoning, 33; in wounds, 198; in child-murder, 426. Insurance, life, questions regarding, 809.

Intellectual iusanity, 767.

Intercourse, duration of gestation after, 568; proofs of, 632, 636; carnal, legal proofs of, 630, 642.

Interdiction in insanity, 778.

Intervals, lneid, in insanity, 782; validity of acts performed during, 783.

Intestines, ruptures of the, 364. Intoxication distinguished from concussion, 336; a cause of fatal extravasation of blood, 339.

Irou-antidote, 73.

Iron filiugs, as an abortive, 544.

Iron, hydrated oxide of, 73; sulphate and muriate of, poisoning by, 126.

1rou-moulds mistaken for bloodstains, 275.

1rritant poisons, general effects of, 7, 41.

Irritants, mechanical, 4; mineral, 41; vegetable, 129; animal, 134.

Ittner's prussic acid, 157.

Jackson, case of, 819, 820.

Jalap, effects of, 130. Jörg on atelectasis, 437.

Jugular veiu, death from blow on the, 339.

Juniperus Sabina, poisoning by, 131, 545.

Jury of matrons, 519.

Keller's prussic acid, 157. Kendrew, case of, 389.

Kernels and seeds, prussic acid obtained from, 170.

Ketchup (catsup), poisoning by, 190.

Kettleband, case of, 673.

Khan, Meer, case of, 374.

Kidneys, ruptures of the, 364. Kiestein in the urine as a sign of preguancy, 515.

King, case of, 405.

King's yellow a poison, 87. Kinghorn case, the, 576.

Kirkwall, Lady, case of, 779.

Klein (Dr.) on fractures of the skull in new-born children, 489. Kleptomania, 814.

Labour, premature, responsibility in inducing, 548.

Laburnum, poisoning by, 188.

Lacerated wounds, 220.

Lactuca virosa and sativa, 173.

Lactucarium, 173.

Lactucin, 173. Laun-black spoutanec

Laup-black, spontaneous combustion of, 410.

Landon, case of, 315.

Lapis infernalis, 125.

Latent disease, death from, in wounds, 289.

Laudanum, poisoning by, 144.
Laurel-water and oil, poisoning by, 171.

Laurence, ease of, 802.

LWAD, poisoning by, 104; acetate, 105; carbonate, 109; oxides of, 111; analysis of the salts of, 107; effects of external application, 111; pharmaceutical preparations of, 112; meconate of, 154.

Lead-glaze, poisonous effects of, 111.

Legal tests of insanity in criminals, 794.

LEGITIMACY, legal presumption of, 567; of children born after the death of the mother, 568; period of gestation in reference to, 569; disputed from shortness of gestation, 571; viability in reference to, 572; proofs of, from the state of the off-

spring, 571, 577, 591; disputed, from long periods of gestation, 582; in what cases admitted, 594; inferred from parental likeness, 597.

Leucorrhea a cause of sterility, 623.

Life, legal and medical, 458.

Life-insurance, questions relating to, 809, 819, 827.

Lightning, death from, 752; postmortem appearances, 753; civil action concerning the effects of, 757.

Likeness, parental, evidence from, 597.

Limckilns, suffication by the vapour of, 739.

Liquids, boiling, death from, 5; corrosive, burns by, 407.

Litharge, poisoning by, 111.

Live-birth, proofs of, in childmurder, 402, 457, 460; in civil suits, 553; evidence of, from crying, 555. Liver, ruptures and wounds of the,

363. Lividity, cadaverous, 211; changes

of colour in, 213.

Lochia, evidence from the, 525.

Locomotion in poisoning by prussic acid, 160; after severe personal injuries, 323; of females after recent delivery, 484.

Lolium temulentum, poisoning by, in bread, 134.

Long fasting, effects of, 760. Longley, case of, 670.

Lucas, case of, 792.

Lucid intervals, 782.

Lucifer matches, combustion of, 412.

Lunacy, '764; commissions of, 778; act, legal provisions of the, 775.

Lunar caustic, poisoning by, 125. Lunatics, restraint applied to, 773; discharge of, 777; interdiction of, 778.

Lungs, wounds of the, 352; examination of, in new-born children, 425; variably affected by respiration, 429; specific gravity of, 433; atclectasis of, 437; putrefaction of, 443; artificial inflation of, 445, 825.

Lung tests, 435.

Luscombe v. Prettyjohn, 569, 591.

M'Callun, case of, 807.
M'Comas, case of, 634.
M'Donough, case of, 634.
Macdoughal, case of, 484.
Macewan, case of, 294, 307.
Macklin, case of, 346.
Mackenzie, case of, 297.
Macmillan, case of, 306.
Macnaughten, case of, 800.
Macrae, case of, 632.
Macintyre, case of, 482.
Magarity, ease of, 386.
Magistery of Bismuth, 127.
Mujority, questions relative to,

Majority, questions relative to, 562; when attained, 563.
Malapraxis, actions for, 314, 316;

alleged, in fractures and dislocations, 375.

Malformation, death of the newborn child from, 477; sexual, 605.

Malignant cholcra mistaken for poisoning, 15.

Mania, 766; insensibility to cold in, 766; puerperal, 812; homicidal, 791; suicidal, 807.

Marks of blood, evidence from form and situation of, 248; chemical examination of, 272.

Marriage, impediments to, 624; of the insanc, 784.

Marsh's test for arsenic, 77.

Martin, case of, 362.

Martin, Jonathan, case of, 813.

Matches, lucifor, spontaneous combustion of, 412.

Matrons, jury of, 519.

Maturity of the new-born child, signs of, 417, 419.

Meadow saffron, 183.

Meal, symptoms of poisoning after a, 12.

Mcchauical injury, death from, 267.

Mcchanical irritants, 4.

Mcconic acid, tests for, 154.

Medical responsibility, in wounds, 293, 315; in eases of insanity, 789; in delivery, 826.

Medico-legal Reports, 38. Meer Khan, case of, 374.

Membranes, child born in the, 541.

Menispernum, coeculus, 188. Menses, suppression of, in preg-

nancy, 510.

Menses. (See Menstruation.)

Menstrual blood, characters of,

Menstrual climacteric, 620.

MENSTRUATION, suppression of, a sign of pregnancy, 510; pregnancy before, 511, 619; feigned, 511; conception during, 534; relation of gestation to, 570; fallacies in calculating pregnancy from, 583, 586; age at which it appears, 618; appearance of, in infants, 619, 826; age at which it ceases, 620; pregnancy after the cessation of, 621; its continuance to lato periods of life, 622; absence of, a cause of sterility, 623; in hermaphrodites, 610.

Mental alienation, 764.

Mephitic vapour of cemeteries, 751. Mercurius Vitice, 123.

MERCURY, poisoning by the salts of, 88; bichloride of, 89; chloride of, 99; ammonia chloride, 100; snlphnrets, 101; oxide of, 101; snlphate and bieyanide of, 102; nitrates, 103; proportion of contained in medicines, 103.

Metallic irritants, 64.

Microscopical evidence in rape, 640; in hanging, 690.

Midwifery, malapraxis in, 315. Milk, detection of, in the stomach, 469.

Millgate, case of, 503.

Millie, ease of, 254.

Milligan, case of, 819.

Mind, uusoundness of, 764. Mineral green, poisoning by, 115.

Mineral poisons, 64.
Mineral solution, Fowler's, 84.

Mineral solution, Fowler's, 84
Mineral turbith, 102.

Minium, poisoning by, 111.

Minor. (See Minority.)

Minority, questions relating to, 562. Miscarriage, legal meaning of, 542, 550.

Mistakes in chemical evidence, 31. Misters, ease of, 277.

Moir, Captain, case of, 302.

Moles, nature of, 536; abortion of, 552.

Moncton v. Cameroux, 784.

Monkshood, poisoning by, 186. Monomania, 766; homicidal, 790; suicidal, 807.

Monorchides, virility of, 615.

Monsters, their destruction not permitted, 478; abortion of, 551; legal definition of, 563; varieties, 826; criminal responsibility of, 564.

Moral insanity, 765, 787, 791.

Morgan, case of, 384. Morgan v. Boys, 788.

Morison's pills, death from, 130. Morphia in opium, 144; and its salts, poisoning by, 150; che-

mical analysis of, 153. Morris v. Davis, 595. Mortality of wounds, 261. Mortiboys, case of, 481. Mosely, case of, 634. Mother, examination of the, in infanticide, 505, 525. Motives for crime, cvidence from, 796.Mouldy bread, effects of, 133. Mouth, gangrene of the, 92. Mucor Mncedo in bread, 133. Munro v. Munro, 567. Muriate of iron, 126; of morphia, 150. MURIATIC ACID, poisoning by, 53. Murrow, case of, 408.

Mutton, decayed, effects of, 141. Nævi mistaken for marks of violence in infanticide, 498.

Muscles, poisoning by, 139.

Mushrooms, poisoning by, 189.

Narcotic poisons, general effects of, 9; varieties of, 143. Narcotico-irritants, effects of, 9;

varictics of, 178.

Navel-string, 476, 491 (see Umbilical cord).

Needles and pins, effects of, when swallowed, 4.

Nicotiana tabacum, 188.

Nicotia, 188.

Nightshade, woody, effects of, 174; deadly, 187.

Nitrate of mercury, 103; of silver, 125; of bismuth, 127.

NITRIC ACID, poisoning by, 48; appearances, 49; fatal doses, 50; analysis, 51; on articles of clothing, 53.

Nitrogen, action of, when breathed, $\cdot 729.$

Non-compos mentis, 764. Noroy Thouret, case of, 310. Nose, wounds of the, 347.

Notes, use of, in evidence, 38. Noxious substances, legal meaning

of, 547.

Noyau, properties of, 170. Nux vemica, poisoning by, 180.

O'Brien, case of, 222.

Obstetricy, malapraxis in, 315. (See Malapraxis.)

(Edema of the lungs in infanticide, 436.

Œnanthe crocata, 185.

Oil of vitriol, poisoning by, 41. (See Sulphuric Acid.)

— of croton, 131.

— of tar, 133.

— of bitter almonds, 169. Operations, surgical, death from, 304.

Operation, Casarcan, 559.

Opinions, medical, caution in expressing, 31.

OPIUM, poisoning by, 143; symptoms caused by, 144; appearances, 145; fatal doses, 146, 822; action of, on young children, 147; treatment, 148; cffects of external application, 152; process for detecting, 152; proportion of, in medicinal preparations, 155.

Orbit, wounds of the, 346.

Orleans, Duke of, case of, 336. Orpimeat, poisoning by, 86.

Ossification, process of, 372; in the fœtus, 416, 535; defective, simulating violence, 492.

Ovum, examination of the, 535.

Overmaturity in the offspring, 602.

Owen and Thomas, case of, 671. Oxalic Acid, symptoms caused by, 53; appearances and fatal doses of, 54; period of death, 55; treatment, analysis, 56; in liquids containing organic matter, 57.

Oxford, case of, 801.

Oxide of iron in arsenical poisoning, 73; of mereury, 101; of lead, 111; of phosphorus, combustion of, 412.

Oysters, poisonous effects of, 140.

Painter's colic, 110.
Palmer, Fish v. 556.
Pappiau law, provisions of the, 625.
Paralysis from lead, 110; effect of, on the mind, 785.

Paregorie clixir, 150.

Parental likeness, evidence from, 597.

Parisian epileptics, cases of the, 162.

Parker, case of, 199.

Parturition (see Delivery), 523; induces insanity, 793.

Patch, case of, 241.

Pateruity, questions relating to, 596, 826.

Paterson, ease of, 296. Patteson, case of, 817.

Peach kernels, poisoning by, 170. Pearce, case of, 379, 783.

Pearlash, poisoning by, 60.

Pearl, white, 127.

Penfold v. Crawford, 785.

Perforation of the stomach mistaken for poisoning, 23; varieties of, from poison and disease, 24.

Peritonitis a result of blows on the abdomen, 362.

Periwinkles, irritant effects of, 140.

Perrall, case of, 308.

Peyrins, ease of, 604.

Peytel, M., case of, 380,

Pfaff's prussic acid, 157. Phelps, case of, 337.

Phillips, case of, 551.

Phipps, ease of, 343.

Phrenic hernia, 359.

Pichegru, Gen. case of, 713.
Pickles poisoned by copper, 119.

Picrotoxia, 188.

Pinchon, case of, 258.

Pins, administration of, to infants, 4.

Plaster, blistering, poisoning by, 137.

Plea of pregnancy, 519; of insanity in criminal cases, 790; uncertainty of the law regarding, 801.

Plural births, 563.

Plouequet's test, 431.

Poisons, definition of, 1: law respecting the administration of, 4; irritants and corrosives, 7; narcotic and narcotico-irritants, 9; slow and rapid death from, 18; accumulative, 20; effects modified by disease, 11; loss of, by absorption, 28; gascous, 729.

Poisoned articles of food, identity of, 36.

POISONING, rules for investigating cases of, 10; evidence of, in the living, 32; sudden death resembling, 19; disease mistaken for, 15; chronic, 19; evidence in the dead, 17; ulceration, corrosion, and softening in, 22; perforation, 23; chemical analysis in, 26; infanticide by, 505.

Poisonous and non-poisonous substances, 4.

Poisonous food, 139.

Poisouons gases, 729.

Poppies, syrup and decoction of,

Pork, poisoning by, 7, 141.

Porter, cocculus indicus in, 188.

Porter, Commonwealth v. 592.

Possessio fratris, 558.

Posthumous birtbs, 568; children, 599.

Post-mortem appearances, evidence from, in poisoning, 20, 33; birtbs, 561, 568.

Potash and its carbonates, poison-

oxalate of, 57; arsenite of, 84; bichromate of, 127; arseniate of, 86. Potassium, evanide of, 171. Pondre d'Italie, 112.

Poulton, case of, 457.

Praslin, Duke de, case of, 67, 72,

206, 249, 269. Precipitate, white and red, 100. PREGNANCY, signs of, 510; feigned, 517; plea of, in bar of execution, 519; in a state of unconsciousness, 522; concealment of, 521; in the dead, 523; proof of, in cases of abortion, 550; extra-uterine, 552; longest duration of, 585; premature, 619; earliest age for, 619, 826; latest, 621; following rape, 640; crimes perpe-

trated during, 813. Premature births, 571; opinions, danger of, 31; pregnancy and labour, 826.

Preserving articles for analysis, 37. Price, case of, 817.

Projectiles, whether fired near or at a distance, 377; evidence from, 378; non-discovery of, 382; deflection of, 383.

Protracted births, 582; gestation, 585.

Prussic acid, varietics of, 157; symptoms caused by, -158;post-mortem appearances, 161; taste and odour of, 157; fatal doses of, 163; acts of volition and locomotion, 160; treatment; analysis, 165; detection of vapour in organic liquids, 167; quantitative analysis, 168; obtained from seeds, 170.

Pryke, case of, 763.

Ptyalism, mcrcurial, (see Salivation) 90.

ing by, 60; analysis, 61; bin- | Puberty in males, 613; in females, 618; premature, 619, 826.

> Puerperal mania, 812. Pulham, case of, 649.

> Pulmonary tests, 435.

Putrefaction, uterine, 421; of the lungs, 443; in the new-born child, 473; in the drowned, 657. Pym, case of, 196, 309. Pyromania, 813.

Quantity of poison found in the body, evidence from, 29. Quickening, a sign of pregnancy,

513.Quain, case of, 307.

Quicksilver, 88. (See Mercury.)

Race, case of, 390.

Railton, case of, 474. Ramus, case of, 158.

RAPE, definition of, 629; proofs of, in children under puberty, 630; vulval and vaginal, 631; evidence from gonorrhœal discharge in, 633; on females after puberty, 635; on adults, 638; on idiots, 639; pregnancy following, 640; microscopical evideuce in, 640; legal decisions respecting, 642; by females on males, 643.

Ratafia, poisoning by, 170.

Raynon, case of, 317.

Realgar, poisoning by, 86. Rectum, poisons administered by

the, 12.

Red arsenic, 86.

Red dyes mistaken for blood, 275. Red fire, spontaneous, combustion of, 412.

Redness of the stomach in poisoning and discase, 21.

Red oxide of mercury, 100. Red precipitate, 100.

Reed, case of, 349.

Sanguineous tumours in new-born

Savin, poisoning by, 131; as an

Salmon, poisoning by, 139.

Salt of sorrel, 57.

children, 494.

abortive, 545.

Sausage poison, 140.

Sambucus nigra, 132.

Savelle, case of, 242.

Reed and Donelan, case of, 348. Reeve, case of, 490. Reinsch's process for arsenic, 78. Renaud, case of, 218. Reports, ifiedico-legal, 38. Respiration, prevention of, not murder, 424; signs of, in the new-born child, 425; imperfect, 429; before birth, 454; a sign of life, not of live birth, 421, 452, 455. of abortion, 548, 826. Restraint in cases of insanity, 774. Reynolds, case of, 715, 790, 801. Rhymes, case of, 69. Richards, case of, 236, 386. Richman, Prof., case of, 756. Robiquet's prussic acid, 157. Ross, case of, 728. poisoning, 32. Rupture of the lungs, 353; stotestines, 364; the bladder, 331, 366. Russell, Lord W., case of, 228, 243, 245. Russen, case of, 630. Rust, stains of, mistaken for blood, 276.Ryan, case of, 639.

Responsibility of the insanc in civil cases, 784; in criminal cases, 790; medical, after surgical operations, 293; in cases 773; improper application of, Rules for investigating cases of mach, 365; of the heart, 355; of the diaphragm, 330; liver, spleen, and kidneys, 363; in-Sabina Juniperus, 131. Saffron, meadow, poisoning by, 183. Saint Ignatius's bean, strychnia in, 183. Sal volatile, 62. Salivation, mercurial, 90.

Sayers, case of, 362. Scalds and burns, 394, 404. Scammony, 130. Schedmaizig, case of, 818, Scheele's green, 115, 822; prussic acid, 157. Schwabe v. Clift, 810. Schweinfürth green, 117. Scirrhus of the lungs in infanticide, 436. Sedative solution, Battley's, 151. Sccds, castor, poisoning by, 132. Seeds, prussic acid obtained from, 170.Sclf-delivery, violence inflicted by females during, 493. Sellis, case of, 196, 228, 230, 421. Senior, case of, 508. Scnilc dementia, wills made in, 789. Seton, Mr., case of, 294, 309. Sewers and drains, air of, 748. SEX, distinction of, 605; mistakes respecting, 606; mixed and doubtful cases, 607; civil rights depending on, 609; concealed, 611. Sexual malformation, varieties of, 605; causes of, 607; a cause of impotency, 617. Seymour, Lady, case of, 783. Shellfish, poisoning by, 139. Shepherd, case of, 815. Shock, death from, in wounds, 267. Shot, small, wounds by, 388. Siamese twins, case of, 565. Silver, nitrate of, poisoning by, 125. Simm, case of, 199. Simpson, ease of, 457, 818.

Spontaneous perforation of the

Situation of a wound, evidence from, **224.** Skin, evidence from the colour of, 599, 826. Skull, fractures of the, 343; accideutal, in parturition, 488; preternatural thiuness of the, 300; defective ossification in the, 492. Sleep, delivery during, 528; rape during, 639; homicide during, Small-shot, wounds by, 388. Smith, Mrs., case of, 12. Smith, case of, 484. Smothering, death from, 727. Soap-lees, poisoning by, 61. Soda and its carbonate, poisoning by, 61. Sodomy, 643. Softening of the stomach from poison and disease, 23. dulcamara, - nigrum, Solanum 173. Solania, 174. Somnambulism, responsibility in cases of, 818; in life-insurance aud suicide, 819. Sorrel, salt of, 57. South, case of, 505. Spanish flies, poisoning by, 134. Spasm, cadavcrous, 242, 655. Spermatozoa, 613, 641. Sphacelia segetum, see ergot, 545. Spicer, case of, 201, 237, 248. Spinal marrow, injuries to the, 348. Spine, fractures of the, 349, 673. Spirit, Dyer's, poisoning by, 125. Spirits, (alcoholic), poisoning by, 175. Spirits of hartshorn, death from, 26. Spirits of salt, 53. (Sec MURIATIC ACID.) Spleen, ruptures of the, 364. Sponge, irritant effects of, 5. Spontaneous combustion, 405, 409.

stomach, 25. Squire, case of, 763. Stabs and cuts, 221. Stains, acid, on clothing, 47, 53; of blood on lineu and weapons, 273.Staninought, case of, 792. STARVATION, death from, 760; iufanticide by, 485. Statie test, the, in infanticide, 428. Statistics of still births, 475. Sterility, causes of, 622. Stevens, case of, 490. Steinberg, case of, 792. Stoltzer, case of, 801. Stout, Sarah, ease of, 667. Stott, case of, 787. Stibiated tartar, 120. Still births, 475. Stomach, perforation of the, 24; redness of the, 21; softening of, 23; spontaneous perforation of, 25 ; wounds and ruptures of the, 365; fortal, contents of the, 470. Stramonium, poisoning by, 186. STRANGULATION, infanticide by, 494; accidental, by the umbilical cord, 497; in drowning, 672; appearances caused by. 707; accideutal, snicidal, or homieidal, 715. Stroud, ease of, 548. Strychnia, poisoning by, 182. Stupor from burns, 396. Subacetate of lead, 108; of copper, Subchloride of copper, 114. Sublimate, corresive, poisoning by,

Subnitrate of bismuth, 127.

Sugar, detection of, in the stomach,

Sugar of lead, poisoning by, 104.

Suffocation, infanticide by, 480;

mechanical causes of, 721; post-

mortem appearances, 722; evi-

dence of death from, 723; accidental and spicidal, 724? homicidal, 726; of young children, 727; from gases, 739; by carbonic acid, 731; by charcoal vapour, 735; coal vapour, 737; by vapour of lime and brickkilns, 739; confined air, 740; by coal-gas, 743; sulphuretted hydrogen, 745. Sigillation, nature of, 210. Suicidal wounds, characters of, 227: mania, 807. Suicide uo proof of insanity in law, 807; its effects on lifeinsurance, 809, 819, 827; hcreditary disposition to, 812. Sulphate of indigo, 48; of copper, 113; of zinc, 124; of iron, 126. Sulphuret of arsenic, poisoning by, 86; of mercury, 101. SULPHURETTED HYOROGEN, symptoms of poisoning by, 745; appearances, 747; treatment and analysis, 749; as a test for arscnic, 76. Sulphuric acid, symptoms caused by, 41; appearances, 43; fatal doses, 44; treatment, analysis, 45; in organic mixtures, 46; on articles of clothing, 47. Sulphurous acid, action of, 737. Superconception, 601. Superfectation, 600, 826. Supposititions children, 603. Surgical operations, fatality of, 304; performed under mistaken diagnosis, 308. Survivorship in new-born children, 472; evidence from, iu cases of legitimacy, 575; presumed in drowning, 667. Suydam Levi, casc of, 609. Symptoms of poisoning, nature of, Syphilis, evidence from, in cases of

rape, 633.

Syrup of poppies, 148. *Tardif*, *M.*, case of, 259. Tar, oil of, 133. TARTAR EMETIC, poisoning by, 120; symptoms and appearances, 121; analysis, 122. Tartaric acid, poisoning by, 58. Tartarized autimony, 120. Tasteless agne drop, 84. Tawell, case of, 35. Taxus baccata (see Yew), 190. Taylor, case of, 400, 487, 715. Tecth not weapons in law, 222. Tenancy by courtesy, 558; legal proofs required for, 556. Teratology (see Monsters), 563. Testicles, period at which they descend, 417; a sign of maturity, 418; nou-descent of the. 615. Test, static, 428; Ploucquet's, 431; hydrostatic, 435. Testamentary capacity, 785. Tetauus, death from, in wounds, 301. Theft, insanc propensity to, 814. Thom, case of, 777. Thomas, case of, 270, 297, 337, 385. Thornapple, poisoning by, 186. Thornton, case of, 363. Throat, wounds of the, 227. Tin, poisoning by the salts of, Tobacco, poisoning by, 188. Tottenham, case of, 385. Touchett, Hon. R., case of, 769. 802. Townsend-peerage case, 596. Trichomonas vaginæ, 642. Trilloe, case of, 493. Tumours, sanguineous, on the heads of new-born children. 494. Tunaley, Gibbs v., 316.

Turbith mineral, 102.

Turner, case of, 493.
Turner v. Meyers, case of, 784.
Turpentine residue, spontaneons combustion of, 411.

Twisting of the neck in new-born children, 492.

Ulceration distinguished from corrosion, 22; a result of arsenical poisoning, 69.

Ultimum tempus pariendi, 588. Umbilical cord, insertion of, as

Umbilical cord, insertion of, as a sign of maturity, 418; evidence of live birth from, 462, 472; laceration of the, 476; severance of, 476, 477; death from compression of the, 477; evidence of murder from, 483; length of, 491; used for strangulation, 495; mark produced by, 496.

Unconscious pregnancy, 522; delivery, 527. Unsouudness of mind, 764.

Upas poison, 183. Urinary bladder runture of the

Urinary bladder, rupture of the, 366.

Urine, kiestein in the, 515. Uterine patrefaction, 421; hydatids, 536; respiration, 455; age or maturity of the child, 414.

Uterus, changes in the, from pregnancy, 516; ballottement of the, in pregnancy, 516; examination of the, in the dead, 530.

Vaginæ trichomonas, 642.
Vagitus uterinus and vaginalis, 455, 558.
Valus, case of, 291.
Vapour of charcoal, effects of, 735; of coal, 737.
Varney, case of, 540.
Vaughan, case of, 815.
Vauquelin's prussic acid, 157.
Vegetable irritant poisons, 129.

Veins, wounds of, 357; death from eutrance of air into, during operatious, 358.

Venereal disease in cases of rape, 633.

Ventre, inspiciendo de, writ of, 518.

Ventre, sa mere, in, 553.

Veratria, 183,

Verdigris, natural and artificial, 114, 117.

Vermilion, 101.

Vertebræ, fractures of the, 349; in drowning, 674; injuries to the, in hauging, 701.

Vesications from burns and scalds, 397.

Viability of the child in cases of infanticide, 415; in monstrosity, 566; in legitimacy, 572. Vibices, nature of, 212.

Vinegar, poisoning by, 59. Vinum antimonii, 120.

Violation (see RAPE), 629; evidence of, in the dead, 642. Virginity, signs of, 636.

Virility, proofs of, 614.

Vitriol, oil of, poisoning by (see SULPHURIC ACID), 41; blue, poisoning by, 113; white, 124; green, 126.

Volition, retention of, in poisoning by prussic acid, 160; after severe injuries to the head, 323. Vomited matters, unalysis of, 27. Vomiting and purging, loss of poisons by, 28.

Waddiug, wounds from, 389. Wales, case of, 487. Wall, Governor, case of, 268, 296. Wallis, case of, 229. Walters, case of, 345. Ward, case of, 345, Watson, case of, 318. Watts, case of, 814. Water, boiling, death from, 5.

Weapons, whether used in producing wounds, 217; teeth not considered, 222; circumstantial evidence regarding the discovery of, 241. Webster, case of, 503. Weight of the child at different ages, 416; of the lungs in the new-born child, 428. West, case of, 486, 549, 574. Westwood, case of, 399, 520. Wennan, case of, 801. Whisker, case of, 548. White, case of, 392. White arsenie (see Arsenic), 64. White precipitate, poisoning by, 100; Icad, 109; vitriol, 124. White hellebore, 183. Wills of the insane, law regarding, 785; proofs of eccentricity in, 787; made in drunkenness, 815. Wilson v. Wilson, case of, 627. Wine of colchicum, 184. Wiseman, case of, 644. Wolfs-banc, poisoning by, 186. Wood, smouldering, death from the vapour of, 737. Woodman, case of, 540. Woody nightshade, action of, 173. Wounds, definition of, medical and legal, 191, 192; dangerous to life, 194; producing grievous bodily harm, 195; examination of, 198; vital and post-mortem, 201; without hamorrhage, 204; produced by weapons, 217; varieties of, 218; statute relative to, 221; homicidal and suicidal, 225; circumstantial evidence in, 239; in what position inflicted, 241; selfinflicted, or imputed homicidal. 254; direct cause of death. 261; fatal from hæmorrhage.

263; mechanical injury and shock, 267; mortality of, 269; death from latent disease in cases of, 289; the indirect canse of death, 290; fatal after long periods, 291; fatal from unskilful treatment, 293; fatal from imprudence, 295; circumstances diminishing responsibility, 298; tetanus following, 301; fatal from surgical operations, 309; fatal from crysipelas, 312; cicatrization of, 317; period of infliction of, 319; volitiou and locomotion, after severe, 323; of the head, 334; of the face, 345; of the orbit, 346; the nosc, 347; the spinal marrow, 348; the chest, 351; the lungs, 352; the heart, 353; of the arterial and venous trunks, 357; of the diaphragm, 358: of the abdomen, 361: of gall-bladder, and the liver, splcen, 363; of the intestines and stomach, 364; of the bladder, 366; of the genitals, 370; gunshot, 376; from burns, 400; on the new-born child in infanticide, 486, 488.

Wourali poison, 183. Wren, case of, 500. Wright, case of, 501.

Yaxley, case of, 677.
Yellow arsenic, poisoning
Yellow, King's, poisor
Yew berries and leave
by, 190.
Yoolow, case of, 780

Zinc, poisoning by t and carbonate of, 124 Zoosperms, 613; evid in rape, 641; in han

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

POISONING.

CHAPTER I.

Definition of the term Poison—Meaning of the words Deadly Poison—Legal Definition—Mechanical Irritants—Sponge, Pounded Glass—Action of Boiling Liquids—Influence of Habit and Idiosyncrasy on Poisons—Classification of Poisons—Special Characters of Irritants, Nareotics, and Narcotico-Irritants	1
CHAPTER 11.	
Evidence of Poisoning in the Living Subject—Symptoms occur sud- denly—Modifying Conditions—Action of Poisons increased or diminished by Discase—Symptoms connected with Food or Medi- cine—Sudden Death from Natural Causes mistaken for Poisoning —Several Persons attacked simultaneously—Evidence from the Detection of Poison in Food	10
CHAPTER III.	
On the Evidence of Poisoning in the Dead Body—Period at which Poisons prove Fatal—Chronic Poisoning—Accumulative Poisons—Post-mortem Appearances produced by the different Classes of Poisons—Redness of the Mucous Membrane mistaken for Inflammation—Ulceration and Corrosion—Softening—Perforations of the Stomach from Poison and Diseaso	17
CHAPTER IV.	
On the Evidence of Poisoning from Chemical Analysis—Rules for conducting an Analysis—Circumstances under which an Analysis may be required—Failure of Chemical Evidence—Causes of the non-detection of Poison—Loss by Elimination and Putrefaction—Evidence from the Quantity found in the Body—Danger of Premature Opinions	26
CHAPTER V.	
Rules to be observed in investigating a Case of Poisoning—with respect to the Patient while Living—The Inspection of the Body—The Exhumation of Bodies—Disposal of the Viseera, Identity of Substances. Preservation of Articles for Analysis. On the Use of Notes—when allowed to be used in Evidence—Medico-Legal Reports	32

X CONTENTS.

IRRITANT POISONS.

CHAPTER VI.

Division of Irritant Poisons. Sulphuric Acid or Oil of Vitriol. Symptoms caused by this Poison in the Concentrated and Diluted State—Post-mortem Appearances. Quantity of Acid required to destroy Life—fatal Boses—Period at which Death takes place—Treatment—Chemical Analysis—Mode of detecting the Poison in Pure and Mixed Liquids—the Acid not always found in the Stomach—its detection in Articles of Clothing—Poisoning by Sulphate of Indigo.	41
CHAPTER VII.	
Poisoning by Nitric Acid or Aqua Fortis. Action of the Concentrated and Diluted Acid—Post-morten Appearances—Quantity required to destroy Life—Period at which Death takes place. Processes for detecting the Poison in Pure and Organic Liquids. Poisoning by Muriatic Acid	18
CHAPTER VIII.	
Poisoning by the Vegetable Acids. Oxalic Acid—Symptoms and Effects—Post-mortem Appearances—Fatal Doses—Recovery from large Doses—Period at which Death takes place—Treatment—Chemical Analysis—Tests for Oxalic Acid in Pure and Mixed Liquids—Binoxalate of Potash. Tartaric Acid. Acetic Acid. Vinegar	53
CHAPTER IX.	
Poisoning by the Alkalies.—Potash, Soda, and their Carbonates—Symptoms—Fatal Effects of the Carbonate of Potash—Postmortem Appearances—Treatment—Ammonia and Sesquicarbonate of Ammonia (Sal Volatile)—Chemical Analysis—Tests for Potash and Soda—Tests for Ammonia	60
CHAPTER X.	
Metallic Irritant Poisons. Arsenic—Arsenious Acid—Taste—Solubility in Various Liquids—Symptoms—Chronic Poisoning—Anomalous Cases—Effects of external Application—Post-nortem Appearances—Quantity required to Destroy Life—Period at which Death takes place—Treatment. Chemical Analysis—Tests in the Solid state—in Solution—Marsh's Process—Reinsch's Process—Arsenic in Organic Liquids—Absorbed Arsenic—its presence in the Soil of Cemeteries—Sulphurets of Arsenic and other Compounds	64

CHAPTER XI.

CHAPTER XII.

On Poisoning by Lead—Sugar of Lead—Symptoms—Chronic Poisoning by Sugar of Lead—Post-mortem Appearances—Treatment—

Quantity required to Destroy Life—Goulard's Extract—Chemical Analysis—Lead in Organic Mixtures—Carbonate or White Lead— Painter's Colic—Oxides—Litharge and Red Lead—Accidents from the Glazing of Pottery—Effects of external Application—Hair- dyes	104
CHAPTER XIII.	
Copper—Blue Vitriol. Symptoms. Post-mortem Appearances—Treatment. Poisoning by Verdigris—Subchloride of Copper—Carbonate — Scheele's Green. Chemical Analysis—Tests — Special characters of the Salts. Copper in Organic Liquids—in Articles of Food	
CHAPTER XIV.	
Tartarized Antimony—Synptoms—Recovery from Large Doses—Post- morten Appearances—Treatment—Chemical Analysis—Tests. Antimony in Organic Liquids. Chloride of Antimony—Analysis. Poisoning by Salphate of Zinc—Carbonate of Zinc. Preparations of Tin—Silver—Gold—Iron—Bismuth and Chrome—Bichromate of Potash.	
VEGETABLE IRRITANTS.	
CHAPTER XV.	
Division of Vegetable Poisons—Mode of Action of Vegetable Irritants. Aloes. Colocynth. Gamboge, Jalap. Scannnony. Savin. Croton Oil. Castor Seeds. Oil of Tar. Mouldy Bread	129
ANIMAL IRRITANTS.	
CHAPTER XVI.	
Cantharides or Spanish Fly-Symptoms and Effects-Analysis. Poisonous Food-Fish-Muscles-Salmon-Cheesc-Sausages-Diseased Flesh of Animals	134
NARCOTIC POISONS.	
CHAPTER XVII.	
Opium — Symptoms — Period of Commencement—Post-mortem Appearances — Quantity required to destroy Life—Death from Small, and recovery from Large Doses—Its action on Infants—Period at which Death takes place—Treatment—Recoveries—Poisoning by Poppies—Godfrey's Cordial—Dalby's Carminative—Paregoric Elixir—Dover's Powder—Morphia and its Salts—Black Drop—Sedative Solution—Effects of External Application—Tests for Morphia and Meconic Acid—Process for detecting Opium in Organic Mixtures.	143
CHAPTER XVIII.	
Prussic Acid—Difference in Strength—Taste and Odour—Conditions under which the Odour may and may not be detected—Symptoms produced by Small and Large Doses—its Effects contrasted with those of Opium—Period at which the Symptoms commence—Power of Volition and Locomotion—Cases—Quantity required to destroy Life—Fatal Dose—Period at which Death takes place—Treatment. Tests for the Acid—Vapour-tests—Process for Organic Mixtures. Bitter Almonds. Noyau. Cyanide of Potassium.	156

xii contents.

CHAPTER XIX.	
Narcotic Poisons continued—Hyoscyamus Niger. Lactuca Virosa and Sativa—Lettuce-Opium—Solanum Dulcamara and Nigrum—Camphor—Symptoms—Alcohol—Symptoms—Treatment—Analysis—Action of Ether as a Liquid—Symptoms and Appearances	172
NARCOTICO-IRRITANT POISONS.	
CHAPTER XX.	
General Remarks—Analysis—Treatment—Nux Vomica—Strychnia—Colchicum—White Hellebore—Digitalis—Conium Maculatum—Datura Stramonium—Aconite—Deadly Nightshade—Tobacco—Cocculus Indicus—Laburnum—Mushrooms—Yew	
wounds.	
CHAPTER XXI.	
Various surgical Definitions of a Wound—Injury to the Skin—Legal Definition—An Abrasion of the Cuticle not a Wound—Implies immediate and not remote Laceration of the Skin—Is a Dislocation a Wound?—Wounds dangerous to Life—The danger imminent—Wounds producing grievous bodily larm. Intent of the Accused, a Question for the Jury—Dying Declarations—Circumstances under which they are admitted in Evidence—Rules to be observed by the Medical Witness in receiving them—Mistaken Identity in Injuries of the Head	
CHAPTER XXII.	
Examination of Wounds in the Dead Body—All the Cavities should be inspected—Acquittals from the neglect of this Rule—Characters of a Wound inflicted during Life—of a Wound made after Death—Experiments on Amputated Limbs—Caution in Medical Opinions —Wounds or Injuries unattended by Hæmorrhage—Ecclymosis from Violence—Evidence from Ecchymosis—Ecchymosis from natural Causes—in the Dead Body—Lividity—Vilices—Effects of Putrefaction—Is Ecchymosis a necessary result of Violence?	
CHAPTER XXIII.	
Evidence of the Use of a Wcapon—Characters of Wounds caused by Weapons—Incised, Punctured, Lacerated, and Contused Wounds—Stabs and Cuts—Whatare Wcapons?—Doubtful Cascs—Examination of the Dress	217
CHAPTER XXIV.	
Wounds indicative of Homicide, Suicide, or Accident—Evidence from the Situation of a Wound—Suicidal Wounds in unusual Situations—Evidence from Nature and Extent—Shape—Regularity—Evidence from the Direction of a Wound—Wounds inflicted by the Right or Left Hand—Accidental and Homicidal Stabs—Evidence from the presence of several Wounds—The use of several Weapons—Two or more mortal Wounds—Wounds produced simultaneously, or at different times	224
CHAPTER XXV.	
Evidence from Circumstances—Medical Questions—Value of circum- stantial Evidence—The Position of the Body—of the Weapon—The Weapon or other Articles found in the Hand of the Deceased— Evidence from Blood on Weapons—Marks of Blood on the Person	

or in the Apartment—Position of the Person when mortally wounded—Evidence from Wounds on the Deceased—No Blood on the Assailant—Fallacy respecting Marks of Blood. Arterial distinguished from Venous Blood—Evidence from the form and direction of spots of Blood	
CHAPTER XXVI.	
Distinction of Suicidal from Accidental Wounds—Important in Cases of Life-Insurance—Wounds on the Throst—Facts indicative of Suicide, Homicide, or Accident—Distinction of Homicidal from Accidental Wounds—Wounds of the Skin not involving the Dress—Imputed or self-indicted Wounds—Motives for their production—Characters of imputed Wounds—Rules for detecting false Charges of Murder—Illustrative Cases	
CHAPTER XXVII.	
The cause of Death in Wounds—Caution on assigning too many canses—Case—Wounds directly or indirectly fatal—Death from Hæmorrhage—Loss of Blood required to prove fatal—Modified by Age and Idiosyncrasy—Sudden loss of Blood—Fatal Wounds of small Arteries—Internal Hænorrhage—Bleeding of Bodies post-mortem—Death from mechanical injury to a vital Organ—Death from Shock—Blows on the Epigastrium—Fagellation—Death from a multiplicity of Injuries without any mortal Wound—Subtle distinctions respecting the mortality of Wounds	261
CHAPTER XXVIII.	
Chemical Examination of Blood-stains—Analysis—Action of the Tests on Organic and Inorganic rcd colouring Matters—Stains of Blood on Linen and other Stuffs—Pate of the Stains—Analysis—Objections—Evidence from the detection of Fibrin—Insoluble Stains resembling Blood—Red paint mistaken for Blood—Soluble Stains of Fruits and Flowers—Removal of Blood-stains from Articles of Clothing—Stains of Blood on Weapons—Citrate of Iron mistaken for Blood—Distinction of Stains from Iron-rust—Colour from bad Dyes—Conclusions—Varieties of Blood—Blood of Man and Animals—Evidence from the Odour—Application of the Microscope—Form and Size of the red Globules in Mammalia and other Classes—Value of Microscopical Evidence.	271
CHAPTER XXIX.	
Death of Wonnded Persons from Natural Causes—Distinction between real and apparent Cause—Death from Wounds or Latent Disease—Accelerating Causes. Which of two Wounds caused Death?—Death following slight Personal Injuries	284
CHAPTER XXX.	
Wounds indirectly Fatal—Death from Wounds after long Periods—Rule of Law—Secondary Causes of Death—The Cause is unavoidable—The Cause avoidable by good Medical Treatment—Comparative Skill in Treatment—Cause avoidable but for imprindence or neglect on the part of the Wounded Person—Abnormal or inhealthy state of Body—Acceleration of Death—Difficulty of Proof in Death from secondary Causes.	290
CHAPTER XXXI.	
Wounds indirectly Fatal. Tetanus following Wounds—Canses of— Case of Captain Moir—Delirium Tremens following Personal	

XIV CONTENTS.

Injuries.—Death from Surgical Operations—Primary and Secondary Causes of Death—Unskilfulness in Operations—Necessity fur the Operation—Decisions on this Question—Operations under a mistaken Diagnosis—Case of Lieutennut Seton—Differences among Medical Witnesses—Erysipelas following Operations. Medical Responsibility. Malapraxis. Cases	301
CHAPTER XXXII.	
Cicatrization of Wounds—Evidence from Cicatrices—Changes in an iacised Wound—Is a Cicatrix always a consequence of a Wound? Are cicatrices, when once formed, indelible? — Characters of Cicatrices—Medical Evidence respecting the Period at which the Wound was Inflicted—Changes in Contusions—How long did the Deceased survive the Wound?—Wounds wrongly pronounced to be instantaneously mortal.	317
CHAPTER XXXIII.	
Acts indicative of Volition and Locomotion—Injuries to the Head not immediately fatal—Wounds of the Heart not immediately fatal—Wounds of the Carotid Arteries—Locomotion after Ruptures of the Diaphragm and Bladder—Summary	323
CHAPTER XXXIV.	
Wounds as they affect different parts of the Body-Wounds of the Head-of the Scalp-Concussion—How distinguished from Intoxication—Extravastion of Blood—Seat of—As a result of Violence, Disease, or Mental Excitement—Rules for a diagnosis—Summary. Medical Evidence—Fractures of the Skull—Wounds of the Brain—of the Face—of the Orbit—of the Nose—Deformity as a consequence of Wounds of the Face—Medico-legal Question from absence of Cicatrix—Injuries to the Spine-Fractures of the Vertebræ—Death from Injuries to the Spinal Marrow	334
CHAPTER XXXV.	
Wounds of the Chest—The Parietes—Wounds of the Langs—Ruptures from accident—Wounds and Ruptures of the Heart—Wounds of the Aorta and Vena Cavæ—Wounds and Ruptures of the Diaphragm—Direction of Wounds of the Chest—Wounds of the Abdomen—Death from Blows on the Cavity—Ruptures of the Liver, Gall-bladder, Spleen, Kidneys, Intestines, Stomach, and Urinary Bladder—Medico-legal Questions connected with ruptured Bladder—Wounds of the Genital Organs—Mutilation	351
CHAPTER XXXVI.	
Fractures.—Produced by a Blow with a Weapon or by a Fall—Occur in the Aged—Brittleness of the Bones—Fractures caused by slight Muscular Exertion—In the Living and Dead Body—Has a Bone ever been Fractured—Questions of Survivorship—Dislocations from Violence or Natural Causes—Diagnosis—Actions for Malapraxis.	371
CHAPTER XXXVII.	
Gun-shot Wounds—Their Danger—In the Living and Dead Body—Was the Piece Fired near or from a Distance?—Cases—Evidence from several Wounds—The Projectile not Discovered—Deflection of Balls—Was the Piece loaded with Ball?—Accidental, Suicidal, or Homicidal Wounds—Position of the Wounded Person when Shot—Wounds from small Shot—Wounds from Wadding and Gunpowder—Identity from the Flash of Gunpowder—Examination of the Piece.	376

CONTENTS. XV

CHAPTER XXXVIII.	
Burns and Scalds—Circumstances which render them dangerous to Life—Did the Burning take place before or after Death?—Experiments on the Dead Body—Vesication and Line of Redness—Presence of several Burns—Summary. Accident, Homicide, or Suicide—Human or Spontaneous Conbustion—Burns by Corrosive Liquids — Spontaneous Ignition of Organic and Mineral Substances	
INFANTICIDE.	
CHAPTER XXXIX.	
Nature of the Crime—The same evidence required as in other cases of Murder—Proof of Life demanded—Body of the Child not discovered—Medical Evidence at Inquests—Age or Maturity of the Child—Viability not required to be proved—Characters from the Sixth to the Ninth Month—Signs of Maturity—Abnormal Deviations—Position of the Unibilical Opening—General Conclusions—Rules for inspecting the Body. 413	
CHAPTER XL.	
On the Proofs of a Child having lived at its Birth—Evidence of Life before Respiration—Signs of Putrefaction in Utero—Evidence from Marks of Violence—Summary—Evidence of Life after Respiration—Inspection of the Body—Colour, Volume, Consistency, and absolute Weight of the Lungs—Static Test—Weight increased by Respiration—Test of Ploucquet—Blood in the Pulmonary Vessels—Relative proportion of Fat in the Lungs—Specific Gravity of the Lungs—General conclusions	
CHAPTER XLI.	
Mode of employing the Hydrostatic Test—Incorrect Inferences—Sinking of the Lungs from Disease or Atelectasis—Life with partial distension of the Lungs—Life with perfect Atelectasis or entire absence of Air from the Lungs—Hydrostatic Test not upplicable to such Cases—Erroneous Medical inference from sinking of the Lungs—Floating of the Lungs from Emphysema and Putrefaction—Effects of Putrefactiou in Air—General conclusions respecting the Hydrostatic Test	
CHAPTER XLII.	
Ploating of the Lungs from Artificial Inflation. Inflation distinguished from perfect Respiration—Not distinguishable from Imperfect Respiration—Doubtful Cases—Results of Compression—Improper Objections to the Hydrostatic Test—Summary—Respiration before Birth—Vagitus Uterinus—Respiration a Sign of Life, not of Live Birth—The killing of children which breathe during birth not Childmurder.—General conclusions 445	
CHAPTER XLIII.	
On the Proofs of a child having been born alive—Evidence from Respiration—Evidence from Marks of Violence—Evidence from natural changes in the Umbilical Vessels, the Forumen Ovale, and Ductus Arteriosus—Closure of the Foramen and Duct before Birth—Evidence from the discovery of Food in the Alimentary Canal.—Detection of Live Birth by the application of Chemical Tests to the Contents of the Stomach—Defective Evidence.—General Conclusions.	,

xvi contents.

CLIA	PTER	VI	187

Rules for determining the period of Survivance in Children that have	
been born alive. Appearances indicative of a child having lived	
twenty-four hours—From two to three days—From three to four	
days-From four to six days-From six to twelve daysUncer-	
days—From four to six days—From six to twelve days.—Uncertainty of Evidence.—On the Period which has clapsed since the	
Death of the Child.—Process of Putrefaction in the bodies of New-	
born Children.—General Conclusions 4	72

CHAPTER XLV.

Canses of Death in New-born Children-Proportion of Children born	
Dead—Natural Causes of Death—A Protracted Delivery—Debility	
-Hæmorrhage-Laceration of the Cord-Compression of the	
Cord—Malformation—Destruction of Monstrous Births illegal—	
Death from Congenital disease.—General conclusions 47	4

CHAPTER XLVI.

Violent Causes of Death-Forms of Violent Death unattended by Marks of external Violence—Suffocation—Drowning—in the soil of Privies—Power of Locomotion and Exertion in Females after Delivery—Death of the Child from Cold and Exposure—Starvation—Immaturity in eases of Abortion.—Wounds, Evidence from, in New-Born Children—Fractures of the Skull, spontaneous and criminal.—Death of the Child from Delivery in the Erect Postnre—Aecidental Injuries in utero—Deficient Ossification—Twisting of the Neck.—Violence in Self-delivery.—General conclusions ... 480

CHAPTER XLVII.

PREGNANCY.

CHAPTER XLVIII.

DELIVERY.

CHAPTER XLIX.

Delivery in its Legal Relations—Delivery in the Living—Concealed Delivery—Abortion in the Early Stages of Pregnancy—The Signs speedily disappear—Early Examinations—Signs of recent Delivery in advanced Pregnancy—Evidence from the Skin of the Abdomen—The Organs of Generation—The Presence of the

CONTENTS. XVII

523

Lochia-Signs of Delivery at a remote Period-Feigned Delivery
-Delivery in a state of Unconsciousness-Circumstances under
which this may occur-Natural and Morbid Sleep-Admission of
the Plea in cases of alleged Child-murder-Signs of Delivery in
the Dead-Appearances of the Internal Organs in cases of recent
Delivery Their rapid obliteration-True and False Corpora Lutea
-Fallacies to which they give rise-Examination of the Ovum or
Embryo-Its Characters from the First to the Sixth Month-
Abortion of Moles and Hydatids-Medico-legal Cases

CONCEALMENT OF BIRTH.

CHAPTER L.

Medical Evidence required in reference to Delivery—Concealment of the Birth of a Child—Definition of the Crime—Females acquitted of Infanticide found guilty of Concealment—Medical Evidence from the Remains of the Body—Analysis of Bones—The Child must be Dead—Concealment of the Ovum or Embryo—Not necessary to prove when the Child died.

CRIMINAL ABORTION. .

CHAPTER LL.

General Remarks on the crime of Abortion—Abortion from Natural Causes—Its Frequency.—Criminal Causes—Local Violence—Abortion by Mechanical Means—From Venesection—Medicinal Substances—Popular Abortives—Signs of Abortion in the Female—Specific Abortives—The Ergot of Ryc—Abortion on always a result of Poisoning—Local Applications.—Feigned Abortion—Legal Relations—Meaning of the word Noxious us applied to Drugs—On inducing Premature Labour—Medical Responsibility—Proof of Pregnancy not necessary—Abortion of Monstera—Extra—Uterine Conceptions—Abortion of Moles and Hydatids.... 541

BIRTH. INHERITANCE.

CHAPTER LIL.

LEGITIMACY.

CHAPTER LIII.

Legal Presumption of Legitimacy—Date of Conception not regarded.
—Difference between the English and Scotch Law.—Children
Born after Death.—Natural Period of Gestation—Duration from
one intercourse.—Premature Birth—Short Periods of Gestation.

1

xviii contents.

-Vinbility-Earliest Period at which a Child may be born Living. Fama Clamosa-Evidence from the state of the OffspringCan belly declared Children, but heavy experiments and a continuous	
fully-developed Children be born prematurely? — Protracted Births.—Long periods of Gestation—Cases—Longest periods yet known—The Sex of the Child has no influence—Period not fixed	
by Law.—Gardner Peerage Case.—Evidence from the state of the Child.—Legal Decisions.—Mistakes in the mode of Computation.—	
Cases	500

PATERNITY.

CHAPTER LIV.

Disputed Paternity—Evidence from Likeness—Donglas Peerage Case—Parental Likeness—Affiliation.—Posthumous Children.—Superfoctation in relation to Legitimacy—Circumstances under which it is supposed to occur—Superconception.—Supposititions Children Relation of the subject to Keigned Delivery and Legitimacy. 566

HERMAPHRODITISM.

CHAPTER LV.

Sexual Malformation—Hermaphroditism—Androgynus—Androgynus—Distinction of Sex—Mistakes in the Sex of Children—Rules for Dingnosis—Cases.—Causes of Sexual Deformity in the Fætus—Legal Relations—Cases in which the determination of the Sex is necessary.—Imputation of Hermaphroditism—The Rights of Electors dependent on a normal condition of the Sexual Organs.—Concealed Sex

IMPOTENCY. STERILITY.

CHAPTER LYL.

Impotency—Definition—Physical Causes. Procreative Power in the Male—Puberty—Age of Virility—Loss of Virile Power by Age—Diseases of the Testis—Powers of Crypsorchides and Monorchides—Supernumerary Testes—Arrested Development—Moral Causes. Sterility—Causes of—Procreative Power in the Female—Puberty—Earliest and latest Ages for Child-bearing—Female Precocity—Menstrual Climacteric—Age for Cessation—Remediable Causes of Sterility—Legal Relations of the Subject—Contested Legitimacy and Divorce

RAPE.

CHAPTER LVII.

ASPHYXIA. DROWNING.

CHAPTER LVIII.

Drowning—Cause of Death—Death not caused by Apoplexy—Asphyxia
—Mixed Cases—Death from secondary Causes—Period at which
Death takes place—Period for Resuscitation—Cases of Recovery
—Treatment—Post-morton Appearances—Rigidity and Spasm in
the Drowned—External and Internal Appearances—Cases—Was

CONTENTS, XIX

Death caused by Drowning?—Substances grasped in the Hands—Water in the Stomach—Micous Froth in the Trachea and Lings—Water in the Lings—Destruction of Post-mortein Appearances—Specific Gravity of the Human Body living and dead—Survivorship of the Drowned—Summary of Medical Evidence—Marks of Violence on the Drowned—Accidental Fractures—Was the Drowning the Result of Homicide, Suicide, or Accident?—Rules for a Decision—Drowning in Shallow Water—By partial Immersion of the Body.
HANGING.
CHAPTER LIX.
Cause of Death—Rapidity of Death—Death from the secondary Effects—Treatment—Period at which Death takes place—Postmortem Appearances—Mark of the Cord or Ligature—Unecebymosed Marks—Other Appearances—Was Death caused by Hangmag?—Hanging Post-mortem—Summary of Medical Evidence—Gircumstantial Evidence—Marks of Violence on the Hanged—Was the Hanging the Result of Accident, Snicide, or Homicide?—Homicidal Hanging—Injury to the Cervical Vertebra—The Position of the Body—The Limbs secured—Power of Self-Suspension——680
STRANGULATION.
CHAPTER LX.
Cause of Death—Post-mortem Appearances—Was Death caused by Strangulation, or was the Constriction applied to the Neck after Death?—Casper's Experiments on Post-mortem Strangulation—Marks of Violence—Accidental, Homicidal, and Suicidal Strangulation—Cases
SUFFOCATION.
CHAPTER LXI.
Suffocation from Mechanical Causes—Various Forms of—Cases—Cause of Death—Post-mortem Appearances—Evidence of Death by Suffocation—Accidental, Suicidul, and Homicidal Suffocation—Medical and Physical Evidence of the Cause of Death—Cases—Smothering
CHAPTER LXII.
Gaseous Poisons—Mode of Action—Asphyxiating and Poisonous Gases—Cause of Death mistaken—Carbonic Acid—Symptoms—Appearances—Mode of Action—Absorption—Treatment—Analysis—Charcoal-Vapour—Its effects—Products of Burning Wood—Coal and Coke-Vapour—Sulphurous Acid—Vapour of Lime and Brick-Kilns—Confined Air—Effect of Carbonic Acid on Combustion—Its Diffusion—Coal Gas—Carburetted Hydrogen—Carbonic Oxide
CHAPŤER LXIII.
Sulphuretted Hydrogen Gas—Its Poisonons Properties—Symptoms— Post-mortem Appearances—Edhivia of Drains and Sewers—Analysis—Mephitic Vapours—Exhalations of the Dead

XX CONTENTS.

LIGHTNING, COLD. STARVATION.	
CHAPTER LXIV.	
Lightning—Effects of the Blectric Fluid—Cause of Death—Post- mortem Appearances—Cases—Legal Relations—Cold—An occa- sional Cause of Death—Symptoms—Circumstances which accele- rate Death—Post-mortem Appearances—Case of Minder by Cold—Staviation—A rare Cause of Death—Symptoms—Post-mortem Appearances—Summary of Medical Evidence—Legal Relations 752	
INSANITY.	
CHAPTER LXV.	
Legal Definitions—Lunacy—Non Compos Mentis—Unsoundness of Mind—Varieties of Insanity—Mania—Hallucinatious—Illusion— Dehrsion—Mania distinguished from Delirinm—Monomania— Known from Eccentricity—Moral Insanity—Dementia—Idiocy— Imbecility—Hereditary Transmission—Feigned Insunity—Mode of Detection	
CHAPTER LXVI.	
Medico-Legal Questions in Relation to the Insanc—Imposition of Restraint—Violence of Temper—Certificates of Insanity—Rules for the Discharge of Lunaties—Interdiction—Commissions of Lunacy—Examination of alleged Lunatics—Lucid Intervals 773	
CHAPTER LXVII.	
Responsibility in Civil Cases—Insanity as an Impediment to Marriage — Deeds and Contracts—Wills made by the Insane—Testamentary Capacity—Test of Capacity—Delusion in the Deed—Eccentricity in Wills—Wills in Senile Dementia—Wills in Extremis	
CHAPTER LXVIII.	
The Plea of Insanity—Homicidal Monomanin—Moral Insanity—Causes—Symptons—Legal Tests—Medical Tests—Motive for Crime—Confession—Accomplices—Delusion in the Act—Summary—Test of Irresponsibility—Cases in illustration—Summary of Medical Evidence 790	
CHAPTER LXIX.	
Suicidal Mania—Suicide not necessarily indicative of Insanity—Suicide a Felony—In relation to Life-Insurance—Hereditary thint—Puerperal Mania—Pyromania—Kleptomania—Drunkenness—Civil and Criminal Responsibility of Drunkards—Illusions—Restraint—Interdiction—Delirium Tremens—Sonnambulism—Civil and Criminal Liabilities of the Deaf and Duml	

ADDENDA 822

MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE.

POISONING.

CHAPTER I.

DEFINITION OF THE TERM POISON—MEANING OF THE WORDS DEADLY POISON—LEGAL DEFINITION—MECHANICAL IRRITANTS—SPONGE, POUNDED GLASS—ACTION OF BOILING LIQUIDS—INFLUENCE OF HABIT AND IDIOSYNCRASY ON POISONS—CLASSIFICATION OF POISONS—SPECIAL CHARACTERS OF IRRITANTS, NARCOTICS, AND NARCOTICO-IRRITANTS.

Definition. - A Poison is commonly defined to be a substance. which, when administered in small quantity, is capable of acting deleteriously on the body. It is obvious that this definition is too restricted for the purposes of medical inrisprudence. It would, if admitted, exclude numerous substances, the poisonous properties of which cannot be disputed; as, for example, the salts of lead, copper, tin, zine and antimony, which are only poisonous when administered in very large doses. Nitre, it is well known, exerts a poisonous action only in large, while arsenic is poisonous in small doscs; but in a medico-legal view, whether a person die from the effects of an ounce of nitre, or of five grains of arsenic, is a matter of little importance. Each substance must be regarded as a poison, differing from the other only in its degree of activity, and perhaps in its mode of operation. The result is the same; death is caused by the substance taken, and the quantity required to kill, cannot therefore be made a ground for distinguishing a poisonous from a non-poisonous substauce. If, then, a medical witness be asked, "What is a poison?" he must beware of adopting this common definition, or of confining the term poison to those substances only that operate in small doses.

The fact that a poison has been commonly regarded as a substance which produces scrious effects when taken in small quantity, has induced many who have adopted this arbitrary view to assert, that certain substances which have actually been known to cause death, are not poisons; and this doctrine has been apparently strengthened by the fact, that were not some such distinction adopted, it would be difficult to separate the class of poisous from hodies which are reputed inert. In answer to this view, it is perhaps sufficient to show, that there is no good reasou for assuming this as the distinguishing character of a poison; for it is impossible, even among substances universally admitted to be poisonous, to make any division according to the effects produced by the quantity taken. In relation to the quantity required to operate fatally, the difference is not so great between cream of tartar and oxalic acid as between oxalic acid and strychnia.

Deadly Poison.—There is another point of view in which this question may require to be considered, namely, What is to be understood by a deadly poison? In most indictments for poisoning, it is customary to describe every poison as deadly,—a form of expression decidedly had, and calculated to give rise to legal objections. The substance administered might with equal propriety he described as poisonous, or of a destructive nature; but those who draw up indictments are but little informed on such matters, and they can never speak of a poison without describing it as deadly. The following case occurred on the Norfolk Spring Circuit, 1836. Two persons were capitally indicted for having felonionsly caused to be administered to the prosecutor, a quantity of a certain "deadly poison," called sulphate of copper (blue vitriol), with intent to murder him. appeared in evidence that all the parties were servants in a farmer's family, and that it was the duty of one of the prisoners to prepare breakfast for the other servants. On the morning of the day haid in the indictment, the prosecutor observed that the milk which had been prepared for him was very nauscous, and, after having taken a small quantity of it, he laid it aside. He was soon seized with violent The residue of vomiting, but under medical assistance he recovered. the milk was analysed, and was found to contain sulphate of copper. In the defence, the counsel for the prisoners contended that they could not be convicted of the crime charged in the indictment, since, according to all medical experience, the sulphate of copper was not a deadly poison. The medical witnesses, of whom there were two, were then required to give their opinions; but they differed on the point. One, a surgeon of some years' standing, considered it to be a deadly poison, although he admitted that so far as his own experience went, he had had no knowledge of its poisouous effects. The other stated that it was not a deadly poison, and that when sold in a shop, the word poison was never attached to the label. The judge considered the case to be one of suspicion rather than of proof, and the prisoners were acquitted. Although, by this summary disposal of the case, the force of the objection to the indictment was rather evaded than decided, yet the difference of opinion between the two medical witnesses is worthy of remark. The question may be easily raised again, and there ough? to be some understanding among practitioners as to the proper signification of the word *deadly* when applied to poisons.

It appears to me that the term deadly can be used with respect to those poisons only which may prove speedily fatal in small doses, such as strychnia, morphia, prussic acid, and arsenie; and that it could not with any sort of propriety be applied to such a substance as the sulphate of copper. The error essentially lies in the legal wording of the indictment, with which, of course, a medical witness is not concerned. If an objection of this kind is to be held valid, and a question of criminal poisoning to be dismissed on so trivial a point, it is reasonable to expect that greater care should he used in drawing up indictments, as also that medical terms should not be employed by non-medical persons without proper supervision:—otherwise, it is obvious that the eads of justice must be defeated. Differences of opinion among educated medical witnesses are, however, not likely to exist where slight reflection has been hestowed upon the subject.

In legal medicine, it is difficult to give such a definition of a poison as shall be entirely free from objection. Perhaps the most comprehensive definition which can be suggested is this: "A poison is a substance which, when taken internally, is capable of destroying life without acting mechanically on the system." Some substances may act as poisons by absorption when applied to the skin or a wounded surface; (See Corrostve Schlimate, Cantharides, and Arsenic,) while others again, as the poison of the viper, and of hydrophobia, may have their fatal effects limited to those cases in which they are introduced by a wound: and a third class destroy life by their chemical effects upon the stomach without necessarily being carried into the system by absorption; e. g. sulphuric acid.

These remarks show that it is very difficult to comprise in a few words an accurate description of what should be understood by the term "poison."

Legal definition.—In reference to the medical definition of a poison, it is necessary to observe that the law never regards the manner in which the substance administered, acts. If it he capable of injuring the health of an individual, it is of little consequence, so far as the respousibility of a prisoner is concerned, whether its action on the body be of a mechanical or chemical nature. Thus a substance which simply acts mechanically on the stomach, may, if wilfully administered with intent to injure, involve a person in a criminal charge, as much as if he had administered arsenic or any of the ordinary poisons. It is then necessary that we should consider what the law strictly means by the act of poisoning. If the substance criminally administered destroy life, whatever may be its nature or mode of operation, the accused is tried on a charge of murder, or manslaughter, and the whole duty of the medical witness consists in showing that the substance taken, was the certain cause of death. If, however, death be not a consequence, then the accused is tried under a particular statute for the attempt to

murder by poison (1 Vict. e. lxxxv. sec. 2). The words of this statute are very general, and embrace all kinds of substances, whether they he popularly or professionally regarded as poisous or not. Thus it is laid down that—

"Whosoever shall administer or cause to be taken by any person, any poison, or other destructive thing, with intent to commit murder, shall be guilty of felony, and being convicted thereof, shall auffer death."

Whether the administering be followed by death or bodily injury dangerous to life, it is still a capital felony provided the intent have been to commit nurder. The same administering with intent, &c., although no bodily injury he effected, is felony, punishable by transportation for life, for fifteen years, or imprisonment for any term not exceeding three years. From the words of the statute it appears that the law requires, in order to constitute the erime of poisoning, that the substance should be administered to, or be taken by, an individual. These words do not appear to be sufficiently comprehensive. Several deaths have been caused of late years by the external application of arsenic and corrosive sublimate to ulcerated and diseased surfacea. Supposing that poison is thus applied intentionally, and great hodily injury is done to the individual, it might be open to doubt whether the crime could be punished under these sections of the statute.

Mechanical irritants.—Such, however, is the present state of the law of England in respect to attempts at poisoning when death does not take place. While the words of the statute render it unnecessary for a medical witness, in such cases, to give judicially a very close definition of "a poison," they impose upon him a difficulty which he must be prepared to meet. The substance administered may not be a poison in the medical signification of the term, nor may it be popularly considered as such; and yet, when taken, it may be destructive to life. We have examples of substances of this description in iron filings. powdered glass, pins and needles, and such like bodies, all of which have been administered with the wilful design of injuring, and have on various occasions given rise to criminal charges. In cases of thia kind, the legal guilt of a prisoner may often depend on the meaning assigned by a medical witness to the words destructive thing. Thus, to take an example, liquid merenry might be poured down the throat of a young infant, with the deliberate attempt to destroy it. A question of a purely medical nature will then arise whether mercury be "a destructive thing" or not; and the conviction of the prisoner will probahly depend on the answer returned by the witnesses. Should a difference of opinion exist, -- an occurrence by no means unusual in medical evidence, the prisoner will, according to the humane principle of our law, receive the benefit of the doubt.

Among the singular methods resorted to for the purpose of destroying the lives of infants and children, that of causing them to swallow mus or needles in their food is one which claims the attention of

medical jurists. This mode of perpetrating murder has been brought to light by the evidence given on several criminal trials, which have taken place of late years in England and on the continent. In cases of this kind, death is commonly to be referred to inflammation: and a practitioner can have no hesitation in designating these bodies, when exhibited to young children, as "destructive things." They are at all times likely to lead to serious injury, if not to death: nor is it any answer to this view to assert, that they have been often swallowed with impunity. We know that active poisons are sometimes taken without causing death; but this does not after our opinion, that they are substances destructive to life, and likely to give rise to the most serious consequences.

Sponge may be regarded as a mechanical irritant; but little is known concerning its action on the human hody. In the Medical Gazette (vol. xxxi. p. 124), two cases are related in which this substance was swallowed by a horse. In one case, it did not appear that the animal suffered any inconvenience; but in the other case, it hecame alarmingly ill. There can be but little doubt, that where sponge in large quantity remains lodged in the viscera, it is capable of producing inflammation and death. Dr. Chowne has, however, lately reported a case in which a small piece of sponge accidentally swallowed by an infant, produced no injurious effect.

Pounded Glass.—Among mechanical irritants, there is one, which was formerly regarded as an active poison, namely, pounded glass. Recent observations have satisfactorily shown, that this substance is not a poison. It is liable to inflict injury upon the alimentary canal, just in proportion to the size and sharpness of the fragments; and whenever it is swallowed in a state of coarse powder, it may irritate and excite inflammation of the stomach and bowels. Glass, in very fine powder, is decidedly alkaline; but it does not possess any of the properties of an alkaline poison:—on the contrary, in that condition in which its alkalinity is most manifested, it appears to be inert. It is said, that six or seven onnees of this substance have heen given to a dog without producing any inconvenience to the animal.

Boiling Liquids.—Some toxicologists have placed hot liquids, such as boiling water or oil, in the class of mechanical irritants; but the effects produced by such liquids eannot with propriety be said to be mechanical. They do not act like poisons, although they leave in the body changes similar to those produced by corrosive substances. Death from the accidental swallowing of hoiling water is by no means uncommon among young children. According to the observations of Dr. Hall and Mr. Ryland, the fatal result is most commonly to be ascribed to inflammation of the fances and larynx, produced by the contact of the boiling liquid. This inevitably leads to suffocation, unless assistance be at hand. In a case of recent occurrence, a child was actually asphyxiated from this cause, when my friend, Mr. E. Cock,

hy the timely performance of tracheotomy and inflation of the lungs, succeeded in restoring it. Sometimes, however, inflammation of the stomach is a consequence. A case of this kind occurred a few years since at Guy's Hospital, and on a post-mortem examination, the mucous membrane at the larger end of the stomach was found to he much inflamed. The appearance was very like that produced by the common mineral irritants, although it was more confined to one part of the mucous membrane. Iu the Registrar's report for 1838-9, twenty-four deaths are stated to have occurred among young children from this cause alone!

Influence of Habit on Poisons .- Habit, it is well known, diminishes the effect of certain poisons:—thus it is that opinm, when frequently taken by a person, loses its effect after a time, and requires to be administered in a much larger dose. Indeed, confirmed opiumeaters have been enabled to take at once, a quantity of the drug which would have infallibly killed them, had they commenced with it in the first instance. Even infants and young children, who are well known to be especially susceptible of the effects of opium, and are liable to be poisoned by very small doses, may, by the influence of habit, be brought to take the drug in very large quantities. This is well illustrated by a statement made by Mr. Grainger, in the Report of the Children's Employment Commission. It appears that the system of drugging children with opium in the Factory districts, commences as soon after birth as possible; and the dose is gradually increased until the child takes from fifteen to twenty drops of laudannm at once! This has the effect of throwing it into a lethargic stupor. Healthy children of the same age would be killed by a dose of five drops. Dr. Christison has remarked that this influence of habit is chiefly confined to poisons derived from the organic kingdom; and I quite agree with him, in thinking that the stories related of arsenic-caters, and corrosive sublimate-caters, are not to be credited. There is no proof that any human being has ever accustomed himself, by habit, to take these substances in doses that would prove poisonous to the generality of adults. I have only met with one fact which appears adverse to this opinion. M. Flandin states that he gave to animals doses of arsenious acid in powder, commencing with 1-65th of a grain mixed with their food; and that in nine months, hy progressive increase, they hore a dosc of newards of fifteen grains of arsenious acid in powder in twenty-four honrs, without their appetite or health hecoming affected! (Traité des Poisons, i. 737). This is contrary to all experience in the medicinal use of arsenic in the human snhject; for, as it will he seen hereafter (sec ARSENIC), a very slight increase of a medicinal dose has often heen attended with such alarming symptoms, as to render a discontinuance of the medicine absolutely necessary to the safety of the person. The only form in which I have known the question of habit to he raised in medical jurisprudence is this: whether, while the more prominent effects of the poison

are thereby diminished, the insidious or latent effects on the constitution are at the same time counteracted. The answer is of some importance in relation to the subject of life-insurance:—for the conecalment of the practice of opinin-eating by an insured party has already given rise to an action, in which medical evidence on this subject was rendered necessary. As a general principle, we must admit that habit cannot altogether counteract these insidious effects of poisons; but that the practice of taking them, is liable to give rise to disease or impair the constitution.

Influence of Idiosyncrasy.—Idiosynerasy differs from habit:—it does not, like this last, diminish the effect of a poison; for it is not commonly found that any particular state of body is a safeguard against the effects of these powerful agents. Some constitutions are observed to be much more affected than others by certain poisons:thus opium, arsenic, and mercury, are substances of this description, and this difference in their effects is ascribed to idiosynerasy. Dr. Christison mentions a remarkable instauce, in which a gentleman unaccustomed to the use of opium, took nearly an ounce of good laudanum without any effect. (Ou Poisoning, 33). This form of idiosyncrasy is very rare. Certain substances generally reputed harmless, and, indeed, used as articles of food, are observed to affect some persons like poisons. This is the ease with pork, certain kinds of shell-fish, and mushrooms. There may be nothing poisonous in the food itself; but it acts as a poison in particular constitutions:whether from its being in these eases a poison per se, or reudered so during the process of digestion, it is difficult to say. The subject of idiosyncrasy is of great importance in a medico-legal view, when symptoms resembling those of poisoning follow a meal consisting of a particular kind of food. In such a ease, without a knowledge of this peculiar condition, we might hastily attribute to poison, effects which were really due to another cause. It would appear that in some instances idiosynerasy may be acquired—i, e. a person who, at one period of his life had been in the liabit of partaking of a particular kind of food, may find at another period that it will disagree with When pork has been disused as an article of diet for many years, it cannot always be resumed by individuals with impunity. When the powers of life have become enfeebled by age, the susceptibility of the system to poisons is increased; thus aged persons may be killed by comparatively small doses of arsenic and opium. Cases of acquired idiosynerasy are very rare; it appears to be, if we may so apply the term, a congenital condition.

Classification of poisons.—Poisons may be divided into three classes, according to their mode of action on the system, namely, IRRITANTS, NARCOTICS, and NARCOTICO-IRRITANTS. This classification is a modification of that originally proposed by Orfila, and is almost universally adopted by toxicologists.

Irritants.—The irritants are possessed of these common characters.

When taken in ordinary doses, they occasion speedily violeut vomiting and purging. These symptoms are either accompanied or followed by an intense pain in the abdomen. The peculiar effects of the poison are manifested chiefly on the stomach and intestines, which, as their name implies, they irritate and inflame. Many substances belonging to this class of poisons possess corrosive properties, such as the strong mineral acids, caustic alkalies, bromine, corrosive sublimate, and others. These, in the act of swallowing, are commonly accompanied by an acrid or burning taste, extending from the month down the osophiagus to the stomach. Some irritants do not possess any corrosive action,—of which we have examples in arsenic, the poisonous salts of barytes, carhonate of lead, cantharides, &c., and these are often called pure irritants. They exert no chemical action on the tissues with which they come in contact; they simply irritate and inflame them.

Difference between Corrosive and Irritant poisons .- There is this difference between Corrosive and Irritant poisons. Under the action of corrosive poisons, the symptoms are commonly manifested immediately, hecause mere contact produces disorganization of a part, usually indicated by some well-marked symptoms. In the action of the purely irritant poisons, the symptoms are generally more slowly manifested, rarely showing themselves until at least half an hour has elapsed from the time of swallowing the substance. Of course, there are exceptions to this remark; for sometimes irritants act speedily, though seldom with the rapidity of corrosive poisons. important, in a practical view, to distinguish whether in an unknown case, the poison which a person, requiring immediate treatment, may have swallowed, be irritant or corrosive. This may be commonly determined by the answer to the question, as to the time at which the symptoms appeared after the suspected poison was taken. In this way we may often easily distinguish between a case of poisoning from arsenie and one from corrosive sublimate. There is also another point which may be noticed. As the corresion is due to a decided chemical action, so the examination of the month and fauces may enable us in some cases to determine the nature of the poison swallowed.

It has heen already stated that there are many irritant poisons which have no corrosive properties, and therefore never act as corrosives; but it must he remembered that every corrosive may act as an irritant. Thus the action of corrosive sublimate is that of an irritant poison, as, while it destroys some parts of the coats of the stomach and intestines, it irritates and inflames others. So again most corrosive poisons may lose their corrosive properties by dilution with water, and then they act simply as irritants. Thus is the case with the mineral acids, and bromine. In some instances, it is not easy to say whether an irritant poison possesses corrosive properties or not. Thus oxalio acid acts immediately, and hlanches and softens the mn-cous membrane of the mouth and fances, hut I have never met with any decided marks of what could be called chemical corrosion pro-

duced by it in the stomach or viscera. Irritant poisons, for the most part, belong to the mineral kingdom; and they may be divided into the non-metallic and metallic irritants. There are a few derived from the animal and vegetable kingdom; but these are not very often employed criminally. Some of the gases likewise belong to the class of

irritant poisons.

Narcotic Poisons.—Narcotic poisons have their operation confined to the brain and spinal marrow. Either innucliately or some time after the poison has been swallowed, the patient suffers from cephalalgia, vertigo, paralysis, coma, and in some instances tetanus. They have no acrid burning taste like the corrosive irritants; and they very rarely give rise to vomiting or diarrhea. When these symptoms follow the ingestion of the poison into the stomach, the effect may be ascribed either to the quantity in which the poison has been taken, and the mechanical distension of the stomach thereby produced, or to the poison being combined with some irritating substance, such as alcohol. The pure narcotics are not found to irritate or inflame the viscera.

Notwithstanding the well-defined boundary thus apparently existing between these two classes of poisons, it must not be supposed that each class of bodies will always act in the manner indicated. Some irritants have been observed to affect the brain or the spinal marrow remotely. This is the case with oxalic acid and arsenic. Both of these common poisons have in some instances given rise to synoptoms closely resembling those of narcotic poisoning: namely coma paralysis, and tetanic convulsions. I have met with one case of poisoning by arsenic in which there was paralysis of the extremities with an entire absence of purging during the eight days which the deceased survived. Thus, then, we must not allow ourselves to be deceived by the idea that the symptoms are always clearly indicative of the kind of poison taken. The narcotic poisons are few in number, and belong to the vegetable kingdom. Some of the poisonous gases possess a narcotic action.

Narcotico-Irritants.-Poisons belonging to this class have, as the name implies, a compound action. They are chiefly derived from the vegetable kingdom. At variable periods after they have been swallowed, they give rise to vomiting and diarrhoea like irritants; and sooner or later produce stapor, coma, paralysis and convulsions, owing to their effect on the brain and spinal marrow. They possess the property, like irritants, of irritating and inflaming the alimentary canal. As familiar examples we may point to nux vomica, monkshood, and poisonous mushrooms. This class of poisons is very numerous, embracing a large variety of well-known vegetable substances; but they rarely form a subject of difficulty to a medical practitioner. The fact of the symptoms occurring after a meal at which some suspicious vegetables may have been eaten, coupled with the nature of the symptoms themselves, will commonly indicate the class to which the poison belongs. Some narcotico-irritants have a hot acrid taste, such as the aconite or monkshood.

CHAPTER II.

EVIDENCE OF POISONING IN THE LIVING SUBJECT—SYMPTOMS OCCUR
SUDDENLY—MODIFFING CONDITIONS—ACTION OF POISONS INCREASED OR DIMINISHED BY DISEASE—SYMPTOMS CONNECTED
WITH FOOD OR MEDICINE—SUDDEN DEATH FROM NATURAL
CAUSES MISTAKEN FOR POISONING—SEVERAL PERSONS ATTACKED
SIMULTANEOUSLY—EVIDENCE FROM THE DETECTION OF POISON
IN FOOD.

WE shall next proceed to consider the evidence of poisoning in the living subject. To the practitioner the diagnosis of a case of poisoning is of very great importance, as by mistaking the symptoms produced by a poison for those arising from natural disease, he may omit to employ those remedial measures which have been found efficacious in counteracting its effects, and thus lead to the certain death of the patient. To a medical jurist a correct knowledge of the symptoms, furnishes the chief evidence of poisoning, in those cases in which persons are charged with the criminal administration of poison with intent to murder, but from the effects of which the patient ultimately recovers. The symptoms produced during life, constitute also an important part of evidence, in those iustances in which the poison proves fatal. At present, however, we will suppose the case to have been, that poison has been taken and the patient survives. Most toxicological writers have laid down certain characters whereby it is said symptoms of poisoning may be distinguished from those of disease.

1. In poisoning, the symptoms appear suddenly, while the individual is in health.—It is the common character of most poisons, when taken in the large doses in which they are usually administered with criminal intent, to produce serious symptoms either immediately or within a very short period after they have been swallowed. Their operation, under such circumstances, caunot be suspended, and then manifest itself after an indefinite interval; although this was formerly a matter of universal belief, and gave rise to many absurd ac-

counts of what was termed slow poisoning.

The symptoms of poisoning by prussic acid, oxalic acid, or strychnia, appear immediately, or within a very few minutes after the poison has been swallowed. In one case, however, where the dose of prussic acid was small, and insufficient to produce death, the poison was supposed by the patient not to have begun to act until after the lapse of fifteen minutes. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. lix. 72.) The symptoms caused by arsenic and other irritants, and, indeed, by all poisons generally, are commonly manifested in from half an hour to an hour. It is rare that the appearance of the symptoms is protracted for two hours, except under certain peculiar states of the system. It is said,

that some narcotico-irritant poisons, such as the poisonous mushrooms, may remain in the stomach twelve or twenty-four hours
without giving rise to symptoms; and this is also affirmed to be the
case with some animal irritants, such as decayed meat; but with
regard to the first point, it has been shown by Dr. Peddie, that mushrooms may produce symptoms in half an hour; and a case has fallen
under my own observation, where the symptoms from noxious food
came on within as short a time after the meal, as is commonly observed in irritant poisoning by mineral substances. In cases of poisoning by phosphorus, the symptoms do not commonly begin until after

the lapse of many hours.

Modifying conditions. Influence of disease. - A diseased state of the body may render a person comparatively unsusceptible of the action of some poisons, while in other instances it may increase their action, and render them fatal in small doses. In dysentery and tetanus, a person will take, without being materially affected, a quantity of opium sufficient to kill an adult in average health. Mauia, cholera, hysteria, and delirium tremeus, are also diseases in which large doses of opium may be borne with comparative impunity. a case of hemiplegia, a woman, et. 29, took for six days, three grains of strychnia daily without injurious consequences—the dose having been gradually raised (Gaz. Méd. Mai 1845); while one grain of strychnia is commonly regarded as a fatal dose to a healthy person. In a case of tetanus, Dupuytren gave as much as two onnees of opium at a dose (60 grammes), without serious consequences. Traité des Poisons, i. 231.) It has also been remarked, that persons affected with tetanus are not easily salivated by mercury. (Colles's Lectures, i. 77.) The effect of certain diseases of the nervous system as well as of babit, either in retarding the appearance of symptoms, or in blunting the operation of a poison, it is not difficult to appreciate; and they are cases which can present no practical difficulty to a medical jurist. On the other hand, in certain diseased states of the system, there is an increased susceptibility to the action of poison. Thus, in those persons who have a tendency to apoplexy, a small dose of opium may act more quickly and prove fatal. In a person labouring under inflammation of the stomach or bowels, there would be an increased susceptibility to the action of arsenic or other irritants. One of the most remarkable instances of the influence of disease in increasing the operation of poison, is perhaps seen in cases of diseased kidney (granular degeneration), in which very small doses of mercury have been observed to produce severe salivation, leading to exhaustion and death. A knowledge of this fact is of importance in reference to charges of malapraxis, when death has arisen from ordinary doses of calomel administered to persons labouring under this disease.

Symptoms appear during a state of health.—Symptoms of poisoning often manifest themselves in a person while in a state of perfect health, without any apparent cause. This rule is, of course, open to numerous

exceptions, because the person on whose life the attempt is made, may be actually labouring under disease; and under these circumstances, the symptoms of poisoning are so obscure as often to disarm all suspicion. In the case of Mrs. Smith, who was poisoued by orpiment in 1835, it was the fact of the deceased having laboured under general illness for some time before death, that prevented any suspicion from being fixed on the prisoner Burdock, who attended her as a nurse. When poison is exhibited in medicine, a practitioner is very liable to be deceived, especially if the disease under which the party is labouring. be of an acute nature, and attended by symptoms of disorder in the alimentary canal. Several eases of poisoning have occurred within tho last few years, where arsenic was criminally substituted for medicine, and given to the parties while labouring under a disorder of the bowels. We are, however, justified in saving with respect to this character of poisoning, that when in a previously healthy person, violent vomiting and purging occur suddenly, and without any assignable cause, such as disease or indiserction in diet, to necount for them, there is strong reason to suspect that irritant poison has been taken. When the party is already labouring under disease, we must be especially watchful on the occurrence of any sudden change in the character or violence of the symptoms, unless such change can be easily accounted for on common or well-known medical principles. In most cases of criminal poisoning, we meet with alarming symptoms without any obvious or sufficient natural cause to explain them. The practitioner is of course aware that there are certain diseases which are liable to occur suddenly in healthy people, the exact cause of which may not at first sight be apparent; therefore this criterion is only one out of many on which a medical opinion should be founded.

2. In poisoning, the symptoms appear soon after a meal, or soon after some kind of food or medicine has been taken .- This is by far the most important character of poisoning in the living body. It has been already observed, that most poisons begin to operate within about an hour after they have been swallowed; and although there are some few exceptions to this remark, yet they occur under circumstances easily to be appreciated by a practitioner. Thus, then, it follows, that, supposing the symptoms under which a person is labouring, to depend on poison, the substance has most probably been swallowed either in food or medicine, from half an hour to an hour previously. It must be observed, however, that cases of poisoning may occur without the poison being introduced by the mouth. Oil of vitriol has been thrown up the rectum in the form of enema, and caused death: the external application of arsenic, corrosive sublimate, and cantharides has destroyed life. In one case arsenic was introduced into the vagina of a female, and she died in five days under all the symptoms of arsenical poisoning. (Schneider, Ann. der Ges. Staatsarzneikunde, i. 229.) Such cases are rarc, but nevertheless the certainty that they have occurred where their occurrence could hardly have been anticipated, shows that in a suspicious case, a practitioner should not deny the fact of poisoning, merely because it is proved that the patient could not have taken the poison in the usual way—by deglutition.

Let us suppose, however, the circumstances to have been such that these secret means of destruction could not have been resorted to, and that the poison is one of those most commonly selected by a murderer. such as arsenic, oxalie acid, or corrosive sublimate, then we may expect that this character of poisoning will be made evident to us, and that something must have been swallowed by the patient shortly before these alarming symptoms appeared. By observations attentively made, it may be in our power to connect the appearance of the symptoms with the use of a particular article of food, and thus indirectly lead to the detection of the criminal. Supposing that many hours have passed since food or medicine was taken by the patient, without any effect ensuing,—it becomes very probable that the symptoms are due to some other canse, and not to poison. The time of the occurrence of the symptoms in relation to a particular meal, is then a fact of especial importance in forming an opinion when poisoning is suspected.

In February 1845, the following case was submitted to me by my friend Mr. J. G. French. A child between two and three years of age, in tolerable health, was one afternoon suddenly seized with stupor, convulsions, and insensibility, and died in twenty-three hours. After death the brain was found highly congested. All suspicion of nareotic poisoning was done away with by the fact that the child had taken nothing since its dinner at two o'clock, and the symptoms suddenly appeared at half-past five, i.e. three hours and a half afterwards.

(Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 32.)

When symptoms resembling those of poisoning speedily follow the ingestion of food or medicine, there is, however, always great room for suspicion; but caution should be observed in drawing inferences, since the most extraordinary coincidences sometimes present them-In the celebrated case of Sir Theodosius Boughton, who was poisoned by his brother-in-law, Donellan, in 1781, the fact of alarming symptoms coming on in two minutes after the deceased had swallowed what was supposed to he a simple medicinal draught, became the most important evidence against the prisoner. There is no doubt that laurel-water had been substituted for the medicine by the prisoner. I may here remark, that the practice of substituting poisonous mixtures for medicinal draughts or powders, is hy no means unusual: although it might he supposed to indicate a degree of refinement and knowledge not commonly to he found among the lower class of criminals. Medical practitioners are thus apt to be imposed upon, and the following ease, related by one of our present judges, will serve as a caution. An anothecary prepared a draught, into which another person put poison, intending thereby to destroy the life of the patient for whom the medicine was prescribed. The patient, not liking the taste of the draught, and thinking that there was something suspicious about it, sent it back to the anothecary, who, knowing the juggedients of which he had composed it, and wishing to prove to his patient that he had done nothing wrong, drank it himself, and died. In this case, he was the unconscious agent of his own death; and although the draught was intended for another, the party who poisoned it was held guilty of murder. This case coatains a serious warning to medical witnesses. It is not very unusual on trials for poisoning, when the poison is conveyed through medicine, to find a medical witness offering to swallow his own draughts in a Court of law, in order to furnish to the court and jury, a convincing practical illustration of the innoceace of the medicine! I need hardly observe that an exhibition of this kind is never required of a medical witness. The Court will receive his deposition, without compelling him to swallow his own medicine, even supposing it not to have been secretly poisoned. If any doubt be raised of the innocent properties of a draught, a chemical analysis of its conteuts will be far more satisfactory, and attended with no kind of risk to the practitioner.

On the other hand, the occurrence of symptoms resembling those produced by poison, soon after food or medicine has been taken, may be a pure coincidence. In such a case, poison is always suspected by the vulgar; and it will be the duty of a medical jurist to guard against the encouragement of such a suspicion, until he has strong grounds to believe it to be well founded. No public retractation or apology can ever make amouds for the injury which may in this way be inflicted on the reputation of another; for they who hear the accusation, may never hear the defence. In all such cases, a practitioner may entertain a suspicion, but he should always avoid expressing it or giving it publicity. When death is not a consequence, it is difficult to clear up such eases, except by the aid of a chemical analysis; but this, as we know, is not always applicable. If death ensue, the real cause is usually apparent, and a suspicion of poisoning is thus often removed by a post-mortem examination.

3. In poisoning when several partake at the same time of the same food or medicine (mixed with poison) all suffer from similar symptoms.—This character of poisoning cannot always be procured; but it furnishes good evidence of the fact when it exists. Thus, supposing after a meal made by several persons from the same dish, only one suffers, the suspicion of poisoning is considerably weakened. The poisoned article of food may be detected by observing whether they who suffer nader any symptoms of poisoning, have partaken of one particular solid or liquid in common. In a case of accidental poisoning at a dinner-party, a friend of mine observed that those who suffered from the symptoms had taken port-wine only: the contents of the bottle were examined, and found to be a saturated solution of arsenic in wine. In geaeral, coasiderable reliance may be placed upon this character, because it is very improbable that any common

cause of disease should suddenly attack with violent and alarming symptoms, many healthy persons at the same time, and within a short period after having partaken of food together. We must beware of supposing that where poison is really present, all will be attacked with precisely similar symptoms; because, as we have seen, there are many eauses which may modify them. In general, that person who has partaken most freely of the poisoned dish will suffer most severely, but even this does not always follow. There is a well-known ease recorded by Bounet, where, among several persons who partook of a dish poisoned with arsenie, they who had eaten little and did not vomit, speedily died; while those, on the other hand, who had partaken largely of the dish, and had in consequence vomited freely, recovered.

It was just now remarked, that there is no disease likely to attack several healthy persons at the same time, and in the same manner. This is undoubtedly true, as a general principle, but the following ease will show that mistakes may occasionally arise even under these circumstances. It occurred in London, during the prevalence of the malignant cholcra in the year 1832. Four of the members of a family living in a state of great domestic nuhappiness, sat down to dinner in apparently good health; some time after the useal, the father, mother, and daughter, were suddenly seized with violent vomiting and purging. The stools were tinged with blood, while the blueness of the skin, observed in cases of mulignant cholera, was wanting. Two of the parties died. The son, who was known to have borne ill will against his father and mother, and who suffered no symptoms on this occasion, was accused of having poisoned them. strict investigation took place before the coroner; but it was clearly shown by the medical attendant, that the deceased persons had really died of the malignant cholera, and there was no reason whatever to suspect that any poison had been administered to them. In this instance, it will be perceived that symptoms resembling those of irritant poison appeared suddenly in several individuals in perfect health, and shortly after a meal. We hereby learn that the atility of any rules for investigating cases of poisoning, depends entirely on the judgment and discretion with which they are applied to particular cases.

It is well to bear in mind, in conducting these inquiries, that symptoms consulting those produced by irritant poison may be sometimes due to the description of food which may have been taken at the meal. Besides flesh rendered unwholesome from discase and decay, there are certain kinds of shell-fish, as well as pork, bacon, sausages, cheese, and bread, which, under certain circumstances, may give rise to formidable symptoms, and even death. In such a case, all the foregoing characters of poisoning are brought out; and, indeed, the case may be regarded as one of poisoning by an animal or vegetable irritant. The diagnosis is difficult; and great ambiguity frequently arises, from the fact that not more than one or two individuals may be affected, who

have frequently before partaken of the same kind of food without any particular inconvenience.

4. The discovery of poison in the food taken, or in the matters vomited.—One of the best proofs of poisoning in the living subject, is the detection of poison by chemical analysis, either in the food taken by the person labouring under its effects, or in the matters vomited. The evidence is, of course, more satisfactory when the poison is discovered in the matters vomited, than in the food; because this will show that poison has really been taken, and will readily account for the symptoms. If thrown away, we must then examine the food of which the patient may have partaken. Should the results in both cases be negative, the probability is, that the symptoms may have been due to disease. In investigating a case of poisoning in the living subject, a medical jurist must remember, that poisoning is sometimes feigned, and at others, imputed. It is very easy for an artful person to put poison into food, and to accuse another of having administered it, as well as to introduce poison into the matters vomited or discharged from the bowels. There are few of these accusers who go so far as to swallow poison under such circumstances, there being a great dread of poisonous substances among the lower orders; and it will be at once apparent, that it would require a person well versed in toxicology, to feign a series of symptoms which would impose upon a practitioner at all acquainted with the subject. In short, the difficulty reduces itself to this :-- What inference can we draw from the chemical detretion of poison in food? All that a medical man can do, is to say whether poison he present or not in a particular article of food :—he must leave it to the authorities of the law, to develope the alleged attempt at administration; -- but if the poison have been actually administered, then we should expect to have the usual symptoms. With regard to the detection of poison in the matters vomited from the stomach, this affords no decisive proof that it has been swallowed. except under two circumstances:-1. When the accuser actually labours under the usual symptoms of poisoning, in which case there can be no feigning, and the question of imputation is a matter to be established by general evidence. 2. When the matters are actually vomited into a clean vessel in the presence of the medical attendant himself, or of some person on whose testimony perfect reliance can be placed.

CHAPTER III.

ON THE EVIDENCE OF POISONING IN THE DEAD BODY—PERIOD AT WHICH POISONS PROVE FATAL—CHRONIC POISONING—ACCUMULATIVE POISONS—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES PRODUCED BY THE DIFFERENT CLASSES OF POISONS—REDNESS OF THE MUCOUS MEMBRANE MISTAKEN FOR INFLAMMATION—ULCERATION AND CORROSION—SOFTENING—PERFORATIONS OF THE STOMACH FROM POISON AND DISEASE.

Supposing that the person is dead, and we are required to determine whether the case be one of poisoning or not, we must, in the first instance, endeavour to ascertain all the particulars which have been discussed in the last chapter, as indicative of poisoning in the living subject. Should the deceased have died from poison, the circumstances of the attack, and the symptoms preceding death, ought to correspond with the characters already described; and in these investigations it is well to bear in mind the following rule:—There is no one symptom or pathological condition which is peculiar to poisoning; but at the same time there is no disease which presents all those characters which are met with in a special case of poisoning. The additional evidence to be derived from the death of the person, may be considered under the following heads:—

1. The time at which death takes place after the first occurrence of symptoms.—This question it is necessary to examine, becarse the more common poisons, when taken in fatal doses, generally produce their fatal effects within certain periods of time. By an attention to this point, we may, in some instances, be enabled to negative a charge of poisoning, and in others to form an opinion of the kind of poison which has been taken. In a Court of law, a medical practitioner is often required to state the usual period of time within which poisons prove fatal. It is to be observed, that not only do poisons differ from each other in this respect, but the same substance, according to the form or quantity in which it has been taken, will differ in the rapidity of its action. A large dose of strong prussic acid, i. e. from half an ounce to an ounce, may destroy life in less than two minutes. ordinary cases of poisoning by this substance, a person dies, i. e. all signs of life have commonly ceased, in from ten to twenty minutes :if he survive half an hour, there is some hope of recovery. In the cases of the seven Parisian epilepties, accidentally poisoned by this acid, the first died in about twenty minutes, the seventh survived three quarters of an hour .- (See post, PRUSSIC ACID.) Oxalic acid, one of the most energetic of the common poisons, when taken in a dose of from half an ounce to an ounce, muy destroy life in from ten minutes

to an hour: if the poison he not perfectly dissolved when swallowed, it is a longer time in proving fatal. The strong mineral acids, in poisonous doses, destroy life in ahout eighteen or twenty-four hours. Arsenic, under the form of arsenious acid (white arsenic), operates fatally in from eighteen hours to three or four days. It has, however, in more than one instance, killed a person in two hours; although this is by no means common. Opium, either as a solid or under the form of laudanum, commonly proves fatal in from six to twelve hours; but it has been known, in several instances, to destroy life in less than three hours: those who survive the effects of this poison for twelve hours, are considered to have a fair chance of recovery. This must be understood to be merely a statement of the average results, as nearly, perhaps, as we are warranted in giving an opinion; but the medical jurist will of course be aware, that the fatal period may be protracted or shortened, according to all those circumstances which have been elsewhere stated to affect the action of poisons.

There are various forms which this question may assume in a Court of law: - the death of a party, alleged to have taken poison, may have occurred too rapidly or too slowly to justify a suspicion of poisoning. The following case may serve as an illustration: - A woman of the name of Russell was tried and convicted at the Lewes Summer Assizes, in 1826, for the murder of her husband, by poisoning him with arsenic. The poison was detected in the stomach; but the fact of poisouing was disputed by some medical witnesses, for this among other reasons—that the deceased had died three hours after the only meal at which the poison could have been administered to him. authority of Sir A. Cooper and others, was cited to show, that, according to their experience, they bad never known a case to prove fatal in less than seven hours. This may have been: but, at the same time, there was sufficient authority on the other side, to establish that some cases of arsenical poisoning had actually proved fatal in three or four hours. So far as this objection was concerned, the prisoner was very properly convicted.

On the medical question raised at this trial, I may observe, that within the last few years, two distinct cases have occurred where the individuals died certainly within two hours after taking this poison; and several instances have been reported since the trial, in which death took place in from three to four hours after the administration of arsenic. It seems extraordinary in the present day, that any attempt should have been made by a professional man to negative a charge of criminal poisoning upon so weak a ground as this; but we must remember, that this opinion was expressed many years ago, when the subject of toxicology was hnt little understood. It is quite obvious, that there is nothing, so far as we know, to prevent arsenie from destroying life in an hour. A case will be hereafter related, in which death took place, most probably from arsenic, in half an hour. These matters can only be settled by a careful observation of numerous

cases, and not by any à priori reasoning, or reference to personal

experience.

In all instances of sudden death, there is generally a strong tendency on the part of the vulgar to suspect poisoning. They never can be brought to consider, that persons may die a natural death suddenly, as well as slowly; or, as we shall presently see, that death may really take place slowly, as in cases of disease, and yet be due to poisou. This prejudice continually gives rise to the most unfounded suspicions of poisoning; a case illustrating this has already been given (ante, p. 13). One of the means recommended for distinguishing narcotic poisoning from apoplexy or disease of the heart, is the difference in the rapidity with which death takes place. Thus, apoplexy or disease of the heart may prove fatal either instantly or within an hour. The only common poison likely to operate with such fatal rapidity is prussic Poisoning by opium is commonly protracted for five or six bonrs. This poison has never been known to destroy life instantanconsly, or in a few minutes. I here exclude strychnia, as well as morphia and its salts; for these are poisous out of common reach. Thus, then, it may happen, that death will occur with such rapidity, as to render it impossible under the circumstances to attribute it to uarcotic poisou.

Chronic poisoning.—When a poison destroys life rapidly, it is called a case of acute poisoning, to distinguish it from the chronic form, i. e. where death takes place slowly. Chronic poisoning is not a subject which often requires medico-legal investigation. Most poisons are capable, when their effects are not rapidly manifested, either from the smallness of the dose or from timely treatment, of slowly undermining the powers of life, and killing the patient by producing emaciation and exhaustion. This is sometimes observed in the action of arsenie and corrosive sublimate, but it has been remarked also in cases of poisoning by the mineral acids and caustic alkalies. Death is here an indirect consequence: -stricture of the esophagus is induced, or the lining membrane of the stomach is destroyed and the process of digestion impaired,—a condition which leads to emaciation and death. The time at which these indirect effects will prove fatal, is of course liable to vary. A person has been known to die from a stricture of the esophagus brought on by sulphuric acid, eleven months after the poisou was swallowed; and there is no reason to doubt that instances may occur of a still more protracted nature. In these cases of chronic poisoning, there is considerable difficulty in assigning death exclusively to the original action of the poison, since the habits of life of the party,-a tendency to disease, and other circumstances, may have concurred to accelerate or produce a fatal result. To connect a stricture of the œsophagus with the act of poisoning by a mineral acid, it is necessary to show that there was no tendency to this disease before the acid was administered :- that the symptoms appeared soon after the first effects of the poison went off :- that these symptoms con-

tinued to become aggravated until the time of death; and that there was no other cause, to which death could with any probability be referred. These remarks apply equally to the indirectly fatal effects of any poison, -such, for instance, as the salivation occasionally induced by corrosive sublimate, when the acute symptoms of poisoning by that substance have passed away. It has been stated, that chronic poisoning is not a subject commonly requiring a criminal investiga-Two eases have, however, come before our tribunals, in which the facts connected with this form of poisoning, were of some importance. I allude to those of Miss Blandy, tried at Oxford, in 1752, for the murder of her father by arsenic; and of a woman named Butterfield, tried at Croydon, in 1775, for the nurder of a Mr. Scawen, by administering corrosive sublimate. In most cases, murderers destroy life by administering poison in very large doses; but in these instances, small doses were given at intervals, -a fact which led to great medical doubt of the real cause of the symptoms before death. It is, however, very rare to hear of this form of poisoning.

Accumulative poisons.—It has been already remarked, that some poisons have what is called an accumulative property, i. c. they may be administered for some time in small doses without producing any marked effects; but they will, perhaps, after a certain period, suddenly and unexpectedly give rise to violent symptoms, affecting the life of a person. This peculiar mode of action has been witnessed more in medical practice than in cases of attempts to poison; hence it is not a subject of much importance to a medical jurist. Foxglove (digitalis) is said to possess this property; and it has been remarked that, on more than one occasion, persons to whom this medicine has been repeatedly administered in small doses have suddenly died, probably from the accumulative properties of this poison. The same effect has been noticed in the ease of other poisons.

2. Evidence from post-mortem appearances.—One of the chief means of determining whether a person has died from poison, is an examination of the body after death. In relation to external appearances, there are none indicative of poisoning upon which we can safely rely. It was formerly supposed, that the bodies of persons who were poisoned, putrefied more readily than those of others who had died from natural disease; and evidence for or against poisoning, was at one time derived from the external appearance of the body. This is now known to be an error; the bodies of persons poisoned are not more rapidly decomposed, cateris paribus, than those of others who have died a sudden and violent death from any other cause whatever.

Irritant poisons act chiefly upon the stornach and intestines, which they irritate, inflame, and corrode. We may likewise meet with all the cousequences of inflammation, such as ulceration, perforation, and gangrene. Sometimes the coats of the viscera are thickened, at other times thinned and softened, by the action of an irritant.

Narcolic poisons do not commonly leave any well-marked post-

mortem appearances. The stomach and intestines present no unnatural changes. There is greater or less fulness of the cerebral vessels; but even this is often so slight as to escape notice, unless attention be particularly directed to the brain. Extravasation of blood is rarely found.

The Narcotico-irritants affect either the brain or the alimentary canal, and commonly both, according to their peculiar mode of action.

It is important to bear in mind, that both irritants and narcotics may destroy life without leaving any appreciable changes in the body. To such cases as these, the remarks about to be made do not apply. The proof of poisoning must, then, be derived entirely from other sources. Any evidence derivable from the appearances in the body of a person poisoned, will be imperfect unless we are able to distinguish them from those analogous changes, often met with as the results of ordinary disease. These are confined to the nuccous membrane of the stomach and bowels. They are reduces, nlceration, softening, and perforation. All of these conditions may depend upon disease, as well as upon the action of irritant poisons.

Redness.—It is a main character of the irritants to produce redness of the mucous membrane of the stomach and small intestines. This redness, when first seen, is usually of a deep crimson colour, becoming brighter by exposure to air. It is sometimes diffused over the whole nucous membrane:—at other times it is seen in patches over the surface of the stomach. It is sometimes met with at the smaller, but more commonly at the larger extremity of the organ; and then, again, we occasionally find that the rugge or prominences only of the mucous membrane present this red or inflamed appearance. Redness of the mucous membrane may, however, be due to gastritis or gastro-enteritis; and in order to assign the true cause, it will be necessary to have an account of the symptoms preceding death, or some proof of the existence of irritant poison in the contents of the stomach or the tissues of the body.

In the healthy state, the mueous membrane of the stomach is pale and white, or nearly so, except during digestion, when it becomes reddened; and some observers have remarked that a slight redness has often remained in the stomachs of those who have died during the performance of the digestive process. When in contact with the spleen or liver, the stomach is apt to acquire a deep livid colour from the transudation of blood; and it is well known that the bowels acquire a somewhat similar colour from the gravitation of blood, which always takes place after death. None of these appearances are likely to be mistaken for the action of an irritant poison.

There is an important class of cases in which reduces of the mucons membrane of the stomach is found after death, not dependent on the action of poison or on any assignable cause. These cases, owing to their being so little known, and involved in much obscurity, deserve

great attention from the medical jurist, since the appearances closely resemble those produced by irritant poison. A person may die without suffering from any symptoms of disordered stomach; hut, on an inspection of the hody, a general redness of the mucous membrano of this organ will be found, not distinguishable from the redness which is so commonly seen in arsenical poisoning. Several cases of this kind have occurred at Guy's Hospital; and drawings have been made of the appearance of the stomach, and are now preserved in the Mnseum collection.

The redness of the stomach, in cases of poisoning, is so speedily altered by putrefaction, when circumstances are favourable to this process, as to render it impossible for a witness to speak with any ecrtainty upon its cause. Putrefactive infiltration from the blood contained in the adjacent viscera and muscles, will give a reddish coloured appearance to a stomach otherwise in a healthy condition. Great dispute has arisen respecting the length of time during which redness of the stomach produced by an irritant, will be recognizable and easily distinguishable from putrefactive changes. It is, perhaps, snfficient to say, that no certain rule can be laid down on the subject: it must be left to the knowledge and discretion of the witness. I have distinctly seen the well-marked appearances of inflammation produced by arsenie in the stomach and duodenum, in an exhumed hody twentyeight days after interment (Reg. v. Jennings, Berks Lent Ass. 1845); and in another instance, referred to me by Mr. Lewis, the coroner for Essex, in August 1846, the reddened state of the mucous membrane, in a case of arsenical poisoning, was plainly perceptible, on removing a layer of arsenic, nineteen months after interment. (See on this question, a case of suspected poisoning by Orfila, Annales d'Hyg. 1839. i. 127.) If, however, there be the least doubt respecting the origin of the discolouration, it would be unsafe to rely upon it, as evidence of poisoning.

Ulceration.—In irritant poisoning, the stomach is occasionally bund ulcerated; but this is, comparatively speaking, a rare occurrence. In such cases the mucous membrane is removed in small distinct circular patches, under the edges of which the poison (arsenic) is often found lodged. Ulceration of the stomach is perhaps a more common result of disease, than of the action of poison. As a consequence of disease, it is very insidious, going on often for weeks together, without giving any indications of its existence, except perhaps alight gastric disturbance, with occasional nausea, vomiting, and loss of appetite. In this case the ulceration is commonly seen in small circumscribed patches. It is worthy of remark, as one means of diagnosis, that ulceration has never been known to take place from arsenic or any irritant poison, until after symptoms, indicative of irritant poisoning, have occurred. In ulceration from disease, the mucous membrane is commonly only reddened in the neighbourhood of the ulcer. In ulceration from poison, the redness is generally diffused over other

parts of the stomach, as well as over the duodenum and small intestines. A case, however, occurred in Guy's Hospital, some years ago, in which, with a small circular patch of ulceration near the cardiac opening, the whole mucous membrane was red and injected :- but this singular condition of the stomach, so closely resembling the effects of an irritant poison, was unaccompanied by any marked symptoms during life. The history of a case previous to death, will thus commonly enable us to determine, to what cause the ulceration found, may be due. Care must be taken to distinguish ulceration from corresion. Ulceration is a vital process, the substance of a part is removed by the absorbents as a simple result of inflammation. Corrosion, on the other hand, is a chemical action;—the parts are removed by the immediate contact of the poison: they are decomposed: their vitality is destroyed, and they combine with the corrosive matter itself. Ulceration requires time for its establishment, while corrosion is generally an instantaneous effect.

Softening.—The coats of the stomach are not unfrequently found so soft, as to yield and break down under very slight pressure; and this may be the result either of poisoning, of some spontaneous morbid change in its structure during life, or of the solvent action of the gastric juice after death. As this change in the stomach, when caused by poison, is commonly produced by those substances only, which possess corrosive properties, it follows that in such cases, traces of their action will be perceived in the mouth, fauces, and ocsophagus. In softening from disease, the change will he confined to the stomach alone, and it is commonly found only at the cardiac extremity of the organ. When softening is really eaused by an irritaut poison, it is generally attended by other striking and unambiguous marks of its operation. Softening is not to he regarded as a common character of poisoning: it is only an occasional appearance. I have met with a case, in which the coats of the stomach were considerably hardened hy sulphuric acid. Softening can hever he inferred to have proceeded from poison, nuless other well-marked changes are present, or unless the poison be discovered in the softened parts. The stomachs of infants have been frequently found softened from natural causes:such eases could not be mistaken for poisoning, since the history during life,—the want of other appearances indicative of poisoning, and the total absence of poison from the viscera, would prevent such a suspicion from being entertained.

Perforation.—The stomach may become perforated either as a re-

sult of poisoning or diseasc.

Perforation from poisoning.—This may occur in two ways:—1. By corrosion; 2. By ulceratiou. The perforation by corrosion, is by far the most common variety of perforation from poisoning. It is occasionally witnessed where the strong mineral acids have been taken, especially sulphuric acid:—the stomach, in such cases, is blackened and extensively destroyed,—the aperture is large, the edges are rough

and irregular, and the coats become easily lacerated. The poison escapes into the abdomen, and may be readily detected by chemical analysis. The perforation from ulceration, caused by irritant poisou (arsenie), is but little known. There are, so far as I know, only three instances on record. In a great number of poisoned subjects examined during many years past at Gny's Hospital, not a single case has occurred. It must, then, be looked upon as a very rare appearance in cases of irritant poisoning.

Perforation from disease.—This is by no means an unusual occur-Many cases of this description will be found reported elsewhere. (Guy's Hosp. Rep. No. 8.) It is invariably fatal when it proceeds so far that the contents of the stomach escape into the abdomen; but sometimes the stomach becomes glued to the pancreas during the ulcerative process, and then the individual may recover. Several specimens of this kind of adhesion have been met with in post-mortem inspections. The symptoms from perforation commonly attack the individual suddenly, apparently while enjoying perfect health. Hence these cases may be easily mistaken for those of irritant poisoning. The principal facts observed with regard to this formidable disease are the following:—1. It often attacks young females from eighteen to twenty-three years of age. 2. The preceding illness is extremely slight, sometimes there is merely loss of appetite or capricious appetite, with uncasiness after eating. 3. The attack commences with a sudden and most severe pain in the abdomen, generally soon after a In irritant poisoning, the pain usually comes on gradually, and slowly increases in severity. 4. Vomiting, if it exist at all, is commonly slight, and is chiefly confined to what is swallowed. There is no purging :- the bowels are generally constipated. In irritant poisoning, the vomiting is usually severe, and diarrhora seldom wanting. 5. The person dies commonly in from eighteen to thirtysix hours :-- this is also the average period of death in the most common form of irritant poisoning, i. E. by arsenic; -but in no case yet recorded, has arsenic caused perforation of the stomach, within twentyfour hours; and it appears probable that a considerable time must clapse before such an effect could be produced by this or any irritant. 6. In perforation from disease, the symptoms and death are clearly referable to peritonitis. 7. In the perforation from disease, the aperture is commonly of an oval or rounded form, about half an inch in diameter, situated in or near the lesser curvature of the stomach. and the edges are smooth. The outer margin of the aperture is often blackened, and the aperture itself is funnel-shaped from within outwards, i. e. the mucous coat is the most removed, and the outer or peritoncal coat, the least. The coats of the stomach, round the edge of the aperture, are usually thickened for some distance; and when cut, they have almost a cartilaginous hardness. These characters of the aperture will not alone indicate, whether it be the result of poisoning or disease; but the absence of poison from the stomach, with tho

want of other characteristic marks of irritant poisoning, would enable us to say, that disease was the cause. Besides, the history of the case during life, would materially assist as in our diagnosis. The great risk in all these cases, is that the effects of disease may be mistaken for those of poisoning; for we are not likely to mistake a perforation caused by irritant poison for the result of disease.

Spontaneous or Gelatinized Perforation-The stomach is occasionally subject to a spontaneous change, by which its coats become softened and give way, generally at the cardiac extremity. As the extravasation of the contents of the organ in such a case never gives rise to peritoneal inflammation, and no symptoms occur prior to death to indicate the existence of so extensive a destruction of parts, it is presumed to be a post-mortem change, and the stomach is supposed to undergo a process of solution soon after death. It is commonly attributed to the solvent action of the gastric juice, the spleen, diaphragm, and other viscera being sometimes softened. (For some remarks on this subject, by Dr. Budd, see Med. Gaz. xxxix. 895.) In January, 1845, I met with an instance of this perforation in a child between two and three years of age. It was seized with convulsions, became insensible, and died twenty-three hours afterwards. After death, the cardiac end of the stomach was found destroyed to the extent of three inches; and the edges were softened and blackened. There was no food in the stomach, nor had anything passed into the organ for thirty-two hours before death l It was therefore impossible to ascribe death to the perforation, or the perforation to poison. (For n full account of this case, see Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 32.) The inspection of the body, with the general history of the case, will commonly suffice to remove any doubt in forming an opinion as to whether the extensive destruction commonly met with, has or has not arisen from poison. Thus, in a post-mortem perforation, the aperture is always situated in that part of the stomneh which lies to the left of the cardia, is very large, of an irregular form, and ragged and pulpy at the edges, which have the appearance of being scraped. mucous membrane of the stomach is not found inflamed. occasionally slight reduess, with dark brown or almost black strice in and near the dissolved conts, which have an acid reaction. It ean only be confounded with perforation by the action of corrosives; but the well-marked symptoms during life, and the detection of the poison after death, together with the changes in the fauces and esophagus, will at ouce indicate the perforation produced by corrosive poison. A case of extensive perforation of the stomach, as the result of the action of the gastrie fluids, has been recently reported by Dr. Barnes. (See Med. Gazette, xli. 293.)

CHAPTER IV.

ON THE EVIDENCE OF POISONING FROM CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—RULES FOR CONDUCTING AN ANALYSIS—CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH AN ANALYSIS MAY BE REQUIRED—FAILURE OF CHEMICAL EVIDENCE—CAUSES OF THE NON-DETECTION OF POISON—LOSS BY ELIMINATION AND PUTREFACTION—EVIDENCE FROM THE QUANTITY FOUND IN THE NODY—DANGER OF PREMATURE OPINIONS.

Convictions without chemical evidence.—It has been supposed that chemical evidence of poisoning was always necessary, and that the corpus delicti was not made out, unless the poison were discovered by a chemical analysis. This, however, is not a correct view of the matter. There are many poisons which cannot, at present, he detected by chemical analysis, and among those susceptible of analysis, there are numerons circumstances which may occur to prevent their detection in the food, the vomited matters, or the contents of the viscera in the dead. If such a rule were recognised by law, many criminals would escape conviction. All that is required legally, is that there should be satisfactory proof of a person having died from poison;the discovery of poison in the body, is not necessarily evidence of its having caused death, nor is its non-discovery, evidence that death has not been caused by it. If by the symptoms and post-mortem appearances, with or without moral circumstances, it can be made clear to the minds of a jury that death has been caused by poison, nothing more is required; the evidence from chemical analysis may be then safely dispensed with.

On the other hand, when the other branches of evidence are weak or defective, the detection of the poison by chemical analysis becomes of such importance, that if it fail, an acquittal will follow. Conjoined with strong moral circumstances, chemical evidence will often lead to conviction when post-mortem appearances are entirely wanting, and the evidence from symptoms is very imperfect. In most cases it demonstrates at once the means of death; while symptoms and post-mortem appearances are, as we have seen, fallible criteria, unless many circumstances, often difficult of appreciation, are fully considered by the medical witness. Many coroners are not sufficiently aware of the importance of this branch of evidence in cases of suspicious death. In several instances of recent occurrence, the fact of poisoning has been established by a chemical analysis of the contents of the stomach long after interment, although verdicts of natural death had been previously returned.

Rules for conducting an analysis.—Before proceeding to the analysis of any suspected substance, we should, if possible, make ourselves

fully acquainted either with the symptoms or post-mortem appearances, or both, observed in the person suspected to have been poisoned. We may by a knowledge of these facts determine, à priori, whether we shall have to search for a narcotie, irritant, or corrosive substance. The kind of poison may often be predicted from the symptoms and post-mortem appearances, and our analysis directed accordingly. I bave, however, known more than one instance, where an irritant poison has been sought for in the contents of the stomach, when every fact connected with the death of the party, as well as the rapidity with which death took place, tended clearly to show that if any poison bad been used, it must have been one of the pure narcoties. The chemical evidence may be divided into several branches. The analysis may extend—

1. To the pure poison.—We may be required to state the nature of a substance (part of the poison administered) found in the possession

of a prisoner.

- 2. In food.—The analysis may be confined to a portion of the substance of which the affected party partook; and here the poison is usually mixed up with liquids or solids of an organic nature. The steps of the analysis become then rather more difficult. a. There may have been various substances combined in a meal, and the poison have been mixed with one substance only. This will show the necessity for examining separately the various articles used at a meal, if we wish to discover the real vehicle of the poison. b. Symptoms of poisoning may occur after the eating of a pudding. A part of the pudding may be analysed, and no poison discovered; because the poison, instead of being incorporated with the dough, may have been loosely sprinkled like flour over the exterior only. c. A similar circumstance may occur in the poisoning of a dish of meat. The gravy may be poisoned, and not the meat. A case of this kind occurred to Dr. Christison. A whole family was attacked with symptoms of poisoning after a meal on roast beef. The meat was examined, but no poison could be discovered. It was then ascertained that the poison had been mixed with the gravy, and those who had taken the meat witbout the gravy, suffered but slightly. In one instance, which occurred lately, arsenic was placed instead of salt on the edge of the plate of the deceased. (The Queen v. Jennings, Berks Lent Assizes, 1845.) No other person experienced symptoms of poisoning after the meal, except the child who ate out of that plate.
- 3. Vomited liquids.—The chemical analysis may be directed to the matters vomited and evacnated. In irritant poisoning, a large quantity of poison is often expelled in this way, and may be detected especially in the matter first vomited. In a suspected case, an immediate analysis should be made of the matters ejected from the stomach. They may be regarded as furnishing to the medical jurist, the proofs required to establish the corpus delicti.
 - 4. Contents of the stomach. If death has ensued, an analysis of the

contents of the stomach and intestines must be made. Supposing no vomiting to have occurred, or that this has been slight, and death has taken place speedily, then we may expect to find abundant traces of the poison in the viscera. If no poison should be found in the stomach, the contents of the duodenum and the other small intestines, as well as of the rectum, must be separately examined. If the poison be of a mineral nature, and cannot be detected in the contents, it must be sought for in the tissnes of the viscera, especially of the liver and spleen.

It is obvious that one or several of these sources of chemical evidence may be wanting, and it is rare in any one case of criminal poisoning that all are open to the medical witness. The detection of poison in the vomited matters during life, and in the viscera after death, is of course the most satisfactory kind of chemical evidence; since, exteris paribos, it is a clear proof of poison having really been taken. It is difficult to admit the supposition that it should have been designedly introduced after death; besides, in such a case, the absence of all marks of vital reaction, and of any symptoms during life indicative of poisoning, would remove such a suspicion. If the poison be deteeted in the tissues of any of the organs, there can be no doubt of its having been introduced juto the body during life. The presence of poison in the viscera or their contents, with such marks of vital reaction as are known to be produced by the particular substance, as, for instance, inflammation in the case of the irritants, affords the strongest presumptive evidence of death from poison, open to be rebutted by other proofs of death from disease, under which the deceased might have been labouring at the time.

Causes of the non-detection of poison.—But let us take the ease, that chemical evidence is entirely wanting, and that no poison is detected under any of the circumstances mentioned: if there be other facts to render death from poisoning probable, we must endeavour to explain why this important branch of evidence has failed. There are few medical jurists who have not met with eases where, although undoubtedly death was occasioned by poison, whether irritant or narcotic, not a trace of the substance could be detected in the solids or liquids of the body. The non-discovery of poisons in cases of poisoning may depend, lst. on the volatile nature of the poison; 2d. ou its having been ejected by vomiting and purging; 8d. on its having been removed by absorption and elimination; 4th. by treatment; 5th. by putrefaction.

Objects of a chemical analysis.—A chemical analysis is commonly directed in toxicology to the determination of two points;—1. Of the nature of the poison. 2. Of the proportion, or quantity, in which it has been taken.

1. The nature of the poison, and the probable quantity administered, are usually stated in the indictment; but it is not absolutely necessary for conviction, that the substance thus stated, should be proved to

have been that which was actually administered. The purposes of the law are considered to be fulfilled if the kind of death be substantially proved: thus it is only necessary to prove that the person was poi-A man may be indicted for administering corrosive sublimate; but the medical evidence may shew that the poison was in reality arsenie or prussic acid; -still the prisoner may be convicted of the crime, the variance in the means alleged being immaterial. This is, in many respects, fortunate; since a person may be convicted in spite of any imperfections existing in the original analysis.

2. The quantity of poison administered is generally stated conjecturally; but it is sometimes in the power of a witness to give a tolerably accurate statement of the quantity taken, when any portion of the original vehicle of the poisou is discovered. Thus, all solid substances given for analysis should be first weighed; - and all liquids incasured: a quantitative analysis may then be performed at any subsequent period. The chief question in law in regard to the quantity of poison is: whether it was sufficient to destroy life, or to produce any serious effects? Thus, the malicious intention of a prisoner is often to be inferred from the quantity of poison existing in the substance administered. A case occurred some years since, in which a man was capitally indicted for administering oxalic acid with intent to murder. The poison was introduced into coffee, served for the prosecutor's breakfast. There could be no doubt of its presence; but on estimating the quantity, Mr. Barry discovered that it was only in the proportion of about ten grains to a pint, a quantity which he considered insufficient to produce any serious effects on the body. prisoner was acquitted; but it is obvious, that had the proportiou been an ounce to a pint, the malice of his act would have been apparent. This case shows that a medical jurist must not be content with merely determining the presence of poison in suspected liquids,—he should also determine the quantity. The law presumes upon the innoccuce rather than upon the guilt of an accused party, when the evidence fails in showing, from the small quantity of the poison administered, that the act was malicious. If a man gave to another a few drops of sulphuric acid in a large quantity of water, we should not infer that his intention was to murder; but if he administered a large quantity of the acid in an undiluted state, the maliee of the act would be at onec apparent. Presumptions of this kind must, of course, be affected, as well by the nature of the poison, as by the moral circumstances addreed in evidence. A prisoner has sometimes alleged in his defence, that he did not know the substance to be a poison, and that he did not administer it with intent to kill. The law, however, properly infers that the highly destructive properties of such substances as arsenie or corrosive sublimate, must have been well known to the prisoner, if an adult, by common repute.

Quantity of poison found in the body.-It need hardly be observed, that the quantity found in the stomach or viscera, can convey no idea of the quantity actually administered; since more or less of the poison may have been removed by violent vomiting and purging as well as by But the quantity found in the stomach, even after a portion has been thus lost, is often more than sufficient to destroy the life of a human being. It is singular that, notwithstanding this very obvious cause for the removal of a poison from the stomach, harristers should so frequently address the inquiry to a medical witnesswhether the quantity of poison found in the viscera was sufficient to cause death? Whether this question be answered in the affirmative or negative, is a matter which cannot at all affect the case, since either no traces of poison, or hut a very small portion, may be found in the viscera, and yet the deceased may have assuredly died from its effects. Absorbed arsenie, as it exists in the tissues, is never found except in very minute proportion. (See post, Arsenic.) Thus, then, whether much or little be detected, the object of this question is not very apparent; since the fact of death having been caused by poison does not, in the least degree, rest upon the precise quantity which happens to remain in the dead body. It has been truly remarked by Orfila, in regard to arsenic, and it equally applies to all poisons, that that portion which is found in the stomach is not that which has caused death; but the surplus of the quantity which has produced fatal effects by its absorption into the system. The inquiry should therefore be directed to the probable quantity of poison taken; not to how much remains iu the body.

This question is one of more importance than may at first sight There is searcely a trial for criminal poisoning, in which it is not put to a medical witness, either by the judge, or the conusel for the prosecution or defeuec. Supposing poison to be found in the stomach, but not in sufficient quantity to destroy life,—is it therefore to be assumed that the person did not die from its effects? This would be equal to laying down the doctrine, in face of the most indisputable evidence to the contrary,—that poisons, when taken into the body, are never liable to be expelled by vomiting or purging, or to be removed from the stomach by absorption! The real object of the toxicologist is to diseover the poison by clear and undoubted evidence. If more than sufficient to cause death be discovered, then the dose must have been larger than was necessary; but if this proof be always required, what is to become of those cases of criminal poisoning in which the prisoner administers a dose only just sufficient to destroy life, or in which the deceased, by the strength of his constitution, happens to survive the effects for some days or weeks, and ultimately dies of exhaustion? No poison would be detected under these circumstances. The accused parties should either be acquitted, or one cannot see the object of putting such a question in any case. Orfila has most completely demonstrated the fallacy of this objection to medical evidence, and the danger of a Court of law relying upon it. (See Ann. D'Hyg. 1845, i. 347; also Toxicologic, ii. 731.)

Danger of premature opinions.—During the examination of a suspected substance, a practitioner is often pressed to give an opinion respecting its nature, before the steps of the process are complete. This may arise from the anxiety or curiosity of those who are interested in the proceedings. There is a rule, however, which it appears to me should always he followed on these occasions; namely, that no opinion whatever should be expressed until the whole of the analysis is complete. It often happens, in the hands of the ahlest analyst, that the last steps of a process lead to a result very different from that which was anticipated at the commencement. The truth is, it is not by one character, but by many, that a poison is identified; and, therefore, a suspicion derived from a few incipient experiments, is very likely to be overthrown by continuing the investigation. In the Boughton case, Dr. Rattray gave an opinion in the first instance, that the poison administered to the deceased was arsenic; but he subsequently attributed death to laurel-water. A case occurred, within my knowledge, where arsenic was pronounced to be present, when sulphuric acid was really In another case, tried at the Kingston Assizes in 1832, the medical witness admitted that, at the coroner's inquest, he stated the poison to be arsenic, but by subsequent experiments he found that it was oxalic acid. In a case which occurred in February, 1845, the poison was at first stated to be oxalic acid, but on a more careful examination, it was shown to be arsenic! Coroners are not sufficiently careful in selecting persons to conduct analyses of this kind; hence it is by no means surprising that such mistakes should be frequently made.

This mistake respecting the nature of a poison not merely impedes the course of justice, by throwing a doubt upon evidence which ought to be, he youd all question, clear and satisfactory; but it seriously affects the reputation of a witness. It entirely arises from his giving an opinion before he is justified by the facts in so doing. It is, I think, a well-marked line of duty to be pursued on these occasions;-1. That no opinion should be formed from a few experiments: and 2. That no opinion should be expressed until the analysis is completed. It is obvious that, if a man be compelled to admit in cross-examination at a trial for poisoning, that he has once been mistaken on a question so important, and requiring so decided an answer, a jury may be easily induced to helieve that the witness may have made a second mistake, and that his then positive opinion is of no more value than that which he first expressed, and afterwards retracted. (On the danger of trusting to an imperfect chemical analysis, see Annales d'Hygiène, 1829, ii. 405; xxvi. 399; xxix. 103, 474.)

CHAPTER V.

RULES TO BE OBSERVED IN INVESTIGATING A CASE OF POISONING—WITH RESPECT TO THE PATIENT WHILE LIVING—THE INSPECTION OF THE BODY—THE EXHUMATION OF BODIES—DISPOSAL OF THE VISCERA. IDENTITY OF SUBSTANCES. PRESERVATION OF ARTICLES FOR ANALYSIS. ON THE USE OF NOTES—WHEN ALLOWED TO BE USED IN EVIDENCE—MEDICO-LEGAL REPORTS.

WHEN a practitioner is called to a case of poisoning, it is above all things necessary that he should know to what points he ought to give his attention. It is very proper that every effort should be made by him to save life when the individual is still living: but while engaged in one duty, it is also in his power to perform another, supposing the case to be one of suspected criminal poisoning,—namely, to note down many circumstances which may tend to detect the perpetrator of the There is no person so well fitted to observe these points as a medical man; but it unfortunately happens, that many facts important as evidence, are often overlooked. The necessity for observing and recording them is not perhaps generally known. A medical man need not make himself officious on such occasions, but he would be sadly mimindful of his duty as a member of society, if he did not aid the course of justice by extending his scientific knowledge to the detection of crime. It is much to the credit of the medical profession, that the crime of murder by poisoning—a form of death from which no caution or foresight can protect an individual, is so frequently brought to light, by the announcement of suspicions facts of a medical nature to magistrates and eoroncrs; and on several occasions the highest compliments have been passed by judges, on medical practitioners who have been thus indirectly the means of bringing an atrocious criminal to the bar of justice.

The following appear to me to be the principal points which demand the attention of a medical jurist in all cases of suspected poisoning:—1. With respect to

SYMPTOMS.

1. The time of their occurrence,—their nature. 2. The exact period at which they were observed to take place after a meal, or after food or medicine had been taken. 3. The order of their occurrence. 4. Whether there was any remission or intermission in their progress, or, whether they continued to become more and more aggravated until death. 5. Whether the patient had laboured under any previous illness. 6. Whether the symptoms were observed to recur more violently after a particular meal, or after any particular kind of food

or medicine. 7. Whether the patient has vomited:—the vomited matters, if any (especially those first ejected), to be procured:—their odour, colour, and acid or alkaline reaction noted,—as well as their quantity. 8. If none be procurable, and the vomiting have taken place on the dress, furniture, or floor of a room,—then a portion of the clothing, sheet, or earpet, may be cut out and reserved for analysis:—if the vomiting have occurred on a deal floor, a portion of the wood may be scraped or cut out:-or if on a stone pavement, then a clean piece of rag or sponge soaked in distilled water, may be used to remove any traces of the substance. Some years since, an animal was poisoned by arsenie. None of the poison could be detected in the stomach, but it was easily found in a portion of deal floor, rendered humid by the liquid matters which the animal had vomited during the night. The vessel in which vomited matters have been contained will often furnish valuable evidence, since heavy mineral poisons fall to the bottom, or adhere to the sides of the vessel. Endeavour to ascertain the probable nature of the food or medicine last taken, and the exact time at which it was taken. 10. Ascertain the nature of all the different articles of food used at a meal. 11. Any suspected articles of food, as well as the vomited matters, to be as soon as possible scaled up in a clean glass vessel, labelled, and reserved for analysis. 12. Note down, in their own words, all explanations voluntarily made by parties present, or who are supposed to be concerned in the suspected poisoning. 13. Whether more than one person partook of the food or medicine: - if so, whether all these persons were affected, and how? 14. Whether the same kind of food or medicine had been taken before by the patient or other persons without ill effects following. In the event of the death of the patient, it will be necessary for a practitioner to note down—15. The exact time of death, and thus determine how long a period the person has survived after having been first attacked with the symptoms. 16. Observe the attitude and position of the body. 17. Observe the state of the dress. 18. Observe all surrounding objects. Any bottles. paper-packets, weapons, or spilled liquids lying about, should be collected and preserved. 19. Collect any vomited matters near the deceased. Observe whether vomiting has taken place in the recumbent position or not. If the person have vomited in the erect or sitting posture, the front of the dress will commonly be found covered with the vomited matters.

INSPECTION OF THE BODY.

20. Note the external appearances of the body, whether the surface be livid or pallid. 21. Note the state of the countenance. 22. Note all marks of violence on the person or discomposure of the dress,—marks of blood, &c. 23. Observe the presence or absence of warmth or eoldness in the legs, arms, abdomen, mouth, or axillæ. 24. The presence of rigidity or cadaverous spasm in the body. To give any

value to the two last-mentioned characters, it is necessary for the practitioner to observe the nature of the floor on which the body is lying, whether this be clothed or naked, young or old, fat or emaciated. All these conditions create a difference, in respect to the cooling of the body and the access of rigidity. 25. If found dead-When was the deceased last seen living, or known to have been alive? 26. Note all circumstances leading to a suspicion of suicide or murder. 27. The time after death at which the inspection is made. 28. Observe the state of the abdominal viscera. If the stomach and intestines be found inflamed, the seat of inflammation should be exactly specified; also all marks of softening, ulceration, effusion of blood, corrosion, or perforation. The stomach should be removed and placed in a separate vessel, a ligature being applied at the cardiac and pyloric ends. The contents of the stomach should be collected in a clean graduated vessel:—notice α the quantity, b the odour tried by several persons, c the colour, d acid or alkaline reaction, e presence of blood, mucus, or bile; f presence of undigested food: and here it may be as well to observe, that the presence of farinaceous matters (bread) would be indicated by the addition of iodine water, if the contents were not alkaline—of fat, by heat; q other special characters. 30. The contents of the duodenum should be senarately collected, ligatures being applied 31. Observe the state of the large intestines, especially the rectum, and note the condition of their contents. The discovery of hardened fæces in the rectum would prove that diarrheea had not existed recently before death. In one case which I had lately to examine, this became a question of considerable importance. 32. The state of the larynx, fauces, and asophagus, - whether there be in these parts any foreign substances, or marks of inflammation or corrosion. This is of essential importance, as it throws light upon the question, whether the poison swallowed was irritant or corrosive, and whether it had or had not a local chemical action. 33. The state of the thoracic viscera :--all morbid changes noted, 34. The state of the brain. 35. The condition of the genital organs should be examined, as in the female, poison has been sometimes introduced into the system by the vagina.

Such are the points to which, in the greater number of cases of suspected poisoning, a incideal jurist should attend. By means of these data, noted according to the particular case to which they are adapted, he will in general be enabled, without difficulty, to determine the probable time of death, the probable cause of death, and the actual means by which death was brought about. He may thereby have it in his power also to point out the dish which had contained the poison, if the case be one of poisoning; and to throw light npon any disputed question of suicide or murder in relation to the deceased. Many cases of poisoning are obscure, owing to these points not having been attended to in the first instance.

I have not considered it necessary to enter into any details respect-

ing the mode of performing an inspection. This the practitioner will have acquired during his study of anatomy; and the only essential points in addition to those mentioned, are-1. To examine all the important organs for marks of natural disease; and 2. To note down any musual pathological appearances, or abnormal deviations; although they may at the time appear to have no bearing on the question of poisoning. It is useful to bear in mind on these occasions, that the body is inspected, not merely to show that the individual has died from poison, but to prove that he has not died from any natural cause of disease. Medical practitioners commonly direct their attention exclusively to the first point; while lawyers, who defend accused parties, very properly direct a most searching examination to the last mentioned point, i. e. the healthy or unhealthy state of those organs which are essential to life, and with which the poison has not probably come in contact. The most usual causes of sudden death commonly have their seat in the brain, the heart and its great vessels, and in the Marks of effusion of blood, congestion, inflammation, suppuration, or a diseased condition of the valves of the heart, should be sought for and accurately noted, whatever may be the condition of the abdominal viscera. It has also been recommended that an examination of the spinal marrow should be made. If the cause of death be very obsence after the general examination of the body, there may be some reason for inspecting the condition of this organ; but except in the case of Tawell, I have never known the omission to examine the spinal marrow, made a serious part of the defence.

Exhumation of bodies. - Sometimes the inspection of a body is required to be made long after interment. So long as the collin remains entire, there may be the expectation of discovering certain kinds of mineral poison in the organs; but decomposition may have advanced so far as to destroy all pathological evidence. The inspection is in such eases commonly confined to the abdominal viscera. The stomach is often found so thinned and collapsed, that the naterior and posterior walls appear to form only one coat. This organ should be removed, with the dnodenum, and ligatures applied to each. The liver and the spleen should also be removed, in order that they may, if necessary, be separately analysed. If poison be not found in these viscera, it is not likely that it will be discovered in the body. It has been recommended that a portion of earth immediately above and below the coffin should be removed for analysis, as it may contain arsenic; but this appears to me to be an unnecessary piece of refinement, in those cases where the coffin is entire, or where the abdominal parietes still cover the viscera. When decomposition is so far advanced as to have led to a mixture of the earth with the viscera, and the poison is found in minute quantity in the tissues only, the case may be regarded as beyond the reach of analysis. Upon such hyperchemical views it might be fairly objected, that arsenic always exists in the iron and brass-nails and ornaments which are used in a coffin; and this arsenic is just as

likely to furnish a valid objection to medico-legal rescarches as that which is said to be a constituent of all soils in which oxide of iron abounds!

It is important that the viscera taken from a body which has been long in the grave should be sealed up immediately. They should not be allowed to come in contact with any metal, nor with any surface except that of clean glass, porcelain, or wood. It has been recommended that they should be washed with chloride of lime, or placed in alcohol; but this is decidedly improper: the use of any preservative chemical liquid would not only embarrass the future analysis, but would render a special examination of an unused portion of the liquid necessary—the identity of which would have to be unequivocally established. Preservation from air in clean glass vessels, with well-fitted corks, covered with skin, or, what is still better, sheet-caoutchoue, is all that is required in practice.

IDENTITY OF SUBSTANCES.

It is necessary to observe, that all legal authorities rigorously insist upon proof being adduced of the identity of the vomited matters or other liquids taken from the body of a deceased person, when poisoning is suspected. Supposing that during the post-mortem examination the stomach and viscera are removed from the body, they should never be placed on any surface, or in any vessel, until we have first ascertained that the surface or vessel is perfectly clean. If this point be not attended to, it will be in the power of counsel to raise a doubt in the minds of the jury, as to whether the poisonous substance might not have been accidentally present in the vessel used. This may be regarded as a very remote presumption; but, nevertheless, it is upon technical objections of this kind, that acquittals follow, in spite of the strongest presumptions of guilt. This is a question for which every medical witness should be prepared, whether he be giving his evidence at a coroner's inquest, or in a Court of law. Many might feel disposed to regard matters of this kind as involving nnnecessary niccty and care; but if they be neglected, it is possible that a case may be at once stopped; so that any care bestowed upon the chemical analysis by the practitioner, will thus have been thrown away. Evidence of the presence of poison in the contents of a stomach was once rejected in a Court of law, because they had been hastily thrown into a jar horrowed from a neighbouring grocer's shop; and it could not he satisfactorily proved that the jar was clean and entirely free from traces of poison (in which the grocer dealt) when used for this purpose. When the life of a human being is at stake, as in a charge of murder by poisoning, the slightest doubt is always very properly interpreted in favour of a prisoner.

Not only must clean vessels be used for receiving any liquid destined for subsequent chemical analysis, but care must be taken by the practitioner that the *identity* of a substance is preserved, or the most

correct analysis afterwards made, will be inadmissible as evidence. The suspected substance, when once placed in his hands, should never be let out of his sight or custody. It should be kept sealed under his private scal, and locked up while in his possession, in a closet to which no other person has a key. If he has once let it out of his hands, and allowed it to pass through the hands of several other persons, then he complicates the evidence for the prosecution, by rendering it indispensable for these parties to state under what circumstances it was placed while in their possession. The exposure of a suspected substance on a table, or in a closet or room, to which many have access, may be fatal to its identity; for the chemical evidence, so important in a criminal investigation, will probably be altogether rejected by the A few years ago, a case was tried on the Norfolk circuit, in which the analysis of the matters vomited by a person poisoued by arscuic, was not admitted as evidence against the prisoner, because the practitioner had left them in the keeping of two ignorant women; and these women had allowed the vessel containing the suspected liquid (which was proved to contain arsenic) to be exposed in a room open to the access of many persons. In another case, tried at the Old Bailey Sessions in 1835, the analysis of some suspected liquids was not allowed in cyidence, because the practitioner, who lived in the country, and was unwilling to take upon himself the responsibility of analysing them, had sent them up to town by a carrier, to be examined by a London chemist. If closely sealed by a private seal, and this be observed by the receiver to be unbroken, before he proceeds to the analysis—this mode of transmission will not probably be objected to. When any article (e. g. a stomach or other viscos) is reserved for analysis, care should be taken to attach immediately to it, or the vessel containing it, a label upon which is plainly written in ink, the name of the deceased and the date of removal, including the day of the week and month. This is especially necessary when there are two or more articles for analysis. I have known the greatest inconvenience to result from the neglect of this simple precaution.

Preserving articles for analysis.—In removing viscera or liquids from the body, and reserving them for analysis, it is necessary to observe certain precantions. A clean vessel with a wide mouth should be selected: it should be only sufficiently large to hold the viscus or liquid (the less air remaining in it the better); it should be secured by a closely fitting cork, covered with fine skin or bladder. Another piece of skin should then be tied over the month, or for this sheet-caoutchouc may be substituted with advantage. This should be covered with tin-foil, and lastly with white leather. In this way any loss by evaporation or decomposition is prevented, and the viscera may be preserved (in a cool place) for some time. If the mouth of the vessel be too wide for a cork, the other articles cannot be dispensed with. Paper only should not be used: I have known the postmortem appearances of the viscera of an infant, suspected to have

died from poison, entirely destroyed by drying, from the evaporation which took place through the layers of paper with which the vessel in which they were contained, was covered. The practitioner should bear in mind that all these matters are likely to come out in evidence; and whatever is worth doing at all, is worth doing well. For reasons already stated, no antiseptic should be used. The addition of alum or alcohol to the viscera, may seriously embarrass the analysis.

On the use of Notes.—It has already been recommended as a rule in these eriminal investigations, that a practitioner should make notes of what he observes in regard to symptoms, post-mortem appearances, and the results of a chemical analysis. From the common forms of law in this country, an individual charged with the crime of poisoning may remain imprisoned, if at a distance from the metropolis, for some months before he is brought to trial. It is obvious, however clear the circumstances may at the time appear to the practitioner, that it will require more than ordinary powers of memory to retain for so long a period a distinct recollection of all the facts of the ease. If he be unprovided with notes, and his memory be defective, then the case will turn in favour of the prisoner, for he will be the party to benefit by the neglect of the witness. In adopting the plan here recommended, such a result may be easily prevented. It may be remarked, that the law relative to the admissibility of notes or memoranda in evidence is very strict, and is rigorously insisted on by the judges. In order to render such notes or memoranda admissible, it is indispensably necessary that they should be taken on the spot at the time the observatious are made, or as soon afterwards as practicable.

Medico-legal Reports.—One of the duties of the medical jurist is to draw up a report of the results of his examination: 1, in regard to symptoms; 2, in regard to the post-mortem appearances; and, 3, in regard to the results of an analysis. With respect to the two first divisions of the report, I must refer the reader to the rules for investigating cases of poisoning (ante, p. 32). hardly observe, that the time at which the person was first seen, and the circumstances under which the attendance of the practitioner was required, as well as the period of death, should be particularly stated. The hour, the day of the week, and the day of the month, should be invariably mentioned. Some medical witnesses merely state the day of the week, without that of the month, or vice versa. At a trial this creates great confusion, by rendering a reference to almanacks necessary. The words yesterday, next day, &c. should never be used. The facts which it will be necessary to enter in the report, are specially stated under the heads of investigation (see p. 32, 33). If these facts be not observed in the order there set down, their value as evidence of the cause of death, or of the criminality of particular parties, will be entirely lost. In drawing up a report of symptoms and postmortem appearances, the facts should be in the first instance plainly and coneisely stated seriatim, in language easily intelligible to nonprofessional persons. A reporter is not called upon to display his cruditiou, but to make himself understood. If technical terms are employed, their meaning should be stated in parentheses. When a subject is thoroughly understood, there can he no difficulty in rendering it in simple language; and when it is not well understood, the practitioner is not in a position to make a report. Magistrates, coroners, and barristers are very acute, and easily detect iguorance, even when it appears under the mask of crudition.

In recording facts, a reporter should not encumber his statements with opinious and inferences. His conclusions should be reserved until the end of the report. The language in which conclusions are expressed, should be precise and clear. It must be remembered that these are to form a concise summary of the whole report, upon which the judgment of a magistrate or the decision of a coroner's jury will be ultimistely based. They should be most strictly kept to the matters which are the subject of inquiry. Thus, they commonly refer to the following questions. What was the cause of death? What are the medical circumstances which lead you to suppose that death was not caused by natural disease? Answers to one or all of these questions comprise in general, all that the reporter is required to introduce into the conclusions of his report.

The reporter must remember, that his conclusions are to be based only upon medical facts,—not upon moral circumstances, unless he be specially required to express an opinion with regard to them, when they are of a medico-moral uature. Further, they must be based only on what he has himself seen or observed. Any information derived from others, should not be made the basis of an opinion in a medico-legal report. It is scarcely necessary to remark, that a couclusion

based upon mere probabilities is of no value as evidence.

In drawing up a report on the results of a chemical analysis, the following rules may be borne in mind. A liquid or solid is reserved for analysis. 1. When, and of whom, or how received? 2. In what state was it received—secured in any way, or exposed? 3. If more than one substance received, each to be separately and distinctly labelled; appearance of the vessel, its capacity, and the quantity of liquid (by measure) or solid (by weight) contained therein. 4. Where and when did you proceed to make the analysis, and where was the substance kept during the intermediate period? 5. Did any one assist you, or did you make the analysis yourself? 6. Physical characters of the substance. 7. Processes and tests employed for determining whether it contained poison. All the steps of these processes need not be described; -a general outline of the analysis will suffice. The magistrate may thus satisfy himself by an appeal to others (if necessary) to say whether the analysis has or has not been properly made. 8. Supposing the substance to contain poison,—is this in a pure state, or mixed with any other body? 9. The strength of the poison, if an acid or if it be in solution; in all cases the quantity of poison present. 10. Supposing no poison to be contained in it, what was the nature of the substance? Did it contain anything likely to injure health or destroy life? 11. Could the supposed poisonous substance exist naturally or be produced within the body. 12. What quantity of the poison discovered would suffice to destroy life, and how far is the dose likely to be modified by age or disease.

There are few reports in which answers to most of these questions, although not formally put, will not be required: and unless the whole of them be borne in mind by the operator at the time an analysis is undertaken, those which are omitted can never receive an answer, however important to the ends of justice that answer may ultimately become.

The results of analysis in the shape of sublimates or precipitates should be preserved as evidence distinctly labelled to correspond with the report, in small glass tubes hermetically sealed. In this way they may be produced for examination at the inquest or trial.

IRRITANT POISONS.

CHAPTER VI.

DIVISION OF IRRITANT POISONS. SULPHURIC ACID OR OIL OF VITRIOL. SYMPTOMS CAUSED BY THIS POISON IN THE CONCENTRATED AND DILUTED STATE—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES. QUANTITY OF ACID REQUIRED TO DESTROY LIFE—FATAL DOSES—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE—TREATMENT—CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—MODE OF DETECTING THE POISON IN PURE AND MIXED LIQUIDS—THE ACID NOT ALWAYS FOUND IN THE STOMACH—ITS DETECTION IN ARTICLES OF CLOTHING—POISONING BY SULPHATE OF INDIGO.

General remarks.—Irriant poisons may be divided into four groups—the non-metallic—the metalloids—the metallic—and those of an organic nature, i. e. derived from the vegetable and animal kingdoms. The non-metallic irritants compriso the mineral acids, oxalic acid, the alkalies, and their salts. According to strict chemical views, the alkalies and their salts should be placed among the metallic irritants; but it will be, in many respects, convenient to consider them in the same group with the acids. Besides, although they certainly have metallic bases, the demonstration of the existence of the metal is never required at the hands of a medical jurist, as in the case of the true metallic irritants. Among the mineral acids, we shall first speak of poisoning by sulphuric acid.

SULPHURIC ACID, OR OIL OF VITRIOL.

Symptoms.—When this poison is swallowed in a concentrated form, the symptoms produced, come on immediately or during the act of swallowing. There is violent burning pain, extending through the fauces and esophagus to the stomach—the pain is often so severe, that the body is bent. There is an escape of gaseous and frothy matter, followed by retehing and vomiting, the latter accompanied by the discharge of shreds of tough mueus and of a liquid of a dark coffee-ground colour, mixed with blood. The mouth is excoriated, the lining membrane and surface of the tongue white, or resembling soaked parchment—in one instance the appearance of the mouth was as if it had been smeared with white paint: after a time, the membrane acquires a grey or brownish colour; the cavity is filled with a

thick viseid sputa, rendering speaking and deglutition very difficult. If the poison has been administered by a spoon, or the phial containing it has been passed to the back of the fances, the mouth may escape the chemical action of the acid. A medical witness must bear this circumstance in mind, when he is called to examine a young infant suspected to have been poisoned by sulphuric acid. Around the lips and on the neck, may be found spots of a brown colour from the action of the acid on the skin. There is extreme difficulty of hreathing, owing to the swelling and execriation of the fauces and larynx; -and the least motion of the abdominal muscles is attended with increase of pain. These symptoms have been sometimes mistaken for those of disease. (Henke, Zeitschrift der. S. A. 1843, ii. The stomach is so irritable, that whatever is swallowed, is immediately ejected, and the vomiting is often violent and incessant. The matters first vomited generally contain the poison; they are acid, and if they fall on a limestone pavement there is effervescence, if on coloured articles of dress, the colour is sometimes altered to a red, or (if logwood), yellow,—the colour is discharged and the texture of the stuff destroyed:—on a hlack cloth dress, the spots produced by the concentrated acid are brown, and remain moist for a considerable time. An attention to these circumstances may often lead to a suspicion of the real cause of the symptoms, when the facts are con-After a time there is great exhaustion, accompanied by general weakness:—the pulso becomes quick and small; the skin cold, and covered with a clammy sweat. There is generally great thirst with obstinate constipation of the howels;—should any evacuations take place, they are commonly either of a dark brown or leaden colour,—in some instances almost black, arising from the admixture of altered blood. There are sometimes convulsive motions of the muscles, especially of those of the face and lips. The countenance is pale, expressive of great anxiety, and of the most dreadful suffering. The intellectual faculties are quite clear, and death usually takes place very suddenly, in from eighteen to twenty-four hours after the poison has been taken.

When the acid is diluted, the symptoms are much of the same character, but less severe, and not so quickly produced. They vary according to the degree of dilution, the poison acting only as an irritant when much diluted. The vomited matters are not so dark-coloured: in one instance they were nearly colourless.

Local action. — The local action of sulphuric acid on the fances and esophagus is very energetic: the lining membrane is stripped off in shreds, or poels off in large masses. In a case mentioned hy Sobernheim, the lining membrane of the mouth, tongue, and fances, came off in one mass. In another related by Dr. Wilson, the patient, during a violent fit of conghing, hrought up a large piece of sloughy membrane, which was found to consist of the inner coat of the esophagus much thickened and very firm in texture. Its length was eight or

oinc inches, and its width, that of the esophagus; it was of a cylindrical form, and pervious throughout its whole extent (Med. Gazette, xiv. 489.) This has been observed to occur in several other cases. If the patient survive some days, the motions, which are of a leaden colour, will be found to contain portions of disorganized membrane, from the action of the acid on the stomach and bowels.

The acid produces asphyxia.—This poison may destroy life without reaching the stomach, a fact sometimes observed in the cases of young children. The laryux is then acted on;—the rima glottidis becomes closed by the swelling of the surrounding parts, and the child dies suffocated. In such cases, death takes place very rapidly. I have found that rabbits, to which this poison was given, died from this cause in the course of a few minutes. Mr. Quain met with the case of a child which became asphyxiated under these circumstances, while he was performing the operation of tracheotomy. The child was recovered by inflating the luogs, but died three days afterwards of bronchitis. On inspection, it was found that the acid had not even reached the esophagus. (Lancet, Oct. 29, 1836.) By this local action on the laryux, sulphuric acid may easily cause death by suffocation. A similar case is related by Dr. A. T. Thomson, Lancet, Juoc 10, 1837.

Post-mortem appearances. - It has been remarked, that these are not always to be found in the stomach; they may be confined to the region of the fauces and larvnx. In an inspection of the body, the whole course of the alimentary canal, from the month downwards, onght to he examined; since in recent or acute cases, it is in the esophagus and fauces that we generally obtain strong evidence of the action of a corrosive poison. The discovery of the usual marks of corrosion in these parts, is always highly corroborative of the signs of poisoning found in the stomach. During the inspection, the examiner must not omit to notice any spots on the skin produced by the action of the acid:—these are commonly of a dark brown colour, and are situated about the mouth, lips, and neck. The appearances met with in the body will vary, according to whether death has taken place rapidly or slowly. Supposing the case to have proved fatal very rapidly, the membrane lining the mouth will be found white, softened, and corroded; but this appearance may be absent. The mneous membrane of the fauces and asophagus will commonly be found corroded, having sometimes a brownish or ash-grey colour. The corroded membrane of the esophagus is occasionally disposed in longitudinal plice, portions of it being partly detached. The stomach, if not perforated, is collapsed and contracted. On laying it open, the contents are commonly found of a dark brown or black colour, and of a tarry consistency, being formed in great part of mucus and altered blood. The contents may or may not be acid, according to the timo the patient has survived, and the treatment which has been

adopted. On removing them, the stomach may be seen traversed by black striæ, or the whole of the mucous membrane may be corrugated, aud of a dark brown or black colour. This blackness is not removed by washing. On stretching the stomach, traces of inflammation may be found between the rugæ, indicated by a deep crimson-red colour. On removing the blackened membrane, the red colour indicative of inflammation may be also seen in the parts beneath. Both the dark colour and marks of inflammation are sometimes partial, being confined to insulated portions of the mncous membrane. When the stomach is perforated, the coats are softened, and the edge of the aperture is commonly black and irregular. In removing the stomach, the aperture is apt to be made larger by the mere weight of the organ. The contents do not always escape; but when this happens the surrounding viscera are attacked by the poison. In a case which occurred at Guy's Hospital, the spleen, the liver, and the coats of the aorta, were found blackened and corroded by the acid, which had escaped through the perforation.

It is important for the medical witness to bear in mind, that the condition of the fauces and esophagus above described, is not constantly met with. Strange as it may appear, cases are recorded in which, notwithstanding the introduction of the poison into the sto-

mach, the esophagus has escaped its chemical action.

When the acid has been taken in a diluted state, the marks of inflammation on the mucous membrane are more decided, and the charring is not so considerable. Nevertheless, the acid, unless too much diluted, acts upon and darkens the blood in the vessels, as well as that contained in the stomach, although it may exert no carboniz-

ing action on the mucous membrane, or on the contents.

Quantity required to destroy life.—The dangerous effects of this poison appear to arise more from its degree of concentration, than from the absolute quantity taken. The quantity actually required to prove fatal, must depend on many circumstances. If the stomach be full when it is swallowed, the action of the acid may be spent on the food and not on the stomach; and a larger quantity might thus be taken, than would suffice to destroy life if the organ were empty. The smallest quantity which is described as having proved fatal, was in the following case. Half a tea-spoonful of concentrated sulphuric acid was given to a child about a year old by mistake for castor oil. The usual symptoms came on, with great disturbance of the respiratory functions; and the child died in twenty-four hours. The quantity here taken could not have exceeded forty drops. (Mcd. Gaz. xxix. 147.) It is, however, doubtful whether this small quantity would have proved fatal to an adult. The smallest fatal dose which Dr. Christison states he has found recorded was one drachm; it was taken, by mistake, by a stout young man, and killed him in seven days. (Op. cit. 162.)

Period at which death takes place .- It has been already stated,

that the average period at which death takes place in cases of acute poisoning by sulphuric acid, is from eighteen to twenty-four hours. When the stomach is perforated by it, it proves more speedily fatal. In one instance, reported by Dr. Sinclair, a child about four years old died in four hours—the stomach was perforated. When the poison acts upon the larvnx, death may be a still more speedy consequence from suffocation; and owing to this, it appears to be more rapidly fatal to children than adults. Dr. Craigic mentions a case in which three ounces of concentrated sulphuric acid destroyed life in three hours and a half; but the shortest case on record is, perhaps, that mentioned by Remer in Hufeland's Journal. In this instance death took place in two hours. A case is reported by Mr. Watson, in which a woman swallowed two ounces of the strong acid. She died in half an hour, but it appears that a quarter of an hour before death she had made a deep wound in her throat, which gave rise to great hæmorrhage. The stomach was found very extensively perforated: but it is highly probable that the wound accelerated death in this case.

Ou the other hand, there are numerous instances reported, in which the poison proved fatal from secondary causes, at periods varying from one week to several months.

Treatment.—Calcined magnesia or the carbonate of magnesia, finely levigated and mixed with milk or water, may be exhibited as speedily as possible. In the absence of these remedies, finely powdered chalk or whiting may be given. Although it is the general practice to recommend magnesia and chalk, it appears to me, from a case which I lately had the opportunity of examining, that a solution of carbonate of soda or potash, properly diluted, would act more effectually and more speedily in neutralizing the poison. The insoluble particles of magnesia adhere closely to the mucous membrane, and do not readily cone into contact with the acid.

It is worthy of remark, that several cases of recovery have taken place, where no chemical antidotes were administered. The treatment consisted simply in the exhibition of large quantities of gruel and milk; and there is no doubt, that any thick viscid liquid of this description, as, for example, linseed-oil, albumen, or flour and water, must act beneficially, hy combining with the acid and arresting its corrosive effects. In short, such a liquid would act much in the same way as the presence of a large quantity of food is known to act, when the acid is swallowed soon after a meal. In all cases, it would be advisable to combine the use of chemical antidotes, with the copious administration of mucilaginous drinks.

Chemical analysis.—This acid may be met with either concentrated or diluted; and a medical jurist may have to examine it under three conditions:—1. In its simple state.—2. When mixed with organic matters, as with liquid articles of food or in the contents of the stomach.—3. On solid organic substances, as where the acid has been thrown or spilled on articles of dress or clothing.

In the simple state.—If concentrated, it possesses these properties:
—1. A piece of wood or other organic matter plunged into it, is immediately carbonized or charred—2. When boiled with wood, copper cuttings, or mercury, it evolves fumes of sulphurous acid; this is immediately known by the odour, as well as by the acid vapour first rendering blue, and theu bleaching starch-paper dipped in a solution of iodic acid—3. When mixed with an equal bulk of water, great heat is evolved (nearly 200° F, in a cold vessel.)

The diluted acid.—For the acid in the diluted state, but one test uced be applied: -a solution of a salt of barytes, -the Nitrate of barytes, or the Chloride of barium. Having ascertained by test-paper, that the liquid is acid, we add to a portion of it, a few drops of nitric acid, and then a solution of nitrate of barytes. If sulphurie acid be present, a dense white precipitate of sulphate of barytes will fall down-which is insoluble in all acids and alkalics. If this precipitate be collected, dried and heated to redness in a small platina crucible with five or six parts of charcoal powder, it will, if a sulphate, be converted to sulphuret of barium. To prove this, we add to the calcined residue, diluted muriatic acid, at the same time suspending over it, a slip of filtering paper moistened with a solution of acetate of lead, or, what is exceedingly convenient, we place the residue on a slip of glazed card (coated with carbonate of lead), scraped and wetted ou the surface. (The card should be first tested for lead; because some kinds of glazed cards are made without lead). If the original precipitate were a sulphate, the vapour now evolved will be sufphuretted hydrogen, known by its odour, and by its turning the salt of lead or staining the card of a brown colour. stead of charcoal, we may use an equal bulk of cyanide of potassium as the reducing agent, and the experiment may then be performed in a small reduction tube over a spirit lamp. On breaking the tube and placing the powder ou a glazed card (containing lead) previously wetted, the stain of sulphuret of lead will be perceived; -or the calcined residue may be dissolved in water and tested. The smallest visible quantity of sulphate of barvtes thus admits of easy detection.

In liquids containing organic matter.—If the sulphuric acid be mixed with such liquids as porter, coffee, or tea, the process for its detection is substantially the same, the liquid being rendered clear by filtration previously to adding the test. The sulphate of barytes, if mixed with organic matter, may be purified by hoiling it in strong nitric acid; but this is not commonly necessary, as the reduction of the precipitate may he equally well performed with the impure, as with the pure sulphate. Some liquids generally contain sulphuric acid or a sulphate, such as vinegar and porter, but the acid is in very minute proportion; therefore, if there be an abundant precipitate, there can be no doubt, exteris paribus, that free sulphuric acid has been added to them. Should the liquid be thick and vised like grnel, it may be diluted with water, and then boiled with the addition of a little nectic acid. For

the action of the test, it is not necessary that the liquid should be absolutely clear, provided it be not so thick as to interfere mechanically with the precipitation of the sulphate of barytes. So far with regard to articles administered, or of which the administration has been attempted. The same rules apply to the examination of matters vomited and of the contents of the stomach,—eare being taken to separate the insoluble parts by filtration, before adding the test.

The acid not detected.—It is a medico-legal fact of considerable importance, that the contents of the stomach in cases of poisoning by sulphuric acid, are often entirely free from any traces of this poison, even when it has been swallowed in large quantity. The acid is not commonly found when the individual has been under treatment, when there has been considerable vomiting, aided by the drinking of water or other simple liquids, or when the person has survived for a long period. If the case has been under treatment, the acid is either wholly absent or neutralized by antidotes. In support of this view, I might quote many reported cases; but I prefer giving two which I have witnessed. A girl swallowed four or five ounces of diluted vitriol, and died in eighteen hours. No portion of the acid could be detected in the stomach; but she had vomited considerably, and the acid was easily proved to exist in the vomited matters, by examining a portion of the sheet of a bed which had become wetted by them. In another case, nearly two ounces of the concentrated acid were swallowed; the patient died in twenty-five hours;—the stomach was most extensively acted on, and yet no trace of the acid could be discovered in the contents. The liquidity of the poison, and the facility with which it becomes mixed with other liquids, and ejected by vomiting, will readily furnish an explanation of this fact. In many cases of poisoning by sulphuric acid, therefore, a medical witness must be prepared to find, that chemical analysis will furnish only negative results. If the stomach should be perforated, the contents will be found in the abdomen, or perhaps in the lower part of the cavity of the pelvis:—they may then be absorbed by clean wetted linen or sponge, boiled with distilled water, and the solution examined for the acid in the way already described.

On solid organic substances.—It sometimes happens in cases of poisoning that subphric acid is spilled upon articles of clothing, such as cloth or linen, and here a medical jurist may succeed in detecting it, when every other source of chemical evidence fails. Again, sulphuric acid is often used for the purpose of seriously injuring a party, as by throwing it on the person,—an offence which, when accompanied with bodily injury, renders the offender liable to a severe punishmeut. On such occasions, proof of the nature of the corrosive liquid is required; and this is easily obtained by a chemical examination of a part of the dress. The process of analysis is very simple. The piece of cloth should be digested in a small quantity of distilled

water at a gentle heat, whereby a brownish coloured liquid is commonly obtained on filtration. If sulphuric acid be present, the liquid will have a strong acid reaction, and produce the usual effects with the barytic test.

SULPHATE OF INDIGO.

Several cases of accidental poisoning by this substance have occurred. As the compound is nothing more than a solution of indigo in common sulpburic acid, the symptoms and post-mortem appearances are the same as those which have been described for the latter substance. This kind of poisoning may be suspected, when, with these symptoms, the membrane of the mouth has a blue colour. The vomited matters, as well as the fæces, are at first of a deep blue tint; afterwards green; and it was observed in two instances that the urine had a blue tiuge.

Analysis.—The process is the same as that described for sulphuric acid in organic mixtures. The blue colour of the sulphate is immediately destroyed by boiling it with nitric acid. The barytic test may then be employed in the usual way.

CHAPTER VII.

POISONING BY NITRIC ACID OR AQUA FORTIS. ACTION OF THE CONCENTRATED AND DILUTED ACID—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES.—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO DESTROY LIFE—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE. PROCESSES FOR DETECTING THE POISON IN PURE AND ORGANIC LIQUIDS. POISONING BY MURIATIC ACID.

General Remarks.—This substance is popularly known under the name of Aqua fortis, or Red spirit of nitre. According to Tartra, it seems to have been first used as a poison about the middle of the fifteenth century. Although it is perhaps much more used in the arts than oil of vitriol, cases of poisoning by it are by no means so common. Tartra was only able to collect fifty-six cases extending over a period of nearly four hundred years; and it appears from the return of inquisitions for 1837-8, there were only two justances reported to have occurred in England during those two years. Cases of poisoning by this acid have been chiefly the result of accident or suicide. I have only met with one instance where it was poured down the throat of a child for the purpose of murder. The external application of nitric acid has been a criminal cause of death on several occasious:-in one instance the acid was poured into the ear of a person while sleeping, and it led to the slow destruction of life. These are not strictly cases of poisoning, but more nearly approximate to death from wounding or mechanical violence.

Symptoms.—When the acid is in a concentrated state, the symptoms, on the whole, hear a close analogy to those produced by sulphu-They come on immediately, and the swallowing of the acid is accompanied by the most intense burning pain in the fauces and esophagus, extending downwards to the stomach:—there are gaseous eructations, from the chemical action of the poison, -swelling of the abdomen, violent vomiting of liquid or solid matters, mixed with altered blood of a dark brown colour, and shreds of mucus, having a strong acid reaction. The abdomen is generally exquisitely tender; but, in one well-marked case of poisoning by this acid, the pain was chiefly confined to the fauces: probably the poison had not reached the sto-The mucous membrane of the mouth is commonly soft and white, after a time hecoming yellow, or even brown; the teeth are also white, and the enamel is partially destroyed by the chemical action There is great difficulty of speaking, as well as of degluof the acid. tition, the mouth being filled with viscid muens: the power of swallowing is sometimes entirely lost. On opening the mouth, the tongue may be found swollen and of a citrou colour; the tonsils are also swollen and enlarged. The difficulty of respiration is occasionally such, as to render tracheotomy indispensable, especially in young sub-(Case by Mr. Arnott, Med. Gaz. xii. 220.) As the symptoms progress, the pulse becomes small, frequent, and irregular; the surface of the hody extremely cold, and there are frequent rigors. The administration of remedies—even the deglutition of the smallest quantity of liquid, increases the severity of the pain, occasions vomiting, and gives rise to a feeling of laceration or corrosion. (Tartra, 144.) There is obstinate constipation. Death takes place in from eighteen to tweutyfour hours, and is sometimes preceded by a kind of stupor from which the patient is easily roused. The intellectual faculties commonly remain clear nutil the last. In one instance the patient was insensible, but she ultimately recovered. Death may be occasioned by this acid, in consequence of its action on the larynx, as in the case of sulphuric Should the patient survive the first effects of the poison, the mucous membrane of the fauces and asophagus may be discharged, cither in irregular masses, or in the form of a complete cylinder of the esophageal lining. There is great irritability of the stomach, with frequent vomiting and ultimate destruction of the powers of digestion: the patient becomes slowly emaciated, and dies from starvation or from exhaustion.

Post-mortem appearances.—Snpposing death to have taken place rapidly, the following appearances will be met with. The skin of the mouth and lips will present various shades of colour from an orange yellow to a brown; it appears like the skin after a blister or burn, and is easily detached from the subjacent parts. Yellow spots produced by the spilling of the acid, may be found about the hands and neck. A yellow frothy liquid escapes from the nose and mouth, and the abdomen is often much distended. The membrane lining the mouth is

sometimes white, at others of a citron colour; the teeth are white, hut present a yellowish colour about the coronæ. The pharynx and larynx are much inflamed; the latter sometimes edematous. The lining membrane of the esophagus is softened, and of a yellow or brown colour, easily detached, often in long folds. The trachea is more vascular than usual, and the lungs are congested. The most strongly marked changes are, however, seen in the stomach. When not perforated, this organ may be found distended with gas-its mucous membrane partially inflamed with patches of a yellow, brown, or green colour, or it may be even black. This green colour is due to the action of the acid on the colouring matter of the bile; but it must be remembered that a morbid state of the bile itself often gives this appearance to the nincous membrane in many cases of death from natural disease. There is occasionally inflammation of the peritoneum. and the stomach is glued to the surrounding organs. Its coats are often so much softened, as to break down under the slightest pressure. In the duodenum similar changes are found; but in some cases the small intestings have presented no other appearance than that of slight vascularity. It might be supposed that the stomach would be in general perforated by this very corrosive substance; but this is far from heing the case. Tartra only met with two instances, and in one of these, the individual survived twenty, and in the other thirty hours. In giving this poison to rabbits, I have not found the stomach perforated, although the acid had evidently reached that organ, from its coats being stained of a deep yellow colour. In these experiments the non-perforation appeared to be due to the protective influence of the food with which the stomach was distended. In the very few eases that are reported in English journals, it would appear that the stomach has not been perforated: the poison had been swallowed soon after a meal, and its parietes had thus escaped the corresive action of the acid.

Quantity required to destroy life.—The smallest quantity of this acid which I find reported to have destroyed life, is about two drachms. It was in the case of a boy, aged thirteen: he died in about thirty-six hours. But less than this, even one drachm, would doubtless suffice to kill a child; and, under certain circumstances, an adult; for the fatal result depends on the extent of the mischief produced by this corrosive poison in the larynx, esophagus, and stomach. What is the largest dose of concentrated acid from the effects of which a person has recovered, it is difficult to say; since in most of the cases of recovery mentioned by authors, the quantity of the poison taken was unknown.

Period at which death takes place.—Sobernheim relates a case of poisoning by nitric acid, which proved fatal in one hour and three quarters. (Op. cit. 402.) This I helieve to be the most rapidly fatal ease on record, where the acid acted as a poison. The usual well-marked effects were found in the esophagus, stomach, and duc-

denum. In young infants, however, life may be destroyed by this poison in a few minutes, should it happen to affect the larynx. The longest case is perhaps that recorded by Tartra, where a woman perished from exhaustion, produced by the secondary effects of the poison, eight months after having swallowed it.

Treatment.—It should be the same as that recommended in poison-

ing by sulphuric acid.

Chemical analysis.—In the simple state.—This acid may be met with either concentrated or diluted. The concentrated acid varies in colour from a deep orange red to a light straw yellow. It may be recognised,—1. By evolving acid fumes when exposed.—2. By its staining organic matter yellow or hrown, the colour being heightened and turned of a reddish tint by contact with caustic alkalies.—3. When mixed with a few copper cuttings, it is rapidly decomposed—a deep red acid vapour is given off, and a greenish coloured solution of nitrate of copper is formed. Tin or mercury may be substituted for

copper in this experiment.

In the diluted state. - This acid is not precipitated like the sulphuric by any common reagent, since all its alkaline combinations are soluble in water.—1. The liquid has a highly acid reaction, and on boiling it with some copper-turnings, red fumes of nitrous acid vapour are given off, unless the proportion of water he very great. At the same time, the liquid acquires a blue colour.—2. A streak made on white paper with the diloted acid, does not carhonize it when heated; but a scarcely visible yellow stain is left .- 3. The liquid is neither precipitated by nitrate of harytes nor hy nitrate of silver. These two last experiments give merely negative results-they serve to show that the sulphuric and muriatic acids are absent.-4. By dipping a piece of bibulous paper in a weak solution of potash, and then in a portion of the acid liquid, and drying it, it will be found, on igniting it, if the acid be the nitric, that the paper burns with deflagration. This is not a property peculiar to nitric acid; but it distinguishes it from any of the common acids used as poisons.

In order to detect nitric acid, the liquid should be carefully neutralized hy potash, and then evaporated slowly to obtain crystals. If the liquid contain nitric acid, these crystals will possess the following characters:—1. They appear in the form of lengthened fluted prisms, which neither effloresce nor deliquesce on exposure. One drop of the solution evaporated spontaneously on glass will suffice to yield distinct and well-formed crystals. This character distinguishes the nitrate of potash from a very large number of salts.—2. When moistened with strong sulphuric acid, the powdered crystals slowly evolve a colourless acid vapour. By this test, the nitrate is known from every other deflagrating salt.—3. A portion of the powdered crystals should be placed in a small tube and mixed with their bulk of fine copper filiugs. The mass is then to be moistened with water, and a few drops of strong sulphuric acid added. Either with or without the application of a

gentle heat, a decomposition immediately ensues, by which red fumes of nitrous acid are evolved, recognisable by their colour, odour, and acid reaction. This test answers equally well with a nearly saturated solution of the salt in water. It need hardly be observed that the sulpburie ueid employed in all these experiments, should be free from nitric acid. This last test is conclusive, and renders it unnecessary to resort to any other experiment. It is so delicate, that, by using a tube only one-eighth of an inch in the bore, one-tenth of a grain of nitrate of potash will give very satisfactory results. This is equivalent to about one-twentieth of a grain of nitric acid—a quantity to which the toxicologist will not often have to confine his analysis in medico-legal practice.

In liquids containing organic matter.—Nitric acid may be administered in such liquids as vinegar or porter. In this case, besides the acid reaction, there will be the poculiar smell produced by the acid, when mixed with substances of an organic nature. The application of the usual tests is here counteracted:—thus unless the quantity of nitric acid in such a liquid as porter be very considerable, the orangered fumes of nitrous acid are not evolved on boiling the liquid with If the liquid be viscid, this viscidity must be decopper-cuttings. stroyed by dilution with water: - and in all cases, if any solid or insoluble substances be present, as in the matters vomited or contents of the stomach, it must be filtered, in order to separate the insoluble portions. This operation is commonly very slow. If we succeed in procuring a clear acid liquid, the colour may be disregarded. We should then carefully neutralize it with a weak solution of potash. or its carbonate; and boil it with a large quantity of well-washed animal charcoal for two hours. On filtration, it will probably come through of a pale yellow colour. If the colour be at all deep, it must be reboiled with a fresh quantity of animal charcoal, and now on filtration it will be tolerably clear. Concentrate to a small bulk by evaporation. As a trial-test we may dip in a slip of bibulous paper, dry it, and observe whether it burns with deflagration. monly answers, unless the quantity of nitric acid present be very small, or unless the nitrate of potash formed, be mixed with a large portion of some other salt. A few drops of the liquid may be crystallized on a piece of glass, by slow evaporation; and the resulting crystals examined for all those properties which have been described as characteristic of the compound of potash with nitric acid. The crystals obtained, may be coloured and impure. This circumstance does not. at all interfere with the action of the most important test for nitrie acid, namely, that by copper-filings and sulphurie acid. They may, however, if necessary, be purified by digesting them in pure ether, or pure alcohol. These liquids do not dissolve the nitrate of potash, but will often serve to remove from it the organic matters by which it is coloured. This process, according to my observation, is very effectual in detecting nitric acid, when mixed with liquids resembling porter.

Nitric acid may be detected in stains on clothing, by simply boiling them in water and testing the filtered liquid.

MURIATIC ACID.

This acid is but seldom taken as a poison. In the few cases which have been hitherto observed, the *symptoms* and appearances have been similar to those caused by nitric acid.

CHAPTER VIII.

POISONING BY THE VEGETABLE ACIDS, OXALIC ACID—SYMPTOMS AND EFFECTS—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—FATAL DOSES—RECOVERY FROM LARGE DOSES—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE—TREATMENT—CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—TESTS FOR OXALIC ACID IN PURE AND MIXED LIQUIDS—BINOXALATE OF POTASH. TARTABEC ACID. ACETIC ACID. VINEGAR,

OXALIC ACID.

Symptoms.—In many justances of poisoning by oxalic acid, death has taken place so rapidly, that the individual has not been seen alive by a medical practitioner. If the poison be taken in a large dose, i. e. from half an ounce to an onnce of the crystals dissolved in water, a hot burning acid taste is experienced in the act of swallowing, and vomiting occurs either immediately, or within a few minutes. poison be diluted, there is merely a scusation of strong acidity, and vomiting only occurs after a quarter of an hour or twenty minutes. In some instances, there has been very little or no vomiting; while in others, this symptom has been incessant until death, which the poison was much diluted, vomiting did not occur for seven hours. (Christison, 221.) The vomited matters are highly acid, and have a greenish brown or almost black colour; they consist chiefly of mucus and altered blood. In one instance, reported by my friend Dr. Geoghegan, they were colourless. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 792.) There is at the same time great pain and tenderness in the epigastrium, followed by cold clammy perspirations and convulsions. In a case which occurred at Guy's Hospital, in May 1842, where about two ounces of the poison had been swallowed, there was no pain. Urgent vomiting and collapse were the chief symptoms. There is in general an entire prostration of strength, so that if the individual be in the erect position, he falls; there is likewise unconsciousness of surrounding objects, and a kind of stupor, from which, however, the patient may be without difficulty roused. Owing to the severity of the pain, the legs are sometimes drawu up towards the abdomen. The pulse is small, irregular, and scarcely perceptible; there is a sensation of numbress in the extremities, and the respiration, shortly before death, becomes spasmodie. The inspirations are deep, and a long interval elapses hetween them. Such are the symptoms commonly observed in a rapidly fatal case.

Should the patient survive the first effects of the poison, the following symptoms appear: There is soreness of the mouth, constriction in the throat, with painful deglutition,—tenderness in the abdomen, with irritability of the stomach, so that there is frequent vomiting, accompanied by diarrhora. The tongue becomes swollen, and there is great thirst. The patient may slowly recover from these symptoms. In a ease related by Mr. Edwards to the Westminster Medical Society, the patient, a female, lost her voice for eight days; but whether this depended on the action of the oxalic acid which she had taken, or not, it is difficult to say. This poison has undonbtedly a remote effect on the nervous system, indicated by the numbness and tingling in the extremities, which have heen observed to remain long after the patient has recovered from the first effects.

Post-morten amearances.—The lining membrane of the mouth. fauces, and esophagus, is commonly white, although it is often coated with a portion of the dark-brown mucous matter discharged from the stomach. This latter organ contains a dark-brown mucous liquid, often acid, and having almost a gelatinous consistency. On removing the contents, the mucous membrane will be seen pale and softened, without always presenting any marks of inflammation or ahrasion, if death have taken place rapidly. This membrane is soft and hrittle, easily raised by the scalpel, and presents much the appearance, which we might suppose it would assume, after having been for some time boiled in water. The small vessels are seen ramifying over the surface, filled with dark-coloured blood, apparently solidified within them. The lining membrane of the assophagus presents much the same characters. It is pale, and appears as if it had been boiled in water, or digested in alcohol; it has been found strongly raised in longitudinal rugæ, interrupted by patches where the membrane has become abraded. With respect to the intestines, the upper portion of the canal may be found inflamed; hut unless the case be protracted, the appearances in these viscera are not very strongly marked. In a recent case of poisoning hy this acid, where two ounces had been taken, and death was rapid, the coats of the stomach presented almost the carbonized appearance produced by sulphuric acid, owing to the colour of the altered blood spread over them.

Qxalic acid does not appear to have a strong corrosive action on the stomach, like that possessed by the mineral acids. It is therefore rare to hear of the coats of the organ being perforated by it. In many experiments on animals, and in some few observations on the human subject, I bave found nothing to bear out the view that perforation is a common effect of the action of this poison. The acid undoubtedly renders the mucons coat soft and brittle, and it dissolves by long contact animal matter, which on analysis is found to be gelatin.

Its solvent powers on the animal membranes are not, however, very strong, as the following experiment will show. A portion of the jejunum of a young infant cut open, was suspended in a cold saturated solution of oxalic acid for six weeks. At the end of this time, the coats, which were white and opaque, were well preserved, and as firm as when they were first immersed, requiring some little force with a glass rod to break them down. On boiling a portion of the solution of oxalic acid, there was no change, nor did nitric acid produce any Ou adding a weak solution of tannic acid (which is not precipitate. affected by oxalic acid), a precipitate of tanno-gelatin fell down. was therefore obvious that a small quantity of the gelatin of the tissucs had been dissolved, proving the independent existence of that principle in the animal membranes. From the slight solvent power here manifested on the thin coats of the intestines of an infant, I am inclined to doubt whether the contact of the acid with the adult stomach after death, would lead to perforation of the organ. communicated to me by Dr. Geoghegan, is decidedly against such a view.

In protracted cases, the ecsophagus, stomach and intestines bave been found more or less inflamed. In several instances there have

been scarcely any perceptible morbid appearances produced.

Quantity required to destroy life.—A smaller dose than half an ounce of the crystallized acid, has not, so far as I am aware, been known to prove fatal; although, from the scrious effects which have followed doses less than this, it is probable that a smaller quantity might destroy life when medical treatment was not resorted to. Two cases have occurred at Guy's Hospital, where in each, half an ounce of oxalic acid bad been swallowed. Active treatment was adopted, and both patients recovered. When the dose is upwards of half an ounce, death is commonly the result; but one of my pupils informed me of a case where a man recovered, after having taken one ounce of the crystallized oxalic acid; and Dr. Brush, of Dublin, bas more recently communicated to the Lancet, a case in which recovery took place perfectly after a similar dose of the poison had been taken. The acid was in this instance taken by mistake for Epsom salts.

It may be proper to state, that this poison is retailed to the public at the rate of from a quarter to half an ounce for twopence, and one

ounce for fourpence or sixpence.

Period at which death takes place.—Equal quantities of this poison do not always destroy life within the same period of time. In two cases, in which about two ounces of the acid were respectively taken, one man died in twenty minutes,—the other in three-quarters of an hour. Dr. Christison mentions an instance, where an onnee killed a girl in thirty minutes; and another where the same quantity destroyed life in ten minutes. Dr. Ogilvy, of Coventry, has reported a case of poisoning by oxalic acid, in which it is probable that death took place within three minutes after the poison had been swallowed. The sister

of the deceased had been absent from the room about that period, and on her return found her dying. The quantity of poison taken could not he determined. When the dose of oxalic acid is half an onnee and upwards, death commonly takes place in an hour. There are, it must he admitted, numerons exceptions to this rapidity of actiou. Dr. Christison reports two cases, which did not prove fatal for thirteen homes; and in an instance that occurred to Mr. Fraser, in which only half an ounce was taken, the individual died from the secondary effects in a state of perfect exhaustion, twenty-three days after taking the poison.

Treatment.—The proper remedies for this poison are chalk, compound chalk-powder, magnesia or its carbonate, made into a cream with water, and freely exhibited; or the bicarbonate of magnesia may be at once employed. These remedies appear, from cases hitherto reported, to have been very efficacious when timely administered. If much fluid has been swallowed, then the stomach-pump may he resorted to, and the stomach well washed out with lime-water. The poison in many instances acts with such rapidity, as to render the application of these remedies a hopeless measure. The exhibition of the alkalies,—potash, soda, or their carbonates, must in all cases he avoided: since the salts which they form with oxalic acid, are as poisonous as the acid itself. In the after-treatment (in the stage of collapse) warmth should be applied and stimulants exhibited.

Chemical analysis. In the simple state.—This acid may be met with, either as a solid, or in solution in water. Solid oralic acid: It is seen more or less perfectly crystallized in four-sided prisms, in which respect it differs from all other acids, mineral and vegetable. The crystals are unchangeable in air. They are soluble in water,

forming a strongly acid solution.

Tests.-1. Nitrate of silver. When added to a solution of oxalic acid, it produces an abundant white precipitate of exalate of silver. A solution containing so small a quantity of oxalic acid as not to redden litmus paper, is affected by this test; hat when the quantity of poison is small, it would be always advisable to concentrate the liquid by evaporation before applying it. The oxalate of silver is identified hy the following properties: I. It is completely dissolved by cold nitric acid. If collected on a filter, thoroughly dried, and heated on thin plating foil, it is entirely dissipated in a white vapour with a slight detonation. When the oxalate is in very small quantity, this detonation may be observed in detached particles on hurning the filter previously well dried. 2. Sulphate of lime. A solution of oxalic acid is precipitated white hy lime water and all the salts of lime. Lime water is itself objectionable as a test, because it is precipitated white by several other acids. The salt of lime, which, as a test, is open to the least objection, is the sulphate. As this is not a very soluble salt, its solution must be added in rather large quantity to the suspected poisonous liquid. A fine white precipitate of oxalate of lime is

slowly formed. This precipitate should possess the following properties:—1. It ought to be immediately soluble in nitric acid. 2. It ought not to be dissolved by the tartaric, acetic, or any vegetable acid.

In liquids containing organic matter.—The process is the same, whether it be applied to liquids in which the poison is administered, or to the matters vomited, or lastly, to the contents of the stomach. This poison readily combines with albumen and gelatin, and it is not liable to be decomposed or precipitated by these or any other organic prineiples: it is, therefore, commonly found in solution in the liquid portion, which will then have a greater or less acid reaction. Should the liquid be very acid, we must filter it to separate any insoluble matters; should it not be very acid, the whole may be boiled, if necessary, with distilled water, before filtration is performed. On no account are the tests for oxalic acid to be camployed in liquids containing organic matter, since nitrate of silver is easily precipitated by such matters, although none of the poison be present. Should the liquid be highly coloured. it may be first boiled for some time with well-washed animal chargoal. After this it may be filtered and concentrated by evaporation. To the filtered liquid, Acetate of lead should be added until there is no further precipitation; and the white precipitate formed,—collected and washed. If any oxalic acid were present in the liquid, it will exist in this precipitate under the form of oxalate of lead. The following plan may be adopted for separating exalie acid from the exalate of lead: Diffuse the precipitate in water, and pass into the liquid for about two hours, a current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas, taking care that the gas comes in contact with every portion of the precipitate. Black sulphuret of lead will be precipitated; and with it commonly the greater part of the organic matter, which may have been mixed with the oxalate of lead. Filter, to separate the sulphuret of lead; the filtered liquid may be clear and highly acid. Concentrate by evaporation; the sulphuretted hydrogen dissolved in the liquid is thereby expelled, and oxalic acid may be ultimately obtained crystallized by evaporation on a piece of plate glass. If there were no oxalie acid present in the precipitate, no crystals will be procured on evaporation. If crystals be obtained, then they must be dissolved in water, and tested in the way above directed.

BINOXALATE OF POTASH, OR SALT OF SORREL.

Symptoms and effects.—The poisonous effects of this salt entirely depend on the oxalic acid which it contains. It is said to be much used for the purpose of bleaching straw and removing ink-stains—being sold under the name of essential salt of lemons. The smallest quantity retailed to the public is a quarter of an ounce, and for this, three-halfpence is charged. Its poisonous properties are not commonly known, or no doubt it would be frequently substituted for oxalic acid. Three cases of poisoning by this substance have occurred within a

recent period;—two of these proved fatal, while in the other, the patient recovered.

lu the case of recovery, a young lady, aged twenty, swallowed an onnee of the salt dissolved in warm water. She was not seen by any one for an hour and a half: she was then found on the floor, faint and exhausted, having previously vomited considerably. There was great depression, the skin cold and elammy, the pulse feeble, and there was a scalding sensation in the throat and stomach. There were also continued rigors. Proper medical treatment was adopted, and she recovered in two days,—still suffering from debility and great irritation of the stomach. During the state of depression, it was remarked that the conjunctivæ were much injected, and the pupils dilated. There was also great dimness of vision. (Med. Gaz. xxvii. 480.)

This salt destroys life almost as readily as oxalic acid itself; and in the symptoms which it produces, it closely resembles that poison. In one case, half an onnce killed an adult in so short a time as eight minutes; but probably the fatal effects were in this instance accele-

rated by the debilitated state of the person who took it.

Chemical analysis.—Its solution might be readily mistaken for oxalic acid: for, 1st, it has an acid reaction; and, 2d, it is precipitated by nitrate of silver and sulphate of lime, like oxalic acid: but with respect to the latter test, the precipitation, although more slowly produced, is much more copious. It is best known from oxalic acid—1. By its crystalline form, which, as seen in a few drops evaporated on glass, is plumose; and 2. By heating a portion on platina foil. While oxalic acid is volatile, the binoxalate leaves an ash, which, when sufficiently calcined, is alkaline, and it may be proved to contain potash by its dissolving in dilute nitric acid, with effervescence, and forming nitrate of potash.

TARTARIC ACID.

Symptoms and appearances.—Tartaric acid has been hitherto considered not to possess any poisonous properties; but one case has occurred, in which there was no doubt that it acted as an irritant, and destroyed life. The ease referred to was the subject of a trial for manslaughter at the Central Criminal Court, in January 1845. The accused gave the deceased, a man aged twenty-four, by mistake. one ounce of tartaric acid instead of aperient salts. deceased swallowed the whole dissolved in half a pint of warm water at a dose; he immediately exclaimed that he was poisoned: he complained of having a burning sensation in his throat and stomach, as though he had drunk oil of vitriol, and that he could compare it to nothing but being all on fire. Soda and magnesia were administered with dilnent drinks. Vomiting set in, and continued until death, which took place nine days afterwards. On inspection, nearly the whole of the alimentary canal was found highly inflamed. accused admitted that he had made a mistake, and tartaric neid was found in the dregs of the cup. The jury acquitted the prisoner. (Reg. v. Walkins.)

Treatment.—The same as in poisoning by oxalic acid.

Chemical analysis.—Tartaric acid in powder is known by the following characters. 1. When heated on platina foil, it burns with a pale reddish coloured flame, evolving a peculiar odour and leaving an abundant residue of carbon. 2. It forms an acid solution in water, which when moderately concentrated yields a granular precipitate with a few drops of caustic potash slowly added. (Bitartrate.) 3. The solution is precipitated white by lime-water, when the latter is added in large quantity;—the precipitate being immediately dissolved by an excess of the acid. 4. It gives no precipitate, or only a slight opacity with nitrate of silver (thus known from oxalic acid). 5. When exactly nentralized by potash, and nitrate of silver is added, a white precipitate is formed, which is immediately blackened and reduced to the state of metallic silver on heating the liquid to 212°.

ACETIC ACID.

This acid has been generally excluded from the class of poisons. Common vinegar, which contains only five per cent of acetic acid, has often been taken in large doses without injurious consequences. From the experiments performed by Orfila on dogs, and from one case which he reports as having occurred in the human subject, acetic acid, when concentrated, appears to exert an irritant action on the body. (Annales d'Hygiène, 1831, ii. 159; also Toxicologie, ii. 198). This is not more than we might have expected, seeing that the concentrated acid is highly corrosive. In the case referred to, the deceased, a young female aged nineteen, was found dying on the highway. She suffered from convulsions, and complained of pain in the stomach, and died in a very short time. On inspection, the stomach was found neither softened nor corroded, but its mneous membrane near the pylorus was almost black. The mucons glands were prominent, and the vessels were filled with black congulated blood.

Treatment.—Magnesia or its carbonates, mixed into a cream with water.

Chemical analysis.—1. Acetic acid is known by its peculiar odour, which, if not perceptible in the cold, may be evolved on boiling the liquid. 2. It is entirely volatile, and leaves no solid residue on evaporation. 3. It is not precipitated by the acetate of lead, in which it differs from other vegetable and some mineral acids. 4. It is not precipitated by lime-water or any salt of lime, whereby it is known from the oxalic, tartarie, and other vegetable acids. 5. When diluted it is not precipitated by nitrate of silver or nitrate of barytes, and it is thus known from the muriatic and sulphuric acids. 6. When neutralized by potash it forms a salt highly soluble in alcohol and water, which yields acetic acid when boiled with diluted sulphuric acid.

VINEGAR, which may be regarded as an organic mixture containing

hut a very small proportion of acetic acid (five per cent.), may be examined by distilling a portion, and testing the distilled liquid for the acid. Vinegar, as it exists in connerce, always contains traces of sulphuric acid. In general it is easily recognised by its odonr. Pelletan observed in the case of a young child that the abuse of vinegar led to a thinning of the mucous membranes of the stomach; and Landerer remarked that the milk of a wet-nurse who had been in the habit of taking large quantities of the Vinegar of Roses, became thin, very acid, and deficient in casein and butter. The child gradually wasted and died, and the woman herself suffered severely. (Heller's Archiv. 1847, 2 H. S. 185.)

CHAPTER IX.

POISONING BY THE ALKALIES.—POTASH, SODA, AND THEIR CARBO-NATES—SYMPTOMS—FATAL EFFECTS OF THE CARBONATE OF POTASH—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—TREATMENT—AMMONIA AND SESQUICABBONATE OF AMMONIA (SAL VOLATILE)—CHEMI-CAL ANALYSIS—TESTS FOR POTASH AND SODA—TESTS FOR AMMONIA.

POTASH AND SODA.

Sumptoms.—The symptoms produced by potash and soda, when taken in a strong dose, are so similar that one description will serve for both. It must be observed, that cases of alkaline poisoning are extremely rare, and have been, I believe, hitherto the result of accideut. The most common form in which these poisons are met with. is in the state of pearlash (carbonate of potash) and soap-lees (carbonate of soda). The patient experiences, during the act of swallowing, an acrid caustic taste, owing to the alkaline liquid, if sufficiently concentrated, excoriating the mucous membrane. There is a sensation of burning heat in the throat, extending down the esophagus to the Vomiting is not always observed; but when it does occur, the vomited matters are sometimes mixed with blood of a dark brown colour, and detached portions of mucous membrane:-this effect depending on the degree of causticity in the liquid swallowed. The surface is cold and clammy:—there is diarrhoea, with severe pain in the abdomen, resembling colic. The pulse is quick and feeble. In the course of a short time, the lips, tongue, and fauces, become swollen, soft, and red.

Post-mortem appearances.—There are strong marks of the local action of the poison on the mucous membrane of the mouth, fauces, and esophagus. This membrane has heen found softened, detached, and inflamed in patches of a deep chocolate colour,—some-

times almost black. The same appearance has been met with in the nucous membrane of the laryux and trachea. The stomach has had its nucous surface eroded in patches, and there has been partial inflammation.

Period of death.—The most rapidly fatal case which I have found reported, is that of a boy, who died in three hours after swallowing three ounces of a strong solution of Carbonatc of potash. In another case, which occurred at Yarmouth, in 1835, a child aged three years, took a small quantity of pearlash, which had deliqueseed, and died in twentyfour hours. Death was caused in this instance by the inflammation induced in the larynx, causing an obstruction to the process of respiration. In this respect, the caustic adhalics may destroy life like the mineral acids. But death may be a slow result of these poisons. Thus in an instance which was communicated to me, a lady swallowed by mistake one ounce and a half of the common solution of potash of the shops, which contains about five per cent, of caustic alkidi, recovered from the first symptoms of irritation, but died seven weeks afterwards, from pure exhaustion, becoming greatly emaciated before her death. Orfda refers to two cases of poisoning by carbonate of potash, in each of which balf an ounce of this substance was taken by mistake for apericut salts. The patients, two young men, recovered from the first effects; but ultimately died, the one three months, and the other four months after the poison had been taken. The secondary fatal effects appear to be due to diarrboa, great irritability of the stomach, loss of the functions of this organ from the destruction of the lining membrane, -and stricture of the osophagus, -either of which causes may prove fatal at almost any period.

Quantity required to destroy life. - The quantity of any of these al-

kaline poisons, required to destroy life, is unknown.

Treatment.—We may administer freely, water containing acctic or citric acid dissolved,—lemon-jnice, or the juice of oranges. Demulcut drinks, as albumen, milk, gruel, or barley-water, will also be found serviceable. The free exhibition of oil has been found useful.

Chemical analysis.—Caustic potash and Soda are best known from their respective carbonates by giving a brown precipitate with a solution of nitrate of silver. The carbonates, on the other band, yield a whitish yellow precipitate. Caustic potash is known from caustic soda by the following characters. 1. Its solution, when not too much diluted with water, is precipitated of a canary-yellow colour, by bichloride of platina. 2. It is precipitated in grauular white crystals, on the addition of an excess of a strong solution of tartaric acid, or by digesting in it a large crystal of tartaric acid. This test sometimes answers better by adding the alkali gradually to the acid, and occasionally agitating the mixture. Caustic soda is not precipitated by either of these tests, which will serve equally to distinguish the salts of potash from those of soda, if we except the binoxalate and bitartrate of potash, which, from being but little soluble in water, are

not precipitated. 3. If we neutralise the two alkalies by diluted nitric acid, and crystallize the liquid on a slip of glass,—should the alkali be potash, the crystals will have the form of long slender fluted prisms; if soda, of rhombic plates.

The CARBONATES of potash are known from those of soda by the above tests. The CARBONATE is known from the BICARBONATE of either alkali, by the fact that the former yields immediately a white precipitate, with a solution of sulphate of magnesia, while the latter is maffected in the cold, by this test. It is important for the analyst to remember that caustic potash and soda, their respective carbonates, and the sesquicarbonate of ammonia, are often contaminated with oxide of lead, and give a black precipitate with sulphuretted hydrogen or hydro-sulphuret of ammonia. This happens whenever the solutions of these salts have been kept in flint-glass bottles.

In liquids containing organic matter.—Such liquids are frothy; they possess an alkaline reaction, a peculiar alkaline odour, and are methods to the feel. The organic liquid may be evaporated to dryness, then heated to char the animal and vegetable matter, and the alkali will be recovered from it in the state of carbonate, by digesting the residuary ash in distilled water.

Ammonia. Sesquicarbonate of Ammonia.

The vapour of strong ammonia is poisonous. It may destroy life by producing violent inflammation of the larynx, or by eausing pneumonia. It is often most injudiciously employed to rouse persons from a fit. A ease is on record, of an epileptic having died, under all the symptoms of croup, two days after the application of strong ammonia, in this way, to the nostrils. A very singular case of recovery from the poisonous effects of this vapour, by Dr. Sanchard, will be found reported in the Annales d'Hygiène (Janvier 1841.) The solutions of ammonia and its sesquicarbonate, produce symptoms similar to those described in speaking of potash. The only difference observed is, that the sense of heat aud burning pain in the throat, fances, and stomach, are much greater. Cases of this form of poisoning are rare. Dr. Sanchard relates an instance which occurred in France, where a boy, only six years old, poisoned his younger sister by pouring several teaspoonfuls of strong solution of ammonia down her throat. A ease is likewise reported where a strong dose of the solution killed a man in four minutes. (Christison, 167.) A recent case is referred to in the Journal de Pharmacie (Oct. 1846, p. 285), in which from oue to two drachms of ammonia, unknowingly administered, caused death. There was violent vomiting, with bloody stools; and, on inspection, blood was found effused in the intestines. There was also a remarkably fluid state of the blood in the body. In another instance, a man walked into a druggist's shop, and asked for a small quantity of ammonia to take some spots out of his clothes. The druggist poured about a teaspoonful and a half into a glass. The man suddenly swallowed it,

and fell instantly to the ground. He soon afterwards died, complaining of the most exeruciating pain. (Journal de Chimie Médicale, 1845, 531.)

Sesquicarbonate of ammonia.—The solution of this salt (sal volatile) is prohably more active as a poison than is commonly supposed. The following ease occurred to my knowledge in 1832. A man, in a fit of passion, swallowed about five fluid-drachms of a solution of sal volatile. In ten minutes, he was seized with stupor and insensibility; but, upon the application of stimulant remedies, he recovered. He suffered for some time afterwards, from severe irritation about the fances and asophagus. These poisons are not often used by persons who are intent upon suicide or murder, but there is one instance on record in which a man was tried for the murder of a child by administering spirits of hartshorn. (Reg. v. Haydon, Somerset Spring Assizes, 1845.) Of the action of the other salts of ammonia on man, nothing is known.

Chemical analysis.—The three caustie alkalies, potash, soda, and ammonia, are known from the solutions of the alkaline earths by the fact, that they are not precipitated by a solution of carbonate of potash. They all three possess a powerful alkaline reaction on test paper, which, in the case of ammonia, is easily dissipated by heat. Ammonia is immediately known from potash and soda by its odour and volatility. The Sesquicarbonate of Ammonia may be known from other salts by its alkaline reaction, its odour, and its entire volatility as a solid:—from pure ammonia—1, by its effervescing on being added to an acid; 2, by its yielding an ahundant white precipitate with a solution of chloride of calcium;—from the carbonates of potash and soda, among other properties—1, hy its giving no precipitate with a solution of the sulphate of magnesia; 2, from the rich violet blue solution which it forms when added in excess to the sulphate of copper; 3, hy its odour and volatility.

CHAPTER X.

METALLIC IRRITANT POISONS. ARSENIC—ARSENIOUS ACID—TASTE
—SOLUBILITY IN VARIOUS LIQUIDS — SYMPTOMS — CHRONIC
POISONING—ANOMALOUS CASES—EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL APPLICATION—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO
DESTROY LIFE—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE—TREATMENT. CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—TESTS IN THE SOLID STATE—IN
SOLUTION—MARSH'S PROCESS—KEINSCH'S PROCESS—ARSENIC IN
ORGANIC LIQUIDS—ABSORBED ARSENIC—ITS PRESENCE IN THE
SOLID OF CEMETERIES—SULPHURETS OF ARSENIC AND OTHER COMPOUNDS.

ARSENIC. ARSENIOUS ACID.

General Remarks.—The term WHITE ARSENIC is commouly applied to the arscuious acid of chemists. Arsenic acid is another compound which is highly poisonous, but has never, so far as I know, been used for the purposes of suicide or murder. Yellow Arsenic, or orpiment, is the sesquisulphuret of chemists. This is also poisonous, apparently because it contains a large portion of arsenious acid, which has not combined with sulphur. This often amounts to from fifteen to twenty per cent. of its weight. Orpiment has been, on several recent occasions, criminally used as a poison. White arsenic, or arsenious acid, is, however, that preparation which chiefly requires the attention of a medical jurist. In the years 1837-8, there were one hundred and eighty-five cases of poisoning by this substance, the greater number of which were the result of suicide and murder.

Taste of arsenious acid.—White arsenic is commonly seen under the form of a white powder, or in opake masses resembling enamel. It is called an acid from its power of combining with alkalies, but it possesses a very feeble acid reaction when dissolved in water. It is often described as having an acrid taste, but this does not appear to be correct; a small quantity of it has certainly no appreciable taste, a fact which may be established by direct experiment, and might be inferred from its very sparing solubility. It would appear from numerous cases on record, that it has been unconsciously taken in fatal quantities, in all descriptions of food, witbout exciting the least sensation on the tongue. Most of those persons who have been criminally or accidentally poisoned by arsenie, have not been aware of any taste in taking the poisoned substance. In other cases where the powder has been taken in large quantity it is described as having had a roughish taste.

Solubility of arsenic.—The solubility of this substance in liquids is a frequent question on trials. The action of water is materially

influenced by circumstances. I have found by númerous experiments (Guy's Hospital Reparts, iv. 81), that hot water in cooling from 212° on the poison in powder, dissolves about the 400th part of its weight. This is in the proportion of nearly one grain and a quarter of white arsenic to about oue-fluid ounce of water. Water boiled for an hour on the poison and allowed to cool, holds dissolved the 40th part of its weight, or about twelve grains to one ounce. Cold water allowed to stand for many hours on the poison, does not dissolve more than from the 1000th to the 500th part of its weight; i. e. one-half grain to one grain of arsenic to nearly one fluid-onace of water. The presence of organic matter in a liquid, renders the poison much less soluble.

Weight of arsenic.—A medical witness is often asked the weight of common or familiar measures of arsenic in powder. I may therefore state that, from experiment, I have found a tea-spoonful of powdered arsenic to weigh 150 grains,—a table-spoonful to weigh 530 grains,—and a pinch, or the quantity tuken up between the finger and thumb of un adult, to weigh 17 grains. These weights are here given as the results of actual experiment: but they are of course liable to some variation.

Symptoms.-These will vary according to the form and dose in which the poison has been administered. The time at which they come on is generally in from half an hour to an hour after the poison has been swallowed. This is the average period. I have known them to appear in a quarter of an honr. Dr. Christison mentions one instance in which the symptoms began in eight miuntes; but in the case of Lofthouse, tried ut the York Leut Assizes, 1835, the symptoms were proved to have attacked the deceased, while he was in the act of cating the cake in which the poison was administered. On the other hand, in an instance communicated to me by Mr. Todd, where one drachin had been taken on an empty stomach, no symptoms appeared for two hours; in one reported by Orfila, the symptoms did not show themselves for five hours; and in another which occurred to Dr. Lachèse, when a large dose was taken, the symptoms did not come on for seven hours. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 344.) There may be every variety between these extremes. In one case their appearance was protracted for ten hours—the maximum period yet known. A very remarkable instance occurred to M. Tonnelier, in which the poison was taken by a young female at eleven o'clock in the morning, and no well-marked symptoms occurred for eight hours: there was then violent vomiting. After death, a cyst, formed of mucous membrane and containing arsenic, was found in the stomach: the poison having thus become sheathed over! (Flandin, i. 535.) (Case of Reg. v. Foster, Bury Lent Assizes, 1847.) In all cases in which arsenic enters the system from without, as by its application to the skin and to ulcerated or diseased surfaces, the symptoms are rarely manifested until after the lapse of several hours.

Their nature. - The individual first experiences faintness, depression, nausca, and sickness, with an intense burning pain in the region of the stomach, increased hy pressure. The pain in the abdomen becomes more and more severe; and there is violent vomiting of a hrown turbid matter, mixed with muens, and sometimes streaked with These symptoms are followed by diarrhoa, which is more or less violent; and this is accompanied by severe cramps in the calves of the legs. The matters discharged from the stomach and howels have had in some instances a yellowish colour, as it was supposed, from a partial conversion of the poison to salphuret. The vomiting is in general violent and incessant, and excited by any substance taken into the stomach. There is tenesmus (straining), and the alvine evacuations are frequently tinged with blood. There is a sense of constriction, with a feeling of burning heat in the throat, commonly accompanied by the most intense thirst. The pulse is small, very frequent, and irregular; sometimes wholly imperceptible. The skin is cold and clammy in the stage of collapse; at other times it is very hot. The respiration is painful from the tender state of the abdominal parietes. Before death, coma sometimes supervenes, with paralysis, tetanic convulsions, or spasms in the muscles of the extremities. In one instance trismus appeared in three quarters of an hour. (Orfila, i. 449.) Such is the ordinary character of the symptoms in an acute case of arsenical poisoning, i. e. where from half an ounce to an ounce of the poison has been taken.

Chronic poisoning.—Should the person recover from the first effects, and the case be protracted, or should the dose have been small and frequently administered, there will be inflammation of the conjunctivæ, with suffusion of the eyes, and intolerance of light,—a condition which is, however, often present with the early symptoms above described. There is also irritation of the skin, accompanied by a vesicular cruption, which has been called eczema arsenicale; local paralysis and other symptoms of nervous disorder, are very common sequelæ. Exfoliation of the cuticle and skiu of the tongue, with the falling off of the hair, has likewise been witnessed. (Case of the Turners, 1815, Marshall, 119.) Salivation has been observed to follow, especially when small doses of the poison have been given for a length of time. (Mcd. Gaz. xvi. 790.) Strangury and jaundiec have also been noticed among the secondary symptoms. (Marshall on Arsenic, 44, 111.) A well-marked case of slow poisoning by arsenic is recorded by Flandin. (Traitó des Poisons ou Toxicologie, Tom. i. p. 510.) It illustrates a not unfrequent form of secret murder, and it is well calculated to inspire caution in making a diagnosis from symptoms. A woman put daily into the soup of her fellow-servant, a very small quantity of arsenious acid in powder. Shortly after dinner, this person was scized with vomiting, which led to the rejection of the food and poison before the latter had caused any serious mischief. As this practice was continued for about six weeks, the stomach became

exceedingly irritable, there was pain in the bowels, and the woman became much emaciated. There was also spitting of blood, with such a degree of nervous irritability, that a current of air caused an attack of spasins and convulsions. When the patient found that she could not bear any thing on her stomach, she left the place and passed two months in the country. Her health became gradually re-established there, and she returned to resume her usual occupations. The prisoner, however, renewed her attempts; and to make sure of destroying life, gave her one morning in coffee, a strong dose of arsenious acid in powder: violent vomiting ensued, and the poison was expelled with the breakfast. Arsenie was detected in the vomited matter, and the explanation of the cause of the long previous illness then became Under proper treatment the patient recovered. this mode of poisoning to be more frequent in this country than is commonly supposed; and it behaves practitioners to be exceedingly guarded in their diagnosis, for the usual characters of arsenical poisoning are completely masked. They might be easily referred to chronic inflammation, or ulceration of the stomach leading to perforation. have lately had to examine a case of this kind, where the death of a person had been caused by his housekeeper under somewhat similar circumstances. The crime was not discovered until after the lapse of two years; and from the small dose given, and repeated vomiting during life, no arsenie could be detected in the body.

Anomalous cases.—The symptoms described under the acute form of poisoning may not be met with in every instance. Thus the pain, which is usually excruciating, like a fire burning within the body, is sometimes absent. In a well-marked case of poisoning, which occurred in October 1839, from one ounce to two ounces of arscuic were taken; there was no pain except of the most trifling character just before death. It has been supposed that this symptom was absent when the dose was large; but a case occurred in Guy's Hospital, in 1836, where only forty grains had been taken, and the patient died without complaining of pnin. (Guy's Hosp. Rep. iv. 68.) In the case of the Duke de Praslin (Aug. 1847), the dosc was very large, but the deceased did not suffer any pain—a fact which completely deceived his medical attendants. The symptoms of alvine irritation are seldom wanting, or there is vomiting, if there should be no purging. In one case of criminal poisoning by arsenie, in which I was consulted by Mr. Veasy, which was tried at the Bodford Spring Assizes, in 1842, there was neither vomiting nor purging. The quantity of poison taken must have been very small. In a case which occurred to Dr. Feital, although half an ounce of arsenious acid had been taken, there was no vomiting. Great thirst is a common symptom, but this is sometimes absent. With respect to the urinary secretion there is no certain rule: it is sometimes suppressed, as in several cases reported by M. Flandin; at other times it is natural, or only slightly diminished. (Des Poisons, i. 521.) It is necessary for a medical jurist to attend to these anomalies, as otherwise the symptoms of arsenical poisoning may be easily mistaken for those of disease.

Effects of external application.—Arsenious acid, it is well known, when applied to wounded or ulcerated surfaces, becomes absorbed, and produces the usual effects of poisoning. A case is reported in Rust's Magazin, where a man covered his head with arsenic in powder to act as a depilatory. He was affected with the usual symptoms of arsenical poisoning, excepting diarrhea, and he died on the twentieth day. The interior of the stomach, as well as the lower part of the

esophagus, was generally inflamed.

Instances of arsenic thus destroying life when applied externally, are by no means unfrequent. Two cases of its operating fatally in children, when applied to the skin of the head for tinea capitis, will be found in the Appales d'Hygiène, 1830, ii. 437. In both, the mucous membrane of the stomach was found inflamed, and in one extensively. A trial took place in 1844 (Reg. v. Port, Chester Winter Assizes), in which a man, pretending to cure cancer, was charged with the death of a female, by the application of an arsenical plaster, as it was supposed, to the breast. The woman died in a fortnight. No satisfactory evidence was obtained of the symptoms during life, except that there had been vomiting; and the accused had taken care to remove the plasters so soon as serious symptoms began to appear,—hence there was no direct chemical evidence of the nature of the substance actual v employed. This case, however, shews the great ntility of the discovery of the absorption of arsenic into the body. Dr. Brett, of Liverpool, was able to detect the absorbed arsenie in the substance of the stomach, liver, and spleen: the quantity detected was less than a quarter of a grain. The esophagus, stomach, and intestines were found extensively inflamed. Notwithstanding this evidence, which appears to have been particularly clear, the prisoner was acquitted!

The powder used by quacks as an application to serrhous breasts is commonly acompound of arsenious acid, realgar, and oxide of iron. In one instance, in which the application proved fatal, the powder was formed of 75 parts of the first-mentioned substance, and 25 parts of the two last. The quack stated that he did not apply more than four or five grains.

Post-morten appearances.—The striking changes produced by arsenie are generally confined to the stomach and intestines. They are commonly well-marked in proportion to the largeness of the dose and the length of time which the individual has survived after taking the poison. Our attention must first be directed to the stomach. Arsenic seems to have a specific effect on this organ; for, howover the poison may have entered into the system—whether through a wounded or ulcerated surface, or by the act of deglutition—the stomach has heen found inflamed. Inflammation of this organ cannot, then, be always considered to depend on the local irritant action of the poison on the stomach.

The mucous membrane of the stomach, which is often covered with

a layer of mucus, mixed with blood, and with scattered white pastylooking patches of arscuious acid, is commonly found red and inflamed; the colour, which is sometimes of a dull or brownish red, becomes brighter on exposure to the air; at other times it is of a deep crimson huc, interspersed with black-looking strike of altered blood. reduess is usually most strongly marked at the greater extremity; in one case it may be found spread over the whole mucous surface, giving to it the appearance of red velvet, in another it will be chiefly seen on the prominences of the rugae. Blood of a dark colour is effused in various parts between the rugge, or beneath the lining membrane,-an appearance which has been mistaken for gangrene. The stomach often contains a mucous liquid of a dark colour tinged with blood. The coats are sometimes thickened in patches, being raised up into a sort of fungous-like tumour, with arscuic imbedded in them; at other times they have been found thiuned. The mucous membrane is rarely ulcerated, and still more rarely gangrenous. Perforation of the coats is so nucommon a result of arsenical poisoning, that there are only three justances on record. The mueous glands of the stomach have been found enlarged; but this is by no means an unusual morbid appearance from any cause of local irritation, without reference to poisoning. Various morbid appearances are said to have been met with in the hugs, heart, braio, and urinary organs; but they do not appear to be characteristic of arsenical poisoning. It is undoubtedly to the stomach and intestines, that a medical jurist must look for the basis of medical evidence in regard to post-mortem appearances.

Period required for inflammation.—A witness is often asked in a Court of law how long a time is required after the taking of a poison, for the production of these well-marked appearances in the stomach, more especially of inflammation of the mucous membrane. In three cases communicated to me by Mr. Foster, of Huntingdon, death occurred in one, a child, at the end of two hours; in the second, an adult, at the end of three hours and a half; and in the third, after the lapse of about six hours. In each of these, the stomach was found highly inflamed, and, in the one that proved fatal in two hours, the mucous membrane had a vermilion line. This last I believe to be the shortest period at which inflammation of the stomach from the effects of arsenic, has been met with.

Period required for alceration.—Another question put to a witness, may be this,—What period of time is required for alceration of the mucous membrane to take place, as an effect of this poison? If arsenic has destroyed life with unusual rapidity, and the stomach is found alcerated, an attempt may be made to refer this alceration to some other canse. Such an attempt was made in the case of Rhynces, which was the subject of a criminal trial in 1841. (Guy's Hospital Reports, Oct. 1841, p. 283.) I found alceration of the mucous membrane, which had been completely removed in patches, although the deceased survived the effects of the poison only ten hours. The depo-

sition of the arsenic in and around the ulcers, as well as the appearance of recent inflammation about them, left no doubt that they had been produced by the poison, and were not owing to previous disease, as it was attempted to be urged in defence. Dr. Christison mentions a case observed by Mr. Hewson, where many croded spots existed on the stomach, although the person died from the effects of arsenic in five hours. (On Poisons, p. 340.)

Absence of inflammation .- Are the stomach and intestines always found inflamed in cases of poisouing by arsenie? The answer must he decidedly in the negative. At the trial of M'Cracken, at the Derby Autumn assizes, in 1832, for killing his wife with arsenic, the fact of poisoning was clearly established, and a large quantity of arsenic was found in the stomach of the deceased; but there was no appearance of inflammation, either in that organ or in the intestines. In a late number of Rust's Magazin, I find the two following cases. A servant-girl had some arsenic administered to her in chocolate. She was seized with nausea and violent pain in the stomach, and died the same evening. On inspection, there was no remarkable vascularity or inflammation of the stomach :- but arsenic was found in the A man was taken ill with vomiting and violent pain duodennm. in the abdomen after partaking of some soup, and he died from symptoms of poisoning. On inspection, the mucous surface of the stomach presented no morbid change, with the exception of slight redness about the cardia. Arsenic was found in the contents of the intestines.

In a few rare instances, the mouth, pharynx, and esopbagus, have been found inflamed, but in general there are no post-mortem changes in this part of the alimentary canal to attract particular attention. The mucous membrane of the small intestines may be found inflamed throughout, but commonly the inflammatory redness is confined to the duodenum, especially to that part joining the pylorus. Of the large intestines, the rectum appears to be the most prone to inflammation. The heart, brain and lungs present no appearances which can be considered characteristic of arsenical poisoning. The same remark applies to the liver, spleen and kidneys, although these, like the other soft organs, become receptacles of the absorbed poison. It is worthy of remark in relation to the known antiscptic properties of arsenic, that the parts especially affected by this poison, (the stomach and intestines,) occasionally present the well-marked characters of irritant poisoning for a long time after death. In two cases of recent occurrence (Chesham) referred to me by Mr. Lewis, coroner for Essex, a deep red inflammatory appearance of the mucons membrane immediately below a layer of sulphuret of arsenic was well marked, although the bodies had been buried nineteen months. In a case which occurred in March 1848, the stomach was also well preserved: and it retained an inflammatory redness after the lapse of twelve months. Absorbed arsenic does not, however, appear to prevent the decomposition of the body. Quantity required to destroy life.—This is an important medico-

legal question. According to a case quoted by Dr. Christison, the smallest fatal dose on record, in an adult, is stated to have been thirty grains of the powdered white arsenie: the man died in six days. But undonbtedly a much smaller quantity than this would kill. Lachése states that a dosc of from one to two grains may act fatally in a few days:—this, however, is a speculative statement. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 334.) It is highly probable that this dose would prove fatal to a child, or to weak and debilitated persons. The opinion respecting the fatal dose, expressed in a former edition of this work, has been strongly corroborated by a case reported to the Pathological Society of London, by Dr. Letheby. In this instance, two grains and a half of arsenie, contained in two onnees of Fly-water, killed a robust healthy girl, aged nineteen, in thirty-six hours. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 116.) This I believe to be the smallest fatal dose on record; and it will justify a medical witness in stating that under circumstances favourable to its operation, the fatal dose of this poison in an adult, is from two to three grains.

Recovery from large doses .- Persons have recovered after having taken very large doses of this poison. M. Bertrand states, that he swallowed five grains of arsenions acid with impunity. (Christison, 362.) The poison was here mixed with a large quantity of charcoal. A case is reported, in which sixty grains were taken by a physician, who recovered without suffering very severely. (Med. Gaz. xi. 771.) In another instance, a person recovered after having taken half an onnee of arsenie. The stomach-pump was not used, and the arsenic appears to have been carried off by vomiting and purging. Gaz. xix. 238.) In a case reported by Dr. Feital there was no vomiting, and yet the individual recovered after having taken half an ounce. Cases of recovery, when large doses have been taken, are not, however, very common:—they must be regarded as exceptions to the general rule. It would be in the highest degree improper to infer from them, that a large dose of this poison may be taken with impunity. In these instances, we commonly find that the arsenie has been taken on a full stomach, or that, under appropriate treatment, it has been speedily ejected by vomiting and purging.

Period at which death takes place.—Some remarks on the important bearing, which an answer to this question may have in a case of arsenical poisoning, have been elsewhere made. (See ante, page 18). From the numerous well-observed cases, which are now on record, it would appear that large doses of arsenic commonly prove fatal in from eighteen hours to three days. Probably, the average time at which death takes place is twenty-four hours; but the poison may destroy life within a much shorter period than this. There are now many authentic cases reported, in which death has occurred in from three to six hours. In 1845 I met with a well-marked case of death from arsenic in five hours. (See also Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 339.) It is singular that a few years since, observations were so limited, that it was thought to be impossible

for arsenic to destroy life in a shorter period of time than seven hours! (See ante, p. 18, *Russell's case;) and this rapidity of death was actually considered as a medical fact, which in some measure tended to nigrative the allegation of death from arsenic! One of the most rapidly fatal cases on record, I helieve to be that which occurred to Mr. Foster, of Huntingdon. This gentleman satisfactorily ascertained that the subject, o child under three years of age, died within *two hours* from the effects of arsenic. The quantity taken could not be determined; but the time at which death takes place, is by no means dependent on the quantity of poison taken. Dr. Borland, who formerly attended my lectures, communicated to me a case, in which death prohably occurred in less than two hours.

An interesting case has been published by Dr. Dymock. A girl, aged twenty, took two ounces of powdered arsenic, and died in less than two hours and a half afterwards. There were no connetose symptoms:—the girl was sensible to the last, and she had vomited violently. The mucous membrane of the stomach was covered with bright patches of a searlet colour. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. April 1843.)

Influence of quantity.—With respect to the effect of quantity, I have known one case prove fatal in fifteen hours where forty grains had been taken; and in another, where an ounce (twelve times the above quantity) had been swallowed, the patient did not die for seventeen hours. Both patients were females of about the same age. It is a common opinion that large doses only, kill with great rapidity; but this is not uniformly observed. In one instance, two onnees of the poison destroyed life in three hours and a half; but in another case (Waring) a dose of four or five grains killed a person in four hours. In the ease of the Duke de Praslin, one large dose was taken, but death did not occur until the sixth day. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 367.) In October 1847, a man who had swallowed 220 grains of arsenic, was admitted into Gny's Hospital. He died on the seventh day. It is obvious that a patient who recovers from the first effects of this poison, may still die from exhaustion or other secondary causes, many days or weeks after having taken it. In the case of Reg. v. M'Cormick, Liverpool Winter Assizes, the child died, as it appeared, from one dose of arsenic after the lapso of twelve days. (Mcd. Gaz. xxxiii. 434.) The child partially recovered from the first effects. In the case of the Queen v. Gilmour (Edinburgh, Jan. 1844), the deceased died after thirteen days. In one instance, already mentioned, arsenic was applied externally to the head, and the person did not die until the twentieth day (p. 68). The longest duration of a case of poisoning by arsenie which I have met with, is reported by Belloe. A woman, aged 56, employed a solution of arsenie in water to cure the itch, which had resisted the usual remedies. The skin became covered with an erysipelatous emption, and the itch was cured, but she experienced severe suffering. Her health gradually failed, and

she died after the lapse of two years, having suffered during the whole of this period from a general tremor of the limbs. (Cours de

Méd. # (g. 121.) Treatment.—If vomiting does not already exist as a direct effect of the poison, sulphate of zine should be exhibited, and its emetic effects promoted by mucilaginous drinks, such as linseed-tea, milk, or albuminous liquids. When sulphate of zine cannot be procured, a good substance for un cinetic is powdered mustard, in the proportion of from one to two tea-spoonfuls in a glass of water, administered at intervuls. A saponaceous liquid, made of equal parts of oil and limewater, may also be given. While this invests the poison, the lime tends to render less soluble, that portion of the poison which is dissolved. The stomach-pump may be usefully employed; but unless the patient is seen early, remedial means are seldom attended with success. I have known death to occur in a case where every particle of poison was found, on subsequent examination, to have been removed from the stomach. There are many instances of recovery on record. in which the arsenic uppears to have been early ejected by incessant vomiting and purging. The recovery has, however, been commonly attributed to the supposed autidote. Mr. Tubbs informs me that, conjoined with the use of the stomach-pump and emctics of sulphate of ziue, he has found great service in a mixture of milk, lime-water, and albumen. Such a mixture is undoubtedly well fitted to envelope the particles of arsenie, and sheathe the coats of the stomach from the irritant action of the poisou. This geutleman has sent me the reports of no less than nine eases, some of them of a very severe kind, which he has thus successfully treated. The hydrated sesonioxide of iron is only useful as a *chemical* antidote when the poison has been taken in solution. If arsenic has been swallowed in powder, it may serve as a bulky mass mechanically to suspend the poison, and thus facilitate its ejection from the stomach; but in this respect it possesses no advantages over albumen or other viseid liquids. Our treatment must be directed to the entire expulsion of the poison from the alimentary canal.

Chemical analysis.—Arsenic as a solid. In the simple state, white arsenic may be identified by the following properties:—I. A small quantity of the powder, placed on platina foil, is entirely volatilized at a gentle heat (370°) in a white vapour. Should there be any residue, it is impurity; sometimes plaster of Paris or chalk is found mixed with it. The quantity of fixed impurity present, may in this way be easily determined. If a small portion of the white powder be very gently heated in a glass tube of narrow bore, it will be sublimed, and form a riug of minute octohedral crystals, remarkable for their Instre and brilliancy. It will be observed in these experiments, that white arsenic in vapour possesses no odour. 2. On boiling a small quantity of the powder in distilled water, it is not dissolved, but it partly floats in a sort of film, or becomes aggregated in small lumps at the bottom

of the vessel. It requires long boiling, in order that it should become dissolved and equally diffused through water. 3. When the powder is treated with a solution of hydrosulphuret of ammonia in watchglass, there is no change of colour, as there is with most actallic poisons: on heating the mixture, the white powder is dissolved; and on continuing the heat until the ammonia is expelled, a rich yellow or orange-red film is left (sesquisulphuret of arsenic), which is soluble in all alkalies, and insoluble in nurriatic acid.

Reduction-process.—When a small portion of the powder, i. e. from one-fourth to one-twentieth part of a grain, is heated with some reducing agent containing earbon (and the best for this purpose is a flux obtained by incinerating acetate of soda in a close vessel) in a glass tube about three inches long and one-eighth of an inch in diameter, it is decomposed: n ring of nictallic arsenic of an iron-grey colour is sublimed and deposited in a cool part of the tube. At the same time there is a perceptible odour, resembling that of garlick, which is possessed by metallic arsenic only while passing from a state of vapour to arsenious acid. This odour was at our time looked upon as peculiar to arsenic, but no reliance is now placed on it as a matter of medical evidence—it is a mere accessory result. In this experiment of reduction, there are commonly two rings deposited in the tube :- the upper ring has a brown colour, and appears to be a mixture of finely divided metallic arsenic and arsenious acid. In order to determine the weight of the sublimate, the glass tube should be filed off closely on each side of the metallic ring, and weighed: the sublimnte may then be driven off by heat, and the piece of glass again weighed:-the difference or loss represents the weight of the sublimate. sublimates are remarkably light, and require to be weighed in a delicate balance. I found, in one experiment, a large sublimate to weigh no more than '08 grains. By heating gently the piece of tube, reduced to powder in an agate-mortar, in another tube of larger diameter, the metallic arsenic, during volatilization, forms octohedral crystals of arsenious acid, which may be dissolved in a few drops of water, and tested by the liquid reagents.

Objections to the reduction-process.—1. The glass itself may acquire a black metallic lustre by heat from the reduction of the oxide of lead contained in it. This is always the case when the tube is held too much in the body of the spirit-lamp flame instead of over the point. This metallic stain differs in appearance from arsenic; it is fixed, while the arsenical sublimate is volatile by heat, and convertible to white octohedral crystals of arsenious acid. 2. Charcoal may give a dark colour to the tube, but it is not advisable to employ this substance unless the quantity of arsenious acid is very minute; besides, the stain of charcoal is fixed, and has no metallic lustre like that of arsenic.

3. Arsenic is said to be contained in glass, and it was supposed that it might be sublimed by heat; this, however, is impossible: arsenic is sometimes used in the manufacture of glass, but it is entirely volatilized

during the process. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1834, i. 224; also Galtier, Toxicologie, i. 297.) I have frequently examined largo quantities of the glass tubing employed hy chemists in a finely powdered state, without finding the slightest trace of arsenic. 4. Cadmium is a metal which is said to form a metallic sublimate like arsenie. The oxide of cadmium may be produced by a similar process, but the metallic suhlimate is wholly different from that of arsenie: it has a tin-like lustre. and is generally fringed with a hrown margin of reproduced oxide. There is no odour of garliek during the reduction of oxide of eadmium: and, on heating the metallic ring, it is not wholly volatilized like arsenie, but converted to a ring of brown oxide. 5. Mercury forms a suhlimate, but in white silvery globules, quite distinct from the dark iron-grey lustro of arsenic. Neither antimony nor zinc can be volatilized from any of their preparations in a metallic state, by the heat of a spirit-lamp. The process of reduction, with the most simple precautions, is, therefore, when thus applied, couclusive of the nature of the substance under examination. It is advisable, although not absolutely necessary, that we should apply the three foregoing tests to the white powder, before attempting to extract the metal from it.

Arsenic in solution in water; Laquid tests.—The solution is clear, colourless, possesses searcely any perceptible taste, and has a very faint acid reaction. In this state, we should first evaporate slowly a few drops on a glass plate, when a confused crystalline crust will be obtained. On examining this crust with a common lens, it will be found to consist of unmerous minute octohedral crystals, presenting triangular surfaces by reflected light. By this simple experiment,

arsenic is distinguished from every other metallic poison.

1. On adding to the solution Ammonio-nitrate of silver, a rich yellow precipitate of arsenite of silver falls down;—rapidly changing in colour to a greenish-brown. The test is made hy adding to a very strong solution of nitrate of silver, a weak solution of ammonia, continuing to add the latter, until the hrown oxide of silver, at first thrown down, is almost re-dissolved. The yellow precipitate is soluble in nitric, tartaric, citric, and acetic acids, as well as in caustic ammonia.

It is not dissolved by potash or soda.

2. On adding to the solution of arsenie Ammonio-sulphate of copper, a rich green precipitate is formed, the tint of which varies according to the proportion of arsenie present and the quantity of the test added: hence, if the quantity of arsenie be small, no green precipitate at first appears; the liquid simply acquiring a blue colour from the test. In less than an hour, if arsenie he present, a hright green deposit is formed, which may be easily separated from the blne liquid by filtration. This test is made hy adding ammonia to a weak solution of sulphate of copper, nntil the hlueish-white precipitate, at first produced, is nearly re-dissolved it should not be used in large quantity if concentrated, as it possesses a deep violet blue colour, which renders obscure the green precipitate formed. The precipitated arsenite of

eopper is soluble in all acids, mineral and vegetable, and in ammonia, but not in potash or soda. When dried and collected, it possesses this characteristic property:—by very slowly heating a few grains in a tube of small bore, arscuious acid is sublimed in a ring of minute resplendent octohedral crystals, oxide of copper being left as a residue.

3. Sulphuretted hydrogen gas.—The hydrosulphuret of animonia gives no precipitate in a solution of arsenic until au acid has been added, whereby arsenie is known from most metallic poisons. On adding an acid (acetic) a rich golden yellow-coloured precipitate is thrown down (orpiment or sesquisulphuret of arsenic). It is better, however, to employ in medico-legal analysis, a current of washed sulphuretted hydrogen gas, which is easily procured by adding sulphuret of iron to one part of strong sulphuric acid and three parts of water in a longnecked bottle. 'The arsenical liquid should be slightly acidulated with acetic or very diluted muriatic acid, before the gas is passed into it: The yellow comat least care should be taken that it is not alkaline. pound is immediately produced if arsenic be present, and may be collected after boiling the liquid so as to drive off any surplus gas. precipitation is likewise facilitated by adding to the liquid a solution of muriate of ammonia. This yellow precipitate is known to be sesquisulphuret of arsenie by the following properties:-1. It is iusoluble in water, alcohol, and ether, as well as in all acids, mineral (muriatic) and vegetable; but it is decomposed by strong uitric and nitro-muriatic acids. 2. It is immediately dissolved by caustic potash, soda, or ammonia, forming, if no organic matter be present, a colourless 3. When dried and heated with three parts of soda-flux, or, what is better, an equal part of evanide of potassium, it furnishes a metallic sublimate of arsenic. This last experiment requires a little care, as some sulphur is apt to he sublimed, and obscure the results. If fine pulverulent silver be used as the reducing agent, and the heat gently applied, the arsenic may be evolved at once from the sulphuret, in a ring of octohedral crystals of arsculous acid. Unless these properties are proved to exist in the yellow precipitate formed by sulpharetted hydrogen in an unknown liquid, it cannot be a compound of arsenic; and it would not be safe as a general rule to receive evidence on the point. Ou the other hand, when these properties are possessed by the precipitate, it must be arsenie, and can be no other substance.

Objections.—Many objections have been taken on criminal trials to the medical evidence, founded on the application of these tests individually; but it may be safely averred that there is no substance except arsenic which will give the reactions with the three. It is customary for toxicologists to lay down the rule, that the objection urged against one test for arsenic, is removed by the application of the other tests. In a criminal case in which I had to give evidence (Reg. v. Jennings, Berks Lent Ass. 1845), it was ingeniously suggested in the defence, that there might perchance be such a mixture of substances not containing arsenic, as to affect all the tests

like arsenic when separately applied. This, however, is clearly a chemical impossibility, for it would require the mixture of substances incompatible with each other. But a mere change of colour, or even the production of a coloured precipitate on adding a test to an unknown liquid, furnishes no evidence, unless the properties of the precipitate be those of an arsenical compound. Again, no conceivable mixture of substances would produce a metallic ring resembling that of arsenic, so as to deceive an analyst experienced in such matters; and far less a ring, possessing those properties of an arsenical sublimate, which it would be easy for one who may have had but little experience, to determine by simple chemical processes.

Marsh's process. Hydrogen test.—The action of this test depends on the decomposition of arsenious acid and its soluble compounds, by hydrogen evolved in the nascent state from the action of diluted sulphuric acid on zinc. The apparatus is of the most simple kind, and is so well known as to need no description. The arsenic may be introduced into the short leg of the tube in the state of powder; but it is far better to dissolve it in water, by boiling, either with or without the addition of a few drops of caustic potash. The metallic arsenie combines with the bydrogen, forming arsenuretted hydrogen gas, which possesses the following properties. 1. It burns with a blucishwhite tlame, and thick white smoke (arsenious acid). 2. A cold plate of glass or white porcelain held in the flame near the point, receives a dark stain from the deposit of arsenic upon it. This stain is composed in the centre of pure metallic arsenie, which may be sometimes raised up in a distinctly bright leaf of metal,—immediately on the outside of this, is an opake black ring, (suboxide or hydruret of arsenic), which, when viewed by transmitted light, is of a clear hairbrown colour at the extreme edge:—if the quantity of arsenic be very small, the metallic lustre and opacity may be wanting, and the whole stain will have a brown colour by transmitted light. On the outside of this black ring, is a thin wide tilm of a milk-white appearance, which is nothing more than arsenious acid reproduced by combustion. 3. A white saucer or a slip of card or paper moistened with ammonionitrate of silver, held about an inch above the point of the flame, will be found, if arsenic be present, to be coloured yellow, from the reproduced arsenions acid being absorbed, and forming yellow arsenite of silver, easily soluble in acetic acid and ammonia. Unless the gas possess these properties, there is no certain evidence of the presence of arsenic in the liquid examined.

Objections to Marsh's process.—Other substances will combine with nascent hydrogen, and when that gas is burnt, a deposit will be formed on glass which may be mistaken for arseuic. The only objection of any practical force is that founded on the presence of antimony. There are these differences between the arsenical and antimonial stains; the stain of antimouy has rarely the bright metallic lustre which that of arsenic commonly presents; by transmitted light it is of a smoky

hlack, while that of arsenic is of a hair-brown colour. Although the antimonial is very similar in colour to the arsenical flame, yet the third property is entirely wanting. If the ammonio-nitrate of silver he held over the antimonial flame, the silver is reduced; uo yellow arsenite is formed, as in the case of arsenic. This last criterion distinguishes the arsenical flame from that produced by all the other bodies above mentioned.

Arsenic is sometimes contained as an *impurity* in zinc and sulphuric acid: hence the purity of the materials employed, must be determined before reliauce cau be placed on the results.

Reinsch's process. In the application of this ingenious process, the solid or liquid suspected to contain arsenic, is boiled with about one-sixtb part of pure muriatic acid, and a slip of bright copper foil or coppergauze is then introduced. If arsenic be present, even in small quantity, the copper acquires either immediately or within a few minutes a dark iron-grey coating from the deposit of that metal. This is apt to scale off, if the arsenic he in large quantity, or if the liquid be long boiled. We remove the slip of copper, wash it in water, dry it, and gradually heat it in a reduction-tuhe, when arsenious acid will be sublimed in minute octohedral crystals: if these should not be apparent from one piece of copper, several may be successively introduced. A large surface of copper may be in this way at once covered—the grey deposit scraped off, and the powder gently heated in a reduction-tube. This test succeeds perfectly with powdered arsenic, the arsenites, arsenie acid, the arseniates, and orpiment. It will even separate the arsenic from the arsenite of copper, and from common lead-shot. When the quantity of arsenic is small, the copper merely acquires a faint violet or blucish tint, and the deposit is materially affected by the quantity of water present, or, in other words, the degree of dilution. But one great advantage is, that we are not obliged to dilute the liquid in the experiment, and there is no loss of arseuic:—the whole may be removed and collected by the introduction of successive portions of copper. This process is extremely delicate, the results are very specdily obtained, and are highly satisfactory. One caution is to he ohserved, i. e. not to remove the copper from the liquid too soon. When the arsenie is in minute quantity, the deposit does not take place sometimes for a quarter of an hour. If the copper he kept in for an hour or longer, it may acquire a dingy tarnish from the action of the acid. This is known by its want of metallic lustre, and its heing easily removed by frictiou.

Objections to Reinsch's process.—Certain objections have heen urged to this test. Thus arsenic may be present in the muriatic acid: this is at once answered by boiling the copper in a portion of the muriatic acid hefore adding the suspected liquid. This should always be a preliminary experiment. A more important objection is, that other metals are liable to he deposited on copper under similar circumstances. Thus, this is the case with Antimony, whether in the state

of chloride or of tartar-emetie; nor is it always possible to distinguish by the appearance, the antimonial from the arsenical deposit. Should the quantity of antimony be small, the deposit is of a violet tint; if large, of an iron-grey colour, exactly like arsenic. There is one answer to these objections, namely, that from the arsenical deposit, octohedral crystals of arsenious acid may be procured by slowly heating the slip of copper or the grey deposit seraped from it, in a reductiou-tube. If this experiment be carefully performed, a ring of white arsenious acid will be easily obtained; this may be boiled in a few drops of water, and tested with the ammonio-nitrate of silver and sulphuretted hy-Such a corroboration is necessary, because the crystalline form of arsenious acid is not always distinguishable; and the antimonial deposit gives a white amorphous sublimate, which, however, is quite insoluble in water. Care must be taken not to mistake minute spherules of water for detached crystals of arsenious acid. cility of applying this process, renders it necessary that the experiincutalist should be guarded in his juferences. It is not merely by the production of a stain on copper, that he judges of the prescuce of arsenie, but by the reconversion of the deposit, causing the stain, to arsenious acid, demonstrable by its crystalline form or its chemical properties. If a deposit take place on copper, and arsenious acid caunot be obtained by heating it, then the didence of its having heen eaused by arsenic, is defective. Owing to the neglect of these corroborative results, antimony has been mistaken for arsenie.

Arsenic in liquids containing organic matter. - Arsenions acid, when in a state of solution, is not liable to be precipitated by any animal or vegetable principles, although all such substances render it less soluble The liquid for analysis should be filtered through muslin. cotton, or paper, ia order to separate any insoluble matters. Should it be coloured, this is of little moment, provided it be clear. If viscid, it should be diluted with water and boiled with a small quantity of muriatie acid; on standing, a deposit may take place, and this should be separated by a filter. As a trial-test, we may now boil in a portion of the liquid, strongly acidulated with pure muriatic acid, a slip of bright copper. In a few seconds, if arsenie be present, this will acquire a grey metallic coating. If, after half an bour, the copper remain unchanged, the arsenie, if present, must be in extremely minute proportion; if, on the other hand, the copper be covered by a grey deposit, it should be dried and heated in a reduction-tube in the way already described, in order to obtain from it octohedral crystals of arsenious acid. From several such slips of copper, or copper-gauze, a quantity of metallic arsenic may be procured, sufficient, on reconversion to arsenious acid, to allow of a solution in water being made, to which all the liquid tests may be applied. One obstacle to the use of the gauze is, that oily and other kinds of animal matter, not easily removable by washing in water, may adhere to it. Digestion in ether and alcohol, slightly warmed, will free it from these substances, which are apt to become sublimed by heat, and obscure the result:—but it should be again washed in water and dried before heat is applied to it. When, however, much oily matter is present, it is better to boil the organic substance with muriatic acid, and filter the liquid through a wet filter before introducing the copper-gauze. In this way the fut and solid organic impurities may be separated. An even conting of arsenic was by this process obtained on copper gauze from the decomposed tissue of the stomach of a person whose body had been buried nearly two years. As the gauze is remarkably bygrometric, it requires to be thoroughly dried in a vapour-bath before it is submitted to beat in a reduction-tube. Should there be any doubt whether the sublimate be caused by spherules of water or particles of arsenic, the tube itself should be kept some time in a vapour-bath. Water is dissipated at 212°. Arsenions acid requires a heat of nearly 370° for its sublimation.

Another process for procuring evidence of the presence of this poison in liquids, consists in precipitating the arsenious acid in the state of sesquisulphuret, and in decomposing this compound by an alkaline flux. Sulphuretted hydrogen gas should be passed into the suspected liquid, previously filtered and acidulated with muriatic or acetic acid. When all further precipitation has ceased, the liquid should be filtered, the precipitate collected, dissolved in ammonia, and reprecipitated by an acid. By digesting it in water, alcohol, and muriatic acid successively, it may be deprived of any organic matter combined with it, sufficiently to allow of its reduction by soda-flux or metallic silver in the way described. The sulphuret has sometimes a dark brown colour from adhering organic matter; it is then better to transform it to arsenic acid by boiling it in nitro-muriatic acid,during which process, the organic matter is entirely destroyed, and a solution of arsenic acid is obtained and rendered fitted for testing, by digesting the evaporated residue in distilled water; or the sulphuret may be deflagrated with nitre, and arseniate of potash then procured. In this case the surplus nitric acid should be driven off by sulphurie acid. An abundant deposit of metallic arsenic may be obtained in either case by boiling the liquid, with muriatic acid and coppergauze. In this way it is easy to analyse wine, coffee, tea, milk, porter, braudy, and similar liquids, for arsenic. This corroborative test is necossary, since I have known an instance in which a large quantity of orange-pecl had been caten and had caused death, and the contents of the stomach acquired a yellow colour from sulphuretted hydrogen gas, like that produced by arsenic. There was no deposit, and the yellow colour did not disappear on adding ammonia.

The contents of the stomach are often mixed with lumps of arsenic which may be separated by throwing those portions that do not pass through a filter into a large glass of distilled water, and after giving to it a circular inction, suddenly pouring off the supernatant liquid, when the heavy portious containing arsenic, will be found at the bottom. The lumps may sometimes be left in the contents; they may then be

easily removed, dried on filtering paper, and tested. If the arsenie has been taken in fine powder, there will be no lumps, but it will probably be deposited in masses, mixed with mucus sud blood, on the coats of the organ, chiefly in those parts where it is much inflamed and ulcerated. The arsenic in this state looks like moistened plaster of Paris, but it is of a darker colour, and when examined by a lens it is crystalline. It may be removed on a spatula, spread in masses on filtering paper, and slowly dried. As it dries, the granules will detach themselves from the mass, and they may be theu easily tested either by the reduction or by Reinsch's process; i. e. by boiling the suspected partieles, or even the stained portions of paper on which the organic matter has become dried, with muriatic acid and copper-gauze. Mucus, blood, or even a layer of the mucous membrane of the stomseh, may be thus readily tested. This is in general the only method which it is now necessary to employ. By the use of numerous tests and processes, a witness only exposes his evidence most unnecessarily to many ingenious objections. It is sufficient to obtain the deposit on copper: we then convert this by heat to arsenious acid,which may be dissolved in water, and the silver, copper, and sulphuretted hydrogen tests applied to a clear solution in water with the usual results. In this way we avoid the troublesome and complex method of separating organic matter from arsenie.

Arsenic not always dissolved.—The fact that the liquid contents yield no arsenic, must not lead us to suppose that the poison is absent. I have found solid arsenic spread over the coats of the stomach in two cases, when the liquid contents yielded no traces of the poison in solution. In the same way I have detected no arsenic dissolved in tea when it was abundant in the sediment. (Queen v. Lever, 1845.) If none should be found either dissolved in the contents of the stomach or on the surface of the organ, we must cut off the inflamed and ulcerated portions of the mucous coat, and boil them with diluted muriatic acid and copper for half an hour. The liquid may be then filtered and tested. It often happens that no arsenic can be detected in the contents of the stomach or vomited matters, until after they have been boiled for at least one or two hours.

Arsenic not always present.—It is an important medieo-legal fact, that in many undoubted instances of arsenical poisoning, not a trace of the poison can be found in the stomach or its contents. Several of these cases have occurred to my knowledge. Iu one, a girl took an ounce of the poison, and died in seventeen hours: there was much vomiting and purging, and the stomach-pump was used,—facts which might sufficiently account for the non-detection of poison in the body. In a second, nearly two ounces of arsenic were swallowed, and the person died in eight hours. No arsenic was discovered in the stomach. Even wheu there has been no vomiting and purging, the poison is not always found, but then the dose is generally small. Thus, in the case referred to me by Mr. Veasy, no arsenic could be detected in the

stomach, duodenum, or their contents, although the patient had neither vomiting nor purging. Reasons have been already assigned for the non-discovery of the poison. (See ante, p. 28.) In those cases of rapid death, however, when the poison cannot be found in the contents of the viscera, it may always be discovered in the tissues.

Detection of absorbed arsenic in the tissues. — When arsenic cannot be detected in the contents of the viscera, it is necessary to adopt some method of extracting from the blood, secretions, muscles, or viscera of the deceased, that portion of the poison which has been absorbed. In most cases of acute poisoning, arsenie will be found, but in variable quantities, in every one of the soft structures of the body—more abundantly in the viscora of the abdomen than elsewhere.

The processes commonly employed for the discovery of arsenic in the tissues are those of MM. Danger and Flandiu, and of Reinsch.

1. MM. Danger and Flandin carbonize the animal matter by boiling it to dryness in a small quantity of strong sulplurie acid, equal to about one-third the weight of the dried organic matter. They digest the resulting carbonaceous ash in nitro-muriatic acid, and after driving off the acid by a moderate heat, treat the residue with distilled water. This, if arsenic be present in the viscera, yields arsenic acid, a compound easily discovered by Marsh's or Reinsell's process. In pursuing this process, I obtained from seven ounces of the liver of a man poisoned by arsenic, about a dozen minute sublimates, as well as the action of the vapour of the flame on ammonio-nitrate of silver. M. Blondlot has lately advised that the earbonization should not be carried to dryness, as it occasions a loss of arsenic; but when the liquid is of a pasty consistency, he passes into it a current of chlorine; the liquid is then filtered, and introduced into Marsh's apparatus, where it produces but little froth. (Comptes Rendus, 1845, ii. 32.)

2. The process of Reinseh is, however, more easily applied than that of M. Flandin; it is simply this. The soft organs (and for this purpose the liver is preferable), are to be cut into very small pieces and boiled in a mixture of one part of pure nuriatic acid and eight of water, for two hours, or until the whole of the organic matter becomes a soft magma. The liquid may then be strained, and the residue pressed. If the quantity be large, it may be concentrated by evapora-The copper-gauze or foil should be next introduced, and half an hour or an hour allowed for the deposit, if necessary. Should a deposit be formed, its nature must be positively determined in the way already described (p. 78). I have had occasion to apply this process to the detection of absorbed arsenic in the tissues in many cases of arsenical poisoning, with satisfactory results. A witness in making use of it, must always be prepared to meet with the following objection-namely, whether a deposit resembling that of arsenie may not be formed on the surface of copper by long boiling with animal matter (free from poison) and muriatic acid. Having tried on several

occasions the liquid contents of the human stomach, the viscera, and even common muscle (beef), as well as various articles of food, in order to determine this point,—the result has been, that except when arsenic was added, or when there was a very strong suspicion of its presence, no metallic deposit was formed on the copper. The metal came out of the vessel untarnished, or there was only a slight superficial discoloration (from oxide) easily removed by friction. It would be wrong, however, to say, whatever suspicions may exist, that arsenie was present in any case, unless arsenious acid be obtained from the deposit. The analyst should remember that the liver, spleeu, and kidneys, are the organs best fitted for yielding arsenic under these circumstances. The urine also contains it in the living and dead body.

Arsenic in the soil of cemeteries .- It appears from the researches of several toxicologists, that the soil of graveyards often contains a compound of arsenic, generally in an insoluble form. In eight trials on four different soils. Orfila found three of them arsenical. He used about six pounds of earth in the experiment. As there was no sign of arsenic, except when an acid was used, he inferred that it existed in the state of arsenite or arseniate of lime. The researches of Flandin have corroborated this result; and, in one instance, this experimentalist estimated that the quantity of arsenic, in an iusoluble form, in about a pound of earth, did not exceed the tweutieth part of a graiu! Admitting the existence of arsenic as a natural constituent of certain soils, it becomes important to determine how far it may affect the chemical evidence of the presence of this poison in the remains of bodies which have undergone exhumation. If the coffin he eracked or entirely destroyed, so that the earth has become intermixed with the remains, and that which surrounds the coffin yields traces of arsenic, it is evident that no reliance could be placed upon the inference that the arsenic existed in the dead hody, unless the poison found in the remains, was in extremely large proportion. The reader will find cases in which doubts based upon the origin of the arsenic detected in the decomposed dead hody, led to the abandonment of chemical evidence. Traité des Poisons, 674, 683.) A difficulty of this kind cannot, however, when proper precautions are taken, often present itself in practice. A hody buried in a coffin is rarely so far decomposed as to hecome covered by the soil from the disintegration of the coffin in a period shorter than from seven to ten years; and until such a complete disintegration has taken place, it is not easy to perceive how the presence of an insoluble arsenical compound, as a natural constituent of the soil, can present any objection to the results of an analysis. In the examination of these soils, it has been ascertained that no arsenical compound soluble in water has existed in them; therefore, if distilled water should yield, on hoiling the remains, a solution of arsenic, the presumption is that it could not have been derived from the soil. It has been supposed that the arsenic may have been carried by percolation from the soil into the body: but in this case, as Flandin has observed, the exterior of the body would contain more than the interior: while in a case of arsenical poisoning (except when dependent on local application) the liver and stomach would yield more than the skin. (See Galtier, i. 368; Flandin, i. 429, 741.)

Arsenic in solids.—Arsenic may exist in solid articles of food, such as bread, pills, and powders:—in ointments, and certain kinds of candles;—or matters vomited by a person poisoned, may sometimes he imbibed by articles of clothing or furniture. In all these cases we should simply boil the solid in water, with the addition of muriatic acid and copper; or if we wish to separate the whole of the poison, we may proceed, as in the case of organic liquids, by using a current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas. A cat was poisoned by half a drachm of arsenic—the animal died in about nine hours. No trace of poison was found in the body; but a small part of the floor of the room, where the eat had vomited, was scraped off, boiled in water, and yielded on analysis, clear evidence of the presence of arsenic.

ARSENITE OF POTASH.

The compounds formed by arsenious acid with the alkaline bases are all poisonous. Those of potash, soda, and ammonia, are soluble in water, and, therefore, act with more energy. The ARSENTE OF POTASH is the only preparation which here requires notice. It is used in medicine, and is well-known under the name of FOWLER'S MINERAL SOLUTION, or Tasteless Ague Drop. It is made by boiling arsenions acid with carbonate of potash, the latter being in slight excess, and it is coloured with compound tineture of lavender. In the preparation of the Iondon Pharmacopæia, there are sixteen grains of arsenious acid in thirty-five fluid-drachms of the solution, which is nearly equal to one grain in 2.06 fluid-drachms. Its real strength may be affected by any impurities in the arsenions acid employed. The preparation used in Scotland is of the same strength; but that of the Dublin College is one-ninth weaker. The action of this liquid as a poison, in large doses, is in all respects analogous to that of arsenious acid.

METALLIC ARSENIC. (FLY POWDER.)

It is generally considered that metallic arsenic is not poisonous; but. as it is very easily oxidized, it speedily acquires poisonous properties. According to Berzelius, the metal is slowly converted, by exposure to air, to a pulverulent suboxide of a black or brownish-black colour. This is commonly called Fly-Powder, a name also applied to the arsenical cobalt ores reduced to powder. Thus, what is called the Tunaberg ore, a mixture of cobalt, arsenic, iron, and sulphur, is largely used on the continent under the name of Fly-Powder; and, as it comes within the reach of children, it frequently gives rise to accidents. A few years ago, Dr. Schobbens was called to a man who had taken

some by mistake for a purgative. He was soon attacked with the usual symptoms of poisoning by arsenic. He swallowed a large quantity of milk, which occasioned immediate vomiting. As fifteen hours had elapsed before a medical man saw him, no treatment was of any avail, and he died from the effects of the poison. In another case, a child, aged four years, swallowed a portion of fly-powder. The hydrated sesquioxide of iron was given every half hour, and the child recovered the next day. (Monthly John. Med. Science, Sept. 1846, p. 228.) The exact quantity taken in these cases is not known; but there is no doubt that the poison is but little inferior to arsenious acid in activity; and the symptoms and post-mortem appearances from a fatal dose would be similar. This substance is not much known in England. A woman was convicted in France for poisoning her husband with it in 1844. (Briand, Man. Comp. de Méd. Lég. 452.) It owes its poisonous properties to arsenious acid, of which, with the metal, it appears to be a mechanical mixture.

FLY WATER is a name applied to solutions of various arsenical compounds in water. One mixture of this kind is formed by dissolving one part of arseniate of soda and two parts of sugar in twenty parts of water. Paper soaked in this solution, and dried, is used for poisoning flies; and, perhaps, this is the safest form in which arsenic

can be used for such a purpose.

A case of poisoning by fly-water, in which two grains and a half of arsenious acid destroyed the life of an adult in thirty-six hours, has been lately reported in the Medical Gazette, (vol. xxxix. 116).

ARSENIC ACID.

This is an artificial product almost entirely confined to the chemical laboratory. Ordina states that it is a more powerful poison than arsenious acid, but he does not addnee any cases in support of this opinion. Dr. Christisou does not mention it, and I have not been able to find any case of poisoning by it in the human subject. Dr. Glover ascertained that four grains of the acid, dissolved in two drachus of water and introduced into the stomach of a stont rabbit, killed the animal in four hours, with the symptoms of irritant poisoning, and an affection of the nervous system. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. Iviii. 121.)

Analysis.—Arsenic acid is a white uncrystalline deliquescent solid.

1. It is not volatilized on platina foil, by the flame of a lamp. 2. It is very soluble in water, forming a highly acid solution. 3. It is precipitated of a dull red colour by nitrate or the ammonio-nitrate of silver. In these characters it differs from arsenious acid. 4. It yields readily an arsenical sublimate with charcoal. 5. It yields abundant deposits with copper and muriatic acid, or in Marsh's apparatus. It is precipitated, although slowly and of a pale yellow colour, by sulphuretted hydrogen gas. In these properties, it resembles arsenious acid.

ARSENIATE OF POTASII.

The arseniates of potash and soda must be regarded as active poisons, although there are but few instances on record in which life has heen destroyed by them. Dr. Christison states that, in the course of his reading, he has met with only two reported eases of poisoning by arseniate of potash (Op. eit. 284).

SULPHURETS OF ARSENIC.

There are several kinds met with in commerce—ORPIMENT or YELLOW ARSENIC, and REALGAR or RED ARSENIC. They are very poisonous in consequence of their containing a large proportion of free arsenious acid; this sometimes amounts to as much as from 30 to 70 per cent. of their weight. They are not often used as poisons. Orpiment has, however, given occasion to several criminal trials in England.

Symptoms and appearances.—The sulphurets of arsenic produce symptoms and appearances after death similar to those caused by arsenious acid; but the dose required to destroy life must vary according to the proportion of arsenious acid with which the sulphuret happens to be mixed. This is not a common form of criminal poisoning; the intense colour of the poison would lead to suspicion. It was with orpiment that Mrs. Smith was poisoned at Bristol in 1835. (Med. Quart. Rev. July 1835, p. 390.) Its colour might cause it to he mistaken for mustard. Orpiment has heen known to cause death by external application as a depilatory (see Annales d'Hygiène, 1834, 459); a result which might he expected from the quantity of arsenious acid with which it is mixed. There is a form of depilatory used, which cousists of one part of orpiment, twelve parts of quicklime, and ten parts of starch, made into a soft paste with water (Pereira, i. 218), the use of which must he always attended with danger.

Treatment.—Hydrated sesquioxide of iron has heen used as an antidote in poisoning hy the arsenical sulphurets; but it is not easy to perceive how, at a temperature of 98°, any chemical action of an antidotal kind can be exerted hetween these hodies. The promotion of vomiting with the exhibition of mucilaginous liquids, can aloue be trusted to.

Analysis.—The powdered sulphurets yield a solution of arsenious acid on boiling them in water acidulated with muriatic acid. They readily give the well-known sublimates of metallic arsenic, both with soda-flux, silver, and in the hydrogen apparatus. They also yield a deposit of arsenic when boiled with copper and muriatic acid. Orpiment is insoluble in muriatic acid, but it is readily dissolved by caustic potash. Organic mixtures. The sulphuret being insoluble in water, it is in general easily separated mechanically by allowing the matters mixed with it, to become dry upon bibulous paper. If the sulphuret cannot be separated mechanically, the organic matter suspected to contain it, should be dried and boiled with nitro-muriatic acid to dryness. Any sulphuret will he found, as arsenic acid, soluble

in water. Another impure sulphuret, sold as King's yellow, is composed, according to Dr. Christison, of sulphuret of arsenic, lime and sulphur. It is highly poisonous, and is extensively sold as a pigment. A case of alleged poisoning by this substance is reported in the Edinburgh Monthly Journal (Sept. 1846.) The sulphuret of arsenic is easily separated from it by digestion in eaustic alkali.

ARSENURETTED HYDROGEN.

Symptoms and effects.—This is a gaseous poison of arsenic, producing, when respired in small quantity, very serious effects upon the system. It has already occasioned death in at least three instances. The gas is an artificial product, and is formed in a chemical laboratory in various ways,—one method has been already described in speaking of Marsh's process; and its highly poisonous properties render it necessary that caution should be used in the employment of this mode of testing. The gas is most effectually decomposed, and prevented from diffusing itself, by passing it into a solution of nitrate of silver. This form of gaseous arsenical poisoning has been hitherto purely accidental. Gehlen, a German chemist, was killed by accidentally breathing a small quantity. He suspected that the gas was escaping from some part of the apparatus which he was using, and applied his nose for the purpose of detecting it: although he respired but a very small quantity, probably a few hundredths of a grain only (Brande) he was seized in about an hour afterwards with vomiting, shivering, and great prostration of strength. He died on the ninth day. The most complete history of this kind of poisoning has been published by Dr. O'Reilly, of Dublin. He has been kind enough to forward me the particulars of one case.

A gentleman, for the sake of experiment, wished to respire about one hundred and fifty enbie inches of hydrogen gas. It unfortunately happened that the sulphuric acid, which he used for making the hydrogen, was largely contaminated with arsenic; and immediately after respiring the gas, he was seized with giddiness and fainting, constant vomiting of a greenish-coloured matter, and dull pain in the epigastrium. There was also complete suppression of urine. He died in about six days. On dissection, the liver and kidneys were found of a deep indigo colour,—the mucous membrane of the stomach was casily separated; and there were two distinct patches of inflammation in the greater curvature. There was a quantity of reddish-coloured fluid effused in the chest, and it is an interesting fact, that Dr. O'Reilly, on examining about ten ounces of this fluid, was enabled by the use of Marsh's process, to detect arsenic in it. From experiments made on the sulphuric acid, it is supposed that the deceased must have inhaled a quantity of arsenic equivalent to about twelve grains of arsenious acid.

Analysis.—The chemical properties of this gas have been already described. (See Marsh's Process, ante, p. 77.)

CHAPTER XI.

CORROSIVE SUBLIMATE—TASTÉ AND SOLUBILITY—SYMPTOMS—ITS EFFECTS COMPARED WITH THOSE OF ARSENIC — SLOW OR CHRONIC POISONING — SALIVATION FROM SMALL BOSES OF MERCURIAL MEDICINES — FROM OTHER CAUSES — EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL APPLICATION—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO DESTROY LIFE—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE—FATAL DOSE—TREATMENT—CHEMICAL ANALYSIS IN POWDER AND SOLUTION — TESTS — PROCESS IN ORGANIC LIQUIDS — CALOMEL — WHITE AND RED PRECIPITATES — SULPHURETS OF MERCURY.

CORROSIVE SUBLIMATE.

This substance is usually known under the chemical name of Bichloride of Mercury; but, according to some distinguished authorities, it is a chloride. To prevent any confusion from scientific chemical nomenclature, the old and popular name of corrosive sublimate is here used. It is not often taken as a poison. In the Coroner's report for 1837-8, there were about fifteen cases of mercurial poisoning, in twelve of which corrosive sublimate was the poison taken. It is commonly seen under the form of very heavy crystalline masses, or of a white powder. It is freely retailed to the public at the rate of twopence for from one to two drachms; if exceeding this quantity the price is sixpence per onnee. This may guide the witness when he has to judge of the quantity taken, by the price paid.

Taste and solubility.—The taste of corrosive sublimate is powerfully austere and metallic, so that no poisonous quantity of it can be easily swallowed without the individual becoming immediately aware of it. It is very soluble in water, hot or cold, and speedily sinks in it, in which properties it differs strikingly from arsenic. I have found by experiment that one hundred grains of a cold saturated solution hold dissolved at a maximum, ten grains of corrosive sublimate; and it is stated by most chemists that two parts of boiling water (212°) will dissolve one part of the poison. It is also readily dissolved hy alcohol and other; the last hody takes up one-third of its weight, and has the property of abstracting it from its aqueous solution,—a principle which is sometimes advantageously resorted to for separating the poison when dissolved in organic liquids. It is soluble without change in nitric and muriatic acids, and it is a fact of some medico-legal importance, that common salt renders it more soluble in water.

Symptoms.—The symptoms produced by corrosive sublimate, generally come on immediately or within a few minutes after the poison has

been swallowed. In the first place, there is perceived a strong metallic taste in the month, often described as a coppery taste; and there is, during the act of swallowing, a sense of constriction almost amounting to suffocation, with burning heat in the throat, extending downwards to the stomach. In a few minutes violent pain is felt in the abdomeu, especially in the region of the stomach, which is increased by pressure. Pain in the abdomen is, however, sometimes wholly absent. There is nausea, with frequent vomiting of long stringy masses of white mucus, mixed with blood; and this is accompanied by profuse diarrhoa. The countenance is sometimes swollen and flushed, in other cases it has been pale and anxious. The pulse is small, frequent, and irregular, becoming scarcely perceptible as the symptoms become aggravated. The tongue is white and shrivelled,—the skin is cold and clammy, the respiration difficult; and death is commonly preceded by syncope, convulsions, or general insensibility. The internal parts of the mouth when examined, are swollen, and often present the appearance as if the cavity had been washed with a solution of nitrate of silver: the lips are often swollen. Suppression of urine has also been frequently noticed among the symptoms. It existed in a well-marked case of poisoning by this substance at Gny's Hospital :- the patient lived four days, but did not pass any nrine during the whole of this time. (G. 11. R. April 1844, p. 24.) This symptom was observed in an interesting case reported by Dr. Wegeler (Casper's Wochenschrift, Jan. 10, 1846, p. 30), in which a youth, retat. 17, swallowed three drachms of the poison, and died on the sixth day. During the last three days, no urine was secreted. This case was otherwise remarkable from the fact, that no pain was experienced on pressure of the abdomen, and that the pulse underwent no change until shortly before death. In another ease, reported by Dr. Herapath, in which a scruple of corrosive sublimate in solution was swallowed, suppression of urine and ptyalism came on on the third day, and the patient died on the ninth day. (Lancet, Dec. 13 and 27, 1845, pp. 650, 698.) In a case observed by Mr. Morris, the quantity of urine secreted was small, and it produced a scalding pain when voided. (Prov. Med. Jour. Nov. 18, 1843, p. 126.) In this instance there was no purging.

Its effects compared with those of arsenic.—This poison differs from arsenic: 1, in having a well-marked taste; 2, in producing violent symptoms within a few minutes; and 3, in the fact of the evacuations being more frequently mixed with blood. The symptoms produced by corrosive sublimate, in the first instance, resemble those of cholera; if the individual should survive several days, they are more like those of dysentery,—tenesinus and mucous discharges mixed with blood being

very frequently observed.

Slow or chronic poisoning.—The symptoms are much modified when the poison is given in small doses at certain intervals for some days or weeks. There are colicky pains, with nausea, vomiting, general uneasiness, and depression. The salivary glands become

inflamed and painful; the tongue and gums are red and swollen, sometimes ulcerated, and there is forter of the breath. A deep blue line like that observed in poisoning by lead, is sometimes found around the edges of the gums. The patient experiences difficulty of swallowing and breathing. The constitutional effects are indicated by cardialgia, diarrhoas, dyspnæa, hæmoptysis, cough, general tremor of the liunbs, and paralysis, with slow fever and marasnus, under which the patient sinks.

Salivation. - One of the most marked effects of slow or chronic poisoning by mercurial preparations, is salivation or ptyalism, indicated hy an increased flow of saliva. This is by no means a necessary symptom in cases of acute poisoning by corrosive sublimate, but it not unfrequently shows itself about the second or third day. In some instauces, the patient dies too rapidly for this effect to follow, but even where he survives some days, salivation is not always observed. In a case related by Dr. Venables, in which two drachius of the poison had been taken, and the woman survived for the long period of eight days, this symptom did not exist. In another case, reported by: Mr. Wood (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. li. 141,) in which half a teaspoouful of the poison was taken, salivation was profuse in the course of a few hours. In a ease which occurred at Guy's Hospital, in February 1843, where two drachms had been taken, salivation commenced in four hours, but this is by no means the earliest period. Dr. Percy relates an interesting case of poisoning by corrosive sublimate, in which the saliva was flowing profusely an hour and a half after the woman had taken a dose of thirty grains. (See Med. Gaz. 1843, i. 942.) In these early cases, it is alleged that fector of the breath is absent, but most practitioners will look chiefly to the production of salivation as a symptom. The local action of the poison is, in some cases, sufficient to account for the abundant flow of saliva independently of the influence of the absorbed mercury on the salivary organs. In Mr. Morris's ease, in which half a draehm of the poison in powder was placed by the female on her tongue, the saliva flowed ahundantly from the mouth, and the lips were much swollen. (Prov. Med. Jour. Nov. 18, 1843, p. 127.) This was undoubtedly due to the local irritant action of the poison.

In the chronic form of poisoning, when the dosc has been small and frequently repeated, we may generally expect to meet with salivation, accompanied by fætor of the hreath, and sponginess and ulceration of the gums. Should the person survive some time, this symptom is more commonly met with than not; but in looking for it as an indication of mercurial poisoning, a medical jurist must remember, that some persons are wholly unsusceptible of this condition. On the other hand, there are eases in which the salivary glands are most easily excited, so that the usual innocent doses of mercurial medicines have been known to produce salivation to such a degree, as to cause death. Facts of this kind are of some importance, since charges of

malapraxis may he easily raised in respect to them. Dr. Christison mentions a case in which two grains of calomel destroyed life by the severe salivation induced, as well as by ulceration of the throat. Another was mentioned to me hy a pupil, in 1839, in which five grains of calomel killed an adult hy producing fatal salivation. From some cases related by Mr. Samuel, of Newark, it appears that two graius of calomel, divided into three powders, were given in the proportion of one powder daily, (two-thirds of a grain,) to a little boy aged eight. This small dose produced the most violent salivation, sloughing and exfoliation, from which he was some weeks in re-In another instance, a little girl aged five, took daily for three days, three grains of mercury and chalk powder. Her month was severely affected, slonghing ensued, and she died in eight days. In a third case, three grains of hlue pill given twice a day for three days, making eighteen graius, were ordered for a girl aged nineteen, who complained of a slight pain in her abdomen. Severe salivation supervened, the teeth separated, and she died in *twelve days. With respect to the effects of corrosive sublimate, Dr. Christison states, that three grains of this substance in three doses, caused violent salivation. (Op. eit. 408.) When this state results from the use of mild mercurial medicines in small doses, the severe effects may be in general referred to idiosynerasy. A persou may die under these eircumstances:-either from simple exhaustion or from extensive slonghing of the fances, with exfoliation of the bones. When an individual has recovered from the first effects of acute poisoning by corrosive sublimate, he may die at almost any period from these secondary consequences. It is generally admitted by toxicologists, that salivation may be intermittent, i. e. that it may cease and reappear without more mercurial poison, or any mereurial preparation, being given in the interim, although such cases arc rare.

Profuse salivation from mercury dependent on morbid causes.—In addition to the facts already detailed, respecting death from excessive salivation under the use of small doses of calomel, there are certain morbid conditions of the body whiel: appear to have the effect of increasing the action of this medicine on the salivary glands. This kind of acquired idiosyncrasy exists especially in that form of disease called granular degeneration of the kidney, which is characterized in its early stage by albumiuuria. On this subject Dr. Craigie says, the great objection to the employment of any preparation of quicksilver for the cure of renal dropsy, consists in the fact, that the use of the mineral is known to render the urine albuminous, to increase the inflammatory state of the system, and to induce the disease, the effects of which it is expected to remove. Another evil is, that in persons labouring under symptoms of granular kidney, a very small quantity of mercury induces ptyalism, and renders the mouth tender and most painful. (Practice of Physic, ii. 1148.) This he considers to depend much on the fact, that patients of this description have in general, if not always, been subjected previously to the full influence of the mineral in repeated courses. For these reasons, in his view, mercury should never be exhibited without the previous full trial of other remedies, as even assiduous watching will not always succeed in preventing bad effects. Dr. Christison informs me he has repeatedly observed that mercurial action (salivation) is in these cases brought on by unusually small doses of the compounds of mercury, or unusually soon; and the action, under these circumstances, has been very violent,

although not uncontrollable.

Cancrum oris.—Corrosive sublimate, as well as other mercarial preparations, is liable to produce gangrene of the mouth and fances, a state which may equally occur from spontaneous causes: death is commonly the result. In a case of this kind, supposing any mercurial preparation to have been given medicinally, it may become a serious question whether death actually resulted from the mercury acting as a poison, or from natural disease. Several fatal cases have occurred within the last few years, among young children; and the subject has become a matter of inquiry before coroners. Although salivation and its sequelæ are not common among young children, as an effect of mercurial preparations, yet it is clear, from the cases already cited (p. 91), that small doses of mercury may have a most violent effect upon them, and render the suspicion of poisoning probable. Of two children, whose deaths became the subject of investigation under these circumstances, one was affected with hooping-cough, and the other with measles. Powders containing calomel were prescribed in both cases,-gangrene of the mouth supervened, and the children died. There was some reason to believe, from the evidence, that the mercury had really produced the effect attributed to it, at least in one of the cases. It is proper to remark, that this kind of disease, gangrene of the month, has been observed to occur in children, to whom no calomel, nor any mercurial preparation whatever, had been exhibited: -the subjects have been chiefly young infants, badly fed and clothed, and generally labouring under, or recovering from, fever, small-pox, measles, or hooping-cough. It is, however, far more common as a sequela of measles than of other exanthemata, and it is always connected with a depressed state of the vital powers. Many cases of this kind are reported by Dr. Hennis Green (see Lancet, Dec. 1839). The disease is often vulgarly called canker of the mouth.

Effects of external application.—Cases of poisoning by the external application of corrosive sublimate are not very common. The poison acts energetically through the unbroken skin, producing severe local and constitutional symptoms, and even death. Two fatal cases of this kind have been reported by Mr. Ward of Bodmin. (Med. Gaz. iii. 666.) A man aged twenty-four, rubbed over every part of his body, one ounce of corrosive sublimate, mixed with six ounces of hog's lard, for the purpose of curing the itch. In an hour, he experienced exernciating

pain in the abdomen and over the whole of his body;—he said he felt roasted alive,—he also suffered from intolcrable thirst. The skin was found completely vesicated. He died on the eleventh day, having laboured under bloody vomiting, purging, and tenesmus. Ptyalism did not show itself until thirty-six hours after the application of the The brother of the deceased, aged uineteen, rubbed in the same quantity of the poison. The symptoms were much the same, but more aggravated. There was constant vomiting, with complete suppression of the uriue, and frequent bloody stools; -the ptyalism was not so severe. He died ou the fifth day. On inspection, the stomach was found much inflamed, and partially ulcerated. small intestines were also greatly juffamed throughout; and the lower portion of the colon and recture were in a state of mortification. The bladder was contracted, and without urine. Thirty large worms were found alive in the stomach and intestines! (For another case, see Niemann, Taschenb. der Arzneiw. 452.) Death from the external application of corrosive sublimate, has been the subject of a trial. In this case there were the usual symptoms of irritation, and the stomach and intestines were much inflamed. (Reg. v. Welch, Worcester Summer The readiness with which Assizes, 1845; Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 608.) this poison acts through the skin, is proved by the following circum-M. Cloquet plunged his hands into a concentrated solution of corrosive sublimate, in order to remove some anatomical preparations. He did not wash his hands afterwards; and in about eight hours he was attacked with severe pain in the abdomen, constriction in the chest, painful respiration, thirst, nausea, and ineffectual attempts at vomiting. Under the use of diluents, these symptoms were removed, but for eight days he suffered from pain in the epigastrium. (Galtier, 1-567.) This ease should serve as a caution to anatomists.

Salivation is a commou effect of the *local* application of the poison. Dr. Guerard has seen ptyalism produced as a result of three corrosive sublimate baths (one ounce of the poison to about teu gallons of water), taken at intervals of three days: but the effects produced by the solution are never so powerful or so dangerous, as those which arise from the application of the poison in the form of ointment. There are many ointments sold by quacks for the treatment of skin diseases which contain corrosive sublimate.

Post-mortem appearances.—These, as in the case of arsenic, are chiefly confined to the alimentary canal. Corrosive sublimate, however, affects both the mouth and fances; the mucous membrane is softened, of a white or blueish grey colour, and sometimes inflamed; that lining the cosophagus is similarly affected, and partially corroded and softened. The mucous membrane of the stomach is more or less inflamed, sometimes in patches; and there are masses of black extravasated blood found beneath it. Occasionally the whole cavity has a slate-grey colour from the partial decomposition of the poison by the membrane itself; beneath this the mucous coat may be found red-

dened. This grey tint of the mucous membrane has been considered by some to be indicative of the action of the poison on the living mucons membrane; hut it is not always present. A case occurred at Gny's Hospital, in which the mucous membrane was simply inflamed. and very much resembled the condition presented in cases of arsenical The coats of the stomach are sometimes corroded, and so much softened that they cannot he removed from the body without laceration. Similar, appearances have been met with in the small and large intestines, especially the ceenm. In a case by Dr. Herapath, in which a scruple was taken, and death occurred on the ninth day, the mucons membrane of the stomach was softened, but there were no well-marked appearances of the action of the poison in this The execum had been the seat of the most violent inflammation, the whole surface being of a deep black-red colour, and there were patches of slonghing in the coats. (Lancet, Dec. 27, 1845, p. 700.) Perforation of the stomach is very rare as an effect of this poison: there is, I believe, only one ease on record. Certain morbid changes have been found in the urinary and circulating organs, and Mr. Swan states that he has found the ganglia and branches of the sympathetic inflamed; but these changes are not by any means characteristic of this variety of poisoning. Appearances in the alinentary canal, like those just described, have been seen, not only where the case has terminated fatally in a few hours, but where it has been protracted for six, eight, and even cleven days. (Chaussier, Requeil de Mémoires, 363.)

Quantity required to destroy life.—This is a question which it is somewhat difficult to answer with any degree of certainty, since it is only by accident that the quantity taken can be ascertained, and the fatal effects must vary according to many eircumstances. A child, aged three years, died in twenty-three days from the effects of twelve grains of corrosive sublimate. The smallest dose which is reported to have destroyed life, was three grains. This was also in the case of a child, and the quantity was accurately determined from the fact of its having been made up by mistake for three grains of calomel, which the physician intended to order. (This case is referred to in the Lancet, 1845, p. 297.) A very loose and imperfect report either of the same or of a similar ease is given in the Ann. d'Hyg., 1835, i. 225. It is stated that three children lost their lives. It is probable that, under favourabe eirenmstances, from three to five grains, or even less, would destroy an adult. Persons have been known to recover who have taken very large doses, when remedies were timely administered, or vomiting was produced. A most interesting case of recovery after forty grains had been taken in whiskey, under circumstances favourable to its fatal operation, i.e. on an empty stomach, is recorded hy Dr. Andrews. (Cormack's Jonrnal, February 1845, 102.) The patient was a woman of sixty-five. The smallest dose required to destroy an adult, under ordinary circumstances, cannot therefore be determined at present from any reported facts. Judging from the effects produced by small quantities used medicinally, possibly the average fatal dose may not differ widely from that of arsenic, i. e. two to three grains.

Period at volich death takes place.—In an acute case, an individual commonly dies in from one to five days. But death may take place much sooner or much later than this. A person has been known to die from the effects of this poisou in eleven hours (Christison, 402); and in one instance of a child two years old, by whom twelve grains had been taken, death probably occurred in six hours. (Niemanu's Taschenbuch, 451.) A case is reported in which a child, aged seven, was killed in three hours by eighteen grains of corrosive sublimate. The shortest fatal case on record was communicated to me by Mr. Welch. The quantity of poison taken was not ascertained, but the man died in less than half an hour. (On Poisons, p. 404.)

Treatment.—If vomiting do not already exist, it must be excited by the exhibition of eneties. Various antidotes have been suggested for this poison; and among these, albumen both of the yolk and white of egg mixed with water, is perhaps the best fitted to eounteract its effects. This remedy appears to have been beneficial even when it was not taken until some time after the paison had been swallowed. Gluten may also be used:—this may be prepared by washing flour in a muslin bag, under a current of water. Should the ease be urgent, the flour may be at once exhibited in the form of a thick paste mixed with water. Gluten may often be obtained in this way, when albumen is not at hand.

In all cases, the entire expulsion of the poison from the stomach should be looked to by the practitioner; and albumen or gluten may be given at the same time to aid the efforts of vomiting.

Chemical analysis.—In the solid state.—We will first suppose that the poison is in the solid state, and in the form of a white powder. 1. A small quantity heated on thin platina foil is entirely volatilized at a moderate heat, -(care should be taken in performing this experiment,)-in this property corrosive sublimate resembles arsenie, but differs from it in all other respects. 2. It is very soluble in water,—if the water be warmed, the powder will be dissolved instantly. 3. A small quantity of the powder dropped into a white saucer, containing a solution of iodide of potassium, is turned of a bright searlet colour. 4. Dropped into potash in a similar way it is turued of a vellow colour. 5. Into a solution of hydrosulphuret of ammonia, it is turned 6. When a few grains are rubbed on a clean surface of copper, black. with a mixture of one part of muriatic acid, and two parts of water, a bright silvery stain is produced, which is entirely volatilized by heat. If zinc or tin-foil be used instead of copper, the surface acquires a silvery lustre, and the metal becomes remarkably brittle. mixed with three or four parts of calcined carbonate of soda, and heated in a small tube similar to that employed in the analysis of arsenie, the metal is reduced; and a ring of hright globules of mereury is formed, while commou salt remains in the tube. For the success of this experiment the materials must be quite dry, and the tube at first gently heated; any undecomposed corrosive sublimate that may be sublimed, should be driven higher up, before finally applying a strong heat, so that the ring of mercury may not be obseured by it. This last experiment is conclusive of the nature of the substance; because mercury, being the only liquid metal, is the only metal which sublines in globules. If the end of the reduction-tube, containing the fused chloride of sodium, left as a residue by the decomposition, be filed off, reduced to powder, and hoiled in water with a little nitrie acid, a solution is obtained in which, ou the addition of nitrate of silver, chlorine may be proved to exist. The analysis is then complete. The properties mentioned under 1, 2, and 5, are ossessed in common by other bodies; but the other characters are peculiar to the persalts of mercury; and when the results agree, render it absolutely certain that the powder must be a persalt of that metal. The action of nitrate of silver upon the solution of the residue, will prove that the persalt must have been a chloride. There are therefore no objections to this mode of analysis.

In solution in water.—It is very soluble in water (ante, p. 88), forming a clear solution, which, when concentrated, has a faintly acid reaction and a strong metallic taste. A few drops of the solution may be first gently evaporated on a slip of glass, and then set aside to crystallize. If it be corrosive sublimate, it forms slender opaque silky prisms, sometimes of considerable length, and intersecting each other. When a weak solution of iodide of potassinm is dropped on them, they acquire a bright searlet colour, and chloride of potassium is formed. These characters, which may be obtained from the minutest crystal and only one drop of solution, prove that the body dissolved in water, is corrosive sublimate: it is thus distinguished from every other mineral poison, and all other substances whatever.

Tests.—1. Potash. Ou adding a small quantity of eaustic potash to the solution, a reddish-coloured precipitate falls, hecoming yellow by the addition of a larger quantity of alkali. This precipitate, when washed, dried, and heated in a reduction-tuhe, yields a well-defined ring of metallic mercury. The filtered liquid will he found, ou being tested with nitrate of silver, to contain chloride of potassinm, thus proving that the mercury was combined with chlorine,—and that the compound was soluble in water. 2. Protochloride of tin.—On adding this test in rather large quantity to the solution, a white precipitate at first falls down (calomel), becoming speedily of a slate-grey colour, and afterwards almost black. On warming the liquid it soon becomes clear, while a heavy precipitate, in great part formed of pure metallic mercury, falls to the bottom of the vessel. The mercury may be collected by pouring the liquid on a filter, and afterwards warming the filter; or its presence may be easily demonstrated by pouring the

water carefully from the precipitate, and forcing down upon this, a slip of bibulous paper; -the paper absorbs the water from the mercury, and the pressure condenses the metal into one or more well-defined globules. 3. Sulphuretted hydrogen gas.—This gives at first a precipitate, partly black and partly white (chlorosulphuret), becoming entirely black when the current of gas has been allowed to pass in for some time. sulpheret of ammonia gives a similar precipitate in the solution ;—thus clearly distinguishing corrosive sublimate from arsenie. The test acts equally in an acid solution of the salt. The precipitated black sulphuret of mercury, dried and heated with carbonate of soda or metallic silver, easily furnishes a ring of pure metallic mercury. Precipitation by metals.—If we acidulate the liquid with a few drops of diluted muriatic acid, and introduce a slip of bright copper, or what is better, fine copper-gauze, it is soon coated with metallic mereury, having more or less of a silvery lastre, especially on friction. On heating the copper in a reduction-tube, the mercury may be obtained in well-defined globules. 5. The galvanic test.—There are various ways in which galvanism may be applied to the detection of mercury in corrosive sublimate. Dr. Wollaston, on one occasion, employed an iron key and a guinca: he placed a drop of the suspected solution on a surface of gold, and tonehed it and the gold with the key :-- the mercury was deposited on the gold in a bright silvery stain. The following is a ready method of producing the metal. Place a few drops of the solution on a clean surface of copper and slightly acidulate it with muriatic acid: - then touch the copper through the solution with a slip of zine-foil. Wherever the copper is touched by the zine, the mercury is deposited, and on washing the surface with diluted nurriatic acid or ammonia a silvery stain is left, which is immediately dissipated by the heat of a spirit-lamp. The experiment may be modified by twisting a slip of zinc round a slip of bright copper, or copper-gauze, and introducing them into the liquid; - any change of colour or tarnish is very apparent on the copper. Mercury is deposited on both metals. A surface of gold with zinc is, perhaps, more delicate than a surface of copper as a test of the presence of mercury. Applied in a way to be presently explained, it will detect the metal when nearly every other method fails. Other tests have been proposed; but I omit all notice of them, because the foregoing are, in my opinion, quite sufficient for every practical purpose.

In liquids containing organic matter.—The same process of analysis will apply to the vomited matters and contents of the stomach. Masses of corrosive sublimate may be sometimes locked up in thick viscid mucus; and in such cases, the coarse powder being heavy, it may be sometimes separated by simply agitating the viscid liquid in water, and then decanting it suddenly. This poison is decomposed and precipitated by many organic principles, such as albumen, fibrin, mucous membrane,—also by gluten, tannin, and other vegetable substances. Thus, then, we cannot always expect to find it in a state of

solutiou. We must filter in order to separate the liquid from the solid portion; and our first object will be to determine whether any of the poison is held in solution. The following method will detect mercury, even when present in very small quantity; and this plan, of course, applies to all those cases where the trial tests fail to give any satisfactory indications of its presence. Cut a slip of fine gold foil, of about one inch in length and one-eighth of an inch in width; it should be just large enough to enter into a small reduction-tube. We then twist round this, in a spiral form, a narrow slip of finely-laminated zine; acidulate the suspected liquid with a few drops of diluted muriatic acid, and suspend the gold and zine by a thread in the midst Several such pieces may be at once suspended in the liquid. According to the quantity of mercury present, the gold will be coated with a grey-coloured deposit, either immediately or in the course of a few hours. If at the end of ten or twelve hours the gold retain its hright yellow colour, there is probably no mercury present, or the quantity is exceedingly minute. Supposing the gold to have lost its colour, owing to its having become completely coated, we should remove it and dip it in ether, and afterwards in distilled water, to wash off any corrosive sublimate and organic matter adhering to it: it should then be dried in air without being allowed to touch any surface, and introduced into a reductiou-tube. The zine may be in part dissolved; but as mercury is also deposited on this metal, whereby it is commonly rendered quite brittle, it may be introduced with the gold into the tube. On applying heat, a fine sublimate will soon appear in the cool part of the tube, which, if not perceptible to the eye, may be easily seen, by the aid of a common lens, to consist of minute globules of mercury.

Slips of fine copper or copper-gauze, with or without zine attached, or slips of pure laminated zine alone, may also be employed as a substitute for gold; but in this case, after removing the metals, it is necessary not only to wash in water, but in a solution of weak ammonia, in order to remove from the mercury any adhering salt of copper. The action of copper is aided by applying heat to the solution.

Let us suppose that the filtered liquid contains no trace of a mercurial salt, we must now direct our attention to the analysis of the insoluble matters separated by filtration. These may be boiled in distilled water; the liquid filtered and tried by ether: if this does not separate mercury, the galvanie test may be tried. It will be found, when the analysis has not long been delayed, that most of the compounds which corrosive sublimate forms with organic matter, yield commonly sufficient poison for detection by boiling them in water. If water should fail in extracting the poison, the substance may be brought to dryness and heated with nitromuriatic acid until all the original matter is decomposed, and the surplus acid expelled. The residue may then be digested in water and tested for mercury by gold and zinc.

CALOMEL.

This substance, now called chloride of mercury, although commonly regarded as a mild medicine, is capable of destroying life, even in comparatively small doses. Several cases have been already referred to, where excessive salivation, gangrene of the salivary organs, and death, have followed from the medicinal dose of a few grains (p. 91). There is a case reported in the Med. Gaz. (xviii, 484), in which a boy, aged fourteen, was killed in about three weeks by a dose of only six grains of calomel. It is singular that in this case neither the teeth nor the salivary glands were affected; still, considering the effects of calomel in other instances, it seems most probable, that the ulccration and gangrene of the face which followed, were due to it. mentions the case of a lady who was killed by a dose of twenty grains of calomel: she had previously taken a moderate dose without a sufficient effect being produced. Sobernheim states that a girl, aged eleven, took in twenty-four hours eight grains of calomel, for an attack of tracheitis, and died in eight days from inflammation and ulceration of the mouth and fauces. In another instance, which occurred to Lesser, fifteen grains of caloinel produced similar effects, with excessive salivation; and this patient also died in eight days. Meckel relates that twelve grains have destroyed life. (Lehrbuch der Ger. Med. 267.) Two cases of death from calomel, in children, are recorded in the Registration returns for 1840.

There are many other fatal cases on record, and the facts seem to leave no doubt that calonel may, in large doses, act as an irritant poison. It was supposed that these effects might be ascribed to this compound being adulterated with corrosive sublinate; but Dr. Christisou examined ten different specimens of calomel without finding in one, so much as one five-hundredth part of its weight of corrosive sublimate; this would be less than a grain to an ounce; and in a common dose of three grains of calomel there would he no more than the one hundred and sixticth part of a grain of corrosive sublimate,—a quantity jusufficient to do mischief. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. xlix. 336.) It has been further supposed that calomel might he converted into corrosive sublimate, by the free muriatic acid contained in the gastric sceretions; but the very minute proportion in which this acid exists in the gastric juice, according to Dr. Prout, renders this explanation improbable.

Chemical analysis.—Among the characters by which calomel may be identified, the following may be noted:—1. Its insolubility in water;—it is soluble in strong nitric acid, but decomposed by it into corrosive sublimate and pernitrate of mercury. Strong muriatic acid transforms it to corrosive sublimate and metallic mercury; and nitromuriatic acid converts it readily to corrosive sublimate on boiling.

2. When heated on platina over a spirit-lamp it is sublimed, but it is not so volatile as corrosive sublimate: during sublimation it is partially decomposed into that substance and metallic mercury.

3.

When dropped into a solution of iodide of potassium, it is slowly turned of a dingy, greenish black colour; but if the iodine be much diluted, the powder acquires a yellow colour. 4. By a solution of potash it is turned black, chloride of potassium being formed in the liquid. 5. It is also turned black by a solution of hydrosulphuret of ammonia. 6. It gives a silvery stain when rubbed on clean copper with diluted muriatic acid. 7. It yields a ring of metallic mercury when heated with the carbonate of soda. 8. It is decomposed by a solution of protochloride of tiu, and reduced to metallic mercury. In some of these characters it resembles corrosive sublimate, but it is eminently distinguished from that body by the first, third, and fourth. dition to these differences, calomel is turned black by solution of ammonia, while corrosive sublimate forms a white compound. In order to detect its chlorine, it is necessary to boil in water, the residue left in the reduction-tube after complete sublimation of the mercury: and then add to the filtered liquid, neutralised by nitric acid, nitrate of silver.

WHITE PRECIPITATE. AMMONIO-CHLORIDE OF MERCURY.

This is an irritant compound, although little is known concerning its effects. In January 1840, a young woman who had swallowed this substance, was received into St. Thomas's Hospital. She had mixed it up and taken it in water,—but the quantity swallowed could not be ascertained. The stomach-pump was employed, nuicilaginous drinks and olive-oil were administered; and in the course of a few days she perfectly recovered. The symptoms under which she suffered were those of gastric irritation. Judging from this case, white precipitate does not appear to be a very active preparation. Oue instance of death from salivation produced by this compound, is recorded in the Registration returns for 1840, in a child, aged seven.

Chemical analysis.—This powder resembles corrosive sublimate in being entirely volatilized by a moderate heat, and in giving a metallic sublimate with dried carbonate of soda, but it differs in being insoluble in water. By heating it with solution of potash, ammonia is evolved, chloride of potassium formed, whereby the chlorine may be detected, and yellow peroxide of mercury, after long boiling, is left, which may be easily analyzed in the way described at p. 98.

my we desiry when you have the true the processing the processing

RED PRECIPITATE. RED OXIDE OF MERCURY.

This substance is poisonous, but instances of poisoning by it are very rare. The following case occurred at Guy's Hospital in 1833. A woman, aged twenty-two, who had swallowed a quantity of red precipitate, was brought in labouring under the following symptoms. The surface was cold and clammy, there was stupor approaching to narcotism,—frothy discharge from the mouth, and occasional vomiting:—the vomited matters contained some red powder, which was proved to be red precipitate. There was considerable pain in the ab-

domen, increased by pressure; and there were cramps in the lower extremities. On the following day, the fauces and mouth became painful, and the woman complained of a coppery taste. The treatment consisted in the use of the stomach-pump, and the free administration of albumen and gluten. She left the hospital four days afterwards, still under the influence of mercury. The quantity of oxide here taken, was not ascertained. Sobernheim reports a case, where a man, aged twenty-six, swallowed an ounce of red precipitate. He was speedily attacked with pain in the abdomen, nausea, purging, cramps and general weakness. The vomited matters consisted of masses of mucus, containing red precipitate. He continued to get worse, and died in less than forty-eight hours after taking the poison. On inspection, the mucous membrane was found croded and inflamed in patches, small particles of the poison being imbedded in it. The duodenum was in a similar state, and there was a large quantity of red precipitate in the contents of this viscus, as well as in the stomach. (Op. cit. 250.)

A common opinion exists among the vulgar, that this compound is possessed of very active poisonous properties; hence it is sometimes

administered with criminal design.

Chemical analysis.—Red precipitate is known,—1. By its being in red crystalline scales. 2. By its insolubility in water,—this, together with its great weight, renders it easy of separation from organic liquids. 3. It is readily dissolved by warm muriatic acid, forming a solution possessing all the properties of corrosive sublimate. 5. When heated in a small tube, it becomes black (reacquiring its red colour on cooling); and while an abundant sublimate of metallic mercury is formed, oxygen gas is evolved. If the heat be continued, it should be entirely dissipated when pure,—a property by which it is known from most other red powders. In this experiment, a slight yellow sublimate is sometimes produced (subnitrate), owing to the oxide retaining some traces of nitrie acid.

CINNABAR. VERMILION. PERSULPHUBET OF MERCURY.

The term Cinnabur is applied to a dark and heavy compound of sulphur and mercury, while Vermilion is the same substance reduced to a fine powder. It is well known as a red pigment, and is often employed in colouring confectionary, wafers, &c. I, have not been able to find any instance of its having acted as a poison on man. Orfila believes that it is not poisonous. It has, however, proved fatal to animals in the proportion of from thirty to seventy grains, even when applied externally to a wound. Cinnabar is sometimes used for giving a red colour to ointments, e.g. the sulphur ointment. In such cases the quantity is very small, and can do no injury even if swallowed.

Dr. Sutro has published a short abstract of a case in which the vapour of Vermilion applied externally, produced severe symptoms. A woman, by the advice of a quack, applied this vapour to a cancerous

breast. She employed three drachms of vermilion, covering herself with a sheet so that the vapour should only reach the body externally. After three fumigations, she suffered from severe salivation and violent fever, which continued for four weeks. The right arm hecame ode-

matous. (Med. Times, Sept. 27, 1845, p. 17.)

Chemical analysis.—Vermilion is of a rich red colour, very heavy, and quite insoluble in water. When dropped into the hydrosulphuret of animonia its colour remains unchanged; while red precipitate and red lead are turned of a dark hrown colour, or even black. It is also known from red precipitate by its insolubility in muriatic acid. 1. When heated on platina it is entirely volatilized, the sulphur burning away. 2. Heated in a reduction-tube with carbonate of soda, a suhlimate of metallic merenry is obtained with a residue of sulphuret of sodium, in which sulphur may be easily proved to exist by the usual tests, e. g. by placing a portion of the residue on a glazed eard and adding a drop of water. (See p. 46, ante.)

BICYANIDE OF MERCURY.

This is a substance which is but very little known, except to chemists, yet it is an active poison, and has caused death in one instance. In April 1823, a person who had swallowed twenty grains of this compound (thirteen decigrammes), was immediately seized with all the symptoms of poisoning by corrosive sublimate, and died in nine days. There was continued vomiting with excessive salivation, ulceration of the mouth and fances, suppression of urine, purging, and, lastly, convulsions of the extremities. On inspection, the mucous membrane of the stomach and intestinal canal was extensively inflamed. (Orfila, i. 583.) Dr. Christison quotes a case in which ten grains destroyed life within the same period of time. (On Poisons, 427.) As a poison, the bicyanide is probably not much inferior in activity to the hichloride of mercury.

TURBITH MINERAL. SUBSULPHATE OF PEROXIDE OF MERCURY.

Fatal cases of poisoning by this compound are by no means common. It is undoubtedly, although very insoluble, a strong irritant poisou, and is capable of eausing death in a comparatively small dosc. A well-marked instance of its fatal operation was communicated to the Pathological Society by Mr. Ward, in March 1847. A hoy, et. 16, swallowed one drachm of this preparation on the night of February 19th. It produced a burning sensation in the mouth and throat, and vomiting in ten minntes. In about an hour there was paleness with auxiety of countenance, coldness of surface, constant sickness, senso of heat and constriction in the throat, and burning pain in the stomach with cramps. The irritability of the stomach continued in spite of treatment, and after two days, there was salivation with mercurial factor. The gums acquired a deep hlucish tint and began to ulcerate. The patient died in ahout a week after he had taken the poison, without

convulsions, and without suffering at any period from symptoms of cerebral disturbance. The principal post-mortem appearances were,inflammation of the esophagus; its mucous membrane at the lower part peeling off;-the inner surface of the stomach near the cardia and pylorus was covered with petechial spots;—the small intestines were contracted, the inner coat reddened, and petechial spots were found, but chiefly in the large intestines. The parotid and submaxillary glands were swollen. Mercury was detected in the intestines (see Med. Gaz. xxxix. 474.) From this account, it will be perceived that turbith mineral has an action somewhat similar to corrosive sublimate, although it is prohably much less active.

NITRATES OF MERCURY.

These are corrosive poisons which are used for several purposes in the arts. They are solid white salts, easily dissolved by water, especially if there he a little excess of acid present. The acid pernitrate has already caused death in an interesting ease reported by Mr. Bigsley in the Medical Gazette (vi. 329). A butcher's boy dissolved some mercury in strong nitric acid, and swallowed ahout a teaspoonful of the solution. Soon afterwards he suffered the most excruciating pain in the pharyux, esophagus, and stomach:-there was great anxiety, with cold skin, small pulse, colic, and purging. He became gradually weaker, and died in about two hours and a half. spection, the fauces, esophagus and stomach, were found corroded and inflamed. Although he survived so short a time, the mucous membrane of the stomach was of a deep red colour.

Pharmaceutical preparations.—It may be proper to insert in this place the proportion in which mercury enters into various medicinal compounds belonging to the London Pharmacopecia, some of which, by causing death, have given rise to important medico-legal inquiries. Mercury with chalk (HYDRARGYRUM CUM CRETA) contains three grains of mercury in eight grains of powder. Blue pill (PILULÆ HYDRARGYRI) contains one grain of mercury in three grains. Compound calomel pill (PIL. HYD. CHLOR. COMP.) contains one grain of calomel in five grains. Iodide of mercury pill (PIL. HYD. 10D.) contains one grain of the iodide in five grains. Solution of corresive sublimate (Liquor hydrargyri Bichloridi) contains one grain of corrosive sublimate in two ounces of solution. The dose is from half a fluid-drachm to two fluid drachms. For external applications. -Compound mercurial liniment (LIN. HYD. COMP.) contains about ten grains of mercury in one drachm. Strong mcrcurial ointment (Ung. HYD. FORT.) contains one drachm in two drachms; and the mild mercurial ointment (UNG. HYD. MITIUS) contains one drachm in six drachms. The red precipitate ointment (Ung. Hyp. Nit. Oxypi) contains one-ninth of its weight of red nitric oxide of mercury. The yellow or golden ointment (UNG. HYD. NITRATIS) contains, in ten parts, about three parts of the nitrate.

CHAPTER XII.

ON POISONING BY LEAD—SUGAR OF LEAD—SYMPTOMS—CHRONIC POISONING BY SUGAR OF LEAD—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—TREATMENT—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO DESTROY LIFE—GOULARD'S EXTRACT—CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—LEAD IN ORGANIC MIXTURES—CARBONATE OR WHITE LEAD—PAINTER'S COLIC—OXIDES—LITHARGE AND RED LEAD—ACCIDENTS FROM THE GLAZING OF POTTERY—EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL APPLICATION—HAIR-DYES.

General remarks.—The only compounds of lead which have been found to produce poisonous effects upon the system, are the accetate, subacetate, chloride, carbonate, and the oxide of the metal combined either with vegetable neids or fatty substances. Dr. A. T. Thomson has expressed an opinion that the carbonate of lead is the only poisonous salt of this metal; and that, if any other salt in small doses become poisonous, it is merely by its conversion to carbonate within the body; but as he admits that the acetate and subacetate may act as irritant poisons in large doses, and no toxicologist maintains that they are poisons when taken in small quantity, the difference of opinion appears to be more verbal than real. (See Med. Gaz. x. 689). So far as observations on man have yet extended, the carbonate has no more action than the common acetate (p. 446.) Dr. C. G. Mitscherlich has, however, proved, that the acctate of lead is a poisonous salt; and that when mixed with acetic acid it is more energetic than when given in the neutral state. This fact clearly shows that the poisonous effects cannot solely depend on the assumed conversion of the salt to the state of carbonate. (Brit. and For. Med. Rev. No. vii. 208.) Besides, it is not easy to perceive how the nitrate and chloride should become converted to earbonate within the body. Dr. Thomson has kindly furnished me with the following statement with reference to his views. When the salts of lead operate as poisons in moderate doses, he has no donbt that they are converted into the carbonate of lead in the stomach. He gave with impunity, in cases of severe active heemorrhages, ten or twelve grains of acetate of lead every sixth hour, when it was washed down with distilled vinegar; whereas without the vinegar, colica pictonum would have supervened. He has also known instances in which this disease, as well as paraplegia, were induced by acctate of lead, when washed down with soda powders in a state of effervescence and no vinegar was given.

SUGAR OF LEAD. ACETATE.

This is more frequently taken as a poison than any of the othe

salts, although cases of acute poisoning by lead in any form, are very uncommon. In the Coroner's report for 1837-8, there is not a single instance. The substance is commonly met with in solid heavy crystalline masses, white or of a brownish-white colour; it much resembles louf-sugar in appearance, and has often been mistaken for it. It has also a sweet taste, which is succeeded by an astringent or metallic taste. It is very soluble in water. Four parts of water at 60° will dissolve one part; and it is much more soluble at a boiling temperature. It is soluble in alcohol. I am informed by a respectable druggist that sugar of lead is retailed to the public at the rate of three-halfpence an ounce, and that for quantities less than this, one penny is charged.

Symptoms.—Acctate of lead is by no means an active poison, although it is popularly considered to possess a very virulent action. In medical practice, it has often been given in considerable doses without any serious effects resulting. Dr. Christison states that he has often given it in divided doses to the amount of eighteen grains daily for eight or ten days without remarking any unpleasant symptom whatever, except once or twice, slight colic. (Op. cit. 555.) When, however, the quantity taken has been from one to two onnees, the following symptoms have been observed. A burning pricking sensation in the throat, with dryness and thirst:—vomiting supervenes; there is uneasiness in the epigastrium, which is sometimes followed by violent colic. The abdomen is tense, and the parietes have been occasionally drawn in. The pain is relieved by pressure, and has intermissions. There is in general constipution of the bowels. If any faces be passed, they are commonly of a very dark colour, indicative of the conversion of lead to sulphuret. The skin is cold, and there is great prostration of strength. When the ease is protracted, the patient has been observed to suffer from cramp in the calves of the legs, pain in the iusides of the thighs, numbuess, and sometimes paralysis of the extremitics. The affection of the nervous system is otherwise indicated by giddiness, torpor, and even coma. A well-marked blue line has been observed round the margin of the gums, where they join the teeth.

Even when the patient recovers from the first symptoms, the secondary effects often last for a considerable time. In two cases which occurred to Mr. Gorringe, two girls swallowed an ounce of the acetate of lead by mistake. Soon afterwards they felt a burning pain in the month, throat, and stomach, and in a quarter of an hour they vomited freely: in half an hour there was severe pain in the bowels, with diarrhea. Under treatment, recovery took place. (Prov. Med. Journ. April 1846.) Although uearly a year had clapsed, they both suffered from severe pain in the cpigastrium, which was tender on pressure. Nothing could be retained on the stomach: and there was a choking seusation in the throat, with other constitutional symptoms. Paralysis and other symptoms of nervous disorder are, however, by no means necessary consequences. A girl who had swallowed

sixty grains of acetate of lead, and suffered severely from the primary symptoms, recovered and left the hospital in about three weeks without any paralysis or other disorder affecting the muscular system. (Lancet, April 4, 1846, p. 384.) This lead-palsy would appear to be a more common consequence of small doses freenently repeated.

Post-mortem appearances. — In one acute case related by Dr. Kerchhoffs, the mucous membrane of the stomach was found ahraded in several places, especially near the pylorus; and most of the ahdominal viscera were in a state of high inflammation. A trial for murder by this substance took place at the Ceutral Criminal Court, iu November 1844, (Reg. v. Edwards,) but the details are so imperfeetly reported, as to throw no light upon the subject. The stomach and intestines are stated to have been found inflamed, and there were dark spots on the former. In animals, according to Dr. Mitscherlich, when the dose is large, the mucous coat of the stomach is attacked and corroded; this change appears to be purely chemical, and takes place in all the organs of the body with which the salt of lead comes in coutact. If given in a small dose, it is decomposed by the gastric secretions, and exerts no corrosive power ou the mneous membrane. When the acctate of lead was given in a state of albuminate dissolved by acetic acid, death took place with great rapidity; but ou inspection, the stomach was not found to he corroded. This corrosive action belongs to the neutral salt, and is not manifested when the dose is small, or when the poison is combined with an acid.

Quantity required to destroy life.—Nothing is accurately known concerning the fatal dose of sugar of lead. The facts already detailed show that it may be taken in comparatively large quantities, without producing serious effects. Thirty and forty grains have been given daily, in divided doses, without injury. The following additional cases, in some of which recovery took place under very disadvantageous eircumstances, prove that the acetate of lead is far from being a virulent poison. Dr. Hiff met with an instauce where an ounce was swallowed in solution. The symptoms were pains in the ahdomen resembling colic, vomiting, rigidity, and numbness. three hours before any remedies were used, and five hours before the stomach-pump was employed; but the person recovered. second case an ounce was swallowed: sulphate of magnesia was freely exhibited, and the stomach-pump was used. On the following morning there was slight excoriation of the gums, which were white, with a sensation of heat in the throat: the bowels were relaxed, prohably from the effect of the medicine. The day following, there were pains in the ealves of the legs and thighs, with restlessness and thirst. a week the woman perfectly recovered.

Treatment.—This consists in the free exhibition of solutions of the alkaline sulphates, either of soda or magnesia. The carhonates should be avoided, as the carhonate of lead is poisonous; while the sulphate is either inert, or possesses but very little activity.

An emetie of sulphate of zinc should be given, if vomiting does not already exist. The stomach-pump may be occasionally employed with benefit. It is well known that albumen precipitates the oxide of lead when added in large quantity; and Mitscherlich has found that easein, the organic principle of milk, is a very effectual precipitant of the oxide of lead. Therefore it would be advisable to administer, in cases of poisoning by the soluble salts of lead,—milk or albumen in large quantity. The compounds thus formed, as in the case of corrosive sublimate, may not be absolutely inert; but they are far less active than the acetate itself, and tend to prevent the action of the poison as a corrosive on the stomach. Five cases are reported in which individuals have recovered, partly through treatment, after having swallowed one ounce of the acetate of lead. (On Poisons, 433.)

Chemical analysis. Acetate of lead as a solid.—1. If a portion of the powder be heated in a small reduction tube, it melts, then becomes solid; again melts, acquiring a dark colour, and gives off vapours of acctic acid; a black mass is left in the tube, consisting of earbon and reduced metallic lead. There is no sublimate formed. 2. It is very soluble in water, even when cold; common water is turned milky by it, chiefly from the presence of carbonic acid and sulphates. 3. A small portion of the powder dropped into a sancer, containing a solution of iodide of potassium, acquires a fine yellow colour. 4. When dropped into caustic potash, it remains white;—5. Into hydro-sulphuret of ammonia, it is turned black, in which respect it resembles the white salts of some other metals. 6. When the powder is boiled in a tube with diluted sulphurie acid, acetic acid, known by its odour and volatility, escapes. All these properties, taken together, prove that the salt is acetate of lead.

Acetate of lead in solution.-If acetate of lead be presented in a state of solution, or if the solid salt be dissolved in water for the purpose of making further examination, we should note the following points. 1. A small quantity, slowly evaporated on a slip of glass, will give white and opaque prismatic crystals, which are turned yellow by iodide of potassium, and black by hydro-sulphuret of ammonia. solution is said to be neutral; but I have found the common acetate of lead to have at the same time both an acid and an alkaline re-action, i. e. reddening litmus-paper, and turning rose-paper green, a circumstance which might create some embarrassment in an analysis. Caustic potash, added to the solution much diluted with water, throws down a white precipitate, which is easily soluble in an excess of the 3. Diluted sulphuric acid produces an abundant white preeipitate, insoluble in nitric acid, but soluble in muriatic acid and in a large excess of caustic potash. 4. It is precipitated of a bright vellow colour by the Iodide of potassium; the yellow iodide of lead is soluble in caustic potash, forming a colourless solution. It is also dissolved by concentrated muriatic acid. 5. Hydrosulphuret of ammonia or sulphuretted hydrogen gas, produces a deep black precipitate, even when less than the 100,000th part of the salt is dissolved. 6. Place a few drops of the solution on clean platina-foil,—acidulate it with acetic acid, then apply, through the solution, to the surface of the platina, a thin polished slip of zine:—bright crystals of metallic lead are instantly deposited on the zine: in this way a very small quantity of lead may be detected.

Leud in organic mixtures.—The acctate of lead is precipitated by many organic principles, especially by alhumen and tanuin. Thus, we may have to analyse either an organic liquid containing lead, or a solid precipitate consisting of mucus or mucous membrane, intimately united to the oxide of lead. The liquid must be filtered and examined hy a trial test, i. e. either by adding to a portion, sulphuric acid, or by exposing bibulous paper dipped into the suspected liquid, to a free current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas. If the paper he not stained hrown, there is no perceptible quantity of lead dissolved :- if it be stained brown, we dilute the liquid if necessary in order to destroy its viscidity, and pass into it a current of sulphuretted hydrogen until all action has ceased. The black sulphuret of lead should be collected on a filter, washed and dried, then boiled for a quarter of an hour in a mixture of one part of nitric acid, diluted with four parts of water. This has the effect of transforming it, at least in part, to nitrate of lead soluble in water. This liquid, when filtered, may be cautiously neutralized by potash or ammonia (free from lead) and the tests added. If the quantity be too small for the application of all the tests, we may add sulphuric acid; if a white precipitate be formed, soluble in potash, and this solution he again turned black by hydrosulphuret of ammonia, this is sufficient evidence of the presence of lead. there be no lead dissolved, we must decompose the solid and insoluble matters in nitric acid slightly diluted, at a boiling temperature, filter, and test the filtered liquid, previously neutralized; or we may evaporate to dryness, earhonize by nitrie acid, and redissolve the residue in water for testing.

GOULARD'S EXTRACT. SUBACETATE OF LEAD.

Symptoms and Effects.—This substance has caused death in at least four instances,—one in France and three in England. The symptoms produced are similar to those described in speaking of the former compound. The subacetate is much more powerful as a poison than the neutral acetate, probably from its containing a larger quantity of the oxide of lead. One fatal case of poisoning by Goulard's extract is marked down in the Coroners' return for 1837-8. In January 1840, two other cases of poisoning by it occurred in this city in two children, aged respectively four and six years. The quantity taken by the children could not bave been very great, but they both died within thirty-six hours. The symptoms were at first violeut vomiting and purging;—in one case they resembled those of Asiatic cholers.

The bodies were inspected by Dr. Bird, and presented the following appearances. The mucous membrane of the stomach was of a grey colour, but otherwise perfectly healthy. The intestines were found much contracted, in one instance more so than in the other. is reported, by Orfila, in which an inspection was made of the body of a man who had been killed by taking a quautity of Goulard's He died within forty-eight hours, and there was wellmarked inflammation of the alimentary canal from the esopbagus The villous coat of the stomach was completely softened, and the effused mucus was found to contain the poison. (Toxicologie, i. 671.) Mr. Marshall mentions a case of recovery where two fluid ounces of Goulard's extract bad been taken by mistake. (On Arsenic, 106.)

Analysis.—The subacctate of lead cannot be so readily procured in a regular crystalline form by evaporation, as the acctate. Its solution is strongly alkaline, and it contains a much larger proportion of oxide of lead than the common acetate. The same tests are applicable to it as to the acetate (ante, p. 107). It possesses all the chemical properties of that salt, but differs from it in being copiously precipitated by a solution of gum acacia. The pure solution of subacctate is colourless. That which is commonly sold has a brown colour, owing to its being made with vinegar.

GOULARD WATER is nothing more than a mixture of one drachm and a half of this solution to a pint of water.

CARBONATE OF LEAD.

Symptoms.—A very interesting case of poisoning by the carbonate of lead, was reported, in October 1844, to the Westminster Medical Society by Dr. Suow. A child aged five years ate a portiou not so large as a marble, ground up with oil. For three days he merely suffered from pain in the abdomen and costiveness. On the third night, the child became rapidly worse, and there was vomiting. He died ninety hours after taking the poison, having passed some very offensive motions of a greenish-black colour (probably from sulphuret of lead) before be died. The mucons membrane of the stomach was much inflamed, and of a dark-red colour throughout. Poison could not be detected in the contents or tissues of the stomach or in the matter vomited. It is remarkable that in this case so small a quantity should have proved fatal without exciting any marked symptoms of irritation in the first instance. There are many fatal cases of poisoning by the carbonate of lead in the human subject, but it has in these instances proved insidiously fatal, by inducing Colica pictonum. They are to be regarded as cases of chronic poisoning.

Treatment .- It is obvious that the alkaline sulphates could not here be employed as antidotes, since it requires long digestion at a high temperature, for these salts to react on the carbonate of lead; and oven then the decomposition is only partial. I would suggest, in a case of this kind, the expediency of administering an elkaline sulphate mixed with vinegar or some weak vegetable acid, such as lemon-juice. Emetics and the stomach-pump should also be employed.

Colica Pictonum. - Painter's Colic may be regarded as a chronic form of poisoning by earbonate of lead, indicated by violent pain in the bowels, constipation, and paralysis. Among white-lead manufacturers. the carbonate finds its way into the system, either through the skin or through the lungs, or both together :- it becomes diffused in a fine powder through the atmosphere, and thus enters into the lungs. It has been remarked in France, that in manufactories, where the powder was ground dry, not only have the labourers suffered, but also horses. dogs, and even rats, have died from its effects. Since the practice has arisen of grinding the earbouate in water, cases of colica pictonum have not been so numerous.

Symptoms.—The diagnostic symptoms of chronic poisoning hy lead. are well marked. There is first pain, with a sense of sinking commonly in or about the region of the umbilieus. Next to pain there is obstinate constination, retraction of the abdominal parietes, loss of appetite, thirst, feetid odour of the breath, and general emaciation. acquires a yellowish or earthy colour, and the patient experiences a saccharine, styptie, or astringent taste in the mouth. A symptom of a peculiar nature has been pointed out by Dr. Burton (Mcd. Gaz. xxv. 687), namely, a blueness of the edges of the gums, where these join the bodies of the teeth: the teeth are of a brownish colour. Dr. Chowne states that from inquiry and observation, he considers that the presence or absence of this blue line is not connected with the administration or non-administration of lead. (Lancet, Oct. 26, It has, however, been so frequently observed, that most pathologists now regard it as a well-marked pathognomonic symptom. A similar blue mark around the edges of the gums has been noticed in other cases of poisoning—as by mercurial preparations (antc, p. 90); and it is possible that in an advanced stage of chronic poisoning by lead it may be absent, (see a case by Mr. Fletcher, Med. Times, Feb. 14, 1846, p. 395):—as where, for example, the individual has ceased to expose himself to emanations of lead. Many facts tend to show that it is an early symptom. This disease often kills the patient; and after death the large and small intestines are found contracted .especially the colon.

Analysis.—Carbonate of lead is a solid white powder, insoluble in water, and immediately hlackened by sulphuretted hydrogen or hydrosulphuret of ammonia. 1. When heated on platina, it leaves a residue of yellow or orange-coloured oxide of lead, soluble in nitric acid. The carbonate is easily dissolved by diluted nitric acid with effervescence, which shows that it contains carbonic acid. The oxide of lead. combined with nitric acid, may he readily detected by the tests already mentioned.

OXIDES OF LEAD.

The yellow oxide (massicot), and the brown oxide (peroxide), are but little known except to chemists. Litharge and minium or Red tead are, however, much employed in the arts, and have sometimes given rise to accidental poisoning. Liquids used for culiuary or dietetic purposes, especially if they contain a free acid, are liable to become impregnated with oxide of lead, derived from the glaze of the vessel in which they are kept, and to form poisonous salts. If vinegar be used, acctate of lead may result. Litharge-glaze is also easily dissolved by alkaline or fatty substances. The eating of dripping, or the fat of meat, baked in a newly glazed vessel, has been thus known to give rise to slight attacks of colic; while the symptoms were referred by the party to some substance mixed with the food. A case in which the whole of the members of a family were thus poisoned, will be found in the Lancet (July 4, 1846, p. 27). When articles of this kind are impregnated with oxide of lead, the fact is immediately known by their being turned more or less of a brown colour by hydrosulphuret of ammonia. All newly glazed vessels yield more or less traces of lead, on boiling in them acetic acid or caustic potash. this way, the poisonous nature of the glaze may be tested:—the oxide of lead being dissolved by the acid or the alkali. Litharge was formerly much used to remove the acidity of sour wine, and convey a Acetate of lead, or some other vegetable salt of the sweet taste. metal, is in these cases formed; and the use of such wine may be productive of alarming symptoms. Many years since, a fatal epidemic colic prevailed in Paris owing to this cause :- the adulteration was discovered by Foureroy, and it was immediately suppressed. wine is known by its being blackened by hydrosulphuret of ammonia. Snuff has been known to be adulterated with red lead: in one instance this mixture is supposed to have eaused death, and in another, it gave rise to alarming symptoms, (Med. Gaz. xxxii. 138; also Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, ii. 197.)

Analysis.—Litharge is commonly seen in reddish or yellow-coloured scaly crystals, insoluble in water, but soluble in great part, or if pure entirely, in diluted nitric acid. The solution possesses all the characters of nitrate of lead. Minium or Red lead is generally seen as a rich orange-red powder;—it is partially dissolved by acids,—a portion of brown peroxide being left. The solution gives the usual reactions with the tests for lead. Both of these oxides are easily reduced on charcoal by the aid of a blow-pipe; or by mixing them with paste,—painting with this mixture a piece of card, drying it and burning it, when metallic lead is immediately produced.

Effects of external application.—Oxide of lead and the salts of this metal, have been known to affect the system even when applied to the skin. Most hair-dyes are composed of a mixture of lime and oxide or a subsalt of lead. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, ii. 324.) The long-continued use of these preparations may give rise to symptoms, for the

origin of which a practitioner might not be able to account. Dr. Brück of Hanover observed that a violent ophthalmia was induced in a lady who had used, for dycing her hair, a substance called Poudre d'Halie, which on chemical analysis was found to consist of lead and lime. (Med. Gaz. Nov. 1842.) The facts connected with poisoning by lead or its preparations, applied externally, are of some interest. They commonly assume the form of chronic poisoning. Even the pure metal frequently handled may thus find its way into the system, unless strict cleanliness be observed.

Pharmaceutical preparations.—Among the pharmaceutical preparations for external use, into the composition of which lead enters, is the Compound ointment of lead (UNG. PLUMBI COMP.), the basis of which is lead-plaster, the other ingredients being chalk, vinegar, and olive oil; the Ointment of iodide of lead (Ung. Plumbi lodid); Cerate of acetate of lead (CERATUM PLUMBI ACETATIS), a mixture of acctate of lead, white wax, and olive oil, the salt of lead forming but a very small proportion; Compound lead-cerate (CERA-TUM PLUMBI COMP.), consisting of a solution of subacetate of lead, wax, olive oil, and camphor,—this is commonly known as Goulard's cerate; Lead plaster (EMPLASTRUM PLUMBI), prepared with oxide of lead, olive oil, and water. Lastly, the LIQUOR PLUMBI DIACE-TATIS, which has been already described under the name of Goulard's (See ante, p. 108.) Any of these preparations extract of lead. may be brought for analysis as poisons: they are regarded as such by a low class of criminals, and may be administered in an attempt to destroy life.

CHAPTER XIII.

COPPER-BLUE VITRIOL. SYMPTOMS. POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES POISONING BY VERDIGRIS-SUBCHLORIDE OF --- TREATMENT. COPPER-CARBONATE-SCHEELE'S GREEN. CHEMICAL ANALYSIS -TESTS-SPECIAL CHARACTERS OF THE SALTS. COPPER IN ORGANIC LIQUIDS-IN ARTICLES OF FOOD.

ALL the salts of copper are poisonous. The two most commonly known are the SULPHATE or BLUE VITRIOL, and the SUBACETATE or VERDIGRIS. These substances have been frequently taken and administered in large doses for the purposes of suicide and in attempts at murder. In the latter case the attempt has been immediately discovered. owing to the very strong metallic taste possessed by the salt. would in general render it impossible that the poison should be taken unknowingly. With the exception of these salts, poisoning by copper is usually the accidental result of the common use of this metal for culinary purposes.

SULPHATE OF COPPER.

Symptoms.—Sulphate of copper has been frequently given for the purpose of procuring abortion. In doses of half an onuce and unwards, it acts as a powerful irritant, and in very young children, a much less quantity would probably suffice to kill. The salt speedily induces vomiting of the most violent kind: this sometimes effectually expels the poison from the stomach, and the person recovers. The vomited matters are remarkable for being of a blue or green colour; and broken crystals of blue vitriol have been discovered in them, when the poison was taken in a loosely pulverulent state. If the green colour of the vomited liquids be owing to altered bile, it will not acquire a blue tint on adding to a portion of the liquid a strong solution of If a salt of copper be present, this change of colour will There is headache, pain in the abdomen, serve to indicate the fact. with diarrhoa; the pain is of a colicky character; and in aggravated eases there are spasms of the extremities, and convulsions. Dr. Perceval met with a case where the most violent convulsions were produced in a young female by two drachms of the sulphate of copper. lysis, insensibility, and even tetanus, have preceded death, when the poison was administered to animals. Among the symptoms casually met with in the human subject, may be mentioned jaundice. This has been observed to attend poisoning by the sulphate, as well as by Scheele's green. The medicinal dose of sulphate of copper as an emetic, is from five to fifteen grains, and as a tonic from one to three or four grains,

There are but few instances in which this poison has proved fatal in the human subject. In 1836, a girl, sixteen mouths old, put some pieces of Blue stone (sulphate of copper) which were given her to play with, into her mouth. In a quarter of an hour, the child vomited a blueish-green coloured matter, with pieces of sulphate of copper in it; the skin was alternately cold and hot, but there was neither diarrhea nor convulsions. The child died in four hours, and was insensible before death. (Med. Gaz. xviii. p. 742.) The coroner and jury did not consider it necessary that an inspection should be made; and yet in the event of murder being committed by the administration of this substance, it would be somewhat unreasonably expected that the medical witnesses should be fully acquainted with the post-mortem appearances produced by it!

Post-mortem appearances.—In poisoning by the salts of copper, the mucous membrane of the stomach and intestines has been found more or less thickened and inflamed in the few fatal eases which have been hitherto examined: the membrane has been also found eroded and softened in poisoning by verdigris. The coophagus has presented an inflammatory appearance. In a case of poisoning by Verdigris,

quoted by Orfila, the stomach was inflamed and thickened, especially towards the pylorus, the orifice of which, from the general thickening, was almost obliterated. The small intestines were throughout inflamed, and perforation had taken place, so that part of the green liquid was effused into the abdomen. The large intestines were disteuded in some parts and contracted in others, and the rectum was ulcerated on its inner surface. (Toxicologie, i. 623.) The lining membrane of the alimentary caual is often throughout of a deep green colour, owing to the small particles of verdigris adhering to it. It has been said that this is an uncertain character of poisoning hy copper; since a morbid state of the bile often gives a similar colour to the mucous membrane of the stomach and duodenum. This objection cannot apply, where the green colour is also found in the esophagus, and throughout the intestines: and, under any circumstances, the evidence from the presence of a green colour would amount to nothing, in the judgment of a prudent witness, unless copper were freely detected in the parts so coloured. It is well to remember, that the green stain, if due to copper, would be turned blue by ammonia. In death from arsenite of copper, the inflammatory appearances would prohably he more strongly marked.

VERDIGRIS. SUBACETATE OF COPPER.

This salt produces symptoms somewhat similar to those caused by the sulphate. There is a strong styptic metallic taste, with a sense of coustriction in the throat, followed by severe colicky pains,—vomiting of a green-coloured liquid, diarrhoa, and tenesmus. In a case reported by Pyl, a woman who took two ounces of verdigris died in three days:—in addition to the symptoms above described, there were convulsions and paralysis before death. Niemann relates that a female, aged twenty-four, swallowed half an ounce of verdigris, and died under symptoms of violent gastrie irritation in sixty hours. (Taschenhuch, 458.) In consequence of the great uncertainty of its operation, subacctate of copper is not employed internally.

SUBCHLORIDE OF COPPER.

This is a rich green compound, known as Oxychloride or Brunswick green. It is formed when common salt has been used in a coppervessel, and has thus given rise to accidental poisoning. It is also used as a pigment.

CARBONATE OF COPPER.

A case of poisoning hy this substance has been reported by M. Desgranges of Bordeaux. A man died in ahont six hours, as it was supposed, from the effects of an unknown quantity of this poison which he had taken. When first seen he was comatose; he had snatianed some violeuce from a fall, and there was great coldness of the extremities. There was neither vomiting, purging, nor pain in the abdomen on pressure. On inspection, the ecsophagus and stomach

were covered with a green-coloured substance. The larger extremity of the stomach was vascular, and the mucous membrane corroded in patches. The mucous membrane of the intestines, as well as the liquid contained in them, was green. Carbonate of copper was found in the stomach, and traces of that metal existed in the urine—none was found in the blood. (Med. Gaz. xxxi. 495.) It is remarkable that in this case, there should have been neither vomiting nor diarrhœa. The poison seems to have acted more like a narcotic than an irritant.

ARSENITE OF COPPER.

This compound, which is known under the name of Scheele's,— EMERALD OF MINERAL GREEN, is extensively used as a pigment in the arts; it is also improperly employed to give a green colour to wafers and articles of confectionary.

Symptoms and Effects .- A child, sged three years, swallowed a small capsule of Scheele's green, used by his father as a pigment. In half an hour he complained of violent colic; there was frequent vomiting, with diarrhoa, cold sweats, intense thirst, and retraction of the parietes of the abdomen. The month and fauces were stained of a deep green colour. Hydrated sesquioxide of iron was given: in about an hour the vomiting and diarrhea ceased, and soon afterwards the thirst and pain in the abdomen abated. The next morning the child was well. In another case, a child, a year old, atc several pieces of a cake of arsenite of copper, used for colours. was immediate vomiting, the liquid containing green-coloured particles of the arsenite, but there were no other urgent symptoms. White of egg with sugared water was given to it. After a short time the child became pale, and complained of pain in the abdomen; the pulse was frequent, the skin cold, and there was great depression. Copions diarrhoa followed, soon after which the child recovered. (Galtier, i. 636.)

The arsenite of copper is much used by painters and paper-stainers, and may under these circumstances give to rise accidents, as the following case will show. A young man, after having been engaged for nine days in printing with this arsenical green, was seized with coryza, swelling of the lips and nostrils, and headache. The next day he experienced severe colic, and great muscular weakness; but these symptoms disappeared in about eight days. It is probable that, in this case, the arsenite of copper had been taken into the body in the state of fine powder.

Treatment.—In general there is violent vomiting,—the salts of copper acting powerfully as emetics. The efforts of the stomach should be promoted by the free exhibition of warm water, milk, albumen, or any mucilaginous drink, and the use of the stomach-pump. This instrument is of little service, when the poison has been taken, as it generally in the poison has been taken, as it generally in the poison has been taken, as it generally in the poison has been taken, as it generally in the poison has been taken, as it generally in the poison has been taken.

rally is, in coarse powder.

Chemical analysis of the Salts of Copper.—The salts of copper are generally known by their colour: wbether in the solid state or in solution, they are either blue or green. The salts of one other metal are also of a green colour, namely nickel; but there are striking chemical differences between the salts of this metal and those of copper. There are three very soluble salts of copper; two of these are blue, the snlphate and nitrate,—and one green, the chloride. The solutions of the cupreous salts have generally an acid reaction. The salt should be dissolved in water, diluted, and the following tests may then

be applied.

Tests.-1. Solution of ammonia: this gives, in a solution of copper, a blueish-white precipitate, which is soluble in an excess of the test, forming a deep violet-blue solution. 2. Ferrocyanide of potassium, a rich claret-red precipitate; -if the quantity of copper be small, the liquid acquires merely a light red-brown colour; if large, the precipitate is of a gelatinous consistency. The ferrocyanide of potassium will act on the violet-blue solution produced by autmonia, provided it be much diluted, or an acid added (sulphurie) to neutralize the ammonia. One portion of liquid may thus be tried by the two tests. 3. Sulphuretted hydrogen gas, or hydrosulphuret of ammonia, gives a deep chocolate-brown precipitate, even in an acid solution; or if the copper be in small proportion, merely a brown colour, 4. A slip of Polished Iron (a common needle) suspended by a thread in the liquid, is speedily coated with a layer of copper, even when the salt is in very small proportion. When much diluted, a drop of diluted sulphuric acid may be added. If the needle be left for some days in the liquid, the iron will be slowly removed, and a bollow cylinder of metallic copper will remain. This may be dissolved in dilute nitric acid, and tested with the foregoing tests; or the nealle coated with copper, may be immersed in ammonia and exposed to air. liquid then becomes slowly blue. Half a grain of sulphate of copper dissolved in sixteen ounces of water, may be thus easily detected. It was long since proposed by Orfila to substitute Phosphorus for polished This substance most effectually separates metallic copper from its salts, even when they are dissolved in organic liquids. 5. The Galvanic test.—If a few drops of the copper-solution be placed on platina-foil,-slightly acidulated with a diluted acid, and the platina be then tonched through the solution with a thin slip of zinc, metallie copper, of its well-known red colour, is immediately deposited on the When the quantity of copper is small, there is merely a brown stain; but a blue liquid is formed by pouring on it, ammonia, and exposing it to air.

SULPHATE OF COPPER. (BLUE VITRIOL. ROMAN VITRIOL. BLUE STONE.) This salt is met with in transparent rhombic masses, of a rich blue colour. When reduced to powder, it is nearly white, but becomes again blue on melting or dissolving it. It is soluble in four parts of cold and two of boiling water; and it is easily obtained in well-

defined rhombic crystals by evaporating a small quantity of the solution on a slip of glass. The powder undergoes no change on adding sulphuric acid. Nitrate of barytes added to the solution, indicates the presence of sulphuric acid (p. 46, aute).

SUBACETATE. DIACETATE. (ARTIFICIAL VERDIGRIS).—There are several varieties of this salt, some of which are blue, and others green. Verdigris is partially soluble in water, as a sesquibasic acetate; but if this be acidulated with acetic or muriatic acid, a solution is immediately obtained, to which the tests for copper may be readily applied. If a portion of the powder be heated in a reductiou-tube, a film of metallic copper is produced,—and acetic acid vapour escapes. Acetic acid is, however, readily discovered by boiling the powder in diluted sulphuric acid. Sulphate of copper is at the same time produced, which admits of a ready unalysis.

CARBONATE. (NATURAL VERDIGRIS.)—This is a blucish green compound, which is produced in firm crusts, when copper, brass, or bronze is exposed at the same time to the action of water and air. It is often called natural verdigris, to distinguish it from the subacetate or artificial verdigris. When heated on platina-foil, carbonic acid is evolved, and black oxide of copper is left. It is insoluble in water; but is dissolved by acids with effervescence,—a character which distinguishes it from the other insoluble salts. The acid solution gives the usual reactions with the tests for copper.

ARSENITE OF COPPER. (SCHEELE'S GREEN.)-This salt is of a green colour, the depth of which is modified by the quantity of exide of copper present. It is insoluble in water, but soluble in ammouia and in acids, forming a blue solution. When very gently heated in a reduction-tube, arscuious acid is sublimed in minute octohedral crystals. These may be collected, dissolved in water, and tested in the usual way:—the residuary oxide of copper may then be dissolved in nitric acid, and tested. With charcoal powder, the arsenite gives, although with some difficulty, a ring of metullic arsenic: but the arsenical nature of the sult, is easily determined by boiling it with diluted muriatic acid and a slip of metallic copper or copper-gauze. (See Reinsch's Process, aute, p. 78). Metallic arsenic is immediately deposited on the copper. When the arsenite of copper is used in confectionary, the substance upon which it is spread, is either soluble (sugar or starch) or insoluble (plaster of Paris). In either case we scrape off the green colour and digest it in a small quantity of water. In the first case the arsenite of copper is deposited, while the sugar or starch is dissolved; in the second, the arsenite of copper is deposited with the sulphate of lime. The former may be separated from the latter by ammonia, and reobtained pure by evaporation. Should the arsenite be mixed up with fat or oil, it will easily subside as a sediment on keeping the substance melted, and the deposit may be freed from any traces of fat by digesting it in ether. There is another kind of green pigment much used, called SCHWEINFURTH GREEN. This is a mixture of arsenite and acetate of copper. The presence of arsenic in this compound, is easily detected by muriatic acid and metallic copper. The arsenite of copper has been placed among cupreous poisons, because it so closely resembles them in physical and ehemical properties;—and the existence of arsenic in it might be easily overlooked. On the whole, these salts of copper are seldom used as poisons, although so easy of access, that they are to be purchased without difficulty in any colour-shop. The accidents that arise from them, are generally observed among colour-makers and paper-stainers.

Copper in organic liquids.—The oxide of copper is liable to be precipitated by certain organic principles, as albumen, fibrin and mneons membrane: but some of these organic compounds are easily dissolved by acids or even by an excess of the solution of cupreous salt. A portion at least of the salt of copper is, therefore, commonly held dissolved. In such cases, there is one peculiar character possessed by these liquids, i.e. they have a decidedly green colour even when the copper salt is in a far less than poisonous proportion.

Separation by iron.—We first filter the liquid, and save the insoluble portions for a separate operation. We may use as a trial-test either a needle, zine with platina, or add to a portion, oxalic acid; the last gives a blucish-white precipitate only when the copper is in moderately large quantity, and the liquid is not very acid. If the needle be not coated with copper in the course of a few hours, it is certain that there is no detectable quantity of the poison present in the liquid.

Separation as a sulphuret.—If the copper-salt be present in large quantity, any of the trial-tests will indicate it immediately. We now destroy the viscidity of the liquid by diluting it if necessary; and pass into it a current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas in order to precipitate all the copper in the state of sulphuret. The black sulphuret may be collected, washed, dried, and then boiled in equal parts of nitrie acid and water for a quarter of an hour. Nitrate and sulphate of copper are produced and dissolved,—a fact indicated by the liquid acquiring a rich blue colour: and some sulphur is at the same time separated. This liquid, when filtered, will give the usual reactions with the tests for copper.

Separation by platina.—I have also found the following a very expeditions and simple method of obtaining copper from organic liquids. Having filtered the liquid, let a portion of it be placed in a clean platina capsule or crucible. A few drops of diluted sulpburic acid may be added, and a slip of zine foil introduced. Wherever the platina is touched by the zinc, metallic copper is deposited; and after having in this way coated the platina capsule, the surplus liquid may be poured off and the capsule well washed out. The copper is then dissolved in diluted nitric acid, and the tests may be applied after the excess of acid has been driven off by heat. This is perhaps the most expeditious and

eertain metbod of detecting a salt of copper in an organic liquid. It

is, however, less delicate than the Iron-test.

Copper in articles of food.—The medico-legal history of poisoning by copper, would be incomplete without some remarks on the action of certain articles of food on this metal when used for culinary pur-This is a not unfrequent form of aecidental poisoning. The symptoms rarely appear until after the lapse of three or four hours. There is commonly nausea with colicky pains and cramps in the limbs. It results from the experiments of Falconer and others, that metallic copper undergoes no change by contact with water, unless air be present, when a hydrated earbonate will be formed mixed with per-If the water contain any acid, such as vinegar, or common salt, oxide. -or there be oily or fatty matter in contact with the metal, then the copper is more rapidly oxidized, and the liquid or fat acquires a greeu colour. If the copper-vessel be kept perfectly clean, and the food prepared in it be allowed to cool in other vessels, there is not much risk of its acquiring a poisonous impregnation: nevertheless, no acid, saline, fatty, or oily liquid, should be prepared as an article of food in a copper-vessel. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, i. 102.) Under the influence of heat and air a portion of copper becomes dissolved, and the oily or other liquid acquires a green colour. The preparation of fruits, such as preserves, in eopper-vessels, is necessarily attended with some risk; for on eooling, a green crust is apt to form on the copper, just above the surface where the air and acid liquid meet. Some substances appear to be but little liable to this impregnation:-thus, coffee, beer, milk, or tea, has been boiled for two hours together, in a clean copper-vessel, without any portion of the metal being taken up by either of the liquids. (See Falconer on the Poison of Copper, 65. London, 1774; also Orfila, i. 611.) Accidents of this kind are usually prevented by lining the eopper-vessel with tin; but in very large boilers this plan is not always adopted—eleanliness alone is trusted to, and this is a sufficient preventive when properly observed.

In the making of preserved fruits and vegetable pickles, the salts of copper (blue vitriol) are sometimes used for the purpose of giving a rich green colour. Many of the green pickles, sold in shops, are thus impregnated with the vegetable salts of this metal, to which they owe their bright grass-green colour. If the fruit or pickle be placed in a solution of ammonia, and copper be present, the substance is speedily turned blue. The iron-test is, however, more delicate. A needle immersed in the pickle, or plunged into the solid, will be speedily coated with copper. The quantity of copper contained in such articles may not be sufficient to cause fatal effects; but serious symptoms of gastric irritation are sometimes produced, and in very young subjects these may assume an alarming character. (See Falconer, 87.)

CHAPTER XIV.

TARTARIZED ANTIMONY — SYMPTOMS — RECOVERY FROM LARGE POSES—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—TREATMENT—CHEMICAL ANALYSIS—TESTS. ANTIMONY IN ORGANIC LIQUIDS. CHLORIDE OF ANTIMONY—ANALYSIS. POISONING BY SULPHLATE OF ZINC——CARBONATE OF ZINC. PREPARATIONS OF TIN—SILVER—GOLD—IRON—BISBUTH AND CHROME—BICHROMATE OF POTASH.

TARTARIZED ANTIMONY. TARTAK EMETIC. STIBIATED TARTAR.

Symptoms and Effects.—This substance, which is seen in the form of a white powder, or in crystals, is by no means so poisonous as it is often described to be. Forty grains have been given to an adult in twenty-four hours without causing serious mischief. When taken in a poisonous dose, a strong metallic taste is perceived in the mouth during the act of swallowing. There is violent burning pain in the epigastric region, followed by nausca, vomiting, profuse diarrhea, and syncope. The pulse is small and rapid, sometimes imperceptible; the skin cold, and covered with a clanmy perspiration; and the respiration painful. Death is preceded by vertigo, insensibility, great prostration of strength, and violent spasms of the muscles of the extremitics. Among the symptoms there has been observed great constriction in the throat, with difficulty of swallowing. The quantity actually required to destroy life is unknown. It will probably depend much on whether active vomiting and purging have been excited or not: for these symptoms have not been present in all cases. Doses of twenty, twenty-seven, and even sixty grains have been taken without destroying life; although alarming symptoms of irritation followed. In one case related by Orfila, a man aged fifty, took forty grains of tartar emetic, and died in about four days. This was the only one out of five cases of poisoning by this substance, which proved (Orfila, i. 447.) Dr. Beck mentions a case in which fifteen grains of tartar emetic, in solution, killed a child in a few weeks: vomiting and purging ensued, followed by convulsions and death. two eases observed by Mr. Hartley, which will be presently described, ten grains killed each child in a few hours. This, I believe, is the smallest fatal dose on record. In a case recently reported by Mr. Freer of Stourbridge, a man setat. 28, swallowed two drachms of tartar emetic by mistake for Epsom salts, and recovered from its effects. hour after the poison had been taken, he was found in the following state:-his pulse imperceptible; tongue dry and red; countenance cold and livid, bathed with clammy perspiration, and indicative of great suffering; violent pain at the epigastrium and over the whole of the abdomeu, with constant spasmodic contraction of all the muscles, particularly of the abdomen and upper extremities. The fingers were firmly contracted, and the muscles quite rigid. He vonited only once, about half an hour after he had swallowed the poison, and after this, he had coustant involuntary aqueous stools. An emetic of mustard and salt was given to him, and this produced violent vomiting of bilious matter. Green tea, brandy, and decoction of oak-bark were freely given. The cramps, vomitings, and aqueous stools continued for six hours. The symptoms then became mitigated, and he gradually recovered, suffering chiefly from profuse night perspirations. (Lancet, May 22d, 1847, 535.) This case is remarkable for the anomalous character of the symptoms, as in the absence of active vomiting, an emetic was actually required to be given,—also for the recovery of the individual after a very large dose of the poison.

Post-mortem appearances.—The following eases reported by Mr. Hartley, show the nature of the post-mortem appearances likely to be found in the body. Two children, a boy aged five years, and a girl aged three years, each swallowed a powder containing ten grains of tartar emetic mixed with a little sugar. It was stated that, in twenty minutes after taking the powders, they were seized with violent vomiting and purging, and great prostration of strength, followed by convulsions and tetanic spasms: there was also great thirst. The hoy died in eight honrs, and the girl in twelve or thirteen honrs after swallowing the dose. The bodies were inspected between four and five days after death. In that of the boy, there was effusion of serum in the right pleara; the lower lobe of the right lung posteriorly was redder than natural, and the peritoneum was injected from recent inflammation. The mucous membrane of the duodenum was inflamed, and covered with a whitish-yellow viseid secretion; this was observed throughout the intestinal canal, although the colour was of a deeper yellow in the colon and rectum: there was no ulceration. toneal coat of the stomach was inflamed. The mucous membrane of this organ was much inflamed, especially about the larger curvature and at the eardine orifice: there was no nlceration. The contents (ahout two onnees and a half of a dark grumous fluid, having a slightly acid reaction), were very adherent to it; and in one place there was a patch of lymph. The tests used did not indicate the presence of antimony. With regard to other appearances, the tongue was covered with a white fur, and appeared soddened; the fauces were not inflamed; the trachea and esophagus had a natural appearance. On opening the eranium, the dura mater was found very vascular; the longitudinal sinus contained a coagulum of lymph, hut very little blood. The vessels of the surface of the hrain were very much injected with dark blood, the whole surface having a deep purple colour. Every portion of the hrain, when cut, presented many bloody points. The cerebellum and medulla ohlongata were also extremely vascular; there was no effusion in the ventricles, or at the base of the brain. In the hody of the girl, the morbid appearances were similar; there were also patches resembling the cruption of scarlatina on the arms, legs, and neck. The arachnoid membrane was more opaque than usual; and on the mucous membrane of the stomach, where the inflammation was greatest, were two or three white spots, each about the size of a split pea, which appeared to be the commencement of ulceration. (Laucet, April 25, 1846, 460.) In animals poisoned by this substance, it is common to find general inflammation of the alineutary canal.

Treatment.—This consists in promoting vomiting by the free administration of warm water, milk, or other diluents. The stomach-pump may also be used. Any vegetable infusion containing tannin, such as strong tea, decoction of oak-bark, or Peruvian bark, may be given. This principle combines with oxide of antimony, to form a compound

insoluble in water.

Chemical analysis. Tartar Emetic as a solid .- In the state of powder.-1. Tartar emetic is easily dissolved by water,-it is taken up by fourteen parts of cold, and two of boiling water; the solution has a faint acid reaction, and an acrid caustic taste; it becomes decomposed by long keeping. It is insoluble in alcohol, 2. The powder dropped into hydrosulphuret of ammonia, is turned of a deep reddishbrown colour, and is thereby known from other poisonous inctallic 3. When heated in a reduction-tube, it becomes charred, but does not melt before charring, like the acctate of lead. The metal is partially reduced by the carbon of the vegetable acid, and the decomposed mass has a greyish-blue lustre. I have not found that a metallic sublimate is produced in this experiment, by the heat of a spirit-lamp, 4. When boiled with muriatic acid and metallic copper, a grey deposit of antimony takes place on that metal. The colour is violet if the quantity be small.

Tartar Emetic in solution.-1. On slowly evaporating a small quantity on a slip of glass, it will crystallize in tetrahedra. If obtained from a very diluted solution, this crystallization resembles that of arsenic. 2. Diluted nitric acid added to the solution, throws down a white precipitate (subnitrate of antimony): the other two mineral acids act in the same way; but as they precipitate numerous other metallic solutions, there are objections to them which do not hold with respect to nitric acid. The white precipitate thus formed, possesses the remarkable property of being easily and entirely redissolved by a solution of tartaric acid:—it is also soluble in a large excess of nitric acid, so that if much of the test be added at once, no precipitate is produced. 3. Ferrocyanide of potassium does not precipitate the solution, whereby tartar emetic is known from most other metallic poisons. 4. Hydrosulphuret of ammonia or sulphuretted hydrogen gas, produces in the solution, a reddish-orange coloured precipitate, differing in colour from every other metallic sulphuret.

The foregoing tests, it will be observed, merely indicate the presence of exide of antimony,—but this is in reality the poison which we have

to seek,—the cream of tartar with which it is combined being merely the vehicle; and in a case of poisoning, this is no more the object of medico-legal research, than if it were the vehicle for the administration of arsenic or corrosive sublimate. It is besides well known, that tartar-emetic is the only salt of the oxide of autimouy in a soluble form, which is likely to be met with in medicine or chemistry. Should it be required to prove the presence of cream of tartar, this may be done by filtering a solution from which the oxide of antimony has been entirely precipitated by sulphuretted hydrogen gas. On evaporating this solution, the cream of tartar may be obtained.

In liquids containing organic matter.—Tartar emetic is precipitated by tannin in all its forms; but not readily by albumen or mucons membrane; therefore it may be found partly dissolved in the liquids of the stomach, provided no antidote has been administered. liquid must be filtered, and then strongly acidulated with tartaric acid. A current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas is now passed into it, until there is no further precipitation. The sulphuret is collected, washed, and dried. If it be the sulphuret of antimony, it will have an orangered or brown colour, and will, when dried, be dissolved by a small quantity of boiling muriatic acid (forming sesquichloride of autimony) with evolution of sulphuretted hydrogen. The boiling should be continued for several minutes. On adding this solution to a large quantity of water, a dense white precipitate of oxychloride of antimony (powder of Algaroth or Algarotti, Mercurius Vitæ) will fall down. This is characteristic of antimony. If it be objected that nitrate of bismuth undergoes a similar change when dropped into water, hydrosulphuret of ammonia will easily enable us to distinguish the two metals; the antimonial precipitate is turned of an orange-red by that solution, while the bismuthic precipitate is turned of a deep black.

A medical jurist must remember that the discovery of tartar emetic in the contents of a stomach, is by no means a proof of its having been taken or administered as a poison; since it is frequently prescribed as a medicine, and often taken as such by persons of their own accord. We could only infer that it existed as a poison, or had cansed death, when the quantity present was very large, and there were corresponding appearances of irritation in the alimentary canal. Still less would the discovery of it in a medicinal mixture, unless in a very large proportion, be evidence of an intent to poisou.

Chloride of Antimony. Sesquichloride or Butter of Antimony.

Symptoms and appearances.—The following case was communicated to me by Mr. Henry Pearson. In 1836, a boy, aged 12, awallowed by mistake for ginger beer, four or five drachms of a solution of butter of antimony. In half an hour he was seized with vomiting, which continued at intervals for two hours. There was faintness, with general weakness, and great prostration of strength. Remedial means

were adopted, and the next day the chief symptoms were heat and uncasiness in the mouth and throat, with pain in swallowing. There were numerons abrasions on the mucous membrane of the mouth and fauces; and there was slight fever, from which he quite recovered in

about eight days.

The only fatal case which I have met with, was communicated to me by Mr. Mann, of Bartholomew Close. An army surgeon swallowed, for the purpose of suicide, from two to three ounces by measure of chloride of antimony. About an hour afterwards, he was seen by Mr. Mann. There was entire prostration of strength, with coldness of skin, and incessant attempts to vomit. The most excruciating griping paius were felt in the abdomen; and there was a frequent desire to evacuate the bowels, but nothing was passed. In the course of a few hours reaction took place, the pain subsided, and the pulse rose to 120. There was now a strong disposition to sleep, so that he appeared as if labouring under the effects of a narcotic poison. In this state he continued until he died,—ten hours and a half after he had swallowed the poison. On inspection, the interior of the alimentary canal, from the mouth downwards to the jejunum, presented a black appearance, as if the parts had been charred. In general, there was no mucous membrane remaining, either on the stomach or elsewhere; only a flocculent substance, which could be easily scraped off with the back of the scalpel, leaving the submucous tissues and the peritoneal coat. parts were so soft that they were easily torn by the fingers.

Treatment.—The free exhibition of magnesia as well as substances

containing tannin. See TARTAR EMETIC. (Page 122.)

Chemical analysis.—If any portion of the cbloride be left in the vessel, it may be tested by adding a few drops to a large quantity of water, when the whitish-yellow oxychloride of antimony will be precipitated: the supernatant liquid will contain muriatic acid, which may be detected by nitrate of silver. It has been already observed, that the only objection to this mode of testing, is, that the salts of bismuth are also decomposed by water; but the precipitate in this case is insoluble in tararic acid, and is blackened by hydrosulphuret of ammonia; while in the case of antimony, it is soluble in that acid, and is changed to an orange-red by the hydrosulphuret.

SULPHATE OF ZINC, WHITE VITRIOL, OR WHITE COPPERAS.

Symptoms and appearances.—The symptoms produced by an overdose of sulphuret of zine are pain in the abdomen and violent vomiting, coming on almost immediately, and diarrhœa. After death, the stomach has been found inflamed. The sulphate appears to act as a pure irritant; it has no corrosive properties.

Treatment.—Warm water, with milk, should be freely exhibited. It has been recommended to give albumen as an antidote, but it requires a very large quantity of this substance to precipitate the oxide

of zinc; some have advised that albumen mixed with carbonate of

magnesia should be giveu.

Chemical analysis.—The pure sulphate is seen in white prismatic erystals, closely resembling in appearance sulphate of magnesia and oxalic acid; from oxalic acid it is distinguished, by being fixed when heated on platina foil,—from the sulphate of magnesia, by tests applied to its solution. It is readily dissolved by water; this fluid taking np about one-third of its weight at common temperatures. Analysis of the solution.—The solution in water has a slightly acid reaction. The following tests may be used for the detection of oxide of zinc: 1. Anmonia gives a white precipitate, soluble in an excess of the alkali. 2. Sesquicarbonate of ammonia, a white precipitate, also soluble in a large excess of the test. 3. Ferrocyanide of potassium, a white precipitate. 4. Sulphuretted hydrogen and hydrosulphuret of ammonia, a milky white precipitate, provided the solution be pure and neutral, or nearly so. If the solution be very acid, sulphuretted hydrogen produces no effect whatever.

In organic mixtures.—If the sulphate of zinc be dissolved, we may pass into the solutiou, a current of sulphuretted hydrogen gas; the presence of zinc is immediately indicated by a milky-white froth—the sulphuret may be collected, and decomposed by boiling it with muriatic

acid. The solution may be then tested for zinc.

CARBONATE OF ZINC (CALAMINE.)

This compound does not appear to have any poisonous action; and it would probably require to be given in large quantity to produce any effect. Carbonate of zinc is the white substance which is formed on the metal when long exposed to air and moisture. Its effects may become a subject of investigation as a matter of medical police; since zinc is now much used for roofing, and also in the manufacture of water-pipes and cisterns. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, 281, ii. 352).

PREPARATIONS OF TIN.

The only preparations of this metal, which require to be noticed as poisons, are the *Chlorides*, or *Muriates*, a mixture of which is extensively used in the arts, under the name of *Dyer's Spirit*. The salts may exist in the form of whitish-yellow crystals; but more commonly they are met with in a strongly acid solution in water. They are irritant poisons; but so seldom used as such, that only one death occurred from them in England and Wales during a period of two years. They are decomposed by magnesia and many organic principles; and this alkali, with milk or albumen, should be freely used in treating a case of poisoning by them.

PREPARATIONS OF SILVER.

Nitrate of Silver. Lunar Caustic. Lapis Infernalis.—This substance, which is commonly met with in small sticks of a white or

dark grey colour, is readily soluble in distilled water; in common water it forms a milky solution. It acts as a powerful corrosive, destroying all the organic tissues with which it comes in contact. There are at least two cases on record, in which it has proved fatal in the human subject:—one of these occurred in 1837-8; but the particulars are unknown. The symptoms come on immediately, and the whitish flaky matter vomited, is rendered dark on exposure to light. Coloured spots on the skin will also indicate the nature of the poison. The treatment consists in the administration of magnesia and common salt with emetics.

PREPARATIONS OF GOLD.

Terchloride.—This is the only preparation of gold which requires notice. It is, a powerful irritant poison, acting locally like the nitrate of silver. Nothing is known of its effects on the human subject, but in administering it to animals, Orfila has found extensive inflammation and even ulceration of the nuncous membrane of the stomach. (Toxicologie, ii. 30.) The metal is absorbed and carried into the tissues, but its poisonous action is wholly independent of absorption. Treatment.—Magnesia and albumen.

PREPARATIONS OF IRON.

Sulphate of Iron. Copperas. Green Vitriol.—This compound has been several times administered with malicious intention. One death from this substance took place in 1837-8. It cannot, however, be a very active preparation; for a girl who swallowed an ounce of it, recovered, although she suffered for some hours from violent pain, vomiting, and purging. (Christison on Poisons, 506.)

Green vitriol or copperas is sometimes given as an abortive. A suspicious case is reported, in which a woman far advanced in pregnancy, but enjoying good health, was suddenly seized about midnight with vomiting and purging, and died in fourteen hours. The body was disinterred, and iron found in large quantity in the viscera. The symptoms are not always of this violent kind. In a case which occurred to M. Chevallier, a husband gave a large dose of sulphate of iron to his wife. There was neither colic nor vomiting. The woman lost her appetite, and she had a clayey complexion, but she ultimately recovered.

Muriate of Iron. Tincture of sesquichloride of Iron.—This is an acid solution of peroxide of iron with alcohol, of a red colour, much used in medicine. Dr. Christison relates an instance, where a man, by mistake, swallowed an ounce and a half of this liquid: the symptoms were somewhat like those produced by muriatic acid. He at first rallied, but died in about five weeks. The stomach was found partially inflamed, and thickened towards the pylorus. A case was reported to the Westminster Medical Society, in November 1842, in which a girl, aged fifteen, five months advanced in pregnancy, swal-

lowed an ounce of the tineture of muriate of iron in four doses in one day, for the purpose of inducing abortion. Great irritation of the whole nrinary system followed; but this was speedily removed, and she recovered. Another case of recovery from a large dose of this preparation, has been recently reported by Mr. Amyot. A healthy married female swallowed by mistake for an aperient draught, one ounce and a half of the tineture of muriate of iron. She immediately cjected a portion, and violent retching continued for some time. There was great swelling of the glottis, cough, with difficulty of swallowing. These symptoms were followed by heat and dryness of the throat, with a pricking sensation along the course of the esophagus and stomach; and in the afternoon a quantity of dark grumous blood was vomited. The motions were black, owing doubtless to the action of sulphur upon the metal. In about a mouth the nationt was perfectly restored (Provincial Journal, April 7 and 21, 1847, 180.) to health.

Treatment.—In poisoning by either salt of iron, maguesia or the

alkaline carbonates should be freely given.

Chemical analysis.—The muriatic acid may be detected by nitrate of silver and nitric acid, while the peroxide of iron is immediately indicated by a precipitate of Prussian blue on adding a solution of Ferrocyanide of potassium.

PREPARATIONS OF BISMUTH.

Subnitrate of Bismuth. Pearl-white. Magistery of Bismuth.—This substance, in a dose of two drachms, caused the death of an adult in nine days. There was burning pain in the throat, with vomiting and diarrhœa,—coldness of the surface, and spasms of the extremities,—also a strong metallic taste in the mouth. On inspection, the fauees, larynx, and œsophagus were found inflamed; and there was inflammatory reduess in the stomach and throughout the intestinal caual. (Sobernheim, 335) In a case mentioned by Dr. Traill, a man took by mistake six drachms of the subnitrate, in divided doses, in three days. He suffered from vomiting, and pain in the abdomen and throat, but finally recovered. (Outlines, 115.) These cases are sufficient to prove that a substance very slightly soluble in water, may exert a powerfully poisonous action on the human system.

PREPARATIONS OF CHROME.

Bichromate of Potash.—Well-observed instances of poisoning by this compound, which is now extensively used in the arts, are rare; and, therefore, the details of the following case, communicated to the Medical Gazette (xxxiii. 734) by Mr. Wilson of Leeds, are of great practical interest. A man, aged sixty-four, was found dead in his bed, twelve hours after he had gone to rest. He had been heard to snore loudly during the night, but this had occasioned no alarm to his relatives. When discovered, he was lying on his left side, his lower extremities being a little drawn up to his body: his countenance was

pale, placid, and composed; eyes and mouth closed; pupils dilated; no discharge from any of the outlets of the body; no marks of vomiting or diarrhoa, nor any stain upon his hands or person, or upon the bed-linen or furniture. The surface was moderately warm. Some dvc-stuff, in the form of a black powder, was found in his pocket. On inspection, the brain and its membranes were healthy and natural; there was ucitber congestion nor effision in any part. The thoracie visecra were equally healthy, as well as those of the abdomen, with the exception of the liver, which contained several hydatids. of a turbid inky-looking fluid was found in the stomach. The mucous membrane was red and very vascular, particularly at the union of the cardiac extremity with the asophagus: this was ascribed to the known intemperate habits of the deceased. In the absence of any obvious cause for death, poison was suspected; and on analysing the contents of the stomach, they were found to contain bichromate of potash; and the dye-powder taken from the man's pocket, consisted of this salt mixed with cream of fartar and sand. It is remarkable that in this case there was neither vomiting nor purging. The salt does not appear to have operated so much by its irritant properties, as by its indirect effects on the uervous system. This, however, is by no means an unusual occurrence, even with irritants far more powerful than the bichromate of potash. A case has been recently communicated to me by Mr. Bishop of Kirkstall, in which a boy recovered from the effects of a dose of this salt, only after the lapse of four mouths. The first symptoms were pain, vomiting, dilated and fixed pupils, cramps in the legs, and insensibility. His recovery was due to early and active treatment.

Treatment.—Besides emetics, carbonate of magnesia or chalk, mixed up in a cream with water, should be given. Brown sugar in warm water or milk may be freely used. This mixture tends to decompose the salt.

Chemical analysis.—This is an acid salt, casily known from all the other metallic poisons by its crystals having a deep orange-red colour. It is readily soluble in water, and the solution has the rich orange colour of the salt. It has an acid reaction. It may be identified by the following tests:—1. The solution is precipitated of a rich red colour, by Nitrate of silver. 2. Of a bright yellow, by the Acetate of lead. 3. Of a dingy green, by a current of Sulphuretted hydrogen gas. Potash may be discovered in it by the action of chloride of platina.

VEGETABLE IRRITANTS.

CHAPTER XV.

DIVISION OF VEGETABLE POISONS—MODE OF ACTION OF VEGETABLE HERITANTS. ALOES, COLOCYNTIL GAMBOGF, JALAP, SCAMMONY, SAVIN, CROTON OIL, CASTOR SEEDS, OIL OF TAR. MOULDY BREAD.

General Remarks.—The poisonous substances of an irritant nature, which belong to the vegetable kingdom, are very numerous as a class; but it will here be necessary to notice only those which bave either caused death, or given rise to accidental poisoning.

The true vegetable irritants, soon after they are swallowed, produce severe pain in the abdomen, accompanied by vomiting and diarrheea. There are rarely any ecrebral symptoms or convulsions: the occurrence of the former would place them in the class of NARCOTICS, and of the latter in that of the NARCOTICO-IRRITANTS.

It must be admitted, however, that the operation of many of them is by no means clearly defined. Stupor, delirinm, and convulsions are occasionally observed: hence the distinction between some vegetables placed among irritants, and those which are assigned to the narcotico-irritant class, is purely arbitrary. Further experience may hereafter lead to a better knowledge of their modus operandi, and to an improved classification. One circumstance is worthy of remark. The effects of narcotico-irritant poisons can commonly be traced to the presence of a poisonous alkaloid in the vegetable. Among the irritants, the effects appear to be principally due to the presence of an acrid oil or resin.

Some of the vegetable irritants act especially on the bowels, and in mild doses, are safely used as purgatives. In large doses they produce hypercatharsis, and thus in old and young subjects are apt to cause death by exhaustion. There are, however, but few instances recorded of their fatal action on the human body; and the little that is known concerning their operation as poisons, is chiefly derived from the experiments performed by Orfila on animals. The changes found after death, are confined to irritation and inflammation of the alimentary canal. These substances (if we except Savin) are rarely resorted to by the suicide or murderer,—for large doses are required, and their fatal operation even in these cases, is rendered uncertain by the circum-

stance that they excite vomiting, and are then commonly expelled from the stomach.

Treatment.—In cases of poisoning by the vegetable irritants, emetics should be freely employed, and when the poisonous vegetable is expelled, autiphlogistic measures may be used. If the seat of pain should indicate that the poison has reached the bowels, purgatives or cathartic enemata may be administered. The strength of the individual should be supported.

ALOES, COLOCYNTH, GAMBOGE, JALAP, SCAMMONY.

These different substances which are used in small doses as medicines, are liable, when taken in large quantities, to give rise to vomiting, purging, and other symptoms of irritation. Colocynth has occasioned death in several instances; in one case a teaspoonful and a half of colocynth powder destroyed life, and one drachm of gamboge. a medicine much used by quacks, has proved fatal to man, Outlines, 150.) Aloes and colocynth mixed, are said to be the basis of a certain quack medicine, sold under the name of Morison's Pills. These have proved fatal in many instances from the exhaustion produced by excessive purging, owing to the large quantity of these pills, taken in frequently repeated doses. Our knowledge of the symptoms and post-mortem appearances produced by these irritants, is, indeed, chiefly derived from the cases which have proved fatal under this pernicious treatment. In the seventeenth volume of the Medical Gazette will be found four cases of this description. The most prominent symptom is excessive diarrboxa, with the discharge of large quantities of inucus; the individual becomes emaciated, and slowly sinks. some instances, the symptoms are those of inflammation and ulceration of the bowels. In 1836, a man was convicted of having caused the death of a person by the administration of these pills: in this instance, the death of the deceased was clearly due to the medicine; and on inspection, the stomach was found inflamed and ulcerated; the mucous membrane of the small intestines was injected and softened; and there was the appearance of effused lymph upon An ingenious attempt was made in the defence to draw a statement from the medical witness, that the good effects of some medicines. invariably increased in proportion to the quantities taken. homeopathic proposition was, however, very properly rejected. In all cases, it must be remembered, that these drastic purgatives may cause serious symptoms or even death, when administered to young infants, or to persons debilitated by age or disease; nor is it necessary that the dose should be very large for fatal effects to follow. The question here will be, whether the medicine caused death, or whether it simply accelerated it.

Hicrapiera appears to be a popular aloctic compound, and one death is recorded to have been produced by this in 1837-8. In another instance, death was caused by taking aloes in nitric acid, in which case

the mineral acid was most probably the destructive agent. A singular ease occurred in Germany a few years since, wherein a medico-legal question was raised respecting the poisonous properties of aloes. A woman, aged forty-three, not labouring under any apparent disease, swallowed two drachms of powdered aloes in coffee. Violent diarrace supervened, and she died the following morning, twelve hours after having taken the medicine. On inspection, the stomach was found partially, and the small intestines extensively, inflamed. There were no other particular appearances to account for death, and this was referred to the effect of the aloes.

SAVIN. (JUNIPERUS SABINA.)

This is a well-known plant, the leaves or tops of which contain an irritant poison in the form of an aerid volatile oil of a remarkable odour. They exert an irritant action, both in the state of infusion and They yield by distillation a light yellow oil, on which the irritant properties of the plant depend. The powder is sometimes used in medicine in a dose of from five to twenty grains. The substance is not often taken as a poison for the specific purpose of destroying life; but this is oceasionally an indirect result of its use, as a popular means of procuring abortion. In this way it appears to have proved fatal in one case in 1837-8. From the little that is known of its effects, it acts by producing violent pain in the abdomen, vomiting, and strangury. After death, the esopbagus, stomach and viscera, with the kidneys, have been found either much inflamed or congested. It has no action as an abortive, except like other irritants, by causing a violent shock to the system, under which the uterus may expel its contents. Such a result can never be obtained without placing in jeopardy the life of the woman; and where abortion follows, she generally falls a victim. On the other hand, the female may be killed by the poison without abortion ensuing. In May 1845, I met with a case in which death had been caused by savin-powder,-abortion having first taken place. Eight ounces of green liquid were found in the stomach, which with the cesophagus and the small intestines was highly inflamed. The poison was easily identified by placing some of the minute portions of the leaves found in the stomach, under a powerful microscope. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 646.)

CROTON OIL.

This is an oil extracted from the seeds of the croton tiglium. It is a powerful drastic purgative, producing in a large dose, severe diarrhea, collapse, and death. A case occurred in Paris in 1839, where a man swallowed by mistake two drachms and a half of croton oil. In three-quarters of an hour the surface was cold and clammy, the pulse imperceptible, respiration difficult, and the extremities and face were as blue as in the collapsed stage of cholera. In an hour and a half diarrhea

set in; the stools were passed involuntarily, and the abdomen was very sensitive to the touch. The patient complained of a burning pain in the course of the cesophagus. He died in four hours after swallowing the poison. There was no marked change in the mucous membrane of the stomach!

CASTOR SEEDS.

Of easter oil itself nothing need be said. It is not commonly known that the seeds from which this oil is extracted, contain in the embryo a very active poison, and that a few of them are sufficient to produce violent purging and death. The following is an instance of poisoning by these seeds,—the only one with which I have met. The deceased, aged eighteen, was the sister of a gentleman who was at the time

attending my lectures at Guy's Hospital.

The deceased, it appears, ate about twenty eastor-oil seeds: one of her sisters ate four or five, and another, two. This was on a Wednesday evening. In the night they were all taken ill. About five hours after the seeds were eaten, the deceased felt faint and sick; vomiting and purging came on, and continued through the night. On the following morning, she appeared like one affected with malignant eholera. The skin was cold and dark-coloured, the features contracted, and the breath cold; the palse was small and wiry; there was restlessness, thirst, pain in the abdomen, and sho lay in a sort of drowsy, half-eonseious state. Whatever liquid was taken, was immediately rejected, and the matters passed by stool, consisted chiefly of a scrous fluid tinged with blood. She died in five days without rallying; the two other sisters recovered. On inspection, a very large portion of the mucous membrane of the stomach was found abraded and softened in the course of the greater curvature. There was general vascularity of the organ, and the abraded portion presented the appearance of a granulating surface of a pale rose colour; it was covered by a considerable quantity of slimy mucus. The small intestines were inflamed, and the inner surface of them was abraded. effects produced on the sisters who recovered, bear out the statement of Dr. Christison, that two or three of the secds will operate as a violent cathartic.

THE ELDER. (SAMBUCUS NIGRA).

Dr. Christison states that the leaves and flowers of the common elder act as an irritant poison, having caused in a boy severe inflammation of the bowels, which lasted for eight days. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. xxxiii. 73.) The berries of this tree do not appear to possess, in the ripe state, any noxious properties. The following case of poisoning by the expressed juice of the roots, is reported in the Med. Gaz. xxxv. 96.

A weakly woman, fifty-four years of age, who had been sick all day, and thrown up a quantity of greenish matter, which she regarded as bile, was persuaded by her husband to take two tablespoonfuls of the jnice of the fresh elder root, which he himself had dug up, shaved down, and pressed. The woman soon after complained of severe pain in the abdomen. She was ordered some infusion of senna, but did not take it, as the bowels began almost immediately to act copiously. Next day the symptoms were those of enteritis, which proved fatal.

OIL OF TAR.

This is a powerful vegetable irritant. In 1832, about ten drachms of it caused the death of a gentleman, to whom it had been sent by mistake for a black draught. The party who sent it was tried for manslaughter, but acquitted.

MOULDY BREAD.

There is a common article of food, namely, bread, upon the noxious effects of which some observations have been lately made by toxicologists. In the Anuales d'Hygiène, 1843, pp. 35 and 347, will be found communications on this subject from MM. Guérard, Chevallier, and Gaultier de Claubry. The changes which take place in the decomposition of flour and bread, and the production of various kinds of mouldiness, are here investigated, together with the effects of such bread upon the animal system. It would appear that in some parts of France the peasantry manifest no repugnance to the cating of mouldy bread; and that in many instances the practice appears to be attended with no ill effects. The nature of the mould produced, however, is subject to great variation, and it is not improbable, as M. Chevallier suggests, that in some cases a poisonous principle is actually developed. In two instances of children, who had partaken of mouldy rye-bread, symptoms resembling those of irritant poisoning supervened. The countenance was red and swollen; the tongue dry; the pulse quick; there were violent colies, with pain in the head, and intense thirst. Vomiting and purging superveued with a state of collapse, but the children eventually recovered. These symptoms were ascribed to the production of "mucor mucedo" in the bread. In 1829, alarming effects having followed from the use of a certain kind of bread in Paris, M. Barrucl was called upon to determine whether or not any irritant poison had become accidentally intermixed with it. The bread was simply in a mouldy state; there was no trace of poison. It is unnecessary to enter further into this subject; the facts adduced, together with experiments performed on animals, show that bread, in a state of mouldiness, may not only produce symptoms of poisoning, but actually cause death; and as it is impossible to distinguish the noxious from the innoxious kind of mould, the use of all bread in such a condition should be avoided.

Even fresh bread may oceasionally seriously affect the body. The brown bread of London has been known to produce vertigo, lethargy, and other unpleasant symptoms, indicative of an affection of the brain

and nervous system. This has been ascribed, with some probability, to the "lolium temulentum" becoming accidentally mixed with the corn. Rye-bread is not much used in this country, but the accidental presence of the ergot might here, in some cases, account for the symptoms which have been observed. (See Anu. d'Hyg. 1834, ii. 179; 1835, ii. 240; 1843, i. 41, 347; Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1842, ii. 185; 1844, i. 286, ii. 215.)

Other vegetable irritants might be enumerated, but these are the principal which have given rise to medico-legal inquiries. The treatment of such cases must depend on the nature of the symptoms; the main object should always he to remove the poison either from the stomach or howels, with as little delay as possible. The nature of the poison is commonly apparent from the circumstances; for these cases, if we except the substance Savin, which is sometimes criminally administered, are generally the result of accident. These vegetable poisons are beyond the reach of chemical processes:—they are only to be recognised either by their physical properties, or by the botanical characters of the berries, seeds, or leaves.

ANIMAL IRRITANTS.

CHAPTER XVI.

CANTHARIDES OR SPANISH FLY—SYMPTOMS AND EFFECTS—ANALYSIS.

POISONOUS FOOD—FISH—MUSCLES—SALMON—CHEESE—8AUSAGES—DISEASED FLESH OF ANIMALS.

There are certain irritant substances belonging to the animal kingdom, which here require to be noticed, since they sometimes give rise to questions of poisoning. It is unnecessary to say anything about the poison of hydrophobia, or of the venomons reptiles and insects; since these subjects do not fall within the scope of the present work. (On Poisons, 566.) The first and most important of the animal irritants is the blistering fly.

Cantharides. (Spanish fly).

Symptoms and Effects.—This poison has been frequently administered either in the state of powder or tineture, for the purpose of exciting aphrodisiac propensities, or of procuring abortion. When taken in the state of powder, and in the dose of one or two drachms, it gives rise to the following symptoms:—a burning sensation in the throat, with great difficulty of swallowing,—violent pain in the abdo-

men, with nausea and vomiting of bloody mucus;—there is also great thirst and dryness of the fauces, but in a few cases observed by Mr. Maxwell, salivation was a promincut symptom. As the case proceeds, pain is commonly experienced in the loins, and there is incessant desire to void nrine, but only a small quantity of blood or bloody uring is passed at each effort. The abdominal pain becomes of the most violent griping kind. Diarrhea supervenes, but this is not always observed: - the matters discharged from the bowels are mixed with blood and mucus. In these, as well as in the vomited liquids, shining green particles may be commonly seen on examination, whereby the nature of the poison taken is at once indicated. time, there is often severe priapism, and the genital organs are swollen aud inflamed both in the male and female. In one justance, observed by Dr. Pereira, abortion was induced, probably owing to the excitement of the uterus, from the severe affection of the bladder: for there is no proof that this substance acts directly on the uterus to induce With respect to the aphrodisiae propensities caused by cautharides,—these can seldom be excited in either sex, except when the substance is administered in a dose which would seriously endanger life. When the ease proves fatal, death is usually preceded by syncope, vertigo, and convulsions. The tincture of cantharides produces similar symptoms:—they are, however, more speedily induced, and the barning sensation and constriction of the throat and stomach are more strongly marked; it is often so severe as to render it impossible for the individual to swallow; and the act of swallowing gives rise to the most exeruciating pain in the throat and abdomen.

Post-mortem appearances.—In one well-marked case of poisoning by this substance, the whole of the alimentary canal, from the mouth downwards, was in a state of inflaumation, as well as the ureters, kidneys, and internal organs of generation. The mouth and tongue seemed to be deprived of their mucous membrane. In another instance, where an ounce of the tineture was swallowed, and death did not occur for fourteen days,—the mucous membrane of the stomach was not inflamed; but it was pulpy and easily detached. The kidneys were, however, inflamed. The brain has been found congested, and ulceration of the bladder is said to have been met with. There are very few fatal cases reported, in which the appearances have been accurately noted; indeed, the greater number of those who have taken this poison, have recovered. Cantharides are sometimes described as a corrosive poison, but the substance appears to bave no local action of a chemical nature. It is a pure irritant, and the effects observed are entirely due to irritation and inflammation.

The quantity of this poison, required to produce serious effects, or to destroy life, has been a frequent subject of medico-legal inquiry. Dr. Thomson represents the medicinal dose of the powder to be from one to three grains. On a late criminal investigation one medical witness stated, that one grain was the maximum dose, but this is an

under-statement; according to Thomson it is three grains. The dose of the London Pharmacopæial tineture is from ten minims gradually increased to one fluid drachm, -of the powder, from one to two grains. (Pereira, Mat. Med. ii. 1846.) Doses above this, whether of the powder or the tineture, are likely to be injurious, and to give rise to symptoms of poisoning. On a trial which took place at Aberdeen, in 1825, it appeared that a drachm of the powder had been administered: severe symptoms followed, but the person recovered. Dr. Dyce, the medical witness, said he had given ten grains of the powder at a dose as a medicine. In three cases, observed by Mr. Maxwell, a drachm of the powder mixed with six ounces of rum, was taken by each person: they were robust, healthy negroes,-they suffered severely, but recovered in about ten days:--in these cases, irritation of the urinary organs did not appear until after the men had been bled. The smallest quantity of powder which has been known to destroy life, was in the case of a young female, quoted by Orfila,—the quantity taken was estimated at twenty-four grains in two doses. She died in four days; but as abortion preceded death, it is difficult to say how far this may have been concerned in accelerating that event. Her intellect was clear until the last. In one instance a man recovered after having taken twenty grains of the powder. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jonr., October, 1844.)

An ounce of the tineture has been known to destroy life. It was taken by a boy, aged seventeen, and he died in fourteen days. I believe, is the smallest dose of the tineture which has killed. following instance a similar dose produced only serious symptoms, woman, aged twenty-nine, swallowed an ounce of tineture of cantha-Some time afterwards, there was severe pain in the abdomen, increased by pressure : it became swollen and tympanitic. She passed in the night a pint and a half of urine unmixed with blood. days, the pulse became feeble and scarcely perceptible:-there was delirium, with severe pain in the region of the kidneys and bladder :the urine was continually drawn off by a catheter. It was more than a fortuight before she was convalescent. (Med. Gaz. xxix. 63.) Four drachms and even six drachms have been taken; and although the usual symptoms followed, the parties did well. The last case was the subject of a trial at the Central Criminal Court, in September, 1836. Six drachms of the tineture were administered to a girl, aged seventeen: the medical witness was required to say whether half an ounce was sufficient to kill, as also what proportion of cantharides was contained in an ounce of the tineture,—he said five graius. One ounce of the tincture, P. L., is equivalent to six grains of the powder; but considering that the principle cantharidine is the substance on which the poisonous properties depend, it is very likely that the tineture varies in strength according to its mode of preparation. A case is quoted by Pereira, from Dr. Hosaek, (Mat. Med. ii. 1842.) in which it is said, six ounces of the tineture were taken by a man without causing dangerous symptoms! This must have been an extraordinarily weak preparation: and probably the insects from which the tineture was made, contained little or no cautharidine. The same writer mentions a case within his own knowledge, in which one ounce of the tineture caused serious symptoms.

Cantharides are sometimes taken in the form of blistering plaster. A case was lately reported to the Westminster Medical Society, in which a woman took a piece about the size of a walnut, in chocolate by mistake. In about an hour, vomiting and strangury supervened: this was followed by inflammation of the kidney. The woman speedily recovered. In another instance in which half an onnce of the plaster, containing two drachms of the powder, was taken, death took place in twenty-four hours. (Ed. Mcd. and Sur. Jour., October, 1844.) In the Registration returns for 1840, one case of death from cantharides is recorded, in a male aged 46.

It is proper to state that cantharides will operate as a poison, when applied externally to a wounded or ulcerated surface. This substance will also act with fatal effects, when applied to a large surface of skin. In January, 1841, a girl, aged sixteen, was killed at Windsor, under the following circumstances. She was affected with the itch,—sulphur ointment was prescribed for her, but by mistake, blistering ointment was used. This was rubbed all over the body of the girl:—she was soon seized with the most violent burning pain,—the ointment was immediately washed off, but the cuticle came off with it. The girl died in five days, having suffered from all the usual symptoms of poisoning by cantharides.

Treatment.—When vomiting exists, this may be promoted by demulcent liquids: if it does not exist, emeties should be given,—the object being to dislodge the poison. The state of the throat will scarcely admit of the application of the stomach-pump. Oil was formerly regarded as an autidote;—but it has been found that this is a

ready solvent of the active principle, and it is therefore injurious.

Chemical analysis.—Cautharidine is the vesicating, and at the same time the poisonous principle of the insect. It is a white solid crystallizable substance, insoluble in water; but soluble in ether, alcohol, the oils and caustic alkalies. Although water does not dissolve it in its pure state, it takes it up with other principles from the powdered insect; and thus an infusion of cantharides is poisonous. It is very volatile, and produces serious effects in the state of vapour. There are no chemical characters by which this principle can be safely identified, if we except its vesicating properties. Orfila has applied reagents to detect cantharidine in the tincture; but without success. It has been recommended to digest the suspected solid, or the liquid contents of the stomach evaporated to an extract, in successive quantities of ether,—to concentrate these ethereal solutions by slow evaporation, and then observe, whether the concentrated liquid produces vesication or not:—the medical jurist being expected in such cases to make him-

self the subject of experiment. In this way, Barruel discovered cantharides in some chocolate. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1835, 455.) This mode of testing is somewhat uncertain, unless the quantity of poison be large; and the affirmative evidence which it yields is better than the negative: since we can hardly infer the absence of the poison when we obtain no result. There is, however, no other mode of discovering cantharides in solution, whether as tincture or infusion, than this. The difficulty of extracting this principle may be conceived, when it is stated that, according to Thierry's experiments, which are the most perfect, the quantity of cantharidine contained in the poison is only about the 250th part of the weight of the fly, so that it would require nearly half an ounce of the powder to yield one grain of cantharidine. The quantity required to produce vesication is unknown, but it is extremely small. Cantharides are most commonly taken in powder, and then we may easily recognize the poison by its physical characters. If the insect be entire, or only coarsely powdered, there can be no doubt of its nature. However finely reduced, the powder is observed to present, by reflected light, small golden green or copper-coloured scales. These are perceptible to the eye, and are very distinct under a common lens. It has been recommended to separate the particles of cantharides, by suspending the liquid or other contents of the stomach in warm water, when the insoluble powder will subside, and they may be collected and dried for examination. In an elaborate essay on this snbject, (Ann. d'Hyg. Oct. 1842,) M. Poumet recommends that the suspected liquids, mixed with alcohol, should be spread on sheets of glass, and allowed to evaporate spontaneously to dryness. The shining scales will then be seen, on examining, by reflected light, either oue or both surfaces of the glass. This experiment answers very well. has also found that the particles, adhering to the mucous membrane of the stomach or intestines, might be easily detected by inflating the viscus, and allowing it to become dry in the distended state, taking care to attach to it a heavy weight, so that during the process of drying, all the folds of the mucous membrane may disappear. cutting the dried membrane, and opening it on a flat surface, the shining scales are perceptible. Physical evidence of this kind would not be of much avail for medico-legal purposes, unless there were concomitant evidence from symptoms and post-mortem appearances. trials for administering, the analysis might be confined to the article administered; and the physical test is then applicable, since the powder is commonly given in very large quantity. There are many insects besides cantharides, which have wings of a golden green colour, and are not poisonous: yet such insects are not likely to be found in the state of powder in the human stomach. Mr. Ponmet states that there are some cantharides which contain no cantharidine.

The evidence of the presence of cantharides, or of their having been taken, is necessary to support a criminal charge; for, however unambiguous the symptoms produced by this poison may appear to be in its

peculiar effects on the generative and urinary apparatus, the medical jurist should be aware that similar symptoms may proceed from discase. An important case of this kind has been reported by Dr. Hastings. (Med. Gaz. xii. 431.) A young lady was suddenly seized with vomiting, thirst, pain in the loins, strangury and considerable discharge of blood from the urethra: the generative organs were swollen and painful. She died in four days. She was governess in a family, and there was some suspicion that she had been poisoned by cantharides. The stomach and the kidneys were found inflamed, and the bladder also: this contained abont two onness of blood. There was no trace of poison: and, indeed, it was pretty certain from the general evidence, that none could have been taken.

Particles of cantharides may be detected in the viscera long after interment. Orfila has detected them after a period of nine months, so that they do not seem to be affected by the decomposition of the

body.

The doses and comparative strength of the powder and tincture of Cantharides according to the Loudon Pharmacopoia, have been already stated. (p. 136.) There are some other preparations, the strength of which it may be important for the medical jurist to know. The Acetum Cantharidis or Vinegar of Cantharides is used externally. Its is equivalent to about one tenth of the powder—i. e., five ounces are equal to four drachms of powdered cantharides. The Ceratum Cantharidis contains one sixth, and the Emplastrum Cantharidis contains one half of its weight of the powder.

Poisonous Food.

Certain kinds of animal food are found to produce occasionally, symptoms resembling those of irritant poisoning. In some cases this poisonous effect appears to be due to idiosynerasy; for only one person out of several may be affected. These cases are of some importance to the medical jurist, since they are very likely to give rise to unfounded charges of eriminal poisoning. In the absence of any demonstrable poison, we must test the question of idiosynerasy by observing whether more than one person is affected, and whether the same kind of food, given to animals, produces symptoms of poisoning. If, with this latter condition, several persons be affected simultaneously, we cannot refer the effects to idiosynerasy; they are most probably due to the presence of an animal poison. Among the articles of food which have given rise to symptoms of poisoning, may be mentioned,—

Poisonous Fish. Muscles. Salmon.—Of all the varieties of shell-fish, none have so frequently given rise to accidents as the common muscle. The symptoms which it produces, are uneasiness and sense of weight in the epigastrium, sensation of numbness in the extremities, heat, and constriction in the month and throat: thirst, rigors, difficulty of breathing, cramps in the legs, swelling and inflammation of the eyelids, with a profuse secretion of tears, and heat and itching of

the skin, followed by an cruption resembling nettle-rash. The symptoms are sometimes accompanied by vomiting, colic, and diarrhea. They may occur within ten minutes or a quarter of an hour; but their appearance has been protracted for twenty-four hours. There is generally great debility. These symptoms have proceeded from the eating of not more than ten or twelve muselcs. Two cases, reported by Christison, proved fatal, the one in three, and the other in about seven hours. In general, however, especially where there is free vomiting, the patients recover. In the inspection of the two abovenamed fatal cases, no appearance was found to account for death. The treatment consists in the free exhibition of emetics. sonous action of muscles can neither be referred to putrefaction nor disease; nor in all cases to idiosyncrasy, since sometimes those muscles only have been poisonous which have been taken from a particular spot; all persons who partook of them suffered, and a dog was killed to which some of them were given. From a case which occurred lately to M. Bouchardat, it would appear that copper is sometimes present, and may be the cause of the poisonous effects. Two women were poisoned by muscles, and he found on analysis sufficient copper in the fish to account for the symptoms of irritation from which they suffered. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, 358.) Copper is not, however, present in all cases, and it is therefore probable that there is in some, if not in all instances, an animal poison present in the fish. Oysters and periwinkles have occasionally given rise to similar symptoms. Salmon. sold in the state of pickled salmon, or even herrings salted, may also act as irritants; this may be due to the fish being partially decayed before it is used. In 1834, two persons at Maidstone lost their lives from eating salmon of this description.

Cheese. Sausages.—These articles of food have frequently given rise to symptoms of poisoning in Germany, but there is, I believe, no instance of their having proved fatal in England. The symptoms produced by cheese have been those of irritant poisoning. The nature of the poison is unknown. In some cases the irritant property is undoubtedly due to a putrefied state of the curd. Again, it has been supposed, that the poison is occasionally derived from certain vegetables on which the cows feed. The symptoms caused by the sansage-poison are very slow in appearing, -sometimes two, three, or four days clapse before they manifest themselves: they partake of the narcoticoirritant character. This poison is of a very formidable kind. Medical Gazette for Nov. 1842, there is an account of the cases of three persons, who had died from the effects of liver-sausages, which had been made from an apparently healthy pig, slanghtered only a week before. The inspection threw no light ou the cause of death. The poisonous effect is supposed to depend on a partial decomposition of the fatty parts of the sausages. It is said, that when extremely putrefied, they possess no poisonous properties!

Pork. Bacon.-These common articles of food occasionally give

rise to symptoms so closely resembling those of irritant poisoning, as to he easily mistaken for them. In some cases, the effect appears to be due to idiosynerasy; but in others it can only be explained, by supposing the food to have a directly poisonous action. The noxious effects of pork have been particularly shown by the cases published by Dr. MacDivitt. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. Oct. 1836.) The difficulties attending these investigations, will be best illustrated by the following case:—

A young man accused a woman, with whom he collabited, and her mother, of having administered poison to him in some tea which he had taken three hours previously. When seen by a medical man, there was acute hurning pain in the epigastrium, with constant vomiting of a dark-coloured liquid, containing half-digested food; there was a dry, hurning sensation in the throat; the pulse was weak and faltering; the extremities were cold, and a cold perspiration covered the face and The accused were women of bad character, and it was thought that the symptoms were caused by poison: it was found, however, that they were really owing to some pork, which the man had eaten at dinner. By the administration of emeties and purgatives they soon disuppeared. The women had partaken of the same food without being affected. This, then, appears to have been a ease in which the effects were due to idiosyncrasy. Five cases, precisely similar, are In no instance did death ensue, nor could anything neculiar be discovered in the physical properties of the food. The symptoms were observed to come on in from three to thirty hours after a meal; and the poisonous properties, if they may be so called, appeared to reside in the fatty parts of the pork.

With respect to bacon, instances of its exciting an irritant action are very rare; nevertheless, there seems to be no doubt that it may cause violent pain, vomiting, diarrhea, and even death. One fatal case occurred in this metropolis in December 1836, and, from the effects produced, many supposed that the bacon must have hecome accidentally impregnated with arsenic. There did not appear to he any ground for this opinion. Meat of any kind newly killed or partially decayed, may cause irritant effects, and even death. Thus, veal has been known to destroy life. From one case that has occurred, it appears to me probable that mutton may exert a deleterious action,

In the spring of 1841, the following case was referred to me from a town in Oxfordshire. Four members of u family had made their dinner in their usual health from part of a sheep, which had died from a disease then prevalent among cattle. The symptoms somewhat resembled those of irritant poisoning, accompanied by others indicating an affection of the nervous system. One of the patients, a child, died in less than three hours, the others recovered. There was no poison discovered in the food, nor in the body; nor was any poisonous vegetable used at the meal. The effects could only be explained by supposing that an unimal irritant poison was in some unknown manner

generated in the food.) Guy's Hospital Reports, April 1843. See also Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 267; 1834, ii. 69.)

There is no doubt that epizootic disease may be a frequent cause of rendering animal food poisonous. Partial decay may also render unwholesome and injurious, the flesh of the most healthy animal. What the nature of the poison is, we are quite unable to determine. Liebig imagines that it is owing to the production of a fermenting principle, and that it operates fatally by producing a kind of fermentation in the animal body. It has been said that the symptoms of irritant poisoning produced by animal food, seldom appear until five or six hours after the meal. This may be generally true, but in certain instances, it has undoubtedly happened that the symptoms came on in from a quarter to half an hour after the taking of the noxious food.

NARCOTIC POISONS.

CHAPTER XVII.

NARCOTIC POISONS—OPIUM—SYMPTOMS—PERIOD OF COMMENCEMENT—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO
DESTROY LIFE—DEATH FROM SMALL, AND RECOVERY FROM LARGE
DOSES—ITS ACTION ON INFANTS—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH
TAKES PLACE—TREATMENT—RECOVERIES—POISONING BY POPPIES—GODFREY'S CORDIAL—DALBY'S CARMINATIVE—PAREGORIC
ELIXIR—DOVER'S POWDER—MORPHIA AND ITS SALTS—BLACK
DROP—SEDATIVE SOLUTION—EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL APPLICATION
—TESTS FOR MORPHIA AND MECONIC ACID—PROCESS FOR DETRETING OPIUM IN ORGANIC MIXTURES.

THE effects produced by the Narcotic class of poisons on the system, have been already described (page 9, ante). They are chiefly referable to disorder of the brain and nervous system. The most prominent symptoms are headache, giddiness, paralysis, insensibility, and convulsions. The brain is the organ upon which a narcotic poison chiefly acts: but in some cases, by the occurrence of tetanus, there is an indication of a remote effect on the spinal marrow. The distinction hetween irritant and narcotic poisons is well marked, so far as symptoms are concerned. Narcotic poisons are entirely destitute of any acrid or corrosive properties: they have no local chemical action on the mouth and funces, and they very rarely give rise to vomiting or diarrhoa. When they prove fatal, they do not commonly leave any well-marked post-mortem appearances. There is sometimes falness of the cerebral vessels, but extravasation of blood is very rarely observed. It is usually said that they do not produce any redness of the mucous membrane of the stomach or intestines: this appearance has, however, been met with on several occasions in poisoning by Prussic acid. Opium does not cause inflammation of these organs; and when this condition has been found, it may probably be ascribed to the action of alcohol, in which the opium has been dissolved.

OPIUM.

General remarks. - Opium is a solid vegetable extract - the concrete

juice of the unripe capsules of the Paparer somniferum. It is sometimes taken in this state as a poison, but more commonly in solution in alcohol under the form of tiucture—Laudanum. Its poisonous properties are principally due to the presence of the alkali, Morphia, which exists in it in the state of a soluble salt, being combined with a particular acid, the Meconic. Opium contains a very variable proportion of morphia—the quantity varying from two per cent. in the Bengal variety, to about nine per cent. in certain varieties obtained from the East Iudies.

Sumptoms.—The symptoms which manifest themselves when a large dose of opium or its tincture has been taken, are of a very uniform character. They consist in giddiness, drowsiness and stupor, succeeded by perfect insensibility, the person lying motionless, with the eyes closed as if in a sound sleep. In this stage he may be easily ronsed by a loud noise, and made to answer a question; but he speedily relapses into stupor. In a later stage, when coma has supervened with stertorous breathing, it will be difficult; if not impossible, to rouse him. The pulse is at first small, quick, and irregular, the respiration hurried, and the skin warm and bathed in perspiration sometimes livid: but when the individual becomes comatose, the breathing is slow and stertorous: the pulse slow and full. The skin is cold and pallid. The pupils are sometimes contracted, at others dilated. From eases which I have been able to collect, contraction of the pupils is much more frequent than dilatation. In a case referred to me in 1846, one pupil was contracted and the other They are commonly insensible to light. The expression of the countenance is placid, pale, and ghastly: the lips are livid. Sometimes there is vomiting, or even diarrhoa; and if vomiting take place freely before stupor sets in, there is great hope of recovery. This symptom is chiefly observed when a large dose of opinin has been taken; and it may then be, perhaps, ascribed to the mechanical effect of the poison on the stonneh. The odour of opinm is sometimes perceptible in the breath. Nausca and vomiting, with headache, loss of appetite, and lassitude, often follow on recovery. The muscles of the limbs feel flabby and relaxed, the lower jaw drops, the pulse becomes feeble and imperceptible, the sphineters are in a state of relaxation, the temperature of the body is low, there is a loud mucous rattle in breathing, and convulsions are sometimes observed before death; these are more commonly met with in young children than in One of the marked effects of this poison, is to suspend all the secretions except that of the skin. During the lethargie state, the skin, although cold, is often copiously bathed in perspiration. It is a question yet to be determined, whether this may not be the medium by which the poison is principally eliminated.

These symptoms usually commence in from half an hour to an hour after the poison has been swallowed. Sometimes they come on in a few minutes, especially in young children; and at others their appear-

ance is protracted for a long period. In a case reported by Dr. Skae, the individual was found totally inscnsible in *fifteen minutes*. As we might expect, from the facts connected with the absorption of poisons, when the drug is taken in the *solid* state, the symptoms are commonly more slow in appearing, than when it is *dissolved* in alcohol.

Post-mortem appearances.—In a case which proved fatal in fifteeu hoars, examined at Gay's Hospital a few years since, the vessels of the head were found unusually turgid throughout. On the surface of the anterior part of the left hemisphere there was an ecchymosis, apparently produced by the effusion of a few drops of blood. There were numerous bloody points on the ent surface of the brain:-there was no scrum collected in the ventrieles. The stomach was quite healthy. Fluidity of the blood is mentioned as a commou appearance iu eases of poisoning by opium. There is also engorgement of the lungs; most frequently, according to Dr. Christison, in those cases which have been preceded by convulsions. (Op. eit. 732.) Among the external appearances there is often great lividity of the skin. This may be taken as a fair statement of the post-mortem appearances in poisoning by opiam. Extravasation of blood on the brain is rarely seen;—serons effasion in the ventricles, or between the membranes, is much more common. The stomach is so seldom found otherwise than in a healthy state, that the inflammatory redness said to have been occasionally met with, may be regarded as probably due to accidental causes. When tineture of opinm has been taken and retained on the stomach, increased vascularity in the mucous membrane may occasionally be produced by the alcohol aloue.

In a case of poisoning by a large dose of tincture of opium, Dr. Sharkey found the following appearances twelve hours after death:—The body warm and rigid; the stomach healthy, containing a quantity of a gruel-like fluid, without any smell of opium. Intestinal canal and all the other viscera healthy. The veius of the sealp, as well as of the dura mater and sinuses, were gorged with blood; but there was no effusion in any part of the brain. The contents of the stomach yielded no trace of morphia or meconic acid, but there was no doubt that death had been caused by opium, taken the previous night. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 235.)

This description of the appearances refers to the action of large doses on adults. In a case which I had to investigate a few years since, a child aged fourteen mouths was killed in eighteen hours, from the effects of a dose of infusion of opium, equivalent to from three to five grains of the powder. The inspection of the body was made about twenty-four hours after death. It was not emaciated; and, externally, there were no particular appearances, excepting a few livid spots on the skin of the abdomen, back, and genitals, as also on the npper part of the thighs, sides, and back of the neck. The eyelids were open; the eyes sunk into the orbits, and their transparency gone: the child, it seems, had died with its eyes prominent and open.

The pupils appeared contracted, but the condition of the iris was not particularly noticed during life. The viscera of the chest were perfeetly healthy; there was no mark of effusion, or of any organic dis-The right cavities of the heart were congested, and the lining membrane of the organ was observed to be somewhat opalescent. The viscera of the abdomeu were also healthy, except the kidneys, the cortical structure of which had undergone some change from disease, although to a very slight extent. It had evidently had no influence on the illness and death of the child. The peritoneum prescuted, in some parts, patches of a milky whiteness; but there was no appearance of inflammation or effusion. The stomach was perfectly healthy; the mneous membrane was raised into numerous rugge, but there was no trace of inflammation or disease in any part. The cavity of the organ contained about a teaspoonful of a white viscid liquid, apparently consisting of milk and mucus in a semi-digested state. There was no farinaceous or any other food present, and uo smell of opium; nor was the slightest trace of morphin or meconic acid detected in it on analysis, although the child had not vomited, but had remained throughout in a state of insensibility. The intestines were found quite healthy. On opening the duodenum and jejunum a small quantity of liquid, similar to that contained in the stomach, was observed: this was also collected and set aside for analysis. In the eranium, the blood-vessels of the brain were found much congested; but there was no effusion or extravasation of blood or serum. In all other respects. the brain presented its usual healthy characters.

From this account of the post-mortem appearances it will be seen that there is nothing but turgescence of the vessels of the brain, which can be looked upon as indicative of poisoning by opium, and even this is not always present. This condition of the brain, bowever, if it exist, can furnish no evidence of poisoning, when taken alone, since it is so frequently found, as a result of morbid causes, in otherwise

healthy subjects.

Quantity required to destroy life.—The medicinal dose of opium, in extract or powder, for a healthy adult, varies from half a grain to two grains. Five grains would be u very full dose. The medicinal dose of the tincture is from ten drops to one drachm,—as an average, from thirty to forty drops. The smallest dose of solid opium which has been known to prove fatal to un adult, was in a case reported by Dr. Sharkey, of Jersey. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 236.) The smallest fatal dose of the tincture in an adult, which I have found recorded, is two drachms. The case is reported by Dr. Skac. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. July 1840.) The patient was a robust man, aged fifty-six;—he swallowed the tincture at ten in the evening, and died under the usual symptoms the following morning; the case thus lasting only twelve hours. The quantity actually swallowed, however, appears to be involved in some doubt; for it is subsequently stated (p. 160) that half an ounce of hadanum may have been taken.

Very large closes of the tineture have frequently been taken without

proving fatal.

Action of opium on infants.—As connected with this subject, it is important for a medical jurist to bear in mind, that infants and young persons are liable to be killed by very small doses of opium; they appear to be peculiarly susceptible of the effects of this poison. Dr. Ramisch, of Prague, met with an instance of a child four months old, that was nearly killed by the administration of one grain of Dover's powder, containing only the tenth part of a grain of opinin;—the child suffered from stupor and other alarming symptoms. The following case occurred in June, 1832. Four grains of Dover's powder (containing less than half a grain of opinm) were given to a child four years and a half old. It soon became comatose, and died in seven hours. Death was referred to inflammation of the throat, and the jury returned the usual numeroning verdict of "Died by the visitation of God;" but there was no doubt from the evidence, that death was caused by the opiate medicine. Dr. Kelso also met with an instance where a child, nine months old, was killed in uine hours by four drops of landamm, equal to only one-fifth part of a grain of opium: it was much convulsed before death. A case is referred to in a late number of the Medical Gazette, in which two drops of landamm, equal to the tenth part of a grain of opinm, killed au infant. The following is a more recent illustration of the fatal effects of a similar dose. A nurse gave to an infant, five days old, two drops of laudanum, about three o'clock in the morning. Five hours afterwards, the child was found by the medical attendant in a state of complete narcotism. It was revived by a cold bath, but a relapse came on, and it died the same evening, about eighteen hours after the poison had been given to it. On inspection, the brain and abdominal viscera were found in a perfectly healthy state, and there was no smell of onium in the stomach. (Prov. Med. Journ. Oct. 28, 1846, p. 519.) The fatal dose here, as in the former ease, was equal to the tenth of a grain of opium, and to only au infinitesimal dose of morphia i

Period at which death takes place.—It has been remarked, that most cases of poisoning by opinin prove fatal in from about six to twelve hours. They who recover from the stupor, and survive longer than this period, generally do well; but from some cases which have occurred, it would seem that there may be a partial recovery, and afterwards a relapse. The symptoms, however, generally progress steadily to a fatal termination, or the stupor suddenly disappears, vomiting ensues, and the individual recovers. Several instances are recorded of this poison having destroyed life in from seven to mine hours. One has lately occurred within my knowledge in which an adult died in five hours after taking the drug prescribed for him by a quack. Dr. Christison met with a case which could not have lasted above five, and another is mentioned by him which lasted only three

Dr. Beck quotes a case which proved fatal in two hours and a (Beek, Med. Jur. 873.) The most rapid case of death yet reported was that of a soldier who was accidentally poisoned, in September, 1846, in the Hospital of Val de Grace. It appears that he swallowed by mistake about an onnee of landannm, and died in convulsions in three-quarters of an hour afterwards. (Journal de Médeeine, Octobre 1846, p. 475.) It is possible that the drug may even kill with greater rapidity than this; but as a medico-legal fact, we are at present entitled to state, that it has destroyed life within the short period above ment oned. On the other hand, the eases are sometimes There are several instances of death in fifteen or much protracted. seventeen hours. I have known one ease fatal in twenty-two hours, and among those collected by Dr. Christison, the longest lasted twenty-(Op. eit. 712.)

Treatment.—The first object is to remove the poison by the stomachpump, or, in the case of an infant, by a catheter, as speedily as possible. This instrument should be employed until the water used for washing out the organ has no longer the colour or smell of opium. are of no service unless the individual possesses the power of swallowing. Oceasional doses of sulphate of zinc may then be given to him, and in the intervals, a decoction of strong coffee or tea. Cold affusion ou the head, chest, and spine, has been adopted with great success, and in infants the plunging of the body into a warm bath, and suddenly removing it from the water into the cold air, has been found a most effectual means of rousing the child. (Med. Gaz. xxv. 878.) Flagellation to the palms of the hands and soles of the feet or the back, bas also been successfully employed. A common way of arousing an adult is to cause bim to keep in continual motion, by making him walk hetween two assistants. Above all things, the tendency to fall into a state of lethargy must be prevented. If called to a person already in a lethargie condition, the application of shocks to the head and spine by an electro magnetic apparatus will be found most effectual. It has in several instances led to the necovery of an individual when in an almost hopeless condition.

The best liquid for exhibition is undoubtedly a very strong decoction of coffee. This operates as a safe and excellent stimulant when the power of deglutition is retained.

Poisoning by Poppies.

The heads of the white poppy, grown in this country, contain meconate of morphia. They yield an inspissated extract called English opium, which, according to Mr. Hennell, contains five per cent. of morphia. The white poppy-heads, therefore, yield to water, in the form of decoction, a poisonous salt capable of acting deleteriously on young children. Many cases of poisoning have occurred from the injudicious use of Syrup of poppies, which is nothing more than a sweetened decoction of the poppy-heads. This syrup is said to contain

one grain of extract (opinm) to one ounce (Thomson). The common dose of it for an infant three or four months old, is half a drachm; for adults, two to four drachms. (Pereira, ii. 1769.) There is some reason to believe that what is often sold by many druggists for syrup of poppies as a soothing or cordial medicine for children, is nothing more than a mixture of tincture or infusion of opinin with simple syrup: it is therefore a preparation of very variable strength. This may account for what appears to many persons inexplicable, namely, that an infant may be destroyed by a very small dose. In January 1841, a child six months old is said to have died from the effects of less than half a teaspoonful of syrup of poppies bought at a retail druggist's. The narcotic symptoms were fully developed in three quarters of an hour. The syrup in this case may have contained tine-Seven children are reported to have lost their lives by ture of opium. this syrup in 1837-8. In one of these cases, a teaspoouful and a half was given. Stupor came ou in half an hour, and the child died the following day. A teaspoonful has been known to prove fatal to a healthy child. (Pereira, ii. 1769).

GODFREY'S CORDIAL.

This is chiefly a mixture of infusion of sassafras, treacle, and tincture of opium. The quantity of tiucture of opium, according to Dr. Paris, is about one drachm to six ounces of the mixture, or half a grain of opium to one ounce; but it is very probable that, like the so-called syrup of poppies, its strength is subject to great variation. A case has been reported, in which half a tea-spoonful, = 1-32nd part of a grain of opium, was alleged to have caused the death of an infant. In 1837-8, twelve children were admitted to have been killed by this mixture alone. The explanation of this is, that the medicine is given in large doses by very ignorant persons.

DALBY'S CARMINATIVE.

This is a compound of several essential oils and aromatic tinctures in peppermint water, with carbonate of magnesia and tincture of opium. According to Dr. Paris, there are five drops of the tincture, or one quarter of a grain of opium, to rather more than two ounces of this mixture, or the one-eighth of a grain to an ounce. The formula commonly given is—carbonate of magnesia two scruples, oil of peppermint one minim, of nutmegs two minims, of aniseed three minims, tincture of opium five minims, spirit of pennyroyal and tincture of assasfetida of each fifteen minims, tincture of castor and compound tincture of cardamoms of each thirty minims, and of peppermint water two ounces. According to this formula, tincture of opium forms the 1-211th part by measure, or one teaspoonful would contain the 1-64th part of a grain of opium. Like most of these quack-preparations, it probably varies in strength. An infant is reported to have been destroyed by forty drops of this nostrum—a quantity, according to

the strength assigned, equivalent to more than two drops of the tine ture, or one-tenth of a grain of opinm. Accidents frequently occur from its use, partly owing to ignorance, and partly to gross carelessuess on the part of mothers and nurses.

PAREGORIC ELIXIR. COMPOUND TINCTURE OF CAMPHOR.

This is a medicinal preparation of alcohol, opinm, henzoic acid, oil of anisced and camphor. Opium is the active ingredient, and of this the tineture contains rather less than one grain in every half onne (nine grains to five ounces). It is sold to the public at the rate of fourpence per ounce. Fatal cases of poisoning by paregoric are not very frequent.

In one case, a child aged seven months was killed by a teaspoonful given in two doses at an interval of a day; i. e. by a dose equal to one quarter of a grain of opium. (Pharmaceutical Journal, April 1845.)

DOVER'S POWDER. (PULV. IPECAC. COMP.)

This is a preparation of opinm, the effects of which on young children have been already adverted to (ante, p. 147.) The proportion of opium is one-tenth part, or one grain in every ten grains of the powder. A child has been killed by four grains; therefore by a quantity containing about two-fifths of a grain of opium.

MORPHIA AND ITS SALTS.

Morphia and its saline combinations must be regarded as active poisons. The pure alkaloid is known from its salts by its great insolubility in water, (see post, p. 153) and owing to this properly some have regarded it as less poisonous. The acid secretions of the stomach would, bowever, dissolve it in sufficient quantity to produce very speedily dangerous effects. The two principal salts of morphia are the MURIATE and the ACETATE.

Symptoms.—They generally commence in from five to twenty minutes after the dose of poison has been swallowed; and they very closely resemble those observed in poisoning by opium. As a summary, it may be stated, that they consist in dinness of sight, weakness and relaxation of the muscular system, tendency to sleep, stupor, loss of consciousness, coma, stertorous respiration, and more commonly than in poisoning by opium, there are convulsions. According to Orfila, in nineteen-twentieths of all cases, the pupils will be found strongly contracted, a statement which I believe to be correct: the few exceptional cases were those in which the dose was excessive, and the symptoms were unusually violent. The state of the pupils gave rise to great difference of opinion among the medical witnesses on the trial of Dr. Castaign. (Orfila, ii. 185; On Poisons, 615.) The condition of the pulse varies greatly. In some cases, there is great irritability with itching of the skin, and irritability of the bladder with difficulty of

passing urine. Vomiting and diarrhoa have been met with in those instances in which the dose was large.

Post-mortem appearances. — The only post-mortem appearance which can be referred to the action of morphia, is fulness of the cerebral vessels, with occasionally scrous effusion. These poisons have no local irritant action, and they, therefore, leave no marks of their operation in the stomach and bowels.

BLACK DROP.

This is a preparation of opium, in which the morphia is combined with acetic acid, and very little neconic acid is present. In the Black drop, according to Percira, verjnice, the jnice of the wild crab, is employed as a menstruum instead of vinegar. The Black drop is considered to have from three to four times the strength of the tineture of opium. The formula for this preparation will be found in Dr. Neligan's work, Ou Medicines, &c., p. 235. According to this, it is a compound of half a pound of opium to three piuts of the expressed juice of the wild crab. It resembles the Acetum Opii, and has more than twice the strength of laudanum.

SEDATIVE SOLUTION.

This is an aqueous solution of opium with a little spirit and less meconic acid than the common tincture. (Pereira, ii. 1772.) It is considered to have three times the strength of tineture of opium; but there is so great a difference of opinion on this point, that Dr. Neligan represents it as being only of about the same strength as laudanum. (Medicines, &c., 236.) He states that it is composed of three onners of extract of opinin, six drachins of spirit, and as much distilled water as will make up two pints. It appears to be an energetic preparation. Streeter stated at the Westminster Med. Soc. Dec. 1838, that he had known one drachm and a half of it prove fatal to a lunatic; and twenty minims of the solution destroyed the life of an old woman. A medical gentleman, lying dangerously ill from an attack of dysentery, took, by mistake, about seven drachms of Battley's Solution. Within five minutes, salt and water, with mustard, were administered, and twenty-four grains of sulphate of zine. Vomiting ensued; the emetic was repeated, and with the same effect; the fluid evacuated at the second vomiting having the usual smell of opium. Itali a drachm of ipecacuanha was afterwards given, to complete the emptying of the Notwithstanding this repeated vomiting, symptoms of narcotism presented themselves speedily, with contraction of the pupil, and very great drowsiness-rendering it necessary to remove him from bed in his very debilitated state, and keep bim constantly moving, until about 9 P.M. (seventeen hours), when vomiting came on spontaneously. and he was put to bed, and allowed to sleep. The original disease afterwards resumed its course (complicated by an attack of gastritis), and at length terminated favourably; but the patient had no recollection whatever of what occurred for twenty-four hours after the administration of the emetics; and it appeared to his medical attendants that an excited state of the mind remained for some days afterwards.

(Prov. Jonrn., Jan. 28, 1846, 42.)

Effects of external application.—Opium, or morphia and its salts, have, in all their combinations, the property of affecting the hody through the skin. Excepting in cases of idiosyncrasy, or where a large quantity of the drug is too frequently applied to an abraded surface, they are not likely to produce fatal effects by this mode of introduction into the system. There is, however, one instance reported of a very large quantity of laudanum having acted fatally when applied in a poultice to the unbroken skin of the abdomen. (Christison, op. cit. 723.) In general, the narcotic preparation is only applied after the skin bas been removed by a hlister: but small doses of a salt of morphia may in this way act very energetically.

Opiate preparations introduced into a wound, or as enemata into the rectum, may also produce fatal effects. Orfila relates the ease of a man who died from the effects of an injection containing thirty grains of opium. (Op. cit. ii. 225.) A child has been killed by ten grains of the sulphate of morphia, given in the form of an enema, hy mistake for sulphate of quinine. (Med. Gaz. iv. 220.) Their application to the lioing membrane of the nose will produce all the usual symptoms of poisoniog and death. (Wibmer, Arzneimittel. Papaver.)

Chemical analysis.—Opium.—There are no means of detecting opium itself, either in the solid or liquid state, except by its smell and other physical properties, or by exhibiting a portion of the suspected substance to animals, and observing the effect produced. The smell is said to be peculiar, but a similar smell is possessed by laetuearium, which contains neither meconic acid nor morphia. The odour is a good concomitant test of the presence of the drug, whether it be in a free state, or dissolved in alcohol or water, but it is not perceptible when the solution is much diluted. I found that half a grain of powdered opium, dissolved in half an onnee of water, lost its characteristic smell by a short exposure. The odour is decidedly volatile. and passes off when an opiate liquid is beated; it also escapes slowly at common temperatures. Again, it may be concealed by other odonrs, or the drug msy undergo some change in the stomach during life which may destroy the odour. The analysis in cases of poisoning by opium is therefore limited to the detection of morphia and meconic acid.

Morphia.—Morphia is known by the following properties:—1. It erystallizes in fine prisms, which are white and perfect, according to their degree of purity. 2. When heated on platina, the erystals melt, become dark-colonred, and burn like a resin with a yellow smoky fame, leaving a carbonaceous residue. If this experiment be performed in a small reduction-tuhe, it will be found by employing test-paper, or a mixture of arsenious acid and nitrate of silver, that am-

monia is one of the products of decomposition. 3. It is scarcely soluble in cold water, requiring 1000 parts to dissolve it; it is soluble in one hundred parts of boiling water, and the hot solution has a faint alkaliue reaction. By its insolubility in water it is readily known from its salts. It is not very soluble in ether, thus differing from narcotiua; but it is dissolved by forty parts of cold, and rather less than this quantity of boiling, alcohol. It is soluble in oils and in the caustic alkalies (potash). 4. It is easily dissolved by a very small quantity of all diluted acids, unineral and vegetable. 5. It has a bitter taste. In order to apply the chemical tests for morphia, it is better to dissolve it in a few drops of a diluted acid, which may be either the acctic or muriatic. If either the muriate or the acctate be presented for analysis, it may be at once dissolved in a small quantity of boiling water.

Tests.—The best tests for this alkaloid are the following: 1. Nitric acid. This, when added to a moderately strong solution of a salt of morphia, produces slowly a deep orange-red colour. If added to the crystals of morphia or its salts, deutoxide of nitrogen is evolved:the morphia becomes entirely dissolved, and the solution acquires instantly the deep red colour above described, - becoming, however, lighter by standing. In order that the effect should follow, the solution of morphia must not be too much diluted, and the acid must be added in pretty large quantity. The colour is rendered much lighter by boiling; therefore the test should never be added to a hot solution. 2. Permuriate of iron (sesquichloride), or colourless persulphate. Either of these solutions when saturated and neutralized (by a small quantity of potash if necessary), gives an inky-blue colour in a solution of morphia. If the quantity of morphia be small, or the test have a deep red or yellow tint, the colour is greenish. The blue colour is entirely destroyed by acids, -it is also destroyed by heat: thus the iron-test should never be employed with a very acid or a very hot solution of a salt of morphia. It should be observed, that the blue given by the test in a solution of morphia, is entirely destroyed by nitrie acid and replaced by the orange-red colour, so that the nitric acid will act through the iron-test, but not vice versa. In this way two tests may be applied to one quantity of liquid. 3. Iodic acid. Morphia in the solid state or in solution decomposes this acid, taking part of its oxygen, and setting free iodine. In order to make this evident, the iodic acid should be first mixed with starch; and a part of this mixture only, added to the suspected solution,part being reserved to allow of a comparison. If the iodic acid be added to the solution of morphia without starch, the liquid becomes brown and smells of iodine. When the quantity is very small, there is only a reddish or purple tint slowly produced :- when large, the darkblue iodide of farina is formed in a few seconds. This colour being destroyed by heat, the test must not be added to a hot solution. This test succeeds equally well with morphia or its salts when unmixed with organic matter; but the analyst must remember, that the blue iodide of farina forms a colourless combination with a large quantity of starch: hence but little of this substance should be used, if the quantity of morphia be small. 4. Sulphuric acid and chromate of potash. When strong sulphuric acid is poured on pure morphia in a solid state, there is either no effect, or the alkaloid acquires a light pinkish colour. On adding to this a drop of chromate of potash, it immediately becomes green (from exide of chrome), and retains this colour for some time. Other alkaloids are not thus affected. Narcotina is turned of a bright yellow by sulphuric acid; therefore, although it becomes green with chromate of potash, it could not be mistaken for morphia: besides, the green rapidly passes to a dingy hrown colour.

Meconic acid.—This is a solid crystalline acid, seen commonly in scaly crystals of a reddish colour. It is combined with morphia in opium, of which, according to Mulder, it forms on an average six per cent. (Brande, 1200); and it serves to render that alkaloid soluble in water and other menstrua. It is dissolved by one hundred and twenty-five parts of cold water: it is much more soluble in boiling water, but is in great part precipitated on cooling. The cold saturated solution has, notwithstanding its sparing solubility, a strongly acid reaction. The solution, when very much diluted, is precipitated of a yellowish-white colour by acctate of lead (meconate of lead); and in reference to the detection of the acid in medico-legal analysis, it is proper to observe, that the incomate of lead is insoluble in acetic acid -a property which allows it to be thus easily separated not only from some of the organic compounds of the oxide of lead, but also from the sulphocyanate of lead, which is quite soluble in acctic acid. Like all the vegetable salts of lead insoluble in water, the mecouate is very easily dissolved by nitric acid. Meconic acid is precipitated white on the addition of lime-water (meconate of line); but this precipitate is easily dissolved by acids, even by those of the vegetable kingdom. A mineral salt of lime (chloride of calcium) produces no precipitate in a cold saturated solution of meconic acid. results appear to me to show that a salt of lead is preferable to a salt of lime as a precipitant of meconic acid. The acetate of lead is eommonly used for this purpose in organic mixtures suspected to contain meconate of morphia; but for reasons above given, the liquid should always he slightly acidulated with acetic acid before adding the salt of lead.

Tests.—Many tests have been proposed for meconic acid; there is only one upon which any reliance can he placed, namely, the Permuriate or Persulphate of iron. This test gives, even in a very diluted solution of meconic acid, a deep red colour; and it is owing to the presence of this acid that the salt of iron causes a red colour in incture and infusion of opium, as well as in all liquids containing traces of meconate of morphia, the effect of the iron-test with morphia being

counteracted by the presence of meconic acid. The red colour of the meconate of iron is not easily destroyed by diluted mineral acids, by a solution of corrosive sublimate, nor by chloride of gold, but it is by sulphurous acid and chloride of tin.

Detection of opium in organic mixtures.—Opium itself may be regarded as an organic solid, containing the poisonous salt which we wish to extract. It is not often that, in fatal cases of poisoning by opium or its tincture, even when these are taken in large quantity and death is speedy, that we can succeed in detecting meconate of morphia in the stomach. It is probably removed by vomiting, digestion, or

absorption.

If the matter be solid it should be ent into small slices;—if liquid, evaporated to an extract; and in either case, digested with distilled water and a small quantity of acetic acid for one or two hours at a gentle heat. The aqueous solution should be filtered, some acctic acid added, and then acctate of lead, until there is no further precipitation. The liquid should be boiled and filtered: meconate of lead is left on the filter, while any morphia passes through under the form of acetate. The surplus acctate of lead contained in the solution, should now be precipitated by a current of sulphuretted hydrogen—the sulphuret of lead separated by filtration, and the liquid evaporated at a very gentle heat to an extract, so that any sulphuretted hydrogen may be entirely expelled. On treating this extract with alcohol, the acetate of morphia may be dissolved out and tested. The meconate of lead left on the filter may be decomposed by boiling it with a small quantity of diluted sulphuric acid; and in the filtered liquid, neutralized if necessary by an alkali, the meconic acid is easily detected by the iron-This analysis requires care as well as some practice in the operator, in order that the morphia should be obtained in a sufficiently pure state for the application of the tests. Before resorting to this process, it is advisable to employ trial tests, in order to determine whether any meconic acid or morphia be present or not. The smell of opium may be entirely absent. The best trial tests are nitric acid and the permuriate of iron. These will give in the infusion or liquid, if it contain opium, the changes already indicated. In testing for meconic acid, it is advisable to dilute the organic liquid if colonred, with a sufficient quantity of water, to render the production of a ohange of colour by the test, perceptible. In respect to this method of detecting the meconate of morphia in a suspected liquid, it is proper to observe, that nitric acid will indicate the presence of morphia, and permuriate of iron the presence of meconic acid, in infusions containing so small a quantity of opium as not to be precipitated by the acetate of lead.

Proportion of Opium in Opiate Preparations.—It is necessary to state the medicinal doses and strength of some opiate preparations which are frequently used medicinally. Confection of Opium. (Confect. Opii.)—Contains one grain of opium in thirty-six grains.

The dose for an adult is from ten to thirty grains. Compound SOAP PILL. (Pil. Sap. Comp.)-Five grains contain one grain of opinin. Dose three to ten grains. Compound PILLS OF STORAX. (Pil. Slyracis Comp.)—The strength and dose are the same as in the compound soap pill. COMPOUND CHALK POWDER WITH OPIUM. (Pulv. Crelæ Comp. cum Opio.)-Forty grains contain one of opium. Dose five to thirty grains. Compound powder of king. (Pulv. Kino. Comp.)—Twenty grains contain one of opium. Dose five to twenty grains. Extract of Opium. (Extractum Opii.)—Dose one quarter of a grain to three or four grains. Wine of Opium. (Vinum Opii, or Laudanum Liquidum Sydenhami.)-This is said to have the same strength as the tineture, i. e. one grain of opium in nineteen (twenty) drops. Dose ten drops to one draelim. External applications.—LINIMENT OF OPIUM. (Linimentum Opii.) preparation contains one drachm of the tincture in half an ounce. ENEMA OF OPIUM. (Enema Opii,)—In four ounces there are thirty drops of the tineture.

CHAPTER XVIII.

PRUSSIC ACID—DIFFERENCE IN STRENGTH—TASTE AND ODOUR—CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE ODOUR MAY AND MAY NOT BE DETECTS D—SYMPTOMS PRODUCED BY SMALL AND LARGE DOSES—EFFECTS—ITS EFFECTS CONTRASTED WITH THOSE OF OPIUM—PERIOD AT WHICH THE SYMPTOMS COMMENCE—POWER OF VOLITION AND LOCOMOTION—CASES—QUANTITY REQUIRED TO DESTROY LIFE—FATAL DOSE—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE—TREATMENT. TESTS FOR THE ACID—VAPOUR-TESTS—PROCESS FOR OROANIC MIXTURES. BITTER ALMONDS. NOYAU. CTANIDE OF POTASSIUM.

General remarks — HYDRUCYANIC, or PRUSSIC ACID, owing to its rapid and nnerring effects when taken even in comparatively small doses, is one of the most formidable poisons with which we are acquainted. Most toxicologists consider it to be a narcotic poison, and in deference to this general opinion, I have still placed it under the section of narcoties: but from what will hereafter be stated, there is perhaps some reason to regard it as a narcotico-irritant. Its operation, as a sedative or narcotic, is, however, in general so rapid that its irritant effects are not manifested. The pure or anhydrous acid requires no notice here; since it is not likely to be met with ont of a chemical laboratory. The common acid is a mixture of this pure acid with water, and sometimes with alcohol. As it is sold in shops, it varies considerably in strength. I have found different specimens to

contain from 1'3 to 6'5 per cent. of the strong acid; hut two varietics are now commonly met with—1. The Prussic acid of the Londou Pharmacopeia, containing ahout two per cent. (Phillips.) 2. Scheele's acid, containing from four to five per cent. In a case of poisoning which I had to investigate in July, 1847, the acid which was sold for Scheele's was found to contain only two per cent.! (Med. Gaz. xl. 171.) In another instance there was the same deficiency of strength. In short, there is no certainty respecting the strength of any two specimens sold as Scheele's acid,—a subject which requires the very serious consideration of medical practitioners who prescribe it.

Strength of medicinal acids—In giving an account of the quantity of this poison required to destroy life, it is material to know the variety of acid taken; and here it is much to be regretted, that in the British empire, no uniform standard is adopted for so powerful a medicine. The following may be taken as the per-centage strength in anhydrous acid of the different varieties of this acid, British and foreign, in aqueous solution, on the authority of Dr. Christison and Dr. Percira. Acid of Schrader, 1:—Dublin Pharmacopeia, 1:6 to 2:82 (Donovan):—London Pharmacopeia, 2:—Göbel, 2:5: Edinburgh Pharmacopeia, 3:2:—Vanquelin and Giese, 3:3:—Scheele, 4:—Ittner, IO:—Robiquet, 50:—Annong the alcoholic solutions of the acid,—Schrader, 1.5:—Bavarian Pharmacopeia, 4:—Duffos, 9:—Pfall, 10:—Keller, 25 per cent.

Tuste and odour.—The evidence derivable from the taste and odour of this poisou is, in some instances, of importance. The teste is described by Dr. Christison us pungent; some state that it is hot, others that it is bitter. (Pereira.) When the common acid is taken mixed with organic liquids, the taste is not likely to be very perceptible

unless the dose be exceedingly large.

With regard to the odour, Dr. Christison states that when diffused, it has a distant resemblance to that of hitter almouds: but it is accompanied with a peculiar impression of acridity on the nostrils and hack of the throat. (Op. cit. 752.) Orfila also says that it is similar to that of bitter almonds:—this is indeed the common impression. There is, however, a difference between these odonrs: hut the difference is not perceptible to the senses of all, and the only practical point requiring notice is, that the diluted odour of bitter almonds, would prohably he pronounced by many to indicate the presence of prussic acid, especially if there existed any suspicion of violent death. Even experienced medical men have to my knowledge been deceived on this point. There are some who are unable to peroeive the odour of prussic acid, even when it exists in large proportion, whether mixed with water or other liquids; while others again are peculiarly susceptible of it. With some, it does not affect the olfactory nerves at all; hut produces merely a sense of constriction in the fauces. These facts appear to me to explain, -why on the post-mortem examination of a body, some persons may perceive the odour while others may not. When many have to form a judgment on this subject, it is much more common to find disagreement than unanimity.

Cause of the loss of odour in the dead body.—The circumstauces which may lead to the absence of odour in a dead body, in the conteuts of the stomach, or in any organic liquid, are-1, the smallness of the quantity of acid present: 2, volatilization by long exposure to air; 3, the smallness of the dose taken, and its entire removal by absorption and elimination when the individual has survived some time; 4, the degree of dilution of the poison with water or other liquids; and, lastly, its concealment by other odorous bodies, such as vinous liquids, peppermint, or bitter nlmonds. (G. H. Reports, April, 1845.) Dr. Geogliegan detected the odour three days after death. Dr. Lousdale found in his experiments on animals, that the smell might be perceived for eight or nine days after death, although he could not detect the acid chemically for more than four days. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. li. p. 52.) In the case of Ramus (Ann. d'Hyg. 1833, 365.) the odour was detected in the liquid distilled from the stomach seven days after death, but not before distillation, and it yielded traces of prussic acid.

Symptoms.—The time at which the symptoms of poisoning commence in the human subject, is liable to great variation from circumstances not well understood. When a large dose has been taken, as from balf an ounce to an ounce of the diluted acid, the symptoms may commence in the act of swallowing, or within a few seconds. It is rare that their appearance is delayed beyond one or two minutes. When the patient has been seen at this period, he has been perfectly insensible, the eyes fixed and glistening, the pupils dilated and unuffected by light, the limbs flaceid, the skin cold and covered with a clammy perspiration;—there is convulsive respiration at long intervals, and the patient appears dead in the intermediate time; the pulse is imperceptible, and involuntary evacuations are occasionally passed. The respiration is slow, deep, gasping, and sometimes heaving or sobbing. The following case was communicated to me by my friend Mr. French:—it presents a fair example of the effects of this poison in a large and fatal dose. A medical man swallowed seven druelims of the common prussic acid. He survived about four or five minutes, but was quite insensible when discovered, i. e. about two minutes after he He was found lying on the floor, senseless,had taken the poison. there were no convulsions of the limbs or trunk, but a faint flickering motion was observed about the muscles of the lips. The process of respiration appeared to cease entirely for some seconds :- it was then performed in convulsive fits, and the act of expiration was remarkably deep, and lasted for a very long time. The deceased swallowed the poison while ascending the stairs; his body was found on the landing. The bottle had rolled some distance from him, and the

stopper was lying in another direction. Simon mentions a case in which an onnce was taken, and the symptoms were precisely similar. There was besides, coldness of the hands and feet; and no pulse could be felt. In such cases, i. e. when the dose is large, the breath commouly exbales a strong odonr of the acid. Convulsions of the limbs and trunk, with spasmodic closure of the jaws, are usually met with among the symptoms; the finger-nails have been found of a livid colour, and the hands firmly clenched.

When a small dose (i. c. about thirty drops of a weak acid) has been taken, the individual has first experienced weight and pain in the head, with confusion of intellect, giddiness, nausea, a quick pulse, and loss of muscular power; these symptoms are sometimes slow in appearing. Vomiting has been occasionally observed, but it is more common to find foaming at the mouth, with suffusion or a bloated appearance of the face and prominence of the eyes. If death result, this is preceded by tetanic spasms, opisthotonos, and involuntary Vomiting is sometimes the precursor of recovery. case, Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 103.) For an account of the symptoms produced by comparatively small doses, see cases by Mr. Ilicks, (Med. Gaz. xxxv. 893,) by Mr. Pooley, (ib. p. 859,) and one which occurred to Mr. Bishop, reported by Mr. Nunneley, (Prov. Med. and Surg. Jour. Aug. 13, 1845, p. 517.) The last case was remarkable in several particulars: the individual swallowed, it was supposed, forty minims of an acid, (at three and a quarter per cent.) and was able to give an account of his symptoms. He was conscious for some time after he had taken it, and he recollected experiencing the sensation of his jaws becoming gradually stiff und tight. It is not improbable, as Mr. Nunneley has suggested, that this poison may act more on the nerves of motion than of sensation, and that consciousness and sensibility may be retained by a person who has taken it, when from the powerlessness of the muscles, he is unable to indicate their existence. (Prov. Trans. N. S. iii, 74.)

It bas been stated that those who died from this poison, nttered a shriek or scream as the last act of expiration. Such a symptom has never, so far as I can ascertain, been observed in the human subject. The cases in which persons have died from prussic acid, in the prescuce or in the hearing of others, are now very numerons. (See those of Mr. French, Mr. Hicks, Mr. Pooley, Mr. Godfrey, Mr. Nunneley, and Mr. Lowe, referred to in this chapter,) and in not one was a shriek or scream observed to take place at any time! There was merely a gasping for breath, and a low moaning or sobbing noise, not more remarkable at the time at which insensibility supervened, than before.

Its effects contrasted with those of opium.—If we contrast the effects of this poison with those of opium, we shall find the following general differences. In opium, the come comes on gradually, and is seldom seen until after the lapse of a quarter of an hour:—in poisoning by

prussic acid, coma is almost instantaneously induced:--even in weak doses, insufficient to prove fatal, this symptom is seldom delayed beyond two minutes. In opium, the pupils are contracted; in poisoning by prussic acid, they are more commonly dilated. Convulsions are met with in both forms of poisoning, but perhaps more commonly in poisoning by prassic acid. With respect to the occurrence of this symptom, it is a fair question, whether medical jurists have not too readily adopted views, from the results of experiments made on animals—not from observations on man: since in very few instances, where the dose of poison has been large, has the patient been seen alive. When the dose has been small, but still fatal, convulsions have been sometimes observed. A well-marked remission or intermission of the cerebral symptoms has been frequently observed before death, in some cases of poisoning by opinm: this has not been witnessed in poisoning by prussic acid: the symptoms have been observed to progress in severity until death. In poisoning by prassic acid, the case, if fatal, generally terminates in less than an hour: in poisoning by opinm, the swerage period of deuth is from six to twelve hours. In poisoning by prussic acid, there is, in some instances, a smell of the poison about the month. Mr. Nameley thinks, from experiments on animals, that if a person survive the first effects of the acid, the after-symptoms may be easily mistaken for those of opium. There is a deep quiet sleep, with difficulty of rousing the animal; and the pupils, in this stage, are not always dilated (Prov. Trans. N. S. iii. 76); but, then, we must suppose that all other means of forming a diagnosis are wanting. The time at which the symptoms appeared after a liquid had been swallowed, their sudden invasion, the almost immediate loss of sensibility, and the odour of the breath, would, under ordinary circumstances, suffice to establish a diagnosis.

Period at which the sumptoms commence. Power of Volition and Locomotion .- One of the most marked effects of prussic ucid is to produce insensibility, and loss of museidar power, much more speedily than any other poison. In some instances, there may be loss of conscionsness in a few seconds; in others, certain acts indicative of volition and locomotion may be performed, although requiring for their performance several minutes. This is one of the most important questions connected with death by prussic acid. In treating of this subject. Dr. Lonsdale says, that a drachm of Scheele's acid would affect an ordinary adult within the minute; and if the dose were three or four drachms, it would exert its influence within ten or fifteen seconds. When the acid is stronger and the quantity larger, we are pretty certain of its immediate action, and the consequent annihilation of the scusorial functions. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. li. 50.) Mr. Nunneley found that in some instances, the action of the poison was so expeditious as to prevent the least exhibition of voluntary motion: but in the majority of dogs, about twenty seconds elapsed before any symptoms were manifested. (Prov. Trans. N. S. iii. p. 75. Dr. Gerecke

gave a tea-spoonful of concentrated prussic acid to a doe; symptoms were instantaneously produced, and in three seconds the animal was dead. (Casper's Wochenschrift, 26 Sept. 1846, 615.) In the-Leicester ease Mr. Macaulay found that a dog was killed in three seconds, and Dr. A. Thomson has observed that a dog has been killed in two seconds. Dr. Christison ascertained that a quantity of poison, equivalent to two scruples of medicinal acid, did not begin to act on a rabbit for twenty seconds, and certainly, for so small an animal, two scruples are as large a dose as five drachms given to a grown-up girl. (Op. cit. 757.) These very different results appear to me to show clearly that experiments on animals cannot enable us to give a satisfactory solution of this question. We should rather trust to the few observations made on the human subject, as well as to analogy from other sources,—as, for example, to the fact of survivorship after the infliction of what are commonly regarded as instantaneously mortal wounds.

A case was communicated to me, by one of my pupils, where a man was found dead on the seat of a water-closet: he had died from prussic acid, and the bottle which had contained the poison, was found in his pocket, corked. Many similar facts are recorded, which show, that while as a general rule, insensibility may supervene from a large dose of this poison in a few seconds, the individual occasionally retains a power of performing certain acts indicative of consciousness, volition, and locomotion. In Mr. Bishop's case, reported by Mr. Nunnelcy, the man was enabled to speak ratioually, and answer a question, after he had swallowed a fatal dose. (Provincial Medical Journal, July 23, 1845.)

Post-mortem appearances. - The body often exhales the odour of prussic acid when seen soon after death; but if it has remained exposed for some time before it is seen, and especially if it has been exposed to the open air or in a shower of raiu, the odour may not be perceptible. In a case in which a person poisoned himself with two ounces of the acid, and bis body was examined twenty-eight hours after death, the vapour of prussic acid which escaped on opening the stomach, was so powerful that the inspectors were seized with dizziness, and obliged to quit the room hastily. This may serve as a caution is conducting an examination. In cases of suicide or accident, the vessel out of which the poison has been taken, will commonly be found near; but there is nothing to preclude the possibility of a person throwing it from him in the last act of life, or even concealing it, if the symptoms should he protracted. Putrefaction is said to be aceelerated in these cases; hut from what I have been enabled to collect, there seems to be no ground for this opinion, any more than in the case of poisoning by opium. (See case by Mr. Nunneley, Prov. Med. Jour. July 30, 1845.) Orfila has shown that in most instances of sudden death from whatever cause, putrefaction is, cateris paribus, accelerated; and the fact that in one or two instances of death from prussic acid, the bodies have speedily putrefied, has improperly led to

М

this condition heing set down as one of the characters of poisoning by this acid.

The post-mortem appearances are very slight. Externally, the body is commonly livid, or the skin is tinged of a violet colour; the nails are blue, the fingers elenched, and the toes contracted; the jaws firmly closed, with foam about the mouth, the face bloated and swollen, and the eyes have been observed to be glassy, very prominent and glistening, but this condition of the eyes exists in other kinds of death. Internally, the venous system is gorged with dark-coloured blood: the stomach and alimentary canal are in their natural state; but in some instances they have been found congested or inflamed. The mucous membrane of the stomach of a dog which died in a few minutes from a dosc of three drachms of Scheele's acid, was intensely reddened throughout, presenting the appearance met with in cases of arsenical poisoning. In a large number of experiments upon dogs, Mr. Nunncley found that there was generally a congested condition of the mucous membrane of the stomach: if empty at the time the poison was taken, the organ was found much contracted, and of a hrick-red colour. The same appearance of congestion was observed on the mucous membrane of the vagina, the rectum, and conjunctiva, when the acid was applied to those parts. (Prov. Trans. N. S. iii. p. 79.) The same redness was observed in the case of the Parisian epilepties (On Poisons, 667); and Dr. Geoghegan of Dublin has communicated to me the particulars of a case in which this reduess of the stomach was well marked. April 1847, a healthy man, at. 30, swallowed a large dose of prussic acid. He was soon afterwards found dead in his bed. The body was inspected five hours afterwards: rigidity had commenced, but there was some warmth. The face was pale, the eyes balf closed, not presenting any remarkable hrillianey or prominence, nor was there much dilata-The mouth was closed, and no froth issued from it. tion of the pupils. The abdomen was the only eavity examined. The muscles were red, and gave out, on section, a good deal of fluid blood, which had a strong odour of prussie acid; the odour of the poison was also perceptible in the peritoncal cavity. About eight ounces of a thick farinaceous mass were found in the stomach: the odour of prussic acid was very perceptible in this organ, but it was mixed with that of raneid food. The mucous membrane had everywhere, except at the splenic end and posterior wall, a vivid inflammatory redness, of a well-marked character, and it was lined with a layer of viscid mucus to a considerable extent. The parietes were not thickened, but the submucous coat presented ramified vascularity; the peritoneal coat was also decidedly red. The posterior wall, at the splenie end, was of a chocolate colour, with seattered petechiæ: the great venous trunks stood out in relief as dark blue lines. The mucous membrane, even when washed three times in water, gave out a strong odour of prussie acid.

The odour of the poison, if not observed in the body, is generally perceptible in the stomach for several days after death, unless the

quantity of poison be small, and it be mixed up with other strongly smelling substances. (On this subject, see ante, p. 158). If death has been rapid, the dose large, and the inspection recent, as in the case just related, all the cavities as well as the blood have the odour. these appearances, the brain and lungs have been found congested, although not invariably. The blood is, in some instances, quite liquid, in others, thick and semicoagulated. (Heller's Archiv, i. ii. 1845, p. 143.) In most cases this liquid has been found of a very dark colour,—in a few, red (lleller's case, supra), and in other cases again of a violet or pinkish hue. Heller found, by a chemical and microscopical examination, that in one instance, the blood contained no fibrin. In two instances, reported by Mertzdorff, the contents of the gall-bladde had a blue tint, but this appearance may have been owing to accidental causes, as in the generality of cases there has not been observed any abnormal change in the bile. The larvax, traches, and esophagus, are said to have been found reddened; but it is not impossible that this reduess may have depended on other causes. Death commonly takes place with such rapidity, as scarcely to allow of the production of any well-marked morbid changes in the body. In n case reported by Dr. Geoglicgan, where a man swallowed an onnee of prussic acid, and was found dead, the only morbid appearance of note discovered, was a patch of dark-red extravasation, under the mucous membrane of the stomach ucar the pylorus. The stomach in this case exhaled the odour of hydrocyanic acid, although it had been exposed for three days, but the poison was easily detected, in its contents, by the usual pro-In a case reported by Mr. Pooley, u dark colour of the blood appears to have been the only striking appearance (Med. Gaz. xxxv. 859): in this instance the lungs were not congested, in a case by Mr. Hicks they were much congested (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 460), while in Mr. Bishop's case they were only partially congested! (Prov. Med. Jour. July 30, 1845.) In a case reported by Mr. Crisp (Lancet, Sept. 14, 1844), the ubdominal and thoracic viscers were healthy, with the execption that they had a purple colour from the blood: he could perceive no odour of the poison. From this general summary of the appearances, it will be perceived that there is but little to be derived from an inspection of the body, at all characteristic of the mode of death: and probably in many instances no suspicion of the cause would be excited, except from the occasional presence of the wellknown odour.

Quantity required to destroy life.—This is a very important question; and it is made somowhut perplexing by the fact, that beyond a certain dose, the weak and the strong acid appear to act with equal rapidity. (Christison, 658.) The smallest dose which is reported to have caused death, was in a case which occurred to Mr. Hicks. (Med. Gaz. xxxv. 896.) The female, a healthy adult, died in twenty minutes from a dose equivalent to nine-tenths of a grain of anhydrous prussic neid. This was equivalent to forty-nine drops of the London Phar-

macopæial acid; and taking Scheele's acid at four per cent. (Pereira), to about twenty-fire drops of Scheele. In an interesting case reported by Mr. T. Taylor (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 104), a stont healthy man swallowed this dose, i. e. nine-tenths of a grain, by mistake, and remained inscusible for four hours, when he vomited and began to recover. The vomited matters had no odour of the poison, showing that if not concealed by other odours, the whole of the acid must have been here absorbed. He had a very narrow escape of his life. Ir. Banks has published a case in which a female recovered after swallowing thirty drops of prussic acid (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. xlviii. p. 44), but the interest of this case is lost, owing to the strength of the acid not having been determined.

Recoveries from large doses .- The largest dose from which an adult has recovered, was probably in a case which occurred to Mr. Bishop, reported by Mr. Nunncley. (Prov. Med. Jonr. Aug. 13, 1845, p. 517.) The person swallowed, it was supposed, forty minims of an acid at three and a quarter per cent. Taking the minim as equal to the grain, although it may be a little more or less according to eircumstances, this is equivalent to about one grain and one-third of anhydrous acid. The man was for a short time conscious, got into bed after taking the poison, and spoke. He felt his jaw become stiff and then remained insensible, until roused by the cold affir-The fact of recovery having taken place here, must not lead us to suppose that such a large dose could be commonly taken with impunity. If we refer to the chapters on arsenic and corrosive sublimate, we shall find that persons have recovered from doses of these poisons, much larger than those which have proved fatal in other cases. The same circumstance is observed in respect to all other poisons. Judging by the effects produced in Dr. Geoghegan's case from 0.66 grain of anhydrous acid,—from the fact that death took place in Mr. Hicks's case from nine-tenths of a grain, and that, in another instance, a strong adult had a narrow escape of his life from the same dose, we shall not be wrong in assuming that a quantity of Scheele's neid (at five per cent.) above twenty drops, (i. e. one grain of anhydrous acid,) or an equivalent portion of any other acid, would commonly suffice to destroy the life of an adult. This I helieve to be the nearest approach we can make to the smallest fatal dose.

Period at which death takes place.—When the dose is two drachms and upwards, we may probably take the average period for death at from two to ten minutes. In Mr. Hicks's case, forty-nine drops of P. L. acid destroyed life in twenty minutes. It is only where the dose is just in a fatal proportion, that we find the individual to survive from half an hour to an hour. In this respect, death by prussic acid is like death by lightning:—the person in general either dies speedily or recovers altogether. According to Dr. Lonsdale, death has occurred in the human subject as early as the second, and as late as the forty-fifth minute. But although death does not commonly

ensue until after the lapse of a few minutes, inscusibility, and consequently a want of power to perform acts of volition and locomotion, may come on sometimes in a few seconds. The time at which this loss of power is supposed to take place, has frequently become an important medico-legal question; and on the answer to it, the hypothesis of suicide or murder in a particular case, may rest.

Treatment.—Experience justifies us in employing stimulants, such as diluted ammonia to the nostrils, and frictions of the compound camphor liniment to the chest. It has been proposed to apply electricity in the course of the spinal marrow; but the best remedy, and that which is always applicable, is cold affusion. This has been found the most efficacions mode of treatment in experiments on animals, and in several eases in the human subject.

Chemical analysis .- Prussie acid is limpid like water; it possesses a faint acid reaction, and its vapour has a peculiar odour, (ante, p. 157.) which, when the acid is concentrated, although not at first perceptible, is sufficient to produce giddiness, insensibility, and other

alarming symptoms.

The tests which are best adapted for the detection of this poison, either in liquid or vapour, are equally applicable whether the acid be concentrated or diluted, and, so far as the detection of the vapour is concerned, whether it be pure or mixed up with organic matter.

Tests in the simple state.—The tests are three in number:—the Silver, the Iron, and the Sulphur tests. 1. Nitrate of Silver.—This yields, with prussic acid, a dense white precipitate, speedily subsiding in heavy clots to the bottom of the vessel, and leaving the liquid almost clear. The precipitate is identified as evanide of silver by the following properties:—a. It is insoluble in cold nitric acid; but when drained of water, and a sufficient quantity of strong acid is added, it is easily dissolved on boiling. b. It evolves prussic neid when digested in muriatic acid. c. The precipitate, when aelt dried and heated in a small reduction-tube, yields eyanogen gas, which may be burnt at the mouth with a rose-red flame and blue halo. This is a well-marked character, and at once identifies the acid, which yielded the precipitate, as prussic acid. By this property, the evanide is eminently distinguished from all the other salts of silver.

In the employment of the silver-test for the detection of the vapour of the poison, we place a few drops of the silver solution in a watchglass, and invert it over another watch-glass containing the suspected poisonous liquid. Cyanide of silver, indicated by the formation of an opaque white film in the solution, is immediately produced, if the acid be only in a moderate state of concentration. One drop of the pharmacopæial acid (containing 1-50th of a grain) produces speedily a visible effect. When the prussic acid is much diluted a few minutes are required; and the opaque film begins to show itself at the edges of the silver solution. In this case the action may be accelerated by the heat of the hand.

2. The Iron-Test.—The object of the application of this test is the production of Prussian Blue. We add to a small quantity of the suspected poisonous liquid, a few drops of potash and of a solution of green sulphate of iron. A dirty green or brownish coloured precipitate falls: on shaking this for a few minutes, and then adding diluted nuriatic or sulphuric acid, the liquid becomes blue; and Prussian blue, of its well-known colour, unaffected by diluted acids, subsides. If the prussic acid be in very small quantity, the liquid is at first yellow, from the salt of iron formed: it then becomes green, but the precipitate ultimately subsides so as to appear of a blue colour in the mass. The same result is obtained, by adding the solution of the iron-salt to the potash-solution of the cyanide of silver; and thus, in this way, the two tests may be applied to only one portion of the poison.

The iron-test may be employed for the detection of the vapour of prussic acid, by the same method as that described in speaking of the silver-test. For this purpose we place a few drops of caustic potash in a small white saucer, and invert it over the suspected liquid. After a few minntes a drop of solution of green sulphate of iron may be added, and then a drop of diluted muriatic acid,—Prussian bluo appears. The recently precipitated mixed oxides of iron with potash, may be placed in the upper vessel with the same results. The silver and the iron-tests may be in this way easily conjoined in testing the same quantity of poison. If the precipitated cyanide of silver, obtained by the addition of nitrate of silver to the suspected liquid, be moistened with strong muriatic acid, and the vapour collected in a watch-glsss or sancer, on the plan just described, Prussian blue will be procured, and thus strongly corroborate the action of the silver-test

3. The Sulphur-Test.—Baron Liebig has recently proposed this as a process for detecting prussic acid as a liquid. (Ocsterreichische Med. Wochenschrift, 27 März, 1847, 396.) If a small quantity of hydrosulphuret of ammonia (containing a little excess of sulphur) be added to a few drops of the solution of prussic acid, and the mixture be gently warmed, it becomes colourless, and, on evaporation, leaves sulphoeyanate of ammonia—the sulphoeyanie acid being indicated by the intense blood-red colour produced on adding to the residue a solution of a persalt of iron; this colour immediately disappears on adding one or two drops of a solution of corrosive sub-This test is very delicate, and it therefore requires some eare in its application: thus, if the boiling and evaporation be not carried far enough, the persalt of iron will be precipitated black by the undecomposed hydrosulphuret of ammonia; and, if the heat be earried too far, the sulphoevanate of ammonia may itself undergo decomposition, and be lost. It will be perceived, too, that it requires a longer time for its application than either the silver or the iron-test.

The great utility of the *sulphur-lest*, however, is in its application to the detection of the minutest portion of prussic acid when in the state of vapour. In this respect it surpasses any other process yet discovered. In order to apply it, we place the diluted prussic acid in a watch-glass, and invert over it another watch-glass, holding in its centre one drop of the hydrosulphuret of ammonia. No change apparently takes place in the hydrosulphuret; but if the watch-glass be removed after the lapse of from half a minute to ten minutes, according to the quantity and strength of prussic acid present, sulphocyanate of ammonia will be obtained on gently heating the drop of hydrosulphuret and evaporating it to dryness. With an acid of from three to five per cent, the action is completed in ten seconds. The addition of one drop of persulphate of iron to the dried residue, brings out the blood-red colour instantly, which is intense in proportion to the quantity of sulphoeyanate present. Such is the simple method of employing the test. When the prussic acid is excessively diluted, the warmth of the haud may serve to expedite the evolution of the vapour.

In detecting the vapour, the sulphur-test acts, cateris paribus, more rapidly and more delicately than the silver-test; but the two may be usefully employed together in corroboration of each other. If a suspected liquid, placed in a watch-glass, produce a film on a drop of nitrate of silver, the reaction will be very speedy with the hydrosulphuret. The silver-test acts wisibly, and therefore serves to guide us: the sulphur-test acts invisibly; for there is no apparent change unless the glass be left so long that the aumonia is spontaneously evaporated,

and the sulphur oxidated or deposited.

Prussic Acid in Organic Liquids.—Detection by vapour without distillation.—The organic liquid may be placed in a wide-monthed bottle, to which a watch-glass has been previously fitted as a cover. The capacity of the bottle may be such as to allow the surface of the liquid to be within one or two inches of the concave surface of the watch-glass. The solution of Nitrate of silver is then used as a trial-test in the way already described (page 165, ante). If the 1-200th of a grain of prussic acid be present, and not too largely diluted, it will be detected (at a temperature of 60°) by the drop of nitrate of silver being converted into an opaque white film of cyanide of silver, the chemical change commencing at the margin. We may then substitute for the uitrate of silver the hydrosulphuret of animonia, and proceed in the way above described.

Detection by distillation.—This process was originally suggested by Lassaigne. The organic liquid should be distilled in a water bath, ut 212°, and about one-sixth or one-eighth of the contents of the retort collected in a receiver kept cool by water. The tests may now be applied to the distilled liquid. If the trial-tests indicate that the quantity of poison is small, a solution of nitrate of silver or caustic potash may be placed in the receiver, to fix the acid as it distils over; Prussian blue may then be procured in the way described, or the

vaponr may be at once absorbed by hydrosulphuret of ammonia in the receiver, and the liquid evaporated to obtain sulphocyanate. Prussic acid has been found in the stomach hy distillation, so late as seven days after death, although the odour could not be perceived before distillation. (Case of Ramus, autc, p. 158.) Mr. West states that he was able to detect prussic acid, on distillation, by the odour aud the silver and iron tests, twenty-three days after death; although no pains had been taken to insure its preservation, and not more than four-tenths of a grain of anhydrous acid could have originally existed in the contents of the stomach! (Prov. Med. Jour., July 23, 1845.)

Detection of prussic acid in the tissues.—The poison may be easily detected in the blood, secretions, or any of the soft organs, by placing them in a hottle, and collecting the vapour in the way already described (ante, p. 167.) This will be found to be far more convenient and satisfactory than the process by distillation. In the case of a dog poisoned by prussic acid. Mr. Hicks brought mo the stomach after it had been exposed twenty-four hours, and thoroughly washed under a current of water, and yet the poison was readily detected by placing the whole organ in a bottle, and absorbing the vapour by nitrate of silver. This shows how completely the animal tissues are penetrated by prussic acid, and how firmly it is retained by them. The poison has been thus discovered in the blood and in the serous exhalation of the chest.

Quantitative analysis.—It is often a matter of great importance, to ascertain the strength of the prussic acid taken; and it is much more satisfactory to determine this point by chemical processes, than by giving the poison to dogs or rabbits, and noting how long a time it requires for a certain dose to destroy life, or by assuming its strength from its name. In performing this experiment, it is necessary to precipitate a weighed quantity of the acid entirely by solution of mitrate of silver, and wash and dry the precipitate in a water-bath until it no longer loses weight. One hundred grains of eyanide of silver are equivalent to 20.14 grains of anhydrous prussic acid: this is in the proportion of about one-fifth, so that the weight of the dried evanide. divided by five, gives, with sufficient accuracy for common purposes, the quantity of anhydrous prussic acid present. One hundred grains of the London Pharmacopaial acid should therefore yield ten grains of evanide of silver, and of Scheele's acid, from twenty to twenty-five grains. Before making the calculation, it is most important that the evanide of silver should he thoroughly dried. It holds water very tenaciously, and unless this he entirely expelled, a very erroneous opinion may he formed of the strength of the acid examined. It may be also necessary to determine how much of the acid exists in the stomach, or in a liquid requiring analysis. The whole, or if large, a fractional part of the liquid, should be distilled, and the clear product treated in a similar way.

OIL OF BITTER ALMONDS.

The bitter almond itself is a poison; it owes its poisonous properties to prussic acid, which is easily obtained from it by distillation with water. This liquid, which is called Bitter atmont water, has caused death: it is subject to great variation in strength. There are one or two cases on record, wherein the almonds, when caten in large quantity, have also led to fatal symptoms and death. The Essential oil has given rise to a great number of accidents, and has caused toxicologists to direct their attention especially to it. Its poisonous properties are entirely due to the presence of hydrocyanic acid, which is intimately combined with it.

Symptoms and effects.—A man, aged forty-eight, swallowed two drachms of the ethercal oil of bitter almonds. In a few minutes afterwards, he was found by his servant with his features spasmodically contracted, his eyes fixed, staring, and turned upwards. The chest was expanded convulsively and hurriedly. In twenty minutes he was insensible, the pupils immoveable, the breathing slow and stertorous, —the breath having a strong odour of bitter almonds, and the pulso feeble. He died half an hour after he had taken the poison. On inspection, the whole of the body, and the blood which escaped, smelt strongly of bitter almonds: the teeth were fixed, the lips pale, fiagers contracted, and the nails blue. The mucous membrane of the stomach and intestines presented an inflammatory redness, and there was turgescence of the brain. The blood, bile, and the muscles, had a deep violet colour. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. xxii. 232). The following ease occurred to Mr. Chavasse, of Birmingham. A druggist swallowed by mistake half an ounce of "almond flavour." In half a minute he fell down in a state of syncope; his face being deadly pale, and his pulse imperceptible. After a few minutes he came to himself, and vomited some undigested food and bile, strongly impregnated with the odour of bitter almonds. Delirium, with slight convulsions, came on; he then became sensible, and conversed upon his condition; but again gradually relapsed into delirium, his eyes being prominent and brilliant. In a few minutes, he again became sensible, and slowly recovered from the effects of the poison. The quantity of "almond flavour" which he had taken, was estimated to contain about half a drachm of the essential oil. I cannot avoid remarking, that we have here another instance of the disgraceful state of medical police in this country, in the fact that a deadly poison like this, is allowed to be sold by druggists for the purpose of giving flavour to pastry and liqueurs. In the above case, thirty drops of the essential oil were taken without destroying life, although the patient had a very narrow escape. Dr. Bull, of Hereford, has communicated to me a case in which less than twenty drops (seventeen) destroyed the life of a woman, aged fortynine, in half an hour.

Is the vapour of this oil sufficient to produce fatal effects? This

question was raised in the subjoined case, which occurred in London, The deceased, the wife of a publican, had been clearing out a closet, which contained, among other liquors, a bottle of the essential oil of hitter almonds. She was suddenly heard to call out. servant found her pale and faint, and she complained of sickness. There was a strong odour in the room, and deceased said that the corks of some of the bottles had come out, and the smell had made her feel She was removed to bed, but died before any medical assistance could be obtained. There was no motive for the deceased committing suicide, and it was a subject of inquiry, whether the vapour alone might not have caused death. This question was set at rest by an inspection of the body. Some of the poison was found in the stomach, and there was a very strong odonr of hitter almonds in the contents. It was, therefore, clear that the deceased must have swallowed a portion of the poison; whether from motives of curiosity or not, it is impossible to say. The medical witness in answer to a question properly stated, that less than a tea-spoonful might cause the death of an adult. The vapour may produce vertigo and stupor; hut unless long respired, it would not be likely to cause fatal effects. In 1837-38, there were four cases of poisoning by this oil. This poison is sold to the public, in quantities of not less than a quarter of an onnee, at the rate of five shillings per ounce. The liquid called Almond flarour, spirit of almonds, or essence of peach-kernels, contains half a drachm of the essential oil to one onnee of spirit. It is sold in quantities of not less than a quarter of an ounce, at the rate of one shilling per ounce.

Chemical analysis.—This oil, often called peach-nut oil, has a pale yellow colour, and a strong odour of bitter almonds, by which it is at once identified. It gives a greasy stain when dropped on paper, which does not entirely disappear on the application of heat. It sinks in water, and readily combines with alcohol; and the only test required, is to add to the alcoholic liquid a small quantity of caustic potash, and a solution of green sulphate of iron. Prussian blue is formed on agitating the mixture, but is not well brought out until any precipitated oxide of iron is dissolved by the addition of diluted sulphuric or muriatic acid.

NOYAU, CHERRY RATAFIA.

These liqueurs, which have the smell of hitter almonds, are considered to he poisonous when taken in large doses. The quantity of prussic acid present in them is liable to vary; it may be separated hy distillation at a gentle heat, and then tested. I have found that an ounce and a half of good noyau, having a strong odour and flavour, when distilled to two-thirds, yielded scarcely a trace of prussic acid either hy the silver or irou test. It had heen kept some time in a well-closed bottle. An equal quantity of cherry ratafia, similarly treated, gave me no ponderable quantity of Prussian hlue.

LAUREL-WATER. CHERRY LAUREL-WATER.

This is a very weak solution of prussic acid, containing only ahout one-fourth of a grain per cent. of the strong acid, but it is stated to be more poisonous than this quantity of acid would indicate. (Pereira. ii. 1542.) In some specimens which I procured by distilling the bruised tops and fine shoots of the laurel with water, the odour was powerful; but the proportion of prussic acid present, was considerably less than this. It is a limpid colourless liquid, possessing a strong odour of bitter almonds, and producing, in large doses, the usual effects of poisoning by prussic acid. CHERRY LAUREL OIL .- By distillation, the leaves of the plant yield also an essential oil, rescuibling that of the hitter almond, but much weaker, as it contains on an average less than three per cent. of prassic acid. According to Christison, almost every part of the plant is poisonous, but especially the leaves, flowers, and kernels; the pulp of the cherry is not poisonous. Articles of food are often flavoured with the leaves, but accidents are said to have arisen from this practice.

CYANIDE OF POTASSIUM.

This is a poisonous salt, now much used in the art of electro-gilding and plating. It is a solid, sometimes seen crystallized, at others in the form of a white chalky-looking powder. It is without odonr until put into water, when it is freely dissolved, forming an alkaline solution, from which prussic acid is abundantly evolved. It acquires a strong smell in a damp atmosphere, and becomes dark-coloured. The cyanide of potassium is used on the continent as a medicine, and a few years since, it occasioned the death of a person at St. Malo, under the following circumstances. A physician prescribed for the deceased, rather more than one drachm of the cyanide in two ounces and a half of orangeflower water and syrup; and of this mixture three spoonfuls were to he taken daily. It seems that tahle-spoonfuls were taken, and the pstient died in three quarters of an hour after the first dosc. None of the poison was found in the stomach; but a portion of the mixture from which the dose had heen taken, was examined and found to contain cyanide of potassium. A criminal procedure was instituted against the physician, and he was fined and imprisoned. M. Malaguti, who gave evidence on the occasion, stated that a dog was killed in a few minutes after taking less than three grains of the evanide in solution; and that the largest dose of this medicine to a human being was five-sixths of a grain. (Lancet, January, 1843.) The mixture in the above case, contained about three grains of the cyanide in one drachm : therefore, had tea-spoonfuls been taken by the deceased, he would have taken quite sufficient to destroy life. The medicine had evidently been prescribed hy a person totally ignorant of its poisonous properties. Another case occurred at Breslau, in which a man, aged thirty, died in a quarter of an hour under all the symptoms of poisoning by

prussie acid, after taking a dose of a mixture containing fifteen grains of cyanide of potassium, which had beeu prescribed for him by his medical attendant. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A., 1843, 7. See also

Ann. d'Hyg., 1843, i. 404.)

Chemical analysis.—Cyanide of potassium is known, 1, by the odour of its solution in water rendered more perceptible by acids; 2, by the action of nitrate of silver, which precipitates cyanide of silver soluble in excess of the cyanide; and 3d, by tartaric acid or chloride of platina, which indicates the presence of potash. A solution of sulphate of iron and muriatic acid produce with it Prussian blue. The recent introduction of this salt into the arts as a silvering liquid, may easily lead to accidents.

CHAPTER XIX.

NARCOTIC POISONS CONTINUED.—HYOSCYAMUS NIGER. LACTUCA VIROSA AND SATIVA—LETTUCE-OPIUM—SOLANUM DULCAMARA AND NIGRUM—CAMPHOR—SYMPTOMS—ALCOHOL—SYMPTOMS—TREATMENT—ANALYSIS—ACTION OF ETHER AS A LIQUID—SYMPTOMS AND APPEARANCES.

HYOSCYAMUS NIGER.

ALL the parts of this plant, which is commonly known under the name of HENBANE, are poisonous. The seeds produce the most powerful affects, then the roots, and lastly, the leaves. The vapour evolved from the 16-csh-eut leaves, has been known to produce vertigo, stupor, and syncope. In small or medicinal doses, heubane has a narcotic action; but when takk-an in large doses, it produces those effects usually assigned

to the narcotich-irritant class.

Symptoms and appearances.—The best summary of these is given by Wibmer, (Arzneimittel, Art. Hyoscyamus Niger.) When the dose is not sufficient to destroy life, the symptoms are,—general excitement, fulness of the pulse, flushing of the face, weight in the head, giddiness, loss of power and tremulous motion of the limbs, somnoleney, dilatation of the pupils, double vision, nausea, and vomiting. After a timo these symptoms pass off, leaving the individual merely languid. When a large quantity of the root or leaves has been eaten,—an accident which has occurred from the plant having been mistaken for other vegetables,—then other and more serious effects are manifested. In addition to the above symptoms in an aggravated form, there will be loss or incoherency of speech, delirinm, confusion of thought, in,sensibility, coma, and, sometimes, a state resembling insanity; the pupils are dilated, and insensible to light;

there is coldness of the surface, cold perspiration, loss of power in the legs, alternating with tetanic rigidity and convulsive movements of the muscles; the pulse small, frequent, and irregular; the respiration deep and lahorious. Occasionally there is nausca, with vomiting and diarrhea. Death takes place in a few hours or days, according to the severity of the symptoms. The special effect of this poisonous plant is manifested in its tendency to produce a general paralysis of the nervous system.

There are other varieties of hyoseyamus which are also poisonous.

LACTUCA.

The two species of lettuce, known under the names of Lactuca Sativa and Vinosa, (strong-scented lettuce,) contain a principle which is possessed of feeble narcotic properties. Orfila has found that the extract prepared by evaporation at a low temperature, acts upon the brain and nervons system of animals; although very large doses were required for the production of narcotic effects. There is no record of these plants having exerted a poisouous action in the human subject.

Analysis.—The inspissated juice of the lettuce is well known under the name of lactucarium or lettuce-opium. The Lactuca Virosa yields three times as much as the Lactnea Sativa; and half a grain of it. according to Dr. Fisher, is equivalent to two or three grains of that ohtained from the Lactnea Sativa. (Med. Gaz. xxv. 862.) The juice, when it first escapes, is of a milky-white hue, but, in drying, it forms an extract in small irregular dry masses of a brown colour, a bitter taste, and with an odour similar to that of opium. It has a weak narcotic action when given in doses of from five to twenty grains. It varies much in strength. Wibmer found that two grains caused headache and somnolency. (Op. cit. 200.) By the smell only, it is liable to be mistaken for opium. It is hut little soluble in water, and after long boiling, it forms a brown turbid solution which gives a greenish tint with sesquichloride of iron. It therefore contains no meconic acid. On examining a good specimen I have not found any trace of morphia. This shows that the odour of opium may exist in substances which do not contain meconate of morphia. Nitric acid gives a yellowish tinge to the decoction, as it does to most other vegetable solutions. It is hitter to the taste, which appears to be owing to the presence of a bitter principle called lactuein, upon which its feeble narcotic properties probably depend. There are no tests for lactucarium, further than the colour, the opiate odour with the want of solubility, and the absence of the other chemical characters of opium. In the plant, it is combined with malic acid, potash, and resin. (Fisher. loc. cit.

SOLANUM.

There are two species of this plant—the SOLANUM DULCAMARA,

Bitter-sweet or Woody-nightshade, which has a purple flower and bears red berries; and the Solanum Nighum, or Garden-nightshade, with a white flower and black berries. Dunal gave to dogs four ounces of the aqueous extract, and, in another experiment, 180 ripe berries of the Dulcamara, without any ill effects resulting. On the other hand, Floyer states that thirty of the berries killed a dog in three hours. (Wibmer, op. cit. Solanum.) These differences may perhaps be reconciled by supposing that the active principle Solania, on which the poisonous properties of both species depend, varies in proportion at different seasons of the year. In one instance a decoction of the plant is said to have produced in a man dimness of sight, vertigo, and tremhling of the limbs, -symptoms which soon disappeared under slight treatment. Orfila found that the extract of Solanum nigrum had a very feeble effect as a poison: and the fatal cases reported to have been caused by it, are perhaps properly referable to belladonna, for which it may have been mistakeu. The single death from Dulcamara reported in the Registration returns for 1840, may perhaps have been due to a mistake of this kind.

CAMPHOR.

I have not been able to meet with any case in which Camphor has caused death in the human subject; but it has on several occasions produced rather alarming symptoms, and would prohably have destroyed life, had it not been early removed from the stomach. In the few cases that have been observed, its effects were somewhat different, although both in man and animals, they were referable to an impression on the brain and nervous system.

Symptoms and effects,—The following case is reported by Mr. Hallett, of Axminster. A woman swallowed in the morning about a scruple of camphor dissolved in rectified spirits of wine and mixed with tincture of myrrh. In half an hour she was suddenly seized with languor, giddiness, occasional loss of sight, delirium, numbness, tingling and coldness of the extremities, so that she could hardly The pulse was quick and respiration difficult, but she suffered no pain in any part. Ou the administration of an emetic, she vomited a yellowish liquid, smelling strongly of camphor. In the evening, the symptoms were much diminished, but she had slight convulsive fits during the night. The next day she was convalescent; the dyspnæa, however, continued more or less for several weeks. The dose did not probably exceed twenty grains: - this is the smallest dose of camphor which appears to have been attended with serious symptoms. The largest dose of camphor that has been taken, was in a case which occurred to Wendt, of Breslau. Eight scruples were swallowed by a drunkard, dissolved in spirit. The symptoms were vertigo, dimness of sight, delirium, and burning pain in the stomach. There was no vomiting: the man recovered! This case shows, that caruphor canuot he regarded as a very active poison. (Wibmer, op. cit. iii. 212.) In Orfila's experiments on animals, the mucous membrane of the stomach was found inflamed (ii. 493).

Treatment.—The free use of emetics.

ALCOHOL.

Symptoms .-- A large quantity of spirit has been known to destroy life immediately, although such a case is rare. Orfila mentions an instance in which a man died immediately from the effects of a large dose of brandy. (Op. cit. ii. 528.) In general, the symptoms come on in the course of a few minutes. There is confusion of thought, with inability to stand or walk, a tottering gait and vertigo, followed by coma. Should the individual recover from this state, vomiting aud sickness supervenc. This form of poisoning presents some singular anomalics:—thus the insensibility may come on suddenly, after a certain period. Dr. Christison met with a case, where the individual fell suddenly into a deep stupor, some time after he had swallowed sixteen ounces of whisky—there were none of the usual premonitory symptoms:--in another instance, a person will apparently recover from the first effects,—then suddenly become insensible, and die convulsed. Convulsions are, however, by no means a necessary attendant on poisoning by alcohol. Orfila makes their absence a ground of diagnosis between poisoning by alcohol and opium (On. cit. ii. 530),—and Dr. Ogston only observed them twice out of many cases: the subjects in these two instances were young. In poisoning by alcohol, the supervention of the symptoms is not commonly so rapid, as to prevent an individual from performing locomotion or certain acts of volition. The more concentrated the alcohol, the more rapidly are the symptoms induced, and they are then more severe in their character. Diluted alcohol generally produces the stage of excitement before stupor, while in the action of concentrated alcohol there may he profound come in a few minutes. This appears to indicate an action by sympathy on the nervous system; as the diluted alcohol is in a condition most favourable to absorption. Alcohol may act as a poison by its vapour. If the concentrated vapour be respired, it will produce the usual effects of intoxication. It is generally known that persons who have been for the first time employed in bottling spirits, are easily intoxicated by the alcoholic vapour. There is a case on record in which a child two years of age, was thrown into an apoplectic stuper by the alcoholic vapour of Eau de Cologne. In this way a child might be destroyed, and no trace of the poison be found in the stomach.

Post-mortem appearances.—In respect to post-mortem appearances; the stomach has been found inflamed—the mucous membrane having been, in one case of a bright red, and in another of a dark red-brown colour. When death has taken place rapidly, there will be a strong odour of spirits in the contents, but this may not be perceived if many hours have elapsed before the inspection is made. The brain is found

congested, and, in some instances, there is effusion of blood or serum beneath the membranes. In a case observed by Dr. Geoghegan, in which a pint of spirits had been taken, and proved fatal in eight honrs, black extravasation was found on the mucous membrane of the stomach; but no trace of alcohol could be detected in the contents. (Dub, Med. Press, i. 293.)

Treatment.—The contents of the stomach should be withdrawn by the pump as speedily as possible. Cold affusion, if the surface be warm, or, as suggested by Dr. Christison, the injection of cold water into the ears, may serve to rouse the individual. Death may take place even when the stomach has been thoroughly evacuated, but this affords commonly the only chance of saving life. Ammonia may be employed as a stimulant, and bleeding may be resorted to if there should be great cerebral congestion. Bleeding should, in any case, be employed with great caution, as it is apt to depress the vital powers, and diminish the chance of recovery. A copious supply of tea or strong coffee may be given until the stomach can be thoroughly cleared by the stomach-purup. The electro-magnetic apparatus may be used as in poisoning by opium; but it is necessary to remember that keeping a person roused, does not aid recovery so long as the poison is allowed to remain in the body.

Analysis.—The different spiritnous liquids may be recognised in the contents of the stomach by their peculiar odour; but only when death has taken place within a few hours. The contents, if acid, should be neutralized by carbonate of soda, and distilled, and the product treated with fused chloride of calcium, and again distilled. Alcohol will be obtained in the receiver. It is known—1, by its odour and volatility; 2, by its inflammability—the flame burning with a pale blue light, and depositing no carbon on cold white surfaces; 3, by its power of dissolving camphor or resins.

ETHER.

Symptoms and appearances.—The effects produced on the system by the administration of Sulpburic, or any other form of ether, are not unlike those occasioned by alcohol. Orfila found that about half an ounce of sulphuric ether administered to a dog, caused, in a few minutes, a disposition to vomit. This was followed by vertigo, and, in ten minutes, by an entire loss of power in the muscles. Respiration was painful and hurried, but there were no convulsions. After a slight abatement in the symptoms, the dog fell into a state of insensibility, and died in three hours. The whole of the mucous membrane of the stomach was of a blackish-red colour, and, with the other coats, intensely inflamed. There was slight inflammation in the duodenum; but the rest of the alimentary canal was in a healthy condition. The heart contained black blood partly coagulated: the lungs were gorged with fluid blood. (Op. cit. ii. 531.)

Very little is known concerning the action of large doses of

liquid ether taken into the stomach. It has, in moderate doses, a hot burning taste, and produces, during swallowing, a seuse of constriction in the throat. It causes, like alcohol, great excitement and exhibitantion, with, subsequently, intoxication, but persons may become habituated to it; and thus, after a time, it may be taken in very large quantities with comparative impunity. The medieinal dose is from half a drachm to two drachms. Dr. Buchanan has known seven drachms of it taken at once: it produced, at the pit of the stomach, a most uneasy sensation of heat and pain, which only the eallous stomach of a dram-drinker could withstand. (Med.Gaz.xxxix. Iu 1845, a young man was brought before one of the Londou Police-magistrates in a stupefied state: to those present he appeared to be intoxicated. It was proved in evidence that he was in the habit of taking ether, and that he was then labouring under its effects. It appeared that he frequented the shops of druggists, and swallowed this liquid in large doses. There is no instance reported of ether having eansed death when taken in the liquid form: but it has never been swallowed at once in the same excessive doses as alcohol. It does not admit of dilution with water to the same degree as alcohol, and therefore it acts, exeteris paribus, as a more violent local irritant. It requires ten parts of water to dissolve one of ether: hence, unless, as Dr. Buchanan has remarked, the water be in very large proportion, it does not reuder the other palatable to most persons. It is at present impossible to give any precise opinion respecting the smallest quantity of this liquid which would destroy the life of an adult.

Chemical analysis.—Ether is at once identified by its powerful odour, even in the smallest proportion. 1. It is highly inflammable, and burns with a yellow smoky flame. 2. When shaken with its bulk of water, only a small portion is dissolved; the rest floats on the surface. If taken in the liquid form, it may be separated from the contents of the stomach by distillation, and the product rectified by redistillation with carbonate of potash. Hoffman's Liquor is a mixture of alcohol and ether. This may be easily examined by agitating it with half its bulk of water; the ether (beyond about one-tenth of the quantity of water used) rises to the surface, and may be drawn off by a pipette. The alcohol is dissolved and retained by the water; this liquid may be procured by distillation with carbonate of potash, or fused chloride

of calcium, and its properties then tested.

NARCOTICO-IRRITANT POISONS.

CHAPTER XX.

GENERAL REMARKS — ANALYSIS — TREATMENT — NUX VOMICA—
STBYCHNIA — COLCHICUM — WHITE HELLEBORE — DIGITALIS—
CONIUM MACULATUM—DATURA STRAMONIUM—ACONITE—DEADLY
NIGHTSHADE — TOBACCO — COCCULUS INDICUS — LABURNUM —
MUSHROOMS — YEW.

General remarks.—Some remarks have been already made on the Narcotico-irritant class of poisons (ante, p. 9). Toxicologists are not agreed respecting the distinction between these and narcotic poisons: the only difference commonly admitted is, that the narcotico-irritants have a direct action on the spinal marrow and nerves, indicated by paralysis and convulsions, while the narcotics specially affect the brain: but this can scarcely be regarded as a sufficient ground of distinction, since there is a greater difference between the physiological action of Nux vonica and Belladonna, than between Belladonna and Hyoseyamus. So, again, Opium and Prussic acid affect the spinal marrow, and produce convulsions. The whole of the narcotic and narcotico-irritant poisons might be arranged under one class, as Neurotic poisons, from their chief action being on the nervous system; but I have thought it advisable to retain the division which has been of late years uniformly adopted in this country.

The Narcotico-irritant poisons are derived from the vegetable kingdom. Their effects on the body are of a mixed character, since both the brain and alimentary canal are liable to be affected by them.

In order to prove fatal, they require to be exhibited commonly in large doses. The symptoms in most cases appear in about an hour; but sometimes they may be delayed for many hours. This has been especially noticed with regard to poisonous mushrooms. The symptoms commonly observed are vertigo, coma, delirium, paralysis and convulsions; such, at least, are the effects resulting from Monkshood (Aconite) and Deadly Nightshade (Belladonna). These poisons have in general a strong and well-marked taste, so that they cannot be criminally administered without suspicion being excited, or without detection. Murder by Monksbood has been accomplished by the criminal substitution of the leaves of this plant for other vegetables at a meal.

The Strychnos tribe, including Nux Vomica, has a specific action on the spinal marrow, producing tetanns and convulsions, but rarely coma or delirium. Squills and Foxglove (Digitalis) produce symptoms of narcotism, i. e. they affect the brain; but these symptoms are commonly preceded by vomiting, with violent pain in the stomach and bowels, indicative of an irritant action.

Thus, then, there is great variety in the effects produced by this class of poisons, and the same may be said of the post-mortem appearances in the bodies of those who have been killed by them. In some instances the stomach and intestines are inflamed: in others not. When the person has died under symptoms of narcotism, traces of cerebral congestion are occasionally found; but cases of fatal poisoning by these vegetable substances are so rare, that we have yet much to learn respecting the morbid changes which they produce.

Orfila and other toxicologists have remarked that the narcotic and irritant effects of these vegetable poisons are seldom manifested in the same case. The symptoms are those either of narcotism or irritation, and they sometimes alternate: when taken in large doses, they seem to

act principally as Narcotics, in small doses as Irritants.

Analysis .- Most of the Narcotico-irritant poisons owe their deleterious effects to the presence of an alkaloidal principle similar to morphia, and susceptible of insulation by complex chemical processes. There is, however, considerable difficulty in extracting these alkaloids from the respective vegetables; and when extracted, the chemical differences among them, in respect to the action of tests, are very slight. Indeed, better evidence of the poisonous nature of a liquid, would commonly be derived from the exhibition of a portion of it to animals, than from the application of chemical tests. In a medicolegal point of view, there are, with few exceptions, no chemical tests for these poisons, when they are mixed up with organic liquids, upou which reliance can be placed. When the vegetable has been used, either in the shape of seeds, leaves, berries, or root, then valuable evidence may be sometimes procured by searching, with or without the aid of a good microscope, for the botanical characters of the plant; these parts of the plant, from their indigestible nature, may be found iu the vomited matters or evacuations during life, or in the alimentary canal after death. The broken leaves may be separated by washing, as they are quite insoluble in water: they may be therefore easily collected, dried on mica, and examined by the microscope, which, under the hands of a good botanist, may thus reveal the nature of the poison. This source of evidence will, however, often fail, owing to the poison having been taken in the form of extract, infusion, or decoction; or even, in some instances, owing to the digestive action of the stomach itself on the vegetable matter. The active alkaloidal principle is no doubt absorbed in all cases of poisoning; but it has not yet been satisfactorily detected by chemical processes in the blood or secretions.

Some years since, I was consulted in a case in which there was hardly a medical doubt that the life of a person had been destroyed by the decoction of a narcotico-irritant vegetable. The fact, however,

could not be clearly established. It is much to be regretted, that post-mortem examinations are not enforced as an indispensable part of a coroner's inquest, in all instances of narcotico-irritant poisoning. There is no department of toxicology so defective as this; only a few pathological ebaracters have been observed in cases derived almost exclusively from foreign authorities; and in regard to the effects of some of these poisons on the human body, nothing whatever is known except that they destroy life. The acquisition of any sort of medical experience on these points, in England, is unfortunately left to be a matter of the purest accident; and yet on a trial for murder by any of these poisous, our law-authorities would expect that a witness should be perfectly conversant with their effects on the body, while the only possible source of acquiring such knowledge in a satisfactory manner, is entirely cut off from the medical profession! Some well-informed coroners have endeavoured, in performing their duties, thus to benefit the public; but the generality of them act on the principle that the inquest in such cases, is merely to record the fact of death from an external view of the body.

Treatment.—The treatment of a case of narcotico-irritant poisoning consists in promoting early vomiting by emetics, or in drawing off the contents of the stomach by the stomach-pump. If there should be reason to suppose, from the seat of pain, that the poison has descended into the bowels, then laxative enemata may be used. Recoveries have taken place when the poison has been thus removed, even although formidable symptoms had set in. Cold affusion, or stimulants, may occasionally be required: the patient, if inclined to sleep, should always be kept roused. There is no certain chemical autidote to any of these poisons. Tannin precipitates all the alkaloids: hence it has been strongly recommended as an antidote. No injury can follow its exhibition: and a decoction of black tea will be a good substitute for oak-bark or galls. Coffee may be used as a stimulant. With respect to electricity, Ducros found that the negative current was beneficial to animals poisoned by strychnia or brucia: while the positive current produced convulsions, and accelerated death. (Canstatt, Jahresbericht, 1844, v. 297.) The narcotico-irritants appear to have no corrosive properties: some of them give rise to a sense of burning heat in the throat and stomach; this is a local action entirely independent of chemical change: it is especially witnessed in the case of monkshood. In this chapter we shall select for consideration only those poisons which most commonly give rise to accidents.

NUX VOMICA.

Cases of poisoning by nux vomica are not unfrequent. In 1837-8, there were three fatal cases marked in the coroner's return, and one case of poisoning by strychnia. The poisonous properties of nux vomica are due to the presence of Strychnia; the symptoms in the two cases are alike, but of course much more severe when pro-

duced by the pure alkaloid. Nnx vomica is usually taken in the form of powder.

Symptoms.—The powder has an intensely bitter taste, which is very persistent. In from five to twenty minutes after it has been swallowed, the patient is suddenly seized with tetanic spasms, affecting the whole of the muscular system, the body becoming rigid, the limbs stretched out, and the jaws so fixed that considerable difficulty is experienced in introducing anything into the mouth. The muscles of the chest are also fixed by spasmodic contraction, and the body sometimes assumes the state of opisthotonos: the intellect is clear. This spasmodic state ceases, but, after a short interval, reappears, and the chest is so fixed as to give a sense of impending suffocation. After several such attacks, increasing in severity, the patient dies asphyxiated. Drowsiness and a feeling of general illness have sometimes preceded the attack; vomiting, pain in the abdomen, and other symptoms of irritation, have been occasionally witnessed where the case was protracted; but in general, death takes place long before such symptoms are manifested.

Post-mortem appearances.—In a well-marked case of poisoning by this substance at University College Hospital in 1839, the only appearances met with, were general turgescence of the brain and its vessels. A quantity of the powder was found in the stomach, to the mucous membrane of which it adhered very tenacionsly; there was injection, with many eechymosed points at the cardiac extremity. The brain, as well as the spinal marrow, has been found softened. The spasmodic condition of the body has been observed to continue after

death, and to pass into the state of cadaverous rigidity.

With respect to the quantity required to destroy life,—according to Christison, the smallest dose yet recorded is three grains of the alcoholic extract: but it is not stated to how much of the powder this would correspond. Two cases occurred in London, in 1839, in each of which fifty grains of the powder (equal to one-fourth of a grain of strychnia) proved fatal. In one of these cases, death took place in an honr; the chemist who sold the poison said that he did not think a dose of fifty grains was sufficient to cause death; but a much smaller quantity has been known to destroy life. One case proved fatal where thirty grains of the powder were taken in two doses: (Christison, 901;) and in another reported by Dr. Traill, fifteen grains destroyed life; this is probably the smallest fatal dose yet known. (Outlines, 137.) Death usually occurs in from one to two hours : but Dr. Christison mentions a case where a man died in fifteen minutes after taking a dose. (988.) This is probably the shortest period. There are several instances of recovery on record. Sobernheim mentions the case of a young man who took half an ounce of the powder, and suffered from the usual symptoms; emetics were administered, and he recovered. A second occurred to Dr. Basedow, of Merseburg. A young lady swallowed, by mistake, a tablespoonful of the powder; she was almost instantly deprived of the power of walking, and fell down, but did not lose her recollection. There was great difficulty of breathing. Eineties were administered with good effect, and she recovered. A third case is described by Mr. Baynham, of Birmingham. A girl aged twenty, swallowed half an ounce of the powder. In half an hour the usual tetanic symptoms came on, but she was perfectly sensible. In administering remedies, the spasm of the muscles of the jaw was such as to cause her to bite through the cup. The convulsions gradually subsided in about four bours from the first attack, and the next day, although feeble and exhausted, she was able to walk home. (Med. Gaz. iii. 445.) The reporter of this case states that he has often prescribed a scruple of powdered nux vomica daily, without any injurious effects following! It may be proper to mention in this place that nux vomica in powder is retailed to the public at eightpence an ounce.

Treatment.—The removal of the poison from the stomach by emeties, or the use of the stomach-pump, must be chiefly relied on. Unless these means be employed early, the jaw may become spasmodically fixed, so us to render all efforts at relief unavailing. In general, however, the spasms have internaissions, so that there may be

time to apply remedies in the interval.

Chemical analysis.-Nux vomica is well known as a flat round kernel, less than an inch in diameter, with radiating fibres, slightly raised in the centre. It is of a light brown colour, and covered with a fine silky down. It is very bard, brittle, tough, and difficult to pulverize. The powder is of a grey brown colour, like that of liquorice: it is sometimes met with in a coarsely rasped state:-it has an intensely bitter taste. It yields to water and alcohol, strychnia, brucia, igasuric or strychnic acid, and some common vegetable principles. Heated on platina-foil, it burns with a smoky flame. Nitrie acid turns it of a deep orange-red colour, which is destroyed by protochloride of tin. The aqueous infusion is similarly changed by nitric acid, and it is freely precipitated by tineture of galls. The quantity of strychnia contained in the powder has not been very accurately determined. It probably amounts to 0.5 grain or one-balf grain per cent. If this be the ease, the strychnia is more energetic when contained in the nut, than when separated.

When max vomica has been taken in the form of powder, we can only identify it in the stomach by demonstrating the presence of its strychnia. As the powder is quite insoluble in water, it may generally be separated by decantation.

STRYCHNIA AND ITS SALTS.

The symptoms produced by strychnia closely resemble those described in speaking of nux vomica. The following case is reported in the Laneet, (Jan. 7, 1838.) A young man, aged seventeen, swallowed forty grains of strychnia. The symptoms came on in about a

quarter of an hour; trismus and spasmodic contractiou of all the muscles speedily set in, the whole body becoming as stiff as a board; the lower extremities were extended and stiff, and the soles of the feet concave. The skin became livid, the eyeballs prominent, and the pupils dilated and insensible; the patient lay for a few minutes without consciousness, and in a state of universal tetanus. A remission occurred, but the symptoms became aggravated, and the patient died asphyxiated from the spasm of the chest, in about an hour and a half after taking the poison. On inspection, twenty hours after death, the body was very rigid. There was effusion in the spinal sheath, and the upper part of the spinal marrow was softened; the brain was congested, but the alimentary canal was in its normal state.

The quantity of strychnia required to destroy life is very small. The smallest fatal dosc was in the case of Dr. Warner, who died from the effects of half a grain of sulphate of strychnia in about fourteen minutes. On the other hand, a person has been known to recover

from a dose of seven grains.

The symptoms produced by strychnia very much resemble those of tetanus; but in the last-mentioned disease the symptoms are more slowly formed, and can only be coincidentally connected with the taking of some kind of solid or liquid. Death is a much more rapid effect of the poison, than of the disease as it is produced by natural causes. Medical men may, however, be easily deceived respecting the origin of the symptoms, when the dose is small and frequently repeated. A few years since, an action was brought against an Insurance Company, to recover the amount due on a policy for the life of a young lady. She died under very suspicious circumstances, soon after several insurances on her life had been effected by the plaintiff in the cause. The party did not recover in the action, and he ultimately fled the country; it was rendered probablo afterwards, that he had destroyed the deceased by administering to her, strychnia in porter.

The Bean of St. Ignatius, the Wourali poison, and the Upas tieuté, owe their poisonous properties to this alkaloid. The former is said to contain from 1.2 to nearly 2 per cent. of strychnia, a quantity three

times as great as that found in nux vomica.

COLCHICUM. (MEADOW SAFERON.) COLCHICINA. WHITE HELLE-BORE. VERATRIA.

The roots and seeds of these plants, and the leaves and flowers of colchicum, exert a violent action on the human subject, chiefly manifested by symptoms of irritation in the alimentary canal. With a burning pain in the throat and esophagus, there have been violent vomiting and purging, and death in the course of some hours. After death, the stomach has been found inflamed, but not in all instances. In November, 1839, a gentleman swallowed by mistake one ounce and n half of wine of colchicum. He was immediately seized with severe pain in the abdomen: other symptoms of irritation came on, and he

died in seven hours. No post-mortem examination was required by In another instance in which an ounce was taken, death occurred in thirty-nine hours. (Schneider's Aunalen, i. 232.) In a well-marked case of poisoning by the wine of colchieum, reported by Mr. Fereday, two ounces were taken. The symptoms did not come on for an hour and a half; there was then copious vomiting of a vellow fluid, severe pain with great tenderness in the abdomen, tenesmus and thirst. The patient died in forty-eight hours, without manifesting any sign of cerebral disturbance. The chief morbid appearance was a patch of redness in the mucous membrane of the stomach, near the cardiae orifice; the intestines were slightly inflamed. In another case, where an ounce and a half of the tineture was taken, and death ensued in forty-eight hours, no morbid appearances were found. A man, age I fifty-two, took a decoction, made with a table-spoonful of colchicum seeds to a pint and a half of water. He was seized with vomiting and purging, continuing incessantly until death, which took place in about thirty-six hours. The only appearance of note was that the stomach had a violet or purple huc. An interesting case of poisoning hy the medicinal administration of colchicum, has been communicated to me by Mr. Mann, of Bartholomew Close. Three and a half drachins of the wine of colchicum were taken in divided doses, and cansed death on the fourth day. There was no inflammation of the mucous membrane, but simply extravasation of blood in the mucous follicles.

Colchieum and White Hellebore owe their poisonous properties to the alkaloids Colchicina and Veratria, which are powerful poisons when separated. But little is known concerning their action. friend communicated to me the following fact. A physician prescribed medicinally for a lady, oue grain of veratria divided into fifty pills, and three were directed to he taken for a dose. Not long after the first dose had heen swallowed, the patient was found insensible, the surface cold, the pulse failing, and there was every symptom of approaching dissolution. She remained some hours in a doubtful condition, but ultimately recovered. Supposing the medicine to have been well mixed, and the pills equally divided, not more than one-sixteenth of a grain of veratria was here takeu. This, at any rate, proves that the substance is a very active poison. The medicinal doses of the vinegar and wive of colchicum are from half a drachm to a drachm. - of tho tineture from twenty minims to thirty, and of the powder from two to eight grains.

DIGITALIS. (FOXGLOVE.) DIGITALIA.

This plant, whether in the form of powder, extract, tineture, or infusiou, is a poison, acting both on the brain and alimentary canal. The leaves appear to have the most powerful action. One of the hest marked cases of poisoning by this plant, became the subject of a criminal trial at the Old Bailey in Oct. 1826. A quack was indicted for the

manslanghter of a boy under the following circumstances. He prescribed for a trivial complaint, six onnces of a strong decoction of digitalis. The boy was soon attacked with vomiting, purging, and severe pain in the abdomen. After some time, he became lethargic, and slept for several hours; in the night he was scized with convulsions. The pupils were dilated and insensible, the pulse slow, small, and irregnlar; coma followed, and the boy died twenty-two hours after the taking of the poison. On inspection, the membranes of the brain were found much jujected, and the inucous lining of the stomach was partially The prisoner was acquitted of the charge, because he had only given his advice on the application of the friends of the deceased ! (Ed. Mcd. and Surg. Jour., xxvii. 223.) For a case of recovery from a strong dose of the infusion, see Mcd. Gaz., xxxiv. 659. Accidents sometimes occur from the medicinal use of the tineture. In a late number of the Medical Gazette, is the account of a case, where from a dose of the tineture too frequently repeated, the person was attacked with restlessness, thirst, inflamed conjunctive, and other serious symptoms. The medicinal dose of the infusion is from half an onnce to one ounce :- of the tineture, from ten minims to forty :- of the powder, from half a grain to one grain and a half.

CONIUM MACULATUM. (COMMON HEMLOCK.)

The leaves and roots of this plant, in common with those of the Cicuta Virosa (Water Hemlock), Æthusa Cynapium (Fool's Parsley), Enanthe Crocata (Hemlock Water-dropwort), have frequently given rise to accidents. The symptoms which they produce are dimness of sight, vertigo, delirimn, swelling with pain in the abdomen, vomiting, and diarrhea. Convulsions are sometimes observed. commonly takes place rapidly, and the post-mortem appearances are slight; sometimes amounting merely to congestion of the brain, with slight iuflammatory redness of the stomach and bowels. Enanthe crocata appears to be the most fatal among these plants. In February, 1834, four convicts at Woolwich lost their lives by eating the roots of this vegetable, which they had mistaken for parsnips. One died in less than an hour. (For an account of these cases, see Med. Gaz., May, 1844.) On inspection, their stomachs were found completely filled with slices of the root. Ten others who had also partaken of the root suffered severely, but recovered. This is one of the most virulent of English vegetable poisons. It is found growing abundantly in the South of Ireland. Dr. Pickells has collected thirty cases of death from the eating of the root,—the quantity taken in one instance did not exceed the top of the finger in size. The symptoms were insensibility, tetanus, delirium, and insanity. Dr. Christison has not found this plant, as it grows in Scotland, to be poisonous; but it is an active poison as it grows in England, Wales, and Ireland. The following is a case of poisoning by the Athusa cynapium, renorted in a late number of the Mcdicinischea Jahrbach. A woman gave two of her children some sonp, in which she had boiled the root of this plant, unistaking it for parsley. They were both seized with severe pain in the abdomen, and the next morning, one of them, a boy, aged eight years, was in a state of perfect nuconscionsness, and his jaws were spasmodically fixed. The abdomen was swollen; there was vomiting of bloody muens, with obstinate diarrhea,—the extremities were cold, and the whole body was convulsed. He died in twenty-four hours. The only appearances met with, were redness of the lining membrane of the esophagus and trachea, with slight vascular congestion of the stomach and duodenum. For a recent and very interesting case of poisoning hy Conium, by Dr. Bennett, I must refer the reader to the Ed. Med. and Surg. Journal, July, 1845, p. 169. (See also, On Poisons, 752.)

DATURA STRAMONIUM. (THORNAPPLE.) DATURIA.

The following case, reported by Mr. Mash of Northampton, may be taken as an example of the effects produced by this plant, all the parts of which, but especially the seeds and fruit, are poisonous. woman, aged thirty-six, took two teacnpfuls of infusion of stramonium, by mistake for senna tea. In about ten minutes, she was seized with dimness of sight, giddiness, and fainting. In two hours she was quite insensible, the pupils were fixed and dilated; all the muscles of the body convulsed, the countenance flushed, and the pulse full and slow. The stomach-pump was applied, and in the course of a few hours she recovered, suffering, however, from indistinctness of vision and vertigo. (Med. Gaz. viii. 605.) The seeds of this plant have been known to produce furious delirium; and a case is mentioned by Sauvages of an old man of sixty, who, after taking this poison, hecame intoxicated, maniacal, and lost the power of speech. He remained in a lethargic state for five hours. Several fatal cases are reported, one of which terminated in six hours. Dr. Thomson relates the case of a child, aged two years, who swallowed sixteen grains of the seeds. Maniacal delirium supervened; the symptoms resembled those of hydrophobia, and death took place in twenty-four This plant has been used by robbers for the purpose of stupefying those whom they intend to attack. A very interesting medico-legal case of poisoning by thornapple will be found reported in Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1837, i. H.; and another in the Lancet, April 1845, 47. Dr. Zechmeister has lately reported the case of a hoy, aged five years, from which it would appear that the vapour of the full-blown flowers is capable of giving rise to wellmarked symptoms of poisoning. (Oesterreich, Med. Wochensehr. 19 Juli, 1845.)

Aconitum napellus. (Monkshood. Wolfsbane. Blue-rocket.) Aconita.

Two deaths are recorded to have taken place from this poisonous plant in 1837-8. The root, seeds, and leaves, contain a most active poison, Aconita, to which the properties of the plant are due. These parts of the plant possess a hot acrid taste, and give rise to a hurning scusatiou in the fauces, numbness and tingling in the limbs, swelling and pain in the abdomen, vomiting and diarrhea, accompanied by vertigo, delirium, dimness of sight, and other symptoms, indicative of ccrebral affection. In 1842, a lady, residing at Lambeth, was poisoned by her having eaten the root in mistake for horse-radish with some roast beef. It is not likely that, under these circumstances, much could have been caten; but very shortly after dinner, slight vomiting came on, with severe pain in the abdomen. Emetics and the stomach-

pump were used, but she died in three hours.

In the hospital at Bordeaux, five grains of fresh extract of aconite were given to three patients. One of them died in three hours. In a quarter of an hour after taking the poison, the patients had tremors of the muscles, and a pricking sensation over their hodies; severe vomiting followed. They became quite unconscious; and on recovering their senses, there was confusion of sight with intense headache; the skin was cold and elammy, the pulse slow and irregular, and the respiration short and hurried. Two of the patients recovered. (Mcd. Chir. Rev. Oct. 1839, 544.) One drachm of the root is said to have proved fatal; but it is probable that less than this would suffice to kill an adult. There appears to be considerable uncertainty in the operation of this poison under the form of tineture. In a case which occurred to M. Devay, (Cormack's Edinhurgh Journal, April, 1844.) a man recovered in three days after having taken upwards of ten drachins of the tineture (only infused for a day), while the late Dr. Male of Birmingham, is reported to have died from the effects of not more than eighty drops taken in ten doses, over a period of four days,—the largest quantity taken at once heing ten drops. (Prov. Med. and Surg. Journ. August 20, 1845, p. 535; also Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 861.) Dr. Pereira informs me that he has known general numbness produced in hysterical females by a dose of only five minims of a carefully prepared tincture. The alkaloidal base of this plant, Aconita, is a most formidable poison, exceeding all others in its effects: according to Dr. Percira, it is strongly retained in the vegetable tissues after their compression. Hence the uncertainty of the preparations of aconite.

ATROPA BELLADONNA. (DEADLY NIGHTSHADE.) ATROPIA.

This plant is poisonous in its root, leaves, and berries. Children have frequently suffered severely from eating the shining black herries of the helladonna. The symptoms observed have been heat and dryness of the throat and fauces,—vertigo, double vision, with delirium, convulsions, sopor, and lethargy; sometimes vomiting and nausea. The pupils are much dilated, and the eyes are insensible to light. On inspection the vessels of the hrain have been found turgid with dark-coloured blood. Several deaths from the effects of the herries

occurred in this metropolis in the autumn of 1846. Dr. Scharf has published a case of poisoning by the root of belladonna infused in four ounces of water and injected as a clyster. In a very short time the patient fell into a state of complete narcotism, and died in five hours. (Casper's Wochenschrift, February, 1845.)

NICOTIANA TABACUM. (TOBACCO).

This plant, according to late researches, contains a poisonous alkaloidal principle, nicotina, intimately combined with an essential oil. Tobacco has proved fatal, when used improperly or by mistake, in the form of an injection; but very little is known concerning the few cases in which it has destroyed life. The symptoms have been nausea, vomiting, vertigo, convulsions, and coma, followed by death in a few hours. In one case it destroyed life in three-quarters of an hour.

Cocculus Indicus.

This is the fruit or berry of the Menispermum Cocculus, imported from the East Indies. It contains from one to two per cent. of a poisonous alkaloid (*Pierotoxia*.) The seeds give rise to vomiting and griping pains, and a decoction of them produces stupor and intoxication. There is, so far as I am aware, only one well-authenticated instance of this substance having proved fatal to man. (See Traill's Outlines, 146.) London porter and ale are considered, and in some instances with propriety, to owe their intoxicating properties to a decoction or extract of these berries, a fraud not readily susceptible of detection. Cocculus indicus is also used by robbers to intoxicate their victims, and to this form of intoxication, the term hocussing is applied. This substance is applied to no useful purpose whatever, either in medicine or the arts; and, under a proper system of medical police, its importation would be strictly prohibited.

CYTISUS LABURNUM.

The bark and seeds of the common laburnum contain an active poison called Cytisine. Dr. Traill met with two cases of poisoning by the seeds, and an interesting case has been more recently reported by Dr. Christison, (Ed. Med. and S. J. Oct. 1843.) which was the subject of a trial at Inverness. A youth, with the intention of merely producing vomiting in one of his fellow-servants, a female, put some dry laburnum-bark into the hroth which was being prepared for their dinner. The cook, who remarked "a strong peculiar taste" in the broth, soon hecame very ill, and in five minutes was attacked with violent vomiting. The account of the symptoms is imperfect; for the cause of them was not even suspected until six months afterwards. The vomiting continued thirty-six hours; was accompanied by shivering,—pain in the abdomen, especially in the stomach,—and great febleness, with severe purging. These symptoms coutinued, more or less, for a period of eight months; and she fell off in flesh and strength?

At this period she was seen by a physician, who had been called on by the law authorities to investigate the case. She was then suffering from gastro-intestinal irritation, vomiting after food, pain in the abdomen—increased by pressure, diarrhea, tenesmus, and bloody stools, with other serious symptoms. The medical opinion was, that she was then in a highly dangerous state. The woman did not eventually recover until the following April. There was no doubt, from the investigation made by Dr. Ross and Dr. Christison, that her protracted illness was really due to the effects of the laburnum-bark.

There are no chemical means of detecting the nature of this poison, especially when administered in powder or infusion; or when, as in this criminal case, a decoction of the bark is given in food. The only plan for determining the deleterious properties of the substance would be by exhibiting a portion to animals. As Dr. Christison remarks, these facts are of considerable importance; and as they relate to a substance so common, and so easily obtained by every one, they ought to be more generally known to the profession than they appear to be at present.

Fungi. Mushrooms.

Poisoning by mushrooms is by no means unusual as the result of accident. In 1837-8 there were four fatal cases of this description. There do not appear to be any satisfactory rules for distinguishing those mushrooms which are wholesome from those which are poi-The best test is that assigned by Dr. Christisou—namely, that the poisonous vegetable has an astringent styptic taste; and perhaps also a disagrecable but certainly a pungent odour. The narcotic poisonous principle is called Fungin, but its nature and properties are but imperfectly known. These fungi act sometimes as oarcotics, at others as irritants. It is difficult to generalize where observations are so limited; but it would appear from the reports of several cases which I have collected, that when the narcotic symptoms are excited, they come on soon after the meal at which the mushrooms have been eaten, and they are manifested by giddiness, dimness of sight and debility. Dr. Peddic bas related three cases of poisoning by mushrooms, in which the poison acted as a pure narcotic; there was no pain in the abdomen, nor irritation in the alimentary canal (Ed. M. and S. J. xlix. 200.) The narcotic symptoms began in half an hour with giddiness and stupor. The first effect with one patient was, that every object appeared to him to be of a blne colour. The three patients recovered, two of them rapidly. When the drowsiness passes off, there is generally nausea and vomiting. If the symptoms do not occur until many hours after the meal, they partake more of the characters of irritation; -indicated by pain and swelling of the abdomen. vomiting and purging. Several cases, in which the symptoms did not appear until after the lapse of fourteen hours, are reported in the · Medical Gazette (vol. xxv. p. 110.) In some instances the symptoms of poisoning have not commenced until after the lapse of thirty hours; and in these, narcotism followed the symptoms of irritation. It might be supposed that these different effects were due to different properties in the mushrooms; but the same fungi have acted on members of the same family in one case like irritants, and in another like narcotics. In some persons, even the edible mushrooms will produce disorder of the stomach and bowels by the effect of idiosyncrasy. In most of these eases recovery takes place, especially if vomiting be induced: in the few instances which have proved fatal, there has been more or less juffammation in the stomach and bowels, with turgescence of the vessels of the brain. Even Catsup, a liquor made from mushrooms, has been known to produce serious effects. (Dub. Med. Press, Sept. 24, 1845, p. 195.)

A case is related by Christison, which shows that a medical jurist may be easily misled when any active poison is mixed with and administered in a dish of mushrooms. (779.) A servant girl poisoned her mistress, by mixing arsenic with mushrooms. This person died in twenty hours, after suffering severely from vomiting and colic pains. On dissection, the stomach and intestines were found inflamed. Death was uscribed to the effects of the mushrooms, which were cousidered to have been unwholsome; and the fact of poisoning only came out many years afterwards, by the confession of the prisoner. This shows with what a watchful eye such cases should be examined: in the absence of poison from the stomach, it would be extremely difficult to

develope the truth.

YEW.

It has long been known, that the berries and leaves of the yew-tree (TAXUS BACCATA) are poisonous to cattle ; - they act very energetically, and produce death in a few hours, sometimes without vomiting or purging. It is stated by Dr. Percival, that a table spoouful of the fresh leaves was administered to three children of five, four, and three years of age as a vermifuge. Yawning and listlessness soon sneeceded: the eldest vomited a little, and complained of pain in the abdomen, but the other two suffered no pain. They all died within a few hours of each other. An interesting case of poisoning by the berries of this tree, was published a few years since by Mr. Hurt, of Mansfield. child aged three years and a half ate a quantity of yew-berries about eleven o'clock. In an hour afterwards the child appeared ill, but did not complain of any pain. It vomited part of its dinner, mixed with some of the berries. A medical man was sent for, but the child died in convulsions before he arrived. On inspection, the stomach was found filled with mneus and the half-digested pulp of the berries and seeds. There were patches of redness in the mucous membrane, and this was so much softened that it could be detached with the slightest friction. The small intestines were also inflamed.

WOUNDS.

CHAPTER XXI.

VARIOUS SURGICAL DEFINITIONS OF A WOUND.—INJURY TO THE SKIN—LEGAL DEFINITION—AN ABRASION OF THE CUTICLE NOT A WOUND—IMPLIES IMMEDIATE AND NOT REMOTE LACERATION OF THE SKIN—IS A DISLOCATION A WOUND?—WOUNDS DANGEROUS TO LIFE—THE DANGER IMMINENT—WOUNDS PRODUCING GRIEVOUS BODILY HARM. INTENT OF THE ACCUSED, A QUESTION FOR THE JURY—DYING DECLARATIONS—CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THEY ARE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE—RULES TO BE OBSERVED BY THE MEDICAL WITNESS IN RECEIVING THEM—MISTAKEN IDENTITY IN INJURIES OF THE HEAD.

When a person is the subject of a wound or external injury, from the effects of which he ultimately recovers, a medical witness is often rigorously examined with respect to the precise nature of the injury, and how far it involved a risk of life. The answers to these questions may have an important influence on the defence of a prisoner, when the crime is charged under particular forms of indictment.

Definition of a wound.—It may, I think, he safely asserted, that we shall look in vain for any consistent definition of a wound, in works on medicine and surgery. A wound is, perhaps, most commonly defined to be, a "recent solution of continuity in the soft parts, suddeuly occasioned by external causes." Yet they who adopt this view, do not regard as wounds, ruptures of the liver or spleen, burns by heated bodies, or simple dislocations and fractures; although all of these injuries are comprehended in the literal signification of such a definition. The following definitions of a wound have been furnished to me by three cminent surgeons of this metropolis.

"A solution of continuity from violence of any naturally continuous parts."

"An external breach of continuity directly occasioned by violence."

"An injury to an organic texture by mechanical or other violence."

Owing to the unsettled meaning of the word wound, it has happened on more than one occasion, that medical witnesses have differed in

their evidence; and some difficulty has arisen in the prosecution of criminal charges. It has been asserted, that in order to constitute a wound, the skin should always be broken or injured; and this, as we shall see presently, is the interpretation commonly put upon the term by our judges. But those who have adopted this view, do not regard burns, produced either by heated metals, or corrosive liquids, as wounds; although there seems to be no good reason why, mider the above definition, they should be excluded. Technical difficulties of this kind, which only lead to the embarrassment of witnesses and to the acquittal of prisoners charged with serious offences, might be avoided if the medical witnesses of England were allowed to adopt the comprehensive definition sanctioned by the legal tribunals of certain States on the Continent, namely, that "a would includes every description of personal injury, arising from whatever cause, applied externally." It may appear contrary to propriety to designate a contusion or fracture as a wound; but the common definitions will be found, on examination, to be equally inconsistent, and to be attended, in legal medicine, by evil results, inasmueb as they lead to acquittals, not upon the merits of the case, but upon the most trivial pretences. This could not happen if the above comprehensive signification were generally followed. It appears to me, that in a case of this kind, we should rather regard the wants of justice than the rules of surgery. If medicolegal cases fail from differences respecting the meaning of scientific terms among surgical writers, it is time that some fixed rule should be adopted. While the science of surgery cannot possibly suffer by such an innovation, the administration of the law will be rendered much more efficient.

Legal definition.—It cannot be denied, however, that an alteration of this kind, in the use of medical terms, must, in order to be attended with any good effects, receive the support of our legal authorities. This, probably, would not be long withheld, if good reasons for the change were afforded by medical witnesses. The present rule appears to be, that no injury constitutes a wound in law, unless the continuity of the skin be broken, so that in a case where blows were inflicted with a hammer or iron-instrument sufficient to break the collar-bone, and violently bruise but not break the skin, it was held not to be a wounding within the statute. (Archbold.) A recent Act of Parliament (1 Vic. c. 85), has in some measure provided for the punishment of persons guilty of inflicting such severe injuries, but still it has left the legal signification of the word wound, unsettled. From several recent decisions, it appears that an abrasion of the euticle only, is not to be understood as a breaking of the continuity of the skin,—the entis or true skin must participate in the injury; and probably the cellular membrane beneath. A man was tried at the Central Criminal Court in August, 1838, on a charge of cutting and wounding the prosecutor. The prisoner struck the prosecutor a severe blow on the temple with a heavy stone-bottle, which was thereby broken to pieces.

The prosecutor fell senseless, and it was a long time before he recovered from the effects of the violence. The medical witnesses in this case, underwent a rigorous cross-examination by the prisoaer's counsel, respecting the meaning of the word "wound." They said that there had been a separation of the cuticle or outer skin of the temple, although there was no absolute wound in the usual acceptation of the word. They further deposed that the prosecutor had lost the sight of his left eye, and the hearing of his left ear; and he was for a considerable time in a state of great danger, from which he had scarcely recovered. The prisoner's counsel contended that the injuries were not such as to constitute cutting and wounding in law. judge said, in order that a wound, in contemplation of law, should have been inflicted, it was necessary that the whole skin, and not the merc cuticle, should have been separated and divided; and as the cvidence did not show distiactly that there was such a wound, those counts of the indictment could not be sustained. The prisoner was found guilty of an assault. Hence it would appear that had the accused used a penknife, although he might have inflicted a much less degree of bodily injury, he might, according to the above doctriue, have been found guilty under the highly penal statute of wounding. (See also the ease of the Queen v. Mortlock, post.)

It is also to be inferred, that for the production of a wound, the contimuity of the skia must be broken at the time of the infliction of the violence, and as a direct effect of it. Thus, if from a severe coutusion, sloughing should take place, this would not constitute a wound, notwithstanding the very extensive destruction of the skin and soft parts, as an indirect result of the violence. So if a bone of the leg be broken by a hlow, and the skin lacerated, and a compound fracture produced by the assaulted party falling, it is doubtful whether this would be a wounding within the statute. Again, if an assault be committed with a heated solid, such as a red-hot poker; although the whole skin might here be destroyed, it is doubtful whether such an injury would constitnte a wound in law. In short, this subject, whether we regard it in a medical or legal aspect, is in a most unsettled state; and a conviction for the offeace of criminal wounding, must depend in a great measure npon the care used in describing the injury in the indictment. description may, however, be given under a variety of names. A case was decided in the Queen's Beach in November, 1847, which shows that in a civil action, our judges are not disposed to put too close a restriction upon the meaning of medical terms. The question here was. whether a dislocation was or was not a wound. An action was brought against a medical practitioner for negligence in the treatment of a dislocation of the arm, and a verdict was returned for the plaintiff. An application was made to the Court of Queen's Bench for a rule to show cause why there should not he a new trial, on the ground of a misdirection of the learned Chief Baron, who tried the case. The declaration alleged that the plaintiff had employed the defendant, who was a surgeon, for the treatment and cure of certain wounds, fractures, bruises, complaints, and disorders; but the evidence shewed that the defendant had heen employed to cure the plaintiff of a dislocated arm. At the close of the plaintiff's case, it was submitted to the learned Chief Baron that there was no word in the declaration which was applicable to the case; hut this objection was overruled. A dislocation, it was argued, was neither a wound, hruise, nor fracture; and the words "complaint and disorder" were not at all applicable to a surgical case, but to internal complaints which required to he treated medically. Lord Denman, in delivering the judgment of the Court, said, "It is rather strange that the pleader should have omitted the most appropriate word;" but we think the Chief Baron was quite right.—Rule refused.

Wounds dangerous to life. - A medical witness is often asked whether a wound was or was not dangerous to life. In reference to persons charged with an attempt to murder or maim, a written medical opinion, or a deposition, may be demanded of a surgeon by a magistrate, in order to justify the detention of prisoners. The law has not defined the meaning of the words, "dangerous to life," or stated to what kind of wound, the term dangerous should be applied. This is a point which is left entirely to the professional knowledge of the witness. It is not sufficient on these occasions, that the witness should make a naked declaration of the wound being dangeroos to life; he must, if called upon, state to the Court satisfactory reasons for this opinion; and these reasons are rigorously inquired upon by the counsel for the de-As a general principle, it would not be proper to consider those wounds dangerous to life, in which the danger was not imminent. wound of a great blood-vessel, of any of the viscera, or a compound fracture with depression of the bones of the head, must in all instances be regarded as bodily injuries dangerous to life; because in such cases the danger is imminent. Unless timely assistance he rendered, these injuries will most probably prove fatal, and, indeed, they often destroy life in spite of the best surgical treatment. When, however, the danger is remote, as in a puncture or laccration of the hand or foot, which may be followed by tetanus, or in laceration of the sealp, which may be followed by erysinelas, or in penetrating wounds of the orbit. which may be attended by fatal inflammation of the brain or its membranes, the case is somewhat different. Such injuries as these are not directly dangerous to life,—they are only liable to be attended with danger in certain cases; and therefore the medical opinion must be The law, on these occasions, appears to contemplate the direct and not the future or possible occurrence of danger: if the last view were adopted, it is clear that the most trivial lacerations and punetures might be pronounced dangerous to life: since tetanus or erysipelas proving fatal, has been an occasional consequence of very slight injuries. A difference of opinion will often exist among medical witnesses as to whether a particular wound was or was not dangerous to life. Unanimity can only be expected when the judgment and experieuce of the witnesses are equal. The rules for forming an opinion in these cases, will, perhaps, be best deduced from the results of the observations of good surgical authorities in relation to injuries of different parts of the body. These will form a subject of examination hereafter.

Wounds causing grievous bodily harm .- If the witness admit that the wound was not dangerous to life, then he may be required to state whether it was such as to have been capable of producing "grievous bodily harm." This question is sometimes put, although the most usual practice is to leave it as an inference to be drawn by the jury from the professional description of the injury. These words have a vague signification; but it would, perhaps, be difficult to substitute for them, others less open to objection. They evidently refer to a minor description of offence, and are applied commonly to those injuries which, while they are not actually dangerous to life, may be attended with considerable personal inconvenience, or be in some way detrimental to the health of the wounded party. It is always a question for a jury, whether the intent of the prisoner, in inflicting a wound, was or was not to produce grievous bodily harm. Sometimes the nature or the situation of the wound, as well as the kind of weapon used, will at ouce explain the intent. So far the medical witness may assist the Court, by giving a plain description of the injury, as well as of the consequences with which it is usually attended. It may so happen, that the wound itself is not I a very serious nature, and yet the intention of the prisoner may have been to do grievous bodily harm to the wounded party; or, as it the following case, the injury may be really serious, and yet the prisoner may not have intended to do grievous bodily harm. A man was indicted for feloniously wounding a girl, with intent to do grievous bodily harm. He kicked her in the lower part of her abdomen,-th: surgeon described the injury as of the most serious character, and said that at one time he considered the life of the prosecutrix in danger. She was still suffering, and would probably feel the effects of the injury for the rest of her life. judge, in summing up the case, told the jury that the material question for them to consider was the intent of the prisoner. It was not bccause serious injury was the result of the prisoner's act, that they were therefore to infer his intention was to do that injury; and they were to judge, from all the circumstances, whether at the time he kicked the prosecutrix, he intended to do her gricvous bodily harm, as was imputed to him by the indictment, or whether he was merely guilty of a common assault. He was found guilty of a common assault. (Reg. v. Haynes, Central Criminal Court, September 1847.) In cases of this description, the inteut with which the wound was inflicted, must be made out by evidence of a non-medical kind. (See also the case of Reg. v. Maslin, Devizes Summer Assizes, 1838.)

Dying declarations.—The wound may be of such a nature as to cause death speedily, so that a practitioner may arrive only in time to see

the wounded party dic. In this case, the dying person may make a statement or declaration, as to the circumstances under which the wound was inflicted; he may also mention the names of the parties by whom he was assaulted. This dying declaration or statement, according to the circumstances under which it is made, may become of material importance in the prosecution of a party charged with homi-It is therefore proper that the practitioner should notice the exact condition of the dying person; whether at the time he makes the statement, he is under the conviction that he must die, either expressed in language, or implied by his conduct. According to some authorities, it is not necessary that a man should declare that he believes himself to be dying, in order to render his statement admissible; but he must, at the time of making it, he under the conviction of approaching death. The question respecting the admissibility of a dying declaration was argued in the Court of Exchequer, January, 1845, in the case of Reg. v. Howell, when Alderson B. said it was not necessary that the deceased should be in articulo mortis, or even that he should think so. It is enough if he thinks he shall die of the sickness under which he labours. (Law Times, Jan. 25, 1845, 317.) When it is made clear to the Court, that all hope of life was lost, the statement will be received as evidence against an accused person; for the law supposes, that in the act of dying, all interest in this world is taken away; and that the near contemplation of death, has the same powerful effect upon the mind, as the solemn obligation of an oath. It is presumed that there can be no disposition on the part of a dying person to wilfully misrepresent facts, or to state what is false. therefore, often depends on the conduct of a medical practitioner under such circumstances; for the usual method of testing the truth of a statement by cross-examination is, of course, out of the question: it must, if admitted at all, be received as it was made.

It was formerly helicycd, that if the person at the time of making the statement had still some hope of recovery, it would not be legally admissible. This question was recently raised in Mr. Seton's case. (Reg. v. Pym, Hants Lent Ass., 1846.) The deceased had been told by his medical attendant Dr. Stewart, that there was " not the least hope of recovery." He made a statement, and two or three hours after, he asked the surgeon whether he thought he was better; but his (the witness's) conviction was that he believed that his immediate death was approaching. Counsel for the prisoner objected that this declaration was not admissible. It plainly appeared, from the questions put by the deceased, that he had not given up all hope of recovery, but that he still thought he might recover. (Christie's case, 2 Russ. on Crimes, 754; Bonner's case, ib. 759; and 6 Car, and P. 386; Fagent's case, 7 Car. and P. 238.) ERLE, J .- I think the evidence is admissible. The principle is that a person who speaks with the conviction that his death is fast approaching, speaks under such a sense of responsibility, that the law presumes that he will tell the truth.

Here Mr. Seton had a firm belief that his death was fast approaching. Upon the answer of the surgeon, he burst into tears, and thanked the medical men for their exertions. It has, no doubt, been held in some cases that all hope must be given up, but this is now decided not to be necessary. Indeed, if it were so, no declarations could be received, for scarcely a human being could be found, in any circumstances, who would not retain some hope. The law admits these declarations, not because recovery is impossible, but because there is the conviction of Mr. Seton was shewn to be in this state; the approaching death. evidence is admissible. The statement was then received. (Law Times, March 21, 1846, 500.) It is not, therefore, necessary, that to render a declaration valid, the person making it must entertain "no hopes of recovery." At the late Special Commission in Ircland, (Jan., 1848, Reg. v. Butler,) a declaration was admitted where the words were, that the deceased entertained "little or uo hope" of recovery.

It is no part of the duty of a medical witness, to form a judgment on this important subject. He should give the statement as it was made, and leave the Court to decide upon its admissibility, from the circumstances observed by him with respect to the condition of the patient. He should not render himself officious, in extracting information. He should receive what is voluntarily uttered, and, either immediately or on the earliest possible opportunity, write down the statement in the identical words, carefully avoiding his own interpretation of them. On no account should leading questions be put ;and any question should be simply confined to the purpose of explaining what may appear ambiguous or contradictory in the declaration. It is well known that when death takes place from violence, especially when this proceeds from hæmorrhage or a wound of the head, delirium is apt to supervene, or the intellect of the dying person becomes confused. Under these circumstances, great caution should be used in receiving a declaration, since it may lead to the implication of innocent parties. It is also proper to remark, that the identity of persons is at this time apt to be mistaken; and that it is in general a most injudicious proceeding to take a suspected party before one who is dying, in order that he may be identified. A fatal mistake of this kind was made some years since in London. A woman was maltreated by some men on Kennington Common: -she was taken to St. Thomas's Hospital; and while dying from the effects of the violence, a suspected party was brought before her, as one of the supposed assailants. that he was one of those who had assaulted her. The man was tried. upon her declaration, respecting his identity, -found guilty and executed; but a year after the execution, his innocence was satisfactorily established by the discovery of the real murderers!

These are the principal medico-legal questions connected with wounds when the wounded person is seen while *living*. We will suppose, however, that the wounded person is found dead, and an exami-

nation of the body is required to be made. The most difficult part of the duty of a medical jurist now commences. Among the numerous questions which here present themselves, we will first proceed to inquire whether the wound was inflicted on the body before or after death.

CHAPTER XXII.

EXAMINATION OF WOUNDS IN THE DEAD BODY—ALL THE CAVITIES SHOULD BE INSPECTED—ACQUITTALS FROM THE NEGLECT OF THIS RULE—CHARACTERS OF A WOUND INFLICTED DURING LIFE—OP A WOUND MADE AFTER DEATH—EXPERIMENTS ON AMPUTATED LIMIS—CALTION IN MEDICAL OPINIONS—WOUNDS OR INJURIES UNATTENDED BY HEMORRHAGE—ECCHYMOSIS FROM VIOLENCE—EVIDENCE FROM ECCHYMOSIS—ECCHYMOSIS PROM NATURAL CAUSES—IN THE DEAD BODY—LIVIDITY—VIBICES—EFFCTS OF PUTREFACTION—IS ECCHYMOSIS A NECESSARY RESULT OF VIOLENCE?

Examination of wounds.—In examining a wound on a dead body, it is necessary to observe its situation, extent, length, breadth, depth, and direction; -whether there be about it effused blood, either liquid or coagulated, and whether there be ecchymosis in the skiu. should also be ascertained whether the surrounding parts be swollen, -whether adhesive matter or pus be effused,—the edges of the wound gangrenous, or any foreign substances present in it. The wound may be best examined by gently introducing into it a bougie, and carrying on the dissection around this instrument, avoiding as much as possible any interference with the external appearances. The preservation of the external form, will allow of a comparison being made at any future time between the edges of a wound and a weapon found on a suspected person. Of all these points notes should be taken, either on the spot or immediately afterwards. (Page 38, ante.) In the dissection, every muscle, vessel, nerve, or organ involved in the injury should be traced aud described. This will enable a witness to answer many subordinate questions that may unexpectedly arise during the inquiry. One other point should be especially attended to. A medical practitioner has frequently contented himself by confining his dissection to the injured part, thinking that on the trial of the accused party, the questions of counsel would be limited to the situation and extent of the wound only: but this is a serious mistake. If the cause of death be at all obscure, on no account should the inspection be abandoned until all the organs and cavities of the body have been closely examined: since it may be affirmed that a natural canse of death might have

existed in that organ and cavity which the medical witness had neglected to examine. It rests with the practitioner to disprove the probability thus urged by counsel, but he is now destitute of facts to reason from: legal ingennity will triumph, the witness will be discomfited, and the prisoner, of whose guilt there may be, morally speaking, but little doubt, will have the benefit of his inattention, and be acquitted by the jury. The following cases will serve as an illustration of the necessity for making a close inspection of the body in death from wounds:—

Three men were tried on a charge of manslaughter. According to the evidence for the prosecution, the prisoners and the deceased had been drinking together at a public-house, when a quarrel aroso, which ended in a battle between the deceased and one of the prisoners. The other two acted as seconds. The fight had continued for some time, when the deceased was knocked down by a severe blow on the head, and did not speak afterwards. A surgeon was sent for, but before his arrival, the deceased had expired. On the trial, this witness stated that he found a considerable bruise hehind the ear in the region of the mastoid process, accompanied by an extravasation of blood. On being cross-examined, he admitted that he did not open the eranium, the coroner having told him that he thought it unnecessary! He ascribed the death of the deceased to a pressure of blood on the brain, which, in his opinion, might have become extravasated from a hlow or fall, or from extraordinary excitement. The deceased was of an apoplectical diathesis. The learned judge observed to the jury, in summing up, that the medical evidence was not sufficient to determine whether the deceased had died from the violence employed by the prisoner, or from natural causes. An acquittal instantly followed!

This is hy no means a solitary instance of the defeat of justice hy the neglect of post-mortem examinations. Three similar cases occurred during the year 1847. In that of Reg. v. Parker and others (Lancaster Autumn Assizes, 1847), the deceased died, as it was alleged, from the effects of a hlow received in a fight. The surgeon could not speak positively to the canse, because the coroner did not consider it necessary that the body should he inspected. In the case of Reg. v. Brookbank, tried at the same Assizes, in which the prisoner was charged with having caused the death of an aged female by violence. the same medical witness stated that he could not speak with certainty as to the cause of death, the coroner having declined to grant his order for a post-mortem examination: he (the coroner) thought the case was not one requiring it. In a fourth case (Reg. v. Simm, Newcastle Autumn Assizes, 1847), the deceased was killed during a fight, but as no post-mortem examination was considered necessary hy the coroner, there was no conclusive evidence of tha cause of death. In such cases of gross neglect, a medical witness is quite helpless; however important the examination of a body may appear to himself, tha law actually punishes him, hy depriving him of his

fee, if he undertakes it without a special order from the coroner! Pollock (C. B.) stated, in reference to one of these cases, that the coroner was wrong both in law and fact; there ought to have been an examination of the body as well for the sake of the prisoner, as for the purposes of the prosecution: and Williams (J.) condemned the practice as ill-judged economy. The plan to adopt in any urgent case of a similar kind, is for a medical witness to make the inspection on his own responsibility, and if the coroner refuse the fee, the judge will grant it on application at the trial.

An ingenious cross-examination was made in relation to an omission of this kind in the well-known case of Greenacre, who was tried in 1837 ou a charge of marder by mutilation. The only part of the body of the deceased not examined by the medical witnesses, was the spinal canal. They admitted that an injury to the spinal marrow might produce speedy death; but a blow capable of producing such an injury, would be likely to leave marks of violence externally: and none existed in the region of the spine in this case. The strong corroborative evidence of the real cause of death, however, rendered this mode of explaining it in the highest degree improbable. It is scarcely necessary to adduce other cases to establish the importance of the principle of duty here advocated. The simple consequence of rigorously adhering to it will be to give a little more trouble to the practitioner, which may occasionally prove unnecessary; while, on the other hand, the result of neglecting it, will be to risk his professional reputation and expose him to severe reproof from the Court.

The state of the stomach should not be overlooked. Death may have been apparently caused by violence, and yet really be due to Wildberg was called upon to examine the body of a girl, who died while her father was chastising her for stealing. It was supposed by all that the girl had died from the effects of the violence. On the arms, shoulders, and back, many marks of violent treatment were found; and under some of them blood was extravasated in large quantity. The injuries, although severe, did not, however, appear sufficient to account for the sudden death. He therefore proceeded to examine the eavities, and on opening the stomach, he found it very much inflamed, and lined with a white powder, which was proved to be arsenic. turned out that on the theft being detected, the girl had taken arsenic for fear of her father's anger: she vomited during the flogging, and died in slight convulsions. Upon this, Wildberg imputed death to the arsenie, and the man was exculpated. The cause of death may be easily assigned in such cases when the circumstances are known; but it is evident that without great care in conducting post-mortem examinations, the apparent may be sometimes mistaken for the real (For some interesting eases and good practical suggestions on this subject, see Belloc, Cours. de Méd. Lég. 148.)

Even when there may be no suspicion of poisoning, it will be necessary to observe the state of the organ and its contents—i. e. to deter-

mine whether it contain food, the nature of the food, and the degree to which it may have undergone digestion. In the case of Reg. v. Spicer (Berks Lent Assizes, 1846), the falsehood of one part of the prisoner's defence was made evident by the examination of the stomach. The deceased was found dead at the foot of a stair. The prisoner stated that after he and his wife had had their dinner, he heard a fall. The woman had died instantaneously, and the fall was heard hy neighbours at or about the dinner-hour. Mr. Hooper, the medical witness, found the stomach quite empty; there was no trace of food. It was therefore clear that this part of the prisoner's story was untrue, as, had the deceased died immediately after dinner, some portion of undigested food would have been found in the organ.

Characters of a wound inflicted during life.—If we find ahout the wound marks of gangrene, the effusion of adhesive or purulent matter, or if the edges be swollen and enlarged, and eleatrization has commenced, it is not only certain that the injury must have been inflicted before death, but that the individual must have lived some time after it was inflicted. Marks of this description will not, however, he commonly found when death has taken place within ten or twelve hours from the receipt of the injury. A wound which proves fatal within this period of time, will present throughout much the same characters. Thus, supposing it to have been incised, there will be traces of more or less hæmorrhage, having chiefly an arterial character, and the blood will be congulated where it has fallen on surrounding bodies; the edges of the wound are everted, and the cellula tissue around is deeply reddened by effirsed blood. Coagula are found adhering to the wound, provided it has not been interfered with. The principal characters of a wound jufficted during life are, then, the following: 1. Eversion of the edges, owing to vital elasticity of the skin. Ahundant hæmorrhage, often of an arterial character, with general sanguineous infiltration of the surrounding parts. 3. The presence of coagula. The wound may not have involved any vessel, and there may be no appearance of hemorrhage,—still the edges will be everted, and the muscles and skin retracted. By an observation of this kind made on the body of a new-horn child (Case of Elphick, March 1848), Mr. Prince was enabled to state that the child was living when it was iuflieted—an opinion afterwards confirmed by the confession of the mother.

Characters of a wound made after death.—If the wound on the dead body he not made nntil twelve or fourteen hours have clapsed from the time of death, it cannot be easily mistaken for one produced during life. Either no blood is effused, or it is of a venous character, i. e. it may have proceeded from some divided vein. The blood is commonly liquid, and does not coagulate as it falls on surrounding bodies, like that poured out of a vital wound. The edges are soft, yielding, and destitute of elasticity; they are therefore in close approximation. The cellular tissue around is either not infiltrated with

blood, or only to a very partial extent. There are no coagula within the wound. In experimenting upon amputated limbs, I have found these characters possessed by a post-mortem wound, even when it had been produced not later than two or three hours after death: although they are best seen, when the wound is not made until after the body has lost all its animal heat. In wounds on the dead subject, divided arteries have up marks of blood about them: in the living subject the fatal hæmorrhage commonly proceeds from these vessels: hence, in a wound on the living, it will be found that the surrounding vessels are empty. The chief characters of a post-mortem wound are, therefore,— 1. Absence of copious hæmorrhage. 2. If there be hæmorrhage, it is exclusively venous. 3. The edges of the wound are close, not everted, 4. There is no sanguincous infiltration in the cellular tissue. 5. There is an absence of coagula. But it may happen that a wound has been inflicted soon after the breath has left the body, and while it was yet The distinction between a wound then made, and one made during life, is not so well marked, as in wounds inflicted at a later period after death. Observations of this kind on the human subject must of course be purely accidental; and there are many obstactes to the performance of experiments on the recently dead. I, therefore, selected limbs immediately after amputation; and there is no reason to suppose that the results obtained in these cases, would differ very widely from those derived from experiments made on the cutirc body.

Wounds on the dead body.—In the first experiment, au incised wound, about three inches in length, was made in the upper part of the calf of the leg, two minutes after its separation from the body, by which the gastrocnemii muscles, and the fascia covering the deep-scated layer of the leg, were divided. At the moment that the wound was ruade, the skin retracted considerably, causing a protrusion of the adipose substance beneath: the quantity of blood which escaped was small,—the cellular membrane, by its sudden protrusion forwards, seeming mechanically to prevent its exit. The wound was examined after the lapse of twenty-four hours: the edges were red, bloody, and everted; the skin was not in the least degree tumefied, but merely somewhat flaceid. On separating the edges, a small quantity of fluid blood escaped, but no coagula were seen adhering to the muscles. the bottom of the wound, however, and in close contact with the fascia. was a small quantity of coagulated blood; but the coagula were so loose as readily to break down under the finger. In the second experiment, ten minutes after the separation of the member from the body, an incision of similar extent was made on the outer side of the leg, penetrating through the peronei into the flexor longus pollicis of the deep-scated layer of muscles. In this case the skin appeared to have already lost its elasticity, for the edges of the wound became but very slightly everted, and scarcely any blood escaped from it. On examining the leg twenty-four hours afterwards, the edges of the incision were pale and perfectly collapsed, presenting none of the characters of a wound inflicted during life. Still, at the bottom of the wound, and enclosed by the divided muscular fibres, there were some coagula of blood; but these were certainly fewer than in the former experiment. A portion of liquid blood bad evidently escaped, owing to the leg having been moved. Other experiments were performed at a still later period after the removal of the limbs; and it was found that in proportion to the length of time suffered to clapse before the production of the wound, so were the appearances less distinctly marked; that is to say, the less likely were they to be contounded with similar injuries inflicted upon the living body. When the incised wound was not made until two or three hours after the removal of the limb, although a small quantity of liquid blood was effused, no coagula were found.

It is necessary to remember that when an incised wound is the cause of death, the person dies either immediately, in which case there is a most abundant hæmorrhage from the wounded organ or some large vessel,—or he dies after some time, in which case, as the would continues to bleed during the time that he survives, the louger he lives the more copions will be the effusion of blood. In a wound inflicted soon after death, and while the body is warm, nothing of this kind is observed. Unless the weapon injure one of the large veius, the hamorrhage is always slight, so that the quantity of blood lost, may assist us in determining whether the wound was made during life or after death. When the body has been moved, and all marks of blood effaced by washing, rules of this kind cannot serve a medical witness:-the time at which the would was actually inflicted, must then be deduced from other circumstances. In the case of Greenacre, who was tried in 1837, for the murder and mutilation of a female, this formed a material part of the medical evidence. The head of the deceased had been severed from the body, and the question was, whether this severance had taken place during life or after death. The prisoner alleged in his defence, that it was after death; but the medical evidence went to establish that the head must have been cut off, while the woman was living, but probably after she had been rendered insensible by a blow on that part, the marks of which were plainly visible. This medical opinion was founded on two circumstances. The muscles of the neck were retracted, and the head was completely drained of its blood, showing that a most copious and abundant flow must have ensued at the time of the separation; and therefore judicating that the circulation was probably going on at that time. On cutting off a head after death, a small quantity of blood may escape from the jugular veins; but this soon ceases, and the quantity lost is insufficient to affect materially the contents of the cerebral vessels. The chief medical witness, Mr. Girdwood, expressed himself with very proper caution, by stating, in answer to a question from the judge, that all the wounds in the neck must have been inflicted either during life or very shortly after death, while the body still

preserved its warmth. The circumstantial evidence tended to show, that the deceased was first stunned, and that her head was cut off

while she was in a state of stupor.

In any case, in which the vital or post-mortem origin of a wound is doubtful, it will be proper to adopt the same cautious mode of expressing a medical opinion; since it must be remembered there are no decisive characters by which wounds of the kind referred to, can be distinguished; and a medical witness is as likely to be wrong as right in selecting either hypothesis. It is a considerable step in evidence, when we are able to assert, that a particular wound, found on a dead hody, must have been inflicted either during life or immediately after death; for it can scarcely be supposed, that in a case calling for criminal investigation, any one hut a murderer would think of inflicting a wonud upon a hody immediately after death, which would assuredly have produced fatal effects had the same person received it while living. So soon as such an opinion can he safely expressed by a witness, circumstantial evidence will often make up for that which

may he, medically speaking, a matter of uncertainty.

Wounds or injuries unattended by hamorrhage.—The copious effusion of blood has been set down as a well-marked character of a severe wound received during life; but this observation applies chiefly to incised wounds,—cuts and stabs. Lacerated and contused wounds of a very severe kind, are not always accompanied by much hæmorrhage, even when a large blood-vessel happens to be implicated. is well known, that a whole member has been toru from the trunk, and that little blood has been lost; but in such cases, coagula are commonly found adhering to the separated parts, -a character which indicates either a vital or a very recent post-mortem origin. When a lacerated or contused wound involves a highly vascular part, although no large blood-vessel may be implicated, it is liable to cause death by conious hæmorrhage. In a case tried at the Liverpool Winter Assizes, 1847, (Reg. v. Cawley,) the prisoner was charged with having caused the death of his wife hy kicking her in the lower part of the abdomen. Copious hæmorrhage followed, and in spite of medical assistance, the woman died very shortly afterwards, evidently from the exhaustion produced by the hæmorrhage. It was stated in evidence that there was no external laceration, but the post-mortem examination showed that a contused wound (of the genitals) had been produced internally, and had given rise to fatal hæmorrhage. There is nothing at all remarkable in such a result, considering the great vascularity of these parts in the female.

Contusions and contused wounds are commonly accompanied by a discolouration of the surrounding skin, to which the term ecchymosis

is applied.

Ecchymosis from violence.—The subject of ecchymosis is of considerable importance in legal medicine, since it has often given rise to numerous difficulties and complicated questions. It consists essen-

tially in the extravasation or effusion of blood from ruptured vessels into the surrounding cellular texture. An ecchymosis is in general superficial, affecting only the layers of the skin, and showing itself externally, either immediately or in the course of a short time, in the form of a deep blue or livid red patch. According to Dr. Chowne. the former colour is met with in the ecchymosis slowly produced; while that which is the immediate result of violence, is red or livid Iu some instances, the ecchyniosis is deep-scated,—the blood being effused among the muscles and beneath the fascia; its extent cannot then be so readily determined by the external discolouration, for this is commonly slight, and it appears only after the lapse of some hours, or even two or three days. Sometimes the ecchymosis shows itself not over the immediate seat of injury or around it, but at some distance from it. This is a matter of some importance to the medical jurist, since he might be led to suppose that the violence had been applied to the discoloured portion of skin, whereas the extravasation may have been produced by what some have called contre-coup. Dr. Chowne met with an instance where a young man received a severe bruise on the inner side of the ankle. In two days, eachymosis appeared around the outer ankle. The term contre-coup is. however, inappropriate; since the blood will diffuse itself where it meets with the least resistance, and the layers of the skin in the part struck, may become so condensed by the blow, that the blood is diffused in the cellular membrane of the adjoining parts. Mr. Syme met with a case where a compound fracture of the tibia, about onethird down, was produced by the wheel of a carriage passing over the lcg of a woman. There was no eechymosis around the seat of injury; but after some days, the skin of the knee and lower part of the thigh became ecchyniosed. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. Oct. 1836.) It is proper to mention, that ecchymosis may sometimes proceed from causes irrespective of the direct application of violence to the skin. Strong muscular exertion,—the act of vomiting, and many other conditions, may give rise to a rupture of the minute vessels, and to au effusion of blood in parts which have been stretched or compressed. have known it to have been produced to a great extent around the knee, from the stretching of the ligamentum patellee, in an individual, who was trying to save himself from suddenly falling forwards with his knee bent under him. Such cases are commonly recognised by there being no mark of mechanical jujury about the part:—the skin is smooth and unabraded.

It is of importance to know that violence inflicted on a living body, may not show itself under the form of ecchymosis until after death. A case of this kind was communicated to me by Mr. J. Steavenson. A man received from behind several kicks on the lower part of the abdomen, which caused a rupture of the bladder, and death by peritonitis. He died in about thirty-five hours: but there was no ecchymosis in the seat of the blows, i. e. the pubic and lumbar regions,

until after death. Dr. Hinze met with a case of suicidal hauging, in which it was observed that ecchymosis appeared in the course of the eord only after death. (See Hanging, post.) It has been remarked by Devergie that ecchymoses are often concealed on the bodies of the drowned, when first removed from water, owing to the soddeu state of the skin; and they may become apparent only after the body has been exposed for some days. A medical jurist must guard against the error of supposing that when a blow has been inflicted on a living person, it is necessary that the individual who is maltreated, should survive for a certain period in order that ecchymosis should be pro-Among numerous instances proving the contrary, the case of the Duchess of Prastin (August 1847), may be mentioned. lady was assassinated by her husband, having been attacked while asleep in bed. The number of wounds on her person (thirty) showed that there had been a mortal striggle, which, however, could not have lasted more than half an hour. Yet, on inspection, there were the marks of numerous ecchymoses, which had resulted from the violent use of a bruising instrument. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 377.)

Changes of colour.—The changes which sometimes take place in the colour of an ecchymosed spot, are worthy of the attention of a medical jurist, since they will serve to aid him in giving an opinion as to the probable time at which a contusion has been inflicted. After a ecrtain period, commonly in eighteen or twenty-four hours, the blue or livid margin of the spot is observed to become lighter; it acquires a violet tint, and before its final disappearance, it passes successively through shades of a green, yellow, and lemon colour. During this time, the spot becomes much increased in extent, but the central portion of the ecebymosis is always darker than the circumference. These changes have been referred by Chanssier and others to the gradual dilution of the serous portion of the extravasated blood by the fluid of the cellular membrane, and its slow and uniform dispersion throughout the cells. The colour is finally entirely removed by the absorption of the extravasated blood. The extent and situation of the ecchymosis, the degree of violence by which it has been produced, as well as the age and state of health of the person, are so many circumstances which may influence the progress of these phenomena. Thus an ecchymosis is longer in disappearing in the old than in the young. Mr. Watson, of Edinburgh, found extravasated blood in an ecchymosis in an old person, five weeks after the receipt of the injury. Where the cellular membrane is dense, the ecchymosis, exteris paribus, is not so rapidly formed; nor, when formed, do the above changes take place in it so speedily, as where the blood is effused into a loose portion of membrane like that surrounding the eye or existing in the scrotum. In some instances an eechymosis has been observed to disappear without undergoing these changes of colour at its margin. On examining an ecchymosed portion of skin which has suffered from a severe contusion, we find that the discolouration affects more or less

the whole substauce of the cutis as well as the cellular membrane beneath: this it is necessary to remember in forming our diagnosis.

Evidence from the form of the ecchymosis.—It not unfrequently happens that the eechymosis produced by a contusion, will assume a form indicative of the means by which the violence was offered. hanging, the impression caused by the cord on the neck, is sometimes ecchymosed, and indicates its course with precision; -so also in strangulation, when the fingers have been violently applied to the fore part of the neck, the indentations produced, may serve to point out the manner in which life was destroyed. A case is mentioned by Starkie, which shows that the form of an eechymosis may occasionally furnish very strong presumptive evidence against an accused party. In an attempt at murder, the prosecutor, in his own defence, struck the assassin violently in the face with the key of the house-door,-this being the only weapon he had near at hand. The eechy mosis which followed this contusion, corresponded in the impression produced on the face, to the wards of the key; and it was chiefly through this very singular and unexpected source of evidence, that the assassin was afterwards identified and brought to trial. (Law of Evidence, vol. i. Art. Circ. Ev.)

Contusions on the dead .- For our knowledge of the effects of contusions on the recently dead subject, we are chiefly indebted to Dr. This gentleman found that blows inflicted two hours after death, will give rise to appearances on the skin, similar to those which result from blows inflicted recently before death. The livid discolouration thus produced, generally arose from an effusion of the thinnest possible layer of the fluid part of the blood on the outer surface of the true skin, but sometimes also from an effusion of blood into a perceptible stratum of the true skin itself. He likewise found that dark fluid blood might even be effused into the subcutaneous cellular tissue in the seat of the discolourations, so as to blacken or redden the membranous partitions of the adipose cells; but this last effusion was never extensive. From this, then, it follows, that by trusting to external appearance only, contusions made soon after death, may be easily confounded with those which have been produced by violence immediately before death.

If a contusion has been caused some time before death, there will be swelling of the part, and probably also certain changes of colour in the eechymosed patch, in either of which cases there will commonly be no difficulty in forming a diagnosis. Although ecchymosis or an appearance analogous to it may be produced after death, the changes in colour are then met with only under very peculiar circumstances, to be presently mentioned. If the blood found beneath the ecchymosed spot be in the state of coagulum, this will afford a remote presumption of its having been effused during life, although, in fact, it only proves that the effusion must have taken place before death, or very soon after it; and the experiments related, in speaking of incised wounds, show

that the blood effused from a wound ten minutes after death, may be found in a congulated state. Again, the circumstance of the blood offused under a contused wound being liquid is not to be considered as a proof that the effusion did not take place during life; for sometimes, as in death from a sudden and violent shock to the nervous system, or in cases of rupture of the heart, the effused blood will not coagulate after death. Blood effused into the spinal canal during life is often fluid: and it is well known that the blood may be found coagulated in some parts of the body, while it remains uncoagulated in others. There is reason to believe that the blood coagulates more slowly in the dead body, than in a vessel into which it has been drawn during life or after death. The blood may remain fluid in the dead body from four to eight, and, according to Donué, twelve hours after death (Cours de Microscopie, 52). It rarely begins to coagulate until after the lapse of four hours; but if drawn, it would probably coagulate in a few minutes after its removal.

In general, those contasions which have been produced during life. and in which the effused blood remains liquid, may be recognised by the extent of the effusion. If, under the ecchymosed part, we find a large quantity of liquid blood, and the seat of injury is so situated that the blood could not have become infiltrated into it; and at the same time there is no ruptured vein from which it might have flowed, we may confidently pronounce that the effusion must have preceded death. In a dead body, a contusion would cause but little extravasation, unless a veiu of very large size were torn through. The sign which is most satisfactory as a criterion, in the opinion of Dr. Christison, is, however, the following: - In a contusion inflicted during life, the ecchymosed portion of cutis is generally dark and much discoloured by the infiltration of blood throughout its whole thickness,—the skin at the same time is increased in firmness and tenacity. This is not, however, a uniform consequence of a contusion during life; for a blow may cause extensive extravasation hencath the skin without affecting the cutis in the manner stated. The state of the skin here described, caunot, however, be produced by a contusion on a dead subject; although it is questionable whether it might not be produced if the contusion were inflicted a few minutes after death. As it is, the value of this sign is somewhat circumscribed,-it is not always produced on the living,-it might be possibly produced on the recently dead, so that when it does not exist, we must look for other diagnostic marks, and when it does exist, we ought to satisfy ourselves that the contusion was not inflicted recently after death.

The period at which such injuries cease to resemble each other, has not been fixed with any degree of precision; but, as in the case of incised wounds, it would seem that there is little danger of confounding them, when the contusion has not been inflicted on the dead subject, until after the disappearance of animal heat and the commencement of cadaverous rigidity! Dr. Christison found that sometimes

the appearance of contusions might hardly be produced on the dead body two hours after death, at others they might be slightly caused after three hours and a quarter, but this period is very near the extreme limit. Whenever the warmth of the body and the laxity of the muscles are not considerable at the time the blow is inflicted, the appearance of vital contusions cannot be very clearly produced. probably, therefore, only on the trunk that, even in the most favourable state of the body, namely, when the blood remains altogether liquid, any material mark resembling what may be termed a vital contusion, can be produced so late as two hours after death. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. No. 99, p. 247 et seq.) Notwithstanding these very satisfactory results, it will be seen, that from the moment of death until after the lapse of two hours, contusions may be followed by appearances on the dead body, almost identical with those observed on the living. The earliest period after death in which an experiment was tried on the human subject, was one hour and three quarters: in this case the similarity was so strong, that we may infer, if the experiments had been performed within half an hour, or even one hour after dissolution, it would have been very difficult to say whether the blow producing the discolouration, had been inflicted on the body before or after death. Dr. Christison's experiments lead to the conclusion, that severe blows inflicted on a recently dead body, produce no greater degree of ecchymosis or entaneous discolouration, than slight blows inflicted before death. Assuming that the great extent of an ecchymosis would in alleases serve as a criterion that the violence producing it had been inflicted during life, it must be remembered that the importance of these facts, in relation to medical evidence, is not affected by the extent of the discolouration. It may be just as necessary to have a positive opinion on the origin of a slight, as on the origin of an extensive bruise. Trivial ecchymoses, as in cases of strangulation, if they can be certainly pronounced vital, may make all the difference between the acquittal or conviction of a person charged with marder. Again, slight ecchymosis on the bodies of the drowned, may excite a snspicion of strangulation and subsequent immersion of the body in water. This question is quite irrespective of the extent of the ecchymosis,—the great point for the medical witness to consider, is whether it occurred during life or after death. Cases in which a mistake might easily have arisen, will be related in speaking of marks of violence in the drowned.

The practical inference then is, that these post-mortem discolourations are liable to be mistaken for marks of violence to the living body. An instance has been communicated to me, on respectable authority, in which, for the sake of experiment, blows with a stick were inflicted on the recently dead body of a female, while still warm. The body was afterwards accidentally seen by non-professional persons, who were not aware of the performance of these experiments, and so strong was the impression, from the appearances, that the deceased

had been maltreated during life, that a judicial inquiry was actually instituted, when the circumstances were satisfactorily explained. The fact, therefore, that severe blows after death imitate slight blows during life, is, in a practical view, unimportant. It does not aid our

diagnosis, nor prevent serious mistakes from occurring.

Ecchymosis from natural causes in the living .- There are certain conditions of the body in which ecchymosed marks are found on the skin, and which a witness must be careful not to confound with the eccbymosis arising from violence. First, with regard to the living body—in very aged persons, it is not unusual to find the legs and feet covered with livid patches, sometimes of considerable uniformity of colour, at others very much mottled. These discolourations, which, after death, might be mistaken for ecchymosis from violence, arise from the languor of the capillary circulation in such subjects: the blood with difficulty finds its way through the venous capillaries, and the marks are commonly observed on the lower parts of the body, because they are far removed from the centre of circulation, and the blood has to rise contrary to the law of gravity. This is the condition which has been denominated by Andral, asthenic hyperemia. (Andral, Anat. Pathol. t. i. p. 40.) Similar discolourations are sometimes met with on the bodies of those who have died from scurvy, typhus and other adynamic diseases. In persons severely affected with scurvy, it is well known that the slightest pressure on any part of the skin will suffice to produce a spot resembling the ecchymosis of violence, and arising like it from a rupture of minute cutaneous vessels; but the extravasation of blood, which causes the discolouration, is commonly confined to the superficial layers of the true skin. These spots, under certain states of the system, occur spontaneously, and often cover the body to a great extent; when small, they take the name of petechia, but when extensive, in which case they bear a very close resemblance to the ecchymosis of violence, they constitute the chief pathognomonie character of the disease termed purpura. To all these effusions of blood in the living body, the term Sugillation (from sugillatio—a black mark) has been applied. Some medical jurists have attempted to draw a distinction between ecchymosis and sugillation,—thus it is said;—ecchymosis proceeds from external, sugillation from internal causes, -ecchymosis is confined to the marks which occur in the living body, sugillation to those which occur in the dead,-in ecchymosis the vessels are ruptured, in sngillation there is merc congestion; -again, some have considered that ecchymosis and sugillation might take place both in the living and in the dead. From this statement, it appears impossible to give a consistent definition of the meaning of either of these terms; but it is altogether unnecessary to make the attempt, for the error, after all, consists in the introduction of a superfluity of words to express a simple condition of the body, depending on different causes. Why, according to the view taken by Chaussier, an ecchymosis should not also be called a sugillation, it is difficult to say; for so far as we are bound by a comparison of the definitions above given, with the usual applications of these words, the terms are equally appropriate. would advise n medical jurist to avoid the use of the term sugillation, if by employing it, he considers that he is speaking of a condition cascatially different from ecclymosis. The most important point to attend to, is to distinguish these ecchymoses in the living body arising from infirmity or disease, from those which have their origin In regard to the spots on the legs of old persons, the appearance of the subject, and their general extent, enveloping, as they often do, the whole circumference of the leg, must suffice to establish a correct diagnosis. In distinguishing the spots of purpura, a difficulty may sometimes exist, -but here also the appearance of the subject, the general diffusion of the spots over the whole of the body, and their existence on the mucous membrane of the fances and alimentary equal, cannot fail to point out that they originate from some other cause than violence. In the living, these spots have been observed to undergo the same changes of colonr, as the true ecchymosis of violeuce. It has been alleged on the authority of Zacchias, one of the early writers on medical jurisprudence, that a diagnosis is obtained in these cases after death by a dissection of the part. According to this authority, in what is termed sugillation, i. e. the ecchymosis of disease, the blood is stated to be fluid, while in the ecclymosis of violence, it is described as being in a thick and concrete state. In the remarks already made respecting contusions, facts have been mentioned which show that such a mode of distinction is inadmissible; neither the state of the blood nor its situation will alone suffice to determine the question. Although it has been usual to describe the ecchymosis of disease, as being due to a superficial extravasation on the true skin, yet certain cases recorded by pathologists, prove that in purpura, the discolouration may occasionally extend through the whole substance of the integuments to the adipose tissue heneath.

Ecchymosis in the dead body. Lividity.—Ecchymosis may present itself in various forms on the skin of a dead subject. The first form, when it occurs, is almost no immediate coasequence of death, but it is not fully developed until the body has cooled. It is commonly called Cadaverous lividity. It presents itself in diffused patches of very great extent, sometimes covering the whole of the fore part of the chest and abdomen, at other times the lateral regions of the back. The upper or lower extremities, either on their internal or external surfaces, or on their whole circumference, are often thus completely ecclymosed. The colour is sometimes purple, at others livid, and often mottled in interspaces, but it is commonly well defined in its extent by the whiteness of the surrounding skin. This form of ecchymosis is almost invariably seen on the bodies of those who die suddenly or hy a violent death, as well as in individuals who perish from apoplexy, or who are hanged or suffocated. When the skin is divided, the colour is found to be confined to the upper surface of the cutis, and never to extend

through it. This discolouration is ascribed to the congestion which takes place in the capillary system, at the moment of death, in subjects that are full of blood. It is rarely seen in the bodies of those who have died from profuse bemorrhage—the skin is in these cases commonly pallid. The circumstances under which it occurs, and the characters above described, distinguish it from the ecchymosis of violence. Its existence on the dead hody, must be regarded as a sign of the vigour and activity of the circulation at the moment of death, and generally as a mark of death having taken place suddenly. It might seem improper to call this, which has been described as a mere capillary congestion, "ecchymosis," this word signifying effusion; but the term sugillation has been so vagnely employed by different writers, that I think the former preferable to the latter, in spite of the apparent inconsistency of its application to every variety of cutaneous discolouration. (See Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A., 1844, i. 199.)

Vibices.—Sometimes instead of seeing this cadaverons lividity diffused in large patches over the skin, it will be disposed in stripes which traverse and intersect each other in all directions, and often eover the whole of the body. These marks, which vary from a scarlet to a durk red or livid hue, have been supposed to resemble those produced on the skin in the act of scourging or flagellation. On this account they have been called by some writers vilices. Sometimes the body is completely covered with them,—they are often of considerable length, and pass in a very symmetrical but occasionally tortnous course; they are chiefly observed about the sides, the upper part of the shoulders, and back. In meeting with this appearance for the first time on a subject, an individual, unacquainted with its nature, might look upon it as a strong proof of violent treatment during life, especially in a case of suspected violence; but the practitioner will distinguish it readily, by the uninjured state of the coticle and the superficial nature of the discolouration, from these marks of violence which it is considered to resemble. In general, it appears to be produced by the wrapping of a body in a sheet or other covering soon after death, and allowing it to cool while thus wrapped up; even if a subject be allowed to cool merely with the clothes covering it, these peculiar marks will often In many cases they exist only on the back, and bere they be seen. are to be ascribed to the pressure produced by the irregularities or folds in the sheet on which the body has been lying. The capillaries, it is to be observed, are always congested in or near those parts of the skin which are exposed to the least pressure. A few years since I saw a well-marked case of vibices, in which the suspicion was so strong that violence had been used to the deceased, that a coroner's inquest took place. The fore part of the body was covered with the stripes, which were of a red and livid colour: they seemed to correspond exactly to the folds of a sheet drawn tightly across the chest; and I subsequently ascertained that the body of the deceased had been treated in this way after death. The blood was superficially diffused, and

the cuticle sound. The circumstance above mentioned at once satisfactorily explained the cause of the appearance. These vihices, like the endavorous lividity already described, are commonly seen in plethoric subjects; they also indicate great vigour of circulation at the moment of death.

But lividity sometimes presents itself in a more deceptive form than in either of the instances just mentioned, as in the following case. man, aged thirty-three, died suddenly from disease of the heart. Eighteen hours after death, the body was examined, and the skin was then found to be covered in different parts with patches of ecchymosis, varying in size from small spots to others of several inches in diameter. These patches were evidently due to simple lividity, although they closely simulated marks of violence produced during life. On cutting into them, the layers of the entis as well as the cellular tissue beneath were throughout reddened by a congestion of blood. There was no deeided extravasation, but small rounded semi-coagulated masses oozed out from the cells ou slight pressure. There was another extraordinary, and, so far as I know, a perfectly unexampled circumstance, in which these patches of lividity resembled the ecchymosis of violeuce produced during life. Around many of them, there was a wide border or ring of straw colour, with various shades of green, precisely similar to those witnessed in the disappearance of an ecchymosis from the living subject. By all medical jurists, it has been hitherto laid down as a positive rule, that these rings of colour, when not depending on putrefaction, are peculiar to the ecchymosis of the living hody, and are never seen in the eechymosis taking place spontaneously after death. The occurrence of this case shows with what caution general rules should be framed for medico-legal practice. Had the body of this person been found lying dead exposed on a high road, and it was proved that another man had been quarrelling with him, it is easy to imagine that a very unfavourable medical opinion might have been expressed against the party necused of the violence. This kiad of ecchymosis could only have been distinguished from that of violence during life, by the unruffled state of the skia, and the very slight extravasation of blood compared with the extent of the ecchymosed snrface. It is worthy of note, also, that the principal seat of the ecchymosis was in those parts which were recumbent or depending. The formation of the coloured zones around some of the patches of lividity, was fully explained by the fact of the man having laboured under anasarca. The serum effused in the cells here acted upon and diluted the blood as it became extravasated, and diffused it around, much in the same way, as the serous exhalation of the cellular membrane acts on the blood effused in the living body. A wax model of this remarkable appearance is preserved in the Museum of Gny's Hospital, and is well worthy of inspection.

Effects of putrefaction.—Another form of ecchymosis observed in the dead body, is that which occurs some time after death. This

appears to proceed from an infiltration of blood into the depending parts of the body, and to be a result of incipient patrefaction. engaged in post-mortem inspections, are well aware that the skin of the hack, especially that covering the loins and buttocks, often presents irregular discolourations resembling eachymosis. The skin of the occiput is a well-known seat of this form of ecobymosis. On cutting into the skin of any of these parts, the whole of the entis is found to be more or less discolonred, and the adipose tissue is filled with a sanguineous scrum which readily escapes. In proportion as putrefaction advances, the discolouration becomes greater, passing from a dark red to a green colonr. The general characters of this species of ecchymosis are so well marked, that it cannot easily be confounded with the ecchymosis of violence. The parts of the body in which it is known to occur, as well as the state of the body, are circumstances which distinguish it from all the other forms described. This variety of eechymosis is also termed sugillation by some medical jurists. (On the sobject of Eechymosis, see Ann. d'Hyg. 1843, ii. 388A

Is ecchymosis a necessary result of violence?—This is a most important medico-legal question, and one which has often created great difficulty to medical witnesses. It has been repeatedly asserted in Courts of law, that no severe blow could bave been inflicted on a deceased person in consequence of the absence of ecchymosis from the part struck; but we shall see that this assertion is entirely opposed to well-ascertaioed facts. However true the general principle may be, that severe contusions are commonly followed by ecchymosis, it is open to numerous exceptions; and unless these be known to the practitioner, his evidence may mislead the Court. The presence of ecchymosis is commonly presumptive evidence of the infliction of violence; but its absence does not negative this presumption.

It was long since remarked by Portal, that the spleen had been found ruptured from blows or falls, without any eechymosis or abrasion of the skin appearing in the region struck. This has been more recently observed in respect to ruptures of the stomach, intestines, and urinary bladder from violence directly applied to the abdomen. Portal supposed that the mechanical impulse was simply transferred through the supple parietes of the abdominal eavity to the viscera behind, as in the striking of a bladder filled with water. Whether this be the true explanation or not, it is quite certain that the small vessels of the skin often escape rupture from the blow, so that their cootents are not extravasated. A case is reported by Henke, in which a labouring man died some hours after fighting with another; and on inspection of the body, the peritonenm was found extensively inflamed owing to an extravasation of the contents of the jejunum, which had been emptared to a considerable extent. There was, however, no ecchymosis or mark on the skin externally, and the medical inspectors

were inclined to affirm, contrary in this case to direct evidence, that no blow could have been struck; but others were appealed to, who at once admitted that the laceration of the intestine might bave been caused by a blow, even although there was no appearance of violence Mr. Watson states, that a girl aged nine, received a smart blow upon the abdomen from a stone. She immediately complained of great pain; collapse ensued, and she died in twenty-one hours. On inspection there was no mark of injury externally: but the ileum was found ruptured, its contents extravasated, and the peritoneum extensively inflamed. (On Homicide, 187.) Dr. Williamson, of Leith, met with a case where a man received a kick on the abdomen from a horse:—he died in thirty hours from peritonitis. The ileum was found to have been torn completely across in its lower third. There was not the slightest trace of eechymosis externally; and this fact is the more remarkable, since the blow was here struck by a somewbat angular or pointed body-the boof of a horse. (Med. Gaz. May 1840.) A girl was accidentally struck on the back by a log of timber, and she died in a few minutes afterwards. On inspection, the right lobe of the liver was found torn through, and the stomach greatly laccrated. Notwithstanding the production of these severe injuries, there was no trace of ecchymosis, or any other mark of violence externally. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1837, ii. 356.)

The same facts have been observed in injuries of the chest, where, from the bony nature of the parietes, we might always expect to find ecchymosis or abrasion to some extent. Dr. Geoghegan, of Dublin, has described a case, where a girl was struck by the seat of a jaunting-car, the wheel of which was supposed to have passed over her. She was killed on the spot. The chest was carefully examined, -the skin, muscles, and thoracic parietes afforded no indications of violence; but it was found that there was considerable effusion of blood, and the heart was ruptured throughout its entire length. (Dub. Med. Press, ii. 271.) In an accident, which occurred in October 1841, a girl was run over by a cab: -she died in two hours. There was no ecchymosis, or mark of injury externally; but the right lung was ruptured, and blood was extravasated in the chest. In March 1840, a man, who bad been run over by a waggon, was brought into St. Thomas's Hospital:—he died in thirty-six hours. On an examination of the body, all the ribs on the right side of the chest were found broken. The right pleura was filled with blood, and the lnng collapsed. Part of the right kidney was lying amidst the blood, having passed through a fissure produced in the fleshy part of the diaphragm by one of the broken ribs. In this case of very severe injury, there was no external mark of bruise or ecchymosis:-the skin was uninjured. For another remarkable case by Mr. Pyper, see Lancet, Oct. 26, 1844, p. 127; and July 20, 1844, p. 531.

That the skin is not always injured in these severe cases of violence, appears to be due to its great elasticity; but it is difficult to explain, how the vessels should escape being ruptured by a crushing force, where there is a hone beneath; nevertheless such is the fact, and it is with the fact more than with the explanation, that a medical jurist has to deal. It is not necessary that an individual should survive the effects of violence for some hours, in order that ecchymosis should be observed in the part struck. Ecchymosis may be either a very speedy result of a hruise (ante, p. 206); or it may not appear for six or eight hours or even until after the second day. In an instance mentioned by Dr. Chowne, where a young woman received a severe blow under the right breast, there was no discolouration of the skin until after the fourth In one case of severe injury just related, the individual did not die until after the lapse of thirty-six hours : but there was no ecchy-Some instances of the non-production of eccbymosis by violence may he probably referred to the fact, that death takes place before there is time for the effusion of much blood from the minute vessels: but this explanation cannot apply in all cases;—for ecchymosis is sometimes an immediate consequence of a severe blow.—it has even been produced hy blows on the recently dead body, and therefore it would seem that the continuance of active life is not indispensable to its production.

Many more cases might be adduced in support of the proposition, that ecchymosis is not a necessary or constant result of a severe contusion; but those already related sufficiently establish the fact. medico-legal question was raised on a trial before the Justiciary Court of Glasgow, in January 1837. A woman was found dead in her house, and her husband was accused of having murdered her. There was no mark of violence externally; but on opening the abdomen, the liver was found extensively lacerated, and there was no doubt that this was the cause of death. A medical witness asserted, that as there was no appearance of injury externally, the rupture could not have been caused by a fall or a blow. He attributed the absence of marks of ecchymosis to the rupture having been occasioned by the foreible pressure of some heavy rounded smooth body on the abdomen. prisoner was acquitted on a verdict of not proven. The liver is seldom ruptured except from violence directly applied; and it is observed that the rupture is more commonly caused by the sudden than by the slow application of violence. The explanation given by the witness, would neither account for the rupture nor for the absence of ecchymosis; for these conditions are more commonly met with under directly opposite circumstances. At the same time, in cases where the facts are imperfectly known, a surgeon must not be too ready to assume, in the absence of ecchymosis or abrasion, that violence has heen directly applied, and cansed the rupture of an internal organ. The liver may have been ruptured in the above case either hy a hlow or a fall,—the absence of ecchymosis in the parietes is not incompatible with either view.

At the Perth Circuit Court, April 1836, a woman named Finlay. was indicted for the manslaughter of her husband. The medical evidence established that the deceased, during a quarrel with his wife, had met with a severe compound fracture of the leg, but there was no ccehymosis whatever on any part of the limb. Five medical witnesses deposed, that, in their opinion, the fracture must have been produced by a blow, and not by an accidental fall. In cross-examinatiou, they were required to recoucile this opinion with the entire absence of eechymosis. One witness said, that a blow sufficient to cause simple fracture would eanse eeehymosis, -- a second that ecehymosis seldom occurred until some hours after such an accident: this explanation, however, was set aside by the fact that the man lived several days, and no ecchymosis appeared. Mr. Syme said, that in an open wound, when the blood was allowed to flow away, there would be no ecchymosis. The others thought that ecchymosis ought to be produced by blows inflicted on any part of the body; and, judging from external appearances, they should have supposed that no blows could have been inflicted on the deceased. Mr. Lizars appeared in favour of the prisoner; -he is reported to have said that the fracture had resulted from a fall, and not from a blow. Had it resulted from a blow, he should have expected to find ecchymosis, tumefaction, and ruffling of the skin in the vicinity. Such violence as would have produced the fracture, must (?) have caused these appearances. jury found the prisoner guilty of a minor offence. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. October 1836.) In this case, the explanation given by Mr. Syme sufficiently accounted for the nou-occurrence of ecchymosis. The absence of this state of the skin could not, however, be said to furnish any cvidence of the mode in which the fracture originated. Ecchymosis, if produced at all, may be caused either by a fall or blow; and as it was admitted, that any force, adequate to produce the fracture, might have eaused this appearauce, it is impossible to assent to the proposition, that the absence of ecchymosis was any proof that the fracture had been caused by a fall.

CHAPTER XXIII.

EVIDENCE OF THE USE OF A WEAPON—CHARACTERS OF WOUNDS CAUSED BY WEAPONS.—INCISED, PUNCTURED, LACERATED, AND CONTUSED WOUNDS—STABS AND CUTS—WHAT ARE WEAPONS?—DOUBTFUL CASES—EXAMINATION OF THE DRESS.

Evidence of the use of a weapon.—It sometimes happens on criminal investigations, that a weapon is presented to a medical witness; and he is required to say, whether a wound, found on the body of a

person, was produced by it. On the certainty of a weapon having been used, it is not uncommon for prisoners, even when found guilty upon the clearest evidence, to declare that no weapon was employed by them, but that the wound had been occasioned by accidental cir-A witness should remember, that he is seldom in a cumstances. position to swear that a particular weapon produced at a trial, must have been used by the prisoner:—he is only justified in saying, that the wound was cansed either by it, or by one similar to it. In reference to this subject. Schwörer relates the following case. A man was stabled by another in the face, and a knife with the blade entire, was brought forward as circumstantial evidence against him,—the surgeon having declared that the wound must have been caused by this knife. The wounded person recovered, but a year afterwards a fistula formed in the face, and the broken point of the real weapon was discharged from the sinus. The wound could not therefore have been produced hy the knife which was brought forward as evidence against the prisoner at the trial. (Lehre von dem Kindermorde.) Although the criminality of the act is not lessened or impugned by an occurrence of this kind, it is advisable that such inistakes should be avoided by the use of proper caution on the part of a witness. (On this question, see the case of Renaud, by Dr. Boys de Loury, Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, xi. 170. As to what is a weapon, see Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, i. 67.)

Characters of wounds produced by weapons.—Let us now suppose that no weapon is discovered; and that the opinion of a witness is to be founded only on an examination of the wound. It is right for him to know that on all criminal trials, considerable importance is attached by the law to the fact of a wound having been caused by the use of a weapon; since this often implies malice, and in all cases a greater desire to injure the party assailed, than the mere employment of manual force. Some wounds at once indicate that they must have been produced by weapons. This is the ease with cuts or stabs.

Incised wounds.—In incised wounds, the sharpness of the instruments may be inferred by the cleanness and regularity with which the edges are cnt: in stabs, also, the form and depth of the wound will often indicate the kind of weapon employed. Stabs sometimes have the characters of incised punctures, one or both extremities of the wound heing cleanly cut, according to whether the weapon was single or double-edged. Dupnytren has remarked, that such stabs, owing to the elasticity of the skin, are apparently smaller than the weapon—a point to be remembered in instituting a comparison between the size of the wound and the instrument. A lateral motion of the weapon may, however, cause a considerable enlargement of the wound (See case, Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, i. 400.) Wheu a stab has traversed the body, the cutrance-aperture is commonly larger than the aperture of exit; and its edges, contrary to what might be supposed, are some-

times everted, owing to the rapid withdrawal of the instrument. That facts of this kind should be available as evidence, it is necessary that the body should be seen soon after the receipt of a wound, and before there has been any interference with it.

Punctured wounds.—It is important to notice whether the edges of a punctured wound be lacerated and irregular or incised; hecause it may be alleged in the defence, that the wound was produced by a fall on some substance capable of producing an injury somewhat resembling it. In a case that occurred to Mr. Watson, a deeply penetrating wound on the genital organs of the deceased, which had evideutly caused her death, was ascribed by the prisoners charged with the murder, to her having fallen on some broken glass; but it was proved that the edges of the wound were hounded everywhere hy clean jucisions, which rendered this defence inconsistent, if not impossible. I have known a similar defence made on two other occasions, where the cases came to trial. In one, a man struck the proscentor, and knocked him against a window. On examination, there were three deep cuts on the face of the prosecutor, but no weapon had been seen in the hands of the prisoner. He was charged with cutting and The surgeon deposed that the wounds appeared to have been inflicted with a knife or a razor blade, and not by broken glass. If the wounds had been made by glass, particles of that substance would probably have been found in them; but there were none. prisoner was acquitted, the infliction of the wounds by a weapon not being considered to have been made out. In another case that occurred in August 1841, the prosecutor was knocked down, and his throat was found severely cut; hut there was no proof that a weapon had been used. In the desence it was nrged that the wound had been produced by a broken pane of glass; but the surgeon described it as a clean cut, five inches in length, and one juch in depth, laying hare the carotid artery. He considered that it must have been done by a razor or knife; and that it was a cut made by one stroke of the instrument. In the case of Reg. v. Ankers, (Warwick Lent Assizes, 1845,) a clean cut as from a penknife, about two inches long, and one deep, was proved to have existed on the person of the prosecutor, who had fallen during a quarrel with the prisoner. Some broken crockery was lying near the spot, and it was alleged in the defence that a fall upon this had caused the wound. This allegation was quite inconsistent with the clean and even appearance of the wound, and the prisoner, in whose possession a penknife had been found, was convicted.

In general, wounds made by glass or crockery, are characterized by their great irregularity and the unevenness of their edges. Cases of this kind show that as it is not always possible to know when this sort of defence may be raised, a medical witness should never fail to make a minnte examination of a wound which is suspected to have been criminally inflicted. A trial for murder took place at the Worcester Summer Assizes, in 1838, in which it appeared in evidence that the

deceased had died from a small punctured wound in the tborax. It was five inches and a half deep, and it had completely traversed the right ventricle of the heart, and led to death by hemorrhage. The wound was snpposed to have been produced by a small skewer, which was found near the spot; but in the defence it was alleged that the deceased had fallen over a tub, and that the wound had been caused by a projecting nail. This allegation, however, was negatived by the surgeon, from the fact of its being a clean cut wound. Had it been produced in the manner alleged by the prisoners, the fact would have been indicated by an irregularity of margin.

Lacerated and contused wounds.—Lacerated wounds do not in general present more difficulty with regard to their origin than those which are incised or punctured. The means which produced the laceration, are commonly well indicated by the appearance of the wound. These injuries are generally the result of accident; they are, however, frequently met with on the bodies of new-boru children, in which case they may give rise to charges of infanticide. wounds and severe contusions present much greater difficulty to a medical jurist. It is not often in his power to say whether a contused wound has resulted from the use of a weapon, from a blow of the fist, or from the deceased having accidentally fallen against some hard surface. This question is frequently put to medical witnesses, on those trials for manslaughter which arise out of the pugilistic combats of half-drunken men. One of the combatants is generally killed, either by a blow on the head, by a fall, or by both kinds of violence combined. The skull may or may not be fractured; and the person may die of concussion, inflammation of the brain, or from extravasation of blood. The general defence is that the deceased struck bis head against some hard substance in falling on the ground; and the surgeon is asked whether the particular appearances might not be explained on the supposition of a fall. This, in general, he admits to be possible. and the prisoner is acquitted. A medical witness is rarely in a position to swear with certainty, that a contused wound of the head must have been produced by a weapon, and not by a fall. Some circumstances. however, may occasionally enable him to form an opinion on this point. If there be contused wounds on several parts of the head, with copious effusion of blood beneath the skin, the presumption is that a weapon must have been used. If the marks of violence be on the vertex, it is highly probable that they have been caused by a weapon, since this is not commonly a part which can be injured by a fall. According to the medical evidence given on this question, an indictment may or may not be sustained. A case is reported in which a prisoner was judieted for striking the deceased, and fracturing his skull with a piece of brick. The evidence showed that the prisoner struck with his fist, and that the deceased in consequence fell upon the piece of brick, which caused the fracture and led to death. The judges held that this was a fatal variation. (Law Times, Mar. 21, 1846, 501.)

We may be often in doubt whether, in respect to lacerated or contused wounds, a weapon has been used or not. Contused wounds on bony parietes, as on the cranium, have somewhat the appearance of incised wounds, the skin being sometimes evenly separated; still, when the wound is recent, a careful examination will generally enable a witness to surmount the difficulty. If some time have elapsed before the wound is examined, there will necessarily he great caution required in forming an opinion. The following case, which was tried at the Chelmsford Spring Assizes, 1837, was communicated to me by a pupil, who gave evidence on the occasion. The prosecutor, it was alleged, had been stahbed on the head with a knife. The prisoner struck the blow, and be certainly had a knife in his hand at the time; but whether the wound was or was not produced by the knife, could not be determined from the evidence of eye-witnesses. In the defence, it was urged that the prisoner had inflicted the wound with his knuckles, and not with a knife. When the surgeon was called to examine the wound, there was so much contusion and laccration about its edges, that it was impossible to ascertain, with the necessary legal precision, by what means it had been caused. There was suspicion, but no proof that a weapon had been employed, and the prisoner was acquitted of felony.

Stabs and cuts.—It has already been remarked, that the law attaches great importance to the clear proof of the use of a weapon; and a medical man has therefore a serious responsibility thrown upon him when, in the absence of a weapon, he is called upon to say, from an examination of the wound, whether one has been used or not. The statute on wounding makes uo reference to the means by which wounds are inflicted; but the words are held by the judges to imply, in all cases, the use of some weapon or instrument. The following arc the provisions of the law. "Whosoever shall stab, cut, or wound any person, or shall hy any means whatsoever, cause to any person, any bodily injury dangerous to life, with intent in any of the cases aforesaid to commit murder, shall be guilty of felony." (1 Vict. c. 85, s. 2.) The word stab is held to import a wound from a pointed instrument; the word cut, from an instrument having an edge; and the word wound comprises incised, punctured, laccrated, contused, and gunshot wounds: thus including all stabs and cuts, and rendering the separate use of these words in the statute, wholly unnecessary. All medical men know that stabs and cuts are varieties of wounds; and it is difficult to understand why these terms should have been retained, and the other varieties of wounding, as "incise, puncture, lacerate, and contuse," omitted. It has been held that an indictment for cutting, will not be supported if the medical evidence prove that the alleged cut was a stab, and vice versd; and further, in an indictment for cutting and stabbing, it is not sufficient to prove that it was a contased or lacerated wound. These technical trivialities are in some measure counteracted by multiplying the counts of an indictment : but this renders the prosecution of such crimes in a great measure a matter of accident; and creates, without any apparent necessity, difficulties in the medical evidence by which the accused party only can benefit, while the course of public justice must suffer. A medical witness may not always be able to swear to the exact boundary by which a stab is separated from a cut, or a cut from a laccration; the injury might be considered in either light, or one medical witness might take one view, and another an opposite view. But while they thus differed on a point which could not in the least affect the merits of the case as between the prosecutor or the public and the accused, they would both agree that the injury was a wound. These difficulties, it is true, seldom occur in practice: but there is no reason why they should ever be permitted to occur; and it is certainly extraordinary that, on the revision of the criminal law of this country in 1837, such anomalies should have been retained. (See, in relation to this subject, the case of Reg. v. O'Brien, argued in the Exchequer Chamber, Nov. 1844.)

What are weapons?—A severe wound may, however, be inflieted on a persou, and yet not come within the statute of wounding. Thus, the teeth, the hands or feet uncovered, have been held by a majority of the judges not to be weapons; and injuries produced by them, however severe, are not considered wounds within the meaning of the Parties have been tried on charges of biting off fingers and noses, and the medical evidence has shown that great disfigurement and mischief had been done to the prosecutor; but in these cases the degree of injury produced-the division of the cutis-is not so much regarded as the actual method by which it is accomplished. From a trial which took place at the Nottingham Assizes in 1832, it appears that artificial arms and legs are not exempted under the statute. They are considered to be weapons, although, in the case alluded to, a strongous effort was made by the prisoner's counsel to show that the wooden arm with which the assault was committed had become, by long use, part of the body of the prosecutor, and that, like the natural arm, it ought not to be considered a weapon in law! The objection was overruled.

Doubtful cases.—A surgeon should be cautions in listening to the statements of others that a weapon has been used, unless the wound itself bear about it such characters as to leave the fact indisputable. During a seuffle, the prosecutor may be easily deceived as to the way in which the accused party inflicted a wound upon him; or a bad motive may sometimes exist for, imputing to an assailant the use of a weapon during a quarrel. In such cases we should, as medical witnesses, rather trust to the appearance of a wound for proof of the use of a weapon than to the account given by interested parties. In a case which was tried in 1842 at the Chelmsford Assizes, a surgeon swore that a wound on the nose of the prosecutrix, had been produced by a kuife and not by a blow with the fist, as it was alleged in the defence. There seems to have been no good medical reason for the

opinion that any knife had been used: it appears to have been founded chiefly on the loose statement of the prosecutrix herself. Nevertheless, a conviction followed upon this evidence, and a respectable female, charged as accessory, was sentenced to a severe pnuishment—not for having assaulted the prosecutrix, for it does not appear that she struck the blow—but for aiding another in the supposed act of stabbing. was alleged that she gave a knife to the assailant, when it was extremely doubtful, medically speaking, whether any knife could have been used. This case appears to me to convey a strong caution in respect to the medico-legal examination of wounds. A medical man is not justified in giving a hasty opinion of a weapon having been employed from mere hearsay; he may in this way lead to the infliction of a very severe and numerited punishment. The party, when once convicted, cannot at present have the case re-heard by moving for a new trial, or appealing against a verdict; and, niless ably defended, he must suffer from the mistake thus made by a medical witness.

Examination of the dress.—The use of a weapon on these occasious may be sometimes inferred from the dress having been cut; although it is quite possible that a contrised wound may be inflicted by a blindgeon through the dress, without tearing or injuring it. may be indirectly produced by a weapon, and medical witnesses have often been questioned on this point. Thus, the prosecutor may at the time have worn about his person some article of dress which received the blow, and this may have caused the wound. On a trial for maliciously wounding, which took place at the Reading Spring Assizes in 1837, it appeared in evidence, that the prisoner, while peaching, assaulted a game-keeper by inflicting on his head severe blows with a gun. At the time of the assault, the prosecutor were a strong felt hat, which, it was contended in the defence, had caused the wounds that formed the subject of the charge. The medical witness admitted that the wounds might have been produced either by the hat or the gun. The prisoner was convicted; but the judge intimated a doubt whether this could be considered a "wounding by a weapon," within the statute. In another case, a blow was struck with a bludgeon at the head of the prosecutor, who were spectacles. Wounds were produced, which, it was argued in the defence, had resulted from the glass of the spectacles. The prisoner was acquitted. Every case of this kind must be determined according to the circumstances accompanying it. One fact appears to me to be well established from the foregoing statements, namely, that a medical practitioner should always make a minute and careful examination of wounds which are likely to become the subject of criminal charges. In performing his duties as a surgeon, he is bound, so far as he consistently can, to notice their characters as a medical jurist.

CHAPTER XXIV.

WOUNDS INDICATIVE OF HOMICIDE, SUICIDE, OR ACCIDENT—EVIDENCE FROM THE SITUATION OF A WOUNID—SUICIDAL WOUNDS IN
UNUSUAL SITUATIONS—EVIDENCE FROM NATURE AND EXTENT—
SHAPE—REGULARITY—EVIDENCE FROM THE DIRECTION OF A
WOUND—WOUNDS INFLICTED BY THE RIGHT OR LEFT HAND—ACCIDENTAL AND HOMICIDAL STABS—EVIDENCE FROM THE PRESENCE OF SEVERAL WOINDS—THE USE OF SEVERAL WEAPONS—
TWO OR MORE MORTAL WOUNDS—WOUNDS PRODUCED SIMULTANEOUSLY OR AT DIFFERENT TIMES,

Wounds indicative of homicide, suicide, or accident.—Supposing that the wound which is found on a dead body is proved to have been caused before death, it will next be proper to inquire whether it was the result of suicide, homicide, or accident. It might at first sight he considered, that the determination of a question of this nature, was wholly out of the province of a medical jurist. In some instances it may be so, and the settlement of it is then properly left to the legal authorities; hut in a very large number of cases, it is so closely dependent for its clucidation on medical facts and opinions, that juriea could never arrive at a satisfactory decision without medical evidence. Let us suppose, then, that a medical jurist is consulted in a doubtful case,—What are the points to which he must direct his attention? These are, with regard to the wound, its situation, its nature and extent, and its direction.

Evidence from the situation of a wound.—It is a general principle in which most medical jurists agree, that wounds, inflicted by a suicide. are usually confined to the anterior or lateral parts of the body. throat and chest are commonly selected where cutting instruments are employed; while the chest, especially in the region of the heart, the mouth, the orbit and the temples, are the spots generally chosen for the perpetration of suicide by fire-arms. But it is obvious, that any of these parts may he also selected by a murderer, with the especial design of aimulating a suicidal attempt; therefore the mere situation of a wound does not suffice to establish the fact of suicide. Dr. Smith considers, in reference to pistol-wounds, that if the weapon has been introduced into the deceased's mouth, and there discharged, we may almost take it for granted, that "it has not been done by another;" (For. Med. p. 302;) but this inference is rather too hastily drawn; because it is quite within the range of possibility, that a cool and calculating assassin, may purposely resort to this method of destroying hia victim, in order to conceal his crime. In suicidal wounds from firearma, a discolouration by powder of the fingers of the hand which dia-

charged the weapon is sometimes observed: this has also been looked upon as a source of evidence of suicide under doubtful circumstances. but a similar objection, although not with equal force, might be made to its admission. Some have regarded it as fully established in legal medicine, that when wounds exist at the posterior part of the body, it is a positive proof that they have not been self-inflicted. situation is certainly very nuusual in cases of suicide; but, as Orfila observes, it is not the situation, so much as the direction of a wound, which here furnishes evidence against the presump-A wound, traversing the body from behind to tion of snieide. before in a direct line, is not very likely to have resulted from a suicidal attempt; at least, it must be obvious that it would require more preparation and contrivance on the part of a self-murderer, so to arrange matters, that such a wound should be produced, than we can conceive him to possess at the moment of attempting his life. Besides, his object is to destroy himself as quickly and as surely as circumstances will permit; he is, therefore, not likely to adopt complicated and uncertain means for carrying this design into execution. Nevertheless. we must not always expect to find suicidal wounds in, what a surgeon would pronounce to be, the most proper situation to produce instant destruction. A want of knowledge, or a want of resolution on the part of a suicide, or the accidental slipping of the hand, will often cause a wound in a part where we might least expect to find it.

Wounds which result from accident or snicide, are generally in exposed parts of the body. An incised wound in a concealed or not easily accessible part, is presumptive of murder: because this kind of injury could only have resulted from the deliberate use of a weapon. Suicidal wounds are, however, sometimes found in the most nuusual situations. In December, 1842, a surgeon destroyed himself by eutting through the brachial artery and the principal veins of his left arm with a penknife; and in another instance which occurred in 1839, a young man committed suicide, by dividing the arteries of the fore-arm on both sides. It is very rare that we find suicidal stabs in the abdomen or throat, but an instance occurred a few years since, where a woman destroyed herself hy a stab in the lower part of the abdomen; and several similar cases are recorded by medico-legal writers. In an attempt at suicide, which fell under my own observation, a stab was inflicted by a carving-knife on the fore-part of the neek, traversing the parts from the trachea to the spinal column. In regard to situation, it has been remarked, that there is no wound which a suicide is capable of inflicting upon himself, which may not be produced by a murderer; but there are many wounds inflicted by a murderer, which, from their situation and other circumstances, a suicide would be incapable of pro-We cannot always obtain certainty in a ducing on his own person. question of this kind; the facts will often allow us to speak only with different degrees of probability. The situation of a wound sometimes serves to show whether it be of an accidental nature or not, -a point often insisted on in the defence. Accidental wounds generally exist on those parts of the hody which are exposed. Some wounds, however, forbid the supposition of accident, even when exposed, as deeply incised wounds of the throat, and gun-shot wounds of the mouth and temples. (For the report of a case in which an accidental wound on the head by an axe, closely simulated a homicidal wound, see Casper's Wochenschrift, May 24, 1845.)

Evidence from the nature and extent of a wound.—Generally speaking, the wound met with on the body of a suicide, where fire-arms have not been used, is incised or punctured. Contused wounds are rarely seeu in cases of suicide, because in producing them, there is not that certainty of speedily destroying life to which a self-murderer commonly looks. There are, of course, exceptions to this remark; as where, for instance, a man precipitates himself from any considerable height, and becomes wounded by the fall. Circumstantial evidence will, however, rarely fail to clear up a case of this description. Greater difficulty may exist when life is destroyed by a contused wound, voluntarily inflicted. A case is related by a unedico-legal writer in which a man first attempted to destroy himself by running with his head against a wall, and not having succeeded in this attempt, he struck himself repeatedly on the forchead with a cleaver. By this, he produced such violent injury to the brain, that death soon followed. The man was seen to commit the crime by several witnesses; had this not been the case, the nature of the wound was such as to have excited suspiciou that it had been inflicted by another, and that the man had been murdered.

A close attention to the shape of wounds made by entting instrumeuts, will sometimes lead to the development of cases, rendered doubtful from the circumstances under which the dead body of a wounded person is found. A few years since, the body of a respectable farmer was found lying on a high road, in one of the midland counties. The throat was severely cut, and he had evidently died from the considerable hæmorrhage which had taken place. A bloody knife was discovered at some distance from the body, and this, together with the circumstance of the pockets of the deceased having been rifled, led to a suspiciou of murder. The suspicion was confirmed when the wound in the throat was examined by a surgeon. It was cut, not as is usual in suicides, by carrying the cutting justrument from before backwards, hut as the throats of sheep are cut, when slaughtered by a butcher. The knife had been passed in deeply under and below the ear, and had been brought out by a semicircular sweep in frout, all the great vessels of the neck, with the esophagus and trachea, having been divided from behind forwards. The nature of this wound rendered it at once improbable that it could have been self-inflicted; and it further served to detect the murderer, who was soon after discovered. The prisoner, who was proved to have been a butcher, was subsequently tried and exccuted for the crime.

It is necessary to bear in mind, that maniaes, when they commit spicide, often inflict upon themselves wounds of a very extraordinary nature,—such as would, at first view, lead to a suspicion that they had been produced by the hand of a murderer: and, therefore, the rules which are here laid down to distinguish homicidal from suicidal wounds, must be very guardedly applied to the cases of those individuals who are known to have laboured under insanity. Perhaps one of the most remarkable cases of this kind, is that recorded by Mr. Tarleton. gentleman was found lying iu a state of insensibility iu the kitchen of his house, with a cleaver by his side. On examining the head, upwards of thirty wounds were found over the posterior portion of the occipital bone. The wounds, many of which were superficial, had a horizontal direction from behind forwards. One, however, had removed a portion of the skull from the middle of the lambdoidal suture, so that the brain had escaped. This person, who was a lunatic, died four days afterwards, but recovered so far as to admit that he had produced the wounds on himself, of which, from other circumstances, there could have been no doubt. This was a most unusual way of committing suicide. Had the deceased been found dead on a public highway, thus wounded, it is probable that a strong suspicion of murder would have arisen. A case of this kind should be borne in mind, when we are called upon to speak to the possibility of certain wounds found on a dead body, having heen self-inflicted. (Med. Gaz. xxiv. 276.)

The extent of a wound, by which we are to understand the number and importance of the parts injured, must in these cases be always taken into consideration. It has been somewhat hastily laid down as a rule, that an extensive wound of the throat, involving all the vessels and soft parts of the neck to the vertebral column, could not be inflicted by a suicide. Although in general, snicidal wounds of this part of the body do not reach far back, or involve the vessels of more than one side, yet we find occasionally that all the soft parts are completely divided to the vertebræ. These are eases in which, perhaps, with a firm hand, there is a most determined purpose of self-destruction. a case of suicide, observed by Marc, the weapon had divided all the muscles of the neck, the larynx, and œsophagns, - had opened the jugular veins and both carotid arteries, and had even grazed the autcrior vertebral ligament. A wound so extensive as this, is certainly rarely seen in cases of suicide; but there is no ground for the assertion, that these extensive wounds in the throat, are at all incompatible with self-destruction.

Incised wounds in the throat are generally set down as presumptive of suicide; but murderers sometimes wound this part for the more effectual purpose of concealing the crime. Circumstances, connected with the form and direction of the wound, often, in such cases, lead to detection; for unless the person attacked be asleep or intoxicated, resistance is offered,—evidence of which may be obtained by the pre-

sence of great irregularity in the wound, or the marks of other wounds on the deceased. In some instances, however, it is extremely difficult to say, whether the wound be bomicidal or suicidal,—the medical facts being equally explicable on either hypothesis. (See case by Marc, Ann. d'Hyg., 1830, ii. 408:—another by Devergie, ib. 414; and a third by M. Ollivier, Ann. d'Hyg., 1836, i. 394.)

Regularity in a wound of the throat has been considered to be presumptive of suicide. This was the publicly-expressed opinion of Sir Everard Home, in the well-known ease of Sellis. The deceased was found lying on a bed, with his throat extensively ent; and the edges This condition of the wound, of the incision were regular and even. it was inferred, repudiated the idea of homicide: but as a general principle, this appears to me to be a fallacions criterion. A murderer, by surprising his victim from behind,-by having others at hand to assist him, or by directing his attack against one who is asleep or intoxicated, or who from age or infirmity is incapable of offering resistance, may easily produce a very regular and clean incision on the throat. This was observed in the case of Lord William Russell, who was murdered by Courvoisier in 1840. The wound in the throat possessed all that regularity which has been so improperly regarded as characteristic of suicide. Many, indeed, have taken a directly opposite view to that advocated by Sir E. Home; and have contended with more plausibility, that the chief character of a suicidal wound in the throat, is great irregularity from want of steadiness in the hand, during the perpetration of suicide. It is by no means unusual in suicide, owing to the loss of blood which attends the first incision, to find the cut regular at its commencement, and irregular and uneven at its termination; but it is obvious, that a homicidal wound may possess these characters. In short, from the foregoing remarks, we are, I think, entitled to say, that regularity or irregularity in an incision in the throat, furnishes no presumptive evidence either of homicide or suicide.

The nature or the extent of a wound or of other injuries on the person. will sometimes allow us to distinguish, very positively, accident from komicide. These personal injuries may be such, that they could not possibly have bad a suicidal or accidental origin. In a case that occurred at Manchester, in October 1836, it was shown by the medical evidence, that seven ribs were fractured on one side of the thorax of the deceased. and five on the other. The person charged with murder, alleged in defence, that he had merely struck the deceased a slight blow, and that the ribs had become broken subsequently by an accidental fall. medical witness, however, satisfied the Court, that the fall as described by the prisoner, was inadequate to the production of such extensive violence; and that even had the deceased fallen on one side, this would not account for the fracture of the rihs on the other. When, therefore, we find in a dead body, severe injuries referred to a fall, we should search the whole of the body earefully for marks of violence.

sides of the arms or thighs might present marks of injury, which could not possibly be explained on the supposition of an accidental fall. Severe contusions on both sides of the body, or anteriorly and posteriorly, commonly indicate homicidal violence.

A few years ago, I assisted in examining the body of a woman, who was alleged to have been murdered by her husbaud. presented numerous marks of contusions; one arm was completely ecchymosed from the shoulder to the hand. The person charged with the murder, ascribed these appearances to the fact of his wife having accidentally fallen ont of bed; but on examining the bed, it was found to be only a foot from the floor. A fall from this height would not account for the presence of such extensive marks of violence; but irrespective of this, a severe contusion was found on the outer side of the opposite thigh, which, from the appearance, must have been produced about the same time as that on the arm. The existence of this second contusion, rendered the defence still less probable; for the woman could not, if she had fallen at all, have fallen on both sides of her body at once; and it was not alleged that she had had more than one fall. the case of the Queen against Wallis, (Cent. Crim. Court, 1839,) a similar defence was set aside, by the fact that severe bruises were found at the same time on the occiput and the temples of the deceased.

Evidence from the direction of a wound.—The direction of a wound has been considered by some, to afford presumptive evidence, sufficiently strong to guide a medical jurist in this inquiry. It has been remarked, that in most suicidal wounds which affect the throat, the direction of the cut is commonly from left to right, either transversely or passing obliquely from above downwards: in suicidal stabs and punctured wounds, the direction is commouly from right to left, and from above downwards. In left-handed persons, the direction would, of course, be precisely the reverse. Suicidal wounds are, however, subject to such variation in extent and direction, that it is scarcely possible to generalize with respect to them. Nevertheless, an attention to these minutize may sometimes be of real assistance to the inquirer, especially when the body has not been moved from its position. recommended that the instrument with which the wound has been inflicted, should be placed in either hand of the deceased, and the extremity moved towards the wounded part, so that it may be clearly seen whether or not the direction of the wound could correspond to it in any position. It might happen that neither arm would reach the wounded part, so as to inflict a wound of the particular direction observed; this may be the case in wounds situated on the back. obvious that if a murderer makes an incised wound in the throat from behind, the direction will be the same as that commonly observed in cases of suicide. (See on this point the case of Reg. v. Dalmas, Cent. Crim. Court, May, 1844.) Again, if the person attacked be powerless, the wound may be deliberately made, so as to simulate a suicidal act; indeed, murderers would seldom attack the throat, but with the design of simulating an act of suicide. A homicidal stab may also take the same direction as one which is suicidal; but this would be confined to those cases where the murderer was placed behind or aside. If in front of the person whom he attacks, the direction would probably be from left to right; but in suicide, where the right hand is commonly All oblique wounds, passing from ahove used, it is the reverse. downwards, are common to homicide and snicide; but those which take an oblique course from below upwards, are generally indicative of homicide; it is at least extremely rare, that a suicide, nnless a lunatic, thus uses a weapon. Homicidal incisions, especially in the tbroat, are often prolonged below and behind the skin forming the angles of the wound, deeply into the soft parts. Those which are suicidal, rarely possess this character; they terminate gradually in a sharp angle, and the skin itself is the furthest point wounded,—the weapon is not carried either behind, below, or beucath it, Exceptions to these characters may exist; but in a dark and intricate subject of this nature, we have only these limited rules to guide us. ment with which the wound is supposed to have been inflicted, should be adapted to the edges of the incision: its sharpness may be compared with the cleanness and evenness of the cut, and its length with the depth of the incision or stab. It is no uncommon occurrence for a murderer to substitute some instrument, belonging to the deceased or another person, for that which he has employed.

Wounds inflicted by the right or left hand.—Some remarks have been made about the direction of a cut or a stab, varying according to whether the right or the left hand has been used by a suicide. It is important for a medical jurist to be aware, that there are many persons who are ambidextrous, i. e. who have equal facility in the use of the right or the left hand. This may not be generally known to the friends of the deceased; and such persons are often pronounced, even by those who have associated with them, to have been right-handed. A want of attention to this point, is said to have been one of the circunistances which led to a suspicion of murder in the case of Sellis (Wills' Circ. Evidence, 97.) He was found dead on his bed with the throat cut,—the razor was discovered on the left side of the bed : whereas, it was generally supposed and asserted, that he was a righthanded man. The truth was, he was ambidextrous,-equally expert in the use of the razo with his left and right hand; and thus the apparently suspicious circumstance of the razor being found on his left side, was at once explained away.

Accidental stabs.—Severe incisions on vital parts do not often happen by accident; but severe punctures and stabs affecting vital organs, have frequently an accidental origin. These stabs arise generally from falls, while the individual is in the act of running, with a pointed instrument in his hand or his pocket. There is one character which, when thus produced, they are commonly observed to possess, namely, that their direction is from below upwards. In this way, tho

truth of the defence may be sometimes tested, as where a prisoner alleres that the deceased threw himself, or fell upon the weapon. Homicidal stabs may be likewise directed from below upwards; but this is somewhat rare, and not probable, unless an iudividual be stabled by an oblique blow, while in the recumbent posture. Rules of this kind may appear to be susceptible of but little practical application; yet cases occasionally present themselves, wherein a close attention to the situation and direction of wounds, may materially assist a medical jurist in forming an opinion. In a case of alleged murder, which was tried in 1843 at the Central Crimiual Court, the surgeon deposed, that he found on examining the body of the deceased, a stab on the left side of the chest, near the armpit, about six inches in depth. It had wounded the right lung, and had penetrated obliquely into the right auricle of the heart, passing from left to right. He contended, very properly, that, considering the situation and direction of the would, it was very improbable that the deceased could have inflicted it upon himself. The fact that there may be some instances in which rules of this kind will not be applicable, must not deter us from endeavouring to make a cautious application of them in doubtful eases. In May, 1843, a man was brought to Guy's Hospital, with a punctured wound in the back, between his It had been inflieted by a stonemasou's chisel. sboulders. iustrument had penetrated to its head, which had prevented it from going further, and had entered the chest, producing a severe wound, as it was supposed, of the lungs, attended with copious hæmorrhage. It appeared that the man had been drinking and quarrelling with some companions. He had fallen from a blow, but did not complain of being stabbed, and was conveyed home. His wife, on removing his coat, found that his waistcoat and shirt had been penetrated by the chisel, which was still sticking in his back, but the outer coat had not been cut or perforated by it. She withdrew the instrument, when violent hemorrhago came on, and he was sent to the hospital. The person with whom he had fought was charged with having stabbed him; and it was clear that such a stab must have been either homicidal or accidental. It was elicited from wituesses, however, that no weapon was seen in the hands of the accused.—that the chiscl belonged to the wounded party, and that he used it in his trade as a stonemasou; there were no marks of blood on the floor where he fell, or ou his clothes; that after leaving the public-house, where the quarrel took place, he walked with a policeman, who said that the mau exhibited no signs of having been wounded, and did not complain of having been struck by any weapon. These facts seemed to show, that the stab must have taken place after the quarrel; it was further proved, that the wounded man had the chisel in his pocket before the quarrel, and that as the outer coat had not been cut, a homicidal stab could only have been inflicted by the assailant raising this; and then it would remain to be explained how the weapon could have penetrated up to its head. From the whole of the facts, it was considered that this must have been an accidental stab; although its direction, as such, was remarkable, since, according to the wife's statement, the weapon had not entered the body in a slanting course, but straightforward, and it required considerable force to remove it. The man recovered, and from the statement which he made, there could be no doubt that it was an accidental stab, produced by a fall; but it was certainly extraordinary, that it should have been found in such a situatiou, and taking such a direction. On these investigations, some regard must always be had to the helpless state of intoxication in which a wounded person may be. This may give an anomalous character to accidental stabs or punctures.

The following ease shows how an accidental may simulate a homicidal stab. A blacksmith while forging a piece of rod-iron became irritated at some observations made by a bystander. In making a rush at the offender with the piece of iron in his hand, the end being red hot, he stumbled and fell. In some way the piece of iron became accidentally reversed,—he fell upon the point, which struck against the upper portion of the breast bone, glanced from that, and penetrated the upper part of the left lung. He died in a few days, and the body was examined by order of the coroner. Had only one person been present when the accident occurred, a charge of marder might easily have arisen, and the circumstantial evidence might have appeared to favour this view. (Dublin Med. Press, January, 1845.) Mr. B. Cooper relates a case in which a man accidentally inflicted upon himself a stab under very singular circumstances. pushing open a door with the handle of a gardening knife, the point being turned towards his abdomen. He was not aware that the door This passed was shut, and suddenly thrust himself against the point. through his clothes and pierced the abdomen, forming a wound threequarters of an inch in length. (Mcd. Gaz. xxxvi. 204.)

This question assumes a very serious aspect when two persons are engaged in a quarrel, and one dies from the effects of a wound alleged to have been inflicted aecidentally. A medical jurist may then be called upon to state whether the fatal wound arose from the deceased having fallen on the weapon, or from his adversary having deliberately stabled him. In 1842, M. Caumartin was charged before the Criminal Court of Brussels with the manslaughter of M. Lirey. It appeared from the circumstances that the deceased and the accused, who was armed with a sword-stick, quarrelled in a room. The statement for the defence was, that the accused was in the act of striking the deceased with the cane, when the latter seized it. He thus unsheathed the sword, which was left in the hand of his adversary, and in the act of precipitating himself upon him, received a wound, from which he immediately fell dead. The inspection showed that the weapon had inflicted a punctured wound to the depth of six inches, passing from below upwards, from left to right, and from before

backwards. It involved the stomach, diaphragm, right lung, and heart. Of six Belgian physicians who were called as witnesses, two thought that the wound had been caused by the act of the accused. M. Ollivier, the chief witness for the defence, emsidered that it might have arisen from the deceased throwing himself on the weapon in the manner alleged. He adopted this view from the aperture of entrance having about it marks of pressure: thus, the edge of one of the cartilages of the ribs presented a slight groove corresponding to a prominent ridge on the weapon, and the fibres of the neighbouring intercostal nunseles were lacerated. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1843, ii. 178.) The direction favoured the supposition of an accidental origin; but the circumstances adduced by M. Ollivier appear to be of too doubtful a nature to confirm it. The question, in fact, could not be solved in this instance by medical knowledge and experience. The reader will find another interesting case involving the question whether a fatal stab by a bayonet, had been inflicted homicidally or by the deceased precipitating hiurself ou the weapon, in the Annales d'Hygiène, 1847, i. 458. (Case of Carnot.) In such cases it will be better to leave the question to be decided by the moral circumstances, than to adventure an opinion on speculative grounds. There ought, at least, to be very strong and intelligible reasons for a medical opinion which is intended to carry weight with a jury.

Evidence from the presence of several wounds .- In suicides, commonly, one wound only is seen, namely, that which has destroyed life; and the presence of several wounds on a body, or the marks of several attempts around the principal wound, have been considered to furnish presumptive evidence of unirder. But it need hardly be observed, that any inferences of this kind must be very cautiously drawn; since not only may a murderer destroy his victim by one wound, but a suicide may inflict many, or leave the marks of several attempts, before he succeeds in his purpose. A case is reported in which a gentleman, labouring nuder mania, attempted to destroy himself. sides many wounds on the fore-arm, neck, and face, which disfigured him, there were twenty-two in front of his chest. One of these had traversed the heart, producing death after some hours, by causing extravasation of blood. (Lancet, July, 1839.) In jucisious on the throat, from ignorance of the situation of vital parts or from tremulousness, a suicide often produces oue or more incisions of greater or less extent, near that which destroyed him. This is especially the case, when the justrument happens to lodge in the first instance on the cartilages of the larynx. The same remark applies to suicidal stabs, when the point of the weapon, in being directed against the chest, comes in the first instance in contact with the ribs. With respect to the throat, many cases might be cited, where two, three. and even six or more incisions, have been made in this part by suicides. before they have destroyed themselves. A very remarkable case is related by Dr. Handyside (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. Jan. 1838), in

which a gentleman, who had studied medicine, destroyed himself by inflicting several wounds on his throat. Incisions were found on each side, just below the angle of the jaw, and in the hollow behind it. They were irregular in form, and bore the character of deep stabs. The only important vessel divided was the internal jugular vein on the right side; hut, nevertheless, a large quantity of blood was lost, and this, no doubt, as it is stated by Dr. Cormack, was the real cause The case is in many points of view singular: for such wounds have never before, so far as I know, heen found in cases of suicide. It would appear that the deceased was amhidextrous, and that the wounds on each side of the neck were inflicted by the hand of The following case, which occurred in London in the opposite side. 1839, is somewhat similar. A lady who had been for several days in a despoudent state, was found one morning dead in her bed, in a sitting posture. On examination, two very deep and extensive wounds, which had divided the principal blood-vessels, were perceived on the right side of the neck. There were two penknives on the hed, covered with blood. From the situation and other characters of the wounds, it was inferred that they must have been inflicted with the left hand; although nothing satisfactory could be ascertained on this point. The husband and son had slept in the adjoining room. There was no doubt that this was a case of suicide, although it is singular, that two deep wounds shou d have been found thus inflicted by two different weapons on the right side of the neck, in the case of a person who was not known to be left-handed.

The use of several weapons.-In general, suicides when foiled in a first attempt, continue to use the same weapon; hut sometimes after having made a severe incision in the throat, they will shoot themselves, or adopt other methods of self-destruction. These cases can only appear complicated to those who are unacquainted with the facts relative to self-murder. Neither the presence of several wounds by the same kind of weapon, nor of different wounds by different weapons, can be considered, of themselves, to furnish any proof of the act being homicidal. One instance has been related, in which a lunatic, iu committing suicide, inflicted thirty wounds noon his head (ante, p. 227). In a case of murder, when many wounds are found on a dead hody, it may happen that the situation or direction of some, will he incompatible with the idea of a suicidal origin. The following case occurred in September, 1839. A woman was found dead, and there were many wounds upon her hody. The hushand was suspected of having killed his wife, but he asserted that she had destroyed herself. This defence, however, was shown to he inconsistent with the medical facts. Three physicians who examined the hody, deposed that there were eleven wounds (stabs,) eight ou and ahout the left side of the thorax, one of which had penetrated the pericardium, and divided the trunk of the unimonary artery at its origin; and the others were on the back, near the left scapula. It was quite impossible that these

last mentioned wounds could have been produced by the deceased, and there was every reason to suppose that the stabs in front and at the back had been inflicted at the same time by the assassin.

Two or more mortal wounds.—When we find several wounds on the body of a suicide, it generally happens that one only boars about it a mortal character, namely, that which has caused death. On this account, it has been asserted by some medical jurists, that when two mortal wounds are found upon a body, and particularly if one of them be of a stunning or stupefying tendency, (i. e. affecting the head,) they must be considered incompatible with suicide. An inference of this kind can be applied to those cases only in which the two wounds, existing on different parts of the body, were likely to prove immediately fatal. It must, however, be borne in mind, that all suicides do not immediately perish from wounds which are commonly termed mortal; on the contrary, they have often the power to perform acts of volition and locomotion, which might by some be deemed wholly incompatible with their condition. It is very difficult to say, whether one wound was likely to destroy life so rapidly, as to render it impossible for an individual to have inflicted another upon himself. There are no rules by which, in unknown cases, the instantaneous mortality of wounds can be accurately determined, -a fact which will be apparent hereafter, from a description of cases of wounds of the head, heart and throat.

The following case, related by Orfila, will show how easily snieide may simulate bomicide: -- A gentleman was found dead in his chamber. Two pistols were lying in the room,—one near the body, and the other on the bed, at some distance from it. An investigation was made on the spot, and it was then discovered that the deceased had shot himself in two places. One wound, which had apparently been inflicted while he was lying on the bed, had completely traversed the left side of the chest, breaking a rib before and behind, perforating the lung through its middle portion, and passing near to the roots of the pulmonary voins. A very large quantity of blood had become extravasated in the thorax. In spite of the existence of so serious an injury, it appeared that the deceased must have risen from his bed, walked to a closet to procure another pistol, with which he produced a second wound that must have proved instantly mortal. The ball had entered the frontal bone, and, after traversing the left hemisphere of the hrain, had become lodged against the os occipitis. There was not the least doubt of this having heen an act of deliberate suicide. This case should inspire cantion in the expression of an opinion: but at the same time, it is to be remarked, that such occurrences are rare: the existence of several mortal wounds on a body, i.e. wounds which would commonly be considered sufficient to produce immediate death, affords a presumption of homicide, which is open to be confirmed or rebutted by other circumstances.

It is not possible to say from the mere discovery of marks of con-

tusion or injury on the head, that the deceased must have necessarily laboured under concussion, and have therefore been afterwards unable to inflict any other wound upon himself. Injuries of the head are attended with the most singular anomalies in this respect. One individual will be rendered insensible and powerless by a blow, which may leave scarcely any appreciable marks, while another will be able to walk and exert himself, when the skull has been fractured and depressed, and even when a portion of brain has been lost; in short, the appearances may be such as to induce many surgeons to express an opinion, that death must have taken place instantaneously. It is quite right, that a medical jurist should be fully prepared for the occurrence of such anomalous cases; but a strong suspicion of homicide may fairly exist, when besides marks of great injury to the head, a severe cut or a stab is found ou the budy. A man is not likely to cut or stab himself after having sustained such severe violence to the head; but it is quite possible that he may have the power of precipitating himself from an elevated spot, and thereby of producing great injury to the head, after having previously attempted to cut his throat or to stab himself. That this may happen, will be apparent from the fullowing case, which occurred in this city in 1836. A man was found one morning lying dead in the street in a low quarter of the town, with his skull severely fractured, and his throat cut. The evidence adduced at the inquest, satisfacturily showed that the deceased had attempted suicide by cutting his throat in his bed-room, and had then thrown himself out of the window, by which the fracture and other severe contusions, found on his body, were produced. A precisely similar occurrence took place at the Whitecross-street Prison, in the case of a Mr. Richards, in October 1847. Had the budies uf these persons been thus discuvered in a lonely and sequestered spot, the presumption would certainly have been in favour of murder. Cases of this description are usually determined by circumstantial evidence. In the following instance there could be nu doubt of homicide. A woman was found dead nearly twelve months after she was first injured. Her body was clearly identified. A handkerchief was drawn tightly round the neck, and a wound from a pistol-ball was traced through the left side of the chest, passing out at the right orbit; and three other wounds were found, one of which had entered the heart. and all of which had been made by a sharp instrument. The prisoner charged with the crime, alleged that the deceased had committed suicide; but the variety of the means and the instruments employed to produce death, as well as the fact that the gun-shot wound in the bead, the stab in the heart, and the act of strangulation, were individually sufficient to account for speedy death, left no doubt that this was an act of murder. (The King against Corder, Bury Summer Assizes, 1828. Wills' Circ. Evidence, 237.)

Wounds produced simultaneously or at different times.—When several wounds are found on a dead body, the question is frequently

asked.—Which was first received? If one be what is commonly called mortal, and the others not, it is probable that the latter were first inflicted. This remark applies both to cases of homicide and suicide; but it is apparent, that where in a murderous assault, a person has been attacked by several individuals at once, the wounds may have been simultaneously produced. This is, however, a question to which it is not easy to give a general answer. Each case must be decided from the special circumstances attending it; and in most instances, unless some direct evidence be afforded, a medical opinion can be little more than conjectural. I here refer to it, because it is a question almost always put in a Court of law; and a witness should at least prepare himself for it, by a proper exumination of the medical circumstances of the case. The appearances of the wounds may be such as to indicate that they have been inflicted at different periods, and under different circumstances, and the falsehood of a defence may be thus established. An interesting case of this kind has been recently communicated to me by Dr. Campbell, of Lishurn. He was required to examine the body of an old woman, who had died rather suddenly in a house in which she resided with her brother. At the back of the bead there were the marks of three contasions, two of which were evidently of old standing, as the abscesses resulting from them contained pas, while the third was of recent origin. There were several recent contusions over the face, with ecchymosis over both eyes; and there was effusion in the ventricles of the brain. The brother alleged that these personal injuries arose from the old woman, who slept in a kind of loft, having fallen accidentally through a hole in the floor. Dr. Campbell, however, ascertained that the hole was too small for the body of the deceased to go through; and that the edges of the broken boards, instead of being depressed, were raised upwards. This statement would not, moreover, have accounted for all the wounds, which had evidently been inflicted at different times, nor would it have explained how the contusions on the face had been produced. In the bouse was found a spade, having ou it some grey hairs, corresponding to those of the deceased; and from a comparison, it was evident that the recent wound, at the back of the head, had been inflicted by this weapon, and had probably caused death. This clear medico-legal investigation led to a strong presumption of murder, but the witnesses gave their evidence against the brother with great reluctance, and he was acquitted.

The case of Reg. v. Spicer (Berks Lent Assizes, 1846), affords an additional illustration of the importance of examining wounds minutely, as well as the locality where a dead body is found. The prisoner was charged with the murder of his wife, and the evidence against him was chiefly circumstantial. The deceased was found dead, at the foot of a stair, as if she had accidentally fallen. The parietal bone was fractured, and the fracture had extended to the base of the skull. The brain was lacerated, and there was great effusion of blood. The

second vertebra of the neck was fractured, and the spinal marrow torn These injuries were quite sufficient to account for death; and had they existed alone, there might have been no reason to charge the husband with the murder. But there was a wound on each temple, partly laccrated and partly bruised, and a brauch of the right temporal artery had been divided; the injury having been inflicted, apparently, with a pointed blunt instrument. There were marks of blood on the wall at the top of the staircase, and a pointed stone, covered with blood, was found near the body. It was therefore obvious, as the deceased had fallen on the vertex, that the injuries to the two temples laterally, could not have been accidentally produced, for there was no projecting body against which she could have fallen in her descent; and when the force of the fall had been spent on the vertex, her body could not have rolled over, so as to produce mixed punctures and lacerated wounds on both sides of the head. All the facts tended to show that a murderous assault had been made upon her at the top of the stair, and that she had been pitched headlong backwards. The injuries received previously to the fall might have stunned her, and might not have sufficed to account for death; but their nature and situation furnished strong proof that they could not have arisen from any cause operating simultaneously, and that they were neither of accidental or suicidal origin. The prisoner was convicted and executed. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 610.)

If several wounds have been inflicted through the dress, an examination of this may sometimes suffice to show which was first reccived. A man, in struggling with an assailant, received three stabs with a knife,--two on the left elbow, and the third in the back. latter was at about the level of the eighth rib; --it was vertical to the chest, and had clean edges. The lower margin was obtuse—the upper acute; hence it was evident that the cutting edge of the weapon had been directed upwards. It had traversed the left lung and the heart, and had caused immediate death. It was obvious, on examination, that this mortal wound had been first received, and the stabs at the elbow inflicted subsequently. These two stabs, which were slight, had divided the cloth-coat and shirt, and had only grazed the skin, so that no blood had been effused. But the edges of the cuts in the clothcoat and shirt were stained with blood; hence it was evident that they must have been produced by a weapon already rendered bloody by a previous wound. The fact was of some importance in the case, and the correctness of the medical opinion was confirmed by the evidence at the judicial inquiry. (See Ann. d'Hygiène, 1847, i. p. 461.)

CHAPTER XXV.

EVIDENCE FROM CIRCUMSTANCES—MEDICAL QUESTIONS—VALUE OF CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE—THE POSITION OF THE BODY—OF THE WEAPON—THE WEAPON OR OTHER ARTICLES FOUND IN THE HAND OF THE DECEASED—EVIDENCE FROM BLOOD ON WEAPONS—MARKS OF BLOOD ON THE PERSON OR IN THE APARTMENT—POSITION OF THE PERSON WHEN MORTALLY WOUNDED—EVIDENCE FROM WOUNDS ON THE DECEASED—NO BLOOD ON THE ASSAILANT—FALLACY RESPECTING MARKS OF BLOOD. ARTERIAL DISTINGUISHED FROM VENOUS BLOOD—EVIDENCE FROM THE FORM AND DIRECTION OF SPOTS OF BLOOD.

Evidence from circumstances. - In pursuing the examination of the question respecting the homicidal or suicidal origin of wounds, the attention of the reader may be called to the force of evidence which is sometimes derived from the circumstances under which the body of a person, dead from wounds, is discovered. It may be said that this is a subject wholly foreign to the duties of a medical jurist, but I cannot agree to this statement: there are very few in the profession, who, when summoned to aid justice, by their science, in the detection of erime, do not seek for circumstances by which to support the medical evidence required of them. A practitioner would certainly be wrong to base his professional opinion exclusively on circumstantial proofs; but it is scarcely possible for him to avoid drawing an inference from these, as they fall under his observation, for or against the prisoner. His evidence may be of itself weak, and insufficient to support the charge against an accused party; in such a case, if any suspicious circumstances have come to his knowledge, he may be often unconsciously induced to attach greater importance to the medical facts than he is justified in doing; in short he may, through a feeling of prejudice, which it is not always easy to avoid, give an undue force and value to the medical evidence. But if a proper degree of caution be used in drawing inferences from the circumstantial proofs, and they are not allowed to create a prejudice in his mind against a prisoner, a practitiouer is, I think, bound to observe and record them; for being commouly the first person called to the deceased, many facts, capable of throwing an important light on the case, would remain unnoticed or unknown, but for his attention to them. The position of a dead body,—the distance at which a knife or pistol is found, the direction of the instrument, -whether situated to the right or left of the deceased,—the marks of blood about the person, clothes, or furniture of the apartmeut, are all circumstances which must assist

materially in developing the real nature of a case, and giving force to a medical opinion. Many of these circumstances can fall under the notice of him only who is first called to the deceased; and, indeed, if observed hy another, no advantage could he taken of them without the assistance of a medical man.

Among the questions which present themselves on these occasions are the following: - Is the position of a wounded body that which a suicide could have assumed? Is the distance of the fatal weapon from the hody such as to render it improbable that it could have been placed there by the deceased?-In answering either of these questious, it is necessary to take into consideration the extent of the wound, and the period at which it probably proved fatal. Again, it may he inquired: Has the deceased bled in more places than one? Are the streams of blood all connected? Are there any marks of blood on his person or clothes, which he could not well have produced himself?—These are questions, the answers to which may materially affect the ease of an accused party: and the practitioner, in noticing and recording the eircunistances involved in them, ought therefore to exercise due cantion and deliberation. "The consideration of the nature of circumstantial evidence," observes Starkie, "and of the principles on which it is founded, mcrits the most profound attention. It is essential to the well-being at least, if not to the very existence of civil society, that it should be understood, that the secrecy with which crimes are committed, will not insure impunity to the offender. At the same time it is to he emphatically remarked, that, in no case, and upon no principle, can the policy of preventing crime and protecting society, warrant my inference which is not founded on the most full and certain conviction of the truth of the fact, independently of the nature of the offence and of all extrinsic considerations whatever. Circumstantial evidence is allowed to prevail to the conviction of an offender, not because it is necessary and politic that it should be resorted to, but because it is in its own nature capable of producing the highest degree of moral certainty in its application. Fortunately for the interests of society, crimes, especially those of great enormity and violeuce, can rarely he committed, without affording vestiges by which the offender may be traced and ascertained. The very measures which he adopts for his security, not unfrequently turn out to he the most cogent arguments of guilt. On the other hand, it is to he recollected, that this is a species of evidence which requires the utmost degree of cantion and vigilance in its application; and, in acting upon it, the just and humane rule, impressed by Lord Hale, canuot he too often repeated:-tutins semper est errare in acquietando quam in pnuiendo, ex parte misericordiæ quam ex parte justitiæ." (Vol. i. p. 480.) Evidence is direct when a fact is proved by witnesses, and circumstantial when the fact is at once proved by circumstances. More commonly the evidence is presumptive, i.e. founded on an inference from circumstances.

There are many cases on record in which an observance of slight and unexpected circumstances by medical men, has led to the detection of offenders. In the life of Sir Astley Cooper, it is mentioned, that when called to see Mr. Blight, of Deptford, who had been mortally wounded by a pistol-shot in the year 1806, he inferred from an examination of the localities, that the shot must have been fired by a left-handed man. The only left-handed man near the premises at the time was a Mr. Patch, a particular friend of the deceased's, who was not in the least suspected. This man was afterwards tried and convicted of the erime:—and he made a full confession of his guilt before execution. The rules for investigating a case of poisoning (see aute, p. 32) may be equally observed in many cases of death from violence. Among the circumstances to which a medical witness should specially direct his attention on these occasions are the following:—

The position of the body.—The body may be found in a position which the deceased could not have assumed on the supposition of the wound having heen accidental or suicidal. The position of a dead wounded body is often only compatible with homicidal interference, either at the time of death, or immediately afterwards. In order to determine the probable time of death, we should always notice whether there be any warmth about the body, - whether it be rigid, or in a state of decomposition, and to what degree this may have advanced. In the case of a female who was found dead in her apartment with her throat cut, in November 1847, it was ascertained that when first discovered, the body was so warm as to render it bighly probable that the crime must have been committed within an hour. This observation tended to prove the innocence of a party who was suspected of the murder, because it was known that he had been absent from the house for at least five hours.

The position of the weapon. - If a person has died from an accidental or self-inflicted wound, likely to cause death either immediately or within a few minutes, the weapon is commonly found either near the body or within a short distance. If found near, it is proper to notice on which side of the body it is lying: if at a short distance, we must consider whether it might not have fallen to the spot, or been thrown or placed there by the deceased. If there has been any interference with the body, all evidence from the relative position of it and the weapon will be inadmissible. In a case which was referred to me some time since, a woman had evidently died from a severe incision on the throat, which was homicidally inflicted; the weapon, a razor, was found under the left shoulder, a most unusual situation, but which. it appears, it had taken owing to the body having been turned over before it was seen by the surgeon who was first called. We must remember that it is quite compatible with suicide that a weapon may be found at some distance, or in a concealed situation; but it is much more frequently either grasped in the hand, or lying by the side of the deceased.

In one instance, it is stated the deceased was discovered in bed with his throat cut, and the razor lying closed or shut by his side. It appears very improbable that any person committing suicide, after dividing one or both carotids and the jugular veins, should have power to close or shut the razor: and there is fair ground to suspect interference when a razor is thus found closed, and the body has not been interfered There is, however one circumstance in relation to a weapon strongly confirmatory of suicide. If the instrument be found still firmly grasped in the hand of the deceased, no hetter circumstantial evidence of suicide can, perhaps, be offered. It is so common to find knives, razors, and pistols grasped in the hands of snieides, that it is quite unnecessary to produce cases illustrative of this statement. grasping of a weapon appears to he owing to muscular spasm persisting after death and manifesting itself under the form of what has been called cadaverous spasiu—a condition quite distinct from rigidity, although often running into it. It does not seem possible that any murderer could imitate this state, since the relaxed hands of a dead person cannot be made to grasp or retain a weapon, like the band which has firmly held it by powerful muscular contraction at the last moment of life. In this respect the case of Reg. v. Saville, Nottingham Summer Assizes, 1844, is of great interest to the medical jurist. A woman was found dead with her throat cut, and there was a razor loose in her hand. There was no blood upon the hand which held the razor. and this, together with the fact of its being quite loose, rendered it certain that it must have been placed there by the prisoner after having cut his wife's throat. The deceased may be found with some other article grasped in the hand. (Sec case, Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, i. 464.) It may he her own or the prisoner's hair toru off in the struggle for life; and on this point a question of identity may be easily raised. (Rey. v. Ellison, Bodmin Summer Assizes, 1845.) In a case which occurred to Dr. Marc, a woman was found assassinated in her house, and when the body was discovered, a small snuff-box was still held firmly in one hand. This proved that the murder must have taken place very suddenly, and without any resistance on the part of the deceased. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, i. 465.) A case occurred iu France, in 1835, in which the retention of a pistol in the hand of the deceased, was improperly considered to indicate murder. The deceased was found dead, sitting in a chair by the side of the bed, his left elbow resting upon the bolster, and his right hand, which lay over the right thigh, grasping a recently discharged pistol. The temperature of the body indicated that the deceased had not been dead above two hours; and he had evidently died from a severe gun-shot wound of the The son, who slept in the same room with the deceased, was accused of having murdered him, and of having placed the pistol in the hand of his parent after death, in order to give the appearance of suicide. This appeared so much the more probable to those who first discovered the body, hecause when the band with the pistol was carefully carried to the position in which the weapon must have been held by the deceased to have committed the fatal act himself, and the hand was afterwards allowed to fall by its own weight, the pistol each time fell from the hand to the floor. There were also some moral circumstances against the son. The physician having duly reflected on the position in which the deceased was discovered, satisfactorily accounted for the hand retaining the pistol after death by the contractile state of the muscles, continuing under the form of cadaverous spasm. The experiments performed by placing the pistol in the hand of the deceased after this spasmodic contraction had been once destroyed, proved nothing. The accused was discharged. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, 467.)

If the weapon cannot be discovered, or if it be found concealed in a distant place, this is strongly presumptive of homicide, provided the wound be of such a nature as to prove speedily fatal. In the case of Lord William Russell, no weapon could be discovered; and although the wound in the throat bore some of the characters of a suicidal incision, this fact alone was sufficient to show that it must have been the act of a murderer. With respect to the weapon being found at a distance from the body, other circumstances should be taken into consideration before any opinion is expressed. We may observe, whether the weapon, if a sharp cutting justrument like a razor, has been recently notehed; for this might show that a degree of force or violence has been used, not easily reconcilable with the suicidal use of the instrument. The well-known case of the Earl of Esser, who was found dead in the Tower, in July 1683, gave rise to a doubt on this The deceased was discovered with his throat cut, and a razor lying near him. This razor was found to be much notched on the edge, while the throat was smoothly and evenly cut from one side to the other, and to the vertebral column. Some considered this to have been an act of suicide, others of murder. Those medical witnesses who supported the view of snicide, were asked to explain how it was that such an even wound could have been produced by a notched They attempted to account for this by asserting, that the deceased had probably drawn the razor backwards and forwards across the neck-bone; forgetting that before this could have been done by a suicide, all the great vessels of the neck must have been divided!

Blood on vergoes.—It does not always happen that the weapon with which a wound has been produced, is covered with blood. It has been remarked, that in the case of stabs, the knife is frequently without any stains of blood upon it; or there is only a slight film, which, on drying, gives to the surface a yellowish-brown colour. The explanation of this appears to be, that the weapon, in being withdrawn, is sometimes cleanly wiped against the edges of the wound in the

integuments.

When a weapon is bloody, particular attention should be given to the manner in which the blood is diffused over it. In cases of imputed wounds, or in the attempted concealment of murder, it is not unusual for the criminal to besmear with blood a knife or other weapon which has probably not been used. A case of this kind occurred to the late Dr. Marc. A young man alleged that he had received a cut on the forchead by a blow from a cutlass, which he produced. It was remarked, that the weapon was smeared with blood on both surfaces; but the layers were thicker towards the handle than at the point. The would on the forchead was a clean incision; and a cap which the complainant wore had been cut through. It was obvious, therefore, that the blood on the weapon could not have proceeded from this cut; for it would have been wiped, or only left in thin striæ, and more towards the point thau the handle, by the act of drawing it through the clothes in producing the wound. There was no doult that blood had been intentionally applied to the blade. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, p. 263.)

Foreign substances in wounds.—In gun-shot wounds, the examination of wadding or paper found in the wound or near the deceased, has in more than one instance led to the identity of the person who had committed the crime. His hand-writing was traced on the paper used as wadding, or it has been found to have been part of a printed page, of which the remainder has been discovered in his possession. Foreign substances may be sometimes discovered in contused or lace. rated wounds; and these may throw an important light on the circumstances under which the crime was perpetrated. In the case of the Queen v. Hazell (Taunton Lent Assizes, 1848), the body of the deceased was found in a well. When examined, there were on the head several severe woulds quite sufficient to account for death. There was much blood on the clothes and face, and in the blood were sticking a quantity of hay-seeds, which led the medical witness to consider that the wounds must have been inflicted in a stable or in some place where there was hay. On examining a neighbouring stable, the spot where the murder was committed, was rendered evident by the discovery of marks of blood.

Marks of blood.—It is proper to notice all marks of blood on the person or in the apartment, and to observe where the greatest quantity of blood has been effused; this is generally found in the spot where the deceased has died. The deceased may have bled in more places than one; if so, it becomes important to notice whether there be any communicatious in blood between these different places. Blood on distant elothes or furniture, will show whether the deceased has moved about; and whether he has struggled much after receiving the wound. Acts of locomotion in a wounded person who has died from hæmorrhage, are generally indicated by tracks of blood. We must observe likewise, whether, if the wound be in the throat, blood has flowed down in front of the clothes or person; for this will sometimes show whether the wound was inflicted when the individual was standing, sitting, or lying down. If the throat be cut while a person is lying dowu, it is obvious that the blood will be found chiefly on

cither side of the neck, and not extending down the front of the body. Few suicides cut the throat while in the recumbent posture, and the course which the blood has taken may, therefore, be sometimes rendered subservient to the distinction of a homicidal from a The position in which the body was, when the suicidal wound. wound was inflicted, is a frequent question on inquests and criminal trials. In the case of Lord William Russell, the throat had evidently been ent while the deceased was lying in bed; the blood was effused on each side of the neck only. There was also found a wound on the thumb of the right hand of the deceased, which must have been inflicted at the time the hand was put up to defend the throat. Recent wounds on the back of one or both hands, when found in persons who have died from wounds in the throat, are, exteris paribus, strongly presumptive of homicide. There may, however, be no marks of wounds on the back of the hands, if the individual was attacked unexpectedly—if he was intoxicated or rendered powerless, or several had combined to attack him, while he was pinioned and held by an accomplice.

In a case of fratricide referred to by Dupuytren, a man received a severe wound at the lower part of the neck, and another in the front of the chest: this had led to his death. As the blood had ruu down the front of the person from both of the wounds, and one of them was so deep that the deceased, unless supported, would have probably immediately fallen, M. Dupuytren inferred that two persons had been engaged in the murder, and that one held the deceased by the arms while the other struck him in front. This suspicion was corroborated by there being no marks of wounds upon the hands. The opinion thus expressed, was singularly confirmed by the evidence adduced at the trial of the murderer. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, i. 465.)

In suicidal wounds of the throat, the head of the deceased is sometimes found hanging over or near a vessel placed purposely to receive the blood.

It is possible that the throat of a person while standing, sitting, or kneeling, may be cut by a murderer from behind, and thus in appearance simulate suicide. It does not, therefore, follow that on these occasions, the elothes of the assassin would be necessarily covered by blood: for whenever the attack is made from behind, few or no stains may be found upon his dress. This, of course, must depend upon his position in relation to the deceased at the time of inflicting the wound. In entire violation of this simple principle, the fact of a prisoner's clothes not being marked with blood, was on one occasion urged as a proof of innocence! (Reg. v. Dalmas, C. C. C., June 1844.) In the case of Ankerström, who assassinated Gustavus of Sweden, it came out in evidence at the trial, that the assassin placed his back to that of the King, discharging the pistol with his right hand, which he concealed under his left arm.

If the deceased has been wounded with his clothes on, we should

notice whether or not any part of his dress has been cut or injured over the situation of the wound. When, together with the wound in the throat, we find the cravat and the shirt, or part of the dress, cut through, this is, all other circumstances heing equal, presumptive of homicide; for it is not usual that a suicide, unless labouring under confirmed insanity, would allow any mechanical obstacles of this kind to remain in the way of a weapon. In one case of a homicidal wound in the throat, inflicted in the recumbent posture, the cravat of the deceased had been lifted up, and afterwards allowed to drop over the wound in order to conceal it.

Marks of blood on the person of the deceased require special ob-Very often the impression of a hand, or of some of the fingers, will be found on the skin in a situation where it would have been improbable or impossible for the deceased to have produced it. even supposing that one or both of his hands were covered with blood. In one case of murder, there was found the bloody impression of a left hand upon the left hand of the deceased, in such a situation that it was quite impossible the deceased himself could have made the mark! In other eases it may be important to state whether the iuside or outside of the hand, or whether one or both hands, be marked with blood. Marks of blood on the dress of the wounded person, may often furnish important circumstantial evidence. If there be several stabs or cuts on the body involving the dress, it should be observed whether the edges of one or more of them be stained with blood, as if from the wiping of a weapon, and whether the stain be on the outside or inside of the article of dress. In simulated personal injuries, the stain of blood may be, through inadvertence, applied to the outside of the dress—a fact which might, in some instances, lead to the detection of the imposture. (See case by Dr. Bayard, Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 219.) In judging from marks of blood in the apartment, we must take care that we are not nnconsciously misled by the accidental diffusion of this liquid by persons going in and out. The following case. which will show the necessity of extreme caution, occurred in France. A young man was found dead in his bedchamber with three wounds ou the front of his neck. The physician who was first called to see the deceased had, unknowingly, stamped in the blood with which the floor was covered, and had then walked into an adjoining room, passing and repassing several times; he had thus left a number of hloody foot-prints on the floor. No notice of this was taken at the time; but on the following day, when the examination was resumed, the circumstance of the foot-prints was particularly attended to, and excited a suspicion that the young man had been murdered. The snspected person was arrested, and would have undergone a trial on the charge of murder, had not M. Mare heen called in to examine all the particulars of the case. A similar circumstance occurred in the case of Eliza Grimwood, who was murdered at Lambeth in June 1838.

Arterial distinguished from venous blood .- It is not possible to distinguish arterial from venous blood by any physical or chemical characters, when it has been for some days effused, and has fallen upon articles of dress or furniture; but this, in medico-legal practice, is not often a subject of much importance, since there are few cases of severe wounds, either in the throat or other parts of the body, in which the two kinds of blood do not escape simultaneously. The most striking and apparent difference between them, when recently effused, is the colour; the arterial being of a bright scarlet, while the venous is of a deep red hue; but it is well known that the latter, when exposed to air for a short time, acquires a florid red or arterial colour; and the two kinds of blood, when dried, cannot be distinguished chemically by any known criterion. If the coat or other stuff, covered with blood, were of a dark colour, the liquid would be absorbed, and lose its physical characters. Arterial blood contains more fibrin than venous, and congulates more firmly. microscope shows no appreciable difference in the blood-corpuscles; and chemistry does not enable us to apply any test so as to make a satisfactory distinction between them. In this deficiency of microscopical and chemical evidence, an attempt has been made to establish a distinction by noticing the physical appearances of the blood-stains. Thus, it is alleged, the arterial blood will be indicated by its being sprinkled over surfaces upon which it has fallen, while the venous blood is always poured out in a full stream. In most wounds which provo fatal by hemorrhage, the blood is poured out simultaneously from arteries and veins. The sprinkled appearance of the blood, when it exists, will, exeteris paribus, create a very strong presumption that it was poured out from a living body: for after the heart has ceased to act, the arteries lose the power of throwing out the blood in jets. This mode of distinguishing arterial from venous blood was adduced as evidence in the case of Sellis, who destroyed himself after having attempted to assassinate the Duke of Comberland. There was the appearance of sprinkled blood on the coat-sleeve of Scilis, and the temporal artery of the Duke had been wounded in the struggle. Everard Home thence inferred that Sellis had attacked the Duke, and wounded the artery, which had led to the sprinkling of the sleeve. (Will's Circ. Ev. 98.) This method of distinguishing the two kinds of blood, therefore, may be occasionally available for practical purposes; but it must be remembered that accident may lead to the sprinkling of blood from a small vein which has been wounded, while blood may be poured ont in considerable quantity from an artery, especially if large; and if it fall on one spot at a short distance, it may produce a soaked appearance. The sprinkling may be expected only when the wounded artery is small, and the blood is effused at a distance. This is a fact which a medical jurist should not overlook. although, for the reasons stated, too great a reliance must not be placed on it. The spots of blood, if thrown out from a living blood-

vessel, very speedily consolidate; and the fibrin, with the greater portion of the colouring matter, is found of a deep red colour at the lower part of the spot, the upper portion being of a pale red. The lower and thicker part has commonly a shining lustre, as if gummed, when the spot is recent, and when it has been effused upon a nonabsorbent surface. This glazed appearance is probably given by the evaporation of the aqueous, and the rapid desiccation of the albuminous portions. When the blood falls upon porous articles of clothing, as linen or cotton, it is absorbed, and produces a dull stain. In dark-coloured articles of dress, it is difficult by daylight to perceive these stains. The part appears stiffened, and there is a dull red brown colour, which is more perceptible when seen by the reflection of the light of a candle. Stains of tobacco, or of the juices of certain vegetables, may present somewhat the appearance of those of blood. The distinction between them will, however, he rendered immediately apparent by the applieation of the chemical processes to be hereafter mentioned. (See BLOOD-STAINS.)

In trusting to the coagulation of the blood as evidence of its escape from a living vessel, it must be remembered, that there are certain diseases, as seurcy and typhus, in which, owing to morbid causes, the blood does not readily coagulate: while, again, some hours clapse before it coagulates in the body after death. Hence blood which has escaped from a recently dead hody, although it would not be found diffused as if by spiriting, might, in so far as coagulation is concerned, assume the appearance of having been effused from a living body. On this fact Donné has founded a process for determining whether a person be really dead. (Cours de Microscopie, 54.)

When spots of blood are found upon articles of dress or furniture, their form and direction may sometimes serve to give us an indication of the position of the wounded person with respect to them. when the form of a spot is oval and elongated, the presumption is that the person was placed obliquely with respect to the stained furniture, during the hæmorrhage. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1840, 397.) The impetus with which the blood is thrown out, will be in some measure indicated by the degree of obliquity and length of the spot. This is in general wide and rounded at the upper part, but narrow and pointed below. The case of Spicer (ante, page 237), furnishes some interesting snggestions on the importance of the evidence occasionally derived from the examination of the form and direction taken by spots of blood. At the top of the stair, and at the height of four or five feet above the level, several spots of blood were observed upon the brick-wall. These were rendered very evident by the wall having been whitewashed. The spots took an oblique direction from above downwards, were of a pale red colour at the upper part, but dark red below, terminating in a point consisting of the fibrin and the greater part of the red colouring matter. Their form and regularity proved that they had proceeded from a small artery, and that the wounded individual could not

have been very distaut from the wall, while their shining lustre rendered it probable that they were of recent origin, and their well-defined termination in a firm coagulum, showed that they had proceeded from a living blood-vessel. The deceased had died from fracture of the skull and vertebral column by a fall from the top-stair: one branch of the right temporal artery was found divided, and this wound could not have been produced by the fall. It was therefore evident that a murderous assault had been made upon her at the top of the stair; this had led to the spirting of the arterial blood on the brick. The height at which the spots existed, and their appearance, proved that the jet of blood had been from above downwards, thereby rendering it probable that the deceased was standing up, or that her head was raised at the time the wound was inflicted. Further, as the brick with the spots was on the left hand in the descent, and the wounded artery was on the right side, it is probable that the deceased was face to face with her assailant in the act of ascending the stairs, and that she was killed by being precipitated backwards to the bottom. The position in which the body was found in the cellar corroborated this view. (See Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 612.)

Inspection.—In examining a dead body, it is proper that attention should be paid to the state of the mouth and throat. Assassins who make their attack during sleep, sometimes endeavour to close the mouth, or to compress the throat, so as to prevent an alarm from being given. In the case of the Duchess of Praslin (ante, page 206), there were the marks of finger-nails around the mouth. In another instance ecchymosed impressions, as if produced by a hand, were found upon the throat of the deceased. The hands of the deceased should always be examined; many outs, excoriations, or incisions found upou them, especially if on the dorsal surface, will indicate that there has been a mortal struggle with the assailant. In the inspection, the examination of the stomach should not be omitted. The presence or absence of food, mucus, or blood, may furnish evidence of considerable importance in the elucidation of the case. Thus, in the stomach of the Duchess of Praslin, a quantity of bloody froth was discovered. This rendered it certain that she had lived sufficiently long to swallow a quantity of saliva mixed with blood, and that probably she had made some attempts to give an alarm. The fact that several days have elapsed since death, will not prevent the discovery of food in the stomach, provided it has been taken within one or two hours before death: since the digestion of food does not appear to go on to any perceptible extent after death. I have thus discovered food in the stomach twenty-eight days after interment .-- This question arose at an inquest held on some of our countrymen murdered in China, in January, 1848.7

CHAPTER XXVI.

DISTINCTION OF SUICIDAL FROM ACCIDENTAL WOUNDS—IMPORTANT IN CASES OF LIFE-INSURANCE—WOUNDS ON THE THROAT—FACTS INDICATIVE OF SUICIDE, HOMICIDE, OR ACCIDENT—DISTINCTION OF HOMICIDAL FROM ACCIDENTAL WOUNDS—WOUNDS OF THE SKIN NOT INVOLVINO THE DRESS—IMPUTED OR SELF-INFLICTED WOUNDS—MOTIVES FOR THEIR PRODUCTION—CHARACTERS OF IMPUTED WOUNDS—RULES FOR DETECTING FALSE CHARGES OF MUEDER—ILLUSTRATIVE CASES.

Suicidal wounds .- It is not often that any difficulty is experienced in distinguishing a suicidal from an accidental wound. When the wound has really been suicidally inflicted, there are generally to be found about it very clear indications of design; and the whole of the circumstances are seldom reconcilable with the supposition of accident. But if the position of the deceased with respect to surrounding objects has been disturbed, if the weapon has been removed, and the body transported to a distance, then it will not always be easy to distinguish a wound accidentally received, from one inflicted by a suicide or a murderer. The evidence of those who find the body can alone clear up the case; and the medical witness may be required to state how far this evidence is consistent with the situation, exteut, and direction of the wound by which the deceased has fallen. It is unnecessary to dwell further on this subject, since the observations made in the preceding pages will suggest to the practitioner the course which he has to pursue. Circumstantial evidence is commonly sufficient to show whether a wound has been accidentally received or not; but as an accidental wound may sometimes resemble one of homicidal or suicidal origin, so it follows that it is not always possible for a medical jurist to decide the question peremptorily from a mere inspection of the wound. Homicide is only liable to be confounded with accident in relation to contusions and contused wounds. In cuts and stabs, the evidence of design will be in general too apparent to allow of any doubt being entertained respecting the real origin of the injury. It would not be difficult to produce many instances where murderers, in their defence, have alleged that the wounds observed in the bodies of their victims were of accidental origin, and the allegations have been clearly refuted by medical evidence. A witness must be prepared, therefore, in all cases where death has taken place in secrecy, and the nature of the wound is such as to render its origin doubtful, to be closely examined by counsel for a prisoner, charged with felonious homicide, as to whether the wound might not have been accidental. Our

law requires that it should be rendered evident to a jury, before such a charge can be sustained, that the fatal wound could not have been accidental or suicidal. Hence this preliminary question is deserving of serious attention from a medical jurist.

The death of a party from wounds, has hitherto been considered as a subject connected with a criminal charge; but an investigation of the circumstances under which death ensues, is occasionally rendered necessary when the deceased has effected an insurance upon his life. A policy of life-iusurance is in some cases rendered void by the act of self-destruction; and therefore an individual bent on suicide might, for the sake of his family, take precautions to conceal the manner in which he intended to destroy himself. His body might be found wounded in a way which would render it uncertain whether he had been wounded accidentally, whether he had been murdered, or whether he had fallen by his own hand. In a disputed case, it is incumbent on the office to prove the act of spicide (felo de se), while the relatives of the deceased would attempt to show the contrary. Such litigation must, of course, call forth a most deep and scarching investigation into all the circumstances connected with the death of an insured party, and the whole ease would, in some instances at least, rest almost exclusively on medical evidence. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 826.) Numerous cases have of late years occurred in England, which will illustrate the importance of attending to the precise characters of wounds, and the circumstauces under which the body of a wounded person is found. The limits of this work will not allow me to do more than advert briefly to a few of the more remarkable of these.

Wounds of the throat. Suicide or homicide? - In the year 1837, the late Mr. Dodd, of Chichester, consulted me on the following ease. He was called to examine the body of a woman, who was found dead with her throat cut. The deceased, when seen by him, was lying on her back, and the razor with which the would was inflicted, was found under the left shoulder. On inquiry, it was ascertained that when first seen, she was lying on her face, and the body had been turned round on the back. Blood had evidently run down the fore-part of her person, rendering it probable that she had been wounded while in the erect position. The incision in the throat was deep, and extended obliquely from the right side of the ehin, to within about an inch of the left collar-bonc. It had divided the windpipe, the gullet, all the muscles of that side of the fore-part of the neck,—the carotid artery, jugular vein, and the muscles ou the fore-part of the spine, penetrating even into the bodics of the cervical vertebræ. The incision was double, one superficial, close under the chin, and the other, the deeper one, appeared to be continued from this. The deepest part of the right end of the incision, was nearly three inches in a direct line behind the right angle of the wound, so that it extended at that part behind and beneath the sound skin. The cut was four and a half inches long, and two and a half deep. The main question was, whether this could

have been a suicidal wound, inflicted by a razor, the only weapon found near the body. Considering its characters, Mr. Dodd inferred that it must have been inflicted by another person, and not by the deceased upon herself. The deceased was right-handed, which would have added to the difficulty of supposing the wound to have been suicidal. The inference drawn was precisely that which the medical circumstances appear to me to justify.

A case of some interest occurred at Brighton in April, 1830. woman was found lying dead in her bed with her throat cut. She was on her right side, and the bed-clothes were drawn up over her face. A clean razor, shut, was found upon the bed, and another razor, also shut, was found upon the top of the bedstead:—this latter appeared as if it bad heen purposely wiped, and there were some stains of blood upon the handle. A minute examination of the hody was made. There was an incised would in the throat, which had the appearance of having been produced by three distinct cuts. It was situated below and behind the angle of the jaw on the right side. It was two inches in depth, and had divided the superficial muscles, the jugular veins, and some of the branches of the carotid artery. This wound had evidently been inflicted while the deceased was lying down. The chief medical question was, whether, under the above circumstances, the deceased could have produced the wound,-have put away the razor, and afterwards covered herself with the clothes. The medical witnesses properly stated, that it was in the highest degree improbable that this could have been an act of suicide.

Contused wound of the head. Homicide or accident?—When the question is, whether the injury resulted from accident or homicide, in relation to contused wounds, there are many difficulties which medical evidence, taken by itself, can seldom suffice to remove. A case was tried at the Warwick Spring Assizes, in 1808, which not only in this, hut in some other points of view, is of great medico-legal importance. In this instance, the deceased was found dead in a stable, not far from a vicious mare, and the traces of this animal were upon his arms and The brother of the deceased was tried on the charge of having killed him with a spade, which was found lying in the stable. This spade was stained with blood; but the evidence from this fact was wholly set aside by the circumstance, that the spade had heen subsequently used in cleaning out the stable. In the defence, it was alleged, that the deceased had been kicked by the mare while attempting to put on the traces, and had been thus accidentally killed. cording to the medical evidence, there were two straight incised (?) wounds, apparently caused by a blunt instrument, on the left side of the head, one about five, and the other about two inches long. On the right side of the head, there were three irregular wounds of a mixed lacerated and incised character, two of them about four inches in length. There was also a wound on the back part of the head, about two inches and a half long. There was no tumefaction round any of

the wounds, the integuments adhering firmly to the hone. The right side of the skull was generally fractured,—the fracture extending along the back of the head to the left side, —a small portion of the temporal bone having come away. The deceased was found with his hat on, which was bruised, but not cut; and there were no wounds on any other part of his body. Two medical witnesses expressed a strong opinion, that the injuries could not have been produced by kicks from a horse, grounding that opinion principally on the distinctness of the wounds,-the absence of marks of coutusion,-the firm adherence to the integuments, and the straight lateral direction and similarity of the They also thought that they could not have been inflicted wounds. without cutting the hat, if this had been on the deceased's head at the time; and if the hat had been off, that he could not have had the power to put it on after receiving the wounds. The case was not made out against the prisoner, and he was acquitted. (Will's Circ. Evidence, Taking the facts as they are here reported, there seems to be 302.) no good medical reason for assuming that the wounds on the head were hemicidally inflicted. The fact that they had a somewhat incised character, is not a positive proof that the spade was used in producing them; since an instance has occurred where the skin of the scalp presented a similarly incised appearance from the kick of a horse; and I believe it will not be found to be a very unusual consequence of a severe and sudden blow on those parts of the hody where the skin is stretched over round surfaces of bone. In this case, another question arose, namely, whether wounds of this description could be inflicted on the head without cutting the hat. Admitting it to be improbable, that the deceased placed the hat on his head after being thus wounded, we nust juscer that it was on his head at the time; and admitting that the injury was produced by the bruising violence of a horse's hoof, it is easy to understand that the scalp might be wounded without causing more than an indentation in the hat. Had the spade been used, it is less likely that the hat would have escaped. Hence the witnesses who assumed that the deceased had been killed by the spade, were obliged to suppose that the hat must have been off and put on afterwards; therefore, that there must have been murderous interference. however, would not explain the fact, that the hat was indented over the situation of the principal injury. On the whole, this seems to have been really a case of accidental death. It is of some importance as a medico-legal fact, that the skin may be wounded through the dress. without the latter heing necessarily cut or torn. Mr. Baron Wood. who tried the above case, stated at the time that he remembered a trial at the Old Bailey, where it had been proved that a cut and a fracture had been received without having cut the hat of the wounded person; and evidence was then adduced of the infliction of a similar wound without cutting the hat. This remark, however, must be chiefly anplied to the use of weapons which are blant or pointed, or to those cases in which the article of dress is peculiarly tough and resisting.

Imputed or self-inflicted wounds.—The question whether a wound was or was not self-inflicted, may refer to the living as well as to the dead. Thus a man may produce wounds upon himself for the purpose of simulating a homicidal assault, which, for various motives, he may allege to have been committed upon him. With the motives for the self-infliction of wounds, a medical jurist is not concerned,—it is of the fact only that he can take cognizance. From the cases that have yet occurred, it would appear that the object has been to extort money, to conceal murder, robbery, or some other crime, and to turn away suspicion from the wounded party. One of the most remarkable cases of this kind which have occurred in England, was that of Bolam, who was tried for the murder of a man named Millie at the Newcastle Autumn Assizes, 1839.

It is impossible to enter into all the particulars of this singular trial; hut it may suffice to state, that the prisoner Bolam was found lying in an apartment, which had been fired by himself or some incendiary, and near him was the body of the deceased, who had evidently been killed by violence,—the skull having been extensively fractured by a poker lying The prisoner, when found, was either insensible or pretended o. lle stated that he had been suddenly attacked by a man, and knocked down by a blow on the right temple. After attempting to escape, he was again knocked down. He then felt a knife at his throat, but admitted that he did not put up his hands to protect it. Ilis hands were not cut. He said he remembered receiving some blows on his body, but he became insensible, and recollected nothing more. On examining his throat, there was a wound an inch and a half in length on the left side of the neck, a quarter of an inch below the jaw. It had penetrated merely through the true skin, and was of inconsiderable extent. A small quantity of blood, which had flowed down on the inside of his eravat, had escaped from this. There were many cuts on his coat at the back and sides, through his waistcoat, shirt, and flannel shirt; but there were no corresponding outs or stabs, nor, indeed, any mark of injury upon the skin. The question was, whether these wounds had been inflicted by the unknown person who was alleged to have fired the premises and murdered the deceased, or whether the prisoner had inflieted them on himself, in order to divert attention and conceal the crime which he was accused of having committed. No motive for the imputed erime was discovered, and he had horne a very good character; but nevertheless, the medical facts relative to the probable self-infliction of the wounds were so strong, that he was convicted of manslaughter. There can hardly he a medical doubt that the prisoner produced the wounds upon himself, in order to divert any suspicion that he had caused the death of the deceased. They were superficial, involved no important organs, and bore the characters which those wounds only would have, that had not been produced with a suicidal intention.

Soon after Bolam's case, one somewhat similar occurred in this me-

tropolis. The steward of a club-house was found one morning in bed wounded, and the cash-box of the club was missing. Circumstances led the police to suspect that no one could have broken into the house; but the man himself was considered so trustworthy, that no suspicion was entertained of his having been concerned in the robbery. The surgeon who examined him, found the wounds on his person of a very trivial character, and there was but little doubt, from what subsequently transpired, that he had produced them on himself for the purpose of averting suspicion.

It is not always easy to trace the motive for the production of these injuries, and when a reasonable motive is not immediately discovered, persons are very apt to be misled and to credit the story. Individuals who have been convicted of thus imputing violence to others, have generally borne a highly respectable character until the occurrence, and this has contributed to disarm suspicion. When a person intending to commit snieide fails in the attempt, he has sometimes, under a sense of shame, attributed the infliction of a wound in his throat to another, but facts of this kind may be without difficulty cleared up by circumstantial evidence. Imputed wounds, if we except the case of an attempt at suicide, where the injury is commonly severe, are generally of a superficial character, - consisting of cuts or incisions not extending below the cutis: -- deep stabs are seldom resorted to where the purpose is not suicide but merely to conceal other crimes. Further, these wounds are in front of the person, and may be on the right or left side, according to whether the person be right or left-handed. They have also been generally numerous and scattered wide apart: sometimes they have had a complete parallelism, unlike those which must have been inflicted by an adversary during a mortal conflict with a weapon. The hands are seldom wounded, although in the resistance to real homicidal attempts, these parts commonly suffer most severely. The injuries are not usually situated over those parts of the body in which wounds are by common repute considered mortal, and there is in general an entire want of correspondence between the situation of the wounds on the person and the cuts or other marks on the dress. This is an important fact which requires the attention of the practi-In an interesting case which occurred to Marc, a young man alleged that he had received a sword-cut in the forehead, from some assassins who had escaped. He was allowed to relate the whole of the particulars, and they formed a very romantic and improbable story. He stated that he wore at the time a handkerchief round his head, a cotton-cap, and a common cap with an clastic front, which he alleged had been cut through. There was a longitudinal wound, quite superficial and about an inch long, at the upper and right part of the frontal bone passing downwards from left to right. The cut in the felt of the cap, which was very soft, passed obliquely from right to left, and was about three inches in length. The cut was not so clean or regular as if it had been produced by a sword: there was very little blood upon

the cap, and only on the edge of the incision. The silk handkerchief was cut out in an irregular manner. When the party was requested to place the cap and other articles upon his head in the position in which they were when he was attacked, it was found to be utterly impossible to adjust them,—the incisions could not he made to correspond, and the cap could not be worn over the folded handkerchief. This rendered it certain that the wound had not been inflicted in the manner described. Besides, a blow of a sword, which would have divided the felt and silk-handkerchief, would have at the same time produced a much deeper wound on the forchead than that which was found.

Another instructive ease is reported by Dr. Bayard, in which the falsehood of a charge was demoustrated by the want of correspondence between the cuts in the clothes and those found on the person. (Ann.

d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 222.)

It has been contended that no rules can he laid down for the detection of such cases: each must be decided by the facts which accompany it. Nevertheless the details of a few cases will serve to direct the inquiries of the practitioner.

The facts which he must endeavour to ascertain are the following:-

1. The relative positions of the assailant and the assailed person at the time of the alleged attack.

2. The situation, direction, and depth of the wound or wounds.

The situation or direction of marks of blood or wounds on the person or dress of either, or of both, the assailant and assailed.

4. The marks of blood, and the quantity effused at the spot where the mortal struggle is alleged to have taken place.

A very interesting case was referred to me a short time since. The names are omitted, as all the parties are still living. A young man of good family was charged with having attempted the life of a female hy cutting her throat with a razor. The parties were by themselves in a room together when an alarm was suddenly given, and on the neighbours rushing in, the female was found lying on the floor bleeding from a wound in the throat, and supported by the young man. A medical gentleman who reached the spot asked her "how she came to do it?" when she charged her companiou with having murderously assaulted her with a razor which was lying near; while he alleged that she had first attempted to extort a promise of marriage from him, and on his refusing, she drew forth the razor, which it was stated she had hrought with her, and inflicted the wound in her own throat. the recovery of the female, the charge was heard before a Bench of magistrates:—they felt so satisfied that this was a false accusation that after a full inquiry they dismissed the case. Nevertheless a hill of indictment was preferred against the accused at the following Assizes, and chiefly upon the medical evidence, the accused was convicted and sentenced to transportation for ten years.

The evidence was soon afterwards referred to me for examination. The principal wound on the throat of the female, was about an inch and a half in length, extending from above downwards in a slanting direction. It was situated at the upper part of the throat on the right side, and was rather deeper at its commencement than at its termination; it was very superficial, involving only a vein of the skin. and there was but little hæmorrhage. Two days afterwards, a second but slighter incision about the sixth of an inch long, was found near this wound, and there was a slight ent on the chin. No blood had flowed from these two wounds. Whether there was or was not blood on the hands of the female when first discovered, was not clear from the evidence: but there were certainly no wounds on the fingers. There was blood on the hands of the accused, as also on the right sleeve of his coat, but this was explained by his having raised and supported the prosecutrix at the time the neighbours entered. The prosecutrix varied in her statement as to the mode in which the wound was inflicted. When before the magistrates, she said that the prisoner supported her by one arm while he cut her throat with the other: at the trial, she deposed that he threw her back against a table, and held her by the wrist with his left hand. She then felt something pass across her throat, but did not know what it was until she perecived that she was wounded.

As the statement of the prosecutrix was denied by the accused, and there was no direct evidence of the assault, it became necessary to determine whether her account was so far consistent with medical facts as to lead to the inference of the prisoner's guilt. The medical opinion which appears to have turned the balance against the prisoner at the trial, was this:—"It was not impossible they (the wounds) were self-inflicted, but rather improbable. I (said the witness) think decidedly the wounds to have been inflicted by another person rather

than by herself."

The following considerations, however, appear to me to be adverse to this opinion:—

1. The situation of the wound (at the upper and right side of the neck) was such as to render its self-infliction easy by a person who was either right or left-handed. Its direction confirms this view.

As the accused is stated to have been face to face, he could only have inflicted it on the right side of the neck by a back or underhanded stroke.

- 2. The wound, like all self-inflicted wounds, was very superficial. An assailant aiming at the neek with an open razor, and at the same time overpowering (as alleged in this case) the party whom he assails, would more probably produce a much deeper and more severe wound.
- 3. There were no marks of wounds or injuries on the hands of the female to indicate resistance, although she retained her self-possession in a sufficient degree to watch and describe minutely the whole of the proceedings. Her allegation was that the prisoner held her right hand with his left hand; but this would not have prevented her from

undeavouring to protect her throat with her left hand. That he held both of her hands in his left hand is not probable; and if one were free, it is difficult to comprehend how, if her story were true, it could have escaped injury.

From these medical facts, it was stated that there was no ground for asserting it to be "improbable" that these wounds had heen self-inflicted, or that they had decidedly been produced by another person rather than by the prosecutrix herself. On the contrary, the medical facts, although they could not positively decide the question, fully justified the opinion that the wounds had been falsely imputed to the act of the prisoner. This statement, with petitions in the prisoner's favour, was forwarded to the Secretary of State, and the sentence of transportation was remitted.

Very serious injury may easily be done by straining medical doctrines either way in cases of this kind; and the law seems to have heen strained on this occasion in not even allowing the prisoner the henefit of a doubt. The most innocent person may be the subject of such a horrible accusation; and therefore medical witnesses are bound to exercise great vigilance in investigating such charges.

A remarkable case, in which the facts were somewhat similar, was tried at the Court of Assizes, Paris, on the 25th March, 1843. man named Pinchon was indicted for murder. The prisoner alleged in his defence that he had stabbed the deceased in order to defend himself during a quarrel, as the deceased had made the attempt to cut his (the prisoner's) throat. The late Dr. Ollivier was deputed by M. Desmortiers, a juge d'instruction, to determine whether a wound on the person of the prisouer, which he said had heen cansed by the deceased, had or had not been inflicted by himself. The prisoner was required by Dr. Ollivier to describe the relative position of the deceased and himself at the time of the alleged quarrel, as well as the mode in which his throat was attacked. The prisoner said that his assailant was in front of him, and that he aimed a violent blow at the lower part of his neck from hefore hackwards (as if pushing him), and not from above downwards,—that feeling himself wounded, although he saw no weapon in the hands of deceased, he then stabled him in self-defence. The wound at the lower part of the neck of the prisoner, as determined at the time, was rather more than an inch in length; its direction was from right to left, and from ahove downwards. its upper third the wound had gone through the thickness of the skin, and was there deepest. In the lower two-thirds, the cicatrix consisted merely of a superficial excoriation, which appeared to depend on the fact that the instrument had been carried from above downwards, and after having penetrated the substance of the skin, the weapon had been slightly raised, and so drawn out as merely to graze it. The direction of the cicatrix proved that it must have arisen from a wound made from ahove downwards, and not, as stated by the prisoner, from before backwards. The following is the conclusion of Dr. Ollivier: From what has been stated, we conclude that, judging from the situation and direction of the cicatrix and the person of the prisoner, the very slight wound from which it has proceeded, was made by the accused himself: -that its direction from right to left, and from above downwards, in rendering this opinion more probable, tends to prove that it has not been caused by an individual placed directly in front of him armed with a weapon in his right hand, and who could in this position have most easily produced on the prisoner a severe ent or stab: for in this case the wound would have been deep, and not superficial; and its direction would have been contrary to that of the present cicatrix, i. e. it would have been from left to right. jury were satisfied that the wound was inflicted by the prisoner on himself, and he was convicted. (See Annales d'Hygiène, 1843, ii. 365.) Another case, reported in the same journal (1848, i. 433), is also of great interest in reference to these false charges.

It is worthy of remark, that in Pinchon's case the imputed wound, with the exception of being lower down in the neck, had all the main characters of that in the preceding case. It was slight and superficial, about an inch in length, passing from right to left, and from above downwards, deepest at the upper part, and alleged to have been produced by an assailant armed with a knife, and standing face to face.

A singular case of a more doubtful character is reported by M. Breschet. M. Tardif, a gentleman of fortune, alleged that an attempt had been made to assassinate him in the night, and that a large sum of money had been stolen from an adjoining room. His account was, that while in hed he received a blow on the head in the dark, and felt himself stabbed in the chest: he fainted, and did not recover himself for some hours. Breschet found on examining his person that there was no mark of contusion or of a blow on the head; that there were from eighteen to twenty superficial wounds on the right side of the chest, passing in a direction from left to right, but not going deeper than the skin, and attended with but trifling hæmorrhage: they had evidently been produced by a cutting instrument. were two slight wounds on the left arm. There were cuts in the shirt, three of which corresponded to the wounds in the chest; the parallelism of the others was not satisfactorily made out, and some of these were shorter than the wounds in the skin. There was no blood on the eats in the shirt. In reply to the magisterial queries, Breschet stated that, from the direction of the wounds, the assailant must have made the attack on the left side of the complainant, and that they had been inflicted while complainant was lying down in his shirt. To the objection that they were very slight and superficial for stabs in a murderous assault, he said that this might be explained by want of steadiness in the hand of the assailant, and owing to M. Tardif having fainted, by a supposition on the part of the assailant that his victim was already dead. As the hæmorrhage was very slight, he ascribed the fainting to nervons shock; and that he remained insensible for several hours, was probably due to the fact that the brain had sustained concussion. To the question whether the complainant had inflicted them on himself, the witness replied in the negative:—1st, because the direction of the wounds proved that the stabs had proceeded from the left, and the left arm of the complainant had probably, in being raised for self-defence, received a blow similar to those found on the chest; 2d, that it was not probable that M. Tardif had inflicted them with a weapon in his right hand. He contended that there had been no attempt at snicide, but that the wound had resulted from an assault with nurderous intention. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1833, i. 431.)

Breschet's explanation of this case may possibly have been true; but, on the other hand, it is very difficult to understand why a man bent on assassination, should have occupied his time in producing eighteen or twenty small punctures with a sharply-pointed knife on the chest of the complainant in the dark! After the infliction of a blow on the head so severe as to cause insensibility for several hours, the motive for inflicting so many superficial stabs on the chest is not at all apparent. The wounds might have been produced by the individual on himself: there was no clear proof of a correspondence between the cuts in the shirt and the stabs:—there was also no mark of a blow on the head, although this was examined soon after the alleged assault. These facts tend to throw great suspicion upon the statement of the complainant.

The necessity of attending to cases of this description, is made evident by the following, which occurred in this metropolis in Deeember, 1847. A mau was charged at a police-office with having robbed, and afterwards attempted to cut the throat of a boy, aged 16. while walking in Hvdc Park. The complainant stated that the prisoner suddenly seized him, and drew a razor, which he took from his pocket, three or four times across his throat. The prisoner threw down the razor, robbed him, and ran off. He was afterwards given in charge: the spot was immediately searched, but no razor could be found. Complainant produced one the next day, which he said he had found near the place on a subsequent search. The boy was examined, and the surgeon deposed that the injuries on the neck were mcre scratches, as if done by the lad himself with a bunch of holly: they were certainly not caused by a razor. The prisoner was discharged: the motive which led to the unfounded accusation was probably that of accounting for the loss of a sum of money which had been entrusted to the complainant.

It is worthy of remark, that imputed wounds are generally euts or stabs. They are seldom of the contused kind: the impostor cannot in reference to contusions so easily calculate upon the amount of mischief which is likely to ensue.

Pistol-shot wounds are sometimes voluntarily inflicted for the pur-

pose of imputing murder, or extorting charity. A man intending to commit suicide by fire-arms, and, failing in the attempt, may, out of shame, in order to conceal his act, attribute the wound to the hand of some assassin. In examining such imputed wounds, they will not be found (except in cases of attempted suicide) to involve vital parts; and they will posses all the characters of near-wounds produced hy gnnpowder, wadding or a hullet. (See Gun-shor Wounds.) The skin around will he extensively lacerated and bruised: there will be much ecchymosis, and the hand holding the weapon, as well as the dress and the wounded skin, may be blackened by the exploded gunpowder. A pistol-shot wound from an assassin may he produced from a distance, while an imputed wound which is produced by a person on himself, must always partake of the characters of a near-wound. If the weapon have been charged with gun-cotton, there will be no marks of blackening on the person or dress.

CHAPTER XXVII.

THE CAUSE OF DEATH IN WOUNDS.—CAUTION ON ASSIGNING TOO MANY CAUSES—CASE—WOUNDS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FATAL.—DEATH FROM HEMORRHAGE—LOSS OF BLOOD REQUIRED TO PROVE FATAL.—MODIFIED BY AGE AND IDIOSYNCRASY—SUDDEN LOSS OF BLOOD—FATAL WOUNDS OF SMALL ARTERIES—INTERNAL HEMORRHAGE—BLEEDING OF BODIES POST MORTEM—DEATH FROM MECHANICAL INJURY TO A VITAL ORGAN—DEATH FROM SHOCK—BLOWS ON THE EPIGASTRIUM—FLAGELLATION—DEATH FROM A MULTIPLICITY OF INJURIES WITHOUT ANY MORTAL WOUND—SUBTLE DISTINCTIONS RESPECTING THE MORTALITY OF WOUNDS

Cause of death.—It is important for a medical witness to hear in mind that in all eases of wounds criminally inflicted, the cause of death must be certain. No man is ever convicted upon mere medical probability. In general, there is only one real cause of death, although other circumstances may have assisted in bringing about a fatal result. Thus a person cannot die of disease in the bowels, or a stah in the ehest at the same time, nor of apoplexy from disease and compression of the spinal marrow, at the same instant. Hence it is our duty, when several apparent causes for death exist, to determine which was the real cause; and in stating it to the Court, to be prepared to offer our reasons for this opinion. In most cases of local injury, when a person dies speedily, there will he no great trouble in settling whether disease or the injury was the cause. A difficulty will, however, commonly exist when a person has recovered from the first effects of a wound, and has subsequently died. Besides, there may he eases in which the cause of death, in spite of the most careful deliberation, will be still obscure; or sometimes it happens that the death of a party appears to be as much dependent on bodily disease, as on an injury proved to have been received at the time he was lahouring under disease. How is an opinion to he expressed in such a case? The course which I appreheud a medical witness onght to pursue, provided he has duly deliberated on the circumstances before be appears in Court, and his mind is equally halanced hetween the two causes, is to state at once his doubt to the jury without circumlocution, and not allow it to he extracted from him in cross-examination. It is the hesitating to assign a satisfactory cause, or the assigning of many causes for death, that gives such advantage to a prisoner's case, even when the general evidence is entirely against him. Occasionally many causes of death are assigned by a witness, among which some have a tendency to exeulpate and others to inculpate the prisoner in a greater or less degree, and it is left to the jury to select from the number one upon which to found a verdict! In a case of this kind an acquittal is commonly obtained.

Some years since the following trial took place on the Home circuit:—The prisoner was charged with manslanghter. The evidence went to show that the deceased was first knocked down by a blow on the head, and while lying on the ground, was severely beaten, the blows being chiefly directed at her head and chest. deceased died three days after the receipt of the injuries. medical practitioner, who examined the body, stated that there were the marks of severe contusions externally, while the chief morbid appearance internally, was an inflamed state of the lining membrane of the stomach and upper part of the intestinal canal. He attributed death to this condition of the stomach, hringing on sickness and hilious diarrhoea. He admitted that death might have heen produced by hlows, hy the concussion which the body received. or by excitement. He would not undertake to swear that the state of the stomach was occasioned by blows, although he admitted it as possible that blows and stamping on the soft parts, might suffice to produce such appearances. Bilious diarrhea seldom proved mortal, unless there was something to add to it. The indge observed that the cause of death assigned by the witness was too remote and speculative to convict the prisoner, and the jury accordingly returned a verdict of acquittal. This ease naturally suggests the following question.—Why is a medical man summoned on these occasions, if not to inform an uniustructed jury, which, of many causes of death, is the most probable? It is no information to them, but rather an embarrassment, to hear an opinion given that four or five causes operated to produce death, and the medical witness is not prepared to show that one of these is more probable than another. There must undoubtedly he cases where it will be scarcely possible to determine whether death was a consequence of local injury or of co-existing disease, but it is not to he admitted as a medico-legal doctrine, that this event can take

place from, and be equally ascribable to, four or more distinct canses. A witness cannot do greater mischief to his own reputation than by assigning many speculative causes for death. The Court will at once infer, either that he is ill-informed in his profession, or that he has taken no pains to estimate in his own mind what was the real cause, previously to his appearance at the trial. By preliminary reflection it is very easy for a medical witness to guard against the common occurrence of stating one cause of death to the connsel for the prosecution, and another to the counsel for the prisoner.

Wounds directly or indirectly fatul.-A wound may cause death either directly or indirectly. A wound operates as a direct cause of death when the person dies immediately, or very soon after its infliction; and there is no other cause, internally or externally, to account for death. In wounds which cause death indirectly, it is assumed that the deceased survives for a certain period, and that the wound is followed by inflammation, supportation, gangrene, tetanus, crysipelas, or some other mortal disease, which is a direct and not an unusual consequence of the injury. Under this head, may be also arranged all those cases which prove fatal by reason of surgical operations rendered imperatively necessary for the treatment of the injury,-presuming that these operations have been performed with ordinary skill and care. We shall for the present consider only the direct causes of These are three in number: -1. Hamordeath in cases of wonnds. rhage. 2. Great mechanical injury done to an organ important to 3. Shock, or concussion, whereby the functions of one or more vital organs are arrested, sometimes with but very slight injury to the part struck or wounded. From either of these causes, a wounded person may die either immediately or within a very few minutes.

I. Death from hamorrhage. - Loss of blood operates by producing fatal syncope. A quantity of blood, however, insufficient to cause syncope, may readily destroy life by disturbing the functions of the organ or part into which it is effused. Thus a small quantity poured out in or upon the substance of the brain, may kill hy inducing fatal compression; -and again, if in a case of wounded throat, blood should flow into the trachea, it may cause death by asphyxia, i.e. by stopping the respiratory process. In both of these cases it is obvious that the blood acts mechanically; and in respect to the last, a medical man may, unless circumspection he used, involve himself in a charge of malapraxis. If he allow the wound to remain open, the wounded person may die through hæmorrhage, if he close it too soon, he may die through suffocation; and, in either case, the counsel for the prisoner will not fail to take advantage of a plausible objection of this kind. In wounds of the chest, involving the heart and lungs, death is very frequently due, not so much to the actual quantity of blood effused, as to the pressure which it produces upon these organs. few onnees effused in the hag of the pericardium, will entirely arrest

the action of the heart.

The absolute quantity of blood required to be lost in order to prove fatal, must, of course, vary according to numerous circumstances. The young, the aged-they who are labouring under infirmity or disease, will perish soouer from hæmorrhage, thau others who are healthy and Females, exeteris paribus, are more speedily destroyed by hæmorrhage than males. Young infants are liable to die from hæmorrhage resulting from very slight wounds. Au infant has been known to bleed to death from the bite of a single leech, or from the simple operation of lancing the gums. Even the healthy and vigorous, when their vital powers have been depressed by maltreatment or by brutal violence, will sink under the loss of a comparatively small quantity of blood. (See Watsou on Homicide, p. 90.) A medical jurist must not forget that some individuals have what is termed a hæmorrhagie diathesis, and this condition is often hereditary. The slightest wound or puncture,—the bite of a leech or the extraction of a tooth, will be attended with an effusion of blood which cannot be arrested, and which will slowly lead to death by exhaustion. Cases have been frequently recorded in our medical journals, of fatal hæmorrhage following the extraction of teeth, when there had been previously nothing to indicate the probable occurrence of death from so trivial a canse. (For striking instances of this remarkable tendency to hæmorrhage in a family, see Brit, and For, Med. Rev. xvii, 247; also Med. Gaz. May, 1842.) In the thirty-ninth volume of the latter journal, p. 86, a case is reported by Mr. Druitt, in which a very unusual degree of hæmorrhage followed a compound fracture of the leg. Such cases are without difficulty detected :-- since a surgeon may always infer from the part injured and the extent of the injury, whether the hæmorrhage is likely to be copious or not. When a person bleeds to death from what would, under common circumstances, be a simple wound,—the admission of this fact may in certain cases lessen the responsibility of an accused party.

A sudden loss of blood has a much more serious influence than the same quantity lost slowly. A person may fall into a mortal syncope from a quantity of blood lost in a few seconds, which he would have been able to bear without sinking, had it escaped slowly. This is the reason why the wound of an artery proves so much more rapidly fatal than that of a vein. Death speedily follows the wound of a large artery like the carotid; but it takes place with equal certainty, although more slowly, from wounds of smaller arteries. In a case where one of the intercostal arteries was wounded by a small shot, hæmorrhage eaused death in thirty-eight hours. The hæmorrhage which follows the division of the smaller branches of the external carotid, is often sufficient to destroy life unless timely assistance be rendered. A case was tried at the Berkshire Spring Assizes, 1832, in which it was proved that the prisoner had killed his wife by stabbing her in the leg;—the anterior tibial artery was divided, and she died from hæ-

morrhage half an honr afterwards. Wounds of arteries, even smaller than these, might in some subjects prove fatal, if no assistance were at Mr. Watson mentions a case where the internal mammary artery on the left side, was divided by a stab in the chest. The woman died on the niuth day, and four pounds of blood were found effused on that side. In another case, where an intercostal artery was divided, six pounds of blood were effused. (Op. cit. 101.) In both of these cases, as in most wounds of the ehest, the blood not only affected the system by its loss, but by its compressing the lungs and impeding respiration. Wounds of large veins, such as the jugular, may, from the quantity of blood suddenly effused, speedily destroy life. If a wound be in a very vascular part, although no vessel of any importance be divided, the person may die from hæmorrhage. It is difficult to say what quantity of blood should be lost, in order that a wound may prove fatal by bæinorrhage. The whole quantity contained in the body of an adult, is calculated at about one-fifth of its weight, i.e. about thirty pounds:—of this, one-fourth is considered to be arterial, and the remaining three-fourths venous blood. According to Mr. Watson, the loss of from five to eight pounds is sufficient to prove fatal in adults:—but while this may be near the truth, many persons will die from a much smaller quantity; the rapidity with which the effusion takes place having a very considerable influence. It has been found, by experiment, that a dog cannot bear the loss of more blood than is equivalent to one-twelfth part of the weight of its body.

Internal hamorrhage.—Hæmorrhage may prove fatal, although the blood does not escape from the body. In incised wounds, the flow externally is commonly abundant; but in punctured and gun-shot wounds, the effusion may take place internally, and rapidly cause death. In severe contusions or contused wounds, involving highly vascular parts, the effusion may go on to an extent to prove fatal, either in the cavities of the body or throughout the cellular membrane. (See ease, Reg. v. Cawley, ante, page 204.) Many pounds may in this way become slowly or rapidly extravasated. The means of ascertaining whether a person has died from hæmorrhage, are these: -- Unless the wound be situated in a very vascular part, we shall find the vessel or vessels from which the blood has issued, divided, the neighbouring vessels empty, and the body more or less pallid; although this last condition is of course liable to be met with in certain eases of disease, as also under copious venesection, -- points easily determined by an examination. The blood will commonly be found more or less clotted or coagulated on those surfaces on which it has fallen. If, with these signs, there is an absence of disease likely to prove rapidly fatal, and no other probable cause of death be apparent, it may be fairly referred to hæmorrhage. This opinion may, however, be materially modified by the fact of the body not being seen on the spot where the fatal wound was actually inflicted,-by the wound having been sponged,—the blood removed by washing, and all traces of

hæmorrhage destroyed. Under these circumstances, the case must in a great measure be made out by presumptive proof; and here a medical witness may have an important duty thrown npon him, namely, that of examining articles of dress or furniture for marks or stains of blood.

It must not be snpposed that all the blood met with round a wounded dead body, was actually effused during life. As soon as the heart's action ceases, the arteries pour out no more; but the blood, so long as it remains liquid, i. e. from four to eight or ten hours, and the warmth of the body is retained, continues to drain from the divided veins and smaller vessels. The quantity thus lost, however, is not very considerable, nnless the veins implicated be large. A question relative to the degree of this post-mortem hæmorrhage, has very

frequently heen put in a Court of law.

Bleeding of bodies post mortem.-Hæmorrhage may in some instances take place from a wound in a dead body after the vital heat has entirely disappeared,—a fact which, in former times, gave rise to the most superstitious notions, and which even in the present day has induced a coroner's jury wrongly to suspect that homicide had been In order to explain this and some other vital phenomena connected with the dead body, it is necessary to refer to those spontaneous changes in the solids and liquids which commence soon after death. When a person has died suddenly from violence or disease, it often happens that within a short period, the whole of the eavities, including the veins, arteries, and cellular tissue, become distended by the gases extricated during incipient putrefaction. These gases, when they collect in the abdomen, push back the diapbragm, in consequence of which, mucus in the state of froth often issues from the mouth and nostrils: the face becomes swollen, and the eyes bright and prominent, owing to the blood being forced back to the head and neck. From the same canse, it sometimes happens that the contents of the stomach are actually discharged, escaping into the trachea or externally by the mouth. These gases appear also to be formed within the heart and blood-vessels, a circumstance which, long after life bas ceased, leads to the effusion of the liquid portion of blood from a wound made in a vessel before death. If an attempt has been made to bleed a person before death, and the operator has neglected to secure the opening in the vein, a large quantity of blood will sometimes thus escape, giving to those who are unacquainted with the cause, the impression that the deceased had again come to life, and had died from the bleeding. An accident of this kind gave rise to considerable discussion on the occasion of an inquest held at Oldham, on the body of one John Lees, killed in the Manchester riots in 1819, as also in the case of the Crown Prince of Sweden, who was suspected to have been poisoned. A similar flow of blood may take place from any large incised wound, involving a vein, made recently before death. This post-mortem hæmorrhage is facilitated by pressure, or by

any slight motion of the body; and hence probably arose the ancient test of the guilt of an accused party, namely, the touch of a marderer.

II. Death from great mechanical injury done to a vital organ.—We have instances of this becoming a direct cause of death in the crushing of the heart, lungs or brain, by any heavy body passing over or falling on the cavities. This severe mechanical injury is sometimes accompanied by a considerable effusion of blood, so that the person really dies from hæmorrhage; but in other instances the quantity of blood lost is inconsiderable, and the fatal effect may be referred to shock.

III. Death from shock .- This is sometimes a direct cause of death under the infliction of external violence; and in this case life is destroyed without the injury being to all appearance sufficient to account for so speedily fatal a result. There is no medical doubt that a person may die from what is termed shock, without there being any marks of severe injury discoverable after death. We have examples of this mode of death in accidents from lightning, or from severe burns or scalds, in which the local injury is often far from sufficient to explain the rapidly fatal consequences. As instances of this form of death from violence, may be also cited, those cases in which a person has been anddenly killed by a blow upon the epigastrium, which is supposed to operate by producing a fatal impression on the cardiac plexus. Whether this be or be not the true explanation, the fact itself is undisputed; it is certain that a person may die from so simple a eause without any appearance being produced externally or internally to account for death. On the skin, there may be some marks of abrasion or ecchymosis, but as it has been elsewhere stated, these are neither constant nor necessary accompaniments of a blow. Convictions for manslaughter have taken place, where death has been produced under these circumstances. Concussion of the brain, unattended by mechanical lesion, is another example of this kind of death. A man receives a severe blow on the head; he falls dead on the spot, or becomes senseless and dies in a few hours. On an inspection, there may be merely the mark of a bruise on the scalp; in the brain, there may be no rupture of vessels or laceration of structure, and all the organs of the body are found healthy. Thus, then, there may be no sign of a mortal injury: and there is apparently no cause to account for death. This can only be referred to the shock or violent impression which the nervous system has sustained from the blow,—an impression which the vital powers were wholly unable to counteract or resist. A medical witness must give his evidence with eaution in such cases; since it is the custom to rely in the defence upon the absence of any visible mortal wound to account for death, -a principle which if onee unrestrictedly admitted as correct, would leave a large number of deaths. undoubtedly occurring from violence, wholly unexplained. A trial took place at the Liverpool Autumn Assizes, 1837, wherein several persons were charged with the manslanghter of the deceased, by

kicking him behind the right car. The medical witness deposed that there was in this spot the mark of a severe contusion, but there was no injury whatever to the brain, and the body was otherwise healthy. He very properly ascribed death to the violent shock given to the nervous system, and the Court admitted that the canse of death was satisfactorily made out. The party who inflicted the wound was convicted.

There is another form of shock which is of some importance in medical jurisprudence. A person may have received many injuries, as by hlows or stripes, not one of which, taken aloue, could, in medical language, be termed mortal; and yet he may die directly from the effects of the violence, either on the spot or very soon afterwards. Death is commonly referred to exhaustion, but this is only another mode of expression; the exhaustion is itself dependent on u fatal influence or impression produced on the nervous system. A prize-fighter after having, during many rounds, sustained numerous blows on the body, may, either at or after the fight, sink and die exhausted. His body may present marks of hruises, or even lacerated wounds, hut there may he no internal changes to account for death. In common language, there is not a single injury which can be termed mortal; and yet, supposing him to have had good health previously to the fight, and that all marks of disease indicative of sudden death are absent, it is impossible to do otherwise than refer his death to the direct effect of the violence. A case of a somewhat similar kind, we have in the military punishment of flagellation, which is occasionally followed by death, either as a direct consequence of shock, or from indirect causes, such as inflammation and its sequelæ. In a case which occurred at Hounslow (July 1846), it was considered that the inflammation of the heart and pleura, of which the mun had died, had arisen from the disorganised condition of the back, produced by flogging.

It is a well-ascertained medical fact, that a multiplicity of injuries, each comparatively slight, are assuredly as capable of operating fatally as any single wound, wherehy some blood-vessel or organ important to life is directly affected. Age, sex, constitution, and the previous state of health or disease, may accelerate or retard the fatal cousequences. In the case of Governor Wall, the judge told the jury that the long continuance and severity of pain (in flagellation) may be productive of as fatal consequences, as from instruments of a more moderate description. On a trial for murder, which took place in Germany a few years since, it was proved that the deceased had heen attacked with sticks, and that he had been afterwards flogged on the hack with willow-switches. He died in about an hour. On inspection, there was no mortal wound, nor any lesion to a vital organ; there were simply the marks of lacerations and bruises on the skin, apparently not sufficient to account for death, but this was nevertheless very properly referred to the violence. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1836.)

The case of the Duchess of Prastin, who was murdered by her husband in Paris in August 1847, furnishes an additional proof of the fatal effects produced by numerous injuries. On an inspection of the hody, it was found that on the head, neck, and both of the hands, there were no less than thirty distinct wounds, some contused, and others incised and punctured. There were also the marks of many hruises, and the impressions produced by the nails of the murderer's hand over the mouth. For the most part, these injuries were slight, and not one could he said to he necessarily mortal. The most serious wound was situated on the right side of the neck; hut even here the carotid artery and internal jugular vein had escaped injury. Death was referred to the hamorrhage which had taken place from the numerous wounds during the struggle with the assassin. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 377.) From these considerations, it is obviously absurd to expect that in every ease of death from violence or maltreatment, there must be some specific and well-defined mortal lesion to account for that When the circumstances accompanying death are unknown, a medical opinion should always be expressed with caution; but if we are informed that the deceased was in ordinary health and vigour previous to the infliction of the violence, and there is no morbid cause to account for his sudden illness and death, there is no reason why we should hesitate in referring death to the effects of a multiplicity of injuries. Among non-professional persons, a strong prejudice exists that no person can die from violence unless there he some distinct mortal injury actually inflicted on his person. By this we are to nuderstand a nisible mechanical injury to some organ or blood-vessel important to life; but this is obviously a very erroneous notion, since death may take place from the disturbance of the functions of an organ without this being necessarily accompanied by a perceptible alteration of structure. The prevalence of this popular error often leads to a severe cross-examination of medical witnesses. Among the questions put, we sometimes find the following:-Would you have said from the wounds or bruises alone, that they were likely to have occasioned death? Now, in answer to this, it may be observed, that we cannot always judge of the probability of death ensuing from the appearance of external violence alone. Because these appearances were slight, it would he wrong to infer in every case that they were not sufficient to cause death. A man may die from a hlow on the epigastrium, and how can this fact he determined by an examination of the body? Then it may be inquired, Were the wounds or bruises mortal? In the vulgar sense of the word, i. e. hy producing severe hæmorrhage or a destruction of parts, they might not be so; but in a professional view, they may have acted mortally by producing a shock to the nervons system. Or it may be inquired, which of the several wounds or bruises found on the body of the deceased was mortal? The answer to which may he: Not one individually, but all contributed to occasion death by exhaustion.

It must likewise be remembered, that in all cases where a person has sustained a number of injuries, the loss of a much smaller quantity of blood than in other instances, will suffice to destroy life. sometimes a very difficult question to decide on the relative degree of mortality of wounds, and on the share which they have had respectively in causing death. By a wound being of itself mortal, we are to understand that it is capable of eausing death directly or indirectly, in spite of the best medical assistance. It is presumed that the body is healtby, and that no cause has intervened to bring about or even accelerate a fatal result. The circumstance of a person labouring under disease when wounded in a vital part, will not, of course, throw any doubt upon the fact of such a wound being necessarily mortal, and of its having caused death. If there should be more wounds than one, it is easy to say, from the nature of the parts involved, which was likely to have led to a fatal result. In order to determine whether or not a wound was mortal on medical grounds, we may propose to ourselves this question: Would the deceased have been likely to die at the same time, and under the same circumstances, had he not received the wound? There can obviously be no general rule for determining the mortal nature of wounds. Each case must be judged of by the circumstances whieb attend it. In some parts of the Continent, the law requires that a medical witness should draw a distinction between a wound which is absolutely and one which is conditionally mortal. An absolutely mortal wound is defined to be that where the best medical assistance being at hand, being sent for, or actually rendered, the fatal event could not be averted. Wounds of the heart, aorts, and internal carotid, are of this nature. A conditionally mortal wound is one where, had medical assistance been at hand, been sent for, or timely rendered, the patient would, in all probability, have recovered. Wounds of the brachial radial and ulnar arteries may be taken as instances. The responsibility of the assailant, is made to vary necording to which of these classes the wound may be referred by the medical witnesses; and, as it is easy to suppose, there is seldom any agreement on the subject. Our criminal law is entirely free from such subtleties. The effect of the wound, and the intent with which it was inflicted, are looked to: its anatomical relations, which must depend on pure accident, are never interpreted in the prisoner's favour. Some extenuation might, perhaps, be justly admitted when a wound proves mortal through an indirect cause, as inflammation or fever, and medical advice was obtainable, but not obtained until every hope of recovery had disappeared. But even in such a case it ought to be shown that it was within the power of the wounded person to obtain medical assistance, or such a defence could not be received in extenuation. would appear, however, from the case of the Queen v. Thomas and others, (Gloucester Aut. Ass. 1841), that the mere neglect to call in medical assistance, is not allowed to be a mitigatory circumstance in the event of death ensuing. The deceased died from the effects of a

severe injury to the head inflicted by the prisoners, but had had no medical assistance. The judge said it was possible that "if he had had medical advice, he might not have died; but whoever did a wrongful act must take the whole consequences of it. It never could make any difference whether the party injured had or had not the means or the mind to apply for medical advice." The prisoners were convicted. According to Lord Hale, if a man be wounded, and the wound, although not in itself mortal, turn to a gangrene or fever for want of proper applications, or from neglect, and the man die of gangrene or fever, this is homicide in the aggressor; for though the fever or gangrene he the immediate cause of death, yet the wound being the cause of the gangrene or fever, is held the cause of death, causa causati. These nice questions relative to the shades of responsibility for personal injuries, occasionally arise in those cases where individuals have been wounded at sea on board of a ship in which there was no surgeon.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

CHEMICAL EXAMINATION OF BLOOD-STAINS—ANALYSIS—ACTION OF THE TESTS ON ORGANIC AND INORGANIC RED COLOURING MATTERS—STAINS OF BLOOD ON LINEN AND OTHER STUFFS—DATE OF THE STAINS OF BLOOD ON LINEN AND OTHER STUFFS—DATE OF THE STAINS OF BLOOD—RED PAINT MISTAKEN FOR BLOOD—SOLUBLE STAINS OF FRUITS AND FLOWERS—REMOVAL OF BLOOD-STAINS FROM ARTICLES OF CLOTHING—STAINS OF BLOOD ON WEAPONS—CITRATE OF IRON MISTAKEN FOR BLOOD—DISTINCTION OF STAINS FROM IRON-BUST—COLOUR FROM BAD DYES—CONCLUSIONS—VARIETIES OF BLOOD—BLOOD OF MAN AND ANIMALS—EVIDENCE FROM THE ODOUR—APPLICATION OF THE MICROSCOPE—FORM AND SIZE OF THE RED GLOUDLES IN MAMMALIA AND OTHER CLASSES—VALUE OF MICROSCOPICAL EVIDENCE.

Examination of blood-stains.—It might appear at first sight a very easy matter to say whether certain suspected spots or stains on articles of clothing, furniture, or weapons, were or were not due to blood; but in practice, great difficulty is often experienced in answering the question. If the stains be recent, most persons may be competent to form an opinion; but the physical characters of blood are soon changed, even when the stuff is white and otherwise favourable to an examination. Again, when the stains, whether recent or of old standing, are upon dark-dyed woollen stuffs, as blue or hlack cloth, or when they appear in the form of detached spots or thin films on a rusty weapon, no one hut a professional man should he allowed to give an opinion. It is, however, hy no means unusual to find magistrates and coroners

questioning policemen respecting the nature of suspected stains,—a practice obviously unjust to the accused and fraught with considerable danger.

Chemical analysis.—There is no direct chemical process by which blood can be identified, but we presumptively establish its nature hy determining the presence and properties of the red colouring matter or The microscope has been sometimes usefully employed in these medico-legal investigations; the value of this branch of evidence will be presently considered. The chemical properties of the red colouring matter are as follows:-1. The colouring matter of blood readily combines with distilled water, forming, if recent, a rich red solution. 2. The red colour of this solution is ucither turued of a crimson nor of a green tint by a solution of ammonia: if the ammonia be very concentrated, the red liquid acquires a hrownish tint. 3. The liquid when boiled is coagulated,—the red colour is entirely destroyed, and a muddy brown flocculent precipitate is formed,-the quantity of which will depend on the quantity of colouring matter and albumen present. 4. The coagulum produced by boiling, when collected on a filter and dried, forms a hlack resinous-looking mass, quite insoluble in water, but readily soluble in boiling caustic potash, forming a green-coloured solution. 5. To the above tests some have united the action of strong nitric acid, which coagulates the red colouring matter, turning it of a dirty brown M. Boutigny has suggested the application of these tests, by taking advantage of the spheroidal state of liquids on red-bot metals. (Ann. d'Ilyg. 1844, ii. 217.)

Objections to the tests.—It will now be proper to mention the action of the tests upon other red colouring matters, extracted from the animal or vegetable kingdom. Some of these are turned green by ammonia, as the colouring matter of the rose,—others crimson, as the red colouring matter of cochineal and of lac. None of these red colours are coagulated or destroyed by hoiling. In these respects, therefore, the colouring matter of the blood is eminently distinguished from them. M. Raspail has objected that a mixture of madder and alhumen possesses all the characters assigned to blood. Having for some years past performed numerons experiments on this subject, by making artificial mixtures of human serum or animal albumen, with the red colouring matters of cochineal, lac, and madder, and neutralizing the effects of the alkali contained in the serum by the addition of a small quantity of acetic acid,—I feel justified in stating that in no respect whatever, except in regard to colour, can such mixtures be confounded with blood. The objection is, therefore, more theoretical than practical. These red liquids may easily deceive those who trust to a red colour alone; and berein we see, the absolute necessity for placing the investigation of such subjects in the hands of professional persons only. It may be observed of all such artificial mixtures, that they are changed by ammonia to a crimson or

a green tint (sometimes passing through a blue), and that under no circumstances is the red colour destroyed by boiling the solution in water. The albumen of sernm, if in sufficient quantity, becomes coagulated, but the coagulatm still retains the red colouring matter locked up within it. In the case of blood, the effect of heat is widely different. It was formerly supposed by some chemists, that the blood owed its colour to the presence of sulphocyanate of iron. When this mineral compound is mixed with albumen or serum in water, in a certain proportion, the resemblance to a solution of the colouring matter of blood is so great, that, from appearance only, it would be impossible to distinguish them. The effects of the application of heat are, however, widely different. A coagulum is formed in the albuminous solution of the sulphocyanate of iron, but the red colour is not destroyed by boiling. It becomes only of a somewhat lighter tint.

Stains of blood on linen and other stuffs.—Supposing the stuff to be white or nearly colourless, the spot of blood, if recent, is of a deep red colour.—but it becomes of a reddish brown, or of a deep brown, by The change of colour to a reddish brown, I have found to keeping. take place in warm weather in less than twenty-four hours. After a period of five or six days, it is scarcely possible to determine the date of the stain even conjecturally. In a large stain of blood on linen, no change took place during a period of five years:-it had a brown colour at the end of six weeks, which it retained for the long period mentioned. Indeed, it is extremely difficult in any case, after the lapse of a week, to give an opinion as to the actual date of a stain. Upon coloured stuffs it is of course impossible to trace these physical changes in stains of blood,—on red-dyed stuffs the stain of blood appears simply darker from the first, and in all eases the fibre of the stuff is more or less stiffened. Attention should be paid to the side of the stuff, if an article of dress, which has first received the stain :- sometimes both The evidence desides (if it be an apron or a stocking) are stained. rived from an observation of this kind may be sometimes of importance.

Analysis.—In order to determine whether the stain be due to blood, we cut a slip of the stained part of the linen, and suspend it by a thread in a small test-tube containing a small quantity of distilled water. After a few minutes, or a few seconds, should the stain be recent, a red liquid will be seen falling in fine dark threads, and collecting at the bottom of the test-thee, giving a red colour to the lower stratum of water; and a dark red brown colour, if it be of old standing. The separation may not take place in less thau an hour, if the stuff be thick and coarse or not readily pervions to water. When the stain is on silk it is speedily separated. Several slips of the stuff may be thus successively treated, until a liquid, sufficiently deep in colour for testing, is procured. If the quantity of coloured liquid thus obtained be small, the supernatant clear water may be carefully poured off; but it is better to use a small tube and a small quantity of water. The

liquid may then be tried by weak ammonia, and by the application of If ammonia produce any effect upon the solution of blood, it is simply to brighten it,—this alkali never changes the red colour to green or crimson. When the stain is of old standing, the solution in water does not present the bright red colour of blood, and the action of ammonia may be obscure, although it never gives to the liquid a green or crimson tint. The action of heat is always certain and effectual; if the coloured solution be in such small quantity that there is no coagulum obtained by heat, it is impossible to give a decided opinion that the stain is due to blood. In May, 1838, a piece of linen was examined in which there were two faint spots of blood, each about one quarter of an inch in diameter. A reddish-coloured liquid was procured, but no coagulum could be obtained on boiling. When the quantity of blood effused is moderately large, it may be easily detected by the above process—even after the lapse of a great length of time. I have thus detected the blood of the human subject, and of the bullock, on cotton, linea, and flannel, after the lanse of three years, If the stuff be dyed, we should proceed to examine it in the same way. Thus, then, in testing for blood, we rely upon—1, the ready solubibly of the hæmatosine (or red colouring matter) in water; 2, the negative action of ammonia; and 3, the positive effect of heat in entirely coagulating and destroying the red colonring matter.

Objections.—It may, however, be objected, that red stains closely resembling blood, are occasionally found on linen and other stuffs. It is to be remarked of all such stains, that they are either entirely insoluble in cold water or they are soluble. If insoluble, they cannot by any possibility be mistaken for blood. It is very true, that if the lineu or stuff which is stained with blood be heated to a high temperature, the colouring matter may, by its having become coagulated, be rendered insoluble in water:—but it is not probable that medical evidence will be thus defeated, except by those who have made a profound study of the difficulties of medical jurisprudence. In the case of a body found wounded and burnt, it would be proper to allow for such a change, and the chemical evidence would fail. If the bloodstain be mixed with oil or grease, this will interfere with the action of water. If the stain be on a plaster-wall or on wood, we must scrape or cut out a portion, and digest it in a small quantity of water in a tube or watch-glass. It will be proper here to examine in the first instance, an unstained portion of the plaster or wood.

Detection of fibrin.—In this process for examining blood-stains, it has not been thought necessary to refer to the properties of fibrin. When the blood is in sufficient quantity, a pale film of fibrin may be left upon the stained substance, after the colouring matter has been removed by digestion in water. Small quantities of fibrin are not easily identified by its chemical properties. Animal fibrin so closely resembles coagulated albumen and gluten, that it cannot be distinguished from them by chemical tests. Hence, unless evidence of the presence of red colouring

matter be obtained, the presence of fibrin cannot be relied on; and if this evidence be obtained, the demonstration of its properties is unnecessary: for there is no red colouring matter which can be mistaken for that of blood. Evidence on this subject was tendered in the ease of Reg. v. Reed (York Winter Assizes, 1847), but it was not well received by the Court. It has been supposed that the demonstration of the presence of fibrin in a blood-stain, would enable us to say whether the blood had been effused from the living or dead body; but, admitting that the existence of fibrin in a small quantity of dried blood upon an article of dress could be indisputably established, the fact would not enable us to give a conclusive answer to the important question above suggested. If the quantity of blood examined be comparatively great, and no fibrin can be procured from it after complete digestion in cold water, it is probable that this blood has not come from a living body, and that it is nucrely a mixture of red colouring matter and sermin, like that found in the vessels of the dead body after perfect coagulation. But the experimentalist must bear in mind that small stains of blood will commonly leave no perceptible traces of fibrin. On the other hand, if fibrin were clearly obtained, it would be by no means proved that the blood yielding it, had issued from a lining body. Until the blood has coagulated, it retains fibrin: and coagulation seldom commences in the dead body until after the lapse of four hours: although, if drawn, it speedlily consolidates (see ante, p. 208). Hence the dress of a person sprinkled with blood from a recently dead body, would yield all the characters of stains which had been produced by the effusion of blood from a wound inflicted on the living body.

Fibrin forms about 1-500th part of human blood; it exists in the blood of all warm-blooded animals; the other animal liquids in which it is found are the chyle and lymph. It is the chief constituent of imiscidar fibre. (See, on the subject of Blood-stains, Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, 267, 548; 1830, 433; 1831, 467; 1833, 226, ii. 160; 1834, 205; 1835, ii. 349; 1830, i. 219; 1840, i. 387. Also Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, ii. 273.)

Insoluble stains. — Among what may be classed as insoluble stains, are—1, certain Red dyes, as madder, which, when fixed by a mordant, is not readily affected by ammonia. 2. Iron-moulds. These are of a reddish-brown colour, sometimes of a bright red,—they are quite insoluble in water, but are easily dissolved by diluted muriatic acid, and on adding ferrocyanide of potassium to the muriatic solution, the presence of iron will be at once apparent. Care should be taken that the muriatic acid used for this purpose contains no iron. Some years since, a man was found drowned in the Seine, at Paris, under suspicious circumstances. The body had evidently lain a long time in the water. On examining the shirt of the deceased, a number of red-brown stains were observed upon the collar and body, resulting, as it was supposed, from spots of blood, which had become

changed by time. On a chemical examination, however, they were found to be iron-moulds produced by the corrosion of a steel-chain which the deceased had worn round his neck! 3. Red paint. Stains made with red paint have been mistaken for blood. In March 1840, a person was murdered at Islington. An individual was arrested on suspicion, and in his possession was found a sack, having upon it many red stains, which were supposed to be dried and coagulated blood. They were examined by Professor Graham, who found that they had been caused by red paint, containing peroxide of iron; and the sack was proved to have been worn as an apron by a youth who was an apprentice to a paper-stainer. It had been sent to the accused party a few days hefore, as a wrapper to a pareel. The accused was immediately discharged. Stains of this kind may be easily known hy digesting them in diluted muriatic acid, and applying to the solution the tests for iron. Like those produced by iron-moulds, they are perfectly insoluble in water, and therefore cannot be confounded with The same may be said of spots of the ammonio-nitrate of silver changed by light, which I have known to he mistaken for old stains of blood. The stuff on which the spots of blood are found, may be itself stained with a red dye or colour: in this case it will be necessary to test by the same process a piece of the coloured or stained portion, in order to furnish negative evidence that the suspected stains are due to blood. In Spicer's case (ante, p. 248), an apron which the prisoner wore was found with stains of blood upon it: but the greater part was covered with dark-red stains, which turned out to be owing to a logwood dye, which the prisoner had used in his business. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii, 613.)

Soluble stains.—Among the soluble stains resembling those of blood, are the spots produced by the jnices of the mulberry, currant, and other red fruits. These are commonly recognised by dropping on them a solution of ammonia, when the spot is turned either of a blueish green or crimson colour. This crimson colour in very diluted solutions, is sometimes only slowly developed on the addition of annmonia or potash. A spot of blood thus treated, undergoes no change from the alkali. Further, if a piece of the stained stuff be suspended in water, the coloured liquid, if any be obtained, is easily known from blood, by its acquiring a green or erimson tint on the addition of ammonia, and by the red colour not being coagulated or destroyed when the liquid is boiled. Independently of the fruits mentioned, there are many vegetable juices that will produce stains of a red or red-hrown colour, which might he mistaken for blood. the following ease, the red petals of flowers gave rise to an error only removed by a proper examination. A farmer's lad was arrested upon a charge of murder. The blue blouse and trowsers which be wore. had on them numerous brown and red stains resembling blood, and apparently produced by the wiping of bloody fingers. The stained articles were subjected to a chemical examination; and it was found

that the colour was caused by some vegetable jnice. The accused, when interrogated on the subject, stated, that the day before his arrest, he had collected a large quantity of red poppies, which had become hruised by his trampling on them, and that he had carried them home in his blouse. The apparently suspicious circumstance was thus explained away. (Bayard, Man. Prat. dc Méd. Lég. 271.) Several varieties of sonchus, according to this writer, produce stains which might he mistaken for blood.

In some red stuffs, the dye is often so bad, that water will dissolve out a portion of the colour; but in this case the action of ammonia and heat will serve readily to distinguish the stains from blood. If minute spots be scattered on articles of furniture, these may be examined by cutting out the stained portions, and treating them in the way just mentioned. It is said that blood-stains, when minute and scattered, are more readily recognised and identified by the light of a candle than in the light of day. I do not know that much reliance can be placed on this statement. The brown stains appear to acquire a redder tint.

Removal of blood-stains.—It is not unfrequent that an attempt is made hy a murderer to wash out blood-stains, so that the colour is lost, and no chemical evidence can be obtained. There is a common uotion that certain chemical agents will remove or destroy these stains; but this is not the case,—the colour may be altered, but it is not discharged or bleached. Chlorine, a most powerful decolorizing agent, turns the colouring matter of blood of a green-brown colour. Hypochlorous acid has a similar effect. This acid has been recommended as useful by its bleaching properties for distinguishing the stain of blood from all other stains, except those produced by iron-Orfila has, however, shown that it is not fitted for such a purpose, and that there are no better methods of testing, than those above described. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1845, ii. 112.) I have found that nothing removes a blood-stain so effectually as simple maceration in cold water, although the process is sometimes slow. On an important trial for mnrder, at the Shrewsbury Lent Assizes, 1841 (the Queen against Misters), this question as to the power of certain reagents in discharging stains of blood, was raised. Alum was traced to the possession of the prisoner; it was found dissolved in a vessel in his bed-room, and it was supposed that he had removed some bloodstains from his shirt by the use of this salt. Two medical witnesses deposed that they had made experiments, and had found that alum would take the stains of blood out of linen :--according to one, sooner than soap and water. The results of my experiments do not correspond with these. I have not found that alum extracts stains of blood so readily as common water, and when alum is added to a solntion of hæmatosine in water, so far from the colour being discharged. it is slowly converted to a deep greenish-brown liquid. In one experiment, a slip of linen, having upon it a deep stain of dried blood

of old standing, was left in a solution of alum for twenty-four hours; but not a particle of the red colouring matter had been extracted, although it was changed in colour. The prisoner's guilt did not rest on this point alone,—that was made sufficiently evident from other circumstances: but there have been few eases tried in England where the facts connected with the analysis of blood-stains, were so closely examined or of such great importance, as in this. In a case to be presently related, I was consulted as to whether the alkali contained in yellow soap, would alter or remove blood-stains. The effect of this substance, as well as potasb, soda, and their curbonates, is to change the red colour of blood to a deep greenish brown, like many other reagents,—but they do not exert on it any discharging or bleaching power. Combined with friction, blood-staius may of course be easily effaced by any cold alkaline or soapy liquid.

Stains of blood on weapons.—When recent, and on a polished instrument, stains of blood are easily recognised; but when of old standing, or on a rusty piece of metal, it is a matter of some difficulty to distinguish them from the stains produced by rust or other causes. If the stain of blood be large, a portion will readily peel off on drying. This may be placed in a watch-glass of distilled water, filtered to scoarate any oxide of iron, and then tested. If the water by simple maceration do not acquire a red or red-brown colour, the stain is not due Sometimes the stain appears on a dagger or knife either in the form of a thin yellowish or reddish film, or in striæ, and is so superficial that it cannot be mechanically detached. We should then pour a stratum of water on a piece of plate-glass, and lay the stained part of the weapou upon the surface. The water slowly dissolves any portion of the colouring matter of blood, and this may be examined in the way recommended. If the weapon have been exposed to heat, this mode of testing will fail.

Objections.—There is often a remarkable resemblance to the stains of blood on metal produced by the oxide or certain vegetable salts of iron. If the juice or pulp of lemon or orange be spread upon a steelblade, and remain exposed to air for a few days, the resemblance to blood produced by the formation of citrate of iron is occasionally so strong, that I have known well-informed surgeons to be completely deceived:—they bave pronounced the spurious stain to be blood, while the real bloodstain on a similar weapon was pronounced to be artificial. This difficulty of distinguishing such stains by the eye, is well illustrated by a ease which occurred in Paris a few years since. A man was accused of having murdered his uncle, to whose property he was heir. knife was found in his possession, having upon it dark-coloured stains, pronounced by those who saw them, to be stains of blood. Barruel, and another medical jurist, were required to determine the nature of these stains, and the examination was made before a magistrate in the presence of the accused. They were clearly proved by these and other experiments, to be spots produced by the citrate of

It appeared on inquiry, that the knife had been used by some person a short time previously, for the purpose of cutting a lemon; and not having been wiped before it was put aside, a simple chemical action had gone on between the acid and the metal, which had given rise to the appearance. This case certainly shows that physical characters alone canuot be trusted to in the examination of these suspected stains. Stains of the citrate of iron may be thus known. The substance is soluble in water, forming, when filtered, a yellowishbrown solution, totally different from the red colour of blood under the same circumstances. The solution undergoes no change on the addition of ammonia. It is entirely unchanged at a boiling temperature: and it is at once identified as a salt of iron, by giving a blue colour with the ferrocyanide, and a deep red with sulphocyanide of potassium. I have also observed, that spots of the citrate of iron on knives, for they are not found on other weapons, are often soft and delignescent, while those of blood are commonly dry and brittle.

It might be supposed to be a very simple matter to distinguish by sight a stain of blood on a weapon from a mark produced by ironrust: but this is not the case. When suspicion exists, it is astonishing how readily mistakes are made; and marks are pronounced to be due to blood, which, under other circumstances, would have passed One source of difficulty is this: the iron-rust is often mixed up with articles of food on an old knife, or even with blood itself. We must here pursue the same mode of examination as if the stain were of blood; we macerate the weapon or a portion of the coloured deposit in a small quantity of distilled water, and filter the liquid. If the stain be due to iron-rust, this is separated by filtration, and the liquid comes through colourless. The absence of blood is thereby demonstrated: for I need not here consider the objection, that the weapon may have been exposed to heat, and thus have rendered the blood-stain insoluble in water. If we now digest the brown undissolved residue left on the filter, in diluted muriatic acid free from iron, we shall obtain a yellowish solution, which will give with the ferrocyanide and sulphocyanide of potassium the proper reactions for iron. It has been recommended to put muriatic acid on the stain as it exists on the weapou, and then to test the liquid, as the red spot of rust is soon removed by the acid; but the objection to this is, that a spot containing blood may be thereby pronounced to be one of rust only, since muriatic acid, in all cases, dissolves a portion of the iron, and the solution would therefore give the characters of an iron-stain with the tests. In all old blood-stains, when the weapon is rusty, blood and oxide of iron arc intermixed. The blood may be easily separated by digesting the compound in distilled water, and filtering: this is dissolved, and passes through, while the rust is left on the filter.

The following case was referred to mc for examination a few years

since. A man was suspected of murder, and some stains existed on his shirt, which were supposed to have been produced by blood. Around the collar and upper part of the shirt, there was a large and somewhat deep pinkish-red stain, in some respects resembling washed This I considered as a very unusual situation for blood to he found sprinkled; and upon trying the stained linen by the processes mentioned, the colour entirely resisted separation by water, and was turned of a slight crimson tint by ammonia. The stain was thus shown not to he due to blood. On inquiry, it was ascertained that the man had worn round his neck a common red handkerchief during a wet night, and while taking violent exercise! The stain was thus accounted for. There were, however, some other marks on the shirt which required examination, as there was a very strong suspicion against this man. These were on the sleeves, at those parts which would he likely to receive stains of blood if they had been rolled or turned up at the wrists; and it was clearly ascertained, that the murderer, in this case, had washed his hands, using a quantity of vellow soap. These stains were of a hrownish colour, without any shade of red; they were faint in parts and diffused, conveying the impression that an attempt had been made to wash them out. as external characters were concerned, it was quite impossible to say whether they had been produced by blood or not. On examining those parts of the shirt corresponding to the axillæ, stains precisely similar were there seen, evidently resulting from cutaneous perspiration; since the suspicion of blood heing poured out on this part of the shirt under the circumstances, could not be entertained. Slips of linen from the stained portions of the sleeves were digested in water. In twenty-four hours the stains were entirely removed; and the lower stratum of water in each tube had acquired a straw-yellow There was not the least shade of a red or brown tint; and the solution was wholly unlike that produced by blood under any circumstances. The solution was unaffected by ammonia, as well as by a heat of 212°; hut it gave a faint opalescence on the addition of nitric acid. These results not only indicated the absence of blood, hut showed that the stains were due to cutaneous perspiration, acting on a dirty skin, and through a dirty dress. The stains on the part corresponding to the axillæ could not be ascribed to blood; and from the similarity in physical and chemical properties, it was impossible to attribute those on the sleeves to any sanguineous effision. It so happened, however, that a large pocket-knife, with numerous dark-red stains on the blade and between the layers of the handle, was found npon this man, and this was also sent for examination. Several persons who saw the knife pronounced a strong opinion that the marks were due to blood. The stains were composed of some soft viscid matter. which gave out ammonia when heated, and left a residue of peroxide of iron. On digesting the matter in water, no portion was dissolved: and it was, therefore, evident that they were due not to blood, but to

a mixture of some animal matter, probably food, with iron-rust. These results were somewhat in the man's favour,—at least, they removed what was considered to be a strong circumstantial proof of his guilt. He was subsequently tried for the murder, and acquitted on an alibi, established by the evidence for the prosecution.

Conclusions.—From the foregoing remarks, we may justly infer that the analysis of suspected spots or stains on weapons and clothing, is by no means a trivial or unimportant duty. If we cannot always obtain from these experiments affirmative evidence, they often furnish good negative proof, and thus tend to remove unjust suspicions against accused parties. There is one circumstauce, however, of which a medical jurist is cutitled to complain, namely, that a magistrate or coroner should ever receive evidence on matters of this kind from non-professional persons, or that they should ever trust to physical characters only. On the occasion of the murder of Eliza Grimwood, in June 1838, committed, as it was, under circumstances of the greatest mystery, and the perpetrator of which has not yet heen discovered, the analysis of suspected marks resembling blood became rather an important part of the inquiry, but it was most improperly The finger-plates of the door of the room, in which the marder was perpetrated, presented some dark stains, supposed to have been produced by the bloody hand of the murderer in the act of escaping. The only test to which these were submitted was, that the magistrate before whom the case was heard, tried to rub off some of the stains with a piece of blotting-paper, but did not succeed; and he expressed his opinion, that if they were blood-stains they had been wiped! It is easy to perceive to what evil results superficial examinations of this kind may lead.

Varieties of blood.—The means of distinguishing arterial from venous blood, available to the medical jurist, have heen elsewhere deseribed (see ante, p. 247). There is no method known by which the blood of a man can he distinguished from that of a woman, or the blood of a child from that of an adult. The blood of the child at hirth contains less fibrin, and forms a thinner and softer coagulum than that of the adult. The medico-legal question has arisen on more than one occasion, whether there were any means of distinguishing menstrual blood from that of the body generally. This liquid contnins fihrin, red colouring matter, and the other constituents of blood. The only differences noticed are of an accidental kind: -- 1st, that it is acid, owing to its admixture with vaginal mucus; and 2d, that under the microscope, it is mixed up with epithelial scales, which it has derived from its passage through the vagina. (Donné, Cours de Microscopie, 139.) A case occurred recently in France, which induced the Minister of Justice to refer the consideration of this question to the Academy of Medicine. The reporters, MM. Adelon, Moreau and Le Canu, came to the conclusion, that, in the present state of science, there were no means of distinguishing menstrual

blood from that which might be met with in a case of infanticide or abortion. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1846, i. 181.)

Blood of man and animals, - Test by odour. - When marks of blood have been detected on the dress of an accused person, it is by no means nunsual to find these marks referred to his having been engaged in killing a pig or a sheep, or handling fish or dead game. course every allowance must be made for a statement like this, which can only be proved or disproved by circumstances; but an important question here arises, namely, whether we possess any means of distinguishing the blood of a human being from that of an animal. Barrnel, and other French medical jurists, state, that by mixing fresh blood with one-third or one-half of its bulk of strong sulphuric acid, and agitating the mixture with a glass rod, a peculiar odour is evolved, which differs in the blood of man and animals, and also in the blood of the two sexes. This odour, it is said, resembles that of the cutaneous exhalation of the animal, the blood of which is made the subject of experiment. They have hereby pretended to determine, whether any given specimen of blood belonged to a man, a woman, a horse, sheep, or fish. Others assert that they have been able by this process to identify the blood of frogs and fleas! (See Devergic. Méd. Lég. ii. 907.) Now it is certain, that an excess of strong lphuric acid does give rise to a particular odour when mixed with blood, probably owing to its decomposing some of the animal principles of this liquid; it is also possible that some persous may discover a difference in the odonr, if not according to the sex, at least according to the animal,—but even this point is far from being established: and if it were admitted, there is probably not one individual among a thousand, whose sense of smelling would be so acute as to allow him to state with underiable certainty, from what animal the unknown blood had really been taken. Any evidence short of this would not be received in an English Court of law; for it is considered better not to decide at all, than to decide on principles which are exposed to unavoidable fallacy. Besides, it must be remembered, that in general the operator has not before him the blood, but merely a very diluted solution of the colouring matter mixed with a small quantity of serum.

Hæmatalloscopy.—Within a recent period, M. Taddei, of Florence, has suggested another process for distinguishing human from animal blood, and the varieties of animal blood from each other. He calls this process hematalloscopy (αιμα αλλοιον σκοπειν). It is of the most complex kind, and essentially depends on varying degrees of fluidifiability of blood in different animals. By the addition of an artificially prepared compound, mixed with sulphuric acid, he alleges that he has been able to distinguish human from animal blood, and to fix measurable degrees of fluidifiability so as to allow an opinion to be expressed. Even if the complexity of the process were not a sufficient objection to its employment, the results, as he describes them, are so vague and m-

satisfactory as to render it wholly inapplicable to practical purposes. An account of the process will be found in Briand's Manuel Complet de Médécine Légale, 1846, 745.

Microscopical evidence.—The microscope has been of late years employed not only to distinguish blood from other coloured liquids, but for drawing a distinction between the blood of different classes of The red colouring matter consists of globules or particles floating in a clear liquid: they are in the human subject, according to Donné, about the 1-3500th of an inch in diameter, but they vary somewhat in size in the same blood. If, then, globules be clearly detected, there can be no doubt that the liquid is blood: but it appears to me that it would be unsafe to rely upon microscopical observation only, except where the individual has been much habitnated to the use of the instrument. In preparing the stain for the microscope, water must not be used, as this destroys the globule, and causes the discharge of the colouring matter by endosmosis. Albumen or scrum, free from globules, or a strong solution of sulphate of soda, should be employed; and when the liquid has acquired a red tinge by contact, a drop of the colonred liquid may be placed between two plates of glass, and examined. The stuff may be at once digested in albumen or scrum or plate of glass, a small cup being formed by a circular ridge of white wax.

The microscope does not enable us to distinguish animal from human blood—i. c. the globules in the class mammalia are so similar to each other in form and size, that it would be impossible to say whether the blood was that of a sheep, bullock, or human being. globules vary in size: thus, in the human subject the average size is 1-3500th of an inch; but they are found both larger and smaller in the same individual, and even in the feetus. In the elephant, they appear to have the maximum size among mammalia, being 1-2745th of an inchin diameter. In all animals with red blood, they have a disc-like or flattened form. In the mammalia, excepting the camel-tribe, these discs have a circular outline; in this tribe, and in hirds, fishes, and reptiles, they have the form of a lengthened ellipse, or oval. In the three last-mentioned classes of animals, the globules have a central nucleus, which gives them an apparent prominence in the centre. The blood-globules of all the mammalia, including the camel-trihe, have no central nucleus, and the globule appears depressed. globules in reptiles are, comparatively speaking, very large: thus, in the frog, the largest diameter is not less than the 1-1100th of an If water, or any liquid of less specific gravity than serum, be employed in these experiments, the flattened discs of the mammalia. and the elliptical discs of birds, fishes, and reptiles, become spherical. and a distinction can no longer be drawn. (For further information on this subject, see Donaé, Cours de Microscopie; Bayard, Man. Pratique de Méd. Lég. 270; and Hassall's Microscopical Anatomy, 66. 118.) The microscope, therefore, in the hands of a competent person, may

show that a liquid is blood, and also whether the blood be derived from the class mammalia, or from a bird, fish, or reptile. It has been alleged that the microscopical detection of fibrin with the bloodglobules would indicate that the blood came from a living person by its possessing a coagulating power; but the blood would present exactly the same appearance if drawn from the body many honrs after death. (Sec antc, page 275; also Donné, op. cit. 52.) For how long a time after the blood has been effused, is the use of the microscope available? Mr. Hassall thinks, from his observations, that the period scarcely admits of limitation. In blood-stains six months old, he found that the corpuseles presented very nearly the form and appearance proper to them when recently effused, and previous to their becoming dried up. He was able to identify, after six months, the red corpuscle of the frog, and to distinguish its granular nucleus. (Microscopical Anatomy, 119.) Nevertheless, where the blood remains liquid, as in the dead body, the form of the globules undergoes a rapid change, especially in certain morbid states. Donné has observed that even prior to death they sometimes become shrivelled, mis-shapen, and irregular in outline. (Op. cit. 77.)

CHAPTER XXIX.

DEATH OF WOUNDED PERSONS FROM NATURAL CAUSES—DISTINC-TION BETWEEN REAL AND APPARENT CAUSE—DEATH FROM WOUNDS OR LATENT DISEASE—ACCRLERATING CAUSES.—WHICH OF TWO WOUNDS CAUSED DEATH?—DEATH FOLLOWING SLIGHT PERSONAL INJURIES.

Death of wounded persons from natural causes .- It is by no means unnsual for individuals who have received a wound, or sustained some personal injury, to die from latent natural causes; and as, in the minds of non-professional persons, death may appear to be a direct result of the injury, the case can only be cleared up by the assistance of a medical practitioner. Such a coincidence has been witnessed in many instances of attempted suicide. A man has inflicted a severe wound on himself while labouring under disease; or some morbid change, tending to destroy life, has occurred subsequently to the infliction of a wound; death has followed. Without a careful examination of the body, it is impossible to refer death to the real cause. The importance of an accurate discrimination in a case where a wound or personal injuries have been caused by another, must be obvious on the least reflection; a hasty opinion may involve an accused party in a charge of manslaughter; and, although a barrister might be able to show on the trial that death was probably attributable, not to the wound, but to coexisting disease, yet it must be remembered, that the evidence of a surgeon before a coroner, in remote parts of this country, may be the means of causing the accused to remain incarcerated for a period of five, six, or seven months previously to the trial. itself a punishment, independently of the loss of character, to which an accused party must be, in the meantime, exposed. In September 1832, an inquest was held ou the body of a man who, it was supposed. had died from a wound in the throat, jufficted by himself while labouring under delirium from scarlet-fever. On an examination of the body, it was found that but little blood bad been lost, and none of the important vessels of the neck were injured. The jury and the friends of the deceased were prepared to hear that his death was caused by the would; but they were undeceived by the surgeon, who attributed it to the effects of the disease under which he was labouring, adding, that there was every probability that he would have died at the same time, and under the same circumstances, if he had not made the attempt on his life: a verdict was returned accordingly. In another case, a gentleman attempted to commit suicide by cutting his throat with a penknife. He died about three weeks afterwards, and an inquest was held on the body. From the medical evidence, it appeared that the wound was situated on the right side of the neek; it was four inches in depth, and one inch in length, and involved some of the branches of the subclavian artery. The ease went on favourably, but secondary hæmorrhage occurred twice, in consequence of the deceased having violently torn away the dressings. After lying for three weeks with a fair prospect of recovery, the deceased died suddealy—a circumstance which led his medical attendants to conclude that some internal disease must have coexisted, although it was the general opinion that the wound had caused death. The body was carefully inspected, and a large abscess, occupying one of the hemispheres of the brain, was discovered, with an effusion of water between the membranes. These appearances, coupled with the symptonis immediately preceding death, satisfactorily accounted for the fatal The medical witnesses accordingly deposed at the inquest that death was occasioned by the abseess; and that this had no connection whatever in its origin with the wound. They stated that the abscess had probably been forming before the infliction of the wound, and the individual must have died, whether the wound had been inflicted or not. Indeed, the loss of blood would, in their opinion, have tended to stay the activity of the disease, and probably to pro-If we suppose that the wound in this case had been long life. inflicted by another on provocation, and that the examination of the body had fallen under the hands of a less careful practitioner, who might have neglected to examine the head, the accused party might have been charged with manslaughter and sent to trial. Here, again, the same wituess being examined, and the prisoner remaining undefended, the evidence might have appeared sufficient to justify a con-

viction. No case can more strongly show the responsibility which may be attached to the duties of a medical wituess. The punishment or acquittal of an innocent person would depend on his medical skill; for we cannot suppose either that a barrister or a coroner could always succeed in exposing an error of a nature so exclusively professional. This is a case which also teaches us the importance of constautly adhering to a principle of duty already recommended-namely, to examine the whole of the body in suspected death from local injuries. (Scc ante, 198). Supposing that in either of these cases the life of the deceased had been insured; the policy would have been apparently vitiated, and the truth could only have been ascertained by medical evidence. It is not enough to rest contented in any case with an apparent cause of death. In the ease of the late Mr. Serjeant Andrews, death was alleged to have taken place from hamorrhage in the lungs, after the infliction of a snicidal wound in the throat; and in another very instructive case, reported by Mr. Berneastle (Lancet, Feb. 15, 1845, 185), the deceased, a boy, died from internal strangulatiou of the intestine from morbid causes, after wrestling with another boy, who might, but for the inspection, have been erroueously charged with having caused his death. For a similar case see Medical Gazette. xxxvii. 702. An instance is related by Dr. Neamann, in which the question was, however, doubtful. (See Casper's Wochenschrift, May 24, 1845.)

Death from wounds or latent disease. - It must be borne in mind by a practitioner, that unnerons causes of death may be burking within the system at the time that a wound is criminally inflicted, and a close attention to the symptoms and post-mortem appearances can alone assist him in the difficult position in which he may be placed, should the accused party be subsequently brought to A man may be severely wounded, and yet death may take place from rupture of the heart, the bursting of an ancurism, from apoplexy, phthisis, or other morbid causes which it is here unnecessary to specify. (Cormack's Ed. Journal, May, 1846, 343.) If death can be clearly traced to any of these diseases by an experienced surgeon, the prisoner cannot be charged with manslaughter: for the medical witness may give his opinion that death must have taken place about the same time and under the same circumstances, whether the wound had been inflicted or not. On these occasions, however, one of the following questions would probably arise: - Was the death of the party accelerated by the wound, or was the disease under which he was labouring so aggravated by the wound as to produce a more speedily fatal termination? The answer to either of these questious must depend on the circumstances of the case, and the witness's ability to draw a proper conclusion from these circumstances. The maliciously accelerating of the death of another, already labouring under disease, is criminal: for what accelerates, canses. Lord Hale, in remarking upon the necessity of proving that the act of the prisoner caused the death

of the party, says:—"It is necessary that the death should have been occasioned by some ecopureal injury done to the party by force, or by poison, or by some mechanical means which occasion death; for although a person may, in fore conscientize, be as guilty of murder by working on the passions or fears of another, and as certainly occasion death by such means, as if he had used a sword or pistol for the purpose, he is not the object of temporal punishment." (I. 247.) Several acquittals have taken place of late years, where the deaths of parties have been occasioned by torror or dread of impending danger, produced by acts of violence on the part of the prisoners, not, however, giving rise to bodily injury in the deceased. Conformably to Lord Hale's view, the Criminal Law Commissioners, in their report on the subject of homicide, state:—

"Art. 1. The law takes no cognizance of homicide unless death result from bodily injury occasioned by some act or unlawful omission, as contradistinguished from death occasioned by an influence on the mind, or by any disease arising from such influence. Art. 2. The terms 'unlawful omission' comprehend every case where any one being under legal obligation to supply food, clothing, or other aid or support, or to do any other act, or make any other provision for the

sustentation of life, is guilty of any breach of such duty."

Which of two wounds caused death?—It is possible that a man may receive two wounds on provocation, at different times, and from different individuals, and die after the receipt of the second : in such a case, the course of justice may require that a medical witness should state which wound was the cause of death. Let us take the following illustration: -A man receives during a quarrel a gun-shot wound in the shoulder. He is going on well with a prospect of recovery, when in another quarrel he receives a severe neuetrating wound in the chest or abdomen from another person, and after lingering under the effects of these wounds for a longer or shorter period, he dies. If the gunshot wound were clearly show to have been the cause of death, the second prisoner could not be convicted of manslaughter; or if the stab were evidently the cause of death, the first prisoner would be acquitted on a similar charge. It might be possible for a surgeon to decide the question summarily, as where, for instance, death speedily follows the second wound; and, on iospection of the body, the heart or a large vessel is discovered to have been penetrated; or, on the other hand. extensive sloughing, sufficient to account for death, might take place from the guo-shot wound, and on inspection the stab might be found to be of a slight nature, and not involving any vital parts. In either of these cases, all would depend upon the science and skill of the medical practitioner, -his evidence would be so important that no correct decision could be come to without it; he would be, in fact, called upon substantially to distinguish the guilty from the innocent. occasions, death may appear to be equally a consequence of either or both of the wounds; in which case, probably, both parties would be liable to a charge of manslaughter. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1835, ii. 432.)

The second wound, which is here supposed to have been the act of another, may be inflicted by the wounded party on himself, in an attempt at suicide, or it may have had an accidental origin. The wituess would then have to determine whether the party had died from the wound inflicted by himself, or from that which he had previously received.

It may happen that the wounded person bas taken poison, and actually died from the effects of this, and not from the injuries or maltreatment. A case of this kind has been already related (ante, p. 200). Again, the wounded person may have been the subject of further illtreatment, and the question will be put as to which of the two causes his death was really due. It may be observed of these cases, that the supervening disease, the poisou, or the subsequent ill-treatment, should be of such a nature as to account for sudden or rapid death: since it would be no answer to a charge of death from violence, to say that there were marks of chronic disease in the body, unless it were of such a nature as to account for the sudden destruction of life under the symptoms which actually preceded death. In the medical jurisprudence of wounds, there is probably no question which so frequently presents itself as this: it is admitted that the violence was inflicted, but it is asserted that death was due to some other cause: and the onus of proof lies on the medical evidence. Among numerous cases which have occurred in England during the last twelve years, I find that the latent causes of death in wounded persons have been chiefly-inflammation of the thoracie or abdominal viscera, -apoplexy, -diseases of the heart and large blood-vessels, -- pbthisis, -- ruptures of the stomach and bowels from disease, -internal strangulation, - and the rupture of In some of these, the person was in a good deen-scated abscesses. state of health up to the time of the violence, and in others there was a slight indisposition. The history is nearly the same in all :- it was only by careful conduct on the part of the medical witnesses, that the true cause of death was ascertained. It is obvious that questions of malapraxis and life-insurance, giving rise to civil actions, may have a very close relation to this subject.

Death following slight personal injuries.—An imputation has often been thrown on the masters of schools, where boys have died soon after they have been chastised. In such cases there has been commonly some unhealthy state of the body to explain this result. When the disease which gives rise to douht, is scated in a part which is remote from that which sustained the violence, all that is required is, that the post-mortem examination of the body should be conducted with ordinary care. If the disease should happen to be in the part injured, the case becomes much more perplexing. The difficulty can then be removed only by attentively considering the ordinary consequences of such injuries. The violence may have been too slight to account for the

diseased appearance; and the disease itself, although situated in the part injured, may be regarded as a most unusual consequence. A boy was struck two blows on the face by a magistrate; but it did not appear that they were very severe. The boy went to his work on the following day, but complained of pain in his head: he continued to work for two days, when he was seized with such severe pain that he was obliged to keep his bed. He became worse, and died fourteen days after the injury. A very minute inspection of the body was made, but the only morbid appearance found, was a small tumor on the dura mater, corresponding to the posterior face of the petrous portion of the right temporal bone. This satisfactorily accounted for death, but the examiners very properly denied that it had proceeded from the violence, because.—1, the tumor had evidently been for a long time forming; and many months before he was struck, the deceased had complained of his head. 2. It was also wholly improbable that the slight blows should, under any circumstances, have given rise to the formation of this deep-scated fungous excrescence. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1837.) A remarkable case illustrative of these singular coincidences, is reported to have been the subject of a criminal trial in the United States in 1842. A man was stabled by his wife during a quarrel at a theatre, and he died in about ten minutes afterwards. It was supposed that the deceased had died from the wounds, which consisted of two stabs on the right arm, and one in the region of the stomach: and the prisoner, who'believed that she had caused her husband's death, was charged with the murder. From the medical evidence given at the trial, it appeared that there was a large quantity of blood effused in the abdomen, and that the weapon had only perforated the stomach, without dividing any considerable blood-vessel to account for such a copious extravasatiou. It was found that this had proceeded from the rupture of a large aneurism of the abdominal aorta, the parietes of which were so much thinned, that the least excitement was, in the opinion of the witness, sufficient to cause the aecident. The tumor was out of the reach of the knife, which had not penetrated in the di-The witness admitted that wounds rection in which it was lying. of the stomach were always dangerous, but that sudden death was not a usual consequence of a slight puncture. The prisoner was acquitted. In other instances the case may be of a very doubtful character. good illustration of this will be found in the Med. Gaz. xx. 503, in a case reported by Dr. Hinghes, where a boy died apparently from the effects of a blow on the side; and after death, peritonitis, ulceration of the bowels, an aperture in the diaphragm, and gangrene of the lungs were found. The following is also, in this point of view, a remarkable case, which is related by Morgagni. An old man was caught in the net of robbing an orchard : he attempted to escape, but while running away the owner struck him a blow on the back. The old mau weut on a few yards, and then fell dead. On inspecting the body, there were no external marks of violence. There was a large effusion of

blood in the chest, which was traced to a rupture of the aorta, probably from the vessel being in au aneurismal state. The blow appeared to have been slight, and would probably have produced no evil consequences in a healthy person. (Barzellotti Questioni di Medicina Legale.)

CHAPTER XXX.

WOUNDS INDIRECTLY FATAL—DEATH FROM WOUNDS AFTER LONG PERIODS—RULE OF LAW—SECONDARY CAUSES OF DEATH—THE CAUSE IS UNAVOIDABLE—THE CAUSE AVOIDABLE BY GOOD MEDICAL TREATMENT—COMPARATIVE SKILL IN TREATMENT—CAUSE AVOIDABLE RUT FOR IMPRUDENCE OR NEGLECT ON THE PART OF THE WOUNDED PERSON—ABNORMAL OR UNHEALTHY STATE OF BODY—ACCELERATION OF DEATH—DIFFICULTY OF PROOF IN DEATH FROM SECONDARY CAUSES.

Wounds indirectly fatal.-Certain kinds of injuries are not immediately followed hy serious consequences, but the individual may perish after a longer or shorter period of time, and his death may be as much a consequence of the injury, as if it had taken place on the spot. The aggressor, however, is just as responsible as if the deceased had been directly killed by his violence, provided the fatal result can be traced to the usual and prohable consequences of the injury. Wounds of the head are especially liable to cause death insidiously,—the person may in the first instance recover, - he may appear to he going on well, when, without any apparent cause, he will suddenly expire. It is scarcely necessary to observe, that in general a post-mortein examination of the body will suffice to determine whether death is to be ascribed to the wound or not. In severe injuries affecting the spinal marrow, death is not an immediate consequence, unless that part of the organ which is above the origin of the phrenie nerves be wounded. Injuries affecting the lower portion of the spinal column do not commonly prove fatal until after some time: but the symptoms manifested by the patient during life, as well as the appearances observed in the body after death, will sufficiently connect the injury with that event. Death may follow a wound, and be a consequence of that wound, at almost any period after its infliction. It is necessary, however, in order to maintain a charge of homicide against an individual, that death should be strictly and clearly traceable to the injury, and not be dependent on any other cause. A doubt on this point must, of course, lead to an acquittal.

Death from wounds after long periods.—Many cases might be quoted, in illustration of the length of time which may clapse before death takes place from certain kinds of injuries,—the injured party

having ultimately fallen a victim to their indirect consequences. One of the most striking instances of this kind is that related by Sir A. Cooper, of a gentleman of Yarmouth, who died from the effects of an injury to the head, received about two years previously. In this ease, the connection of death with the wound, was clearly made out by the continuance of the symptoms of cerebral disturbance during the long period which he survived. A case is reported in which a man died from the consequence of a rupture of the liver, that had occurred eight days before (Med. Chir. Rev., Jan. 1836, p. 296). In a ease read before the Anatomical Society of Paris, an individual received a musketshot in the left side of the thorax, and the ball remained lodged in the left lung during a period of twenty-five years. The ball, in penetrating, had fractured the humerus at its neek, in consequence of which the upper extremity had been amputated at the shoulder-joint. The wound of the chest soon healed, but the patient remained during life subject to fits of suffocation and hæmoptysis, under the effects of which he at length sank. On an examination of his body, the ball was found lying behind the third intercostal space in the midst of the pulmonary tissue, but lodged in a kind of eyst which communicated with the bronchi. Dr. Moore reports a case in which an individual died fifty years after the receipt of a wound (Lancet, Jan. 16th, 1847). Alison quotes several eases where parties have been found guilty of homicidethe injured persons having died from the indirect results of the wounds after the lapse of three and five months, and longer. (Criminal Law, 151.) In the case of Mr. Smith, who was shot by Ross Touchet, July 1844, death did not take place until after the lapse of eleven months from the time at which the wound was inflicted. 1839, a boy was admitted into Guy's Hospital for an injury to the spine, which proved fatal only after the lapse of eleven months. Among recent medico-legal cases, the longest interval at which a conviction has taken place from the indirectly fatal consequences, was nine months. It was in the case of Reg. v. Valus. (Devizes Summer Ass. 1847.) It was proved that the prisoner had maltreated the deceased in September After this she suffered in her health, and in December she was found labouring under phthisis. She died of the disease in the following Two medical men deposed that they found, on examining the body, that three ribs had been broken on the left side—and the injury had evidently not been attended to. They thought that the irritation caused by the fracture in September, might have led to the development of phthisis, although the seeds of the disease might have been long lnrking in the system. The judge left it to the jury as a question depending on medical evidence, and they had to consider whether the consumption was caused, or the death of the deceased hastened, by the violence of the prisoner. They returned a verdict of guilty.

There is a singular rule in our law relative to the period at which an individual dies from a wound, namely, that a party shall not be adjudged guilty of homicide, unless death take place within a year and a

day after the infliction of the wound. (Archbold, 345.) Hence in the indictment it is necessary to state when the wound was inflicted, and when it proved fatal. In practice, the existence of this rule is of little importance, but in principle it is erroneous. Most wounds leading to death, generally destroy life within two or three months after their infliction:—sometimes the person does not die for five or six months, and in more rare instances, death does not ensue until after the lapse of twelve months, or even several years. These protracted cases occur especially in respect to injuries of the head. (See Concussion, post.) Strict justice demands that the responsibility of a person who has inflicted a wound should depend upon this having really caused death, and not upon the period at which death takes place; for this must be a purely accidental circumstance. This rule of law had its origin in ancient times, when the connection between death and injuries reccived, was founded on arbitrary conjecture; but it is totally inapplicable to the present state of medicine and jurisprudence.

Secondary causes of death.—An individual who recovers from the immediate effects of a wound, may die from fever, inflammation or its consequences, crysipelas, tetanus, or gangrene; or an operation, required during the treatment of the would, may prove fatal. are what may be called secondary causes of death, or secondary consequences of the wound. The power of deciding on the responsibility of an accused person for an event which depends only in an indirect manner on the injury originally inflicted by him, rests of course with the authorities of the law. But it is impossible that they can decide on so difficult and nice a question, without satisfactory medical evidence: and on the other hand, it is right that a medical witness should understand the importance of the duty here required of him. Fever or erysipelas may follow many kinds of serious wounds, and in some few instances he distinctly traceable to them, but in others, the constitution of the patient may he so hroken up by dissipated hahits, as to render a wound fatal, which in a healtly subject might have run through its course mildly and have healed. When the fever or erysipelas is readily to be traced to the wound, and there is no other apparent canse of aggravation to which either of these disordered states of the body could be attributed, they can scarcely be regarded by the medical practitioner as very unexpected or unusual eonsequences of such injurics, especially when extensive or when seated in certain parts of the body, as the scalp. If death take place under these circumstances, the prisoner will be held as much responsible for the result, as if the wound had proved directly mortal. This principle has been frequently admitted by our law, and, indeed, were it otherwise, many reekless offeuders would escape, and many lives would he sacrificed with impunity. It is, however, difficult to lay down general rules upon a subject which is hable to vary in its relations in every ease; but when a wound is not serious, and the secondary cause of death is evidently due to constitutional peculiarities from acquired habits of dissipation, the

ends of justice are probably fully answered by an acquittal; in fact, such eases do not often pass beyond a coroner's inquest.

These secondary causes of death may be arranged under the follow-

ing heads :-

- 1. The cause is unavoidable.—Of this kind are tetanus, following laceration of tendinous and nervous structure,—erysipelas following lacerated wounds of the scalp,—peritoneal inflammation following rupture of the bladder or intestines, with extravasation of their contents,—strangulation of the intestines, as phrenic hernia following rupture of the diaphragm, and others of the like nature. Here, supposing proper medical treatment and regimen to have been pursued, the secondary cause of death was unavoidable, and the fatal result eertain.
- 2. The cause avoidable by good medical treatment.—There are, it is obvious, many kinds of wounds which, if properly treated in the first instance, may be healed, and the patient recover; but when improperly treated, they may prove fatal. In the latter case, it will be a question for the witness to determine, bow far the treatment aggravated the effects of the violence, and from his answer to this, the jury may have to decide on the degree of criminality which attaches to the prisoner. Let us suppose, for instance, that an ignorant person has removed a clot of blood, which sealed up the extremity of a vessel, in consequence of which fatal hæmorrhage has ensued,-or that he has produced death by unnecessarily interfering with a penetrating wound of the thorax or abdomen,—it would scarcely be just to hold the aggressor responsible, since, but for the ignorance and unskilfulness of his attendant, the wounded party might have recovered from the effects of the wound. When death is really traceable to the negligence or unskilfulness of the person who is called to attend ou a wounded party, this circumstance ought to be, and commonly is, admitted in mitigation, supposing that the wound was not originally of a mortal nature. Lord Hale observes: "It is sufficient to constitute murder, that the party dies of the wound given by the prisoner, although the wound was not originally mortal, but became so in cousequence of negligence or unskilful treatment; but it is otherwise where death arises not from the wound, but from unskilful applications or operations used for the purpose of euring it." (i. 428.) The medical jurist will perceive that a very nice distinction is here drawn by this great judge, between death as it results from a wound rendered mortal by improper treatment, and death as it results from the improper treatment, irrespective of the wound. In the majority of cases such a distinction could scarcely be established, except upon conjectural grounds, and in no case, probably, would there be any accordance in the opinions of medical witnesses. In slight and unimportant wounds, it might not be difficult to distinguish the effects resulting from bad treatment from those connected with the wound. but there can be but few eases of severe injury to the person, wherein

a distinction of this nature could be safely made; and the probability is that no conviction for murder would now take place, if the medical evidence showed that the injury was not originally mortal, but only became so by unskilful or improper treatment. In such a case, it would be impossible to ascribe death to the wound or to its usual or probable consequences,—and without this it is not easy to perceive on what principle an aggressor could be made responsible for the result.

3. Comparative skill in treatment.—If the death be owing to the wound, it signifies not that under more favourable circumstances, and with more skilful treatment, the fatal result might have been averted. As a proof of this, the following case, reported by Alison, may be quoted:—The prisoner was one of a party of smugglers who fired at an officer of excise. The wounded man was carried to the nearest village, where he was attended by the surgeon of the country, who was not deficient in attention, but a great collection of matter having formed in the leg, fever ensued, and the patient died at the end of three weeks. In defence, it was urged that, by skilful treatment, the man might have recovered, but the Court held that it was incumbent to prove death arose ex malo regimine. The true distinction in all such cases is, that if the death was evidently occasioned by grossly crroneous medical treatment, the original author of the violence will not be answerable; but if it arise from the want merely of the higher skill which can only be commanded in great towns, he will be responsible, because he has wilfully exposed the deceased to a risk from which he has practically no means of escaping. (150.) In the case of Macewan, (Perth Sept. Circ. 1830,) the prisoner was indicted for the manslaughter of a boy, by striking him on the shoulder, which dislocated the arm. Two days after the blow, an ignorant bonesetter was consulted, and owing to his manipulations inflammation took place, and, the boy being of a sickly and scrofulous habit, this proved fatal. Under the direction of Lord Meadowbank the prisoner was acquitted. In charging the grand jury, in reference to Mr. Seton's case, (Winchester Aut. Ass. 1845,) Mr. Baron Platt is reported to have observed, that if a man inflicted a wound likely to produce death, and the wounded party should fall into the hands of an unskilful practitioner, whereby death was hastened, the aggressor would still be responsible for the result. If the wound had not been likely to produce death, but by unskilful treatment death ensued, then that would not be murder.

It will be obvious that a serious responsibility is thrown on practitioners, who undertake the management of a case of criminal wounding. Any deviation from common practice should therefore be made with the greatest caution, since novelties in practice will, in the event of death, form one of the best grounds of defence in the hands of a prisoner's counsel. On these occasions, every point connected with the surgical treatment will be rigorously inquired into. In the case of a severe lacerated wound to the hand or foot, followed by fatal

tetaaus, it may be said that the wounded person would not have died, had amputation been performed. In this instance, however, a practitioner may justify himself by showing either that the injury was too slight to require amputation, or that the health or other circumstances connected with the deceased, would not allow of its heing performed with any fair hope of success. On the other hand, if the practitioner performed amputation, and the patient died, then it would be urged that the operation was unjustifiable and had caused Here the surgeon is bound to show that the operation was necessary, according to the ordinary rules of treatment. The treatmeat of severe incised wounds of the throat, where the trachea is involved, sometimes places a practitioner in a very embarrassing position. If the wound be left open, death may take place from hæmorrhage: if it be prematurely closed, the blood may be effused into the trachea, and cause death by suffocation. The following case occurred a few years since in London: - A man inflicted a transverse would on his throat; it was about four inches in length, and passed across the middle of the thyroid cartilage. The hæmorrhage was not considerable, as the carotid arteries had escaped heing wounded. The external orifice had, in the first instance, been closed, and the patient was almost suffocated, partly by the occurrence of emphysema, and partly by the blood flowing into the trachea. On opening the wound, the patient's breathing was relieved, and a quantity of mucus mixed with blood was thrown out from the trachea at each expiration. After waiting some time, the pieces of divided cartilage were brought together by sutures, and the wound carefully closed. In a very short time the hreathing became difficult, the countenauce livid, and the man died apparently suffocated. Another case occurred ia the neighbourhood of Loadon, in June 1841. A woman was found in bed one morning with her throat severely cut, and a man was charged with the crime of murder. The wound had divided the trachea and the superficial vessels. Although medical assistance was called in, it appears that nothing was done to arrest the hæmorrhage for three quarters of an bour. The wound was then closed by ligatures, and the woman died immediately,-most probably from suffocation. The accused was tried and acquitted—hecause it appeared that this was an act of suicide. The first object of the surgeon, in all such cases, is to save life: -therefore hæmorrhage should be immediately arrested by securing the divided vessels. When this is done, the wound may be closed,—but if the closure take place before this, death from suffocation will commonly follow.

4. The cause avoidable, but from imprudence or neglect on the part of the wounded person.—A man who has been severely wounded in a quarrel, may obstinately refuse medical assistance, or he may insist upon taking exercise, or using an improper diet, contrary to the advice of his medical attendant, or, by other imprudent practices, he may thwart the best conceived plans for his recovery. Let us take a

very common case as an illustration. A man receives a blow on the head in a pugilistic combat, from the first effects of which he recovers, but after having received surgical assistance, he indulges in excessive The aggressor is tried on a charge of maudrinking, and dies. slaughter, and found guilty. Death under these circumstances is commonly attributed by the medical witness to extravasation of blood on the brain; but it cannot be denied that the excitement produced by intoxicating liquors, will sometimes satisfactorily account for the fatal In the case which we are here supposing, such an admissymptoms. sion might be made, and the prisoner receive the benefit of it; for the imprudence or negligence of a wounded party ought not, morally speaking, to be considered as adding weight to the offence of the aggres-If the symptoms were from the first unfavourable, or the wound likely to prove mortal, circumstances of this kind could not be received in mitigation. Nevertheless, our judges are at all times very unwilling to admit circumstances of this nature as mitigatory. In the case of the notorious Governor Wall, who was convicted and executed many years ago for causing the death of a man by excessive punishment, it was attempted to be shown in evidence that the deceased had destroyed himself by the immoderate use of spirits while under treatment in the hospital. The Lord Chief Baron, in charging the jury, observed, that no man was authorised to place another in so perilous a predicament as to make the preservation of his life depend merely on his own prudence. The more clearly the medical witness is able to trace death to imprudence or excess on the part of the deceased, in the case of a *slight* wound, the more obviously would the responsibility of a prisoner be diminished; and hence the necessity for attending to the progress of a wound, which, if it prove fatal, may involve another in a criminal charge. In the case of Christian Paterson (1823), referred to by Alison (p. 147), it appeared in evidence that the deceased was struck on the head with a smoothing-iron, which fractured her skull, -some days afterwards she drank a quantity of whiskey, and was ultimately carried to the Royal Infirmary, where erysipelas shortly appeared in the wound, of which she died. Under these circumstances the charge of murder was abandoned, and the accused was found guilty of assault. The legal responsibility of the assailant is the same, whether the deceased die on the spot, or some days, weeks, or months afterwards, unless it can be distinctly proved that his death was immediately connected with the imprudence or excess of which he was guilty, and wholly independent of the wound. But, although a prisoner should be found guilty of manslaughter under these circumstances, the punishment is so adjusted by our law. as to leave a considerable discretionary power in the hands of a judge. This is, indeed, tantamount to a direct legal provision, comprchending each different shade of guilt; - a man is beld responsible for a wound rendered accidentally mortal, by events over which he could have no control, and which in themselves ought to be regarded as in some

degree exculpatory; but the punishment attached to his offence may be severe or slight, according to the representation made by a medical witness, of the circumstances which rendered the wound mortal; if he neglect to state the full influence of imprudence or excess on the part of the wounded person, where either has existed, over the progress of the wound, he may probably cause the prisoner to be punished with undue severity. The humanity of our judges is such, that when medical evidence is clear and consistent on a point of this nature, and there are no circumstances in aggravation, they commonly pass a mild sentence. (See case by M. Ollivier, Ann. d'Hyg. 1842, p. 128.)

The neglect to eall in a medical practitiouer, or the refusal to receive medical advice, will not, according to the decision in the case of *Reg.* v. *Thomas* (ante, page 270) be considered a mitigatory circumstance in favour of the prisouer, even although the wound was

susceptible of heing cured.

A man may receive a lacerated wound of an extremity, which is followed by tetaaus or gangrene, and thus proves fatal: he may have declined receiving medical advice, or have obstinately refused amputation, although proposed by his medical attendant, but this would not be received as a mitigatory circumstance on the part of a prisoner; because the wounded party is not compelled to call for medical assistance, or to submit to an operation, and the medical witness could not always be in a condition to swear that the operation would have positively saved his life; he can merely affirm that it might have afforded the deceased a chance of recovery. In the case of the Queen v. Hulme (Liverpool Aut. Assizes, 1843), it was proved that the deceased had died from tetanus, caused by an injury to a finger some time before. Amputation was advised by the surgeon, but the deceased would not consent to the operation. The prisoner was convicted of manslaughter, and sentenced to the severest punishment prescribed by the law for that crime. In the case of Mackenzie (1827), the prisoner scized deceased by the throat, and bruised him severely in several parts of the body, in consequence of which tetanus supervened, and he died. Skilful medical advice was not called in till near the end of the illness, when tetanus had already come on, and in the interval he had acted imprudently and aggravated the symptoms. The medical evidence clearly proved that the tetanus was owing to the injury, and was a frequent result of it. The prisoner, under the direction of the Court, was convicted, and subsequently transported. Again, a persou may receive a blow on the head, producing fracture, with great depression of bone, and symptoms of compression of the brain: a surgeon may propose the operation of trephining to elevate the depressed bone, but the friends of the wounded man may not permit the operation to be performed. In such a case, his line of duty will be to state the facts to the Court, and it is probable that in the event of conviction there would be some mitigation of punishment:

because such an injury, if left to itself, must in general prove mortal, and no doubt could exist in the mind of any surgeon as to the absolute necessity for the operation. But the neglect or improper conduct of the person who receives the wound does not exculpate the aggressor. The crime thus rendered fatal is either murder or

manslaughter.

5. The cause avoidable but for an abnormal or unhealthy state of the body of the wounded person,-Wounds which are comparatively slight sometimes prove indirectly fatal, owing to the person heing in an unhealthy state at the time of their infliction. In had constitutions, compound fractures or slight wounds, which in a healthy subject would have a favourable termination, are followed by gangrene, fever, or erysipelas, proving fatal. Here the responsibility of an assailant for the death may become reduced, so that although found guilty of manslaughter, a mild punishment might he inflicted. The consequence may be, medically speaking, unusual or nnexpected, and, but for circumstances wholly independent of the act of the accused, would not have heen likely to destroy life. In general, in the absence of malice, this appears to be the point to which the law closely looks, in order to make out the responsibility of the accused—namely, that the fatal secondary cause must be something not unusual or unexpected as a consequence of the particular injury, and the medico-legal question presents itself under this form :-- Would the same amount of injury have been likely to cause death in a person of ordinary health and vigour? Men who have suddenly changed their habits of living, and have passed from a full diet to abstemionsness, are unable to bear up against comparatively slight injuries, and often sink from the secondary consequences. So a man otherwise healthy labouring under hernia, may receive a blow in the groin, attended with rupture of the intestine, gangrene, and death.—another with a calculus in the kidney may be struck in the loins, and die in consequence of the calculus perforating the renal vessels and causing fatal hemorrhage, or from subsequent inflammation. Mr. Crossc, of Norwich, has reported to the Medico-Chirurgical Society the case of a boy, aged ten, who received a slight blow on the abdomen, and died in a very unexpected manner on the second day after the injury. On inspection, a cyst, capable of holding ten or twelve ounces of liquid, was found connected with the under surface of the liver. The cyst had been ruptured by the blow, and its contents had escaped into the abdomen. But for the cyst existing in this situation, the blow would not have been attended with dangerous consequences. In these cases, the effects of the violence must be regarded as something unexpected: it would not have produced serious mischief in an ordinarily healthy person, and hence the responsibility of an assailant becomes much diminished. The crime is undoubtedly manslaughter, but the punishment may be of a lenient description. A defence of this kind will, however, be limited by circumstances. case is reported, in which a Dr. Fabricius was tried at the Old Bailey

for the murder of his female servant by striking her a hlow behind the ear, whereby a large abscess, situated at that part, was ruptured, and this ultimately caused her death. The chief question on the trial was, whether the deceased had died from the effects of the violence, or from the disease under which she was at that time labouring. The doctor ingeniously urged in his defence that he had struck the blow merely with the purpose of opening the abscess! The jury, however, did not agree in taking this scientific view of the matter, and they found him guilty of manslaughter. In the ease of the Queen against Bell and others (Nott. Aut. Assizes, 1841), it was proved that the deceased had died from the effects of a blow received in a prize-fight, which had ruptured an abscess in the kidney, evidently of long standing. The prisoners were convicted.

Acceleration of death .- It must be evident that there exist namerous other internal diseases, such as ancurism, and various morbid affections of the heart and brain, which are liable to be rendered fatal by slight external violence. Now the law, as applied to these cases, is thus stated by Lord Hale :- "It is sufficient to prove that the death of the party was accelerated by the malicious act of the prisoner, although the former laboured under a mortal disease at the time of the act." (i. 428.) In those cases where a slight degree of violence has been followed by fatal consequences, it is for a jury to decide, under all the circumstances of the ease, upon the actual and specific intention of the prisoner at the time of the act which occasioned And, according to Starkie, "it seems that in general, notwithstanding any facts which tend to excuse or alleviate the act of the prisoner, if it be proved that he was actuated by prepense and deliberate malice, and that the particular occasion and circumstances upon which he relies were sought for and taken advantage of merely with a view to qualify actual malice, in pursuance of a pre-conceived scheme of destruction, the offence will amount to murder." In most of these cases there is an absence of intention to destroy life: but the nature of the wound, as well as the means by which it was inflicted, will often suffice to develope the intention of the prisoner. An accurate description of the injury, if slight, may afford strong evidence in favour of a prisoner, since the law does not so much regard the means used by him to perpetrate the violence, as the actual intention to kill, or to do great bodily harm. Serious injury, causing death by secondary consequences, will admit of no exculpation when the prisoner was aware, or ought to have been aware, of the condition of the party whom he struck. Thus, if a person notoriously ill, or a woman while pregnant, be violently maltreated, and death ensue from a secondary cause, the assailant would be held responsible; because he ought to have known that violence of any kind to persons so situated must be . attended with dangerons consequences. So, if the person maltreated be an infant, or a decrepid old man, or one labouring under a mortal disease, it is notorious that a comparatively slight degree of violence

will destroy life in these cases; and the prisoner would properly be held responsible. A wound which accelerates death causes death, and may therefore render the aggressor responsible for murder or man-slaughter, according to the circumstances. The Commissioners lately appointed to define the criminal law on the subject of homicide thus expressed themselves:—"Art. 3. It is homicide, although the effect of the injury be merely to accelerate the death of one labouring under some previous injury or infirmity, or although, if timely remedies or skillul treatment had been applied, death might have been prevented." This is conformable to the decisions of our judges. According to Lord Hale, if a man have a disease which in all likelihood would terminate his life in a short time, and another give him a wound or hurt which hastens his death, this is such a killing as constitutes murder. (Archbold, 345.)

Abnormal conditions .- When the assailant could not have been aware of the existence of a diseased or an abnormal condition of parts in the wounded person, the question is somewhat different. In many individuals the skull is preternaturally thin, and in most persons it is so in those places corresponding to the glandulæ Pacchioni. In a casc of this kind a moderate blow on the head might cause fracture, accompanied by effusion of blood, depression of bone, or subsequent inflammation of the brain and its membranes, any of which causes might prove fatal. An important trial involving this question occurred at the Norwich Summer Assizes in 1842. (The Queen against Dowde.) The prisoner, who was a policeman, was charged with manslaughter, The deceased, it appears, attempted to escape from the custody of the prisoner, and the latter, in endeavouring to prevent his escape, struck the deceased a blow on the head. The deceased spoke of the blow as trifling, and, with the exception of a slight headache, he made no complaint. On examining his head, there was a very slight cut, and a small effusion of blood. The deceased was placed in a cell, and some hours afterwards was found dead. On inspection, the skull was found fractured for an inch and a half over the seat of violence, and a quantity of blood had been effused and had caused death. evidence on the trial was to the effect, that the blow did not appear to have been violent, that the skull of the deceased was preternaturally thin, not being more than one-twelfth of an inch in thickness at the fractured part. All agreed that a fracture might in this case have been caused by a blow, which, under ordinary circumstances, would have been attended with no serious mischief. In some persons, all the bones of the body are nusually brittle, so that they are fractured by the slightest force. Inflammation, gangrene, and death may follow, when no considerable violence has been used; but these being nnexpected consequences, and depending on an abnormal condition of parts unknown to the prisoner, his responsibility may not, cæteris paribus, be so great as under other circumstances. This condition of the bones can only be determined by a medical practitioner. Facts of this kind show that the degree of violence used in an assault cannot always be measured by the effects, unless a careful examination of the injured

part be previously made.

Some German medical jurists have contended that an unnatural transposition of parts should become a mitigating circumstance,—as when, for example, the heart or some large vessel is found out of its position, and there wounded: but this doetrine will receive no sanetion from an English Court of law, as the responsibility of persons for these criminal offences does not rest upon the perfect anatomical structure of the deceased! At the same time, it might become a question whether, if death occurred from a superficial wound,-whereby a large artery taking an abnormal course, was divided, -there might not be, cateris paribus, some ground for diminishing the degree of

responsibility.

Difficulty of proof in death from secondary causes.—When a person is charged with having caused the death of another through violence terminating in some fatal disease, the case often admits of an able defence, and this in proportion to the length of time after the violence, at which the deceased dies. The disease, it may be urged, is liable to appear in all persons, even the most healthy; or it may have arisen from causes uncouncited with the violence. In admitting these points, it must be remembered that death may be proved to have been indirectly a consequence of the wound by the facts: 1, that the supervention of the secondary cause, although not a commou event, lay in the natural course of things; 2, that there did not exist any accidental circumstances which were likely to have given rise to this secondary cause independently of the wound. The proof of the first point amounts to nothing, unless the evidence on the second point be conclusive.

CHAPTER XXXI.

WOUNDS INDIRECTLY FATAL. TETANUS FOLLOWING WOUNDS -CAUSES OF-CASE OF CAPTAIN MOIR-DELIRIUM TREMENS FOL-LOWING PERSONAL INJURIES .- DEATH FROM SURGICAL OPERA-TIONS-PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CAUSES OF DEATH-UNSKIL-FULNESS IN OPERATIONS -- NECESSITY FOR THE OPERATION --DECISIONS ON THIS QUESTION-OPERATIONS UNDER A MISTAKEN DIAGNOSIS-CASE OF LIEUTENANT SETON-DIFFERENCES AMONG MEDICAL WITNESSES - ERYSIPELAS FOLLOWING OPERATIONS. MEDICAL RESPONSIBILITY. MALAPRAXIS. CASES.

Tetanus following wounds.—This disease frequently presents itself as a secondary fatal consequence of wounds,-more especially of those

which are lacerated or contused, and affect nervons or tendinous structures. It has often occurred as a result of very slight bruises or lacerations, when the injury was so superficial as to excite no alarm; and it is a disease which gives no warning of its appearance. Dr. Brady met with a case in which a man, set. 26, slipped in walking, and fell flat ou his back. He was stunned, but able to walk home. He apparently recovered from the simple accident, but on the following day he was attacked with tetanus, and died in seventy hours. (Lancet, May 15, 1847, 516.) In the case of Reg. v. Butcher (Warwick Lent Assizes, 1848), it was proved by the medical evidence that the deceased had received a blow on the nose, which caused severe hæmorrhage. In spite of good surgical treatment, the man was attacked with tetauus on the fifteenth day, under which he sank. On inspection it was found that one of the small bones of the nose had been broken, and this had given rise to the fatal attack. Tetanus may come on spontaneously, i. e. independently of the existence of any wound on the body. Many eases have been brought into the London hospitals, where the only cause of this disease appeared to be exposure to cold or wet,—or, in some instances, exposure to a cnrreut of air. (Lancet, Dec. 14, 1844, 351.) Dr. Watson met with a case in which tetanus appeared in a very severe form in a man who had received no wound, but who had been simply exposed to cold and wet. (Cormack's Monthly Journal, Dec. 1845, 902.) It has sometimes come on without any apparent cause. It is scarcely possible to distinguish, by the symptoms, tetanus from wounds from that which occurs spontaneously as a result of natural causes. In endeavouring to connect its appearance with a particular wound or personal injury. it will be proper to observe-1, whether there were any symptoms indicative of it before the maltreatment; -2, whether any probable cause could have intervened to produce it, hetween the time of its appearance and the time at which the violence was inflicted; -3, whether the deceased ever rallicd from the effects of the violence. The time at which tetanus usually makes its appearance, when it is the result of a wound, is about from the third to the sixth day.

Many trials for wounding have occurred in this country of late years, where tetanus was the immediate cause of death; and the defence has generally rested upon the probable origin of the disease from accidental causes. Perhaps among these that of Capt. Moir, who was tried at the Chelmsford Assizes in 1830, for the murder of a fisherman, is one of the most interesting, as it developes the rule of law in respect to criminal responsibility, when death takes place from a secondary cause. The deceased had frequently trespassed on the grounds of the prisoner, but, notwithstanding the frequent warnings which he had received, he set the prisoner at defiance. On the day laid in the indictment, the prisoner, while riding, met the deceased crossing his grounds, in order to pursue his usual occupation of fishing. An angry altercation took place, and the deceased refused to

return: the prisoner, in a high state of irritation, then rode back to his house, which was at some distance from the spot; and, having procured his pistols, rode after the deceased, and overtook him in the act of continuing the trespass. Words again ensued between them, and the prisoner then fired at deceased and wounded him severely in the arm. The muscles, vessels, and nerves were extensively lacerated, but so far as I have been enabled to learn the particulars, no question seems to have been raised respecting the propriety of imme-The deceased lingered a short time: tetanns diate amputation. supervened, under which he died. On the trial, the medical evidence went to show that death was caused by tetanus brought on by the severe gun-shot wound inflicted by the prisoner. In his defence, it was alleged that he shot the deceased under provocation; and that he had not intended to kill him, for he had purposely aimed at the arm. With regard to the first point, the judges held that the fact of his returning to his house, to fetch a weapon capable of inflicting a mortal wound, was a proof of deliberate malice; while, with regard to the second point, there could be no extenuation, since a serious wound inflieted on au extremity, may destroy life as readily as a wound iuflicted on the trunk. The prisoner was found guilty and executed, but his execution was considered by many to be summum jus. In this case, the connection of the secondary cause of death, with the original wound, appeared to be so clear, that not a doubt existed in the minds of the professional witnesses; and the law held the prisoner to be as much responsible for the fatal result, as if he had killed the deceased on the spot.

Delirium tremens following personal injuries.—In the case of Reg. v. Heywood (C. C. C. Oet. 29, 1846), it was proved that the deceased had been assaulted by the prisoner, but had not sustained any personal injury likely to be followed by serious consequences. Nevertheless, symptoms of delirium tremens came ou, and he died in the course of a few days. It was alleged that the disease of which the deceased died was brought ou by the violence, and the evidence of Mr. Coulson was adduced in support of this view. This gentleman deposed that he was called upon to attend the deceased on the day after the assault; the face was very much swollen and black, particularly on the right side, and there were also two wounds on that side of the face. He did what was necessary at the time, and the next day the wounds were uearly healed. The deceased appeared to suffer from great tremulousness and weakness, and these constitutional symptoms continued to increase, although the wounds were rapidly healing, -in fact getting quite well, and the swelling had nearly subsided. Two days before his death the ordinary symptoms of delirium tremens came on, and of this he died. In Mr. Coulson's opinion death was attributable to a shock of the nervous system, causing delirium tremens; and he accounted for that shock by the attack made upon the deceased, and by the blows he had received. Ou

a post-mortem examination of the body, he could not discover any fracture of the skull, or of the hones of the face, or a rupture of any blood-vessel. The right lung was very much congested, and there were some old adhesions to the chest. The left lung was also congested. The heart was large and flahby, but he did not discover any thing in the appearance of the body to account for the death, other than a confirmation of his original opinion that it arose from delirium He considered that this arose from the attack made on the deceased, but he was certainly surprised to see so fatal a result from such slight injuries. By the Court.—He considered the blows the deceased had received, were the exciting cause of delirium tremens. It was generally the result of violent injury, but he had known it follow from very slight blows. By prisoner's counsel.—He should say, from the appearances he observed on the post-mortem examination, that the deceased was "a bad subject." The injuries the deceased had received, were certainly not sufficient to account for the death of a healthy man. The shock to the system might have been produced by the deceased's excited condition, and delirium tremens might be occasioned by excitement alone. The skull of the deceased was remarkably thin, and if any great violence had heen practised towards him, he should have expected to find it fractured. Excitement might certainly produce delirium tremens; but, as there had been blows in this case, he thought it more natural to ascribe it to them, than to the mere excitement of the deceased. If the system of the deceased had not been in so bad a state, he did not think the result would have heen so serious; but he certainly considered the blows the exciting cause of death. The judge asked if he was right in understanding the witness to allude to the moral effect of the blows, to the excitement they occasioned on the system, rather than to the actual result that might reasonably he expected from injuries of such a character? Mr. Coulson said that was what he intended to convey by his state-By prisoner's counsel.—He would not undertake to say that the deceased would not have died from the excitement, even if he had not received any blows at all. On re-examination, he said that the blows and the excitement together were, no doubt, the cause of A second medical witness said, that in his opinion the deceased's death was the result of his excited state, and that delirium tremens was thereby occasioned, and not by the blows he received. He described the liver and kidneys of the deceased, as exhibiting the appearance of those of a norson addicted to drinking spirits or beer. It was contended that there was no distinct proof of the actual cause of death, or that the disease of which the deceased died, had been brought on hy the wounds, and the prisoner was acquitted of the felony. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. p. 811.)

Death from surgical operations.—In the treatment of wounds, surgical operations are occasionally resorted to, and a wounded person may die either during the performance of the operation, or from its

after-consequences. A question then arises, whether the party who inflicted the wound can be held responsible for the fatal result. The law regards a surgical operation as part of the treatment, and if undertaken bond fide, and performed with reasonable skill, the aggressor will be held responsible. The necessity of the operation, and the made of performing it, will be left to the best of the operator's judgment. As the defence may turn upon the operation having been performed unnecessarily and in a bungling and unskilful manner, it will be right for a practitioner, if possible, to defer it until he has had the advice and assistance of other practi-According to Lord Hale, if death takes place from an unskilful operation, performed for the cure of a wound, and not from the wound, the responsibility of the prisoner ceases; but this eminent lawyer does not appear to have contemplated, that death might take place as a consequence of the most skilful operation required for the treatment of a wound, and yet be woolly independent of the wound itself.

Death is by no means an unusual result of severe operations, the secondary consequences under which the patient may die being very numerous even when the case is most skilfully managed. Sometimes the patient will die on the table, although but little blood may have been lost. In a case related by Dr. Evans, of Galway, the patient, a healthy man, who had sustained a severe injury by an accident, died iu a few moments after his leg had been amputated, although he had not lost more than four ounces of blood. Fear, pain, and sudden shock to the nervous system, have caused death under these circumstances. The most common indirect causes of death after severe operations are secondary hæmorrhage, crysipelas, tetanus, delirium tremens, and heetic fever with gangrene of the stump. Mr. Travers observes, that "a pre-existing disease of the liver, kidney, or testicle, though chronic, and in itself not alarming to the constitution, becomes a drag upon its elasticity, and stands in the way of recovery. Inspection of the body after death, frequently explains the unfavourable result of operations that promise well, by discovering one or more organs in a state of chronic disease, which had not previously deranged the health in a degree sufficient to give notice of its existence; and which might. therefore, have remained quiet for years to come, had no extraordinary call been made upon the powers of the system." (On Constitutional Irritation, p. 45, 121, et seq.)

Should an operation be unnecessarily or unskilfully performed, the responsibility of an aggressor would, it is presumed, cease, if the death of a wounded party could be clearly ascribed to it. Thus if in carclessly bleeding a wounded person, the brachial artery should be laid open (see Ann. d'Hyg. 1834, ii. 445), or if in performing amputation, a large artery be improperly secured, so that the patient in either case, die from hæmorrhage, the prisoner could not be equitably held responsible; because it would be punishing him for an event depend

ing on the unskilfulness of the medical practitioner. From an obiter dictum of Mr. Baron Platt (ante, p. 294), it would appear that the prisoner will be held responsible, if the original wound were likely to produce death, although unskilfully treated. Supposing the bleeding or amputation to be performed with ordinary care and skill; and yet, in the one case, phlebitis, and in the other tetanus, gangrenc, or fever should destroy life, the prisoner will be liable for the consequences. The practice of the law is strictly consistent with justice. Should the operation be considered to be absolutely required for the treatment of a wound, which according to all probability would prove mortal without it, - should it be performed with ordinary skill, and still death ensue as a direct or indirect consequence, it is only just that the prisoner should be held responsible for the result. It is presumed in these cases, that were the patient left to himself, he would, in all probability, die from the effects of the wound. If, therefore, a surgeon, knowing that an operation would give a chance of saving life on such an occasion, did not perform it, it might be contended in the defence, that the deceased had died, not from the wound, but from the incompetency and neglect of his medical attendant. Hence it follows, that if during this very necessary treatment, unforeseen though not unusual causes cut short life, no exculpation should be admissible, if it went to attack the best-directed efforts made for the preservation of life. (See Aun. d'Ilyg. 1835, i. 231.)

By an operation being absolutely required, are we to understand that it is necessary to preserve life, i. e. that the wound will probably prove tatal without it? Bleeding and cupping may be necessary as part of the treatment of a wounded person; but unless it could be sworn that this treatment was required, in the judgment of the surgeon, for the preservation of life from the injury inflieted, it is doubtful whether, in the event of death occurring from these simple operations, the assailant would be held responsible for the fatal result. In 1827, two persous were tried in Edinburgh, for capitally assaulting another, by throwing sulphuric acid over him. (Rex v. Macmillan.) The death of the deceased was clearly due to phlebitis and concomitant tever, following the operation of venesection, which was considered necessary in the treatment of the case. It did not appear that this bleeding was absolutely necessary for the preservation of life, but merely for the prevention of severe ophthalmia. The charge of murder was therefore abandoned by the Lord Advocate: this question of responsibility for the fatal result being considered to involve too nice a point to ensure conviction. (Ed. Mcd. and Surg. Journ. April 1829, 230.

From cases hitherto decided, it would appear that the law regards three circumstances in death following surgical operations:—lst, the necessity of the operation itself; 2d, the competency of the operator; and 3d, the fact that the wound would be likely to prove mortal without it. A few recent cases will serve to illustrate the view taken

by our judges of this important question, which may involve not merely the reputation of a surgeon, but the life of an accused party. The first which requires notice is that of the King against Quain and others (Limerick Spring Assizes, 1836). Dillon (the deceased) received a comminuted fracture of the leg, produced by blows with a stick. He was taken to an hospital, and it was proposed to amputate the injured leg, but deceased would not consent. After some days, symptoms of approaching mortification made their appearance. the surgeons agreed that the only chance of saving life, was the immediate removal of the leg. The deceased then gave his assent, and the operation was skilfully performed. The injury was inflicted on the 10th October.—the operation was not performed until the 9th of November, and Dillon died on the 19th (i. e. ten days after the operation) from tetanus, which, it was admitted, had resulted from the amputation, and not from the woulds inflicted by the prisoners. For the prisoners it was argued that the deceased did not die of the wounds as alleged in the indictment, but from the medical treatment. On the other side, it was contended that the injury to the leg was causa causans, as the recovery of the patient would have been utterly hopeless without amputation; and the counsel properly asked, "Is he (a wounded person) to lose the benefit of medical skill, lest death might ensue from a necessary, although dangerous operation? How unfair would such a course be to the accused, as well as unjustifiable towards the wounded person! If neglected, his death is certain; if subjected to the treatment, his recovery probable." The learned judge held (the amputation being considered a necessary part of the patient's treatment) that the death of Dillon, although not proximately, was actually caused by fracture of the leg, and so directed the jury, who returned a verdict of guilty. The disputed point was reserved, and argued before the twelve judges. In addition to the arguments in support of the conviction above given, it was represented as being laid down in the hooks, that if one gives a wound to another, who neglects the cure, or is disorderly, and doth not keep that rule which a wounded man should do, yet if he die it is murder or manslaughter; hecause if the wounds had not been given the man had not died. (See case Reg. v. Thomas, aute, p. 270.) The exceptional cases are, when death results from the medical treatment; but these are reducible to two classes:-1. When the wound is not in itself mortal, and the treatment causes the death. (Rex v. Macmillan, snprà. Aud 2dly, where the death is clearly caused by the treatment heing unskilful, or not being necessary to save life in the opinion of skilful persons. (Rex v. Macewan, ante, p. 294.) The judges ruled the point against the prisoner with the exception of one dissentient.

In this case, the three conditions requisite for responsibility clearly existed:—the operation was absolutely necessary to save life,—there could be no question as to the competency of the operator, and the

wound would, according to all surgical experience, have proved fatal without it.

On a more recent occasion (Reg. v. Perrall, Taunton Lent Ass. 1847), Cresswell J. gave a similar judgment. The prisoner had thrown a stone at the deceased, and caused a fracture of the skull. On a consultation, the medical practitioner performed the operation of trephining. The deceased apparently rallied, but he subsequently became worse, and died on the 19th day after the injury; and it was found that there was an abseess in the brain. The medical witnesses referred death to the blow, but admitted that it might have been aecelerated by the operation. It was contended in the defence, that although the injury without the operation might have proved mortal, yet that the deceased had died from the medical treatment. Crosswell J. observed, that it was admitted the wound was mortal, and that the deceased might have died in a month, but that he had died in less than a week in consequence of the operation. The wound being mortal, if that which was done by the surgeon was what he considered right to be adopted, there would be no question raised upon that point. The prisoners were convicted. On this ease, there can be no doubt as to the propriety of the decision. Competency for forming a judgment, or for undertaking an operation, does not imply, on these oceasions, the first-rate abilities of a surgeon of high standing, hut that average skill and experience which every legally qualified practitioner is presumed by law to possess; and although from numerous extraordinary recoveries, it is difficult to say whether a man would or would not have died under a particular injury without an operation: -yet here, again, the law would allow a free exercise of judgment, and would not act upon such extraordinary exceptions.

In a similar ease, which occurred a few years since in Germany, an attempt was actually made to exculpate the aggressor on the ground that he had died owing to the medical man having neglected to perform the operation of trephining, and that he would have recovered had this been resorted to. (Brit, and For. Med. Rev. xiii, 259.)

Operations under a mistaken diagnosis,-It may happen that the wound is not mortal, and that although skilfully performed, the operation was not necessary to save life: in other words, the wounded person may die from the immediate results of a serious operation, performed nnder a mistaken view of the case. It is well known to surgeons that a carcinomatous tumor has been oeeasionally mistaken for aneurism,—the artery has been secured, and death has followed. A ease occurred in Dublin in 1844, in which the earotid artery was tied: and another in London in 1845, in which the operation was performed on the common iliac artery for supposed aneurisms: in both of these instances, the patients sank, and after death the tumors were proved not to have been aneurismal. A more recent instance is reported by Mr. Syme. The carotid artery was tied, and the patient died in a few days from hæmorrhage. After death it was

found that the tumor was not an ancurism, but a cyst, containing a (Dub. Med. Press, Dec 22d, 1847, p. 302.) Let us take the case that a man labouring under a slight aneurismal dilatation of a large artery receives a blow on the part,—the tumor gradnally increases, and is mistaken for an abscess by three or four surgeons, whose professional standing would prevent their general competency from being questioned. Under a wrong diagnosis, it is opened, and the patient dies on the spot: it would, in such a case, be unjust to make the aggressor liable; for, even admitting that the ancurism resulted from the blow, and that the competent surgeons acted with bona fides, the treatment would be unskilful, and the case would fall under the rule laid down by Lord Hale (ante, p. 305). The real facts, however, may not transpire until after the death of the wounded person; and it may then be alleged by a prisoner's counsel, that the operation was not necessary to save life, and that the wounded man might have recovered without it. This question derives especial interest from the Gosport duel case (Jnne 1845), in which a Licutenant Seton was killed. (Reg. v. Pym, Hants Lent Assizes, 1846.) A tumor formed in the course of the pistol-shot would received by the deceased at the lower part of the abdomen; and this was supposed by the late Mr. Liston, and two other surgeons in attendance on the deceased, to be an aucurismal enlargement from a wound in or injury to the femoral artery, for which it was considered necessary to tie the external iliac artery. The patient died from peritoneal inflammation following this serious operation; and on inspection, it was found that the tumor (the supposed aneurism) was formed by a mass of coagulated blood poured out not from the femoral artery, but from one of its superficial and anomalous branches, which was divided about an inch below Poupart's ligament, and about an inch from the main body of the artery. There was some difference of opinion about the necessity for the operation, both as to the time selected for its performance, and as to its being absolutely requisite for the preservation of life. One witness thought that it was not absolutely necessary to perform it at the time: while another thought it absolutely necessary, under the circumstances, to save life. In his evidence at the trial, Mr. Liston stated, that "the tying of the iliac artery was necessary. The danger of allowing the false aneurism to go on would be violent bleeding, which might have proved instantly fatal. In my judgment no other operation would have been prudent than that of tying the iliac artery." Counsel for the prisoners proposed to cross-examine the medical witnesses in order to show that the wound was not dangerous to life, and the operation not absolutely necessary; but Erle J. interposed .- I presume you propose to call counter-evidence, and impeach the propriety of the operation, but I am clearly of opinion that if a dangerous wound is given, and the best advice is taken, and an operation is performed under that advice, which is the immediate cause of death, the party giving

the wound is criminally responsible. Cockhurn.-I propose to show that the opinion formed by the medical men was grounded upon erroneous premises, and that no operation was necessary at all, or, at least, that an easier and much less dangerous operation might and ought to have heen adopted. I may therefore cross-examine the witnesses as to the grounds of their opinion. I shall suhmit that a person is not criminally responsible where the death is eaused by consequences which are not physically the consequences of the wound; but can only he connected with the first wound hy moral reasonings, as here that which occasioned death was the operation, which supervened upon the wound hecause the medical men thought it necessary. The point has never been solemnly decided in this country. The cause of death is a question for the jury. Erle J .- I am clearly of opinion, and so is my brother Rolfe, that where a wound is given, which, in the judgment of *competent* medical advisers, is dangerons, and the treatment which they bond fide adopt is the immediate cause of death, the party who inflicted the wound is criminally responsible. and of course those who aided and ahetted him in it. I so rule on the present occasion; but it may be taken, for the purposes of future consideration, that it having been proved that there was a gun-shot wound, and a pulsating tumor arising therefrom, which, in the bond fide opinion of competent medical men, was dangerous to life, and that they considered a certain operation necessary, which was skilfully performed, and was the immediate and proximate cause of death; the counsel for the prisoner tendered evidence to show this opiuion was wrong, and that the wound would not inevitably have caused death, and that by other treatment the operation might have heen avoided, and was therefore unuccessary. I will reserve this point for the consideration of the jndges, although, as I have already stated, I have no doubt upon the subject. To admit this evidence, would be to raise a collateral issue in every ease as to the degree of skill which the medical men possessed.

The point was reserved, but as the prisoner was acquitted of the charge, the opiniou of the judges was not taken. (Law Times, March 21, 1846, p. 500.) The question was subsequently raised at the trial of the principal in the duel (Reg. v. Hawkey, Hants Summer Ass., 1846), hut Platt B. decided in accordance with the opinion of Erle J. and Rolfe B. The prisoner was acquitted. (Law Times, July 25, 1846, p. 370.) Although in this ease no question could arise as to the competency of the surgeons, or the skill with which the operation was performed, yet the principle involved in the decision, stops all inquiry respecting the necessity of an operation, leaving this to the bond fide judgment of the operator,—and respecting that important question (left undecided in Macmillan's case, ante, p. 306), whether the operation was or was not necessary to save life. Such a rule, if rigoronsly carried out, would undoubtedly shelter many surgical mistakes; and although in this instance the best advice was taken yet as the degree

of skill in the operator is not an iugredient in the prisoner's responsibility, (aute, p. 294,) the decision could hardly be justified upon this ground. Had the operation been performed by the usual attendant of the deceased, it is to be presumed that the result would have been the same; hence it appears, that although an operation might be namecessarily performed and cause death, yet the prisoner would be held responsible for the result. A man has his leg fractured by a blow; a surgeon, acting on a bond fide opinion of danger to life, may amputate the leg; the wounded person dies from slongling of the stump, or some other secondary cause; and an investigation of the facts may show that amputation was not necessary, and that the patient might have recovered without it. Is the person who inflicts the blow to be made re sponsible for the mistake? Is no inquiry to be instituted respecting the propriety or necessity of au operation always dangerous to life? It is obviously impossible that anything can be known to the Court extra-judicially, respecting competency, necessity, or skill in operating on these occasions, until all the facts have been laid before the jury. But to take what may appear to be a nearer parallel to Mr. Seton's case:—A man during a quarrel receives a severe blow in the groin from his adversary; this is followed by a pulsating tumor, which is pronounced to be an ancurism of the femoral artery by three competent surgeons out of five (two dissentient), and the dangerous operation of tying the external iliac is performed. The patient dies in about thirty-six hours from peritonitis, as a direct result of the operation; and after death, it is found that the tumor was not an ancurism, and had uo connection with the femoral artery,—that the tying of the artery was not really required in the opinion of competent surgeons, and that had the case been left to itself, the patient might have recovered. Is the person who struck the blow to be made responsible for the result? Upon the ruling in Pum's case, he would be, for provided the operation was performed with bona fides, the question as to necessity could not be raised. The relative degree of skill possessed by medical men, does not, therefore, become a question for a jury in a criminal case; although in civil cases, as in actions for malapraxis, the whole of the medical facts are invariably submitted to their judgment. ference can only be justified by the assumption, that a man who inflicts a wound, must take all the consequences—good or bad. operation would have been required, but for the injury; and the prisoner ought not to escape on account of want of skill in, or a mistake made on the part of a skilful operator. It was decided both in the case of Rex v. Quain and Reg. v. Pym, that although the indictment alleged that the prisoner died of the wound, while in fact he died of the results of an operation, yet it was good in point of law. The case of Mr. Thourst Norvy shows that the French law also acts upon this principle. This gentleman, who was a physician, had occasion to perform the operation of venesection on a patient. It is alleged, but upon evidence of a very questionable character, that he punctured the brachial artery in opening the vein. Three months afterwards, the patient applied to another practitioner, an officier de santé, one of the lowest grade in France, who declared that an aneurismal tumor existed at the spot where the man was bled. He advised tying the brachial artery, and he subsequently performed the operation; but mortification of the extremity ensued, and the patient was afterwards compelled to undergo amputation. As he was a labouring man, the loss of his arm was so serious, that he was advised by his friends to seek reparation in damages from the physician who first bled him. The trial came on in a Provincial Court, and very heavy damages were awarded against the physician. An appeal was made to a Superior Court, but the sentence was confirmed and the damages were increased. Of this case it may be fairly said, summum jus summa injuria. Admitting that the defendant had been guilty of gross carelessness and criminal inattention in puncturing the brachial artery, there was fair ground to question whether the operation of tying the artery was a uccessary or proper mode of treatment under the circumstances. There is no doubt that the officier de santé acted with bona fides, and took this view; but it seems to have been a case demanding an inquiry into the circumstances which led him to operate; for the operation may have been nunecessary and unjustifiable on the principles of good surgery. It is highly probable that the patient sustained a greater amount of damage at the hands of the surgeon who tied the artery, than of the physician who punctured it.

Erysipelas following operations.—When a wounded person is taken to an hospital in which gangrene or erysipelas is diffusing itself by infectious propagation, and be is attacked by one of these diseases before or after the performance of an operation, and dies, a prisoner may be held responsible for the fatal result. (See ante, page 271.) It might be contended, that the transportation of the wounded man to such a locality was not absolutely necessary to the preservation of his life; and that he would not then have died, but for the accidental presence of an infectious disease. Cases of this kind cannot be easily decided by general rules; but the question has already been raised before a legal tribuual, in a trial which took place at the Maidstone Lent Assizes, 1839. (The Queen against Connell and others.) The deceased was assaulted by a number of soldiers, and received two blows on the head with a stick. The wound was not of any great extent, and the deceased did not appear to suffer much from it. Two days afterwards, he was attacked by erysipelas in the head and face, and he died in about a week. On inspection, there was no appearance of disease. The surgeon referred death to crysipelas, which was prevalent in the hospital at the time the deceased was brought in. The man would probably have recovered but for the attack of erysipelas, and he did not think that he would have been attacked by that disease but for the would. Erysipelas was an infectious disease, and a common consequence of wounds of the head. Under this evidence the prisoners were convicted.

It is sometimes very difficult to establish the connection of erusipelas with the wound, especially when the disease occurs in a remote part of the hody, not implicated in the wound. When this cannot be distinctly made out, there will he an acquittal. The following case was tried before the Justiciary Court at Glasgow, in 1822. A gamekeeper to Lord Blantyre, was indicted for the murder of a poacher, whom he shot so severely in the left arm, that it was found necessary to perform amputation above the elbow. The man died of erysipelas in the right leg; and the question on the trial was, whether the crysipelas was hrought ou hy the gun-shot wound or not. Upon this question, there was great difference of opinion among the medical witnesses. One gave it as his opinion, that the debility caused by the wound brought on the disease of which the deceased died. Another thought that the teudency to crysipelas had existed long before the man received the wound. It appeared in evidence, that the deceased had been out for two nights in the exercise of his vocation, and had slept without shelter,—that during this time he had eaten but little, and above all, that he had a foul ulcer in his leg, the absorption of matter from which, in the opinion of some of the witnesses, had laid the foundation of the disease before the injury was received. "Under all these circumstances," observes the reporter, what would have been the best mode of treatment in such a ease, supposing the deceased had received no wound at all?" "Undoubtedly," he continues, "the very treatment which he did receive in consequence of it - copious bleeding, light diet, and perfect rest: while the counter-irritation from the amoutation, so far from increasing the inflammation which was going on in the groin, must have acted like a hlister or a setou in repressing and counteracting it!" The jury seem to have agreed in this view of the case, for the prisoner was acquitted of the charge. (Beck's Med. Jurisprindence, Wounds.) Taking the circumstances as they are above reported, it certainly did not appear that the erysipelas was directly connected with the wound, and unless this had been clearly and satisfactorily proved, it would have been nujast to have made the prisoner responsible for the fatal consequences. The had habit of hody and the actual existence of disease in the leg, were facts in themselves sufficient to render such an opinion improbable. But in addition to this, it is stated by Alison, that erysipelas was at the time prevalent in the Glasgow Infirmary, and deceased was put into a hed formerly occupied by a patient lahouring under that disorder. Until then, the wound had presented no peculiarly dangerous symptoms.

Questions relative to responsibility in death following operations would come more frequently before Courts of law, were it not that the cases are stopped in the Coroners' courts by a verdict of accidental death. (See Med. Gaz. xix. 157.) It unfortunately happens that on these occasions, there is great difference of opinion among medical witnesses respecting the connection of the disease with the death, or, indeed, the necessity for the operation itself. The evidence of opinion

in favour of the prosecution, is sometimes exactly balanced by that urged in the defence; and under these circumstances, the only alternative left to the court, is to discharge the accused. Differences of opinion upon these subjects among eminent members of the profession, tend to convey to the public, the impression that there are no fixed principles upon which medical opinions are based; and, consequently, that it would be dangerous to act upon them. Thus it is that we are accustomed to hear of a medical prosecution and a medical defence, as if the whole duty of a incdical jurist consisted in his making the best of a case, on the side for which he happens to be engaged, -adopting the legal rule of suppressing those points which are against him, and giving an undue prominence to others which may be in his favour. an unfortunate condition of things, for which at present there appears to be no other resuedy, than that of appointing a Medical hoard of competent persons to whom such questions might be referred, in the same way as questions relative to navigation are referred by the Admiralty Courts to a board formed of members of the Trinity House,-professionally acquainted with the matters in litigation.

Medical responsibility in operations. Malapraxis.—This is a very wide subject, but it can here be only glanced at in a few of its leading features. It was held by Lord Ellenborough, that if a person acting in a medical capacity he guilty of misconduct arising either from the grossest ignorance or the most criminal inattention, by which a patient dies, he is guilty of manslaughter. Faults, such as omissions, or errors in judgment, to which all are liable, are not visited with this amount of criminality. The same rule applies to the licensed as to the unlicensed practitiouer; but it would appear, from the charge of Williams J. (Winchester Spring Ass. 1847), that a degree of unskilfulness which might lead to the conviction of a licensed, would justify the acquittal of an unlicensed person. This was in the case of a midwife, aged 72, alleged to have caused the death of a woman on whom she had been called to attend. "The charge," said the learned judge, "appeared to he, that by want of skill or attention to her duties, she had cansed the death of the woman upon whom she was attending. In order to constitute this offence, it must be shown that the party was guilty of criminal misconduct, either arising from gross ignorance, or want of skill, or gross inattention. With respect to the degree of want of skill, he must say, that it was not to be expected that a midwife who was called in to attend a person in the humble class of the deceased, a soldier's wife, should exhibit what a regular medical practitioner would call competent skill. It was enough if she applied that humble skill which, in ordinary cases, would lead to a safe delivery; she was not bound to have skill sufficient to meet peculiar and extraordinary exigencies, although in the case of a regular medical man, such skill might be required. The class of this humble practitioner, was absolutely necessary for the poorer classes; and, although on the one hand it was fit the law should protect the patient by punishment

for gross want of skill, yet he thought there would be much to be lamented if the law was applied with such severity, as to render a party not possessing skill of this kind liable to punishment for manslaughter."

Charges of manslaughter have frequently been brought against medical practitioners in cases of midwifery. In some instances gross mismanagement has been proved; the uterus, and even parts of the viscera, have been torn away, and in such cases convictious have very properly followed. It is well known, however, that much difference of opinion exists among the most emiuent practitioners of midwifery respecting the treatment to he pursued in certain cases of difficulty, as where the after-birth presents (placenta prævia). There are eminent acconcheurs who advise in this case entirely opposite modes of practice, and who look upon that pursued by the other, as of the most dangerous kind. The case of Reg. v. Dickenson (Stafford Lent Ass. 1846) is in this respect of interest. A medical practitioner was charged with having caused the death of the deceased in her confinement. This appears to have been n case of placenta praevia: the placeuta was removed, hut the female sank under the hæmorrhage which followed. Platt B., after consulting several medical works, charged the jury, that if, in a particular case, there be two modes of treatment respecting the adoption of either of which men of learning are equally divided, then no man can be said to be "grossly ignorant" in adopting a course which has received the approbation of eminent writers, and which his own judgment sanctions and approves. accused was immediately acquitted. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 875.)

When death is not a result of the medical treatment, an action for damages may he hrought against the practitioner for malapraxis. From the evidence given on some of these occasions, it appears that an action of this kind is occasionally resorted to as a very convenient

way of settling a long account.

It has been a question whether slight deviations from the ordinary mode of performing operations should involve a practitioner in a charge of malapraxis. I am not aware that this question has been raised in England; hut a remarkable instance occurred in the United States a few years since, in which an action was brought and damages recovered against a medical man for alleged negligence in vaccinating a young female (case of H. L. Landon). Some cutaneous inflammation followed the operation, which, it was alleged, was performed nearer to the elbow-joint than was usual. The plaintiff soon recovered from The most singular feature of this case was the ruling of the effects. the judge: he said-"In performing the operation of vaccination or inoculation, the physician is liable for all consequences if he neglects the usual precautions, or fails to insert the virus in that part of the arm usually selected for the purpose; notwithstanding many other other parts of the body might be proved to be equally proper and even more suitable locations!" If this be law it is a very singular specimen of transatlantic jurisprudence. It might as well be ruled that a limb should always be taken off with a particular kind of knife, and the hone sawn through with a normal saw; and in case of infringement, that the operator should be made responsible for the result!

The case of Gibbs v. Tunaley, tried at the Norfolk Lent Assizes, 1845, is interesting in relation to this subject. Au action was brought against the defendant, a surgeon, for alleged negligence and want of skill in treating an injury to the foot, which the plaintiff had sustained. Mortification took place, and amputation was necessarily performed. The plaintiff and his witnesses alleged that the mortification was caused by tight bandaging, but the defendant brought good medical evidence to show that it was most prohably due to the extensive violence from the accident (a railway accident), and there is but little doubt that this was the correct view of the ease. Mr. Baron Parke observed in his charge, that they (the jury) were not to expect from a country practitioner the same amount of eminent skill to be met with in large towns: but they had a right to expect from persons so situated, the usual and ordinary amount of skill, care, and attention which it was only reasonable to suppose he would possess: and if, in the discharge of his duty, he applied his professional skill and knowledge to the hest of his ability, then, however unfortunate the termination of the case, he was not to be visited with an action to mulet him for damages. Such a step would he most unjust, and have an injurious tendency, as it would check that independence of action so necessary for medical men to possess. Damages one farthing!

In the case of Baker v. Lowe (Queen's Bench, Feh. 1845), a medical man brought an action against the defendant for the amount of his hill, and the defence was that he had heen unskilfully and improperly treated. The defendant had been attacked with scuile gangrene in the toe; the toe was removed, but the disease involved the foot, and amputation was again performed. The plaintiff had adopted a stimulating plan of treatment in the first instance, which it was alleged was improper. The medical evidence was very conflicting. and the only inference which can be deduced from it, is, that in some cases a stimulating, and in others an antiphlogistic treatment is admissihle. The plaintiff hrought two experienced witnesses who approved of his treatment, and the jury returned a verdict for the greater part of the amount claimed. (See Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 126.) When there is such a division of opinion among men of equal experience, a practitioner has a right to expect that a verdiet will be returned in his favour; since it is not to he supposed that in order to recover payment for a hill, or to answer a charge of unskilfulness, a man's practice should receive the approval of the whole of his professional hrethren, especially in cases where there is an acknowledged difference of opinion respecting the treatment. On this showing, a man would never be able to recover his charges for the treatment of a case of severe hurn or seald; since some practitioners consider it

malapraxis to adopt the stimulating, while others equally regard it as malapraxis to adopt the cooling plan of treatment! All that appears to be expected, is a reasonable accordance with received professional doctrines.

CHAPTER XXXII.

CICATRIZATION OF WOUNDS—EVIDENCE FROM CICATRICES—CHANGES
IN AN INCISED WOUND—IS A CICATRIX ALWAYS A CONSEQUENCE
OF A WOUND?—ARE CICATRICES, WHEN ONCE FORMED, INDELIBLE?—CHARACTERS OF CICATRICES—MEDICAL EVIDENCE
RESPECTING THE PERIOD AT WHICH A WOUND WAS INFLICTED
—CHANGES IN CONTUSIONS—HOW LONG DID THE DECEASED
SURVIVE THE WOUND?—WOUNDS WEONGLY PRONOUNCED TO BE
INSTANTANEOUSLY MORTAL.

Cicatrization of wounds.—The period of time at which a particular wound was inflicted, may become a medico-legal question, both in relation to the living and the dead. The identity of a person, and the correctness of a statement made by an accused party, may be sometimes determined by an examination of the wound or its cleatrix. So, if a dead body be found with marks of violence upon it, and evidence adduced that the deceased was maltreated at some particular period before his death, it will be necessary for a practitioner to state whether, from the appearance of the injuries, they could or could not have been inflieted at or about the time. A case was tried at the Taunton Spring Assizes, 1841 (the Queen against Raynon), wherein evidence of this kind served to disprove the statement made by the accused. He was charged with maliciously cutting and wounding the prosceutrix. There was a cut upon his thumb, which he accounted for by saying it was from an accident that occurred three weeks before. The medical witness declared, on examining it, that it could not have been done more than two or three days, which brought the period of its infliction to about the time of the murderous assault. This and other eircumstances led to his conviction.

An incised wound inflicted on the living body gradually heals by adhesion, when no circumstances interfere to prevent the union of the edges. For eight or ten hours the edges remain bloody,—they then begin to swell, showing the access of inflammation. If the parts be not kept well in contact, a secretion of a serous liquid is poured out for about thirty-six or forty-eight hours. On the third day this secretion acquires a purulent character. On the fourth and fifth days, suppuration is fully established, and it lasts five, six, or eight days.

A fibrous layer, which is at first soft and easily broken down, then makes its appearance hetween the cdges:—this causes them gradually to unite, and thus is produced what is termed a cicatrix. Cicatrization is complete about the twelfth or fifteenth day, when the wound is simple, of little depth, and only affecting parts endowed with great vitality. The length of time required for these changes to ensue will depend-1. On the situation of the wound,—wounds on the lower extremities are longer in healing than those on the upper part of the If the wound he situated near a joint, so that the edges are coutinually separated by the motion of parts, cicatrization is retarded. 2. On the extent. Wounds involving many and different structures, are longer in healing than those simply affecting the skin and muscles. 3. On the age and health of the wounded party;—the process of cicatrization is slow in those who are diseased or infirm. In an incised wound, the cicatrix is generally straight and regular; hut it is semilunar if the ent he oblique. It is soft, red, and tender if cicatrization be recent: it is hard, white, and firm if of long standing. compressing the skin around an old cicatrix, its situation and form are well marked by the blood not cutering into it on removing the pressure. It has been said that the cicatrices of incised wounds are linear, but that is not always the case; in general, they are more or less elliptical, being wider in the centre than at the two ends,—this appears to be due principally to the elasticity of the skin and the convexity of the subjacent parts: thus it is well known that in every wound on the living body the edges are much separated in the centre, and this physical condition influences the process of cicatrization. When the wound is in a hollow surface, or over a part where the skin is not stretched, as in the axilla or groin, then the cicatrix may be linear or of equal width throughout. If there were any loss of substance in an incised wound, or if the wound were lacerated or contused, the cieatrix would be irregular, and the healing would proceed by granulation. The process might here occupy five, six, or eight weeks, according to circumstances. When healed, the cicatrix would be white, and have a puckered appearance; the surface of the skiu would be uneven. (See an essay on this subject hy Dr. Krügelstein, Hicuke Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, ii. 75.)

Is a cicatrix always a consequence of a wound?—If we here use the term wound in the sense in which it is commonly employed in jurist rudence,—i. e. where the hreach of continuity affects the layers of the true skin, a cicatrix is always produced in the process of healing. In even cuts made hy a very sharp instrument, especially if they be in the direction of the fihres of subjacent muscles, and the parts he kept in close apposition, the cicatrices are even, linear, and sometimes so small as to be scarcely perceptihle. If, hesides, the skin be white, they may he easily overlooked. Wounds of this kind are not, however, commonly the subject of a medico-legal inquiry. If, then, on examining a part where at some previous time a stab or a cut is

alleged to have been received, we find no mark or cicatrix, it is fair to assume that the allegation is false, and that no wound has been inflicted, making due allowance for the fact that mere abrasions of the cuticle, or very slight punctures and incisions, often heal without leaving any well-marked cicatrix.

Is a cicatrix, when once formed, ever removed, or so altered by time as to be no longer recognizable? - This is rather an important question, which sometimes presents itself to a medical jurist both in civil and criminal proceedings. They who have given close attention to this subject, agree in considering that cicatrices, when they are once so produced in the cutis as to be easily perceptible, are indelible: -they undergo no sensible alteration in their form or other external The tissue of which a cicatrix is formed, is different from that of the skin; it is harder and less vascular, and is destitute of rete mucosum, so that its whiteness, which is particularly remarkable on the cicatrized skin of a negro, is retained through life. If any ciratrices were easily obliterated, it would be those which are even and regular,—the results of incised wounds by sharp instruments; but from my own observation, I can undertake to any that cicatrices of this kind have certainly retained their characters unchanged in one instance for twenty, and in another for twenty-five years. According to the observations of Dupuytren and Delpeeli, the substance of a cicatrix is not converted into true skin-it never acquires a rete mucosum. In the cicatrices of lacerated and contused wounds, the form of the weapon with which the wound was inflicted, is sometimes indicated. It is not, however, easy to distinguish the cicatrix of a stab from that produced by a pistol-bullet fired from a distance. In both cases the edges may be rounded and irregular, unless the stab has been produced by a broad-bladed weapon.

Characters of cicatrices.—It is important to observe that all cicatrices are of smaller size than the original wound; for there is a contraction of the skin during the process of healing. This is especially observed with regard to the cicatrix of a stab. The recent wound, as it has been elsewhere stated (ante, p. 218), is apparently smaller than the weapon; and the resulting cicatrix is always smaller than the wound. Hence it is difficult to judge of the size of the weapon from an examination of the cicatrix. In gun-shot wounds, if the projectile has been lired from a distance, the cicatrix is of less diameter than the ball:-it represents a disk depressed in the centre, and attached to the parts beneath; while the skin is in a state of tension from the centre to the circumference. If the bullet have been fired near the body.-the cicatrix is large, deep, and very irregular. If the projectile have made two apertures, the aperture of exit is known by the greater size and irregularity of the cicatrix.

When was the wound inflicted?—When an individual is not seen until after death, and there are recent wounds on his body, a medical jurist may be required to state at what period they were probably

inflicted. It may be taken as a general rule that there are no appreciable changes in any wound until eight, ten, or twelve hours have elapsed from the time of its infliction; then we have the various phenomena of inflammation, followed by adhesion, suppuration, or gaugrene, during any of which stages the wounded person may die. Some remarks have already been made on the time at which adbesion and suppuration hecome established in wounds; and with respect to gangerene it may be observed, that the deceased must have survived at least fifty hours, in order that this process should be set up:—in old persons it may take place earlier.

In examining a dead body, we must take carefined to confound the effects of putrefaction in a wound with those of gangrene. Putrefaction always commences sooner in parts which are wounded than in those which are uninjured; but the general appearance of the body will show whether or not the changes in the wound are due to putrefaction. The collapse of the eye will indicate the existence of this process; but the presence of warmth or rigidity of the members will show that death may have been too recent for putrefaction to have become established. The time at which a severe contusion has been produced, may be commonly determined by noting the changes of colour which take place around it. It is rarely until after the lapse of twenty-four or thirty-six hours that these changes of colour appear. (See Ecchymosis, ante, p. 206.) The livid circumference passes into a green circle, which is gradually diffused iuto a wide straw-yellow band, bounding the ecchymosis on every side, if it be in a free or loose part of the skin. In four, five, or six days, the dark livid colour slowly disappears from the circumference to the centre, while the coloured bands spread more widely around. A central dark spot may be perceived after ten days or a fortnight, and in a very extensive ecchymosis, it is some weeks before all traces of it are lost. rapidity of these changes will be modified by circumstances, elsewhere stated. Observations of this kind often lead to useful results when proper caution has been taken. The appearance of a contusion inflicted recently before death, and of another inflicted some days before. is of course different; and by an appreciation of this difference, a person charged with murder may or may not be connected with one or the other period of infliction, or with both. In a case of alleged manslaughter, in which I was consulted some years since, there were found on the person of the deceased, the wife of a mechanic, the marks of severe bruises; some of them in the immediate neighbourhood of each other, had the rings of colour peculiar to a disappearing eachymosis, while others had not. The man alleged in his defence that he had only struck his wife once, a few hours before her death, whereas the above medical facts proved not only that the deceased had been struck more than once, but that some of the blows must have been inflicted prohably several days before her death. These inferences were corroborated by the evidence of an apprentice who had witnessed the assaults.

Such is an outline of the facts which may occasionally enable us to say how long before death particular injuries have been received; or to assign a probable period for their infliction on the living. By them we may be able to determine, whether two wounds found on a dead body were or were not inflicted at or about the same time. The law in these cases seldom requires a very close medical opinion; indeed, it would be scarcely possible to give this under any circumstances. If a medical witness can only state about what time the injury was inflicted, circumstantial evidence will make up for the want of great medical precision or accuracy on the point.

medical precision or accuracy on the point.

How long did the deceased survive?—This question, it will be perceived, is indirectly connected with the preceding, although sometimes put with an entirely different object. Supposing the would not to have been such as to prove rapidly fatal, the length of time which a person has survived its infliction, may be determined by noting whether it has undergone any changes towards healing, and in what degree. As a wound remains in the same state for about eight or ten hours after its production, it is not possible to say within this period how long the person may have survived. Then it has been supposed, that a medical opinion might always be formed from the nature of the injury, and the parts which it has involved. wound may have involved large blood-vessels, or organs important to life: in this case it is pretty certain that the individual must have died speedily. Let us, however, bear in mind, that these so-prononneed rapidly mortal wounds do not often prove fatal for some hours or days ;--- a fact which has been much overlooked by surgeons, although of considerable importance in relation to the medical jurisprudence of wounds.

Wounds of the carotid arteries are often pronounced instantaneously mortal. A witness may deliberately state that the person could not possibly have survived an instant. This is a very hazardons opinion, for it occasionally comes out, on inquiry, that if such a wound were instantaneously mortal, then, in defiance of every rational probability, or of the strongest presumptive evidence to the contrary,—the deceased must have been murdered! A medical opinion of this kind has not only been refuted by eircumstances, but by the evidence of eve-witnesses. The medical witness is then, perhaps, compelled to admit that his rules for judging of the mortality of wounds are wrong, and that the person may have survived for a longer or sborter period. Formerly it was the enstom to say, that every peuetrating wound of the heart was instantaneously mortal, and that the person must have dropped down dead on the spot; but more accurate observations have shown that this is an erroneous, and in medico-legal practice, a highly dangerous doctrine. If a man were found dead, and on inspection it was ascertained that he had heen stabled through the left ventriele of the heart, it would prohably he said, in answer to a question relative to survivorship, that he must have died instantly. Yet it is well known that the Duke of Berri, who was murdered in Paris in 1820, survived eight hours after having received a wound of this description. Other and more remarkable instances of survivorship will be adduced hereafter; in the meantime it may be stated, that, although in a surgical view, a question of this kind is of little importance, the case is very different in legal medicine. Upon it may depend the decision of questions relative to suicide, murder, or justifiable homicide.

These observations apply with great force to injuries of the head. Cases have been frequently brought into Guy's Hospital, where a patient who had received a blow on the head has survived several hours or days; and after death, such injury to the cranium has been found, as would, if the person had been seen only when dead, have probably given rise to a medical opinion, that he must have died instantly. On the other hand, a person may fall lifeless from a blow which would produce no appreciable physical changes in the cranium or its contents; yet in this case, if the facts had been unknown, it would have been said the person might have survived some hours or days. Thus, then, we see that it is hy no means easy to determine, from an examination of a wound in a dead body, how long the person actually lived after its infliction. By this remark it must not be understood that an opinion on this subject is never to be expressed from the nature and extent of an injury, but what should be impressed upon a medical jurist is, that it must not he hastily given; for a groundless suspicion of murder may be thereby excited against some innocent person. A wound may be mortal, but it by no means follows that it should bave destroyed life instantaneously. As an illustration of the evil results of the practice of giving these hasty judicial opinious, I may take a case which, to my knowledge, has occurred twice under almost similar circumstances. A man is found dead in his chamber with his throat cut, and the incision is proved to involve one or both carotid arterics. The medical inference is that he must have fallen dead on the spot, and that he could not have survived an instaut. If this be true, the weapon ought, of course, to be found either in the hand of the deceased or close to his body; hnt it is lying in another room, and there are marks of blood between the two What, then, is the conclusion? Either that the medical opinion is erroncous, and the deceased could not have dropped down dead instantly; or that he must have heen murdered! This is, of course, a most serious alternative; and unless circumstances tend to expose the error of the medical statement, irreparable injury may be done to an innocent person The medical opinion has always given way when circumstances refuting it appeared; hut it is the duty of a medical jurist to profit by such errors,—to apply his opinions with greater caution to similar cases, and not to wait for their refutation by incontrovertible facts.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

ACTS INDICATIVE OF VOLITION AND LOCOMOTION—INJURIES TO THE HEAD NOT HMMEDIATELY FATAL—WOUNDS OF THE HEART NOT IMMEDIATELY FATAL—WOUNDS OF THE CAROTID ARTERIES—LOCOMOTION AT RUPTURES OF THE DIAPHRAGM AND BLADDER—SUMMARY.

Acts indicative of volition and locomotion.—In cases of death from wounds criminally inflicted, it is often a matter of serious inquiry, whether a person could have performed certain actions, or have moved after receiving an injury which is commonly regarded us necessarily mortal, and likely to destroy life speedily. In respect to wounds of a less grave description, if we except those affecting the members directly, which will be hereafter examined, the power of willing and moving in the person who has received them, cannot be disputed. The hest way of treating this subject will be, perhaps, to select a few cases of severe injuries to important parts or organs, which are usually considered to destroy life speedily. The question relative to the power of excreising volition and locomotion, has been chiefly confined to those cases in which there were injuries to the head, wounds of the heart, the large blood-vessels, the diaphragm, and bladder.

Injuries to the head not immediately futal.—The following case occurred a few years since in the Norfolk and Norwich Hospital:—A boy, owing to the bursting of a gun, had the breech-pin lodged in his forehead. He got out of a cart, in which he had been brought four or five miles, and walked into the hospital without assistance. The pin was firmly impacted in the frontal bone about the situation of the longitudiual sinus. On its removal, a portion of brain came away with several pieces of bone, and the aperture in the cranium was nearly an inch in diameter. Symptoms of come then came on, and the boy died in forty-eight hours. The brain was found to be cousiderably injured. (Med. Gaz. xviii, 458.) Mr. Watson mentious a similar case. During a quarrel between father and son, the latter threw a poker at the former with such violence that the head of the noker stuck fast in his forchead, and was with some difficulty withdrawn. The father asked those who were near him to withdraw the weapon, and he was afterwards able to walk to the infirmary. He died from inflammation of the brain. (On Homicide, 62.) A case occurred to Dr. Wallace, of Dublin, in which a man fell from a seaffold on the summit of his head. He was stunned by the fall, but on reaching the hospital, dismounted from the car which conveyed him. and walked up stairs with very little assistance. He died in three days, but he remained perfectly rational, and was enabled to get up and go to the water-closet the day before his death. On inspection, there was only a slight abrasion on the vertex, but the skull was found split into two noarly equal halves from the frontal hone backwards, through the sagittal suture to the foramen magnum. The longitudinal sinus was laid open throughout. In both hemispheres, there was a large quantity of effused blood in a semi-coagulated state; and more than two ounces were found at the base of the skull. (Lancet, April 1836.) Supposing this person have been found dead with such extensive injuries, the medical operion would probably have been, that he was not likely to have lived or moved afterwards; and yet the power of volition and locomotion remained with him for two entire days!

Dr. Cunningham, of Hailsham, mentions the case of a hoy who met with an accident while firing a pistol. The pistol burst, and there was no doubt that the breech had entered the brain, although it could he no where perceived. The boy remained sensible for two days; and, although some amendment took place, he died twentyfour days after the accident. The breech of the pistol, weighing nine drachnis, was found lying on the tentorium; and the brain was much disorganized. (Lancet, July 1838.) In this case the boy was shot completely through the brain, a heavy mass of iron having traversed that organ, but he was not even rendered insensible hy so serious a wound. (See also Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 38.) The medical opinion in an abstract question of this kind, is commonly based on individual experience; but the question really is, not whether the wituess himself may have seen such a case, but whether such a condition of things is possible. If all opinions in a Court of law were to be founded on individual experience only, many medical facts, important either to the prosecution or defence, would he lost; because the witness hy mere accident might never have met with an instance which presented them.

The importance of this inquiry will he further seen by the following medico-legal case, reported by Dr. Wallace:—A man was found dead in a stable, with a severe fracture of the temporal bone, which had caused a rupture of the middle meningeal artery. A companion was accused of having murdered him, but he alleged that the deceased had fallen from his horse the day before, and met with the accident. It appeared, however, that after the fall, the deceased had gone into a public-house before he returned to the stables, and remained there some time drinking. The question respecting the guilt of the accused party rested upon the fact, whether, after such an extensive fracture of the skull, with extravasation of hlood, it was possible for a man to do what the prisoner had represented the deceased to have done. Dr. Wallace gave, very properly, a qualified opinion; he said it was improbable, but not impossible, that the deceased could, after receiv-

ing such an injury, have gone and drunk at a public-house. The extravasation was here the immediate cause of death, and probably this did not take place to the full extent, except as a consequence of the excitement from drink.

It is easy to conceive many cases in which this question will be of material importance. For instance, a man may fall from a height, and produce a severe compound fracture of the skull. He may, nevertheless, be able to rise and walk some distance before he falls dead. Under these circumstances there might he a strong disposition to assert, that the deceased must have been murdered:—the injuries being such that the could not have been produced by himself, there being at the same time no weapon near, and no height from which it

might be supposed that he had fallen.

Wounds of the heart not immediately fatal.—Wounds of the heart were formerly considered to be immediately fatal to life, but this only applies to those wounds by which the cavities of the organ are extensively laid open. Persons who have sustained wounds of the heart, have frequently lived sufficiently long to exercise the powers of volition and locomotion. Mr. Watson met with a case where a man who had been stabbed in the right ventricle, ran eighteeen yards after having received the wound. He theu fell, but was not again able to rise; he died in six hours. On dissection, it was found that a punctured wound had extended into the right ventricle in an obliquely transverse direction, dividing in its course the coronary artery. The pericardium was nearly filled with blood, and about four pounds were extravasated on the left side of the thorax. (Ou Homicide, 98.) One of the most remarkable instances of the preservation of volition and locomotion after a severe wound of the heart, will be found reported in the Medical Gazette, (xiv. 344.) In this case, the patient, a boy, survived five weeks, and employed himself during that period in various occupations. After death, a mass of wood was found lodged in the substance of the heart. Had this boy heen found dead with such an injury, it is most probable the opinion would have been that his death was instantaneous.

In these cases, little or no blood probably escapes from the heart in the first instance, but it may afterwards continue to cooze gently, or suddenly burst out in fatal quantity. It must not, therefore, he supposed when a person is found dead, with a wound of the heart, attended with abundant hemorrhage, either that the flow of blood took place in au instant, or that the person died immediately and was utterly incapable of exercising any voluntary power. Only one circumstance will justify a supposition of this kind; namely, where the cavities of the organ, more especially the auricles, are largely laid open. The following case, reported by oue of the editors of Beck's Medical Jurisprudence, will show the importance of this medico-legal question. It was

here material to the defence of the prisoner.

The keeper of a brothel was tried in Glasgow, in the year 1819, for the murder of a sailor, by shooting him through the ehest. It

appeared from the evidence of the medical witnesses, that the aurieles and part of the aorta next to the heart, were "shattered to atoms," by the slugs and brass nails with which the piece was charged; and in their opinion, the deceased must have dropped down dead on the mo-The body was found in the street, ment that he received the shot. and the door of the prisoner's house was eighteen feet up an entry: so that it followed, if the medical opinion were correct, that the prisoner must have run after the deceased, and have shot him in the street. For the presoner it was urged and proved, that he had shot the deceased through the door of his own house, which the latter was attempting to enter by force. Besides direct testimony to the effect from those within the house, and from a lad who was with the deceased at the time, it was proved that there was a stream of blood from the door of the house to the spot where the hody lay, which could not have flowed from the hody towards the house, as the threshold of the door was on a higher level than the pavement of the street. On this evidence, the prisoner was acquitted. If, by the heart "being shattered to atoms," we are to understand that its cavities were entirely laid open, and its substance destroyed, we have a description of wound which most professional men would not hesitate to prouounce instantaneously mortal. uothing is stated on the point, yet we must suppose it was proved, hefore the question of survivorship was raised, that the hody of the deceased could not have been dragged after death from the door of the prisoner's house to the spot where it was found; a circumstance which would have sufficed to account for the presence of a stream of blood. notwithstanding the difference of level between the street and the door of the house. The question was of importance to the prisoner, inasmuch as if he had shot the deceased while the latter was cudeavouring to break into his house, the homicide might have been regarded as exeusable; but if, after the deceased had left the house, he had run into the street and shot him, then probably this would have been considered sufficient evidence of malice to have justified a verdict of wilful murder. The jury adopted the first view of the case; and, therefore, found that the deceased had actually run into the street, after having heen shot through the door of the prisoner's house.

Wounds of the carotid arteries not immediately fatal.—Questions relative to the power of locomotion, perhaps more frequently occur with respect to wounds of the great blood-vessels of the neck, than of the heart,—suicide and murder heing more commonly perpetrated by this means. Among numerous facts connected with this subject are the following. In April, 1842, a man named Cook, living at Bristol, cut the throat of his sister, and afterwards committed suicide by cutting his own. The woman was found lying dead in the garden at some distance from the house, while it was ohvions, from the weapon and a quantity of blood being found near a chair in the kitchen, where she was in the habit of sitting, that the wound must have heen inflicted there. On an inspection, it was ascertained that the right carotid ar-

tery and jugular vein, with the trachea and esophagus, were completely divided; yet this woman had been able to escape from the house. The matter excited some astonishment at the inquest, from its being supposed that all such wounds ought instantly to deprive a person of the

power of moving.

This question had previously become a subject of judicial examination on a trial for murder at the Warwick Lent Assizes, 1832 (Rev v. Danks). On the evening on which the innrder was alleged to have been committed, the prisoner and the deceased were seen to proceed together to a hovel by the side of a public road. Cries of distress were heard, and between eight and nine o'clock the next morning, the body of the deceased was brought home, having been found lying in the road, at a distance of twenty-three yards from the hovel. From the quantity of blood found in the hovel, it was evident that some one had been severely wounded there. The blood was traced from this place to a gate, some yards from it on the road-side, and over that gate to the spot where the deceased lay. The prisoner was speedily appreheuded, and on his clothes were found some marks of blood. On his being committed to gaol after the inquest, he made the following confession to a constable. He said, that he and the deceased had walked together to the hovel, and were there for about a quarter of an hour, when he knocked her down by striking her on the temple. He fell on her back, and held her under him: he cut her throat, but this did not prevent her erying; he cut her again, and then she ceased crying, so that he thought she was dead. He then left the place, got over the gate, and went up the road. When about one hundred yards from the hovel, he thought he heard the footsteps of a man coming after him, but upon turning round he saw nobody.

The evidence respecting the condition in which the body was found. was as follows. The constable deposed, that when he came to the spot, he perceived the deceased lying on her face with one arm under her; it was impossible for any one, as the body lay, to see that the throat was cut. The deceased's cap was off her head, and part of it stuck to the blood on her face. There was a large quantity of blood on the straw in the hovel; there were marks of blood on the woodwork at the side of the hovel, and also from this to the gate. On the bars of the gate, there was a quantity of blood; and on the topmost bar were marks, as though it had been pressed by a heavy hand. The evidence of a surgeon who was called to see the body, was to the effect, that he found at the upper part of the throat, near the left angle of the jaw, a gaping incised wound, about seven inches in length and three in depth. It extended posteriorly to the commencement of the esophagus, and passed obliquely down the right side of the neck as far as the fourth cervical vertebra. The trunk of the carotid artery and all the principal branches of the external carotid, with the jugulars. were divided; and, in his opinion, such a wound must have occasioned death immediately, or within a very short time, -so short, as to render

it highly improbable, but not impossible, that the woman could have gone the distance of twenty-three yards, hesides getting over the gate, in that dreadful condition. There was another incised wound, two incbes below the former, in front of the throat, but not deep enough to divide the trachea;—with that wound alone, the witness admitted, the deceased might have heen able to reach her home. The evidence of this gentleman, as to the nature of the wounds and the almost utter impossibility of the larger one having been inflicted in the hovel, was given hefore the prisoner's voluntary confession. The prisoner was found guilty of the murder and executed. (Med. Gaz. x. 183.)

The following additional particulars were subsequently ascertained. The wound first inflicted by the prisoner, was quite inconsiderable; it was situated at the lower part of the neck, within an inch of the clavicle, and was very superficial; the integuments were merely cut through, and the cartilage of the trachea was searcely grazed. From such a wound, the quantity of blood in the hovel could not possibly On the other hand, there would have been from the second and more severe wound, a most copious flow of blood, which must have been thrown off by the prominence of the deceased's abdomen to the side of the hovel, as she passed along to the gate; then on the gate a similar transfer of blood was manifest, and there were the marks in blood of both her hands. The prisoner's hand was also From several trials, it marked on the gate, but at a different place. was determined that it took from fifteen to twenty seconds to pass from the hovel, where the wound was alleged to have been inflicted, to the spot where the hody was found. The obstruction of the gate, which was three feet ten inches in height, was considered to be conivalent to eight or ten yards more. There was scarcely any blood hetween the gate and the place where the body lay; but this was accounted for on the probable supposition, that in running the deceased had closed the wound with her cap,-at the same time, perhaps, assisting this closure hy holding down her head. A large quantity of blood had flowed down the fore part of her hody, and had lodged about the lower part of the abdomen. - a fact which seems to show that she must have been in the erect posture, either when it was inflicted or afterwards. Much blood had also been absorbed by the under garments. estimating the time that elapsed from the infliction of the wound, till the unfortunate woman dropped, supposing it to have been inflicted in the hovel, there are other circumstances which require to be taken into consideration. We cannot conceive that the deceased rose to run away on the instant she received the wound,—she may have lain several seconds, at least until the prisoner himself had left the hovel, and several more may have passed before she could have risen from the ground. Lastly, it may be added, that the prisoner declared, to the hour of his execution, that he never touched the woman except in the hovel where he left her for dead. Sneh, theu, are the particulars of this singular case. From a eareful review of the facts, however

contrary to ordinary experience the admission may appear, we are constrained to admit, that the deceased actually survived a sufficient length of time to run the distance described. *We can only deny this by disbelieving the facts; for, independently of the prisoner's declaration, the quantity of blood found in the hovel was such that it could not have proceeded from the superficial wound at the lower part of the neck, but must have come from some considerable vessels. Now if, with the knowledge of this circumstance, it be supposed that the mortal wound was inflicted on the spot where the deceased's body lay, still the difficulty is rendered greater, because then the deceased must have run to the hovel to have bled, and back again. Nor can we imagine that her body was conveyed after death from the hovel to the place where it was found by the prisoner, since the marks of her hands on the gate show that she must have been living at the time; besides there could be no possible motive for the prisoner earrying her, either living or dead, twenty-three yards on the road. In short, no explanation will suit the eireumstances, but that which allows that the deceased actually exerted her powers of volition and locomotion.

The following ease of voluntary locomotion after a severe wound, was communicated to me by a friend. It will be seen that the distance traversed was much less. In October, 1833, a man committed snieide while walking along Oxford Street, by cutting his throat with a razor. After having inflieted the wound, he was observed to hold a handkerchief to his neek, and run forwards. He fell dead on the pavement, having rnu about four yards from the spot where he wounded himself, The razor was found firmly grasped in his hand. On an examination of the body, it was ascertained that the carotid artery and several of its branches, with the jugular vein on one side, as also the trachea, had been completely cut through. The surgeon gave it as his opinion, at the inquest, that from the ebaracter of the wound, the deceased must bave fallen dead on the spot; and, although it was possible that he might have run so far as stated after the infliction of the wound, yet such a eireumstance would be quite nnusual. The truth is, ques. tions of this nature, like those relating to the mortality of wounds in general, are only to be decided by reference to a very large sphere of experience. Cases like these may be rare; but still they prove that we should be very guarded in denying that acts indicating volitiou and locomotion have been performed by a deceased party, however severe the wound which has been the cause of death.

There is one circumstance which requires to be mentioned in relation to severe wounds in the *throat*, namely, that although the individual may have the power of locomotion, he may not be able to use his voice so as to call for assistance. It sometimes excites surprise at an inquest, how a murder may in this way be committed without persons in an adjoining room hearing any noise;—but the fact is well known medically, that when the trachea is divided, as it frequently is on these occasions, the voice is lost.

Locomotion after ruptures of the diaphraym.—A rupture of the diaphragm has been considered sufficient to deprive a person of the power of locomotion: but there appears to be no good ground for this opinion. The general effect of such an injury may be to incapacitate a person; but the question is put to a medical jurist, as to the possibility of a wounded party being able to move or walk after the injury. In the following case, reported by Devergie, the answer was material:—An intoxicated man, after having been maltreated by another, returned home, walking for at least two hours with two companions. The man died in fifteen hours; and, on inspection, among other severe injuries, there was found a recent longitudinal rupture of the diaphragm about two inches and a half in extent, and the stomach protruded through the aperture. The question was, - When could this rupture have taken place, for it was undoubtedly the cause of death? Was it possible for a person, with a recent rupture of the diaphragm, to walk for two hours? If this power of locomotion were admitted, then it followed that it might have been caused by the man who first ill-treated the deceased; -if not, then that the injury had been probably caused by the deceased's two companions, for it did not appear that he had been in company with any other person. medical witness admitted the possibility of the deceased being able to walk under the circumstances, but he thought it very improbable. There was not the smallest evidence to show that the deceased had been attacked or beaten by his two companions in journeying homewards; and, therefore, there could be no just reason for inferring their guilt, simply because locomotion after such an injury was something uuusual as a matter of medical experience. This injury is far from being immediately or even speedily fatal, as was formerly supposed. In January 1847, a case was communicated to the Pathological Society of London, concerning which the following particulars were ascertained. A man fell from a height of about twenty feet. He had fruetured both arms, and had sustained other severe injuries. On the day after admission into the hospital, he complained of a fixed defined pain on the left side. He survived about thirteen weeks. On inspection, the diaphragm was found lacerated in two places: in one to the extent of an inch, and in the other to the extent of six inches. (Med. Gaz., xxxix. 205.) In a case admitted into Guy's Hospital, a few years since, the patient survived the only accident which could have produced the rupture for at least nine months. The man had fallen on the deck of a vessel, from a great height, six months prior to his admission. rihs were fractured, and one ankle was so injured as ultimately to render amputation necessary. The man sank under the operation three months after admission, and on inspection it was found that the stomach and the colon occupied the left side of the chest, having protruded through an aperture in the muscular part of the diaphragm, two and a half inches in extent. It was evidently of old standing, as the aperture was cicatrized and the omentum adhered to it. The

existence of this injury was quite nnexpected; and, at the time of admission, there was nothing to interfere with locomotion and exertion

except the injury to the ankle. (G. H. Rep. 1838, p. 368.)

Locomotion after ruptures of the bladder .- In ruptures of the bladder, attended with extravasation of urine, the same question as to the existence of a power of locomotion has arisen. By the answer to this, we may sometimes determine whether the rupture was the result of homicide or accident. The following cases will show that this power does exist in some instances, although the general result is perhaps to incapacitate the individual from moving. A man, aged thirty-ouc, while iutoxicated, received a blow on the lower part of his abdomen. He was sobered by the accident, and walked home, a distance of a quarter of a mile, although suffering the greatest agony. When seen in the evening, twelve ounces of bloody urine were drawn off by a catheter, and he complained of having felt cold immediately after he had received the blow. He died four days after the accident. On inspection, there was no mark of bruise or cechymosis ou any part of the abdomen. The bladder was ruptured in its upper and posterior portion for about an inch. (Lancet, May 14, 1842.) The second case was related to me by a pupil. A gentleman who had been compelled to retain his urine, fell accidentally in descending a staircase, with the lower part of his abdomen against the edge of one of the steps. The sense of fuluess in his bladder immediately ceased, and he walked to a friend's house to dinner. The nature of the accident was mentioned to a surgeon there present, who immediately suspected that the hladder must have become ruptured. This case terminated fatally in twenty-four hours. A case is reported by Mr. Hird in which a man walked a distance of two miles after having sustained a rupture of the bladder. (Lancet, Oct. 31, 1846, 480.) Thus, then, from these three instances, it is evident that locomotion and muscular exertiou may take place after an accident of this description.

The medico-legal relations of this subject will be apparent from the following case, reported by Mr. Syme. A man passed some honrs convivially with a few friends, after which a quarrel cusued, blows were exchanged, and the parties wrestled with each other. ceased then walked home, a distance of more than a mile; and in crossing the threshold of his own door, he fell forwards on his abdo-When lifted up he complained of great pain, and was put to bed, being quite unable to exert himself. He died in two days, and upon dissection the bladder was found ruptured at its fundus to the extent of between two and three inches. Under these circumstances it became a question whether the rupture was caused by the violence of his companions, or by the accidental fall at the door of his own If by his companious, he must have walked more than a mile with his bladder ruptured; but two medical witnesses declared that he could not have walked this distance after the rupture, and consequently that it must have been occasioned by the subsequent fall. The symp-

toms of rupture and extravasation occurring immediately after the fall, rendered it highly probable that this accident was really the cause." At the same time it is obvious that the power of locomotion may be exerted under such circumstances to a much greater extent than is

commonly supposed.

The question is sometimes restricted to the mere possibility of survivance for a given period without active exertion. If the power of locomotion be retained under severe injuries to important organs, there can be uo difficulty in supposing that life may continue for a longer or shorter time when the individual remains in a quiescent state. Tardieu has lately reported a case in which, under attempted abortion, the uterus was extensively lacerated, and the greater part of the small intestines had been torn away. These injuries had caused abundant hæmorrhage, but the woman was reported to have lived three quarters of an hour, and to have cried out during that time. Some medical witnesses thought that such violence could not bave been sustained without leading to immediate death. A review of the facts, however, and an examination of parallel cases, satisfied Dr. Tardien that the deceased might have snrvived and eried out in the manner deposed to by the witnesses. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1848, i. 157.) The eases contained in this chapter fully corroborate the opinion formed by M. Tardieu. A witness must always be prepared to make full allowance for the survivance of persons severely wounded.

Summary. - Under survivance from many severe accidents or personal injuries, this power of moving, if not exerted to a large extent, may take place in a small degree, and this may become occasionally an important question in legal medicine. Thus it must not be lost sight of, when we are drawing inferences as to the relative position of a murderer and a murdered person from the situation in which the body of the deceased is found. A dead man, with a mortal injury to the head or heart, may be found lying on his face, when he actually fell upon his back, but still had had sufficient power to turn over before death, or he may bave fallen on his face, and have afterwards moved, so that the body may be found lying on the back. motion of this kind is very easily executed,—it does not always depend on volition. Individuals suffering under severe concussion, have been frequently known to perform acts unconsciously and automatically.

The eases above related may perhaps be considered rare, and as exceptions to the general rule. The medical jurist must bear in mind, however, that he is not required to state in how many, out of a given number of individuals similarly wounded, this power of performing acts, indicative of volition and locomotion, may remain; but simply whether the performance of these acts be or be not possible. on this point only that the law requires information. The hypothesis of guilt, when we are compelled to judge from circumstances in an nuknown case, can only be received by an exclusion of every other possible explanation of the facts. As a matter of surgical prognosis

or treatment, such cases, from their rare occurrence, may have little influence; but in legal medicine the question is widely different. Medical facts, however rare, here admit of a very important and un-

expected application.

Although in cases of severe wounds, we may allow it to be possible that persons should survive for a sufficiently long period to perform ordinary acts of volition and locomotion, yet the presence of a mortal wound, especially when liable to be accompanied by great hæmorrhage, must prevent all struggling or violent exertion on the part of the wounded person,—such exertion we must consider to be quite incompatible with his condition. In this way, a medical jurist may be able to determine whether a mortal wound found on the deceased has been inflicted for the purpose of murder, or in self-defence, as the following case, reported by Mr. Watson, will show. was tried at the Lancaster Assizes in 1834, for the murder of a woman at Liverpool, by stabbing her in the chest. Prisoner and the deceased, with two other females, were quarrelling in the passage of a house. A struggle ensued between the prisoner and deceased, which one of the witnesses said lasted for ten minutes. When the prisoner had reached the door, he pulled out a knife and stabbed the deceased in the She fell, and died almost immediately. The prisoner alleged tbat he was attacked by several persous, and that he stabbed the The judge said, if the blow had been struck woman in self-defence. with premeditation before the struggle, the crime would be murder :if during the struggle, it would be manslaughter. The medical evidence showed that the blow could not have been struck before the struggle, because it was of a speedily mortal nature; and the deceased would not then have been able, as it was deposed to by the witnesses. to struggle and exert her strength with the prisoner for ten minutes afterwards. This being the ease, it followed that in all medical probability the deceased had received the blow towards the conclusion of the quarrel; and therefore it might have been inflicted while the prisoner was attempting to defend himself. The jury returned a verdict of manslaughter. In reference to this question see case (ante, page 325.)

CHAPTER XXXIV.

WOUNDS AS THEY AFFECT DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE BODY—
WOUNDS OF THE HEAD—OF THE SCALP—CONCUSSION—HOW
DISTINGUISHED FROM INTOXICATION—EXTRAVASATION OF BLOOD
—SEAT OF—AS A RESULT OF VIOLENCE, DISEASE, OR MENTAL
EXCITEMENT—RULES FOR A DIAGNOSIS—SUMMARY. MEDICAL
EVIDENCE—FRACTURES OF THE SKULL—WOUNDS OF THE BRAIN
—OF THE FACE—OF THE ORBIT—OF THE NOSE—DEFORMITY AS
A CONSEQUENCE OF WOUNDS OF THE FACE—MEDICO-LEGAL
QUESTION FROM ABSENCE OF CICATRIX—INJURIES TO THE SPINE
—FRACTURES OF THE VERTEBRÆ—DEATH FROM INJURIES TO THE
SPINAL MARROW.

General remarks.—There are numerous medico-legal questions connected with wounds, as they affect different parts of the body, which now call for examination. It will not be necessary to enter into any surgical details respecting them; hat an investigation of the symptoms caused by wounds of different structures, is of importance in legal medicine. It is only by attention to these that a correct prognosis can he given; and, when death is not a speedy result, the treatment of a prisoner hy a magistrate, will materially depend upon the prognosis of the medical practitioner. By far the most important questions arise where death has taken place after a severe injury, hut under circumstances which render it doubtful whether the fatal event can be fairly ascribed to the injury or not. This subject has heen already considered in relation to wounds in general; but it was then impossible to specify all the modifications that are liable to present themselves according to the particular part of the body affected. The danger of wounds, and their influence in causing death, are the two principal points to which the attention of a medical jurist must be directed.

WOUNDS OF THE HEAD.

The results of these wounds are, however, often such as to set all general rules of proguesis at defiance. Slight punctured wounds will sometimes terminate fatally in consequence of the tendency which the inflammatory process bas, to assume an erysipelatons character. The results of these wounds are, however, often such as to set all general rules of proguesis at defiance. Slight punctured wounds will sometimes terminate fatally in consequence of inflammation being set up in the tenden of the occipito-frontalis, followed by extensive suppuration beneath; while, on the other hand, a man will recover from a lacerated wound by which the greater part of the integuments may

have been stripped from the hone. There are two sources of danger in wounds of the scalp: -1. The access of crysipelatons inflammation. 2. Inflammation of the occipito-frontalis tendon, followed or not hy the process of suppuration. Either of these secondary effects may operate fatally in slight or severe wounds. Neither can be regarded in the light of an unusual consequence of a severe wound of the scalp: but when one or the other follows a very slight injury, there is reason to suspect that the patient may have been constitutionally predisposed; and if fatal effects follow, the influence of this predisposition might be considered as a mitigatory circumstance. Bad treatment may likewise lead to a fatal result from a wound, not regarded as serions in the first instance; but how far the responsibility of the aggressor would be affected by a circumstance of this nature, has been treated of in another place (ante, p. 294). Wounds of the head are dangerous, in proportion as they affect the brain; and it is rare that a severe contused wound is unaccompanied by some injury to this organ. There is, however, a difficulty which the practitioner has here to contend with, namely: that it is scarcely possible to predict, from the external appearance of the wound, the degree of mischief which has been produced internally. These injuries, as it is well known, are very capricious in their after-effects: the slightest contusions will be attended with fatal consequences, while fractures, accompanied by great depression of bone, and an absolute loss of substance of the brain, are sometimes followed by perfect recovery. (Cormack's Jour. Sept. 1845, 653; Med. Gaz. xxxix. 40, and Phil, Mcd. Exam. Nov. 1845, 696.) Another difficulty in the way of forming a correct prognosis, consists in the fact, that an individual may recover from the first effects of an injury, but after a short time, he will suddenly die: and on examination of the hody, the greater part of the brain will be found destroyed by the suppurative process, although no symptoms of mischief may have manifested themselves until within a few hours of death.

Concussion.—The common effect of a violent contusion on the head is to produce concussion or extravasation of blood, or both—In concussion, the symptoms come on at once, and the patient, if severely affected, sometimes dies without any tendefect to reaction manifesting itself. But the period at which death takes place is liable to vary: a man may die on the spot, or he may linger in a state of insensibility several days, and in either case, after death, no particular morbid change may be discovered; there may be simply abrasion of the skin. In the case of Reg. v. Burgess, Liverpool Lent Assizes, 1845, the deceased fell and died on the spot, and there was no lesion externally or internally. The state of insensibility observed in concussion, is sometimes only apparent. Mr. Guthrie relates the following singular case:—A gentleman wbo had met with an accident on board of a vessed at sea, while lying apparently deprived of sense and motion, heard a discussion between a relative and another person, who supposed he

was dying, as to how they should dispose of his body, and he was conscious of his utter inability to make any movement, indicating that he was alive and understood their conversation. Fortunately they resolved to convey him to the port to which the vessel was bound.

Inflammation may follow the primary shock from concussion,—suppuration will take place, and the patient may die after the lapse of some weeks or even months. It is important in a medico-legal view to notice, that an individual may move about and occupy himself, while apparently convalescent, for a week or ten days after recovery from the first shock, and then suddenly be seized with fatal symptoms and This apparent recovery leads to the common supposition, that death must have been produced by some intervening cause, and not by violence to the head,—a point generally urged in the defence of such When the inflammation that follows concussion is of a chronic character, the person may suffer from pain in the head and vomiting, and die after the lapse of weeks, months, or even years (ante, p. 291, case by Sir A. Cooper). A case is meutioned by Hoffbauer, where the person died from the effects of concussion of the brain, as the result of an injury received eleven years before. (Ueber die Kopfverletzungen, 57, 1842.) Concussiou may sometimes take place as a consequence of a violent fall on the feet, in which case the head receives a shock through the medium of the spinal column. The skull may be extensively fractured, and the brain may be even shattered by such a fall. This was the cause of death in the late Duke of Orleans. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 368.)

Concussion distinguished from intoxication.—The symptoms under which a wounded person is labouring, may be sometimes attributed to intoxication, and a medical witness may be asked what difference exists between this state and that of concussion. The history of the case will, in general, suffice to establish a distinction, but this cannot always be obtained. It is commonly said that the odour of the breath will detect intoxication; but it is obvious that a mau may meet with concussion after having drunk liquor insufficient to cause intoxication, or concussion might take place while he is intoxicated, a combination which frequently occurs. Under such circumstauces, we must wait for time to develope the real nature of the case: but concussion may be so slight, as sometimes closely to resemble intoxication: and in the absence of all marks of violence to the head and the existence of a spirituous odour in the breath, the medical examiner might be easily deceived. If there be no perceptible odour in the breath, the presumption is that the symptoms are not due to intoxication. On the other hand, intoxication may be so great as to give rise to the apprehension of fatal consequences, and the co-existence of a mark of violence on the head, might lead to error in the formation of an opinion. What is the line of conduct to be pursued on such occasions? The examiner should weigh all the circumstances, and if there be one canso for the symptoms more probable than another, he should adopt it:—if there be any doubt, this should be stated to the Court.

Extravasation of blood .- A blow on the head may destroy life by causing an extravasation of blood on the surface or in the substance of the brain. In pugilistic contests, when a person is thus struck, he commonly falls, and death may take place in a few minutes. On inspection, blood may be found effused either at the base or in the ventricles of the brain, and the question may arise-Did the injury which caused death arise from a blow or a fall? Two cases of this description are reported by Dr. Wharrie. The men were knocked down by blows with the fist, and they were taken up dead. (Cormack's Monthly Jour. Feb. 1846, 117.) It is not easy to give an answer to this question, nor is its relevancy in a legal view apparent; for as it is presumed the blow was the cause of the fall, it is fair to infer that the assailant should be responsible for the effects of either or both. A heavy blow on the head might cause fatal extravasation of blood; but in these instances the extravasation more commonly arises from the violent concussion which the injured person sustains by the fall. A medical witness will therefore in general be compelled to admit that the fatal effusion might have taken place either from the blow or the fall.

This subject has very important applications in legal medicine, for this is one of the most common causes of death in injuries to the head, and there are generally many cases of this description tried at Extravasation may occur from violence, with or without fracture, and it may take place without there being any external marks of injury to the head. In the case of the Queen v. Phelps and others, Gloucester Aut. Ass. 1841, it was proved that there was great effusion of blood, and even laccration of the brain, in the deceased, without any corresponding external injuries. Sec also, at the same assizes, the case of the Queen v. Thomas (p. 270). Dr. Griffiths, the American editor of this work, mentions a case which was the subject of a trial at Massachusetts, in which an individual received a blow from a small stone, and died in ten minutes. On examination there was no external bruise or fracture of the bones: the ventricles were filled with coagulated blood, and all the vessels were gorged with blood. It is remarkable that the skull was in this instance uunsually thin (p. 287, Amer. ed.) The chief source of the effusion in violeuce to the head arises from a rupture of the meningeal artery, and this may occur from the mere shock or concussion, with or without a fracture of its bony canal. The blood thus effused acts by compressing the brain; this compression does not always cause death unless the blood be in large quantity, or unless it be effused in or around the medulla oblongata. Thus the hemispheres will bear a degree of compression which, if it affected that portion of the base of the brain from which the spinal marrow proceeds, would instantly destroy life. most fatal effusions, therefore, are those which take place in a fracture of the base of the skull, whereby one or both lateral sinuses are commonly ruptured.

In cases of injuries to the head proving fatal by extravasation of blood on the brain, an individual may recover from the first effects of the violence, and apparently be going on well, when he will suddenly become worse, and die. Extravasation takes place slowly at first,-it may be arrested by the effects of stupor from coucussion, by a portion of the blood coagulating around the ruptured orifices of the vessels, or by some other mechanical impediment to its escape; but after a longer or shorter period, especially if the individual be excited or disturbed, the hæmorrhage will recur and destroy life by producing compression. How many hours or days, after an accident, are required in order that such an increased effusion should take place, it is impossible to say; but in severe cases, fatal extravasation is observed to follow the injury within a very short time. Sir Astley Cooper relates the case of a geutleman who was thrown out of a chaise, and fell upon his head with such violence, as to stun him in the first instance. After a short time he recovered his senses, and felt so much better, that he entered the chaise again, and was driven to his father's house by a compa-He attempted to pass off the accident as of a trivial nature, but he soon began to feel heavy and drowsy, so that he was obliged to His symptoms became more alarming, and he died in about an hour, as it afterwards appeared, from extravasation of blood on the brain.

Extravasation from disease or violence. Diagnosis.—Blood may be found extravasated in various situations within the interior of the eranium; and the cause of the extravasation may be either disease or violence. The skill of a medical jurist is often required to determine which of these causes is the more probable, as where, for instance, a pugilist has died after having received severe injuries to the head, and his adversary is tried on a charge of manslaughter. On these occasions it is often urged in the defence, that the fatal hæmorrhage might have arisen either from a diseased state of the vessels of the brain. or, if the evidence render it probable that the blow was the cause, -that the effects of the blow were aggravated by a diseased state of the vessels, or by the excitement into which the deceased was thrown, either from the effects of intoxication or passion. When the brain is not lacerated by violence, the blood is effused either on the surface of the hemispheres,—between the membranes, or at the basc. It is not always seen under the spot where the blow was inflicted, but often by counterstroke on the surface of the brain, directly opposite to it; -a ease which a medical witness has frequently had to explain on trials, and which depends on the same cause as fracture by counter-stroke, to be hereafter spoken of. (See ease by Dr. Haworth, Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 368.) Effusions of blood from a diseased state of the vessels, more commonly take place in the substance of the brain, but they sometimes occur on the surface of the organ from mere excitement or over-exertion of

the muscular powers. In a case which occurred in 1840, a boy, aged twelve, died suddenly, with comatose symptoms, after violent exer-On inspection, half a pint of blood was found effused on the surface of the brain. (Lancet, Nov. 1840.) This case is the more remarkable, because it is rare that a spontaneous effusion from disease should occur in so young a subject. Then, again, it must be remembered, that under the effects of violence where the brain participates in the injury, blood may be effused in its substance so as to resemble cerebral harmorrhage from diseasc. Thus when the skull has sustained violent blows without fracture, the extravasated blood has been observed to proceed from the minnte vessels of the pia mater and choroid plexus. A singular case is reported (Lancet, Jan. 11, 1845, p. 51), where a blow on the neck over the jugular vein, caused death apparently from effusion of blood in the brain. The death was instantaneous. Another fatal case from the same cause is referred to, in which a large quantity of blood was found effused in the lateral ventricles. Dr. Traill mentions an instance which occurred at Liverpool, in 1838, in which a blow with the naked fist entirely divided the external carotid artery in a bealthy man, who died very speedily. (Outlines, 89.)

If the effusion depend on disease, the arteries around may be found in a diseased condition, or the brain itself may be found softened and The state of the brain and its vessels should be closely examined in all cases of alleged violence, since bæmorrhage may take place from excitement, or slight blows, whenever this diseased condition exists. It has occasionally happened, but more especially in old persons, that the individual has dropped down dead without a blow being struck, and that death has been wrongly imputed to violence. Cerebral hiemorrhage from disease rarely occurs in persons under forty years of age. Frequent intemperance and violent passion may, however, easily create a tendency to it in younger subjects. As an effect of violence it may take place in persons of all ages, but when the marks of violence are slight, a witness must exercise great caution before he alleges that the extravasation was produced by a blow, especially when it is found that the deceased was of intemperate babits. For a very full account of the circumstances accompanying extravasation from violence, sec Brach's Chirurgia Forensis Specialis, p. 63. Köln, 1843.

Another condition besides intoxication and passion has been said to favour a rupture of vessels and an effusion of blood on the brain—namely, a thickened state of the parietes of the left ventricle of the heart. According to some patbologists, this morbid condition favours the occurrence of cerebral hæmorrhage by the force with which the ventricle propels the blood to that organ. Unless the brain be softened and the vessels diseased, it is, however, donbtful whether this condition of the heart would bave much influence. A case was tried at the Central Criminal Court (Aug. 1836, Rex v. Brown), in which the

prisoner owed his acquittal entirely to the deposition of the medical witness as to the condition of the heart. It was proved that the deceased had been much maltreated by the prisoner about the face and head, and that be speedily died, to all appearance, from the violence. On inspection, the medical witness stated that be found the left ventricle of the heart considerably thickened and dilated, and that under excitement, this morbid condition had probably led to the effusion of blood on the brain, and death. Upon this evidence Baron Gurney directed an acquittal.

Summary.—As a summary of these remarks, we may say that in extravasations from violence, the blood generally issues from a vessel which is plainly seen to be torn, as the middle meningeal artery or lateral siuus; it is commouly found on the surface of the brain, and not in its substance, nuless the organ be lacerated. When situated between the dura mater and skull, more especially when immediately below the seat of violence or directly opposite to it by counterstroke, this is strong evidence, cateris paribus, that it has proceeded from a blow. When there is a fracture of the skull, the presumption of the extravasation being due to violence is great; because this is not only a sufficient, but a very obvious cause, while the idea of its baving proceeded from disease only, is remote and speculative. When, besides these conditions, there is no remarkable congestion of the brain in other spots, the substance of the organ is firm, and the vessels are, to all appearance, free from disease, we have the strongest reason to believe that the extravasation must have been due to violence, and to no other cause whatever. At the trial of Edey (High Court of Justiciary, Edinburgh, Nov. 1847), it was proved that the deceased had died from extravasation of blood on the brain, and the question was, whether this bad arisen from a blow or from disease. The medical witness deposed that he found no marks of disease in the blood-vessels of the brain, and his opinion was that it had resulted from injury. The prisoner was convicted. A case of some interest in relation to this question has been communicated to the Lancet by Mr. H. Kyd (Nov. 13, 1847, p. 521). The origin of the extravasation which led to sudden death after a blow on the mouth, during a pugilistic encounter, was bowever, in this instance, considered to have arisen from excite-

The evidence given on some trials, when the main question has turned upon the cause of an extravasation of blood in the case of a person who bas sustained violent injuries to the head, has rather tended to reflect disgrace on medical science. It has been made to appear, either directly or by implication, that no sort of mechanical violence applied to the bead of a man in a state of drunkenness or passion,—of oue whose cerebral vessels were probably diseased,—or the parietes of whose heart might be thickened,—could have had any effect in producing a fatal extravasation found in the bead after death. In spite of an individual baying received a violent blow with a bludgeon,

sufficient to have killed a stont and vigorous man,—or of his having been thrown with considerable force with his head against a stone floor, an unrestricted admission is often made, that excitement alone, or drunkenness alone, would account for the extravasation without reference to the blow. In putting the most favourable construction upon these cases, when we have clear evidence of great violence having been used to the head, with the presence of the usual post-mortem appearances, our opinion should be that the excitement or drunkenness had predisposed to, but was not the immediate cause of, the cerebral hemorrhage. There seems to be no good reason for assuming that apoplexy from natural causes always occurs, by a peculiar coincidence, just at the time that a person receives a violent blow with a bludgeon on the head, or for giving to the assailant the benefit of this hypothetical explanation.

Extravasation from excitement.—When engaged in the investigation of a case of this kind, it is always a fair matter of inquiry whether the violence, upon the evidence, was not of itself sufficiently great to account for the extravasation of blood without the supposition of coexisting disease or excitement. Admitting that the rupture of a blood-vessel, and the extensive extravasation of blood on the brain, may take place from simple excitement and passion, yet this is an event comparatively rare, at least in the young and healthy, while nothing is more common than that these results should follow violent injuries to the head whatever the age or condition of the person. A medical witness should remember that on these occasions, if he is unable to say positively whether the extravasation was due to the excitement or the blows, he will satisfy the Court if he only state clearly that which is, in his own mind, the more probable cause of death; and by weighing all the circumstances of the case exactly beforehand, he will rarely fail to find that one cause was more probable than the other. Thus, if a man, excited by passion and intoxication, is struck on the head, and the blow is very slight-such as an unaffected person would probably have sustained without injury-yet in this case insensibility and death follow, and, on examination, a quantity of blood is found extravasated in the substance of the brain, can it be a matter of doubt with the practitioner that the extravasation was chiefly due to the excitement under which the deceased was labouring? To take a converse instance: a man engaged in a personal conflict with another, is struck most violently on the head, or falls with great force on that part of the body: on inspection, it is found that death has arisen from extravasation of blood on the surface of the brain, and it would be no unexpected consequence of the violence inflicted, that a similar appearance should be met with in an individual calm and nnexcited, -Can the practitioner hesitate to say, under these circumstances, that the blow would satisfactorily account for the extravasation, without reference to any coexisting causes of excitement? These may be allowed to have their influence in giving an increased tendency to cerebral harmorrhage, or in aggravating the consequences of the blow, but no further.

In these criminal investigations, when a witness is examined in chief, he asserts, perhaps, that the extravasation of blood was owing to a blow inflicted on the head. He is then asked by the counsel who cross-examines him, whether vessels may not be ruptured by excitement: he answers, without any qualification, in the affirmative, and thus produces an impression on the minds of the jury that excitement may have caused the rupture of the vessel in the particular case on which he is being examined. This is, of course, the sort of answer which a prisoner's counsel wishes to extract from a witness; and the effect produced by it on the Court is not always removed, even by a careful re-examination. The counsel for the defence is well aware that in a case of this description, his only chance of obtaining an acquittal is to throw a degree of doubt on the medical evidence, and to render it probable to a jury that the death of the deceased party was due to some other cause than the blow inflicted by the prisoner. It may be very proper that a skilful barrister should exercise his talents in this way, but a medical witness has to remember that he is sworn to state the whole truth. A qualified answer should be given to what is really a general question; and, supposing his opinion to be already formed on the subject on which his evidence is required, he should not, unless it be strictly consistent with his own views, allow his answer to a general question to be made applicable to a particular If then asked, in cross-examination, whether vessels might not be ruptured, and blood extravasated by mere excitement, he should answer that such an effect might undoubtedly follow; but that it was his opinion,—and I am here supposing that this opinion has been founded upon a deliberate examination of all the medical facts,—that excitement was not the cause of runture and extravasation in the case in question. A witness has, it appears to me, a right to insist that his cyidence shall pass to the jury without having any designed ambiguity attached to it. It may be said that the remedy for an evil of this kind is the re-examination of the witness; but I am satisfied from the reports of many cases before me, that the point is overlooked. Besides, one cannot understand why a piece of sophistry and equivocation is to be left to a chance exposure:—the case would then rest not upon sound medical evidence, but upon the relative degree of ingenuity and ability displayed by the counsel for the prosecution and defence. In a trial for manslaughter which took place some years since at Derby, it was proved that the prisoner and deceased had been wrestling. The prisoner had thrown the deceased with his head on a stone floor; he then seized him by the throat, and beat his head several times against the floor. The deceased died nineteen hours afterwards. On inspecting the body, a great quantity of coagulated blood was found beneath the scalp. There was a wound over the right parietal bone, an inch and a half in length, penetrating through

the scalp; but no fracture of the skull. There was a quantity of extravasated blood on the opposite or left side of the head; and a rupture of some branches of the carotid artery on the inside of the skull. the neck were two discolourations to the left of the trachea, apparently occasioned by the pressure of two fingers. The laying hold of the neck might, in the opinion of the witness, have more readily caused a rupture of the cerebral vessels, by preventing the return of blood. The surgeon, after giving this description of the post-mortem appearances, was asked whether, in his opinion, death was occasioned by the injury proved in evidence. He said death might or might not have been occasioned by it. Death might have ariseu from other causes-an apoplectic fit might have occasioned it. The effusion of blood had occasioned death, and he had seen blood in the heads of many persons dying from apoplexy. The cause of death was the pressure of coagulated blood upon the brain. He was not able to speak to the cause of the rupture of the vessels. He thought it highly probable that the injury received was the cause of death,-it was certainly sufficient to account for it! The judge severely censured the witness, for not stating at once that he believed the injury was the cause of death. It is not mentioned whether the man was found guilty upon this evidence, or whether the jury acquitted him. (Med. Gaz. vii. 382.)

A case was tried at the Gloucester Summer Assizes, 1845 (Reg. v. Phipps), in which a strong but very correct opinion was expressed by Mr. Justice Patteson, in relation to the defence adopted on these occasions. During a fight, the prisoner struck the deceased a severe blow nuder the left ear. He fell and died in a few minutes. After death, blood was found extravasated on the part corresponding to the seat of violence, and this, in the opinion of the medical witness, satisfactorily accounted for death. The defence was, that the effision might have proceeded from over-excitement; but the judge is reported to have said that if it were proved two people were fighting together—blows were struck—one fell to the ground and died, and afterwards internal injuries were found corresponding with the external marks of violence, no power on earth could persuade him that such blows were not the cause of death! The prisoner was found guilty.

Fractures of the skull.—A simple fracture of the skull is not of itself dangerous, when the boues have not heen separated by the violeuce; but it is rare that a fracture of the bones of the cranium is witnessed, without being complicated with concussion, extravasation of blood, or subsequent inflammation internally,—to either of which consequences the danger must be assigned. It is necessary to observe, that a fracture does not always take place at the spot which receives the blow; it is often seen in a distant part of the skull. Thus a blow on the vertex, when sufficiently severe to produce fracture, often causes the bones to separate at the base of the skull, rather than in the immediate neighbourhood of the spot where the violence was inflicted.

These counter-fractures, as they have been called, are chiefly seen in cases in which the violence has been applied to the cranium by a body presenting a large surface. They are almost always situated at a point diametrically opposite to the part struck. Thus a violent blow on the vertex causes fracture of the base, indicated hy a discharge of blood from one or both ears, owing to a rupture of the lateral sinus. A blow on the occiput will sometimes cause a fracture of the middle and lower part of the frontal bone, -while a hlow on the lateral and upper part of the head, may be followed by a fracture of the orbitar plate. This is owing to the physical law that the parts in which the force, applied to any hollow dome, becomes concentrated, are diametrically opposite to each other. (See remarks on this subject by Dr. Haworth, Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 373.) A question arose in a recent case, whether a direct fracture on the part struck and a fracture by counterstroke, could be produced by a blow on one side of the skull. This does not appear possible, since the force in such a case would be expended on the part directly struck. The existence of two distinct fractures would therefore render it probable that two blows had been struck. Fractures of the skull, when accompanied by depression of bone, are usually attended with loss of consciousness and the power of moving; but when a portion of hrain is lost, the depressed bone occupying the space of the cerebral substance which bas escaped, does not always cause these (See cases, ante, p. 323.) Such injuries are highly dangerous; but nevertheless there are extraordinary instances of recovery on record, even where there has been a considerable depression of bone, combined with a great loss of substance of the organ. Gaz. xxxix. 40.) Those fractures which involve the base of the skull. are more commonly accompanied by extravasation of blood, than those which are produced in the upper arch of the cranium; and such extravasations, from the large size of the vessels which are there situated, commonly terminate fatally within a very short period. Internal hæmorrhage, however, is not always the cause of death in these severe injuries. Sir Astley Cooper has recorded the case of a female, in whom a fracture took place from the vertex through the sphenoid bone, owing to a shutter having fallen on her head. This woman had no comatose symptoms, and she died after a short time in consequence of inflammation occasioned by the irritation of the fracture. dissection, the fracture was found to extend through the basis cranii. so that it divided the skull into two nearly equal portions. In a case which has been reported by Sir Charles Bell, fracture of the base of the skull produced death in a very singular manner several weeks after the accident. On a post-mortem examination, it was found that the fracture had rendered the border of the foramen magnum rough, and that a small projecting portion of bonc, hy a sudden turn of the head, had become forced into the spinal marrow and destroyed life.

A medical jurist must not forget, that a fracture may take place internally from a blow, without any breach of continuity being seen on

the external parietes. Thus a blow on the skull may cause a fracture of the internal table, without producing any appearance of fissure or fracture externally. In other eases, when the force is of a bruising kind, the whole substance of the skull may be fractured without a division of the skin (The Queen against Ward, Cent. Crim. Court, 1841.) There is one remarkable circumstance connected with these fractures accompanied by depression of bone, which here requires to be mentioned,-namely, that the person has been sensible so long as the foreign substance which produced the fracture and depression, remained wedged in the brain, and that insensibility and other fatal symptoms began to manifest themselves only after its removal. This being admitted, it may be urged in defence, that death was really caused by medical interference. But it is a sufficient answer to state, that the wounded person must have died from inflammation of the brain, if the foreign body had been allowed to remain; and that it is consistent with the soundest principles of practice, to remove all such foreign substances without delay. In fractures of the skull, with depression, it may become a question whether the surgeon raised the depressed portion of bone so soon as be ought to have done. ease, Henke Zeitsehrift der S. A. 1838. Erg. H. 230.)

Wounds of the brain.—Wounds of the brain sometimes prove instantaneously mortal even when slight, while in other cases, recoveries take place from contused or punctured wounds of this organ, contrary to all expectation. When an individual survives the first effects of the injury, there are two sources of danger which await him: 1,—tho production of fungus from the exposed portion of the brain; and 2,—inflammation and its consequences. The process of inflammation, it must be remembered, is very slowly established in this organ: it may not manifest itself until from three to ten weeks after the injury. In one remarkable case where a child was accidentally shot through the brain, the ball having traversed both hemispheres, no symptoms of cerebral inflammation manifested themselves for twenty-six days. The

child died on the twenty-ninth day. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 41.)

WOUNDS OF THE FACE.

Wounds of the face are important on several accounts. When of any extent, they are usually followed by great deformity; and when they penetrate the cavities, in which the organs of the senses are situated, they often prove fatal by involving the brain and its membranes, or by giving rise to inflammation in this organ. Wounds of the eye-brows are not always of so simple a nature as might at first sight be supposed. Besides being attended by deformity when they heal, they are liable to give rise, during the process of healing, to serious disorders of the neighbouring parts. Amaurosis and neuralgia are recorded among the secondary and not unusual consequences of such wounds, when the supra-orbitar nerve has become at all implicated. Under certain conditions of the system, there may be inflammation of the parts witbin the orbit, extending by contiguity to the membranes of the brain, and

proving fatal by leading to the formation of matter within that organ. Amaurosis in the right eye, has been known to occur from a contused wound, not of a very violent nature, to the right eye-brow. Dr. Walace, of New York, has reported two cases of amaurosis following blows over the infra-orbitar nerve. (Med. Gaz. xxxi. 931.) Wounds apparently confined to the external parts of the face, frequently conceal deep-scated mischief. A sharp instrument penetrating the cyclid, and passing upwards with any force, will produce fracture of the orbitar plate of the frontal bone, which is known to be extremely thin, and

even injure the hrain beyond.

Wounds of the orbit.—Sir Astley Cooper relates, that a girl, while playing with a pair of scissors, accidentally fell, and the point of the scissors passed upwards under the upper eyelid. It was found difficult to extract them; the eye hecame inflamed, but for some days after the accident, the child was in the habit of walking a considerable distance daily to receive medical advice. In about ten days, she suffered violent pain, with symptoms of inflammation of the brain, under which she died. On inspecting the body, it was found that the orbitar plate of the frontal bone had been fractured, the dura mater torn, and the anterior lobe of the brain lacerated. (For a similar case, see Med. Gaz. xli. 553.) In several instances in this country, trials for murder bave taken place, in which death has been cansed by a penetrating wound of the orbit, leading to fracture of the orbitar plate and injuring the brain. In the year 1735, the celebrated Macklin, the comedian, was tried for having cansed the death of Thomas Hallam, by thrusting a stick into his eye. On inspecting the body of the deceased, it was ascertained that the stick had entered the hrain; the prisoner was found guilty of manslaughter. A somewhat similar case occurred at Liverpool, in February 1848, where a boy killed another by wounding him with a gimlet in the eye. The brain was perforated, and be died in two days. It is necessary for the witness to bear in mind, that owing to the thinness of the orbitar plate, an injury of this kind may be produced by the application, comparatively speaking, of only a moderate force. The following instance, reported by Mr. Watson, will show what a very simple cause may produce a serious wound of the orbit. A boy, aged ten, had the birch end of a common broom, thrust several times into his fsee by one of his companions. He became stunned, and was carried home in a state of stupor. He afterwards complained of violent pain in the eye-ball and forehead. toms of inflammation and fever supervened, followed by coma, convulsions, and insensibility. He died in about sixteen days after the accident. On dissection, the orbitar plate was found perforated, and pus and lymph were effused at the base of the brain. The left ventricle contained three ounces of pus, and communicated with the wound in the orbit. A small portion of bone was partially separated from the orbitar plate, and projected upwards. For many similar cases, and one in which death took place in six hours, from a wound made by a tobacco-pipe, see Hoffbaner ueber die Kopfverletzungen,

p. 49, 1842. In infants and young children, the partition between the orbit and the brain is almost membranons, and is therefore perforated by the slightest canses. A man was tried in Scotland, in 1827, for killing a girl, by shooting her. The prisoner had inadvertently discharged a gun towards the high road where the deceased was standing. She received the shot in her face, but the wounds appeared quite superficial. She died in three days, and it was found that one small pellet had penetrated the orbitar plate and perforated the brain. It would appear, from a case reported by Dr. Scott, that the orbitar plate need not always be perforated, in order that fatal inflammation of the brain should be set up. A deeply penetrating wound of the orbit only, has caused death under the usual symptoms of cerebral disturbance. (Ed. M. and S. J. xliii. 263.) For a remarkable case of wound of the brain through the orbit reported by Dr. Neumann, see Casper's Wochenschrift, May 1845.

Wounds of the nose.—These wounds are, generally speaking, of a simple nature, rarely giving rise to serions symptoms, but they are almost always attended with great deformity. If the injury be contused and, at the same time, extensive, a loss of the faculty of smelling will probably result. A penetrating wound of the nose, produced by passing a sharp-pointed instrument up the nostril, may destroy life by perforating the eribriform plate of the ethmoid bone and injuring the brain. Such a wound, it is obvious, might be produced without leaving any external marks of injury. Dr. Corkindale, of Glasgow, met with a case in which a man died in nine weeks from the effects of a wound of the nose, whereby the nasal bones were fractured. On inspection, there was copions inflammatory effusion at the surface of the brain, particularly at the part corresponding to the scat of the violence. An injury to the bones of the nose may prove fatal by giving rise to an attack of tetanus. A case of this kind has been elsewhere related.

Deformity as a consequence of wounds of the face.—Wounds of the face, when at all extensive, are always followed in healing, by greater or less deformity. The medical witness may perhaps find these questions put to him in relation to them. Is the wound likely to be attended with deformity? Could such a wound of the face heal without deformity? or, Could the deformity, if it exist, have been produced by any other cause than the wound? These questions are of more importance than may at first sight appear. Thus a person may allege that he was severely wounded in the face, when the medical witness on examination, may find no trace of such a wound as that described. Again, a person may seek damages from another in a civil action, by alleging that a particular deformity was produced by a wound, when the medical witness may be able to trace its origin to disease, or to some accidental cause.

A case in which these questions were raised, was referred to me under the following circumstances. Some of the particulars have been elsewhere stated (ante, p. 222.) (The Queen against Henry

Reed and Elizabeth Donelan, Chelmsford Spring Assizes, 1842.) The medical evidence was to the effect, that "there was a wound on the nose of the prosecutrix, apparently inflicted by some sharp instrument, and the hridge of the nose was hroken down. The weapon had entered half an inch, and had caused profuse bleeding. The wound was sufficiently deep, that if it had entered a little higher up in the eye, it might have caused death." In the defence it was urged that no weapon had heen used; and that although the male prisoner had struck the prosecutrix a hlow, the female prisoner had taken no share in the assault. It does not appear that any medical evidence was called to show in what state the prosecutrix was, at the time of the trial. It was assumed that a weapon must have been used, and tho prisoners were convicted, the one of stabbing and the other of aiding and abetting. About six months after the alleged stabbing, and some weeks after the prisoners had been convicted and sentenced to punishment, the face of the proscentrix was examined by two surgeons (one of them a practitioner of twenty-eight years' standing), and they both deposed that there was no mark of a cicatrix, of fracture of the nose, or of any personal injury whatever. Other surgeons were requested to examine the face of the prosecutrix, but this she declined permitting; and as there was no power to compel her, the medical facts of the case were referred to Professor Quain, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Key, and myself. The evidence of the surgeon at the trial was laid before as with the statements of the two surgeons, who subsequently examined the prosecutrix. We all agreed that if such a wound as that described in the medical evidence had been inflicted, there would have been a visible scar and a ridge or prominence indicative of the situation where the hridge of the nose was stated to have been broken down: and as no such marks could be perceived by two well-informed surgeons, we considered it improbable either that such a would as that described could have been inflicted, or that a weapou could have been used in the assault. The question really to be decided was-Could all traces of such a wound as that above described be effaced in a period of six months, or even during the life of a person? Either the wound must have been misdescribed, or some traces of it would unquestionably have been found.

INJURIES TO THE SPINE.

Injuries to the spine and spinal marrow seldom require medico-legal investigation; but this organ is liable to concussion from blows, to compression from fracture of the vertebræ, or the effusion of blood, with all the secondary consequences attending such accidents. Concussion of the spinal marrow commonly produces paralysis: but the symptoms may be of a still more alarming kind; and after death no traces of mechanical injury may be discovered. Blows on the spine unattended with fracture or dislocation, may, according to the observations of Sir B. Brodie, be followed by inflammation and softening of

the spinal marrow. A slight injury has been known to cause death by giving rise to inflammation of the spinal marrow. (See Henke Zeitschrift, der S. A. 1840, ii. 407.) This organ is also liable to compression from very slight causes, as will be evident from the following medico-legal case reported by Dr. King, of Glasgow.

A man was tried on a charge of manslaughter. It appeared in evidence that he had thrown the deceased on the ground, and while he was attempting to rise, he caught him by the throat, forcing him backwards, and bringing his head violently in contact with the ground. Deccased died after a few convulsive gasps. On inspection, the spinal cord was found to be compressed between the body of the fourth and the arch of the third vertebra, but on removing it, no indentation or laceration of its substance was perceptible. Death had eusued from paralysis of the phrenic nerves. It is remarkable that so slight a degree of violence should have caused so serious an injury, for the affair took place before eye-witnesses. This case shows the necessity of inspecting the vertebral column, when death is alleged to have been caused by violence and no traces of it are perceptible in other parts of the body. Indeed it is not improbable that in most cases of sudden death from alleged or suspected violence, where the cause is obscure, if the spinal marrow were examined, the fatal result might be explained by the discovery of some mechanical injury or morbid chauge in this This part of a medico-legal inspection is, however, commonly organ. neglected.

Fractures of the vertebræ.—These fractures are generally attended by displacement and compression of the spinal marrow. They are the more rapidly fatal, in proportion as the injury is high up in the vertebral column. The whole of the body becomes paralysed below the seat of injury, by the compression of the spinal marrow. If the seat of compression be above the fourth cervical vertebra, death is commonly immediate: asphyxia results from paralysis of the phrcuic nerves. In falls on the vertex from a height, it sometimes happens not only that the skull is extensively fractured, but that the dentiform process of the second vertebra is broken off, owing to the head being doubled under the body. This might really be the cause of death. From a case related by Mr. Phillips, it would appear that this accident is not always attended by fatal compression of the spinal marrow. (E. M. & S. J. Jau. 1838.) In a second case the individual survived fifteen months (ib. Oct. 1845, p. 527); and in a third, where the fracture was caused by the patient turning in bcd while his head was pressed on the pillow, death did not take place for sixteen months. (Copland, Dict. Pr. Med., Paralysis.) On several criminal trials. this injury has been proved to have been the cause of death: and in a memorable case, tried at Glasgow, some years since, (the King against Reid,) it became a material question, how far an injury of this kind might result from disease. It may happen that caries of the. bone or disease of the transverse ligament, will cause a separation of

the dentiform process from the second cervical vertebra. The state of the bone should, therefore, be closely examined. In Reid's case an acquittal took place, partly because the deceased had laboured under disease of the spine, and the exact state of the parts had not been Disease of the ligaments may also lead to a separation, followed by slow or rapid death, according to the degree of pressure. A case occurred not long since where a lunatic in a private asylum, suddenly threw her head back in order to avoid taking some food that was offered to her: and she died evidently from the compression produced by the displacement of the dentiform process. A woman died suddenly a month after her confinement: she had been suckling her child at one o'clock in the morning, and at four she was found dead. The viscera of the abdomeu, thorax, and head, were carefully examined without the discovery of any morbid appearance to account for her death-when, as the brain was being returned into the skull, one of the inspectors noticed a projection at the foramen magnum. examination, the dentiform process of the second vertebra was found to have been luxated backwards, and this had so injured the spinal marrow as to destroy life. (Med. Gaz. iii. 582.) It is not stated whether the bone was in a healthy or diseased condition. The following case shows that the rapidity of death will depend on the degree of compression. A girl had a stiff neck, as it was supposed, from cold. Her head was continually twisted to the left side, but she possessed the power of moving it in the opposite direction. While in this state, a man suddenly seized her and gave her head a violent twist. felt immediately a severe pain, lost the power of turning her head to the right, and had difficulty of swallowing. These symptoms continued to increase for a month, and she ultimately died under a paralytic affection. On inspection, the odontoid ligaments were found ruptured, but there was no mark of suppuration. The boncs were healthy. The dentiform process had compressed the anterior columns of the spinal marrow, which were softened; it was observed during life, that she had lost more of the power of motion than of sensation. (Gaz. Médicale, Nov. 1842.) A displacement of the dentiform process may take place from very slight causes. In a case which occurred to Petit, a child was instantaneously killed in consequence of its having been lifted up by the head. There was no doubt that by the weight of the body, and perhaps a sudden jerk, the ligaments which confined the second vertehra to the first had become lacerated, and had produced fatal compression of the spinal marrow. (For another case, sec Cormack's Edinburgh Journal, April 1845, p. 314.)

Compression of the spinal marrow sometimes arises, though rarely, from effusion of blood or falls. It is important to remember, that an effusion of blood may also take place from spontaneous canses. In one case which proved fatal, from an accidental fall, a coagulum of hlood was found effused into the substance of the spinal marrow, exactly opposite a fracture of the body of the sixth cervical vertebra.

Injuries to the spine and its contents, are generally the result of falls or blows either on the head or the lower part of the column. The secondary consequences of these injuries are sometimes very insidious, so as to disarm suspicion, and death may take place quite unexpectedly some weeks after the accident. Spicula of bone separated by fractures, may remain adherent for some time; and by a sudden turn of the head, be forced off and destroy life by penetrating the spinal marrow, at a long period after the receipt of the injury. (See ante, p. 344.) This bas been known to happen in fractures, involving the margin of the foramen magnum, and in such cases, death is immediate. The spinal marrow has been in some instances wounded in its upper part by sharp-pointed instruments introduced between the vertebræ. Death is an instantaneous result when the wound is above the third cervical vertebra:-there is no part of the spine where a weapon can so readily penetrate as this, especially if the neck be slightly bent forward. The external wound thus made may be very small, and if produced with any obliquity by drawing aside the integuments, it might be easily overlooked, or it might be set down as superficial. For a medico-legal account of a case in which death occurred from a stab in the back of the neck, causing a division of the spinal marrow, see Henke Zeitschrift der S. A. 1839. H. ii. 1836.

CHAPTER XXXV.

WOUNDS OF THE CHEST—THE PARIETES—WOUNDS OF THE LUNGS—
RUPTURES FROM ACCIDENT — WOUNDS AND RUPTURES OF THE
HEART—WOUNDS OF THE AORTA AND VENÆ CAVÆ—WOUNDS AND
RUPTURES OF THE DIAPHRAGM—DIRECTION OF WOUNDS OF THE
CHEST—WOUNDS OF THE ABDOMEN—DEATH FROM BLOWS ON
THE CAVITY—RUPTURES OF THE LIVER, GALL-BLADDRR, SPLEEN,
KIDNEYS, INTESTINES, STOMACH, AND URINARY BLADDER—MEDICO-LEGAL QUESTIONS CONNECTED WITH RUPTURED BLADDER—
WOUNDS OF THE GENITAL ORGANS—MUTILATION.

WOUNDS OF THE CHEST.

Wounds of the chest have been divided into those which are confined to the parietes, and those which peuetrate the cavity. This division is important, so far as it relates to the prognosis of such injuries. Incised or punctured wounds of the parietes of the chest are rarely followed by dangerous consequences. The hemorrhage is not very considerable, and is generally arrested without much difficulty. They heal either by adhesion or suppuration, and unless their effects be aggravated by incidental circumstances, the prognosis is very favourable. Contusions or contused wounds of the thoracic parietes are,

however, far more dangerous; and the danger is always in a ratio to the degree of violence used. Such injuries, when severe, are ordinarily accompanied by fractures of the ribs or sternum, -by a rupture of the viscera within the cavity, including the diaphragm, -by profuse hemorrhage,—or, as an after-effect, by inflammation of the organs, with or without suppuration. Fractures of the ribs are dangerous for several reasons: the boncs may be splintered and driven inwards, thereby wounding the laugs and causing hæmorrhage, or leading to inflammation of the pleura or lungs. In fractures of the upper ribs, the prognosis is less favourable than in those of the lower, because commonly, a much greater degree of violence is required to produce the fracture. A simple fracture of the sternum, without displacement of the bone, is rarely attended with danger, unless the concussion has at the same time produced mischief internally, which will he known by the symptoms. When, however, the bone is depressed as well as fractured, the viscera behind may be mortally injured. In a ease of depressed fructure of the sternum, recorded by M. Sanson, the individual died after the lapse of thirteen days; and on inspection, it was found that the fractured portion of bone had produced a transverse would of the heart about an inch in length. The cavities of the organ had not been penetrated, but the piece of bone was exactly adapted to the depression produced by it on the parietes. (Devergie, Méd. Lég. vol. ii. p. 243.) A witness will frequently be required to take into consideration the effects of contusions on the thorax, with or without fracture, in cases of death from pugilistic combats which of late years have given rise to numerous trials ou charges of manslanghter. Wounds penetrating into the cavity of the thorax, are generally dangerous even when slight, in consequence of the numerous accidents with which they are liable to be compli-In these wounds, the lungs are most commonly injured: but. according to the direction of the weapon,—the beart, or the great vessels connected with it, as well as the esopliagus or thoracic duet, may share in the mischief.

Wounds of the lungs.—The immediate cause of danger from wounds of these organs is the consequent hemorrhage, which is profuse in proportion to the depth of the wound and the size of the vessels wounded. Should the weapon divide any of the tranks of the pulmonary veins, the individual may speedily sink. The degree of hemorrhage cannot be judged of by the quantity of blood which escapes from the wound; for it may go on internally, and collect within the cavity of the pleura, impeding the respiratory process. This is especially to be apprehended when the external orifice is small and oblique, and one of the intercostal arteries has been touched by the weapon. A wound of the lung is generally known, among other symptoms, by the frothiness and florid colour of the blood which issues from the orifice, as well as by the expectoration of blood. The lungs may sustain serious injury from a blow or fall, and yet there may be no exter-

nal marks of violence or symptoms indicative of danger for some A young man, while riding, fell from his horse on his left He complained of no pain for five hours, but in twelve hours he was scized with an alarming flow of blood from the mouth. He died in the course of a few days. After death there was no mark of injury to the chest, but the right lung was ruptured posteriorly throughout its leugth, and much blood had become extravasated. (Laucet. November 1842.) During the convalescence of an individual who has survived the first effects of a penetrating wound of the chest, the surgeon should observe whether death, when it occurs, may not bave been caused by any imprudence on the part of a patient, or by abuse of regimen or other misconduct; for, circumstances of this nature may be occasionally regarded as mitigatory on the trial of an aggressor. It is very properly recommended that, in all cases where a party is progressing to recovery, a relaxation of the autiphlogistic regimen should be made with great circumspection. Too much nourishment, too frequent talking, or any exertion, are circumstances that may cause a renewal of the hæmorrhage and extravasation. A case is related, in which a soldier died instantly from internal hæmorrhage, brought on by throwing a bowl at some ninc-pins, two months after he had been apparently cured of a wound of the laugs.

Wounds of the heart.—Wounds of the heart are among the most fatal of penetrating wounds of the thorax. It was formerly considered that all wounds of this organ were necessarily and instantly mortal. Undoubtedly, when either of the cavities is laid open to a large extent, the hemorrhage is so profuse on the withdrawal of the weapou, that death must be immediate. But when the wound is small and penetrates into the cavities of the organ obliquely, life may be prolonged for a considerable period; and eases are on record in which it is probable that such wounds would have healed, and the patients have finally recovered, but for the supervention of other diseases which destroyed life. Dupnytren has reported the case of a man who received a stab on the left side of the chest, on November 5th, 1831. He was brought to the Hôtel-Dieu, but the symptoms under which he laboured did not lead to the suspicion that he had received a wound of the heart. The man died on the 13th, of cerebral disease. On inspection of his body, it was found that the left ventricle was wounded about the middle and a little to the right; its cavity having been penetrated in a transverse direction. was three lines and a half across, and one line from above downwards. The external fibres of the organ were most separated; the opening diminished gradually, so that the internal fibres were in contact and closed the wound. A boy, in pulling a knife from a companion with the point towards him, accidentally stabbed himself in the chest. A small quantity of florid red blood escaped—he vomited and fell to the ground. He died in eight days. The left ventricle had been perforated, and one pound and a half of blood was effused in the thorax. This case shows that the fatal hemorrhage is not always immediate. (Med. Gaz. ii. 721.) In another instance recorded by Baron Dupuytren, five or six wounds were made by means of a saddler's needle, most of them penetrating into the right ventricle of the organ. This man died of cerebral disease, twenty-five days after the wounds could have possibly been inflicted; for the needle was taken from him twenty-five days before his death, without any suspicion being entertained of his having wounded himself with it. The external cicatrix was visible on an inspection of the body. The quantity of blood found in the chest amounted to about three ounces, and this appeared to have proceeded from the substance of the beart. (Med. Gaz., vol. xiii. p. 662.) For cases in illustration of the position that wounds of the heart are not instantaneously mortal, see Med. Gaz. ii. 721.

In the opinion of Baron Dupuytren, these injuries are not necessarily fatal, although I believe, with one exception, there is no case on record in which a person has recovered from a penetrating wound of the eavities of the heart. (Ed. M. and S. J., Oct. 1844, 557; also Ann. d'Hyg., 1846, i. 212.) There are few, probably, who will be inclined to consider them enrable; a remote possibility of simple wounds healing, and of the patient recovering, may be admitted; but until some clear instances of recovery from penetrating wounds of the eavities are reported, the majority of practitioners will continue to look upon them as necessarily, although perhaps not immediately, From a series of cases collected by MM. Ollivier and Sanson, it appears, that out of twenty-nine instances of penetrating wounds of the eavities of the heart, only two proved fatal within forty-eight In the others, death took place at the varying periods of from four to twenty-eight days after the receipt of the wound. (Devergie, Méd. Lég. vol. ii. p. 246.) These differences in the time at which death occurs, as well as the fact that wounds of the heart do not instantly destroy life, have been ascribed to the peculiar disposition of the muscular fibres of the organ, and to the manner in which they are penetrated by a weapon. Thus, as a general principle, it is stated that wounds which are parallel to the axis of the beart, are, cateris paribus, less rapidly fatal than those which are transverse to In a wound which divides the fibres transversely, the opening will be larger, and the hæmorrhage greater, than in one which is parallel to these fibres; and as the heart is composed of different layers, of which the fibres pass in different directions, so in a penetrating would of its cavities, while one set tends to separate the edges, another tends to bring them together and thereby to restrain the flow of blood. It is this action of the fibres which renders wounds of the ventricles less rapidly fatal than those of the auricles, all other circumstances heing equal; but a man has been known to survive a laceration of the left auricle, eleven hours. The case occurred to Mr. Hancock:—the chest was crushed, and after death it was found that the

left auricle was lacerated to the extent of an inch; nevertheless this nationt survived the injury for this long period. (Lancet, Jan. 30, 1841, 655.) In another instance, where a man was stabbed through the left anricle during a quarrel, death did not take place until after the lapse of seventy-eight hours. (Med. Gaz. xl. 520.) The presence of a weapon in the wound, by mechanically obstructing the effusion of blood, also retards the fatal result. A lunatic, about thirty-four years of age, wounded himself with a long sharp instrument on the left side of his chest. Two days afterwards he was admitted into the Bieêtre, labouring under oppressed breathing, intermittent pulse, and other scrious symptoms. The wounded man stated that he had plunged the instrument into his chest, and had not been able to withdraw it. His symptoms became more aggravated, and he died the twentieth day after the wound. On inspection, the pericardium and the surrounding parts were found inflamed; and ou opening the heart, an iron stiletto was discovered to be firmly imbedded in the substance of the left ventricle, which it had entirely traversed, so that its point projected a few lines into the cavity of the right ventrielc. The man had obvionsly died from extravasation of blood; but this had taken place slowly, and, only after the period of time mentioned, had the hæmorrhage sufficed to destroy life. It appears from the observations of M. Ollivier and others, that the right cavities of the heart are more irequently wounded than the left, and of these the right ventricle is most commonly the seat of injury. Out of sixty-four cases of wounds of this organ, twenty-nine were situated in the right ventriele, twelve in the left ventricle, nine in the two ventricles, three in the right auriele, and one in the left auriele. These differences are readily accounted for by the relative situation of the cavities. appears also, from M. Ollivier's observations, that wounds of the right ventricle are not only the most frequent, but of all others, they are the most rapidly mortal. It is considered that the suddenness of death in severe wounds of the cavities of this organ, is to be ascribed not merely to the loss of blood, but to the degree of compression which the heart experiences from that which is poured out into the bag of the pericardinm.

Ruptures of the Heart.—The heart is liable to be ruptured either from disease or accident. In the latter case, the organ generally gives way towards the basis, and through one of the cavities on the right side. (For cases, see Med. Chir. Rev. xxxi. 532.) Dr. Hope asserts that in ruptures from natural causes, it is the left side of the heart, and particularly the left ventricle, in which the lesion is most frequently found. The symptoms are sudden pain, collapse, cramps, cold extremities, and rapid death. According to the circumstances under which they occur, eases of rupture from disease may excite a suspicion of death from violence. Sometimes the substance of the heart appears to have undergone a fatty degeneration. Dr. Quain met with a case in which, under this diseased condition, the left ventricle had become ruptured

during slight muscular exertion. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 774 and 857.) In other instances there has been no apparent alteration of structure. Dr. Stroud reported to the Med. Chir. Society, a case of this kind, which occurred in a young man aged twenty-nine. The deceased died in ten hours after his first seizure: on iuspection there was a small aperture in the right auricle near the vena cava. This did not appear to be connected with any morbid condition of the heart. (Mcd. Gaz. xxvi. 518, Lancet, Nov. 1843.) As a medico-legal subject, it is worthy of note that when this alarming accident proceeds from blows or falls, it is not always accompanied by any marks of external violence,—or any fracture or other injury to the parietes of the thorax. A case is recorded by Dr. Gairdner, in which a cart-wheel passed over the chest of a child, and occasioned instant death by causing rupture of the heart. Dr. Christison met with two similar iustances, one caused hy a fall, and the other by a blow. I have been enabled to collect two others, one of which was communicated to me by Dr. Geoghegan, of A child was killed, as it was supposed, by the wheel of a earriage going over its chest. On inspection, the integuments, muscles, and rihs, were entirely free from any marks of injury. The pericardium was lacerated, and a pint of blood was effused into the right pleural cavity. The heart was found ruptured throughout its entire length. In another case, which occurred to Mr. Jeffery, of Sidmouth, a man fell from a cliff the height of one hundred feet. There were a few slight bruises about the body, but no serious wound or fracture. On opening the elest, the pericardinm was found to he distended with dark fluid blood, which had escaped from an irregular opening about three quarters of an inch in diameter, situated in the anterior portion of the right auricle. (For another case, see Cyclop. Pract. Med. iv. 557.)

In the American edition of this work, a case is mentioned by Dr. Griffiths, in which a boy was run over by a heavy waggon, two wheels of which passed over his chest. He arose apparently not much injured, but on reaching the side of the street, fell dead. On dissection, the heart was found ruptured. The rihs were not fractured, nor was there any laceration of the parietes of the thorax (p. 317).

It is proper to state, that the *natural* causes of rupture of the heart are violent mental emotions, such as anger, fright, terror, paroxysms of passion, sudden or excessive muscular efforts, or violent physical exertions in constrained positions. The heart, like any other muscle, may also give way from its own powerful contraction. Rupture of the heart from any of these causes, is, however, a very rare occurrence. (Med. Chir. Rev. Oct. 1847, 460.)

It is of importance for the medical jurist to be aware that rupture of the heart may prove suddenly and rapidly fatal to life, although the lesion may not involve the cavities. Dr. Maclagan met with the following case:—A lady, eet. 75, was suddenly seized with faintness and occasional fits of hurried respiration: she died in about an

hour. On inspection the pericardium was found to be distended with twelve onnees of blood, one-third of which was in a coagulated state. A fissure was found in the superficial fibres about one-third of an inch in length, over the left ventricle and near the septum. There was another and smaller laceration a little higher up. The larger rent communicated with one of the coronary veins, and from this, and some of the smaller arteries in the substance of the heart, the hæmorrhage had proceeded: for the lining membrane of the left ventricle was quite sound. Death had been caused by the mechanical effect of the blood in interrupting the heart's action. (Cormack's Monthly Journal, June, 1845, 421.)

When the heart is in a discased condition, any slight causes of excitement are sufficient to produce rupture and sudden death. The mere exercise of walking may thus give rise to fatal consequences. A case of this kind is reported by Dr. Wharrie, in which a man was found dead upon a high road. The right auricle was found ruptured near the superior vena cava: its substance was thin, soft, and very easily torn. (Cormack's Monthly Journal, May, 1846, 343.) The same writer describes a singular case in which a man died suddenly after a struggle with an adversary. No blows had been exchanged. In this instance the walls of the left ventricle were found much thickened, and the aortic valves were ossified: there was no rupture. (Loc. eit.)

Wounds of arteries and veins.—Wounds of the large arterial and venous trunks, around the heart, must be considered as decidedly mortal: death is generally instantaneous from the profuse hæmorrhage which attends them. Dr. Heil, of Bamberg, has related a case which he considers to prove that a person may recover from a penetrating wound of the ascending aorta. (Henke's Zeitschrift, 1837, ii. 459.) With regard to these fatal extravasations of blood within the chest, as well as in the other great cavities, it may be proper to mention that, from whatever vessel or vessels the blood may have issued, it is not commonly found coagulated to any extent. The greater part of it generally preserves the liquid state: and it is rare that so much as one-half of the quantity effused, is met with in the form of coagulum. These extravasations of blood in the chest, may be sometimes traced to wounds of the intercostal, the internal mammary arteries, or of the vena azygos.

Wounds of the carotid arteries have been considered in speaking of wounds of the throat. Of wounds of the other blood-vessels, whether arteries or veius, it is unnecessary to make any further remark. Death is generally owing to hemorrhage, and the bleeding from a comparatively small vessel may prove fatal, according to its situation and the state of the individual. (See p. 264, ante, HEMORRHAGE; also p. 339.)

Death from the entrance of air into wounded veins.—In the wounds of veins there is a peculiar cause of death which requires notice, namely, the entrance of air by the open mouth of the divided vessel. Accidents of this kind are by no means common. The following case

occurred to Dr. Willis, of Barnes, in March, 1848 :- A man was labouring under chronic laryngitis, and it was considered proper to introduce a seton at the fore part of the neck. The skin was raised, and the seton-needle was passed horizontally through the skin, about two inches and a half above the breast-bone, and not at all near the jugular vein or any other important blood-vessel. At the instant of its entrance, there was a momentary hissing sound,—the man became pale, -his features were set, -he fainted, and be subsequently became rigid and convulsed. The man did not recover bis consciousness, was attacked with lock-jaw, and died in seven hours. From the medical evidence given at the inquest, it was obvious that death bad not arisen from hæmorrhage, but from air penetrating through a small vein which had been accidentally divided. A verdict was returned accordingly. After the inquest the body was inspected, and it was then found that the jugular veins and the large vessels of the neck were uninjured. The right auricle and pulmonary artery were distended with frothy blood, and the lungs were emphysematous. (Med. Gaz. xli. page 608.)

It has been long known that air injected into the jugular vein would destroy life by interfering with the functions of the heart. The exact nature of the accident, as it occurs in operations, is not well understood. (Fergusson's Surgery, 444.) According to some, the air rushes into the cavity of the vessel owing to atmospheric pressure during the expansion of the heart, while others believe it to be dependeut on the act of inspiration. It is difficult to account for the entrance of air by atmospheric pressure, unless the cut orifice of the vein be kept open, or unless its parietes be morbidly thickened, so that it does not readily close when divided: nevertheless, death may thus occur without the slightest imputation on the operator. Dr. Cormack has shown that in some alleged cases of this kind, death was probably due to hæmorrbage. (Case by Dr. Handyside, ante, page 234.) When the hemorrhage is slight, and the hissing sound is heard at the time of the incision, it may fairly be ascribed to the entrance of air. This opinion would be confirmed by the discovery of a frothy state of the blood in the right cavities of the beart.

Wounds of the diaphragm.—This muscular septum is liable to be wounded either by weapons which penetrate the cavity of the thorax or abdomen, or by the ribs when fractured by violent blows or falls; but, under any circumstances, wounds of this muscle are not likely to occur without implicating the important organs that are in contact with it. It is scarcely possible, therefore, to estimate the danger of these injuries abstractedly, as the prognosis must materially depend on the concomitant mischief to the viscera. Slight penetrating wounds of the diaphragm may beal, like those of other muscular parts; and cases of this kiud are on record. There is, however, especially when the wound is of a lacerated kind, a consecutive source of mischief which no remedial means can avert;—namely, that after the wound has, to

all appearance, healed, the life of a party may be ent short by the strangulation of a portion of the stomach or viscera in the half cicatrized aperture. An instance reported by Dr. Smith affords an illustration of this. A sharp-pointed weapon had penetrated the diaphragm, notwithstanding which the patient apparently made a rapid and perfect recovery. At the end of about three months, however, the man died from a strangulated hernia of the stomach, which had passed through the wound of the diaphragm into the thorax. (For. Med. p. 279.) In a case of this description, when death occurs at a very considerable period after the infliction of a wound, the witness will probably be asked :-- Whether the wound was the cause of death? Or whether there were any other circumstances which would have caused or facilitated the production of a hernia? The degree of culpability of an aggressor may materially depend upon the answers returned to these questions. Phrenic hernia, as it is termed, is not by any means an unusual or unexpected fatal consequence of a wound of the diaphragm; and therefore it would appear, at first sight, that death at whatever period this event might occur, should be referred to the original wound. But the question is of a very delicate nature; as it is possible that a slight blow on the stomach, received subsequently to the wound, or even any violent exertion on the part of the deceased, might have tended to produce strangulation. An individual may survive with a large phrenic hernia for a considerable period, and die from some other cause. A case of this kind has been already related, in which the stomach and part of the intestines were found in the left cavity of the chest, and the individual lived for nine months (ante, page 330). The survivance of the individual will, however, depend on the freedom of communication between the chest and the abdomen. If the aperture be small and unyielding, strangulation may occur, followed by death within the usual period of time. Dr. A. T. Thomson has related an interesting case of this kind. A man fractured two of his ribs by a fall. It was not nutil twelve months afterwards, that he was admitted into University College Hospital, where he died two days after his admission. On inspection, it was found that about fourteen inches of the colon protruded into the cliest through an aperture in the diaphragm, so small as only to admit the point of the finger. The intestine had become strangulated and had led to death. There was no doubt that the injury to the diaphragm had been occasioned by the same accident which had led to fracture of the ribs. The hernia, judging from the symptoms, had taken place only a few days before his death. (Med. Gaz. xl. 584.) This case is important, as it shows that death may unexpectedly occur from the direct effects of an injury to the chest received long previously. It also proves the absurdity of that principle of the English law which makes an aggressor responsible for a fatal result only when the death happens within a year and a day (ante, p. 291). Had this man sustained the injury to his chest from personal violence offered by an assailant, either the latter should have been rendered responsible, or when death takes place under similar circumstances, but within a shorter period of time, the accused should be acquitted.

Ruptures of the diaphragm.—The most serious injuries to the diaphragm, are unquestionably those which are produced by violent contusions, or falls on the parietes of the abdomen, while the stomach and viscera are distended. In these cases the museular fibres are commonly found ruptured to a greater or less extent: the hemorrhage is not very considerable, rarely exceeding two, three, or four ounces. A uniform result of these ruptures when extensive, is a protrusion of the stomach into the chest, with sometimes a rupture of the coats of that organ and extravasation of its contents. The severe lacerations of the diaphragin are more readily produced during the act of iuspiration, than during expiration,—the fibres of the muscle being then stretched, and receiving, while in this state of tension, the whole of the force. According to Devergie, the rupture most frequently takes place in the central tendinous structure, where it is united with the left muscular portion above the crura. He has remarked that it is observed more commonly on the left side than on the right. (Op. eit. ii. p. 250.) It has been supposed that death was an immediate consequence of this accident, but this view is not supported by facts. the case of extensive rupture of the diaphragm above referred to, where the stomach and colon were found in the chest, the person lived nine months after the only accident which could have produced it, and then died from another cause (ante, page 291). Besides the stomach, it sometimes bappens that the liver, spleen, or intestines pass though the opening, and like it, these organs are liable to become strangulated: the lnngs are, at the same time, so compressed that respiration is stopped, and asphyxia is often an immediate result.

Direction of wounds in the chest.—In judging of the direction taken by wounds which traverse the antero-posterior axis of the chest, it is necessary to remember the great difference that exists in the level of the same rib anteriorly and posteriorly. This must be especially attended to, when we are called upon to state the direction of a traversing wound from the description of it, given hy another. The point here referred to had an important hearing in the case of a fatal gun-shot wound which was the subject of a criminal charge some years

(Henke's Zeitsehrift, 1836.)

A person died from a single pellet of small shot traversing the chest from before hackwards. The pellet entered between the first and second ribs anteriorly, and traversing the lnng, caused death by lacerating the sixth intercostal artery, near its origin at the lower edge of the sixth rib, posteriorly. In giving an opinion on the direction of this wound, a fact which happened to be important in regard to the position of the assailant, one medical witness, from not duly considering the sloping of the ribs from behind forwards, described the wound behind, as being six inches below the level of that in front. As the small canal through the lungs could not be

discovered, he was judlined to think that the two wounds could not be connected, because the gun had been discharged from the shoulder when the party firing was nearly on a level with the deceased. opinion, however, was soon corrected by a reference to the anatomical relations of the parietes of the thorax. Indeed, it will be found, that a straight line carried backwards from between the first and second rihs in front, will in a well-formed skeleton, touch the upper border of the fifth rib posteriorly; therefore this wound was nearly horizontal,-heing only one inch and a quarter lower posteriorly, than anteriorly. In the ease of Colonel Fawcet killed in a duel, the bullet entered on the right side of the chest, fracturing the seventh rib, and after traversing the posterior part of the lungs, lodged in the ninth dorsal vertehra. These parts are in a line with each other, and the wound was horizontal. It must not be forgotten that a wound immediately helow the chest-bone, will in its fore part involve the viscera of the abdomen, -in the back part those of the chest, and in its central part it will traverse the diaphragm.

WOUNDS OF THE ABDOMEN.

Wounds of the Parietes.-Incised and Punctured wounds, which affect the parietes of the abdonien, without peuctrating the cavity, are not quite of so simple a nature as might at first sight be imagined. The danger is immediate, if the epigastric artery be wounded; for a fatal hæmorrhage, will, in some instances, take place from a wound of this small vessel. In a case which occurred to Dr. Colles, of Dublin, a carpenter who had a chisel in his pocket, stumbled in walking, and received a wound in the abdomen with the edge of the instrument. When brought to the hospital, the man appeared exhausted from the loss of blood,—the skin was cold and pallid; he gradually became weaker, the pulse imperceptible, and he died a few hours after his admission. On an examination of the hody, the epigastric artery was found divided, and the cavity of the peritoneum distended with blood. It is true, that in this case, the abdomen was penetrated; but the real cause of death, was the blood lost from the wounded artery. Travers mentions, that a man was brought to St. Thomas's Hospital, who had been stahled in the direction of the epigastric artery on the left side of the abdomen, with a case-knife. He died in eighteen hours, apparently owing to copious hæmorrhage from that vessel. Among the other sources of danger from these superficial wounds, is inflammation, followed by suppuration beneath the tendinons aponenrosis which covers the abdominal muscles. The matter formed is very liable to accumulate within the tendinous sheath of the rectns muscle, and this may prove fatal, unless proper treatment be adopted. The inflammation will sometimes extend to the peritoneum, and thus rapidly destroy life. As improper medical treatment may, in either of these ways, canse a superficial wound of the abdomen to take a fatal termination, -so when an individual stands charged with having inflicted a

wound, it will be necessary for a medical witness to cousider how far the consequences of the act of the prisoner have been aggravated hy negligence or unskilfulness. But when these wounds take a favourable course and heal, there is an after-effect to be dreaded, namely, a protrusion of the viscera at the cicatrized spot, constituting ventral hernia. When the wound has involved the muscular fibres trausversely to their course, the cicatrix which follows, is commonly far less capable of resisting the pressure of the viscera within, than other parts of the parietes. A hernia will take place, and this, like other herniæ, if neglected, is liable to become strangulated and lead to the destruction of life.' Contusions are attended generally with far more serious effects on the cavity of the abdomen, than on the thorax. This arises from the abdominal parietes having less power to resist external shocks. In the first place, death may be the immediate result of a hlow in the upper and central portion; no particular morbid changes will be apparent on inspection, and the violence may have been so slight, as not to have produced any eechymosed mark on the skin. Death has been ascribed in these cases to a fatal shock transmitted to the system, through a violent impression produced on the solar plexus. Iu a case of manslaughter tried at the Cent. Crim. Court, in Aug. 1841 (The Queen against Sayers), death had been caused in this way during a pugilistic combat. The man received a blow in the stomach and fell dead. As there were no marks of external injury, the surgeon thought the man had died of apoplexy. The prisoner was acquitted.

Blows on the abdomen, when they do not destroy life by shock, may cause death by inducing peritoncal inflammation. Several cases of this kind are mentioned by Mr. Watson, (On Ilomicide, 186,) and more than one has been tried of late years where violence to the abdomen was proved, but no mechanical lesion had been produced: the wounded person, however, died from peritonitis in the course of a few days. (The Queen against Martin, Cent. Crim. Court, 1839.) two other medico-legal cases by Dr. Wharrie, in which death arose from this cause, see Cormack's Monthly Journal, May, 1846, 340. Peritonitis thus induced, is apt to he accompanied by inflammation of serous membranes in other cavities:—thus, it is said, a person may be cut off hy pleuritis depending on an attack of peritonitis, produced hy violence, while the former disease would probably he referred to some other cause. In a case which occurred a few years since,-a woman received some severe blows on the abdomeu from her husband. She died in five days. There were marks of pleuritis and peritonitis on dissection, the former much more decided. The medical witness, while he allowed that the peritonitis might have been caused by blows, thought that death had been produced by an attack of pleuritis from The jury acquitted the husband. The reporter of the case considers that the attack of pleurisy was immediately dependent on the peritoneal inflammation produced by the violence. (See Med. Gaz. xxv. 13.) This doctrine requires confirmation before it can be

safely applied to medico-legal practice. Such a sympathetic connexion between the two diseases must not only be rendered probable, but actually proved. Peritonitis thus produced by violence to the ahdomen, is not always fatal. (Lancet, Jan. 24, 1846, 104; also, Med. Gaz. xxxvii. p. 460.)

Ruptures of the liver .- Violence to the abdomen may also prove fatal by causing a rupture of the viscera with extravasation of blood;and as it has been elsewhere stated, these serious injuries may occur without being attended by any marks of external violence. Of all the internal organs, the liver and spleen are the most exposed to rupture, owing to their very compact structure, which prevents them from yielding to a shock, like the hollow viscera. Ruptures of the liver may occur from falls or blows; but this organ may be ruptured merely hy a sudden action of the abdomiual muscles. An accident of this kind happened to an individual who was endeavouring to avoid a fall from (Male's Jur. Med. 119.) A fall on the feet from an his horse. elevated spot, may also determine laceration of this organ. d'Hyg. 1846, i. 133.) Ruptures of the liver are generally seen on the couvex surface, seldom extending through the whole substance of the organ, but consisting of fissures, varying from one to two inches in depth. Their usual direction is from before backwards, with a slight obliquity; they rarely intersect the liver transversely. The lacerated edges are not much separated, while the surfaces present a granular appearance. But little blood is met with in the laceration; it is commouly found extravasated in the lower part of the cavity of the peritoucum, or in the hollow of the pelvis, and is only in part coagulated. Ruptures of the liver, unless they run far backwards and involve the vena eava, are not in general attended with any considerable extravasation of blood; but the hamorrhage, should this vessel be implicated, is sufficient to cause the justant destruction of life. Under other eirenmstances, a person may survive some hours. June, 1841, a drunken man was brought to Guy's Hospital. There was no mark of violence about him, -but he appeared helpless from intoxication. He died in about nine hours, and two quarts of blood were found effused in the abdomen. This had come from a large rupture in the right lobe of the liver. It had probably been poured out slowly, for the man was able to move about just before be died. Two other cases of ruptured liver occurring from individuals being run over are reported by Dr. Wbarrie. (Cormack's Journal, May, 1846, 341.)

Wounds of the gall-bladder.—Wounds and ruptures of the gall-bladder are necessarily attended with the extravasation of bile. This irritant fluid finds its way into the cavity of the peritoneum, and the individual dies from peritonitis. A fatal case of this description occurred to Dr. Maclachlan. An old man while getting out of bed fell with great violeuce on the floor. He died from peritonitis in forty-cight bours. The gall-bladder was found ruptured, and a large

calculus was found impacted in the cystic duct. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 968.)

Ruptures of the spleen.—Ruptures of the spleen may occur either from violence or disease, and it would appear from the following case, reported by Mr. Heddle, (Med. Chir. Rev. Oct. 1839,) that a very slight degree of violence is sufficient to rupture this organ, while there may be no marks of injury externally. A middle-aged man was observed fighting with a boy about fourteen years of age, who in stature scarcely reached to his waist. When the fight terminated, the boy ran away; the deceased was observed to become very weak and faint, and he complained of uneasiness in his left side. He expired a few minutes afterwards. On inspection, no mark of violence could be detected: but the cavity of the abdomen contained a large quantity of blood. The spleen was found enlarged, and so softened, that its structure was broken down by the slightest pressure. There was a laceration across its surface, about half an inch in depth, from which the fatal hamorrhage had proceeded. A case of spontaneous rupture of the splcen, which was enlarged and in a diseased condition, is reported in the Medical Gazette, (June, 1842.) It is highly probable, that when the liver and spleen are ruptured from slight causes, the structure of these organs will be found in a diseased condition-a circumstance which may in some eases be regarded as mitigatory of the act of the assailant. (See also Med. Gaz. xxxv. 942.)

Raptures of the kidneys.—The kidneys are occasionally ruptured from violence, but this appears to be a rare accident. Two cases were reported by Mr. Stanley to the Med. Chir. Soc. (Lancet, Nov. 1843.) In one, the individual recovered—in the other, death did not take

place for a cousiderable time.

Ruptures of the intestines.—Ruptures of the intestines sometimes take place from disease, and in a case of rupture alleged to have been produced by violence, we must always take this possible objection into The ruptured part should be carefully examined, in order to see whether there be any signs of ulceration about it. If not, and there be clear evidence of violence having been used, it is impossible to admit this speculative objection. If with the proof of violence there should also be a diseased condition of the bowel, we may be required to say whether this did not create a greater liability to rupture, -a point which must be admitted. (For interesting medicolegal cases of ruptured intestines, see Watson on Homicide, 159; also, Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1836, Erg. xxii. and Brit. and For. Rev. iv. 519.) Rupture of the intestines may sometimes occur from very slight causes. Any force, as a smart shock suddenly applied to the abdomen, will sometimes suffice to cause it. A case has already been related where the blow of a pebble ruptured the jejunum of a young girl by striking the abdomen. An interesting case is reported by Mr. Newton, in which there was no doubt that the ilcum had been runtured by a kick on the abdomen, leading to death by peritonitis.

coroner and jury appear to have thought that as there was no mark of contusion or eccepymosis on the abdomeu, the blow could not bave been the cause of the mischief (see aute, page 215); hence they came to a verdiet that the deceased had died from inflammation of the bowels depending on some unknown causes! (Lancet, Aug. 9, 1846, 15.) It is worthy of remark, that a rupture of the intestines does not necessarily deprive a person of the power of locomotion. Mr. Collier has reported the case of a boy aged thirteen, whose duodenum was completely ruptured across by a blow, who walked a mile with but little assistance; he died in thirteen bours. (See Med. Gaz. xii. 766.) That rupture of the intestines is not incompatible with the power of locomotion, is also proved by a case related by Mr. Ellis, of Dublin, where the execum was ruptured; the man was able to walk after the accident, but he died in tweuty-four hours. Other instances of this kind are reported by Henke. The ileum is observed to be most liable to rupture from accident. Punctured wounds which merely touch the bowels without laying open the cavity, are liable to cause death by peritonitis. These injuries to the intestines sometimes destroy life by shock; there is but little blood effused, and the wounded person dies before peritonitis can be set up.

Wounds and ruptures of the stomach .- Wounds and ruptures of the stomach may eause death by shock: ruptures commonly give riso to the most exeruciating pain, which of itself is sufficient to bring about rapid dissolution. It is proper to state, however, that the stomach may become ruptured from spontaneous causes, as in ulceration produced by disease; but sometimes there is no morbidcause to explain the result. In April, 1828, a man aged thirty-four, was brought iuto St. Bartholomew's Hospital, complaining of severe pain in the abdomen. Ten hours afterwards he was seized with violent vomiting, the pain ceased, the vomiting also ceased; and he died iu five hours more. The posterior surface of the stomach was found lacerated to the extent of three inches, and the contents of the organ had escaped through the aperture; the mucous membrane was reddened, but there was no thickening, ulceration, or any apparent disease of the stomach. (Med. Gaz. ii. 182; see also Dub. Med. Jonr. May. 1845; and Ed. Med. aud Sur. Jour. Oct. 1845, p. 522.) A similar case occurred to Mr. Allen. A boy, æt. ten, had great pain in the abdomen, which was much swollen, and died in a few hours, in a state of collapse. After death, the eardiac extremity of the stomach, which was softened, but not otherwise diseased, was found ruptured. (Lancet, Jau. 3, 1846, 15.) A case, somewhat similar to this in its pathological features, is recorded by Signior Moriei. A man, aged thirty, labouring under intermittent fever, died suddenly, after baving been to the water-closet. On inspection, the stomach was found ruptured on its anterior surface, to the extent of about two inches, and the contents had escaped into the abdomen. There was no softening or morbid change in the coats, with the exception that the mucous membrane was dotted with redness for a slight distance around the aperture. (Phil. Med. Examiner, Nov. 1845, p. 695.) It is obvious, that in alleged lacerations from violence, this liability to spontaneous rupture must not be forgotten. Peuctrating wounds of the stomach generally prove rapidly mortal; they seldom form a subject of medicolegal examination; but a singular case was tried at the Norwich Assizes in 1832, where a man was charged with the murder of his wife, by throwing at her a red-hot poker. The weapon completely perforated her stomach, and she died in six hours. It might be questioned whether this was a voound in the common sense of the term; it was an injury compounded of a burn, puncture, and laceration.

Ruptures of the bladder.—This injury, which has on several occasions of late years given rise to some medico-legal discussion, is frequently the result of blows on the lower part of the abdomen. The principal questions to be answered are: - Was the rupture the result of wilful violence or of an accidental fall? or Did it proceed from spontaucous causes, as from over-distension? The spot in which rupture commonly takes place is in the upper and posterior part, where the organ is covered by the peritoneum. The aperture is sometimes large, at others small; but the effect is, that the urine becomes extravasated and death takes place through peritoneal inflammation. It is commonly stated that these ruptures, when attended with the extravasation of nrine into the peritoneal cavity, are uniformly fatal. rupture occurs in the under part of the bladder, or the urine finds its way into the cellular tissue, the prognosis is not so unfavourable. Mr. Syme has lately reported a case of recovery under these circumstances, (Snrgical Contributions, 332.) Some accurate observers have even met with cases of recovery where there was every reason to believe that the peritoneum was involved in the rupture. A case of this description was reported by Mr. Arnott to the Medico-Chirurgical Society in 1843 (Lancet, Nov. 1843); and another recently occurred to Mr. Chaldecott, of Dorking. The patient, while his bladder was full, struck the lower part of his abdomen against a post. He fell, but was afterwards able to reach his home with some difficulty, at the distance of one bundred yards. He suffered under all the usual symptoms, and in eighteen hours peritouitis appeared. This was subdued, but again supervened, apparently from rupture of the adhe-In two months, however, the patient had wholly recovered. (Lancet, July 25, 1846, 112.)

The usual period at which death occurs from this accident, is in from three to seven days, but Mr. Ellis met with a case where the person did not die until the fifteenth day. There is another circumstance of medicolegal importance in respect to these ruptures; namely, that when produced by a blow, they are rarely accompanied by the slightest mark of ecchymosis, or of injury to the skin. Thus, then, there are no means of distinguishing, by an external examination, whether the rupture was really due to violence or spontaneous causes. They who

are unacquainted with this fact, might be disposed to refer the rupture to disease, on the supposition that violence would be indicated by the usual characters externally; hut the following eases will show that this view is erroncous. During a quarrel one man struck another a severe blow on the lower part of the ahdomen. The latter was carried home, confined to his bed, and died in seven days. inspection, there were only a few superficial excoriatious oa the skin of the abdomen. The bladder was found ruptured to the extent of two inches in its upper and back part; it was highly inflamed. Throughout the abdonien there were the marks of general peritoneal inflammation, of which the man had died. There was a sanguineous fluid effused, exhaling a strong ammoniacal odour. The person who inflicted the hlow was convicted of culpable homicide. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, 207.) Some doubt seems to have been thrown on the correctness of the medical opinion, that the rupture had been caused by a blow, hecause there was no cechymosis or other mark indicative of a severe blow over the region of the bladder. The witnesses properly auswered, that ruptures of the viscera of the abdomen from violence, were not necessarily attended with the marks of violence found in injuries to other parts, owing to the yielding and clastic nature of the parietes. One mentioned a case that had recently occurred to him, where a soldier had received in the abdomen a kick from a horse, which had ruptured the small intestines, and caused death; but there was not the slightest trace of violence externally.

In another case (Rex v. Eccles, Lancaster Lent Assizes, 1836), the prisoner, who was half intoxicated, met the deceased in the high road, and without receiving any provocation, gave him a violent kick on the lower part of his abdomen. The deceased turned sick; he attempted to pass his uriue, but could not; he was conveyed home, and died from peritonitis in five days. On inspection, there was no ecchymosis, or other injury to the skin indicative of external violence, but the bladder was found ruptured, and the contents extravasated. The rupture was attributed to the blow inflieted by the prisoner. In the defence, it was urged with great plausibility, that as there was no mark of a blow, the rupture might have occurred spoutaneously from simple over-distcusion. The judge in summing up, observed that if the rupture was thus occasioned, it was extraordinary that it should have happened immediately after a violent blow had been struck on the part. The distension of the organ might, however, have rendered the blow more dangerous than it otherwise would have been. prisoner was convicted. As an attempt may always he made on these occasious to refer a rupture of this organ to natural causes, it may be observed that this is a very unusual occurrence; a rupture is almost always the result of violence directly applied to the part, while the organ is in a distended state. A spontaneous rupture may, however. occur. 1. When there is paralysis, with a want of power to exnel the urine: 2, when the hladder is ulcerated or otherwise dis-

eased; 3, when there is an obstruction in the urethra from stricture or other causes. These causes of spontaneous rupture are easily recognizable by ascertaining the previous condition of the deceased, or examining the bladder and nrethra after death. If a man were in good health prior to being struck,-if he suddenly felt intense pain, could not pass his urine afterwards, and died from an attack of peritonitis in five or six days; if after death, the bladder was found lacerated, but this organ and the urethra were otherwise in a healthy condition, there can be no doubt that the blow was the sole cause of rupture and death. In such a case, to attribute the rupture to spontancous causes, would be equal to denying all kind of eausation. As to the absence of all marks of violence externally, this would only be n difficulty to those who had not previously made themselves acquainted with the facts attending this accident (see ante, p. 215). Neverthetheless, the medical witness must be prepared to hear the same line of defence continually urged; it is of course the object of a counsel to make the best of a case for the prisoner, and his duty consists in seeing him judged according to law, and not condemned contrary to law. With medical facts, opinions, and doctrines he does not concern himself, so long as they do not serve his purpose.

A diseased state of the bladder might probably diminish the responsibility of an accused person for the consequences; therefore the state of this organ should be closely looked to on these occasions. the summing up of the judge in the last case, it might be inferred, that the fact of the bladder being distended at the time of the blow, would be held a mitigatory eircumstance; but we can hardly suppose that such would be the deliberate opinion of our judges. The fact is, this most serious injury is never liable to occur from violence, except when the bladder is actually distended, which is occasionally its uatural condition! If there were anything unnatural or abnormal in the bladder containing urine, such a rule might, perhaps, apply; but as it is not so, the rule would bold out to persons a ready means of certainly destroying life without subjecting to them the same degree of responsibility as if they caused death in any other way. If a pregnant woman be killed by a blow on the abdomen, which causes rupture of the uterus, the act cannot he regarded as admitting of mitigation because the uterus is only occasionally in this distended state. Undoubtedly a blow on the distended bladder or uterus, is more daugerous than when these organs are undistended; but this ought not to diminish the responsibility of the aggressor.

Can the bladder be ruptured by an accidental fall, and if so, by what kind of full?—The following case, reported by Mr. Syme, shows that this accident may readily occur. A woman, aged twenty-six, fell forwards over the edge of a tub, and fainted immediately. On recovering herself, she complained of intense pain in the abdomen, with inability to pass the urine. Peritonitis came on, and she died in a week. On inspection, a small aperture was found

in the fundus of the bladder; the peritoneum was extensively inflamed, from the urine which had become effused. The ruptured surfaces had become partly glued together. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. Oct. 1836.) Rupture of the bladder may take place from an accidental fall, and cause death without necessarily laying open the peritoneal cavity. Two interesting cases of this kind have been reported by Mr. Wells. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 621.) The patients were sailors, who fell from their hammocks while in a state of intoxication. The usual symptoms followed,—one died in five and the other in eight days, from peritonitis; and after death it was clearly found, in one instance at least, that the bladder had been ruptured in the usual situation, but the peritoneum was entire, although in a state of intense inflammation. A more recent case of this kind, which was the subject of a trial (Reg. v. Dixon, Durham Lent Assizes, 1846), has been communicated to me by Mr. Steavenson. The prisoner kicked the deceased in the pubic region from behind. The man died from peritonitis in thirty-five On inspection, the bladder was found ruptured near its neck for about half an iuch, immediately above and to the left of the pros-The urine had become extravasated into the cellular tissue of the serotum: but although there was extensive inflammation, the peritoneum was not lacerated. On the other hand, a remarkable case is reported by Mr. Bower, in which a man died on the sixth day from rupture of the bladder; and after death, although the peritoueum was lacerated, and the cavity of the abdomen was filled with dark-coloured nrine, there was no sign of peritoneal inflammation! (Lanect, Dec. 19, 1846, 660.)

This accident is liable to occur in females during parturition, owing to the pressure of the child's head, an occurrence which may fix a charge of malapraxis on the medical attendant. He is expected to know the probability of such an accident occurring, and to guard against it, if necessary, by the frequent use of the catheter. A surgeon was a few years since tried on a charge of this kind. (Rea. v. Balsoner. Liverpool Lent Assizes, 1838.) It is important to remember, that although rupture of the bladder is commonly attended at the time, with inteuse pain, sickness, and prostration of strength, yet individuals may occasionally retain the power of exerting and moving themselves a ter the accident. (See cases, ante, p. 331.) In punctured and incised wounds of the bladder, the uriue is immediately extravasated; but in gun-shot wounds, the extravasation does not commonly take place until the sloughs have separated. Thus, life may be protracted longer in cases of gun-shot, than under other wounds of the bladder, Barzellotti relates the case of a medical student, shot through the bladder, in a duel, who did not die until the twentieth day, from the peritonitis which supervened on the extravasation. (Questioni di Med. Leg. t. iii. 174.) One instance of a person recovering from a gun-shot wound perforating the hladder, is reported by Mr. Douglas in the Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. vol. xiii. For the discovery of extravasated liquids or blood, in wounds and other injuries to the abdominal viscors, we smust look to the cavity of the pelvis, as it is here that, for obvious reasons, such liquids have a tendency to collect.

Wounds of the Genital Organs.—Wounds of these organs do not often require the attention of a medical jurist; such wounds, whether in the male or female, may, however, prove fatal to life by excessive hæmorrhage. Self-castration or mutilation is not unfrequent among male lunatics and idiots. An inquest was held some time since in London, upon an idiot, who had bled to death from a wound of this description. When timely assistance is rendered, a fatal result may be averted. Incised, lacerated, or even contused wounds of the female gcuitals may prove fatal by hæmorrhage, not from the wound involving any large vessel, but from the great vascularity of the Two females were in this way mnrdered in Edinburgh some years since. The wounds were inflicted by razors, and the women bled to death. (See cases by Watson, p. 104.) This crime appears to have been at one time frequent in Scotland. When deeply incised wounds are inflicted upon the genital organs of either sex, the fact of their existence in such a situation at once proves wilful and deliherate malice on the part of the assailant. Accident is wholly out of the question, and snicide is improbable, except in cases of confirmed idiocy and lunacy. Such wounds require to be carefully examined; for the proof of the kind of wound, when fatal, may be tantamount to the proof of murder. (For a case in which such a wound was homicidally inflicted upon a male, see Aun. d'Hyg. 1848, i. 443.)

Contused wounds on the female genitals prove sometimes fatal by the laceration of parts leading to fatal hemorrhage. Several trials for manslaughter have recently taken place in which this was proved to have been the cause of death. (See the case of Reg. v. Cawley, Livernool Winter Assizes, 1847.) The only circumstance requiring notice is that the hæmorrhage may prove fatal although no large blood-vessel be implicated in the injury. A ease in which a contused wound of the elitoris has thus proved tatal, has been communicated to the Lancet hy Mr. Gutteridge. (Oct. 31, 1846, 478.) A woman, æt. 36, received a kick from her husband in the lower part of the abdomen while she was in a stooping posture. She was seen by Mr. Gutteridge in about three-quarters of an hour, and she had then lost from three to four pounds of blood. She was then sinking, and expired in a few minutes after his arrival. On inspection, there was no injury to the nterus or vagina; but a wound was seen at the edge of the vulva, extending from the pubis along the ramus of that bonc. It was about an inch long and three-quarters of an inch deep. left erus clitoridis was crushed throughout its length, so as to exhibit its cavernous structure. From this the fatal hemorrhage had pro-The heart and great vessels contained no blood. hæmorrhage from such injuries is always likely to be more profuse when the female is pregnant. A ease of recovery from a contused wound to the genitals in a pregnant semale, act. 40, is reported by Dr. M'Clintock. It is stated that there was profuse harmorrhage from a laceration involving the meatus urinarins, but under early treatment the woman did well. (Medical Times, May 15, 1847, 233.) It is well known that some semales are subject to frequent discharges of blood from the genital organs from natural causes. When the harmorrhage immediately follows a blow, and a semale has not been subject to such a discharge, the fair presumption is that violence was the cause: but when the flow of blood appears only a long time after the alleged violence, of which no traces can he seen, it is most probably due to natural causes. An interesting case of this kind has been communicated to me by Mr. Procter, of York. There was no difficulty in giving an opinion that the flow of blood was not due to violence.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

FRACTURES.—PRODUCED BY A BLOW WITH A WEAPON OR BY A FALL
—OCCUR IN THE AGED—BRITTLENESS OF THE BONES—FRACTURES
CAUSED BY SLIGHT MUSCULAR EXERTION—IN THE LIVINO AND
DEAD EODY—HAS A BONE EVER BEEN FRACTURED—QUESTIONS
OF SURVIVORSHIP—DISLOCATIONS FROM VIOLENCE OR NATURAL
CAUSES—DIAGNOSIS—ACTIONS FOR MALAPRAXIS.

FRACTURES.

Fractures of the bones have some important bearings in relation to medical jurisprudence. They may result from falls, blows, or the spontaneous action of muscles.

Causes.—Questions are sometimes put as to whether a particular fracture was caused by an accidental fall or a blow; and if by a blow, whether by the use of a weapon or not. It is obvious that the answers must be regulated by the circumstances of each case. In examining a fracture, it is important to determine, if possible, whether a weapon has or has not been used, and this may be sometimes known by the state of the parts. It is a common defence on these occasions, to attribute the fracture to an accidental fall. Fractures more readily occur from equal degrees of force in the old, than in the young; and in the young rather than in the adult; because, it is at the adult period of life that the boues possess their maximum degree of firmness and solidity. The bones of aged persons are sometimes very brittle, and slight violence will then produce fracture. This has been regarded as an extenuating eircumstance, when the fracture was followed by Certain diseases, such as syphilis, arthritis, cancer, scurvy. and rachitis, render bones more fragile; but they are sometimes preternaturally brittle in apparently healthy persons, and this brittleness

appears to be bereditary. (Dub. Hosp. Gaz. Feb. 1846, 189.) In such cases, a defence might fairly rest upon an abnormal condition of the bones, provided the violence producing the fracture was slight. Two trials have lately taken place where this fragility of the bones became a subject of discussion. But the fracture may be attributed to spontaneous causes, even supposing there are no well-marked signs Thus, bones have been fractured by moderate muscular exertion. The oleeranon, os calcis, and patella, are particularly exposed to this accident. The long bones are very seldom the sobject of an accident of this kind; but the os humeri in a healthy man has been broken by the simple muscular exertion of throwing a cricket-ball. (Medical Gazette, xvi. 659.) Mr. May reports the case of a young lady, who fractored the neck of the scappila by suddenly throwing a necklace around her neck. (Med. Gaz. Oct. 1842.) It is probable that in these instances, if there were an opportunity of examining the bone, it would be found to have ondergone some chemical change in its composition, which had rendered it brittle. An interesting case of spontaneous fracture of the femur was brought into Guy's Hospital in December, 1846. A healthy man, et. 33, of temperate habits, was in the act of placing one leg over the other to look at the sole of his foot, when he heard something give way, and the right leg immediately hung down. On examination, it was found that the right os femoris had been transversely fractured at the junction of its middle with the This case is remarkable, inasmuch as spontaneous fractures of the thigh-bones are very rare,—as the man had not suffered from any of those diseases which cause preternatural fragility, and the fracture was not caused by violent muscular exertion. The actual condition of the bone was of course unknown; but it healed readily, and the man left the hospital at the usual period. In fractures arising from this cause there will be no abrasion of the skin, or any appearance to indicate that a blow had been struck, while the marks of a blow would, of course, remove all idea of the fracture having had a spontaneous origin. Fractures are not dangerous to life, unless when of a compound nature, they occur in old persons, or in those debilitated by disease or dissipated habits. They may then cause death by inducing irritative fever, crysipelas, gangrene, tetanus, or delirium tremens.

In the living or dead body.—It is not always easy to say, whether a fracture has been produced before or after death. A fracture produced shortly after death, while the body is warm, and another produced shortly before death, will present much the same characters, except, perhaps, that in the former case there would be less blood effused. One caused ten or twelve hours before death, would be indicated by copious effusion of blood in the surrounding parts, and between the fractured edges of the bones, as well as laceration of the muscles; or if for a longer period before death, there may be the marks of inflammation. Fractures caused several hours after death, are not

accompanied by an effusion of blood. A medical witness may be asked, how long did the deceased survive after receiving the fracture? is a question which can only be decided by an examination of the fractured part. Unless the individual has survived eighteen or twentyfour hours, there are commonly no appreciable changes. After this time, lymph is poured out from the surrounding structures. This slowly becomes hard from the deposition of phosphate of lime, and forms what is called "callus." In the process of time, this acquires all the hardness of the original bone. The death of a person may take place during these changes, and a medical man may then have to state the period at which the fracture probably bappened, in order to connect the violence with the act of a particular person. Unfortnnately, we have no satisfactory data, if we except the extreme stages of this process, upon which to ground an opiniou. We can say whether a person lived for a long or a short time after receiving a fracture, but to specify the exact time is clearly impossible; since this process of restoration in bone varies according to age, constitution, and many other circumstances. In young subjects, bones will unite rapidly, in the old slowly; in the diseased and unhealthy, the process is very slow, and sometimes does not take place at all. cording to Villermé, the callus assumes a cartilaginous structure in from sixteen to twenty-five days; and it becomes ossified in a period varying from three weeks to three months. It requires, however, a period of from six to eight months, for the callus to acquire all the hardness, firmness, and power of resisting shocks possessed by the original bone. A force applied to a recently united bone, will break it through the callus or bond of union, while after the period stated, the bone will break as readily through any other part. It is generally assumed, that the period required for the union of a simple fracture, is, in the thigh-bone, six weeks; in the tibia, five weeks; in the os bumeri, four weeks; and in the ulua and radius, three weeks; in the ribs, about the same period; but cases have been known where the ribs had not perfectly united in two months, and in some fractures of the other bones, union had not taken place in four months.

Has a bone ever been fractured? This question is sometimes pnt in reference to the living subject. It is well known that a bone seldom unites so evenly, but that the point of ossific union is indicated by a node or projection. Some bones are so exposed as to be well placed for this examination, as the radius, the clavicle and tibia,—these being but little covered by skin; in others, the detection is difficult. It is impossible for us to say when the fracture took place; it may have been for six months or six years, since, after the former period, the bone undergoes no perceptible change. These facts are of importance in relation to the dead as well as to the living: since they will enable us to answer questions respecting the identity of skeletons found under suspicious circumstances; and here medical evidence may take a wider range, for a fracture in any bone may be discovered, if not by

external examination, at least by sawing the bone through the suspected broken part, when, should the suspicion be correct, the bony shell will be found thicker and less regular in the situation of the united fracture, than in the normal state. So, in such cases, it will be easy to say whether a fracture is recent or of old standing. In the case of Clarke, who was mardered many years since by Eugene Aram, the traces of the fracture and indentation of the temporal bone were plainly distinguished on the exhumation of the skeleton of the deceased, thirteen years after the perpetration of the murder. The manner in which the murder was committed, was confessed by an accomplice, and the medical evidence corroborated this confession. An instance of the utility of this kind of knowledge came out on the trial of a gentleman in India, in 1833, for the murder of a native, Meer Khan. There was some reason to supposo, that the prisoner had been falsely accused of causing the death of the native. Two witnesses deposed that a few hours before the deceased died, the prisoner had struck him several blows on the chest, and had broken his ribs. The alleged murder having taken place some months previously to the trial, a skeleton was produced as being that of the deceased, by one of the persons who had assisted in burying the body. On examining the ribs, the medical witness found that only one rib was broken, and the fractured portious were united by a firm osseous eallus. He, therefore, declared, that the fracture could not have been caused a few hours before death: but that it must have existed for a period of at least eight or twelve days. Hence, the account given by the witnesses was rendered improbable; for the prisoner had used no violence to the deceased, except just before his death; the fracture, therefore, must have taken place from another cause some time previously. witness much understated the period at which the fracture probably occurred; for ossification only commences in the cartilage about the sixth day; and the specks of bony matter continue to increase from the eighth to the twelfth day, but the union is soft, and some weeks elapse before the callus becomes perfectly firm and hard.

Locomotion.—With respect to the power of locomotion after a fracture, it may be observed, that when the injury is in the upper extremity or in the ribs, unless many of them be broken, an individual may move about although unfitted for great exertion. Fractures of the lower extremity incapacitate a person from moving except to very short distances. See ease by Syme, Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. Oct. 1836. (The reader will find additional information on this subject in the Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, ii. 241; and 1844, ii. 146.)

DISLOCATIONS.

Dislocations are not very frequent in the old or in those persons whose bones are brittle. They rarely form a subject for medico-legal investigation. A witness is liable to be asked, what degree of force, and acting in which direction, would produce a dislocation,—a question

not difficult to answer. They are not dangerons to life, unless of a compound nature, when death may take place from secondary causes. A dislocation which has occurred in the living body would be known after death by the laceration of the soft parts in the neighbourhood of the joint, and by the copious effusion and coagulation of blood. For a good account of the post-mortem appearances four days after a dislocation of the humerus, see Med. Gaz. xxxi. 266. If of old standing, a dislocation would be identified by the cicatrices in surrounding struc-Dislocatious may occur from natural causes, as from disease and destruction of the ligaments in a joint, also from violent muscular spasm during an epileptic couvulsion. Dr. Dymock met with an instance of dislocation of the hunerns forwards during purperal convulsions .-(Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. April 1843; see also Lancet, April 1845. 440.) Locomotion may exist except when the injury is in the lower extremity, and even then it has been observed, that for some time after a dislocation of the hip-joint, considerable power over the limb remains; it is only after a few hours, that it becomes fixed in one position. Exertion with the dislocated member, is, in all cases, out of the question.

Diagnosis-malapraxis.-There are certain fractures of an obscure kind which closely resemble dislocation. This has been pointed out by Sir A. Cooper, in relation to fractures through the anatomical neck of the os humeri. (Guy's Hosp. Rep. ix. 272.) This accident might he easily mistaken for a dislocation. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 38) In attempting to reduce the boue, the head coutinnally falls back into the axilla. In such a case an action for malapraxis might be brought against a surgeon, and heavy damages recovered. It could only be hy a dissection of the part after death, that the real nature of the case would be ascertained. It is requisite, therefore, that great caution should be used in the diagnosis. The same observations apply to fractures of the neck of the thigh-bone, although with less force, because this is a much more common accident. It is well known, that fractures and dislocations, when cured, are often attended either with some slight deformity of the limb, or with some impairment of its functions. This result is occasionally inevitable under the best treatment; hut it is commonly set down as a sigu of unskilfulness in the medical attendant. Actions for malapraxis are instituted, and in spite of good evidence in his favour, the surgeon is sometimes heavily fined for a result which could not be avoided. There is often great injustice in these proceedings, and the mischief can only be remedied by referring the facts to a medical tribuual, which alone should be competent to decide whether or not unskilfuluess had really been shown in the management of a case.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

GUN-SHOT WOUNDS—THEIR DANGER—ON THE LIVING AND DEAD BODY—WAS THE PIECE FIRED NEAR OR FROM A DISTANCE?—CASES—EVIDENCE FROM SEVERAL WOUNDS—THE PROJECTILE NOT DISCOVERED—DEPLECTION OF BALLS—WAS THE PIECE LOADED WITH BALL?—ACCIDENTAL, SUICIDAL OR HOMICIDAL WOUNDS—POSITION OF THE WOUNDED PERSON WHEN SHOT—WOUNDS FROM SMALL SHOT—WOUNDS FROM WADDING AND GUNFOWDER—IDENTITY FROM THE FLASH OF POWDER—EXAMINATION OF THE PIECE.

Gun-shot wounds are of the contnsed kind, but they differ from other wounds, in the fact that the vitality of the parts struck by the projectile is destroyed, and this leads ultimately to a process of sloughing. The legal definition of a wound applies here, as in other cases, so that in order to constitute a gun-shot wound within the meaning of the statute, the cutis must be injured. In the case of the Queen against Mortlock, (Cambridge Lent Assizes, 1843,) the surgeon deposed that there was a circular wound on the skin, by which it had been deprived of its cuticle, but the true skin was not penetrated. The hullet had struck obliquely at a very considerable angle; had it been otherwise, it must have entered the abdomen. The judge said that as the true skin was not penetrated, there was no wounding within the meaning of the statute.

Their danger .- The medico-legal questions which arise out of gunshot wounds, are much the same as those which have been examined in relation to other wounds. They are very dangerous to life, more especially when they penetrate or traverse any of the great cavities of the body. Death may take place directly from hamorrhage or shock; although immediate or copious hemorrhage is not a common character of these injuries. Death from shock is occasionally witnessed. In the case of the policeman Daly, who was killed by a pistol-hullet in Hornsey Wood, May 1842, it was found, on inspection, that the bullet had traversed the distended stomach at the cardiac end from behind forwards. The two apertures were about the size of a shilling. and the edges black. There was but little blood effused, and the other viscera were uninjured. The deceased died in a few seconds after receiving the wound, obviously from a shock to the nervous system. (Lancet, May 1842.) Indirectly, these wounds are attended with much danger; sloughing generally takes place uniformly throughout the whole of the perforation, and inflammation or fatal hæmorrhage may cut short life. If the individual survive the first effects, he may

die at almost any period from suppurative fever, crysipelas, gangrene, or from the results of operations absolutely required for his treatment. Gnn-sbot wounds may thus destroy life after very long periods of time. Marshal Maison, one of Napolcon's generals, died in Paris in 1840, it is said from the effects of a gun-shot wound received forty years beforc. In gun-shot wounds of a severe kind, the first symptoms do not always indicate the degree of mischief. Thus in the case of Mr. Drummond, who was shot by M'Naughten, in January 1843, the symptoms were in the first instance so slight, that the bullet was snpposed not to have penetrated the cavity of the abdomen, but to have coursed round the skin. Death took place in a few days, and it was then found that the bullet had completely traversed the abdomen, perforating the diaphragm. Army surgeons have also remarked that slight wounds of the parietes are often insidiously attended with deepscated injury. Death might in such a case be improperly ascribed to mismanagement, when it may have been really due to the wound. (See cases by Mr. Alcock, Med. Gaz. xxiv. 850.) It is not easy to mistake a gun-shot wound for any other injury. If the circumstances under which it is produced, do not satisfactorily account for its origin, a simple examination will suffice to show its true nature. Sometimes the projectile or part of the dress is found lodged in the wound.

On the living and dead hody.—A medical witness may be asked, whether the wound was inflicted before or after death. It is by no means easy to answer this question, unless the bullet has injured some vessel, when the effusion of blood, and the formation of coagula, will indicate that the person was living when it was received. In a gunshot wound on the dead, no blood is effused, unless the bullet happen

to strike a vcin.

Was the piece fired near or from a distance.—A guu-shot wound produced by the muzzle of the piece being placed near to the surface of the body, has the following characters:-There may be two apertures, the one of entrance and the other of exit; but it sometimes happens that the bullet lodges and does not pass out. The edges of the aperture of entrance are torn and lacerated, and appear blackened, as if they had been burnt; this arises from the heat and flame of the gunpowder at the moment of explosion. The skin is often eccbymosed, and is much blackened by the powder :- the clothes covering the body are blackened by the discharge, and sometimes iguited by the flame. If the muzzle of the piece was not in immediate contact with the part struck, the wound is rounded; but if there has been direct contact. the skin, besides being burnt, is torn and much lacerated. hæmorrhage is usually slight, and when this occurs it is more commonly from the orifice of exit, than from that of entrance. be observed, that the aperture of entrance is round, only when the bullet strikes point-hlank or nearly so. If it should strike obliquely, the orifice will have more or less of an oval or valvular form, and in this way we may sometimes determine the relative position of the assailant

with respect to the wounded party. Supposing the hullet to have heen fired from a moderate distance, but so near as to have bad sufficient momentum to traverse the hody, then the appearance of the wound will be different. The orifice of eutrance will be well defined, round or oval, according to the circumstances,-the skin slightly depressed,—the edges presenting a faint bruised appearance, but the surrounding parts are neither blackened or burut, nor do they present any marks of hamorrhage. In all cases the orifice of exit is large, irregular, the edges somewhat everted, and the skin lacerated, but free from all marks of blackness or burning: it is generally three or four times as large as the cutrance-aperture. This is denied, by Dr. Malle (Ann. d'Hyg. 1840, 458), but it appears to me upon insufficient grounds. The orifice of entrance is, however, always large and irregular, when the hullet strikes near the extremity of its range. Under common circumstances, the entrance-aperture has generally the appearance of being smaller than the projectile, owing to the clastienty of the living skin. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, ii. 319.) It is the same with the aperture in the dress, when this is formed of an elastic material:—according to Dupuytren, the hole in the dress is always smaller than that made by the bullet in the integuments. points should be remembered in fitting projectiles to wounds which they are supposed to have produced.

Useful evidence may be sometimes obtained by a careful examination

of the projectile, the identity of which should be preserved by the medical witness. In the case of the King against Howe and Wood, (Stafford Lent Assizes, 1813), it was proved that the deceased had died from a gun-shot wound in the back. The hullet extracted from the wound, was found to have been discharged from a pistol with a screw-barrel. A weapon of this kind was found on the prisoner, as well as a bullet, which had evidently been east in the same mould as that taken from the body of the deceased. (Wills's Circ. Evidence, 264.) On these occasions, the medical attendant should either keep possession of any of the projectiles, which he may remove from a wound, or deliver them only into the hands of responsible persons, An examination of the dress alone will sometimes enable us to give an opinion as to where the bullet has passed in, and thus to form a judgment of the direction in which the shot was fired. If a ball strikes at a moderate distance, the aperture in the dress where it

shirt, and undershirt, where it had entered; but it produced a large irregular opening where it had passed out. Sometimes portions of the dress are carried into the wound,—or if the ball be nearly spent, the dress is elongated like a pouch into the wound. By putting the edges of the cloth together where the hullet has passed in, it may be

enters, is round, and the margin is regularly defined; but the aperture by which it passes out is irregular and torn. In the case of a friend who was wounded in Paris during the revolution of 1830, the hall traversed the left arm:—it had taken out a circular piece of the coat, seen whether any of the cloth has been carried before it. The holes are generally ragged, but the nearer the wounded person is to the assailant, the more perfect is the hole in the dress,—provided the piece be not discharged in immediate contact. The bruised and dark appearance which a gun-shot wound sometimes presents, even when the piece is discharged at a distance from the body, led to the supposition that this effect was due to a burn, and that the bullet burnt the parts which it tonched; but this idea has been long exploded. The projectile never becomes sufficiently heated to acquire the least

power of burning.

The question whether a piece was fired near to or at a distance from the wounded party, may become of material importance on a charge of homicide, or of alleged suicide. Two persons may quarrel, one having a loaded weapon in his hand, which he may allege to have been accidentally discharged, and to have killed the deceased. If the allegation be true, we ought to find on the body the marks of a nearwound: if, however, it were such that it had been produced from a distance, and therefore after the quarrel,—the medical proof of this fact might imply malice, and involve the accused in a charge of mur-The following case occurred in Ireland in 1834:- A tithecollector was tried for the murder of a man, by shooting him. It appeared in evidence, that the prisoner, while on duty, was attacked by the deceased and two of his sons, and he drew a pistol to intimidate He was dragged off his horse by these parties, and during the scuffle, it is supposed, the pistol accidentally went off, and inflicted a wound on the deceased, of which he died shortly afterwards. The sons of the deceased swore that the prisoner, when at some distance, took a deliberate aim, and fired the pistol at their father; and a priest came forward to depose, that such was the dying declaration of the deceased. From some subsequent suspicion of the truth of this story, the body, which had not been properly inspected in the first instance, was ordered to be disinterred. It was carefully examined by a surgeon, who was enabled to swear positively, that the pistol must have been fired close to the body of the deceased, and not at a distance; since there were the marks of powder and burning on the wrist. Hence it clearly followed, that the pistol had been discharged during the scuffle, either by accident or in self-defence. The prisoner was acquitted, and the parties who had appeared as witnesses against him, were indicted and convicted of perjury. In the case of Mr. Pearce, a surgeon who was tried at the Central Criminal Court, in 1840, for shooting at his wife, and was found insane, it appeared from the medical evidence that the pistol had been fired so near to the person of the prosccutrix, that her dress was hurnt and the skin blistered. Mr. Marshall relates that when stationed at Ceylon with troops, a man, who had but recently joined the regiment, was placed as sentry in a position where he was occasionally fired at by the enemy from the surrounding jungle. The man was one day found severely wounded; the calf of his leg was

greatly torn; the whole charge of a musket having passed through it. He attributed the wound to a shot from the enemy, but from the skin of the leg being completely blackened by charcoal, it was clear that it must have arisen from the discharge of his own musket. He had inflieted this wound upon himself, in order to obtain a discharge from These examples then show, that both the dress and skin of a person who has received a gnu-shot wound should be closely The result may be, that the statement given of the mode in which the wound was received will be cutirely disproved. The case of M. Peytel, tried in France, in September 1839, presents many points of great interest in relation to the medical jurisprudence of gun-shot This gentleman was travelling in a carriage, in company with his wife, and attended by a man-servant. The wife and the man-servant were found dead on the road, and the account given by M. Peytel was, that the servant had discharged a pistol into the carriage and shot his wife, and he had afterwards pursued and killed him. The facts, however, were so suspicious againt M. Peytel, that he was charged with the double murder. From an examination of the body of the wife, it appeared, that there were two pistol-wounds in the face, which had most prohably been produced by two separate pistols. prisoner alleged, that about nine o'clock at night, when it was dark, he desired the servant to get down in order to relieve the horses. Two minutes afterwards, some man, whom he found to be the servant, approached the carriage-door, discharged a pistol at him, and wounded his wife; but the evidence showed that two weapons must have been used, or at least two different discharges made by a person sitting very near to the deceased, so that the muzzles must have almost touched her face, the eyelashes and skin having been much hurnt hy the These facts, together with other strong circumstances against him, led to the prisoner's conviction. Dr. Ollivier, who appeared in the prisoner's favour, considered that the deceased might have been shot by the servant, and that the two wounds might have been produced by one pistol loaded with two bullets; also, that the marks of burning about the face of the deceased might be attributed to the wadding, and therefore they afforded no proof that the muzzle of the pistol had, at the time of its discharge, been close to her person. He also contended that the deceased had not died from the wounds. Notwithstanding these ingenious medico-legal arguments, there can be no doubt that the prisoner was very properly convicted. Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, 339; 1842, i. 368.)

It has been said, that when a hullet is fired near, it commonly traverses; and therefore it has heen rather hastily assumed, that where there is only one external wound, and the bullet has lodged, this is a proof that the piece has been fired from a distance. This inference is, however, erroneous. A bullet may be fired close to the person, and yet not traverse the body, either from its impulsive force not heing sufficiently great, or from its meeting great resistance in the body. Many

cases might be cited to show, that in the near-wounds produced by suicides and murderers, the bullets have not always traversed the In suicide, when the piece is discharged into the mouth, the projectile often lodges in some part of the cranium. In the assassination of Mr. Drummond, the pistol was discharged close to the back of the deceased ;-the ball, however, had not traversed,-it had lodged beneath the skin in the fore-part of the abdomen. It is then, it appears to me, out of the power of a witness to say, from the mere fact of a hullet lodging or traversing, whether the assassin was far off or near at the time the deceased was wounded. The latter point may he sometimes readily determined by the marks of injury and burning ahout the skin and dress. When a gun or pistol is discharged at the distance of three or four yards from the person, it will not of course produce those marks of blackening, burning, and bruising on the skin, which are always found when the muzzle is within a few inches of the Such a wound may remove the suspicion of suicide, and create a strong presumption of homicide. Dr. Lachése found that in firing a gun at the distance of four feet, the skin was only partially It would he very important in a case of this kind to notice the direction of the wound, as well as the relative position of the assailant and assailed, as stated by witnesses or deduced from cir-In this respect the facts connected with the death of Charles XII. of Sweden are of some interest. On the night of the 11th of December, 1718, the king, who was hesigging the fortress of Frederickshall, during an examination of the works, clambered up a mound facing the enemies' hatteries and within reach of their fire. There were with him, but at different distances from him, several nohlemen. Suddenly the king gave a deep sigh, and fell dead on the parapet, with his face towards the fortress. had struck him on the right temple, traversed the brain from right to left, and forced the left eye from its socket. The direction of the wound tended clearly to prove that the king was not struck by a ball from the battery which he was facing; but that this had been fired by some person on his right hand. Suspicion fell upon a M. Siquier, who was at the time in attendance on the king: whether this was well founded or not, there can be but little doubt that the king was assassivated.

Evidence from several wounds.—When several wounds are found on a hody,—can we determine whether they were produced by one or several different discharges? This question was raised in Peytel's case, as there were two wounds on the deceased, and the prisoner alleged that the servant had fired hut one pistol. M. Ollivier thought that this might be explained by supposing that there had been two hullets in the pistol:—it was, however, affirmed by some military officers and other witnesses, that these wounds had been produced by separate pistols, a fact which overthrew the defence of the prisoner. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1842, i. 368.) It is proper to remark that one ball

may produce several wounds on the body; there will be only one orifice of entrance, but owing to the ball occasionally splitting within the body, and dividing itself into three or four pieces, there may be several orifices of exit. This splitting of balls has repeatedly occurred where the projectile in its course has encountered an angular surface, or a projecting ridge of bone. Dupnytren met with an instance, where a ball, after having struck the ridge of the tibia, divided itself into two parts, which traversed the calf of that leg, and penetrated into the calf of the opposite leg. Thus no less than five wounds were produced in one instance by a single ball; three of entrance and two of exit. Had this man been found dead, and nothing known concerning him, this singular circumstance would probably have given rise to considerable embarrassment. After a careful examination, a surgeon might have been induced to declare, that this person must have received three distinct shots. A similar effect was observed in another case, where the bullet struck the parietal bone and divided itself into two portions: - one passed out superficially through the integuments, the other penetrated into the brain, and lodged on the tentorinm. This fact shows, that the discovery of an exit-aperture, does not always prove that the whole of a projectile has passed out,—a matter which may influence the prognosis.

The projectile not discovered.—It is not absolutely necessary for the conviction of a party on a criminal charge of maliciously shooting at another, that the bullets or shot should be produced, or that they should even have been found on a post-mortem examination of the body. In the case of the Queen v. Cottrell, tried in 1839, the deceased was seen to drop, and his face was covered with blood, persons going up to him, he was found dead. The medical evidence established, that there was a gun-shot wound in the left eye, leading to the brain, and that this had caused death. The shot could not be The prisoner's counsel objected on this ground, that there was no proof of a gun-shot wound having been inflicted: but the judge said, the circumstances were sufficient to warrant the jury in inferring that the deceased had been struck by some substance from the gun, which caused his death; and it was not necessary to prove whether this had been done by leaden shot or pellets. If, however, it should happen that no wound was produced by the discharge, there would be a want of evidence as to whether the piece was loaded or not, and the secused would probably escape on this ground, unless he were very near to the party whom he attacked, or the bullet were discovered. This subject gave rise to much discussion in the case of the Queen against Oxford in 1840. By this case, it seems to have been decided, that the proof of a piece being loaded with ball or shot, is not necessary, provided the prisoner were so near to the party when he fired it, that mischief might be done by the wadding or gunpowder only. This, as we shall presently find, becomes occasionally a medical question.

Deflection of balls.—When a ball traverses the hody, it sometimes happens that the two apertures are opposite to each other, although it may not have taken a rectilinear course between them, but have been variously deflected by the subjacent soft parts. This deflection of a hall from a rectilinear course, is especially met with in those cases where it happens to strike obliquely a curved surface, and it is found that when the hall enters and does not pass out, its course is often extremely circuitous, so that it is not always easy to say in what part of the body it may be found. In 1830, I saw at the Hôtel-Dieu, a hov who had received a gun-shot wound in the scrobiculus cordis; the entrance-orifice was very plainly situated there, but there was an opening at the back, nearly diametrically opposite, out of which the ball had passed, so that it conveyed the impression that the ball had completely traversed the abdomen. There was no sign of collapse or depression, nor any indication of scrious injury; and Dupmytren gave an opinion, which was afterwards verified, - that the ball had not penetrated, but had been deflected beneath the skin, and had taken a circuitous course through the cellular membrane to the back. similar facts are recorded. The same deflection may occur even when the piece is discharged close to the body, as in cases of suicide. Abernethy was once called to examine a man, who had shot himself, as it was supposed, through the head. He found two openings in the scaln, nearly opposite to each other; it was soon perceived on examination, that the ball had not penetrated the bone, but had followed the curve of the exterior of the cranium to its point of exit.

The deflection of projectiles may occur not inerely when they come in contact with bone, but when they meet skin, muscles, tendons, and fas. ac,—the hall then takes its course in the interstices between these different structures. A ball which entered at the ankle, has been known to make its exit at the knee: and another, which entered at the back of the left shoulder, passed down on the inside of the scapula, and was found below the right mastoid process. This deflection of balls by such slight obstacles, has been ascribed partly to the obliquity with which they strike, and partly to the rotatory motion on its axis which every spherical projectile is considered to have. It does not appear to be much connected with the degree of velocity, for the same deviation bas been found to occur where the bullet was fired near or at a distance.

If we can at any time discover two fixed points where the ball has touched a building, without heing reflected, it will he easy to determine the situation from which the piece was discharged. A singular example of this kind is stated by Mr. Watson to have occurred at Ayr in 1831. Several shots had been maliciously fired into a church. Some of the bullets traversed a window, making holes in the glass, and struck against a wall on the other side of the church,—a fact plainly-indicated by the marks which they left. A straight line carried from these two points, reached a window on the opposite side of the street.

from which it was afterwards ascertained the hullets had heen fired.

Among the questions connected with this subject is the following: -Whether, when a gnn hursts, the projectile would take the direction which it would otherwise have taken, had the piece remained entire? (The King v. Morgan, Monmouth Lent Ass. 1835.) The prisoner in this case was charged with having fired at the deceased with intent to murder. The gun burst in his hand, and produced upon his person, wounds, wherehy he was subsequently clearly identified. It was alleged in the defence, that the gon might have been pointed in another direction, and that the deceased was killed accidentally by the charge becoming scattered at the time the piece hurst. The question was answered in the affirmative, for the borsting of a gan is simultaneous with the impulsive direction given to the charge. The shot found in the deceased's hody, proved that the gun must have been pointed at her, notwithstanding the accidental hursting of the piece.

Was the piece loaded with ball?—At one of the trials which took place for an attempt on the life of the Queen, it was asked whether it were possible to determine if a recently discharged gun or pistol had been loaded with ball or not. It is impossible to give an answer to this question, merely by an examination of the weapon. The report, if heard, is said to be louder and sharper in the case of a piece loaded with ball, than when it is charged with gunpowder and wadding only. If a piece were fired in a direction, so that the projectile met with any hard or resisting object,—the fact of a bollet having been used would be proved, if not by the discovery of a flattened projectile, by the trace of a deep leaden mark in the situation of the part struck.

Survivorship.—A witness may he asked—When the gun-sbot wound was inflicted, and how long the wounded party survived after receiving it. A gun-shot wound undergoes no change for eight or ten hours after its infliction. Onr jodgment may be assisted by observing what parts are involved, although we cannot always infer from the quantity of blood found near, that the hæmorrhage was an immediate consequence of the wound, or that the whole of the blood was effused at once. We cannot then always deny that the deceased could not have moved or exerted bimself in some degree, after receiving it. The exertion thus made subsequently to bis being wounded, may have actually cansed the fatal hæmorrhage.

Accidental Suicidal or Homicidal wounds.—When it is doubtful whether the wound was the result of accident, suicide, or bomicide, the point may be often settled by paying attention to its situation and direction. Suicidal gun-shot wounds are almost always directed to a vital part—to the beart or to the brain:—they possess those characters which belong to wounds inflicted near to the body:—the skin is blackened or burnt, the wound wide and lacerated,—the band which discharged the weapon often blackened,—and sometimes still grasping

the pistol. The ball may or may not have traversed, as this will depend on the momentum which it derives from the charge, and the resistance which it experiences. (See the case of the Queen v. Thomas, Brecon Lent Assizes, 1845.) The situation in this instance negatived the supposition of suicide. Snicidal gun-shot wounds are seldom situated at the posterior surface of the body; therefore the determination of the point of entrance, if the ball has traversed, is of some im-The direction of these wounds is probably of less moment than their situation, because the projectile is liable to be deflected in the body. In a dnel which occurred in Paris, in 1827, one of the parties, a tall man, was killed by a ball which was found to have entered below the right shoulder and to have taken a direction down-In consequence of this, it was thought that be had been shot unfairly by his antagonist, who was short in stature. Breschet aud others explained the suspicious course of the wound, by saying that the ball had struck the under part of the clavicle, and had thence probably been deflected downwards.

Accidental wounds also bear the characters of near wounds:-they may touch vital parts, but if the body be not disturbed, the presence or absence of design in the infliction of the wound, is commonly made apparent by the relative position of the body and the weapou. They frequently arise from persons drawing the charges of guns or pistols with the muzzles pointed towards them, and they are then situated in front;—at other times they are produced by persons pulling towards them through a hedge, or dragging after them, a loaded gun. latter case the wound is behind, and strongly resembles a homicidal wound, although the circumstances under which the body is found, generally suffice to explain the matter. In the following case of attempted suicide, the characters of the wound somewhat resembled those which are commonly imputed to bouicide. In March 1844, a man was brought to Gny's Hospital, with a large ragged gun-sbot wound on the right side of the head, bebind the augle of the jaw, and between it and the mastoid process. No slngs or bullets could be found; the direction was from behind forwards, and from above downwards. According to this man's statement, the pistol missed fire three times, but he succeeded in discharging it into his mouth, at the fourth attempt. He lost a large quantity of blood, but after some time, he walked to a table at a distance of five yards, reloaded the pistol, and discharged it at the back of his bead in the situation described. Thus, then, there were in this case, two wounds, one homicidal in its characters; and a power of locomotion after the first wound, in spite of considerable hæmorrhage. A gun-shot wound in the mouth or temple would seldom be set down to accident, and yet attempts are occasionally made to ascribe to such wounds an accidental origin. The admission of a near wound in the temple occurring from accident, must depend entirely upon the circumstances proved. (See the case of Reg. v. Tottenham, Norfolk Lent Assizes, 1845.)

In suicide there is commonly strong evidence of design: in accident, all evidence of design is wanting. Suicides sometimes make use of extraordinary weapons, or use weapons in an extraordinary In a case that was brought into St. Thomas's Hospital, some years since, a young man employed, for the purpose of shooting himself, the case of an Italian iron, in which he had filed a touch-hole. He used a markle for a bullet, and discharged the piece into his mouth. Guus are rarely used by suicides, and when they are employed, the marks of design are commonly apparent:-thus the gun is perhaps found to have been discharged by a piece of string attached to the trigger and the deceased's foot. In one instance a man loaded a gun, and placed the stock and breech in a grate. He then deliberately lighted a fire in the grate, and sat opposite the muzzle. When suicides destroy themselves by guns, the wounds are never situated behind. A wound in the back from a gnn, indicates either accident or homi-Important incdical questions sometimes arise out of a case of this kind, for the circumstances under which a dead body so wounded is found, may entirely forbid the supposition of accident. In the case of the King v. Adams, tried at the Berkshire Assizes, 1836, in which the prisoner was charged with the murder of his father, the gun-shot wound, which had caused death, was situated in the os occipitis. weapon was found near: hence there could be no doubt that this was an act of nurder. The prisoner was acquitted; since, although he was seen running from the spot at or about the time of the murder. another gun was heard to be discharged at the same spot, about an hour afterwards; and it was impossible, from a medical examination of the wound, to say at what particular period it had been caused. somewhat similar case occurred more recently (the Queen v. Richards. Warwick Lent Assizes, 1843). The deceased was found dead, lying on his back, with his gun placed on the front of his body, reaching from his thigh to some inches above his head. On inspection, it was ascertained that death had been caused by a severe gun-shot wound at the back of the right ear. Two surgeons of Birmingham gave it as their opinion, that from the position of the would, the body, and the weapon, death could not have occurred from design or accident on the part of the deceased, but might have taken place from the accident of The prisoner was acquitted, as there was insufficient proof to connect him with the act.

Position of the wounded person when shot.—Did the deceased receive the shot while standing, falling, or lying dowu? Was the piece, when discharged, pointed from the shoulder?—These questions can only be auswered by reference to the particular circumstances of the case. In general, when a person is shot while standing, and the piece is pointed from the shoulder, the wound is more or less transverse; but due allowance must be made for the deflection of balls after penetration. (The Queen v. Magarity, Central Criminal Court, July 1841.)

Was the deceased shot while running away, or when approaching the person who fired?—This question is answered by observing in the case of a traversing wound, in which alone any difficulty can arise, whether the entrance-orifice be situated in front or behind. A trial took place at the Kent Assizes, some years since, in which this question was material. An officer in the Preventive Service was charged with having caused the death of a man, by shooting him. The deceased was in company with a strong party of smugglers, whom the prisoner and his men were pursuing. During their retreat, the companions of the deceased fired on the Preventive Service men, and there seemed great reason to believe that he was accidentally killed by one of the shots so fired, as he was at the time between the pursuers and pur-If, however, this had been the case, it was clear that he must have received the gun-shot wound in front, as he himself was in the act of retreating. On the other hand, it was uncertain, from the general evidence, whether he had not been shot by the prisoner; because, although it did not appear that shots had been fired by him or any of his party, yet it was proved that in running he tripped and fell, and his gun went off at the same instant, so that it was not impossible that the deceased might have received the mortal wound in this man-The whole case, therefore, rested on the evidence of the medical There were two surgeous, who were examined,—one for witnesses. the prosecution, and the other for the defence. The witness who appeared for the prosecution, deposed, that he found the body of the deceased traversed by a gun-shot wound, which had caused death from the laceration of an artery, and the consequent hiemorrhage. the orifices of the wound was situated in the lower part of the buttock, and the other in the upper part of the groin, so that the latter was higher up than the former. He made an inspection of the body, and in his judgment the ball had passed through the bones of the pelvis, from behind. According to the opinion of this witness, therefore, the prisoner must have caused the death of the deceased. For the defence, a surgeon in the navy, who, it appeared, had had considerable experience relative to gun-shot wounds, was called. He stated that he examined the hody of the deceased in the presence of the first witness, but he was of opinion that the ball had entered in front, and passed out behind the body. The reasons which he assigned for this opinion were, that the wound in front was much smaller than that situated hehind, and its edges were smooth and depressed, or turned inwards: while the opening behind was twice or three times the size of that before, and was ragged and uneven, the fragments of bone lying about the opening, and being partly lodged in the muscles of the buttock. These facts proved to him, most unequivocally, that the ball had entered in front, having, with diminished impetus, torn itself out posteriorly. If the ball had entered from behind, he should have expected that the fragments of bone would not have been carried upwards and inwards into the pelvis, and would not have been lodged

about the buttock. The value of this witness's evidence was most materially affected by the cross-examination which he underwent. He thea stated, that he did not make an inspection of the body until after it had been already inspected, and sewn up. He did not see the state of the bone itself, and his examination of it was but slight. mitted that the openiugs of the wouad afforded better evidence than the state of the bone; as also that the bone would certainly be shattered, where the ball had entered. They both agreed, in the first instance, that the hall bad entered in front. No reason was assigned why his evidence afterwards differed so materially from that of his eolleague. Dr. Smith, who reports this ease, does not say what was the result, and we are, therefore, left in doubt upon which of the two witnesses' opinions the verdict of the jury was based; but if it was found that the prisoner had been the eause of the death of the man, it would have been, upon the evidence, no more than misadventure. The view of the latter witness was most probably correct, namely, that the ball had entered in front, and that the deceased was shot by bis own party;—because the reasons assigned by him were satisfactory and consistent with general experience on the subject; but his opinion was invalidated by the admission that he had made but a superficial and imperfect examination of the body; as also that he did not see it until after it had been inspected, and, therefore, not until the parts had been interfered with by others. The direction of the wound,—its passing from above downwards and from hefore backwards, also throws a shade of doubt upon its correctness; since, for the shot to have been fired in front, the individual who fired it, must bave been much elevated above the deceased (a circumstance which did not appear from the evidence), or a ball could not have taken such a course; while, on the other hand, its direction was precisely such as it would have taken if it had been discharged from the prisoner's gnn, since it was established by the evidence, that he, the prisoner, had fallen, while pursuing, and bis gun had become then accidentally discharged.

Wounds from small-shot. — Death is sometimes occasioned by small-shot, and here several medico-legal questions present themselves. Small-shot may act in two ways:—1, it either strikes without spreading, in which ease the discharge is always near the person, and its action is much more dangerous than that of a single ball, because it produces extensive lacerations; or, 2d, it strikes after it has spreadand here the discharge must have been distant, and comparatively little mischief is done. Dr. Lachése ascertained, by many experiments, that in order to produce, with small-shot, a regularly round opening like that resulting from a bullet, the discharge sbould not take place at a distance greater than ten or twelve inches from the surface of the hody. When the distance was from twelve to eighteen inches, the opening made was irregular, and the borders were much lacerated; at thirty-six inches, a central opening was entirely lost, and the surface of the hody was covered by shot. The effect after

this was found to depend on the distance, the goodness of the gun, and the strength of the charge (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, 386): but it is in general much scattered over the surface of the body. In this way, we may form an opinion of the distance at which the piece was fired. In the case of the Queen v. Chapman (Oxford Leut Assizes, 1839), it was proved that the deceased had been killed by small-shot fired from a gun; that the discharge must have taken place very near, as the shot had not been scattered, and the point of the gun must have been below the level of the wound, as the direction was rather upwards. Two medical witnesses were examined, and both agreed that the gun, when fired, could not have been pointed from the shoulder, judging from the direction of the wound. A similar question was raised, and it was decided that the discharge of the gun took place accidentally during a struggle, in the ease of Reg. v. Hull (Oxford Summer Ass. 1846). It can seldom happen that a circular wound will be made by small-shot without the dress being singed or burnt. A wound of this description must not, however, be mistaken for one produced by a bullet.

Small-shot is rarely observed to traverse the body entirely, unless discharged so near as to make a clean round opening; but a single pellet reaching the body may destroy life. Two cases have already been mentioned; one where a young man was killed by a single pellet wounding the fifth intercostal artery; the other, where a girl was killed by a pellet traversing the orbitar-plate and wounding the brain. Such minute wounds might be easily overlooked in an examination of the body. The case of the Queen v. Kendrew (York Winter Assizes, 1844), is of some medico-legal importance. The medical evidence was very satisfactory. It was shown to be highly improbable that deceased could have shot himself with small-shot from a gun, as the shot were scattered, and there was no round opening. Small-shot, even when wounding only the skin of the back very superficially, has been known to cause death by tetanus.

Wounds from vadding and gunpowder.—It matters not with what the piece is charged,—it is capable, when fired near, of producing a wound which may prove fatal. Thus, a piece loaded with wadding, or even gunpowder only, may cause death. In all these cases, an impulsive force is given by the explosion, and the substance becomes a dangerous projectile. The lighter the projectile, the shorter the distance to which it is carried; but when discharged near to the body, it may produce a fatal penetrating wound. A portion of the dress of the individual may be carried into the wound, and lead to death from hæmorrhage; or if the wounded person recover from the first effects, he may subsequently sink under an attack of tetanus or erysipelas. It is unfortunate that so much iguorance prevails on this point: for fatal accidents are continually occurring from persons discharging guns at others in sport,—an act which they think they may perform without danger, because they are not loaded with ball or shot. In

the case of the Queen v. Race (Bury Lent Assizes, March 1840), it was proved that the prisoner had killed the deceased by discharging at him, within a few fect, a gun loaded with powder and paper-wadding. This was done out of a joke at a fair. The deceased fell, and died in a few minutes; it was found that the chest was penetrated, and that the wadding had wounded the left auricle of the heart. In October, 1836, during a boat-race at Greenwich, a gentleman fired a blunderbuss towards a crowd of persons. The piece was charged with powder, and this was ranned down with a kid-glove having a metallic button attached to it. A man standing on the shore, at the distance of ten or twelve feet, received the charge in his abdomen. The dedeased died in twelve hours from hemorrhage:—the glove was found in the abdomen. In 1838, a girl was killed at Burton-npon-Trent, by some hoys discharging at her a gun loaded with paper-pellets. Some of these penetrated the body and lodged in the lungs and liver. Dupuytren mentions an instance, where, during a quarrel between two men, one discharged at the other a gun loaded with powder and wadding only, at a distance of about eighteen juches. The man instantly fell dead :-- on inspection, his clothes were found torn,-- the intestines were lacerated, blood was effused, and the wadding was lodged in the abdomen.

It has been observed, that persons, in attempting to commit suicide, have occasionally forgotten to put a bullet into the pistol; nevertheless, the discharge of a piece into the mouth, has sufficed, from the effect of the wadding only, to produce a considerable destruction of parts, and to cause serious beemorrhage. Fatal accidents have frequeutly taken place from the discharge of wadding from cannon during reviews. It is not easy to say at what distance a weapou thus charged with wadding and powder would cease to produce mischief, since this must depend on the impulsive force given by the powder, and on the size of the piece. Dr. Lachese has ascertained by experiment, that a piece charged with gunpowder, is capable of producing a penetrating wound somewhat resembling that caused by small-shot, when the piece is large, strongly charged, and fired within six inches of the surface of the body. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, 386.) This arises from a portion of the powder always escaping combustion at the time of discharge, and each grain then acts like a pellet of small-shot. auy circumstances, a discharge of powder only, contuses the skin, producing ecchymosis, and often lacerating it, if the piece be fired near. (See ante, p. 377.) The dress is burnt and the skin secreted from the globe of flame formed by the combustion of the powder: many particles of ganpowder may be actually driven into the cutis. All the substances bere spoken of are considered to be projectiles; and the weapons are held in law to be loaded arms, so long as they are capable of producing bodily injury at the distance from which the piece containing them is discharged. It may therefore become a question as to the distance at which these light projectiles cease to be

harmless. The answer must be governed by circumstances: it will in all cases materially depend on the strength of the charge. In the case of Reg. v. Collier (Ahingdon Lent Assizes, 1844), the prisoner was charged with firing a gun loaded with small-shot at the prosecutor with intent to do grievous bodily harm. It appeared that the gnn was deliberately pointed at the prosecutor, who was then at a distance of from seventy to eighty yards from the prisoner. The shot, which was very small, had marked the clothes, but had not penetrated the skin or inflicted any wound. The defence was, that from the slight injury done, the prisoner merely intended to frighten the prosecutor, and not to do him any hodily harm. He was found guilty of a common The question was here a delicate one, for had the prosecutor been a few yards nearer, and the pellets touched an exposed part of his body, the result might have been serious. One pellet has destroyed life (anic, p. 389). A case has recently occurred, in the United States, involving the question, as to the distance at which a pistol not loaded with ball, would suffice to produce a serious wound. A hoy, in play, discharged a pistol at a companion, producing on the fleshy part of the left hip, a wound one inch in diameter and four inches in depth. The integuments were destroyed, and the muscles presented a blackened lacerated mass. There was no ball in the pistol; but it is not certain whether there was wadding. Death took place from tetanus on the seventh day; and on examination, no wadding was found in the wound. There were, however, grains of gunpowder, with which the wound was blackened throughout its whole extent. At the inquest the witnesses differed respecting the distance at which the pistol was held when the wound was inflicted. Some said one foot, others two or three yards. The deceased stated his belief that the pistol almost touched him, and, judging by the state of the wounded parts, this was probably the truth. Dr. Swift believed that the wound had been produced by gunpowder only, without wadding. He performed some experiments with the pistol, used by the prisoner, but loaded with gunpowder and wadding, in order to determine the effects of discharges at different distances. At twelve inches distance from a body, he found that the clothes were lacerated and the skin abraded, but the wadding did not penetrate; at six inches, the clothes were lacerated, and the wadding peactrated to the depth of half an inch; at two inches, the wound produced, which was two inches deep, was ragged and blackened; at one-and-a-half inch from the chest, the wadding passed into the cavity between the rihs, and in a second experiment it carried away a transverse portion of rih. (Med. Gaz. xl. 734.) These results confirm those obtained by Dr. Lachése.

Identity from the flash of powder.—Among the singular questions which have arisen out of this subject, is the following:—Whether a person who fires a gun or pistol at auother during a dark night, can be identified by means of the light produced in the discharge? This question was first referred to the class of Physical Sciences in France,

in 1809, and they answered it in the negative. A case tending to show that their decision was erroneous, was subsequently reported by Fodere. A woman positively swore that she saw the face of a person, who fired at another during the night, surrounded by a kind of glory, and that she was thereby enabled to identify the prisoner. statement was confirmed by the deposition of the wounded party. Desgranges, of Lyons, performed many experiments on this subject; and he concluded that on a very dark night, and away from every source of light, a person who had fired the gun, might be identified within a moderate distance. If the flash were very strong, the smoke very dense, and the distance great, the person firing the piece could not be identified. The question was raised in this country, in the case of the Queen v. White, at the Croydon Autumn Assizes, 1839, A gentleman was shot at, while driving home in his gig during a dark night; he was wounded in the elbow. When he observed the flash of the gun, he saw that it was levelled towards him, and the light of the flash enabled him to recognize at once the features of the accused :in cross-examination he said he was quite snre he could see him, and that he was not mistaken as to his identity. The accused was skilfully defended and he was acquitted. Evidence of this kind has, however, been received in nn English Court of Law. A case is quoted by Paris and Foublanque (Rex v. Haines), in which some police-officers were shot at by a highwayman during a dark night. One of the officers stated that he could distinctly see, from the flash of the pistol. that the robber rode a dark brown horse of a very remarkable shape in the head and shoulders; and that he had since identified the horse at a stable in London. He also perceived, by the same flash of light, that the person had on a rough brown great coat. This evidence was considered to be satisfactory.

From the information which I have been able to collect on this point it appears to me there can be no doubt, that an assailant may be thus occasionally identified. It is widely different, however, in respect to the following case referred to by Müller, in his Physiology; namely, where a man declared, that he recognized a robber through the light produced by a blow on his eye in the dark! As Müller observes, this is a clear impossibility; because the flashes thus perceived, are anattended with the emission of light, and therefore can never be visible to any other person than the subject of them, nor is it possible that they can ever make any other objects visible. [For some remarks on this subject by Dr. Schilbach, see Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1842, i. 197.] Dr. Krügelstein has lately opposed the inference deduced by Müller, and has supported his views by cases, which, however, do not appear to me to be satisfactory. (Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1845, iii. 172.)

Chemical examination of fire-arms.—An attempt has been made by French medical jurists, to determine for how long a period, a gun or a pistol lying near a dead body, may have been discharged; but it

is out of our power to lay down any precise rules on such a subject. All that we can say is, a quantity of sulphuret of potassium, mixed with charcoal, is left adhering about the barrel of the piece, when recently discharged; and this is indicated by its forming a strong alkaline solution with water,-evolving an odour of sulphuretted hydrogen, and giving a deep black precipitate with a solution of acetate of lead. After some hours or days, according to the degree of exposure to air and moisture, the saline residue becomes converted to sulphate of potash, forming a neutral solution with water, and giving a white precipitate with acctate of lead. If the piece has been discharged for a considerable time, oxide of irou with traces of sulphate may be found. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1834, 458; 1839, 197; 1842, 368.) This subject has recently excited some attention on certain trials which have taken place in France in reference to the death of M. Dujarrier. It was considered here of some importance to determine whether, by the more discharge of powder, such a deposit of charcoal or powder took place at the mouth of the pistol, as to soil the fluger when introduced three hours after the alleged discharge. M. Boutigny conducted the investigation, and found in his experiments that the finger was not blackened under the circumstances. He considers that sulphate and carbonate of potash are formed, and that the charcoal is entirely consumed. The facts proved at the trial were, however, adverse to the view thus taken; and it really appears that this most elaborate inquiry, involving physics, chemistry, and mathematics, might have been spared, on the simple ground that the result produced by a discharge of powder in the way supposed, must depend on the quantity of powder employed, and the proportion of charcoal contained in it! The elements for solving such a strange pyrotechnic question must therefore in most, if not in all eases, be wanting. (Ann. d'Hygiène, 1848, i. 392.)

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

BURNS AND SCALDS—CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH RENDER THEM DANOEROUS TO LIFE—DID THE BURNING TAKE PLACE BEFORE OR AFTER DEATH?—EXPERIMENTS ON THE DEAD BODY—VESICATION AND LINE OF REDNESS—PRESENCE OF SEVERAL BURNS—SUMMARY. ACCIDENT, HOMICIDE, OR SUICIDE—HUMAN OR SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTION—BURNS BY CORROSIVE LIQUIDS—SPONTANEOUS IGNITION OF ORGANIC AND MINERAL SUBSTANCES.

BURNS AND SCALDS.

A Burn is an injury produced by the application of a heated substance to the surface of the body; while a Scald results from the application of a liquid at or near its boiling point, under the same circumstances. There seems to be no real distinction between a burn and a scald as to the effects produced on the body:-the injury resulting from boiling mercury or melted lead might take either appellation. Nevertheless, as a matter of medical evidence, it may be important to state whether the injury found on a body was caused by such a liquid as boiling water, or by a heated solid. If the former, the injury might be ascribed to accident; if the latter, to criminal design. A scald produced by boiling water, would be indicated by a sodden state of the skin, and there would be no loss of substance. In a burn by a heated solid, the parts may be more or less destroyed, or even charred: the entiele may be found blackened, dry, almost of a horny consistency, and presenting a shrivelled appearance. This means of diagnosis would only apply to sealds from water. A seald from melted lead could not be distinguished from a burn produced by a solid heated to the same temperature.

Action of melted metals.—A singular ease in which an attempt on life was made by pouring a melted metal iuto the ear, occurred to M. Boys De Lonry. The mother of an idiot, aged twenty-five, wishing to get rid of him, adopted the plan of pouring melted pewter into his right ear while he was lying asleep. Great pain and violent inflammation followed, but the young man recovered. The mother then alleged that he had himself poured the melted metal into his ear. At a judicial investigation, M. Boys De Loury was required to say whether such an act was likely to occasion death, and if so, how it happened that the party had in this instance recovered. The alloy was formed of seven parts of tin and three of lead, and the melting point of such an alloy would be about \$40°. M. De Loury stated that such an aet might lead to death by causing inflammation and caries of the internal ear extending to the brain. The recovery of the youth was owing to the mischief which followed having been comparatively slight. In perform-

ing some experiments on the dead body, he found that it was difficult to fill the ear-passage with such an alloy, in consequence of the sudden expansion of the air cansed by the high temperature. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847. ii. 424.)

Some of the oils boil at 500°, and produce by contact with the skin, burns as severe as those caused by melted metals.

Various degrees of burns.—Dupuytren has divided hurns into six

degrees, which are commonly recognised by medical jurists.

1. The heat produces a simple inflammation of the skin without The skin is very red, but the redness disappears on pressurc: there is slight and superficial swelling, with severe pain relieved by the contact of cold substances. The inflammation subsides after a few hours, and the skin resumes its natural condition: -or it may con-

tinue for several days, and the enticle then peels off.

2. There is severe inflamination of the skin, and the cuticle is raised into blisters containing a vellow-coloured scrum. This kind of injury The hlisters is generally the result of the action of boiling liquids. are commonly formed immediately; and others are produced during a period of twenty-four hours, or those which are already formed become Suppuration takes place if the enticle be removed, and the individual survive for a period sufficiently long. As the skin is not destroyed by this degree of burn, there is no mark or cicatrix to indicate its past existence.

3. The superficial part of the entis is destroyed. The burn appears in the form of yellow or brown patches, insensible when gently touched, but giving pain when strongly pressed. Au inflammatory redness accompanied by vesication, is perceived in the healthy portion of skin around the eschars. A white and shining cicatrix, without contraction of parts, remains after healing. This degree of injury is commonly observed in burns from gunpowder, and the part which was the seat of the burn is frequently stained black, when the particles of

gunpowder have not been removed soon after the accident.

4. The skin is disorganized to the subentaneous cellular tissue. There are firm and thick eschars which are quite insensible. If the hurn has arisen from a boiling liquid, the eschars are soft and of a yellowish colour; if from a red hot solid, they are firm, hard, of a hrown colour-sometimes black. The skin around appears shrivelled and puckered up towards the cschar which is depressed below the cutaneous surface. The surrounding integuments present a high degree of inflammation, and vesicatious appear. From the fourth to the sixth day, the cschar falls off, leaving an ulcerated surface which heals slowly, and is always indicated by a cicatrix.

5. In the fifth degree, the whole of the layers of the skin, the cellular membrane, and a portion of the muscles heneath, are converted into an eschar. The appearances are similar to those of the fourth

degree, but in a more aggravated form.

6. The hurnt part is completely carbonized. If the individual

survives, the most violent inflammation is set up in the subjacent tissues and organs.

Danger to life.—Neither a burn nor a seald appears to be considered as a wound in law, but in the statute of wounding, they are included among bodily injuries dangerous to life. (1 Vic. 85, sec. ii.) Burns and scalds are dangerous to life in proportion to the extent of surface which they cover, as well as the depth to which they extend. The extent of surface, involved in a superficial burn, is of greater moment than the entire destruction of a small part of the body through an intensely heated solid. When the burn is extensive, death may ensue either from the intensity of the pain produced, or from a sympathetic shock to the nervous system. Death takes place rapidly from burns in children and nervous females: but in adults and old persons, there is a better chance of recovery. When death has been caused by intense pain, no post-mortem changes have been detected; bnt under other circumstances, it has been found on inspection, that there were patches of reduess on the bronchial mucous membrane, as well as on that of the alimentary canal. The brain has been found gorged, and the ventricles have contained an abundance of serosity. The serous liquids of the pericardium and pleura, have also been in larger quantity than natural. In short, besides congestion, there is generally abundant serous effusion in one of the three great eavities, especially in This arises from the sudden reflux of blood into the the cranium. interior. (See cases by Mr. Long, Med. Gaz. xxv. 743; also, by Mr. Erichsen, xxxi. 551.) If the person survive the first effects, he may die from inflammation, suppuration, gangrene, irritation, fever, or he may be worn out by exhaustion. In this respect, burns of the 4th, 5th, and 6th degrees are especially dangerous to life; and it would be unsafe to give an early prognosis, as inflammation of deep-scated viscera only appears after seven days.

Stupor from burns.—In some instances, especially in children, stupor and insensibility have supervened, owing to a sympathy with the brain; and these symptoms have been followed by coma and death. If, under these circumstances, opium has been given to the patient as a sedative, the stupor resulting from the burn, may be attributed to the effects of the drug; and should the person die, the practitioner may find himself involved in a charge of malapraxis. It may be alleged, as in the following case related by Mr. Abernethy, that the person was poisoned by opium. A medical man was charged with the manslaughter of a child by giving to it an overdosc of opium, when it was labouring under the effects of a severe scald. Mr. Abernethy stated in his evidence, which was given in favour of the practitioner, that he thought the exhibition of opium very proper:-that the quantity given, eight drops of tineture of opium immediately after the accident, and ten drops, two hours afterwards, was not an overdose for a child (the age is not stated). The circumstance of the child continuing to sleep until it died, after the exhibition of the opium, was

no proof that it had been poisoned. This sleep was nothing more than the torpor into which it bad been plunged by the accident. The surgeon was acquitted. Notwithstanding the favourable opinion expressed of this plan of treatment, it would be advisable to avoid the use of opium on these occasions, in respect to young children. Life is readily destroyed in young subjects by the smallest doses of this drug; and there are no satisfactory means of distinguishing the comatose symptoms produced by a burn or scald, from those produced by an overdose of opium.

Did the burning take place before or after death?—The production of vesication or of blisters containing scrum, is commonly regarded as an essential character of a buru which has been produced during life. Vesication is especially seen in scalds,—when the skin has been burnt by flame or by the ignition of the clothes, provided the cuticle be not destroyed. It is not so commonly observed in burns, produced by intensely heated solids. In vesication, the enticle becomes raised from the true skin beneath, and is converted into oue or more blisters containing scrum, while the skin around is of a deep-rcd colour. It is very uncertain as to the time at which it appears; it may be produced in a few minutes, or sometimes not for several hours; thus, death may take place before vesication occurs; and the non-discovery of this condition, does not warrant the opinion that the burn could not have taken place during life. If the enticle be removed from a vesicated part in the living body, the skin beneath will become intensely reddened; but if the cuticle be stripped off in a dead subject, the skin will become hard, dry, and of a horny-yellow colour; it does not acquire the intense scarlet injection which is required by the living skin under the same circumstances.

When vesication is met with, is this certain evidence that the burn was vital, i. c. that it took place during life? This question is of some importance in legal medicine. The following are, I believe, the facts, which have been hitherto ascertained. When boiling-water is poured upon the dead body teu minutes after death, the skin is simply ruffled and shrivelled; but the cuticle does not become raised into a blister. (Christison.) At a longer period than ten minutes, the samo effects have been observed while the body retained its warmth. What the effect would be within a shorter period than ten minutes ofter death. it is not possible to say; nor is it likely that any experiments can be casily performed to determine this point. It is not probable, however, that vesication would follow after active life, indicated by the continuance of the functions of respiration and circulatiou, had ceased, except under circumstances to be presently stated. Dr. Christison, on one occasion, had an opportunity of trying the experiment on the same subject before and after death; this was in the case of a young man who had poisoned himself with opium. While he was lying in a hopeless state of coma, four hours before death, a hot iron was held on the outside of the hip-joint; and half an hour after death, a red-hot poker

was applied to three places on the inside of the arm. Vesication followed the hurns in hoth instances; hnt those caused during life contained scrum, and those formed after death, air. In another experiment, a cauterizing iron produced no blisters on a leg, half an hour after amputation; but vesications, containing air, were formed, when in another case the iron was applied ten minutes after amputation. On the whole, Dr. Christison thinks, that a vesication containing serum, indicates a vital, and one containing air, a post-mortem hurn. I have performed many experiments on the bodies of infants eighteen and twenty hours after death, both with boiling water and heated solids: but in no case have I observed any kind of vesication to follow at that period. The skin became shrivelled, and was partly destroyed hy the heat, but there were no blisters produced. (See case by M. Ollivier, Ann. d'Hyg. 1843, i. 383.) It has been ascertained, that under certain morbid states of the body, hlisters containing serum, may be produced in the dead subject, even twenty-four hours after death. M. Leuret observed, that this took place in an anasarcous subject, in the vicinity of which a heated brazier had been placed. The cuticle hecame hardened, then raised and blistered; and the blister contained an abundance of reddish-coloured serum. In repeating this experiment on other dead bodies not infiltrated, it was observed that no vesications containing serum, were produced. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1835; ii. 387.) M. Champouillon has recently repeated the experiments of M. Leuret on anasarcous bodies, and he finds that blisters may be produced in these cases at almost any period after death. Thus, they occurred when heat was applied to the anasareous subject recently dead,—to another when in a state of cadaverous rigidity, and to a third when putrefaction had commenced. The blisters do not anpear immediately,—the time which he found requisite for their production, varied from two to six hours. The serum effused beneath the raised enticle was rarely tinged with blood. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1846, i. 421.) These experiments only confirm the results obtained by M. Leuret. They add nothing to our knowledge of the subject. The conclusion to be drawn from them is that in the examination of burns on the hody of a person affected with anasarea, it is necessary to be cautious in expressing an opinion. In such cases it would not be possible, from the existence of mere vesication, to say whether the hurn took place before or after death.

In hurns produced by red-hot solids, other effects hesides vesication follow. The edge of the skin immediately around the part hurnt, is commonly of a dead white; and close to this, is a deep red line, gradually shaded off into the surrounding skin, which is reddened. The diffused redness is removable by pressure and disappears with life; the red line, however, is not removable by pressure and is persistent after death. This line of redness is not always met with in severe hurns; and when the individual survives one or two days, its production appears to depend upon a power of reaction in the system. Thus, then,

its absence furnishes no proof of the burn having a post-mortem origin; for it is not a necessary accompaniment of a vital burn. Dr. Christison has endeavoured to determine by experiment, whether this line of redness could be produced by applying a heated solid to a dead sabject. He found that when the person had been dead only ten minutes, no such effect was produced. In repeating his experiments on dead subjects many hours after death, I have found that no line of redness ever presented itself, so that its discovery in a dead body burnt, would appear to indicate either that the burning took place during life, or within ten minutes after death, -most probably the former. Champouillon takes exception to the inference derivable from these experiments. He says that he has caused the production of a line of reduess by the application of heat to the dead body, and that it is a uniform accompaniment of the formation of blisters in the dead. admits that it is in this case a mere capillary infiltration, quite superficial, and surrounding the margin of the blister, while in the red line produced during life, the tissues of the skin are deeply injected, and it is evidently the result of vital reaction. (See Ann. d'Hyg. i. 422.) It would appear that he has only remarked this condition in dead anasarcous bodies, in which vesications had been produced, and it is obvious from the description, that he is referring to a slight congestion of the vessels, occasioned probably by the stagnation of the fluid portion of the blood in the superficial capillaries. It is altogether distinct from the line of reduces described by Dr. Christison, as a frequent consequence of severe burns. In the case of Mr. Westwood, who was murdered in June, 1839, the fact of certain burns found on the body, having been produced during life, was determined by Mr. J. G. French, from an observance of this sign. The deceased was found dead with his skull extensively fractured, his throat cut, and his body burnt in various place. Mr. French who gave evidence on this occasion, remarked, that the burns were surrounded by a line of redness:-that they were probably produced about the same time as the other injuries. but certainly while there was some vital action in the system. When, however, vesication and a line of redness are absent, we have no medical data on which to found an opinion as to whether the burn was caused before or after death.

When several burns are found on a dead body, it may be a question whether they were all produced at the same time. This is a point which can be determined only, by observing whether any of them present sigus of gangrenous separation:—of suppuration,—granulation, or other changes that take place in a living body after accidents of this kind. The witness may be asked, how long did the deceased survive the burn? A person may die in a few minutes or live some hours after receiving a most extensive burn; and yet there will be no change in the part burnt, to indicate when death actually took place. There may have been no time for inflammation or its consequences to become established. Suppuration generally follows vesication; and in

severe cases, it may occur on the second or third day; hut often not until a later period. In regard to gangrene,—this takes place, when the vitality of a part hnrned, is destroyed. The time of its occurrence is uncertain, but it sometimes very speedily follows the accident.

After murder has been perpetrated, it is not uncommon for a murderer to attempt to dispose of the body hy burning it. remarked in the case of Mr. Paas, (King v. Cook.) likewise in the case of the Queen v. Good, and in a more recent case at Leeds. (Jan. 1843,) where a mutilated hody was found floating in a river with marks of burning about it. In general, the hody is not hurnt until all signs of life have disappeared; we shall therefore meet, in such cases, with nothing but the charring of dead flesh, so that no difficulty can exist in forming an opinion. When the hurning is partial, and has probably taken place from a wilful ignition of the clothes, at or about the time of death, some cantion is required in expressing an opinion, since marks of vesication and a line of redness, are not always present in vital hurns. It is hy no means unusual, bowever, to find it stated in evidence, that blisters are a constant accompaniment of a burn in the living hody! In the case of the Queen v. Taylor, (York Lent Assizes, 1842,) the deceased was found dead with marks of strangulation on her neck, and her clothes were much burnt from her waist to the knees. She was lying across the hearth,—the hody was much burnt as well as the upper and lower extremities and the neck; in the opinion of the medical witness, the burn on the neck could not have been produced by the fire extending from the other parts of the In cross-examination he stated that the burns must have occurred after death: they could not have taken place before, nor at the time of death, because there was no vesication, and be had never seen a burn on a living person which was not followed by blistering! The prisoner was convicted, the counsel having failed to prove or render it probable that denth was caused as alleged by accidental burning.

Wounds caused by fire.—On the discovery of wounds on a body burnt by fire, it is necessary that they should be closely examined, in order that a witness may be enabled to say whether they have been caused by a cutting or other instruments, before death hy hurning, or whether they are not simple mechanical results of the effect of fire on the skin. Mr. T. B. Curling of the London Hospital bas communicated to me a case which will show the importance of this inquiry. A little boy, two years of age, was brought to the London Hospital, Nov. 11th, 1840, so severely hurnt on the face, neck, abdomen and extremities, that he survived the accident only three quarters of an bour. It appeared that the stepmother, who had charge of the child, left him at home locked up in a room where there was a fire, whilst she went out. Some of the neighbours shortly afterwards hearing screams proceeding from the room, broke open the door, and discovered the child enveloped in flames and its clothes on fire. flames were immediately extinguished and the little boy was brought

to the hospital. Suspicion of unfair treatment having been excited by the appearance of wounds about the knees which were observed as soon as the child was admitted, and by the reported neglect and ill-usage of the child by the stepmother, the coroner directed an inspection to be made. The body was plump and well-formed. The skiu in the burnt parts was denuded of enticle and converted iuto a deep yellowish or blackish dry mass, which was very tense, hard and easily torn. There were gaping wounds on both knees. On the right side, a fissure on the skin commenced about the middle of the thigh, and proceeded for two inches and three-quarters to the inside of the patella, where it became somewhat jagged, and making a sudden turn inwards passed to the extent of two juches towards the back of the joint. A transverse laceration of the skin, three-quarters of an inch in length, was observed on the front of the left thigh a little above the knee; and another, which was also transverse and measured an inch and a half, was situated below, on the inner side of the joint. These fissures in the charred skin were all about three lines in width and two in depth, and exposed the adipose tissue beneath, which appeared white and free from all appearance of extravasation of blood. The edges of these fissures were not uneven, but they did not present the clean and smooth appearance usually observed in incised wounds. The vessels on the surface of the brain were very turgid, and the cortical structure appeared remarkably dark-coloured. The lungs were congested, but the heart contained very little blood. The mucous membrane of the stomach prescuted a slight pinkish huc, but that of the intestinal canal was nearly white. The follicles throughout the whole of the jutestines were all highly developed and very prominent. The mesenteric glands were enlarged. The alimentary canal contained a good deal of undigested food. liver was in every respect natural. From the absence of any frace of effusion of blood, the sound condition of the exposed adipose tissue. its exemption from the action of the fire, and the irregular character and appearance of the fissures. Mr. Curling concluded that they were not the result of wounds inflicted before the occurrence of the burn: he considered them to have been occasioned by the influence of heat, which had forcibly corrugated the skiu and completely destroyed its elasticity, and the superficial layer of adipose tissue being closely adherent to the cutaneous tissue, necessarily gave way at the same time. In several places some small vessels containing blood were observed running across the fissures: these, being more tenacious than the adipose tissue, had not yielded with it. This appearance alone was sufficient to negative the supposition of the infliction of the wounds by cutting instruments. The production of the fissures might have been aided by the child's struggles immediately after the occurrence of the burn, but it did not appear that they were at all violent. The conclusion at which Mr. Curling arrived was quite justified by the facts; and the case is calculated to throw an important light on the accidental origin of fissures or wounds of the skin in cases of death from burns.

Summary.—The conclusions which, it appears to me, we may draw from the foregoing statements, are: 1, that when we discover marks of vesication, with serous effusion or a line of redness, or both, about a burnt part of the body, we are justified in saying, that the burn must have occurred during life; 2, that when these appearances are not met with, it by no means follows that the burn was not vital; the affirmative evidence derived from such appearances being much stronger than the negative.

In March 1848, I was consulted by Mr. C. L. Prince, of Uckfield. on a case of some interest in reference to the medical proofs of burns produced during life. Two persons were charged with the murder of a new-born child, which had been secretly buried, and only exhumed for inspection ten days after death. Independently of an incised wound ou the arm, the edges of which were everted and retracted like a wound produced on the living body, the right leg presented the marks of burning. The cuticle was entirely destroyed over the greater part of the limb: the surface beneath had an intensely searlet colour and was much injected. There was a red line of inflammation around its edge, particularly in the upper portion, and at the lower part of the scrotum there was a large vesicle filled with serum. From this condition of the parts, Mr. Prince very properly inferred that the child must have been living when these burns were inflicted. The lungs merely indicated that respiration had been imperfectly performed. It turned out subsequently by the confession of the mother, that the child had been born alive, and that its hody had been deliberately burnt by one of the accused parties. The child probably did not survive a quarter of an hour: a proof that the marks indicative of a vital burn, do not require a long period for their production.

Cause of death.—Whether a burn or a scald was or was not sufficient to account for death, must be determined by the extent, depth, and situation of the injury; but even where the burn has clearly been caused during life, the body should be carefully examined for other marks of violence, as blows about the head, wounds, marks of strangulation, and internally for hæmorrhage, disease, or poisoning. It must be remembered, that in burns which are rapidly fatal, the serous liquid found in the cavities has commonly a red colour, and the mucous membranes are also reddened.

Accident, Homicide, or Suicide.—It is very rare that mnrder is perpetrated by burning: the dead body is either burnt for the purpose of entirely destroying it, or the clothes are fired soon after a person has been killed, in order to conceal wounds or other violent means of death, and to make it appear as if the deceased had been accidentally destroyed by fire. Death by burning is either the result of accident or homicide, most commonly the former; but medical evidence may give rise to a suspicion of murder under two circumstances:-1, when it is evident that several parts of the body have been fired at the same time, and the burns are such as not readily to be explained by the

same accident, or by the accidental ignition of the clothes: 2, when there are marks of homicidal violence on the body; hut these marks, if we except fractures of the bones, may be easily effaced when the burn is extensive. Accidental deaths from this cause are very common among females and young children. Out of 4,671 violent deaths in 1838-9, in the metropolis and the mining districts, there were 962 from hurning, and 201 from scalding. In investigating a suspicious case, we must remember that the fact of the dead hody not being found near a fire or any substance capable of eausing ignition, does not justify an imputation of murder; since the deceased, unless disabled by intoxication, infirmity, or disease, has the power of running away from the fire after an accident, and may be found dead at a distance, without having been seen by any person. Homicidal burning cannot be established by medical evidence, so much as by that which is presumptive or circumstautial; but there are many medical questions which arise out of the circumstances under which a body is found burnt. Among reported cases, the two following may serve to illustrate the difficulties attending such investigations.

The first is that of a man of the name of Gilchrist, who was tried at Glasgow, for the murder of his wife. The prisoner and the deceased, according to the cvidence, led a somewhat rambling dissipated life. On the evening of the nlleged murder, the persons who lived on the floor above them, stated that they heard a noise like that of two persons struggling, and afterwards a mouning as of oue choking or bleeding to death. A smell of fire now became perceptible in the house, which was soon filled with smoke. The witnesses being alarmed, went down to the prisoner's apartment and demanded ad-After some delay he admitted them, and in doing so, appeared to them to have come out of an inner room where he said he had been sleeping. On letting them in, he stumbled over the body of his wife who lay in the outer apartment quite dead, kneeling before a chair, and very much burnt. The prisoner was accused of having murdered her, and then burnt the body to conceal the mauner of death. In his defence, he alleged that he had gone to bed tired, and that he knew nothing of what had happened to his wife until awoke hy his He presumed that her clothes had caught fire while she neighhours. was intoxicated, and that she was thus accidentally burut. medical witnesses who examined the hody, reported that they found it so much hurnt that they could give no opinion of the cause of death. The prisoner was condemned and executed, the general evidence being against him, although the precise manner of his wife's death, as Dr. Duncan observes, was not proved even presumptively. second case, which occurred at Leith, Dr. Duncan was the chief medical witness. The general evidence was similar to that adduced in the case of Gilchrist, but stronger against the prisoner. It appears that he and his wife lived on bad terms. On the night of the alleged murder, the prisoner was in bed, when his wife returned home with a

lighted candle and some whiskey, which she had procured at n Some time after, a struggling was heard in the apartneighhour's. ment, and when this had subsided, a smell of fire was perceived to The neighbours now endeavoured to obtain admission issue from it. by knocking at the prisoner's door, but he either could not or would At last a man forced his way in, by breaking the not hear them. window of the outer room. On entering, he found the room full of smoke, and something burning in a corner, over which he instantly threw a pitcher of water:—this proved to be the body of the deceased. Several persons now entered the inner room, where they found the prisouer either asleep or feigning to be so. Ou being roused and told that his wife was dead, he expressed neither surprise nor sorrow; but coolly demanded by what authority his neighbours had broken into his house, and threatened to send for a constable to commit them. au examination of the body, some parts were found completely earbonized by the action of the fire. On the face and extremities, however, the fire had not acted with such violence, and on these parts were found marks of vital reaction, indicating that the burning had taken place during life. Some spots were merely red and inflamed. others seerched to a hard transparent crust, but surrounded by a distinct redness: there were also many vesications filled with lymph. From these appearances, the witnesses gave it as their opinion that the deceased had been burnt to death. The jury in this case returned a verdict of not proven, considering prohably that the deceased might have been accidentally burnt. Dr. Duncan remarks, in regard to these two cases, that the action of the fire was extremely violent and destructive, compared with the small quantity of combustible matter con-In hoth, the buros must have been produced by the ignition of the clothes alooe, since there was no trace of burning of the house or furniture in either. In the second case the deceased was found on the hearth with part of her clothes unburnt, and a chair from which she bad fallen, quite entire. She was dead when the neighbours cutered; and the hody was discovered in the dark by the red light issuing from it. An important question was raised on the second trial, in reference to the opinion of the deceased having been burned to death, namely, whether the redness and blisters, remarked on the edges of the scorched parts, might not have arisen immediately after straughing or some other cause of death than hurning, during the period when a lingering vitality remains in the body, and when undoubtedly certain phenomena of a vital nature are frequently observed. The medical witnesses felt themselves unable to answer the question decisively, but they stated that they did not consider it at all prohable that blisters could he produced on the body even immediately after (Med. Gaz. viii. 170. See ease by M. Leuret, Ann. d'Hyg. death. 1835, ii. 370.)

The subject of scalding scarcely requires a separate notice. A scald from boiling water would, when recent, be indicated by vesication

and the sodden state of the skin. The living structures are not charred or disorganized as by the application of a red-hot solid. At the Liverpool Summer Assizes, 1847, a woman was convicted of throwing boiling water over her husband, with intent to maim him. (Reg. v. King.) In another case (Reg. v. Blewitt, Worcester Summer Assizes, 1847), the prisoner was convicted of the manslaughter of his wife by pouring over her the contents of a kettle of hoiling water. These are the only recent instances of criminal scalding which are reported.

HUMAN OR SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTION.

Supposing that a dead body is found burnt, and there is no other cause of death about it, it may be said that the burning was neither the result of accident nor of homicide, but that it was the effect of spontaneous or human combustion. There are two opinious concerning this so-called spontaneous destruction of the human body. On the one hand, it is alleged that the combustion may take place from internal causes,—in other words, that the process is literally spontaneous: on the other hand, it is contended that the contact of a substauce in a state of ignition is necessary for the production of the phenomeuon,-so that, according to this view, the human body merely becomes preternaturally combustible. The hypothesis of those who advocate spontaneous combustion, is, it appears to me, perfectly So far as I have been enabled to examine this subject, there is not a single well-authenticated justance of such au event occurring:-in the cases reported which are worthy of any credit, a candle, or some other ignited body, has been at hand, and the accidental ignition of the clothes was highly probable, if not absolutely It is in vain that they who adopt this hypothesis appeal to the electrical state of the atmosphere or of the individual, coupled with the impregnation of the system with the inflammable principles of alcohol, as conditions sufficiently explanatory of their views, -such explanations may be reserved until the occurrence of this spontaneous comhustion from internal causes, is placed beyond all dispute. [For a full description of the phenomena which are said to accompany this condition, see Casper's Wochenscrift, 1841, Nos. 8, 9, 10; also, Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1842, ii. 228; 1843, ii. 39.]

We have, then, only to consider how far the views of those who allow that the body may acquire preternaturally combustible properties, are consistently borne ont by facts. It is generally admitted that the human body is highly difficult of combustion; and therefore, if in any case the degree to which it is consumed by fire, is great in proportion to the small quantity of combustible matter destroyed about the person, it is not unreasonable to refer this to its possessing greater combustible properties. This is precisely the species of evidence which is furnished by the alleged cases of spontaneous combustion: the body has been found almost entirely consumed, and the clothes and other

articles of furniture surrounding it, but little injured. A similar remark was made by Dr. Duncan, respecting the two eases just now related, in which the husbands were tried for the murder of their wives: in both it was the opinion of this physician, that the bodies of the deceased were preternaturally combastible.

Without attempting to offer any explanation of the fact, there appears to be sufficient evidence on record, to bear ont the view that the human body may, under certain circumstances, acquire increased combustible properties. At the same time the medical jurist will perceive that this admission does not involve any difficulty in the judicial determination of a question of murder by burning, since it is contended that the combustion of the body cannot take place, except by contact with ignited substances. But whether the ignition of the clothes of a deceased person took place accidentally, or by the criminal act of an accused party, is a totally different question,—it is one in which a medical jurist is no more concerned than a non-professional witness, this is, in fact, a point which can be cleared up only by general or circumstantial evidence. If it be admitted that the body of one person will burn more rapidly and completely than that of another, this will be no ground of exculpation to a prisoner, who is proved to have wilfully set fire to the clothes of that person. It may be urged in defence, that the prisoner might not have intended to destroy the deceased; and that, although he ignited the clothes, he did it without any malicious intention; and that death would not have been caused by his act, but for the preternatural combustibility of the body of the deceased! The intention which a person may have bad in setting fire to the clothes of another, when be could not possibly know to what degree the burning would extend, is, of course, a question for a jury, to be decided from the circumstances. The relation of this subject of the alleged spontaneous combustion of the body to medical jurisprudence, appears therefore to have been much exaggerated. The only credible part of the doctrine can never present any sort of difficulty to a medical jurist.

The following medico-legal case, in reference to the spontaneous combustion of the human body, recently occurred in Frauee. On the 6th of January, 1847, the body of a man was found lying in bed and in a state of combustion, by some persons who entered his bed-room in the morning. The chamber was filled with a dense smoke, and one of the witnesses asserted that he saw, playing around the body of the deceased, a small whitish flame, which receded from him as he approached. The clothes of the deceased and the coverings of the bed were almost entirely consumed; but the wood was only partially burnt. There were no ashes, and but a small quantity of vegetable charcoal; there was, however, a kind of mixed residue, altered by fire, and some pieces of animal charcoal which had evidently been derived from the articulations. The deceased was in the habit of carrying lucifer matches in his waistcoat-pocket, and, according to

his usual practice, he had had a hot brick placed at his feet when he went to bed the preceding evening. Two hours afterwards his son and danghter-in-law passed by the door of his room, but there was nothing which attracted their atteution. It was only the following morning early, that his grandson found his body in the state described. The deceased was 71 years of age. He was not fat, nor was he addicted to drunkenness. The temperature of the air was low: there were no indications of electricity. The son and his wife were suspected of having murdered the deceased, and afterwards burnt the body in order to conceal the traces of the crime; and Dr. Masson was commissioned to investigate the case. The body, which had been buried. was exhumed and examined. The cravat, partially burnt, was still around the neck, and part of a sleeve of a night-shirt was found. The hands, completely burnt, were also attached to the fore-arms by some carbonized tendons which gave way on the slightest touch. The thighs were detached, so as to resemble a wilful mutilation, but for the discovery of animal charcoal about them. these facts, Dr. Masson considered it impossible to ascribe the changes to the effect of accidental burning; and as they could only be produced as a result of violent combustion continuing for some time, he drew the inference that the burning must have resulted from some inherent cause in the individual, probably roused into activity by the hot brick placed at the feet of the deceased. The burning once commenced, would be easily supported by the state of the tissues. Hence the case was, in his opinion, to be referred to the class of spontaneous combustions. Orfila is reported to have coincided with M Masson in this opinion, and the accused were acquitted. (Gazette Médicale, Scpt. 4, 1847.) It is quite possible that the accused had not caused the death of the deceased: for, so far as the description goes, the man might have been accidentally burnt after he had retired to rest. respect to the medical opinion, that a long-continued action of a strong heat was necessary in order to produca tha effects observed, it may be remarked that it is not always easy to assign the degree or the duration of the heat which is required to produce particular effects on the body: and flame is heat at its maximum degree. It appears far more probable that M. Masson had underrated the effects which are liable to follow from an accidental ignition of the clothes, than that a warm brick placed at the feet should excite spontaneous combustion in the body, and convert the joints to animal charcoal!

BURNS BY CORROSIVE LIQUIDS.

Among the cases in which medical evidence is sometimes required, are those of throwing sulphuric acid or other corrosive liquids on the person. This crime has been especially prevalent of late years, and until the recent alteration in the criminal law, there was no adequate punishment for it. On one occasion, the prisoner escaped the charge of felony, because it could not be considered in law, that sulphuric

acid was capable of producing a wound—the man having been indicted for wounding! This case clearly showed a strong necessity for some legal definition of a wound, as well as the uncertainty of medical opinious; for while one surgeon considered that the injury produced was a wound, another thought that it was not. The judges decided that it was not a wound within the meaning of the statute. (The King v. Murrow, Liverpool Aut. Assizes, 1835.) The act 1 Vic. c. 85, s. v. while it punishes the offence, omits all reference to a definition of the The nature of the liquid thrown, is merely defined in general terms to be "any corrosive fluid or other destructive matter" -a point which will require to be settled by medical evidence. common language, and according to the statute, the injury thus produced is called a burn; but it is wholly different in its origin, as well as in its progress. I do not know that there has been a single instauce in which such an injury has directly destroyed life; but great deformity and actual blindness have resulted. A medical man is sometimes required to distinguish these injuries from burns and scalds:—this may be easily done in the first instance, by the appearance of the part injured, as well as by the description of the first The stain is brown when sulphuric acid has been used, and yellow when nitric or muriatic acid has been employed. eschar is soft, and not dry as in a burn from a heated solid. skin touched by a concentrated acid, is destroyed and sloughs away, leaving a suppurating and granulating surface. The period of recovery will depend on the extent of the injury. Although a person may not die from the direct effects of the acid, yet in certain irritable constitutions, the inflammation which follows in deep-scated parts might prove fatal. In young infants, or delicate nervous females, an extensive injury thus produced, may readily destroy life. In the case of Miss Cashin, for whom an escharotic limiment was prescribed by a quack, there was no doubt that death was caused by the great local mischief produced by the application. The nature of the acid may be determined by applying wetted linen to the part when the injury is recent, In general, however, and examining the liquid thus absorbed. evidence is readily obtained by examining the spots or stains left on articles of clothing or furniture. Sulphurie acid is most commonly used: but in a case which occurred at Guy's Hospital, nitric acid had been thrown at the individual, and had led to the destruction of the sight of one eye. The caustic alkalies might also be used under these circumstances, as well as numerous other liquids, on which the only medical opinion required would be, whether the liquid employed should or should not be considered as corrosive or destructive matter. To constitute a felony, it is necessary that the person should have sustained, from the act of throwing, some grievous bodily harm.

The mineral acids are sometimes used in other ways for the destruction of life. In June 1833, a man poured a quantity of strong nitric acid into the ear of his wife while she was lying asleep. She awoke suddenly with a violent pain in the ear, which continued for three days, whereby she became weak and exhausted. Soon afterwards there was copious hæmorrhage, and a portion of membrane escaped. She lost the use of her right arm, and became completely deaf. Suppuration took place from the ear, and blood escaped daily. She gradually sank and died, six weeks after the injury, the right half of the body being convulsed before death. On inspection, a portion of the external ear was wanting, and the meatus was much wider than natural. The brain, near the petrous portion of the temporal hone, was softened and the bone itself carious. The injury had led to death indirectly hy producing disease of the brain. (Medical Gazette, xvii. 89.)

SPONTANEOUS IGNITION OF SUBSTANCES.

Although we have seen that there is no proof of such a phenomenon as the spontaneous combustion of the living body, it must be admitted that by a reaction in the particles of organic or inorganic matter, combustion may take place independently of the approach or contact of an iguited substance. We are not now speaking of those effects that result from the admixture of bodies by chemists, with which every one, who has devoted but a slight attention to chemical manipulation, must be familiar,—but of certain other phenomena which, although assuredly dependent on, and explicable by the same laws, are far less commonly understood, and have only lately received any attention from the scientific inquirer. Let us suppose a case; -In a floor-cloth manufactory, -in a granary, or store-house, a fire may suddenly break out and spread through the whole building with destructive energy,it is pronounced to be the act of an incendiary,—a person known to have harboured ill-feelings against the proprictors, is seen coming from the spot just before the occurrence of the fire, -some careless expressions and a few apparently strong points of circumstantial evidence are adduced against him, he is tried, condemned, and executed. It is here, then, that a medical jurist is called upon to step forward and employ his science, not to shield a criminal, but to see that a human life is not sacrificed ou a groundless charge. Should any individual be consulted on such occasions, it will probably he a medical jurist, and the examination of this subject, therefore, must form a part of his duties: a slight reflection will teach him, that there is no member of society who ought to be so competent as himself to solve the questions which may arisc. We have yet much to learn respecting the cause of this spontaneous ignition of bodies, for hitherto only a few isolated facts have been collected, some of which, however, are so striking and unprecedented, as to lead to the presumption that there exist many unsuspected substances which are capable of undergoing this singular change.

Towards the latter part of the last century, several fires occurred in the Russian navy, as well as in the warehouses on shore, which were at first attributed to incendiarism, but which were subsequently discovered to be owing to the spontaneous inflammation of masses of Hemp and Flax impregnated with oil. Experiments were made on the snbject by the Imperial Academy of Sciences, and it was shown to the satisfaction of the Russian Admiralty, that such materials when heaped together and allowed to remain for some time under a full access of air, would spontaneously ignite. (Paris's Med. Jur. vol. i. p. 410.) The great fire in Plymouth Dockyard in 1840, was supposed to have arisen from a similar cause, although there was a strong suspicion that it was the act of an incendiary.

Cotton impregnated with oil will also undergo spontaneous combins-An accident of this kind occurred at New York in 1832, by which a ship and her cargo were nearly destroyed, owing to the spontaneous ignition of some bales of cotton on which oil had become spilled. But cotton itself is capable of igniting, when packed too early and before it is thoroughly dry. It was to this that the destruction of a ship in September 1834, was owing. The captain informed me that the cotton which he had on board, had been brought down to Bombay during the wet season,—that no attempt was made to dry it properly before shipping it, and that in this state it was closely packed between decks, as well as in every spare part of the vessel. month after leaving the port, the crew were alarmed by an abundance of vapour issuing from the fore-hatchways. The vapour became more dense, and assumed the character of a thick smoke. Several bales of cotton were removed, but the danger became thereby increased, owing to the free current of air created, and in a very few hours the deck caught fire. The ship was then abandoned, and its total destruction speedily followed. Many similar accidents from cotton have occurred

since that time. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1842, 211; 1843, 99.) It is well known that in the stacking of Hay, if the grass be cut and stacked too early, combustion will almost inevitably follow,—this seems to be a phenomenon similar to that just described.

Another substance exposed to this singular condition is charcoal, especially in that form of it which is called Lamp-black. A few years since, a ship laden with some lamp-black, in casks, sailed from Portsmouth. In about six weeks afterwards a strong smell of burning was perceived to issue from the fore-hold, accompanied by smoke. On examination, it was found that a large cask of lamp-black was giving out volumes of smoke, although not actually in flames. It was with some difficulty, owing to the intense heat of the cask, that it could be got on deck and thrown overboard; in this case, it was presumed that the admission of air to the juterior of the cask would have caused its justant ignition. In consequence of this discovery the whole of the lamp-black on board, to the number of sixty-one casks, was thrown into the sea, and several of them were observed to be in a state of smothered combustion; the masks were surrounded by a number of barrels of tar, and jars of oil, but it did not transpire whether any of these inflammable substances had become mixed with the contents. No light had been

allowed in the hold since leaving England,—it was therefore a clear instance of spontaneous ignition.

The cause of this phenomenon in Charcoal is not well understood. M. Aubert observed that when recently-made charcoal was reduced to a very fine state of division, it rapidly absorbed air and aqueous vapour, especially the former. The air underwent no change up to the moment at which combustion ensued, but a considerable quantity of heat was extricated, which this experimentalist found at one time to be equal to 350° F. The greatest degree of heat was observed to be in the centre or about five or six inches below the surface, and it appears that ignition first commenced here, if there were a tolerably free access M. Aubert found that the most inflammable charcoal required to be in masses of at least sixty pounds, in order that inflammation should take place spoutaneously, and the less inflammable the charcoal, the larger the quantity required to be collected in a heap. In all these cases the charcoal was pulverized, and the shorter the time suffered to elapse between its manufacture and its pulverization, the more certainly and rapidly did ignition take place. Air is not only necessary for the spontaneous iguition of this substance, but there must be a free access of it to the surface of the mass.

For a knowledge of another body, largely existing in certain manufactures, possessing the property of spontaneously igniting, we are indebted to Mr. Scanlan. (Records of General Science, August 1835.) In March 1835, a fire broke out in a turpentine distillery at Dublin. The fire was confined to what is termed by turpentine distillers Chipcake, and it could only be attributed, under these circumstances, to the act of an incendiary or to the spontaneous ignition of this substance. The raw American turpentine, as it is imported, contains many impurities in the form of chips of wood, leaves and leaf-stalks. These impurities are commonly separated by heating the turpentine to about 180° and straining it,—the mass thus separated (which is subsequently exposed to a temperature of 212°) is called chip-cake: when thus obtained, it has not been known to undergo spontaneous combustion. On the occasion above mentioned, a new plan had been adopted by the manufacturer. The raw turpentine with its impurities was exposed at once to a temperature of about 250°, and the boiling rosin was then strained from the chips. The chip-cake from this process, was laid in a heap outside the still-house about 3 o'clock in the afternoon, and at midnight was observed to be in flames. Mr. Scaulan found, iu making his observations upon a portion of chip-cake thus prepared, that the temperature gradually increased towards the centre of the heap, although ou the exterior it was cold and brittle: in four hours a thermometer rose to 400°, and a large quantity of vapour, accompanied by a strong odour of pitch and rosin, was extricated. The exposure of the mass experimented on, took place at one o'clock in the afternoon. and although it rained hard during the night, at half-past seven the following morning it burst into a flame. Three other experiments were

made and were attended by similar results,—in the third, the porous heap appeared to become red-hot in the centre, so that the adhering rosin melted and dropped from beneath.

In the same paper, this gentleman mentions that a friend of his, who had placed a quantity of Red fire in a store-room, was surprised by its spontaneously igniting and becoming entirely consumed the following day, while he was in an adjoining apartment. This powder is much used in theatres for the production of artificial red light, -it is a mixture of nitrate of strontian, sulphur, sulphuret of antimony, chlorate of potash and charcoal: this I believe is the only instance on record of its spontaneous combustion. It has been a question, whether the Lucifer matches which are now so extensively sold, are not liable to spontaneous ignition. Some of these matches are luminous in the dark, but I have exposed them to a temperature of 120° without ignition. When many arc collected together, the heat given out by slow combustion might possibly become accumulated; and thus I have observed that they became ignited in attempting to move them after they had been exposed to the sun on a summer's day. It is certain, that many kinds of these matches will ignite with the slightest friction, and may thereby occasion alarming accidents. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1841, 309.) I have observed the spontaneous ignition of Oxide of phosphorus, as well as of some chemical compounds of the metals which had been accidentally thrown aside. Other facts might be quoted relative to the spontaneous ignition of substances, which are not commonly supposed to possess such a property; but I think enough has been said to induce a medical jurist to give his attention to this curious phenomenon; and on a charge of incendiarism, founded on mere presumption, to act as the defender of an accused party,should the facts of the case warrant the belief, that the fire had originated from any of these sceret operations of nature.

INFANTICIDE.

CHAPTER XXXIX.

NATURE OF THE CRIME—THE SAME EVIDENCE REQUIRED AS IN OTHER CASES OF MURDER—PROOF OF LIFE DEMANDED—BODY OF THE CHILD NOT DISCOVERED—MEDICAL EVIDENCE AT INQUESTS—AGE OR MATURITY OF THE CHILD—VIABILITY NOT REQUIRED TO BE PROVED—CHARACTERS FROM THE SIXTH TO THE NINTH MONTH—SIGNS OF MATURITY—ABNORMAL DEVIATIONS—POSITION OF THE UMBILICAL OPENING—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS—RULES FOR INSPECTING THE BODY,

Nature of the crime. - By infanticide we are to understand in medical jurisprudence, the murder of a new-born child. The English law. however, does not regard child-murder as a specific crime; it is treated like any other case of murder, and is tried by those rules of evidence which are admitted in cases of felonious homicide. In saying that infanticide is the term applied to the murder of a new-born child, it is not thereby implied that the wilful killing should take place within any particular period after birth. Provided the child be actually born and its body entirely in the world, it matters not whether it has been destroyed within a few minutes, or not until several days after its birth. In the greater number of cases of infanticide, bowever, we find that the murder is commonly perpetrated within a few hours after the birth of the child. Although the law of England treats a case of infanticide as one of ordinary murder, yet there is a particular difference in the medical evidence required to establish the murder of a new-born child. It is well known that in the course of nature, many children come into the world dead, and that others die from various causes soon after birth. In the latter, the signs of their having lived are frequently indistinct. Hence, to provide against the danger of erroneous accusations, the law humanely presumes that every new. born child has been born dead, until the contrary appear from medical or other evidence. The onus of proof is thereby thrown on the prosecution; and no evidence imputing murder can be received, unless it be made certain by medical or other facts, that the child survived its birth, and was actually living when the violence was offered to it. Hence there is a most difficult duty cast upon a medical witness on these occasions.

Body of the child not discovered.—In cases of child-murder, medical evidence is commonly founded upon an examination of the body of the child; but it must be borne in mind, that a woman may he found gnilty of the crime, although the body of the child he not discovered:—it may have been destroyed by burning, or otherwise disposed of, and a medical witness may have only a few calcined bones to examine. (Ann. d'11yg. 1845, ii. 129.) In these cases of the non-production of the hody, good legal evidence of the murder would, however, be demanded; and this evidence should be such, as would satisfactorily establish a matter of fact before a jury. The production of the body of a child, is therefore no more necessary to conviction than in any other case of murder. A woman has been tried within the last few years for the murder of her child, the body of which was never discovered.

Medical evidence at inquests.—In most instances, however, the body of the child is found,—an inquest is held, and medical evidence is demanded. In giving evidence at a coroner's inquest on a case of infanticide, as much care should be taken by a practitioner, as if he were delivering it before a judge at the assizes. Some witnesses are disposed to treat an inquest with indifference, and to be earless in their evidence, thinking probably that should the case come to trial, they could prepare themselves and amend any statements which subsequent reflection might show them to have been hastily made before a coroner. But it ought to he known that the depositions taken by this officer, may at the trial, be placed in the hands of the judge and the prisoner's counsel; and should a wituess deviate in his evidence at the assizes, from that which he gave at the inquest,-or should he attempt to amend or explain any of the statements theu made, so that they might, by the ingenuity of a barrister, be represented as having a new bearing on the prisoner's case, he would expose himself not merely to a severe cross-examination, but probably to the censure of the Court. If medical men were to reflect that in delivering their opinions before a coroner and jury, they are, in many instances, virtually delivering them before a superior Court, it is certain that many unfortunate exposures would be easily avoided.

UTERINE AGE OR MATURITY OF THE CHILD.

One of the first questions which a witness has to consider in a case of alleged child-murder, is that which relates to the age or probable degree of maturity which the deceased child may have attained in utero. The reason for making this inquiry, is that the chances of natural desth, in all new-born children, are great in proportion to their immaturity; and that snpposing them to have survived birth, the signs of their having respired are commonly very obscure. It is found that

the greater number of children which are the subjects of these investigations, have reached the eighth or ninth month of gestation; yet charges of murder might be extended to the wilful destruction of children at the seventh month or under, provided the evidence of life after birth was clear and satisfactory.

Proof of viability not required.—The English law does not act on the principle, that a child, in order to become the subject of a charge of murder, should be born viable, i. c. with a capacity to live. It is obscrved by Mr. Chitty, although no authority is quoted for the statement, that "the object of the law is to prevent injuries to infants having capacity to maintain a separate existence;" and he further suggests that such a capacity should be proved, in order to complete the offence of infanticide. (Med. Jur. i. 411.) This argument, carried to its full extent, would render it no offence to put to death all persons afflicted with any mortal diseasc. I have been unable to find, in the numerous reported trials for infanticide, any ground for this extraordinary doctrine. The capacity of a child continuing to live has never been put as a medical question in a case of alleged murder; and it is pretty certain, that if a want of capacity to live were actually proved, this would not render the party destroying it, irresponsible for the offence. Children may be born alive at the sixth or seventh month, but because they are much less likely to survive than those born at the eighth or ninth month, this is not a sufficient ground of exculpation to any person who wilfully destroys them. The real question, as we shall presently see, does not refer to the period of gestation at which a child may be born, but to the fact of its being living and entirely born when the murderous violence is offered to it. The French law. although it requires in some cases proof of viability in relation to the rights of inheritance, demands only proof of life after birth in reference to a charge of infanticide. (Briand, Man. Complet de Méd. Lég. 201.)

Although the doctrine of viability is not recognised in English jurisprudence, yet in the following case, which occurred in October 1636,
a coroner refused to hold an inquest on the body of a child, because it
had not reached an age (seven months) at which children are commonly
born alive! In this case there was probably no harm done; but when
we consider—1st, the great difficulty of determining the exact age of a
child from the characters found on its body; and 2d, that many
children born under the seventh month, have not only heen horn alive,
but have lived to adult age, the acting on a principle of this kind
would he likely to give rise to dangerous abuses. It is impossible to
admit that children are to be destroyed with impunity because they
happen to be born under the seventh month, or that a child should he
assumed to have heen born dead, and any inquiry into the cause of
death dispensed with, unless it can be medically established that it has
passed the seventh month of gestation.

Dr. Beck says, "If it can be proved that the child, which is the snhject of investigation, has not attained this age, (the seventh month.)

no charge of infauticide can or ought to be entertained." (Med. Jur. 245.) Are we to understand by this that children proved to have been born living before the seventh month, may be wilfully destroyed, and the law take no cognizance of the matter? If this be not the meaning, the statement amounts to nothing, because whether the child have reached the seventh, eighth, or ninth month, life and live birth must still be proved, before the question of murder can be entertained. I have known an instance of a child born between the sixth and seventh months, living a fortnight, and many similar cases are recorded. On the doctrine above laid down, the deliberate destruction of such children, although actually living, ought not to be considered or treated as murder! It is satisfactory to know that such a principle as this is not recognized by the law of England. In the case of Reg. v. West, (Nottingham Lent Assisses, 1848,) a midwife was tried on a charge of eausing the death of a child under the seventh month of uterine life (in the perpetration of abortion,) not by any direct violence applied to its body, but merely by leading to its premature This case proves, therefore, that a charge of infanticide may be fairly entertained with respect to children under the seventh month. The female in this instance is alleged to have been between the fifth and sixth month of pregnancy. The proof of this did not, however, prevent an indictment for murder or a full investigation of the facts of the case. We also learn from it, contrary to the suggestion of Mr. Chitty, (supra) that the viability of a child is not by the English law required to be proved on an indictment for childmurder. This child was certainly from mere immaturity incapable of maintaining a separate existence, and it was therefore not viable; but the judge who tried the case, in answer to an objection taken by prisoner's counsel, said that if the child was proved to have died under the circumstances alleged for the prosecution, it would be murder.

Characters from the sixth to the ninth month.—The following are the characters, whereby we may judge of the age of a child from the sixth to the ninth month of gestation, a period which may be considered to comprise all cases of child-murder. Between the sixth and seventh: The child measures from the vertex to the sole of the foot, from ten to twelve inches, and weighs from one to three pounds. The head is large in proportion to the trunk,—the evelids are adherent and the pupils are closed by the membranæ pupillares. The skin is of a reddish colour, and the nails are slightly formed;—the hair loses the silvery lustre which it previously possessed, and becomes darker. Ossification proceeds rapidly in the sternum, and in the bones of the tarsus. brain continues smooth on its surface :- there is no appearance of convolutions. In the male the testes will be found in the abdominal cavity, lying upon the psox muscles immediately below the kidneys. Between the seventh and the eighth month: - The child now measures between thirteen and fourteen inches in length, and weighs from three to four pounds. The skin is thick, of a more decidedly fibrous struc-

ture, and covered with a white unctuous matter, which now for the first time appears. Fat is deposited in the cellular tissue, wherehy the body becomes round and plump:—the skin, previously to this, is of a reddish colour, and commonly more or less shrivelled. which are somewhat firm, do not quite reach to the extremities of the fingers. The hair becomes long, thick, and coloured. Ossification advances throughout the skeleton. Valvulæ conniventes appear in the small intestines, and meconium is found occupying the execum and The testicles in the male are considered about this period to commence their descent, or rather, the child's head being downwards, their ascent towards the scrotum. The time at which these organs change their situation, is probably subject to variation. According to J. Hunter, the testes are situated in the abdomen at the seventh, and in the scrotum at the ninth month. Burns believes that at the eighth month they will commonly be found in the inguinal canals. The observation of the position of these organs in a new-born male child is of considerable importance in relation to maturity, and it may have an influence on questions of legitimacy as well as of childmurder. Mr. Curling thus describes their change of position :- At different periods between the fifth and sixth months of feetal existence or sometimes later, the testis begins to move from its situation near the kiducy towards the abdominal ring, which it usually reaches about the seventh month. During the eighth month it generally traverses the inguinal canal, and hy the end of the ninth, arrives at the hottom of the scrotum, in which situation it is commonly found at birth, (Diseases of the Testis, 32.) Its absence does not necessarily indicate that the child is immature, because the organ sometimes does not reach the scrotum until after birth.

Between the eighth and ninth month, the child is from fifteen to sixteen inches in length, and weighs from four to five pounds. The cyclids are no longer adherent, and the membranes pupillares will have disappeared. The quantity of fat deposited beneath the skin is increased, and the hair and nails are well developed. The surface of the hrain is grooved or fissured, but presents no regular convolutions; and the cineritious matter is not yet apparent. The meconium occupies almost entirely the large intestines, and the gall-bladder contains some traces of a liquid resembling bile. The testicles in the male may be found occupying some part of the inguinal caual, or they may be in the scrotum. The left testicle is sometimes in the scrotum, while the right is situated about the external ring.

Sigus of maturity.—At the ninth month the average length of the hody is about eighteen inches, and its weight about six pounds, or between that and seven pounds: the male child is generally rather longer, and weighs rather more than the female. Extraordinary deviations in length and weight are occasionally met with. Mr. Owens, of Ludlow, has reported a case in which the child at delivery measured twenty-four inches, and weighed seventeen pounds twelve

ounces. (Lancet, Dec. 1838.) In a case which I had to examine in June 1842, the child, a male, measured twenty-two inches, and weighed twelve pounds and a half. (For some practical remarks on this subject, by Dr. Ellsüsser, see Henke's Zeitschrift, 1841, ii. 235.) At the full period, the head of the child is large, and forms nearly onefourth of the whole length of the body. The cellular tissue is filled with fat, so as to give considerable plumpness to the whole form, while the limbs are firm, hard, and rounded. The skin is pale. The hair is thick, long, and somewhat abundant. The nails are fully developed, and reach to the ends of the fingers :- an appearance, however, which may be sometimes simulated in a premature child, by the shrinking of the skin after death. The testicles in the male are generally within the scrotum. Ossification will be found to have advanced considerably throughout the skeleton. (See, in relation to the progress of ossification, some remarks by M. Ollivier, Ann. d'Hyg. 1842, 343.) The surface of the brain presents convolutions, and the cincritions matter begins to show itself. The interned organs, principally those of the chest, undergo very marked changes, if the act of respiration have been performed by the child before, during, or after its birth.

The relative position of the point at which the umbilical cord is inserted into the abdomen, has been considered by some medical jurists to furnish evidence of the degree of maturity. Chaussier thought that in the mature child, at the uinth month, the point of insertion of the cord exactly corresponded to the centre of the length of its body. Later observations, however, have shown that this is not onite cor-Out of five hundred children examined by M. Morcau, at the Maternité, in Paris, the umbilical aperture corresponded to the centre of the body in four only. In the majority of these cases, the point of insertion was eight or nine lines below the centre; among many cases of mature children, which I have had an opportunity of examining, the umbilical aperture has generally been from a quarter to half an inch below the centre of the body. (Guy's Hospital Rep., April 1842.) M. Morean found, on the other hand, that in some children, born about the sixth and eighth month, the cord was inserted at the middle point of the length. (Lanc. Franc. 1837.) On the whole, it will be perceived that no value can be attached to the situation of the umbilical opening, as a sign of maturity or immaturity.

The characters which have been here given as belonging to a child at the different stages of gestation, must be regarded as an average statement. They are, it is well known, open to unmerons exceptions; for some children at the ninth month are but little more developed than others at the seventh; although the converse of this proposition is not true; i. e. we do not find that children of the seventh wonth have undergone such premature development as to be mistaken for children at the ninth month. Twins are generally less developed

than single children;—the average weight of a twin child is not more than five pounds, and very often under this. The safest rule to follow in endeavouring to determine the uterine age of a child, is to rely upon a majority of the characters which it presents. That child only can be regarded as mature, which presents the greater number of the characters already described, and which are met with in children at or about the ninth month of gestation.

If the age of the child has been determined:—whether it be under or over the seventh month, the same rules for a further investigation will be demanded. Should the child be under the seventh month, the medical presumption will be, that it was born dead; but if it has arrived at its full period, then the presumption is, that it was

born alive.

Conclusions.—The following may be taken as a summary of the principal facts upon which our opiniou respecting the uteriue age of the child may be hased:—

1. At six months.—Length, from nine to ten inches; weight, one to two pounds; eyelids agglutinated; pupils closed by membranæ

pupillares; testicles not apparent in the male.

2. At seven months.—Length, from thirteen to fourteen inches; weight, three to four pounds; cyclids not adherent; membranæ pupillares disappearing; nails imperfectly developed; testicles not apparent in the male.

3. At eight months.—Length, from fourteen to sixteen inches; weight, from four to five pounds; membranæ pupillares absent; nails perfectly developed, and reach to the ends of the fingers; testicles in

the inguinal canal.

4. At nine months.—Length, from sixteen to twenty-one inches; weight, from five to nine pounds; membranæ pupillares absent; head well covered with fine hair; testicles in the scrotum; skin pale; features perfect—these and the hody are well developed, even when the length and weight of the child are much less than those above assigned.

5. The point of insertion of the umbilical cord, with respect to the length of the body, affords no certain evidence of the degree of ma-

lurity.

Inspection of the body.—The questions which a medical jurist has

to solve, in examining the hody of a new-horn child; are-

1. To determine its age, or the stage of uterine life which it has reached;—2. Whether it has lived to hreathe;—3. Whether it has been horn alive;—4. The period of time which has clapsed since its death;—5. The cause of death, whether violent or natural.

Hence, before commencing the inspection-

1. The length (measured from the vertex to the sole of the foot) and weight, should be taken;—2. The presence or absence of external feetal peculiarities noticed;—3. Any peculiar marks or indications of

deformity, wherehy identity may be sometimes established;—4. All marks of violence in the shape of wounds, bruises, or lacerations, and the kind of instrument or weapon by which they were probably produced;—5. Whether the umbilical cord has been cut and tied, or lacerated; and the length of that portion which is still attached to the body of the child;—6. The presence or absence of vernix caseosa about the groins, axillæ, or neck—the presence of this substance proves that the child has not heen washed or attended to;—7. It will be necessary to state whether there be about the body any marks of putrefaction, indicated by the separation of the epidermis, change of colour in the skin, or offensive odour. It is obvious, that unless these circumstances he attended to before the inspection is commenced, they may be entirely lost as evidence. Notes should be made on the spot, and the original retained, even if copies be subsequently made.

CHAPTER XL.

ON THE PROOFS OF A CHILD HAVING LIVED AT ITS BIRTH—EVIDENCE OF LIFE BEFORE RESPIRATION—SIGNS OF PUTREFACTION IN UTERO—EVIDENCE FROM MARKS OF VIOLENCE—SUMMARY—EVIDENCE OF LIFE AFTER HESPIRATION—INSPECTION OF THE BONY—COLOUR, VOLUME, CONSISTENCY AND ABSOLUTE WEIGHT OF THE LUNGS—STATIC TEST—WEIGHT INCREASED BY RESPIRATION—TEST OF PLOUCQUET—BLOOD IN THE PULMONARY VESSALS—RELATIVE PROPORTION OF FAT IN THE LUNGS—SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF THE LUNGS—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

On the proofs of a child having lived at its birth.—The question whether a child was or was not born alive, is of the greatest importance in a case of alleged child-murder; and it is unfortunately one which, in respect to the proofs upon which medical cvidence is commonly founded, has given rise to considerable controversy. When it is stated that in most cases of alleged infanticide which end in acquittals in spite of the strongest moral presumptions of guilt, the proof fails on this point only, it must be obvious, that this question specially claims the attention of a medical jurist. The medical evidence of a child having been alive, when violence was offered to it at its birth or afterwards, may be divided into two parts; 1, that which is obtainable before the act of respiration is performed; and 2, that which is obtainable afterwards. At present it will be proper to confine our attentiou to the question, whether the child was alive when it was maltreated,—the fact of its having been born alive, will be a matter for future consideration. These two questions have been frequently

mixed together, thus rendering the subject confused; but it must be so obvious as searcely to require stating, that violence of a murderous kind may be offered to a living child before it is entirely born; and that owing to this violence it may come into the world dead.

EVIDENCE OF LIFE BEFORE RESPIRATION.

It was formerly supposed, that if the lungs contained no air, the child could not have respired, and that it must have been born dead. But neither of these views is correct:—children have been known to respire faintly, and continue in existence many hours without visibly distending the cells of the lungs with air,—the absence of air from the lungs, therefore, furnishes no proof either that respiration has not been performed, or that the child has not lived. (G. H. Rep., April, 1842.) That our law-authorities will admit evidence of life in a child before the establishment of respiration, is clear from the decision of Judge Parke, in the case of Rex v. Brain, in which he said, that a child might be born alive, and not breathe for some time after its birth, (Archbold, Crim. Plead. 367,) as also from the charge of Mr. Justice Coltman, in the case of Rex v. Sellis, (Norf. Spr. Circ. 1837.) this instance it was alleged, that the prisoner had murdered her child by cutting off its head. The judge told the jury, that if the child were alive at the time of the act, it was not necessary, in order to constitute murder, that it should have breathed. In fact, it would appear that respiration is regarded as only one proof of life; and the law will, therefore, receive any other kind of evidence which may satifactorily show that the child has lived, and make up for the proof commonly derived from the state of the lungs. It will be first incumbeut on a medical practitioner to prove, that the child under examination has recently died, or in other words, that there are good grounds for believing it to have been recently living. Hence if the body be highly putrefied, either from the child having died in the uterus some time before birth, or from its having been born and its body not discovered until putrefaction had far advanced both internally and externally, the case is utterly hopeless. The medical witness will in general be compelled to abandon it, because the body can furnish no evidence whatever of life after birth. The examination of the thoracie organs would throw no light on the case, for here we are assuming that the lungs are in their feetal condition.

Signs of putrefaction in utero.—The phenomena of putrefaction in air require no notice in this place; but the changes which ensue, when a child dies and is retained within the uterus, may be briefly adverted to, because they may sometimes form a subject for judicial inquiry. According to Devergie, when a child dies in ntero, putrefaction takes place as rapidly as in the open air (Méd. Lég. i. 526); but this is extremely doubtful. In an advanced state of uterine putrefaction, the body of the child is so flaccid, that when placed on a table, it becomes almost flattened by the mere gravitation of its parts.

The skin is of a reddish-hrown colour, not green as in a putrefied body exposed to air. The epidermis of the feet and hands is white, and sometimes raised in histers,—the cellular membrane is filled with a reddish-coloured scrum, the bones are moveable and readily detached from the soft parts. In the opinion of Devergie, the principal differenco hetween uterine and atmospheric putrefaction in the hody of a new-born child, is seen in the colour assumed by the skin :- but it must be remembered, that should the child remain exposed to the air after its expulsion, the skin may acquire the colour observed in cases of atmospheric putrefaction. The changes which have just been described are such as we may expect to find when the child has been rctained in utero eight or ten days after its death. When it has remained for some weeks in the uterine cavity, the hody has been occasionally found saponified, or even encrusted with phosphate of lime. If in any case we are able to state that the body of a child has undergone uterine, and not atmospheric, putrefaction, it is clear that it could not have come into the world alive. Under ordinary putrefaction in air. the child may have been really brought into the world living, and the process may have destroyed every proof of that fact.

Let us suppose that the child died in utero from forty-eight to twenty-four hours before it was born:—if it be soon afterwards examined, there will be no marks of putrefaction about it, and the appearances will closely resemble those met with in the body of a child which has been born alive and died without respiring:—or of one which may not have been born alive, but have died in the act of birth. It will be impossible to say, in such a case, whether the child came

into the world living or dead.

Evidence from marks of violence.—It has been proposed to seek for evidence of life, under these circumstances, by observing the characters presented by marks of violence on the hody. In general, when children are murdered, the amount of violence inflicted is considerably greater than that which is required to destroy them, whereby satisfactory proofs of the crime are occasionally obtained. On the other hand, the body of a still-born child, dead from natural causes. is often covered with lividities and eechymoses;—the feetal blood does not coagulate with the same firmness as in the adult : hence the evidence derivable from the extent, situation, and characters of marks of violence, is generally of too vague and uncertain a kind, to allow of the expression of a medical opinion that the child was certainly living when the violence was offered to it. The characters which have been already described as peculiar to wounds and contusions inflicted during life (ante, p. 208), may be met with in a child whether it have hreathed, or died without respiring. So, again, these characters are open to the exceptions there pointed out; for they will be equally present, supposing the wounds to have been inflicted immediately after the cossation of respiration or circulation in the child, or after the cessation of the circulation only,—if the act of respiration have not

been performed. Marks of violence on the body of a child which had died in utero twenty-four or forty-eight hours before it was horn, would not present the characters of injuries inflicted on the living. There would be no ecchymosis and no effused coagula of blood. These marks, when they exist, although they may establish that the child was either living or but recently dead at the time they were received, can never show that the child was born alive. lujuries met with on the bodies of children alleged to have been boru dead, ought however to be of such a nature as to be readily explicable on the supposition of their having arisen from accident. If, from their nature, extent, or situation, they be such as to eviuce a wilful design to injure, it is a fair ground for a jury, -uot for a medical witness, to inquire why these extensive wounds or other marks of violence, were inflicted on a child, if, as it is alleged, it were really born dead. It must be confessed that iu such a case there would be a strong moral presumption of murder, although medical proof of life, or actually live birth, might totally fail.

Summary.—As a summary of these remarks, it may be observed, that although physiologically a child may live for a certain period after its birth without respiring,—and legally its destruction during this period would amount to murder, yet there are at present no satisfactory medical data to enable a witness to express a positive opinion on this point. If other evidence were adduced of a child having lived and been destroyed, under these circumstances; as where, for example, a woman causes herself to be delivered in a water-bath, or an accomplice covers the mouth of an infant immediately after it is born, a medical witness would be justified in asserting, that the absence of the signs of respiration in the lungs, was no proof that the child had been born dead. Indeed, it is apparent that the process could not be established, owing to the criminal means actually employed to prevent it. Whether a jury would convict upon such evidence is doubtful; but this is of no importance to the witness:—his statements ought always to be made according to correct and well-ascertained principles, not for the purpose of procuring either the conviction or acquittal of parties accused of offcuces against the laws. In general, those cases in which questions relative to life before respiration might arise, are stopped in the Coroner's court,—the usual practice being, where the signs of respiration are absent or imperfect, to pronounce that the child was born dead. If the lungs sank in water, the presence of marks of violence on the body would be considered as furnishing no evidence:-for the sinking of the lungs would be taken as positive evidence of still-birth, an inference upon which some remarks will be made in speaking of the hydrostatic test. The following case was the subject of a criminal charge at Havre, in 1828 :- A woman was delivered of twins. So soon as the first child was born, but not before it had breathed, she killed it by fracturing its skull with a wooden shoe. In a few minutes afterwards the second child was born, but scarcely had its head presented, when she seized it and fractured its skull in the same manner. This double crime was soon discovered. On an examination of the bodies of both children, the same degree of violence was found, presenting in each case precisely similar characters. There could be no doubt, from the appearance of the injuries, that they must have been inflicted on both children at a time when the circulation was going on. In one child, however, it was proved that respiration had taken place, in the other that it had not. In the latter case many practitioners would at once have affirmed, that the child had not lived, because there was no proof that it had respired; and they would have proceeded to draw the inference that this could not have been a case of infanticide. Dr. Bellot, however, declared that, although the child had not breathed, he had no doubt that it had been born alive, and that it would have lived to respire, but for the violence inflicted. This opinion was chiefly founded upon the similarity in the characters presented by the marks of violence in the two cases. (Annales d'Hygiène, 1832, ii. 199.) See further remarks upon this subject, hy M. Ollivier, Ann. d'Hyg. 1843, i. 149; also hy M. De-

vergic, op. cit. 1837, i. 400.

The great question involved in this, and in all similar cases, is the following: - Does the law regard the prevention of respiration as mnrder? There cannot be the slightest medical doubt that living children are often thus destroyed in the act of birth: they die, not from the actual infliction of violence, but hecanse, either through accident or design, the performance of that act which is necessary to maintain existence when the child is horn, is prevented. Such a case has not yet been decided, although, from the dicta of the judges, it would probably involve a charge of murder. In a case recently published by Dr. Wharrie, a pregnant woman, thinking she was about to have a motion, sat on an earthen pitcher, two feet in depth, which happened to be full of water. She was there delivered of a child, which fell into the water, and was thus prevented from breathing. The child was full-grown, and its body was free from putrescency. It weighed six pounds, and measured twenty inches. There were no external marks of violence, and the cord had been secured. The lungs weighed two-and-a half ounces; they were of a liver colour, contained no air, and sank The medical opinion was, that from the size and general appearance of the child, and the state of the parts discovered on dissection, it was mature,—that it had never breathed, and life might have been either wilfully or accidentally destroyed. The examiners wisely declined giving the usual opinion from the sinking of the lungs; i. e. that the child had been born dead. The woman was not prosecuted, probably on the assumption that the death of the child might have been accidental. As Dr. Wharrie truly observes, there was no medical proof that the child was horn alive; although there was a strong moral presumption that life was extinguished after hirth. (Ed. Monthly Jour., Oct. 1845, p. 796.)

Dr. Bayard mentions a case, in which a female, under somewhat similar circumstances, was convicted of the murder of her infant, and sentenced to the gallies for five years. In this case there was not the slightest evidence of respiration, but the woman admitted that she fractured the skull of the child, with the intention of destroying it, thinking that she perceived a motion in its legs after it was horn. (Ann. d'Ilyg. 1847, i. 455.) One physician thought that the child was living when the blows were inflicted; two others, that it was dead. In Dr. Bayard's opinion, the absence of the signs of respiration must he taken as a negative circumstance in favour of the accused.

EVIDENCE OF LIFE AFTER RESPIRATION.

There is no doubt that the proof of the act of respiration furnishes the best and strongest evidence of a child having lived at or about the time it was horn. It does not, however, show that a child has been born alive. The physical changes in the organs of a child, which result from the establishment of this process, take place in the lungs immediately, but in the heart and its appendages more slowly. It is, therefore, chiefly to the lungs that a medical witness looks for the proofs of respiration. Sometimes, however, these organs are found in their feetal condition, or nearly so:-for although a child may have survived its birth for many hours, there may he no evidence of the fact from the state of the lungs. To such cases, the remarks now about to be made, cannot, of course, apply:—the proofs of life must be sought for elsewhere, and if none can be found, the case is beyond the reach of medical evidence. But it is obvious that the occasional occurrence of cases of this description can present no objection to our constantly seeking for proofs of life in the lungs, any more than the fact of poison uot being always discovered in a poisoned subject, is a bar to our seeking for the proofs of poison in every unknown case which presents itself. It is the more necessary to insist upon this point, because some have held, that as we cannot always derive proofs of life from an examination of the lungs of new-born children, we should ahandon all evidence of this description, and leave the case in its original obscurity. The very object of medical jurisprudence is, to endeavour to remove these difficulties, and to show in every department of the science, the degree to which we may safely trust the medical proofs of crime, however inconsistent or contradictory they may at first sight appear.

Examination of the lungs.—Some have pretended that the fact of respiration having heen performed, would be indicated by the external configuration of the chest. Thus it is said, hefore respiration the chest is flattened, while after that process it is arched anteriorly. The diameters of the cavity have also been measured, and certain comparisons instituted, (Daniel,) hut these experiments have heen attended with uo practical result, and have long heen abandoned by medical jurists. Admitting that such a visible change of form is occasionally

produced by respiration, it is obvious that in these cases, experiments on the lungs may be readily made; and on the results of these, and not upon minute changes in the capacity of the chest, would a medical opinion be based. The cavity of the chest may be conveniently laid open by carrying incisions from below the clavicles downwards on each side from about half the length of the ribs backwards. phragm may be separated from the cartilages without opening the abdomen; the ribs sawn or cut through, and the flan formed by the anterior parietes of the chest, turned upwards. If the child bave not The thymus gland, respired, the following appearances will be seen. as large as the heart, occupies the upper and middle portions of the eavity;-the heart in its pericardium is situated in the lower and middle portion, and is rather inclined to the left side. The lungs are placed quite in the back part of the chest, so as often to give the impression that they are wanting. In some instances, they project slightly forwards by their anterior margins, but in no instance, unless congested, infiltrated, or otherwise diseased, do they cover and couceal the pericardium. The thymus gland is sometimes of a pale fawn—at others of a deep livid colour: but there is no perceptible difference in this organ in new-born children, before or after the performance of respiration. Ou the other hand, when the child has fully respired, the most striking differences will be observed in the colour and promineuce of the lungs. They are of a light red hue, project forwards appear to fill the cavity of the chest, and cover, and in a great part conceal by their auterior margins, the bag of the pericardium. may meet with every variety in the appearances between these two extremes; for the process of respiration often requires a considerable time in order that it should be fully established, especially in those ehildren which are of weakly constitution or prematurely born. Heuce the lungs will be found to occupy their respective cavities to a greater or less extent, and to cover the pericardium more or less, not according to the length of time which a child has lived, but according to the perfection with which the process of respiration has been performed. It will be seen hereafter, that although, as a general rule, the lungs become more perfectly filled with air in proportion to the time which a child survives its birth, yet this is open to numerous exceptions. will next be necessary to give particular attention to certain other physical characters presented by the lungs.

1. Colour of the lungs.—The colour of the lungs before respiration is of a bluish red, or deep violet, but it is subject to slight variation. Some medical jurists have compared it to the colour of the spleen. It is important to remark, that a very short exposure to air will materially alter the colour, so that it should be observed and recorded immediately on opening the chest. After respiration, the lungs acquire a light red hue, in proportion to the degree in which the process has been performed. If imperfectly established, they will be mottled, generally about the anterior surfaces and margins, the patches of light

red being intermixed with the livid feetal hue, and being slightly raised, as if by distension, above the general surface of the organs. The light red tint changes, after a short exposure to air, to a bright scarlet. This change in the colour of the hings is not a necessary, nor is it an invariable consequence of a child having lived after its birth. known a child to live twenty-four hours respiring feebly, and on examining the body, the colour of the lungs was identical with that of the organs in the feetal state. The change of colour is then a usual, but by no means a necessary consequence of the enjoyment of life :- so that the retention of the feetal colour does not furnish positive evidence of still-birth. Again, the circumstance of the lungs having a light red colour, is not an infallible criterion of the child having lived and breathed; for the artificial introduction of air by a tracheal tube, or otherwise, in the attempt to resuscitate a still-born child, is attended with the same In the course of numerous experiments, purposely physical change. made. I have found no appreciable difference. Bernt says, that artificial inflation will not produce a scarlet red tint in the organs, and therefore that this is a criterion of respiration. (Ed. Med. and Snrg. Jour. xxvi. 367.) I have not only observed this tint to be absent in respiration, but have actually produced it by artificial inflation in a dead child.

2. Volume of the lungs.—The difference in the relative situation of the lungs before and after respiration, has been already described. This difference depends entirely upon the increased volume or dilatation of the organs, arising from the introduction of air. respiration, the lungs are in general searcely visible, unless forcibly drawn forwards in the chest. When respiration has been perfectly accomplished, the volume is so much increased, that the bag of the pericardium is almost concealed by them. Respiration must, however, have been very perfectly performed in order that this condition should exist to the full extent described; but I have known the lungs to acquire a considerable volume in a healthy and vigorous child from only two or three respirations. The child was destroyed by craniotomy, and died before it was entirely delivered. In other instances, a child may live for one or two days, and the volume of the organs be but little altered. Schmitt has remarked, that the lungs have sometimes a considerable volume before respiration. I have met with this in more than one instance; but this condition will probably be found in general to depend ou disease. As the altered volume of the healthy lungs depends on the introduction of air, the effect is the same, whether the air be derived from respiration, from artificial inflation, or generated by patrefaction. Other circumstances must therefore be considered, before we draw any inference from this physical change.

3. Consistency of the lungs.—The lungs, before respiration, feel like the liver, or any of the other soft organs of the body. They are firm under the finger, but their substance may be lacerated by violent com-

After respiration has been fully performed, there is a distinct sensation of what is termed erepitns on compressing them, i. e. air is felt within them. This condition of the organs must, of course, depend on the degree to which respiration has been carried. lungs of children that have lived for a considerable time after hirth, will sometimes give no feeling of erepitation under the finger. rally speaking, lungs of this kind present the other feetal characters: thus they are small and of a livid colour. There are, however, cases in which the organs may have the light red colour of respiration, and be actually much dilated in appearance, yet no feeling of crepitus will be perceptible on pressure. This character, therefore, is by no means a necessary accompaniment of the other two. Crepitation furnishes presumptive evidence of respiration; but it may be equally met with in lungs that are putrefied, or which have received air by artificial inflation. The characters here described are seldom found in the lungs of children that have been born prematurely, although these children may have lived some time after birth. They depend on respiration; and in the exceptional cases referred to, this process is only very slowly established.

4. Absolute weight of the lungs. The static test.—It is generally admitted hy medical jurists, that the weight of the lungs before respiration is less than that which they have after the establishment of the process. From this an inference has been drawn, that the absolute weight of the lungs in an unknown case, compared with ecrtain averages, will aid the inquirer in ascertaining whether respiration has or has not been performed. In order to determine the weight of the lungs, these organs should be carefully separated by dissection from the heart and thymus gland, and removed with the trachea and bronchi attached. viously to their removal, ligatures should be placed ou the pulmonary vessels, so that no blood may escape from the lungs. They should now be weighed, and the weight accurately noted in grains. taking this weight, it does not appear necessary to make any distinction founded on the sex of the child, or the difference of weight in the two lungs; the only exception would be, perhaps, in relation to twin children imperfectly developed. The average weight before respiration, derived from nine cases, was found to be 649 grains. According to Dr. Traill, the weight varies from 430 to 600 grains. is of importance, in taking the weight of these organs, to be certain that the child is at or near maturity, and that it is of or about the average size and weight; owing to a neglect of this rule, it is highly probable that comparisons have been made of the absolute weight of the lungs in different children, which a full statement of the facts would not have justified. If it be immature or nausually large, the lungs will weigh either less or more than the average. The average weight of the lungs after respiration, derived from three cases, was 927 grains; but in making an estimate of this kind, much will depend upon the degree to which respiration has been carried. In three cases, where

the children lived half an hour, six hours, and twenty-four hours respectively, the process had been so imperfectly performed, that the lungs varied but little in weight from the average before respiration. (G. II. Rep. No. V.) The truth is, we cannot compare the lungs of children, as to weight, according to the time which they may have survived birth, but rather according to the degree to which the lungs have been penetrated by air. In one instance of alleged infanticide. where the child was probably killed soon after birth, the lungs weighed 1000 grains. In another instance, where the child had certainly lived eight or nine days, the lungs weighed only 861 grains. In the first case, respiration had been perfectly performed; in the second, imperfectly. Therefore, to say that the lungs weigh so much after respiration amounts to nothing, unless we can estimate by a sight of the organs, its degree; and any calculation founded upon such dissimilar cases, must unavoidably lead to error. This increase of weight after birth is commonly ascribed to the altered course of the blood under the establishment of the respiratory process, as well as to the fact, that more blood eirculates through the lungs after, than before respiration. Practically, this view is confirmed by the contraction of the ductus arteriosus, and the simultaneous enlargement of the two pulmonary arteries; changes which have been occasionally observed when tho child has snrvived its birth for only a very short period. normal changes in the duet depend on the establishment of respiration. so we cannot expect to find them when the process has been imperfectly performed, although the child may have lived several days.

Weight of the lungs increased by respiration.—It appears to me that the general opinion on this subject is correct, namely, that the healthy lungs of mature new-born children become heavier after respiration, and according to its degree; and where a deviation from this rule is observed, it may probably be explained by the circumstance that the lungs of an immature have been compared with those of a mature child, the lungs of an undeveloped twin with those of one not a twin, or the lungs of one which had breathed imperfectly, with those of another in which respiration had become well established. In this respect the extensive tables drawn np by Lecieux, are liable to lead to erroneous inferences relative to the effect of respiration on the absolute' weight of the lungs. The weights of the organs are noted, but the degree to which respiration had been performed is so loosely stated. as to allow of no fair inference of the effect of this process upon the weight. The time which the children survived is stated; but this, as it is very well known, furnishes no criterion of the degree to which respiration has been carried. Again, we are not informed whether due care was taken to ascertain if the lungs were healthy or diseased. (Considérations sur l'Infanticide, Paris, 1819.) The following table of the weight of the lungs, in four cases, will show how much the organs are liable to vary in weight after birth, according to the degree of respiration.

Case 1. Case 2. Case 3. Case 4. Born dead. Lived six hours. Lived twenty-four hours. Lived nine days. Weight, 687 grs. 774 grs. 675 grs. 861 grs.

Relying upon a table of this kind only, without comparing the other characters of the lungs with the weight, it might be inferred that the organs would weigh less in a child which had survived its birth twentyfour hours, than in another which had been born dead; and that there would be very little difference in the weight, whether the child lived six hours or nine days; but when it is stated, that in case 3 the lungs had every feetal character possessed by those in case I, and that in case 4, respiration had been obviously very imperfectly performed,-the difficulty is removed. Such cases should rather be compared with the lungs in the foctal than in the respired state. They merely show what is very well known to, and admitted by, all medical inrists, that there are some instances in which the fact of respiration cannot be determined by the application of the static, or any other test to the hings. But this is certainly no valid reason why evidence from this source is to be rejected in all other cases. It may be fairly granted that the weight of the lungs of some children which have outlived delivery, may not come up to the weight assigned to those of children that have breathed; because, as we have seen, children may survive birth many hours without the process of respiration being properly established. On the other hand, as in Chaussier's observations, the lungs of the still-born may be sometimes as heavy as those of children that have respired; but since such lungs would contain no traces of air, the weight above the average in these cases, could not be assigned to respiratiou. Among such subjects, whatever might be the weight of the lungs, if the facts were unknown, it would be impossible to say whether they were born living or dead. (See Ed. M. aud S. J. xxvi. 375.) Increased weight, therefore, is only one amoug several circumstances to which a medical jurist should attend.

We must not fall into the error of supposing that the lungs increase in weight according to the length of time which a child survives its birth: it is within the limits of a few days, according to the degree of perfection with which a child respires; hence we may meet with cases of children being born alive, surviving some hours or days, and yet after death the lungs will retain the feetal weight. This is the case in immature subjects, in most twin children, and in those which are mature but weakly. Among many instances that have come to my knowledge, no difficulty of this sort, however, has occurred. signs of respiration have been sufficiently well developed to justify a medical opinion, although the child had probably not survived its birth above a few hours, or even minutes. (G. H. Rep. April, 1842.) cases of imperfect respiration, above alluded to, rarely go beyond a coroner's inquest, for want of clear evidence of life. There may be a difference of opinion as to the relative number of instances of perfect and imperfect respiration in new-born children; but the ease is never likely to proceed to trial, unless the signs of this process are well marked; and thus many charged with murder must escape, through the want of sufficient medical evidence to establish the fact of respiration and life.

It is searcely necessary to observe, that the air which the lnngs receive by respiration, cannot add to their absolute weight. This is because they are in the condition of a bladder which weighs the same, whether it be filled with air or empty. The increase of weight is solely due to the additional quantity of blood, which, owing to the altered course of the circulation, permeates their structure. Hence it follows that where the lungs are distended with air, either from artificial inflammation or from putrefaction, the feetal weight will remain uualtered, and by this means, it is contended, we may distinguish lungs that have respired from those which have been artificially inflated. Orfila states, that the feetal langs weigh more before they are artificially inflated, than afterwards,-a circumstance which may depend upon the fact that the impulse employed in inflation, may have forced ont a portion of blood or other liquid. In carefully performing this experiment, I have found that there was not even the least fractional difference; but that the inflated lungs weighed precisely the same as in the uninflated state. From what has already been said, it follows that great weight of the lungs can obviously furnish no proof of respiration, unless this be accompanied by the other physical changes indieative of that process,—as for example, great increase in volume from the presence of air and erepitation. If the lungs he very heavy, and at the same time contain little or no air, it is certain that the increase of weight most depend upon disease or other causes,—not upou respira-In one case which I had to examine, the lungs were large and weighed upwards of 1200 grains. They contained no nir; when divided into thirty pieces not one portion floated, nor could any air be seen on the closest examination. It was therefore clearly impossible to ascribe a weight so much above the average to the effects of respiration. must not be forgotten that all the physical characters presented by lungs that have respired, are liable to certain fallacies; hut, as in the evideuce derived from tests used in poisoning, these may be removed, or the force of the objection diminished by not basing an opinion on one or two conditious only. We must take the whole combined : for it would be as wrong to regard great weight in the lungs taken alone as an absolute proof of respiration, as it would be to draw the same inference from a mere change in the colour, volume, or consistency of the organs. This is the view also taken by Professor Orfila in the latest edition of (Méd. Lég. 1848, ii. 229.) his work.

5. Test of Ploucquet.—This so-called test for determining whether or not the act of respiration has taken place, was proposed many years since by M. Ploucquet. It is founded on a comparison of the absolute weight of the lungs with the weight of the hody of a child. Admitting that the lungs increased in weight from the establishment of the

respiratory process, it was supposed that a like difference would take place in the relative weight of these organs to the body; and that the ratios thus procured, compared with certain averages, would enable a medical jurist to determine in an unknown case, whether or not a child had respired.

Ploucquet conceived that the average ratio of the weight of the lungs to the body in children which had not breathed, was 1: 70; and for those which had breathed, 2: 70 or 1: 35. Subsequent researches, however, made by Chaussier and others, have shown that these numbers cannot be considered to represent the true averages. The most serious objection to the employment of this test, in cases of infanticide, is, that the lungs and the body are liable to vary in their relative weights, in children of the same age; and, à fortiori, this variation must exist to a greater extent among children which have reached different ages. There may he various degrees of development in the body of a child. without any necessity existing for a corresponding development taking place in the lnngs. It is unuccessary to enter into speculations relative to the causes: experience has shown that such variations really exist: and all that a medical jurist has to consider, is whether the differences can be reduced within limits which may make the test available in practice. M. Devergie states, from his experiments, that Plouequet's test affords no satisfactory results, when applied to the bodies of children which have not reached the eighth month of gestation. According to him, the ratio is for the eighth month: - Before respiration, 1: 63. After respiration, -1: 37. Ninth month: Before respiration, 1:60. After respiration, 1: 45. The ratio, he observes, becomes higher after respiration, in proportion to the perfection with which the process has been carried on .— (Médecine Légale, i. 556. See also Ann. d'Hyg. 1835, 485. Med. Gaz. Nov. 1842, p. 208.) The facts which have been collected by different observers, appear to me to show that Ploucquet's test is not fitted to determine, in an unknown case, whether a child has breathed or not.

6. Blood in the pulmonary vessels.—It has been asserted that if blood be found in the pulmonary vessels of a new-born child, we are justified in assuming that respiration has taken place. On the other hand, the absence of blood from these vessels has been considered to prove that a child has not respired. This assertion must have originated in a want of correct observation. The pulmonary vessels contain blood, both in the child which has, and in that which has not respired. It is possible that the vessels may contain more after respiration, than before; but in most cases of infanticide, it would be difficult to found any distinction on a point of this nature. In examining the bodies of children which have died without respiring, and those of others which have lived and respired for some time after birth, no perceptible difference was found in the quantity of blood existing in these vessels in the two cases. The fact is, the excess of blood after respiration becomes distributed throughout the minute capillary system

of the lungs: it does not remain in the larger trunks. The state of the pulmonary vessels, therefore, furnishes no evidence of respiration or the contrary. The same observation will apply to the presence of blood in the substance of the lungs. It is said that on cutting through lungs that have breathed, the incisions are followed by a copious flow of blood; but this, it is alleged, does not happen with lungs that have not breathed. In performing this experiment on several occasions, I bave been able to perceive no well-marked difference. The blood in the new-born child may be found coagulated or not, and there is no difference in this condition, whether it be born living or dead.

- 7. Relative proportion of fat in the lungs .- In July, 1847, a memoir was presented to the Academy of Sciences by M. Guillot, in which the author proposed to determine the question of respiration by the relative proportion of fat contained in the lungs before and after birth. According to M. Guillot, the quantity of fat contained in the pulmonary tissue is always greater before than after respiration, and it begins to diminish from the moment that the act of breathing commences. Before respiration, the dried lungs yield from ten to eighteen per cent. of fat: after respiration, not more than six per cent. process followed by M. Guillot, is to dry the organs at a high temperature, so as to expel all the water, -reduce them to powder, and digest this powder in ether. (Comptes Rendus, Juillet 12, 1847, 77.) It need bardly be observed that this process could not be made available iu practice. Admitting the facts as stated, the difference between six and ten per cent, may disappear by further observations. A want of chemical accuracy might lead to serious mistakes. The process, however, is open to this objection: - if respiration have been fully performed, this will be sufficiently evident from the state of the lungs, and if imperfectly performed, as the change is alleged to depend on the respiratory act, the result of an analysis cannot tend to remove the difficulty.
- 8. The specific gravity of the lungs.—The specific gravity of the lungs is greater before, than after respiration; for although the organs become absolutely heavier by the establishment of the process, this is owing not to the air, but to the additional quantity of blood received into them. The air thus received, so increases the volume of the organs, as to more than counteract the additional weight derived from the blood, and thus apparently to diminish their specific gravity. Under these circumstances the organs readily float on water. From several experiments, I have found that the specific gravity of the lnngs before respiration, i. e. in the foctal condition, varies from 1.04 to 1.05. They are about one-twentieth part heavier than their bulk of water. After respiration, the specific gravity of the lungs with the air contained in them, I found in one experiment to be 0.94, i. e. the organs were about one-seventeenth part lighter than their bulk of water. Thus it is that a very small quantity of air will render these organs buoyant in water; and an alteration in the volume of the lungs suffi-

cieut for this purpose, would not be perceptible to the eye. It will be understood that the specific gravity of the substance of the lungs is unchanged; the organs are rendered only apparently lighter by the air contained in their cells, on the same principle as a distended bladder. Hence it follows, that the same apparent dimiuntion of specific gravity will take place whether the air be derived from respiration, artificial inflation, or putrefaction. It is on this property of the lungs that the application of what is termed the hydrostatic test, or the docimasia pulmonaris, is founded,—a subject which may be appropriately considered in another chapter.

Conclusions.—The general conclusious which may be drawn from

the contents of this chapter are:

1. That a child may be born alive and be criminally destroyed hefore it has breathed.

2. That the presence of any marks of putrefaction in ntero, proves

that the child must have come into the world dead.

3. That the characters accompanying certain marks of violence, may occasionally show that the child was living when the violence was applied to it.

4. That there are no certain medical signs, by which a child which has not breathed, can be proved to have been living when it was

maltreated.

5. That a new-born child may be destroyed by the prevention of respiration during delivery.

6. That the proof of respiration shows that the child has breathed,

not that it has been born alive.

7. That by taking together the colour, volume, consistency, absolute weight and buoyancy of the lungs, we may be able to draw an inference as to whether the child has or has not respired.

8. That the lungs increase in weight according to the degree to which respiration is established, and not necessarily according to the

period which the child has survived birth.

9. That no reliance can be placed on the test of Ploucquet, or the

proportionate weight of the lungs to the body.

10. That no reliance can be placed upon the relative quantity of blood in the pulmonary vessels, or the relative proportion of fat contained in the pulmonary tissue, as evidence of respiration having been performed.

CHAPTER XLI.

MODE OF EMPLOYING THE HYDROSTATIC TEST — INCORRECT INFERENCES—SINKING OF THE LUNGS FROM DISEASE OR ATELECTASIS — LIFE WITH PARTIAL DISTENSION OF THE LUNGS — LIFE WITH PERFECT ATELECTASIS OR ENTIRE ARSENCE OF AIR FROM THE LUNGS—HYDROSTATIC TEST NOT APPLICABLE TO SUCH CASES — ERRONEOUS MEDICAL INFERENCE FROM SINKING OF THE LUNGS — FLOATING OF THE LUNGS FROM EMPHYSEMA AND PUTREFACTION — EFFECTS OF PUTREFACTION IN AIR—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS RESPECTING THE HYDROSTATIC TEST.

Mode of employing the hydrostatic test.—The hydrostatic test has been long known, and various opinious have been entertained relative to its efficiency and value. Many of the objections that have been urged to its use, appear to have arisen from a mistaken view of the evidence which it is eapable of furnishing The term "test" is decidedly improper, since there are eases in which it does not enable us to decide whether a new-born child has come into the world living or dead. It is, however, for the sake of convenience here retained. When the hydrostatic test is properly applied, and with a full knowledge of the exceptions to which it is exposed, it may afford in many cases good evidence, whether a child has or has not respired. The mode of performing the experiment is extremely simple. Having removed the lungs from the chest, they should be placed, still connected by the trachea and bronchi, upon the surface of distilled or river water. If they sink, it should be noted whether the sinking take place rapidly or slowly. If they both sink, the two lungs should be tried separately: for it is sometimes found, that one, commonly the right, will float while the other will sink. Supposing that both lungs sink, it will then be proper to divide each into twelve or fifteen pieces, and place these pieces separately on water. If, after this, they all sink, the inference is, that although the child may have lived and survived its birth, there is no evidence of its having respired. On the other hand, the organs when placed on water may float,—it should then be noticed whether they float high above the surface or at or below the level of the water: sometimes they indifferently float or sink. These differences will lead to a conclusion respecting the degree to which respiration has taken place. It will now be proper to separate the lungs, and determine whether the bucyancy be due to one or both. Each lung should be divided as before, and each piece separately tried. If all the pieces float, even after firm compression, we have good evidence, creteris paribus, that respiration has been very perfectly performed. Should any of the divided portions sink in water either before or after compression, our opinion may he modified accordingly. Some have recommended that the lungs should be placed on water with the heart and thymus gland attached; but there appears to be no good reason for this, since it is as easy to form an opinion of the degree of huoyancy possessed by the lungs, from the readiness with which they float, as by observing whether or not they have the power of supporting these two organs.

Incorrect inferences.—Such, then, is the method of employing the hydrostatic test in cases of infanticide. With regard to its use in medical jurisprudence, it should be observed that the floating of the lungs in water is not, as it is often incorrectly represented to be, a proof that the child has been born alive: nor is the fact of their sinking in water, any proof that the child was born dead. The floating, under the limitations to be now described, proves only that a child has breathed; the sinking, either that it has not breathed, or hreathed but imperfectly. The fact of a child having been born living or dead, has, strictly speaking, no relation to the employment of the hydrostatic test. There are, indeed, cases of infanticide which may be readily established without resorting to this test: all that the law requires is proof of a child having been born living,—whether this proof he furnished by the state of the lungs through the hydrostatic test, or in any other way, is of no moment. The signs of life are commonly sought for in the lungs, because it is in these organs that the changes produced by a new state of existence are first perceived: but this examination may be dispensed with, when the woman confesses that the child was born alive-when others bave seen it manifest life by motion or otherwise after its birth, or lastly, in cases, where, without being seen, it has been heard to cry. The crying of a child has been admitted as evidence of live birth on several trials for infanticide; although, from what will be hereafter said, it is possible that a child may be heard to ery, and die before its body is entirely born. Among the objections which have been urged to the employment of the hydrostatic test, we have first to consider those which concern the sinking of the lungs in water.

SINKING OF THE LUNGS FROM DISEASE OR ATELECTASIS.

It is said that the hydrostatic test eannet show whether a child has or has not survived its birth, because the lungs of children that have lived for a considerable period, have been observed to sink entirely in water. In some instances this may depend on disease, tending to consolidate the air-cells, as hepatization or scirrhus; in others, on ædema or congestion; but these cases can create no difficulty, since the reason for the lungs sinking in water would be at once obvious on examination. The hepatized portion of lung may be known by the firmness, with which it resists cutting with a knife, as also by the

fact, that it is impossible to distend it artificially with air. On the other hand, there are cases in which the lungs appear healthy and unaffected; all that we can perceive is, that they retain their feetal cou-This is a very different state to that of hepatization, because the langs may, in this case, be made to receive air by artificial inflation. It is remarkable that life should coutinue for many hours, and sometimes even for days, under such a condition, but the occasional existence of this state of the organs in a living child, is placed beyond all dispute; the explanation of the causes upou which it depends—how it is that a child may live and breathe for hours or days, and no signs of respiration are discovered in its body after death, is involved in great difficulty. The researches of Dr. E. Jörg, of Leipzig, have, however, thrown some light upon the subject; and these may probably lead the way to other discoveries in this obscure department of physiology. Some of Dr. Jörg's views are peculiar. He considers that the act of parturition, as well as the duration of the process, has a material influeuce upon the system of a child: and that these conditions serve to prepare it for the efforts which it has to make in performing respiration. (Die Fötuslunge, Grimma, 1835.) Supposing the first inspirations made by a child to be, from any cause, feeble or imperfect, then the organs will become only partially disteaded; the remaining portions will preserve their feetal condition. Dr. Jörg considers this as a positively diseased state of the lungs in the new-born child, and he has given to it the namcof atelectasis; ατελής "incomplete"; εκτασις "expansion." It may proceed from various causes. He considers, that children which are born after a very easy and rapid delivery are liable to it; and thus it may be found in a mature, as well as in an immature child. cause which much weakeas the vital powers of a child before its actual birth, may give rise to the occurrence of this imperfect dilatation of the lungs. In this way it may be due to long-continued pressure on the head during delivery, or to hæmorrhage from the cord. the causes of asphyxia in a new-born child, will, when operating even in a very slight degree, also produce this atelectasic condition. When only a part of the lungs becomes, in the first instance, distended, the child may not afterwards acquire sufficient strength to fill the remaining portions; it may thus live on for some hours or days, respiring at intervals, and becoming occasionally convulsed, in which state it will probably sink exhausted and die. Jörg has remarked, that those portions of the lung which are not speedily distended by air, afterwards become coasolidated or hepatized, so that all traces of their vesicular The length of time which the child survives will structure are lost. depend upon the degree to which its lungs have become dilated. This condition of the lungs is sometimes to be clearly traced to the diversion of the blood supplied to the lungs, by reason of the ductus arteriosus or foramen ovale remaining open after birth.

Life with partial distension of the lungs.—It is not necessary that the whole of the lungs should have received air, in order that a child

should continue to live even for some months after its hirth. A few years ago. I met with the following case, which will serve to illustrate this statement. A child, aged six months, had heen, it was supposed, destroyed by suffocation. Upon opening the thorax, the viscera were found healthy; but the whole of the inferior lobe of the right lung was, so far as regarded colour, density, and structure, precisely like the lungs of the fœtus,-no air having ever penetrated into it. It had become developed in size, but its vesicular structure was perfectly destroyed. When the whole of the lung was placed in water, it floated; but when the inferior lohe was separated, it immediately sank to the bottom of the vessel. I have no doubt that this was a case of atelectasis, such as it is described by Jörg. The lohe had not received air in the first instance; and had become afterwards consolidated or hepatized, so that it could not be inflated. Dr. Albert met with a case, where a child died thirty-six hours after its hirth, having heen attacked by convulsions at intervals during that time. On inspection the whole of the right and the lower lobe of the left lnng were found to be in their foctal condition, and they immediately sank when immersed in water. There was no diseased appearance in the organs, and the undistended portions were easily filled by blowing air into them. (Henke's Zeitschrift, 1837, ii. 422.) M. Depaul found that in many cases where children had died suddenly after breathing for several hours or days, there was no other morbid appearance to he perceived than an unexpanded coudition of a large portion of the lungs, (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 283.)

Life with verfect atelectasis, or entire absence of air from the lungs,— It is quite necessary for a medical jurist to he aware, that this state of the lungs which is here called atelectasis, is hy no means unfrequent among new-horn children, although attention has been only of late years drawn to the subject. When no portion of air is found in the lungs of a child, there is no test by which such a case can be distinguished from one where the child has come into the world dead. These cases of atelectasis are ordinarily set down as exceptions to a very general rule; hut I cannot help thinking, that they are more common than some medical jurists are inclined to admit. In examining the body of a child, the history of which is unknown, it is therefore proper that the possible occurrence of these cases should be well borne in mind. It appears to me not improbable, that many such come yearly hefore coroners in this country; and that they are dismissed as cases of still-horn children, notwithstanding that marks of violence are often found upon the bodies. If, as it has been already ohserved, the lungs sink in water, the fact is commonly regarded as sufficient evidence of still-hirth. This is assuredly putting the most humano interpretation on the circumstances, and so far the result is not to he objected to: but we should take care, in carrying ont this principle, that we do not throw obstacles in the way of judicial inquiry, and lead to the concealment of crime. Professor Bernt met with an instance, in which a seven-months child died two hours after birth; and when its lungs were divided and placed in water, every fragment sank. Remer has reported another, in which the lungs sank in water, both entire, as well as when divided, although the child had survived its hirth at least four days. (Henke, Lehrbuch der G. M. p. 374.) In this case, the navel-string separated naturally before death. Orfila found, in a child which had lived eleven hours, every portion of the lnngs, when divided, to sink on immersion. In three other cases, in which the subjects survived birth, four, six, and ten hours, the lungs also sank when divided; two of these were mature (Mcd. Leg. i. 375.) Other instances are recorded by Daniel, Schenk and Osiander. Metzger supposed that premature children alone were likely to present this anomaly; i. e., of continuing to live after birth without leaving any clear signs of respiration in their lungs. Perhaps the greater number of these cases have occurred among immature children; but reeent observations satisfactorily prove, that perfectly mature children may also be the subjects of this singular condition.

I may add to these instances, two which have occurred under my own observation. In one, the case of a mature male child, the lungs sank in water, although the child had survived its birth for a period of six hours. In the other, the case of a female twin, the child survived twenty-four hours; and after death the lungs were divided into thirty pieces; but not a single piece floated, showing, therefore, that although life had been thus protracted, not one-thirtieth part of the structure of the lungs, had received from respiration, sufficient air to render it buoyant. (Gny's Hospital Reports, No. v. p. 355.) In the latter instance no particular remark was made during life respecting the respiration of the child. These cases show most clearly that buoyancy of the lungs is not a necessary consequence of n child having lived and hreathed for some time after hirth. Probably, had this been n case calling for medico-legal inquiry, the lungs would have been ent to pieces; the sinking of the divided pieces in water, either before or after compression, would have been set down as negativing the act of respiration, and, unless other strong evidence were forthcoming, the fact of the child having survived its birth. Here, again, we pereeive the necessity of not hastily assuming that a child has been born dead, because its lungs sink in water. There may be no good medical evidence of such a child having lived after birth, but assuredly the mere sinking does not warrant the common dictum, that the child was necessarily dead when horn; it would he as reasonable to pronounce, in a question of poisoning, that the fact of an individual having died from poison, was negatived by the non-discovery of a poisonous substance in the stomach of the deceased.

Hydrostatic test not applicable to such cases.—It must be apparent, on reflection, that cases of this description are heyond the reach of the hydrostatic as well as of all other tests applied to the respiratory

organs; because the lungs do not receive and retain a perceptible quantity of air, although the subjects may have lived some hours. The hydrostatic test is no more capable of showing that such subjects as these have lived, than it is of indicating from what cause they have died. Facts of this kind, demonstrate that passive existence may he for some time continued under a state of the respiratory process, not to be discovered after death. In the opinion of some, these cases form a scrious objection to the hydrostatic test; but it is difficult to nuderstand how they can affect its general application,—or why, because signs of respiration do not always exist in the lungs of children which have lived, we are not to rely upon them when they are actually found. Poison is not always discoverable after death in the stomach of a person who has taken it; hut this does not prevent a medical jurist from searching for it, and, under proper precantions, relying upon its discovery, as evidence of poisoning in another case. These singular instances prove that we are greatly in want of some sign to indicate life after birth, when the marks of respiration are absent. Until we discover this, we must, of course, make the hest use of that knowledge which lies at our disposal; taking care to apply it to those cases alone to which experience shows it to be adapted. In the meantime, the common inference that a child has been born dead hecause its lungs sink in water, is never likely to implicate an innocent party; it can only operate by sometimes leading to the liberation of the guilty.

Erroneous medical inference from sinking of the lungs.—From the cases already reported, it is a fair subject of consideration, whether a great error is not committed by those medical practitioners who pronounce all children to have been born dead, merely because the lungs contain no air and readily sink when placed on water. This, it is true, is the common opinion, but it is not warranted by observation. We are only entitled to say, in all such cases, that there is no evidence of a child having breathed or lived. Many might be disposed to consider it an unnecessary degree of refinement, to hesitate to express an opinion that a child was born dead when its lnngs sank entirely in water, because certain cases have occurred wherein these characters have been possessed by lungs taken from the bodies of children that have survived their birth many hours. To those inclined to adopt this view. I would say, the answer to such a question is of far greater importance in a medico-legal, than in a medical light. In the latter case, no responsibility can be attached to the expression of the opinion commonly adopted; in the former case, however, when the question refers to child-murder, a serious responsibility attaches to a practitioaer; and he can only gnard himself from unpleasant consequences, by basing his evidence on carefully observed facts. If a child can live for six or twenty-four hours, without its lungs receiving sufficient air to allow even one-thirtieth part of their substance to float, it is clear that such a child may be the subject of a murderous assault; and if a medical practitioner, losing sight of this fact, proceed to declare,

from the lungs sinking in water, that the child must have been born dead, his assertion may afterwards be contradicted, either by circumstances, by the testimony of eye-witnesses, or by the confession of the woman herself. He will he required, perhaps, to revise his opinion; and he will then find, that the fact of the lungs sinking in water is rather a want of ovidence of life after birth, than a positive proof of a child having been born dead. It cannot be denied, that the sinking of the lungs is a presumption in favour of still-hirth, but it is nothing more; -it is not, as it is often set down, a positive proof of the child having been born dead. There are many cases reported which show that this is not an unnecessary caution. Mcckel relates two instances where the lungs sank in water, but the women respectively confessed that they had destroyed their children; according to the general rule, these children must have been born dead, and no murder could have been committed! (Gerichtl. Mcd. 365.) For other examples of a similar kind, I must refer to the following journals: Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 437; also, 1841, 429; Henke's Zeitschrift, 1840, xxvii. Erg. h.; Brit. and For. Med. Rev. Jan. 1842, p. 250. The cases there reported, appear to me to convey a serious warning to medical witnesses, on the danger of expressing an opinion not strictly warranted by the facts, and which must be in such cases merely speculative. A case of some interest in this point of view has recently been communicated to the Medical Gazette, by Dr. Davies, of Hertford. In November, 1847, he was required to examine the body of a child found under suspicious circumstances. It was in a pasteboard-box of small size, with the lid turned inside ont, and on the top there was a quantity of The body was found buried in a garden. It turned out on inquiry that there had not been exactly a concealment of birth on the part of the mother, who was an uumarried woman. The body was thirteen inches long from crown to sole; eyelids were adherent; testicles (it was a male child) had not descended; it weighed one pound and three-quarters. It was ascertained that it had been buried a fortnight, which accounted in some degree for the lightness of its weight in proportion to its length, and for a slight pecking off of the cuticle from some parts of the arms; the body looked otherwise healthy. The age was probably about seven months. On examining the lungs, they were found to be quite firm, like the liver; they sank in water both wholly and in parts. The right lung was of a dark brown mahogany colour, hat the upper lobe of the left was of rather a lighter colour than any other part of the lungs. However, this lobe sank immediately upon being put in water.

Now the evidence at the inquest proved that the child was not only born ative, but that it had lived ten minutes at least, and perhaps longer, after birth. It appeared that an elderly woman, living close by, was sont for, and when she arrived she found the child, with the placenta attached to it, in the close-stool. She noticed that the child moved its arms; she therefore took it up with the placenta, and

wrapped it in flannel. It continued to move its limbs for ten minutes, according to her account, but it uttered no cry. When the child ceased to move, she divided the funis seven inches from the body and tied it into a knot. (Vol. xl. 1022.)

It is a matter of surprise, that in the later editions of his work, Dr. Beck should have asserted, that "it is both safe and just to coasider as dead, every child that has not breathed; i. e., whose lungs sink in water." (Med. Jur. 284.) He at the same time admits that children may come into the world living without breathing; and the law holds under the decisions of its expounders, (see ante, p. 421,) that respiration is only one, and not the exclusive proof of life. In order to establish life or even live birth, respiration need not always be proved, either is civil or criminal cases. (Fish v. Palmer, 1806. Sec post, A medical jurist would therefore be no more justified in asserting that all such children were necessarily born dead, than that they were born living: and is stating what is the plain and obvious truth, it is not possible that he can ever be the means of involving an innocent person. It is certain, however, in departing from the truth, and stating what is contrary to well-known facts, that when the lungs of a child sink in water, it is safe and just to consider such child as having been born dead, he is incurring the risk of exculpating a really guilty person; for it cannot be too strongly borne in miad, that a womaa is not charged with murder, merely because the lungs of a child float or sink in water: but because there are upon its body. marks of violent injuries apparently sufficient to account for the death of a new-born child, or very strong moral presumptions of her guilt. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, ii. 362; also ease by Dr. Bellot, ante. page 424.)

BUOYANCY OF THE LUNGS FROM OTHER CAUSES THAN RESPIRATION.

Another series of objections has been urged to the hydrostatic test, based on the fact that the lungs may receive air and acquire bnoyancy from other causes than respiration. These causes are two: putrefaction and artificial inflation. It was supposed, that the lungs of a still-born child might become emphysematous from a compression of the sides of the thorax during delivery; but it is difficult to understand, how in this way air should be extricated from these organs any more than it would be from the liver under similar circumstances. The truth probably is, that what has been described as emphysema of the lungs in still-born children, was nothing more than partial or imperfect respiration performed during delivery. In examining the bodies of many still-born children, I have never met with any appearance resembling what has been described as a state of emphysema, independently of respiration and putrefaction. It may be proper, however, to state, that according to some observers, emphysema of the lungs may be produced under the following circumstances:--The thorax of the child is compressed in passing the outlet,—the lungs

within are thereby compressed; and if this compressing force be suddenly removed, as by the thorax escaping, the elasticity of the parietes will cause the chest to expand, and air, it is presumed, will enter as a necessary consequence. The simultaneous compression of the abdomen might aid in the entrance of the air. (Lancet, May 20, 1837; also June 17, 1837.) It is contended that not only may repriation take place during birth, but that even the lungs of the dead fectus may become thus mechanically inflated and simulate respiration.

This opinion appears to be founded on an erroneous view of the The Inngs are as dense condition of the thoracic viscera in the chest. as the liver hefore air has entered into them. If they be compressed they may become clongated, but when that pressure is removed, they will, if the child be dead, simply return to their original feetal con-To suppose that they would expand and receive air, is to suppose that the reaction of the thoracic parietes is greater than the force with which they have been compressed. But what is to carry the tborax of a dead ebild beyond the point at which equilibrium is Besides, this would not suffice to distend the air-cells, which are yet coiled up as it were and condensed. If this view were correct scarcely a child would be horn without having air in its lungs. In experimenting on this subject, I have never observed the least portion of air to enter:--the air-cells of the lungs do not therefore appear to be in the condition of spiral springs, which this hypothesis would represent.

Floating of the lungs from putrefaction.—The lungs of a still-born child, when allowed to remain in the thorax, are slow in undergoing putrefaction; hut nevertheless, they may sooner or later acquire sufficient air to render them buoyant in water. This form of gaseous putrefaction may even take place in the lungs of a child which bas died in utero. One instance of the kind is recorded by Dr. Albert, (Henke's Zeitschrift, 1837, ii. 379,) in which the child was cut out of the uterus in a putrefied state, and its lungs floated when placed on water. It has been also alleged, that the formation of air may take place in the lungs from putrefaction without this being indicated by change in colour, smell, or other properties of the organs; but admitting that this occurs it can create no difficulty in the investigation.

When the lungs are putrefied, this will be determined, in general, by putrefaction having extended throughout all the soft parts of the body. The organs, according to the degree of putrefaction, will be soft, pultaceous, of a dark green or brown colour, and of a highly offensive odour; the serons membrane investing the surface, will be raised in large visible bladders, from which the air may be forced out by very moderate compression. It has been remarked, that under the same conditions, gaseous putrefaction takes place as rapidly in the liver, heart and thymus gland of a new-born child, as in the lungs. We should, therefore, examine the general condition of the body; the distension of the lungs with gas from putrefaction, cannot be easily over-

looked or mistaken for the air of respiration. The answer to any objection founded on the putrefied state of these organs, must at once suggest itself. It is impossible that any well-informed medical witness can expect to obtain satisfactory evidence from experiments on the lungs of such subjects. He should at once abandon the case, and declare that in regard to the question of respiration, medical evidence cannot establish either the affirmative or the negative. The fact of his not being able to give the evidence required, cannot be imputed as a matter of hlame to him; because this is due to circumstances over which he has no control. In a case of poisoning, the post-mortem appearances in the viscera may be entirely destroyed by putrefactiou; but no practitioner would think of looking for proofs where the circumstances rendered it utterly impossible for him to obtain them.

A case may possibly occur, wherein the characters presented by the luugs will be such as to create some doubt whether the buoyancy of the organs be due to putrefaction or respiration, or, what is not unusual, whether the putrefied lungs may not also have undergone the changes of respiration. The facts may be apparently explicable on either assumption. Even here a proper investigation may serve to remove all doubt. (See case by Dr. Francis, Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 460.) It has been recommended on these occasions that the witness should lean to the side of the prisoner,—in other words, he should give an opinion, that the child suspected to have been murdered, had not This advice is equal to recommending a witness to take respired. upon himself the duty of a jury, and virtually to acquit a prisoner upon a doubt existing in his own mind, in respect to only one portion The ill effects of following this of the evidence adduced against her. kind of advice are well shown by a case reported in Henke's Zeitschrift, (1843, i. 102, Edg. h.) where an opinion was improperly given by a medical witness, that the child, the whole of the organs of whose body were in an advanced state of putrefaction, was born dead; and the prisoner afterwards confessed that it had been born living! This shows that it is always better to leave a douhtful case as we find it, than to express a positive opinion on one side or the other, when this opinion can never amount to more than a conjecture. If a witness were simply to assure the jury, that medical evidence could not solve the question whether the child had lived,—if be were to assert what is really the fact, that his experiments would not allow him to say whether the child had or had not respired,—it is certain that no innocent person would ever be convicted or a guilty person acquitted, upon his evi-It is for a jury only to judge of guilt from all the circumstances laid before them; but it is assuredly not for a medical witness to prevent all further investigation and put an end to the case, by leaning to the side of the accused when there is really a doubt upon his mind. It is his duty to state that doubt, and leave the decision of guilt or innocence in the hands of the Court.

Conclusions .- The general conclusions which may be drawn from

the contents of this chapter respecting the application of the hydrostatic test in cases of infanticide, are the following:—

1. That the hydrostatic test can only show whether a child has or has not hreathed,—it does not enable us to determine whether a child has heen born living or dead.

2. That the lungs of children which have lived after birth, may sink in water owing to their not having received air, or their heing in

a discased condition.

That a child may live for a considerable period when only a portion of the lungs has been penetrated by air.

4. That a child may survive hirth, even for twenty-four hours, when no part of its lungs has been penetrated by air.

5. Hence the sinking of the lungs (whether whole or divided) in water, is not a proof that a child has been born dead.

 That the lungs of children which have not hreathed and have been born dead, may float in water from putrefaction or artificial inflation.

7. That the lungs as situated in the chest undergo putrefaction very slowly,—that if hut slightly putrefied, the air may he easily forced out hy compression, and if much putrefied, either the case must be ahandoned, or other sources of evidence sought for.

CHAPTER XLII.

FLOATING OF THE LUNGS FROM ARTIFICIAL INFLATION. INFLATION DISTINGUISHED FROM PERFECT RESPIRATION—NOT DISTINGUISHABLE FROM IMPERFECT RESPIRATION—DOUBTFUL CASES—RESULTS OF COMPRESSION—IMPROPER OBJECTIONS TO THE HYDROSTATIC TEST—SUMMARY—RESPIRATION BEFORE BIETH—VAGITUS UTERINUS—RESPIRATION A SIGN OF LIFE, NOT OF LIVE BIETH—THE KILLING OF CHILDREN WHICH BREATHE DURING BIETH NOT CHILDMURDER. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

Floating of the lungs from artificial inflation.—It has been alleged that the lungs of a still-born child, may he made to assume, by artificial inflation, all the characters assigned to those which have undergone respiration. Thus, it is said, a child may not have hreathed, and yet the application of the hydrostatic test would lead to the inference that it had. It will be seen that the force of this objection, goes to attack directly the inference, derived from the presence of air in the lungs. This objection can, it appears to me, be admitted only under one form, namely, as it applies to lungs which have been inflated while lying in the cavity of the chest. Any experiments performed on inflation after their removal from this cavity, can have no practical bearing; since

in a case of infanticide, we have to consider only the degree to which the lungs may be inflated by a person who is endeavouring to resuscitate a still-born child. The difficulty of inflating the lungs of a newborn child, is too well known to require to be here adverted to; the greater the violence used, the less likely is the air to pass into these organs, but it rather finds its way through the esophagus into the bowels. Dr. Albert, a late writer on the subject, denies that the organs while lying in the chest can be so filled with air, either by the month or hy means of a tube, as to be rendered huoyant in water. In performing this experiment several times, he never found a trace of air in the air-cells; and he contends that medical jurists have hegun at the wrong end (den Gaul von hinten anfgezaimt.) in endeavouring to seek for answers to an objection, before they had ascertained that such an objection could have, practically speaking, any valid existence. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1837, ii. 390.) M. Depanl has still more receutly found that it required great force to inflate the lungs, and that their resiliency was sufficient to expel the greater part of the air. (Med. Gaz., xxxix, 283.)

Having bad several opportunities of examining the hugs of children in which inflation had been resorted to, not for the express purpose of creating an objection to the hydrostatic test, but with the bond fide intention of resuscitating them, I may here state the results. In some of these instances a tube had heen used, and in others the mouth. the first case it was found on inspection, that only about one-thirteenth part of the structure of the lungs had received air. In the second, no part of the lungs had received a trace of air, although inflation had been repeatedly resorted to; the air had passed entirely into the abdo-In a third, attempts were made for upwards of half an hour to inflate the organs; but on examination, not a particle of air was found to have penetrated into them. In a fourth, no air had entered the lungs, and in a fifth, although a small portion had penetrated into the organs, it was readily forced out by compression. In repeatedly performing experiments on dead children, the results have been very similar; the lungs, after several attempts, were found to have received only a small quantity of air. Thus, theu, it would appear, that the lungs of a new-born child may be inflated in situ, although with some difficulty, and that the quantity of air which they receive under these circumstauces, is inconsiderable. If the efforts at inflation be continued for some time in the dead body, and the tube be violently introduced into the larynx or trachea, or if the organs be inflated, after removal from the thorax, with the express intention of causing them to resemble respired lungs, the case is different: but this is not the way in which the objection can possibly occur in a case of infanticide. -a circumstance which appears to have been strangely overlooked by some of those who have examined this alleged objection to the hydrostatic test. It is not likely that a woman, if able to perform the experiment at all, would be capable of doing more than a practised acconcheur; and the probability is, that she would, in general, altogether

fail in the attempt. I have been ahle to find only one case reported, where a woman recently delivered, is stated to have succeeded in artificially inflating the lungs of her child. (Meckel, Lehrh. der G. M. 368.—See also Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. xxvi. 374.)

But let it he admitted, that the lungs are artificially inflated: in this case, they would resemble, by their partial distension with air, and other physical characters, those of children which had imperfectly breathed. Like them, they may float ou water; but on cutting them iuto pieces, some of these would be found to sink. If the pieces he firmly compressed either by means of a folded cloth or between the fingers, they will lose their air and sink, so that in fact there are no physical means of distinguishing artificially inflated lungs from those that have imperfectly breathed. Experiment has repeatedly shown that where the respiration has been very feeble, and no artificial inflation resorted to, the air may be forced out of the lungs by moderate compression, and the portion so compressed will sink in water. If the compression be performed under water, bubbles of air may he seen to rise through the liquid. The results I have found to be exactly the same with lungs inflated artificially as they were lying in the chest. (See Guy's Hospital Reports, No. v., and for some remarks on this subject by Dr. Christison, see Ed. Mcd. and Surg. Jour. xxvi. 74.)

Artificial inflation distinguished from perfect respiration .- If respiration has been perfectly established, and the lungs are well filled with air, it is impossible so to expel this air by compressing the divided portions of the organs, as to cause them to sink in water. has been asserted, that it is equally impossible to force the air out of lungs that have been artificially inflated; but it is highly probable that in these cases the lungs had been inflated to a maximum degree when removed from the thorax, a case in which much difficulty is certainly experienced in expelling the air; but this is not the form in which the objection can ever present itself in a case of infanticide. If the lungs be inflated in the ordinary way, i. e., while lying within the thorax, there is never, according to my observation, any great difficulty in causing them to lose their air by compression, -a result which has been repeatedly demonstrated to the medico-legal classes of Guy's Hospital. Although no reliance can he placed on the effects of compression in cases of imperfect respiration, yet it appears to me that when with great weight of the lungs, there is great huoyancy in water, the fact of their not losing the air contained in them, and not sinking after very firm compression, ought to be considered as a good corroborative proof of the child having hreathed. It has been just stated that compression will not extricate air from lungs which have fully respired. By this, it is not to be understood that the experiment of compression can only he practically applied, to distinguish respiration in those cases in which a child has lived for a considerable time after its hirth. I have found it to succeed, even where a child had lived to make no more than one or two respirations, and had died before it was actually

In this case, it was found necessary, in order to effect delivery, to destroy the child while the head was presenting. It lived, however, a sufficient time after the protrusion of its head with the greater part of the brain evacuated, to ery loudly for an instant. The general appearance of the body showed that it had attained to the full period of gestation. On opening the thorax, the lungs were seen projecting slightly forwards over the sides of the pericardium. They were of a light-red colour, but not crepitant under the finger. They had the external physical characters which these organs are known to acquire on the first establishment of respiration; but the absence of crepitation proved that the process could not have been perfectly performed. The colour of the external surface was throughout uniform; a circumstance which I have never witnessed in lungs that had been artificially inflated, except where the inflation had been carried to its fullest extent out of the body. Then, however, there is, commonly, distinct crepitation. removed and placed on water, these organs floated freely: and on being separated, both appeared equally buoyaut. Each lung was next divided into sixteen pieces, and every piece floated. In dividing them, it was observed that the colour was uniform throughout their substance; there was no sense of crepitus under the knife; nor could the cells, in which the air was diffused, be seen. The pieces were then subjected to very forcible compression, for a considerable time, in a folded cloth. The cloth was ruptured by the force employed; yet, on removing the pieces, and placing them on water, they all continued to float. A portion of air had, undoubtedly, heen forced out, but not sufficient to deprive any of them of their buoyancy. By this we learn, that in some instances, two or three respirations only, may suffice to stamp upon the lungs, characters whereby they may be easily distinguished from those organs that have undergone artificial inflation. The compression was carried to the furthest possible limit consistently with the preservation of the organic structure of the lungs.

It must not be supposed, that, in all children which have lived but a second or two to respire, similar results will be obtained. The respiration of an instant may distend the lungs of one child, as much as respiration, continued for several bours, would those of another. time which a child has survived its birth, does not allow us to predict, to what degree its lungs will be found distended on inspection, or what the results of experiments on these organs will be. (See ante, p. 429.) A child may have very feebly respired, and died either in a few minutes or bours, or not until many days have elapsed after its birtb. There is, of course, no definite boundary between the perfect and imperfect distension of the lungs, but by the latter condition we may understand that state of the healthy organs in which they contain only sufficient air to render them buoyant in water; and from the slight difference in their specific gravity and that of water, a very small quantity will suffice for this. In these cases, moreover, the colour, volume, and consistency, are scarcely changed from the feetal condition. The admission that air may be compressed out of feebly respired lungs by the same means as out of those which have been submitted to artificial inflation, may appear to render compression uscless, as a diagnostic sign of artificial inflation: but we must not forget, that other corroborative sources of evidence may be forthcoming. The experiment of compression will, I believe, when properly applied, eaable us to distinguish cases of complete respiration from those of artificial inflation of the lungs in sitú; and, if for this circumstance alone, it ought to he regarded as an adjuact, occasionally useful in these investigations.

Artificial inflation not distinguishable from imperfect respiration.— It must, however, be admitted, that there are no means of distinguishing feeble respiration from artificial inflation. The physical characters of the lungs will be unaltered; and compression will, in cither condition, destroy their buoyancy. In a case of this kind, I apprehend the only course left open to a medical witness is, to state to the jury, that the evidence derived from experiments on the lungs, left it uncertain whether the child in question had respired or had had its lungs artificially inflated. The jury will then know how to return their verdict; for it must be remembered, they have always circumstauces to guide their judgment, as well as medical opinions: and it is upon the whole, and not upon a part of the evidence laid before them, that their verdict is founded. It is singular that this occasional dithculty of distinguishing artificial inflation from respiration, should have been represented as a serious objection to the employment of the hydrostatic test. Even admitting, in the very few instances in which such a defence on the part of a prisoner is possible, that a practitioner is unable to distinguish the one condition from another, this becomes purely a point for the consideration of a jury; it cannot affect the general application of the hydrostatic test. Examples of this sort of difficulty are by no meaas uncommon in the practice of medical jurisprudence. Many instances might be adduced of medical evidence becoming doubtful from circumstauces wholly independent of the skill of the practitioner, and over which he has no possible control. In the determination of any single point in a case of child-murder, whether it relate to live birth or the actual cause of death, a doubt may arise; the question relative to the respiration of the child, is not exempted from this rule; but it would be the height of inconsistency, to coutend, that, because certain means of investigation will not always enable us to express a positive opinion, we should never have recourse to them. I presume that, in the present day, no practitioner would trust to the floating of the lungs as a sign of breathing, before he had ascertained that the air contained in them could not be expelled by compression. charge against an accused party is not likely, therefore, to be sustained by medical evidence of the respiration of the child unless the child have actually respired; but it is possible, that, owing to a wanteof evidence to characterize feeble respiration, a really guilty person may escape npon the bare assumption that the lungs might have been artificially inflated. The mischief to be appreheuded is not, then, as it has been often alleged, that the employment of this pulmonary test may lead to the condemnation of an innoceut, but rather to the acquittal of a guilty person. This is certainly an unfortunate result; but it is one for which medical science is not yet in a condition to provide an adequate remedy.

In reference to this objection, there are, it appears to me, only two cases which might give rise to some doubt ou the source of the air

contained in the lnngs of a new-born child:-

Doubtful cases.--1. In the case of a child that has not breathed, the lungs may be disproportionately heavy, weighing nine hundred to one thousand grains, and they may have been artificially inflated in the attempt to resuscitate it. Unless, in this case, the air were expelled by compression, an inference might be hastily drawn, that the child had probably breathed. The error could only he removed by circumstantia evidence, which, however, is generally sufficient to remove a speculative objection of this kind. But unless the fætal lungs were highly congested, diseased, or of extraordinary size, it is not likely that they would weigh so much as is here supposed. This kind of doubtful case might always be suspected to exist where, with considerable absolute weight, the lungs contained very little air. Let us, however, consider what would be its practical bearing on the question of child-murder, supposing the case not to be cleared up by any of the methods above suggested. 1st, The fact of respiration would not be clearly proved. because the great absolute weight of the lungs, without their being permeated with air, amounts to nothing. 2dly, Although the proof of respiration might not be made out, this would not show that the child was horn dead; for we know that a child may live many hours. and yet no evidence of life may be derived from an examination of the lungs. 3dly, Admitting that there was proof of the child having lived after birth, whether there were evidence of respiration or not, the cause of death would have still to be made out: and unless this be clearly traced to the wilful and malicious conduct of the prisonerproofs of which are not likely to be derived from the body of a child whose lungs she has innocently inflated-she must be acquitted. Thus, then, it is difficult to understand how, in the hands of one who has attended to the subject of infanticide—and no others ought to be allowed to give medical evidence—this objection, on the ground of inflation, can lead to any difficulty whatever in practice. Such a case as that which I have here supposed, actually occurred to me in June 1842. A male child, weighing upwards of twelve pounds, died during delivery in a difficult labour. It gave no signs of life when born, and there was no pulsation in the cord. Its lungs were artificially inflated in the attempt to respect ate it. The organs weighed nine hundred and ninety-four grains. They were slightly crepitant and floated on water, but gentle pressure with the fingers caused them to sink.

was clear that the increased weight depended on their great size, and not on any change wrought hy respiration. They contained hut a very small quantity of air, which was most easily expelled by pressure. another case which I examined in June 1847, the child was born dead. The hody was well developed, and the lnngs weighed 784 grains. These organs were inflated in situ. On moderate compression, when divided, they immediately sank in water.

2. We will now take the converse objection. A child may live and hreathe, and its lungs weigh much under the average of respired lungs, i. e., about seven hundred grains. In a case like this, unless the air resist expulsion by compression, an opposite mistake might be made, and we should pronounce a child that had really breathed and survived birth to have heen still-horn, and had its lungs artificially inflated. This might happen in numerous cases of imperfect respiration after hirth, did we not know that the sinking of the lungs, whether containing air or not, and whether this air he expelled by compression or not, does not necessarily prove that the child was horn dead. It can only show, under the most favourable circumstances, that it has either not respired, or respired imperfectly. The sinking of the lungs may take place in a child that has survived hirth and has really heen murdered; but, in such a case, there might he no proofs of life; and therefore a person actually guilty of a crime, would he discharged for want of sufficient medical evidence to convict. This, however, could no more justify the entire ahandonment of medical evidence in such cases, than it could of general evidence; hecause this, like evidence which is purely medical, is hut too often insufficient to bring home guilt to the really guilty. The objection, therefore, on the ground of artificial inflation, when closely examined, is more speculative than real. Admitting, as some contend, that there is no positive criterion to distinguish this condition from respiration, it is difficult to conceive a case in which the objection could he sustained; and if sustained, it never could lead, in the hands of proper witnesses, to the inculpation of the innocent:unfortunately for society, it would only add another loop-hole to the many which, through the necessary forms of law, now exist, for the escape of the guilty.

Results of compression.—It is proper to observe, that the results ohtained hy suhmitting the lnngs to compression in cases of respiration and artificial inflation, have been very different in the hands of experimentalists equally competent. Some have been able to force out the air in both instances, -others in neither case. These discrepancies may depend either upon the different degrees of pressure employed, or upon the actual degree of distension of the lungs. The fact of their existence shows, at least, that the lung-tests cannot he safely trusted in the hands of persons who have not heen used to such investigations. It appears to me that there has been a great deal of misplaced discussion on this subject. One case should at least he adduced, where a woman charged with child-murder has been or can be hypothetically

exposed to any risk of conviction from the admission that air cannot by compression be forced out of artificially inflated, or that it can be expelled from respired lungs. I am not aware that there is a single iustance in onr law-records, of such an objection being raised upon any but mere hypothetical grounds, in opposition to all the circumstances of the case. It might be imagined, bowever, from the discussions among medical jurists, as to the necessity for certain and infallible means of distinguishing artificial inflation from respiration, that every woman tried for child-murder, had made the praiseworthy attempt to restore a still-born child, although circumstances may show that she had cut its throat, severed its head, or strangled it, while circulation was going on! (See case Prov. Med. Journal, April 23, If compression be trusted to as a criterion, without a proper regard to other facts, a practitioner not used to such cases, may undoubtedly be easily lead into error, but he may be equally deceived if he trust what has heen proposed as a substitute for compression,

i. e., a mere physical inspection of the laugs.

Improper objections to the hydrostatic test. Summary.—In concluding these remarks upon the objections to the hydrostatic test, it may be observed that medical practitioners have differed much at different times, in their ideas of what it was fitted to prove. About fifty years ago, it would seem that this test was regarded by some as capahle of furnishing evidence of murder! Thus we find Dr. Hunter asking the question "llow far may we conclude that the child was born alive, and probably murdered by its mother, if the lungs swim in water?" Later authorities, and, indeed, many in the present day, assert that the test is capable of proving whether a child has been born alive or not! (Beck's Mcd. Jur. p. 268.) From what has already been stated, as well as from the most simple reflection on the circumstances accompanying the birth of children, I think it must be evident, that the hydrostatic test is no more capable of showing that a child has been born alive or dead, than it is of proving whether it has been murdered, or died from natural causes. The majority of those who have made experiments on this subject, have only pretended to show, by the use of this and other tests, whether or not a child has breathed: -they merely serve to furnish in many cases good proof of life from the state of the lungs, and slight reflection will render it apparent that in no case are they susceptible of doing more. Even here, their ntility is much restricted by numerous counteracting circumstances, a knowledge of which is essential to him who wishes to make a practical application of the facts connected with them. (See Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. xxvi. 365.) If asked to state in what cases the pulmonary tests are capable of assisting a medical jurist, the answer, it appears to me, would be :- lst, They will clearly show that the new-born child has lived, when, during its life, it has fully and perfectly respired. Cases of this description form a certain number of those which come hefore our Courts of law. To them, the most serious objections are

not applicable; and the few which might be made to the medical inferences, are not difficult to answer. 2dly, They will allow a witness to say, that the lungs must have either received air by respiration, or by artificial inflation. These are the eases in which a child has died soou after birth, and where the respiratory changes are but very im-They probably form the large perfectly manifested in the lnugs. majority of those that fall under the jurisdiction of the criminal law. It might be considered, that the qualification in the inference here drawn, would neutralize its force; but it must be remembered, that there are few instances of actual and deliberate child-murder, wherein artificial inflation could become eyea a possible defence for an accused So unusued is this kind of defence, that among the numerous trials for infanticide which have taken place in this country for many years past, I have not been able to meet with a single instance in which it was alleged, as an objection to the evidence derived from the buoyancy of the lungs, that the prisoner had inflated them is order to resuscitate her child. The reason is obvious: had such a defeuce been attempted, the whole of the circumstantial evidence would have at once set it aside. Whea, in the suspected murder of an adult, a medical man swears that a fatal would was such that the deceased might have inflicted on himself, or that the prisoner might have produced it, he is placing the jury in a very similar position to that in which he places them in a case of child-murder, when he says that the child might have breathed, or its lungs might have been artificially inflated. How would a jury decide in the two cases? Assuredly, by conaecting together certain facts with which a medical witness has no concern, but which may, in their opiniou, satisfactorily supply the place of what is defective in his evidence. It is not for him to calculate the probabilities of respiration, or of artificial inflation; but it is for them to consider, whether an accused party was or was not likely to have resorted to an experiment of this nature. It has been suggested, that some person might inflate the lungs of a dead child, in order to raise a charge of murder against the mother; but this snggestion presupposes a profound knowledge of the difficulties of medical jurisprudence;—and even then, the question of murder does not happen to depend on the presence of air in the luugs. Such a case is very unlikely to present itself: indeed its occurrence is no more probable than that in poisoning it should be considered a good defence, that some person might have introduced the poison into the stomach after death. The circumstances of the case will commonly furnish a sufficient answer to such hypothetical views.

The hydrostatic test ought not, therefore, to be lightly condemned, or rejected upon a speculative objection, which, in nine-tenths of the cases of child-murder, could not possibly exist. Let it be granted to the fullest extent, that a conscientious medical jurist cannot always draw a positive distinction between respiration and artificial inflation, still the jury may be in a situation to relieve him from the difficulty.

In short, it would be as reasonable to contend that all murderers should be acquitted because homicidal are not always to be distinguished from suicidal wounds, as to argue that all cases of infanticide should be abandoned because these two conditions are not to be known from each other by any certain medical signs. If juries do frequently dismiss such cases, it is, I apprehend, to be ascribed rather to their great unwillingness to become the means of administering severe laws, than to their want of power to balance and decide on the probabilities laid before them. If the pulmonary tests were wholly set aside, it is easy to conceive what would be the consequences. Thus, let us suppose that a new-born child is found, under suspicious circumstances, with its throat cut; we are called upon to say, that it is impossible for medical evidence to establish whether the child had lived or not, and therefore we are to decline making an inspection of its body. But this would be the same as declaring that child-murder could never be proved against an accused party, and that new-born children might henceforth be destroyed with impunity! It appears to me, that conduct of this kind, on the part of a medical witness, would be wholly unwarrantable; for we may sometimes acquire, by an inspection, as great a certainty of respiration having been performed, and therefore of a child having lived, as of any other fact of a medico-legal nature. Cases of poisoning often give rise to greater difficulties to a medical jurist; as where, for example, he attempts to found his opinion of the cause of death on symptoms or post-mortem appearances. But we will put the question in this light. In the body of a healthy full-grown child, which has but recently died, we find the lungs filling out the cavity of the chest, of a light-red colour, spongy, crepitant beneath the finger, weighing at least two ounces, and when divided into numerous pieces, each piece floating on water, even after violent compression. Is it possible in such a case to doubt that respiration has been performed? If there be no certainty here, it appears to mo that medical experience is but little fitted in any ease to guide us in our inquiries. It would be difficult to point out an instance in which an affirmative medical opinion, would be more surely warranted by the data upon which it was founded.

Respiration before birth.—It has been already stated that the pulmonary tests are only fitted to prove whether the child has or has not lived to respire. Neither the hydrostatic nor any other test can positively show that the child was entirely born alive when the act of respiration was performed. As this is a subject which generally gives rise to some discussion in cases of child-murder, I shall here make a few remarks on it:—1st, Respiration may be performed while the child is in the uterus, after the rupture of the membranes;—the mouth of the child being at the os nteri. This is what is termed vagitus uterinus; its occurrence, although extremely rare, seems to me to rest upon undisputed authority. 2dly, A child may breathe while its head is in the vagina, either during a presentation of the head or the breech. This has been termed vagitus vaginalis. It is not very

common, but it must be set down as a possible occurrence. 3dly, A child may breathe while its head is protrading from the outlet; in this position, respiration may be as completely set up in a few moments by its crying, us we find it in some children that have actually been born, and have survived their birth for several hours. This is the most usual form of respiration before birth. In the vagitus uterinus or vaginalis, the lungs receive but a very small quantity of air; in respiration after protrusion of the head, the lungs may be sometimes found moderately well filled; although never, perhaps, possessing all the characteristic properties of those which have fully respired. The well-known occurrence of respiration under either of these three conditious, strikingly displays the fallacy of making that process, as some have done, the certain criterion of uterine-life. A child may breathe in the uterus or vagina, or with its bead at the outlet, and die before its body is born; the discovery of its having respired would not, therefore, be any sort of proof of its having enjoyed what has been termed "extra-uterine life." (For a well-marked case of this kind, see Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 394.) The death of a child which has respired in the uterus or vagina from natural causes, before its entire birth, is a possible occurrence; but its death from natural causes before birth, after it has breathed by the protrusion of its head from the outlet, is, I believe, a very unusual event. All that we can say is -it may take place; but its death, under these circumstances, would be the exception to a very general rule. Oberkamp, in four successive deliveries of the same female, observed that the children breathed before delivery, but died before they were born. A case of this kind also occurred to Diemerbrock. (See Meckel, Lebrbuch der G. M. p. 367; Beck's Med. Jur. 277; also, Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. xxvi. 374.) The cases reported in Beck, of which there are three, lose much of their value from the fact that the lungs were not examined.

Respiration a sign of life, not of live birth.—The hydrostatic test is only capable of determining that respiration has taken place: it cannot show whether that process was established during birth, or The fact of n child having the power of breathing before it is entirely born, does not therefore constitute the smallest objection to its employment; although, upon this ground, we find the use of it, in any case, denounced by many eminent men of the medical and legal professions. Thus, Archbold says, "Very little confidence is placed in this test as to the lungs floating, particularly if the child were dead any length of time before the experiment was made." (Criminal Pleading, 367.) Matthews speaks of the test as being "quite exploded." (Digest, 251.) And Jervis makes the same remark. (On Coroners, 127.) It is obvious that most members of the law who have treated this subject, have adopted, without sufficient examination, the statements of Dr. William Hunter. observes: "A child will commonly breathe as soon as its mouth is born or protruded from the mother; and, in that case, may lose its life

before its body be born, especially when there happens to be a considerable interval hetween what we may call the birth of the child's head and the protrusion of its body. And if this may happen where the best assistance is at hand, it is still more likely to happen when there is none-that is, where the woman is delivered by herself." the Uncertainty of the Signs of Murder in the case of Bastard Children, p. 33.) Dr. Hunter here exposes, in plain language, the fallacy of trusting to signs of respiration alone, as evidence of a child having been born alive. The truth of his remarks is, in the present day, generally admitted; and if, among medico-legal writers, we find some still treating of respiration as a certain proof of live hirtb, it is from their not baving sufficiently considered the probability of a child breathing, and dying before its body is entirely extruded. But we may ask, How does the admission of these views affect a case of deliberate child-murder? A living and breathing child may be wilfully destroyed before its body is entirely born, as well as afterwards; and if the law of England does not contemplate the wilful destruction of a living and breathing child, before its entire birth, as a crime, this omission cannot be imputed as a fault to the medical jurist; nor can it at all diminish the real value of the hydrostatic test as furnishing indisputable evidence of life. Most persons might consider the crime of murder sufficiently made out, when the medical evidence showed that the child had lived, and that it was living when criminally destroyed. If, however, this do not constitute infanticide in law; and evidence be further insisted on, to set forth where the child was actually living when murdered-whether half protruding from the vagina, or altogether external to the body of the mother; then is the fact of respiration before birth, an objection rather against the principles of the law, than against the tests used to determine the presence of life. In a case tried a few years since, in which a child had been found with a ligature firmly tied around its neck, the medical evidence showed clearly, that it had breathed; and the whole of the appearances in its body, were such as to leave no medical doubt that it had died by strangulation. The judge, in charging the jury, said, "if they were of opinion that the prisoner had strangled her child before it was wholly born, she must be acquitted of the murder!" The prisoner was acquitted. However we may regard the question of the utility of pulmonary tests, we cannot but look upon that law as but very imperfectly adapted to its purposes, which makes the proof of murder to rest, not upon the actual and wilful destruction of a living child, but upon the precise moment which a murderer may select for the accomplishment of the crime. Impunity is thus held out to all offenders, who destroy living children in the act of birth; but there is an additional evil, accompanying the operation of this legal rule, which serionsly affects the medical evidence given on these occasions. would seem from cases to be presently related, that the law will assume, until the contrary appear from other circumstances, that the re-

spiration of a child, if proved by the best of evidence, was carried on hefore it was entirely born. Let the witness, then, in a case of alleged infanticide, ever so clearly establish the fact of respiration, and thereforc of life, at the time the violence was used, this evidence is not He is asked whether he will depose that the child had breathed after its body was entirely in the world. Unless he can make this deposition—which, for obvious reasons, he can rarely be in a condition to do-it will be presumed that, although the child had hreathed, it came into the world dead. In this way, we perceive, a shield is effectually thrown around those who may have been really guilty of destroying their children immediately after birth. Under any moral consideration of the circumstances, I think it impossible to admit, that a woman who kills her child in the act of birth is less guilty of murder than she who chooses the moment of its entire expulsion to destroy it :- any such distinction carried to its full extent, must virtually go to the entire abrogation of the law. It is quite necessary that medical witnesses should know what they are required to prove on these occasions; and the following cases will, perhaps, serve to place this matter in a clear light.

The killing of children which breathe during birth, not child-murder. -In the case of Rex v. Poulton, good medical evidence was given to show that the child was living when the violence was offered to it. Of three medical witnesses, who were called, the first said in answer to questions put to him: It frequently happens that the child is born as far as the head is concerned, and breathes, but death takes place before the whole delivery is complete. My opinion in this case is, that the child had hreathed, but I cannot take upon myself to say, that it was wholly horu alive. The second said that death might have occurred when the child was partly born, if no medical man was present to assist in the delivery. The third witness said,—It is impossible to state when the child respired; but there is no doubt from the condition of the lungs, when they were examined, that the child had breathed; children may breathe during birth. (Chitty, Med. Jur. 412.) The evidence here given, shows that the witnesses were intelligent men; and that they had duly reflected upon what the hydrostatic test is really capable of proving. The judge held that this medical evidence was not sufficient:-" something more was required than to show that a child had respired in the progress of its birth; it must be proved that the whole body of the child was brought into the world." (See Matthew's Digest, Supp. 25; also, Archbold, Crim. Plea. 367.) In the case of Rex v. Simpson, tried at Winchester, in March 1835, Baron Gurney would not allow the case to proceed against a prisoner, so soon as the medical witness stated that the lungs of a child might become distended by the act of respiration during birth. In Rex v. Brain, it was held, that a child must be wholly in the world in a living state to be the subject of murder; and in that of Rex v. Sellis (Norfolk Spring Circuit, 1837) Mr. Justice Coltman

held, that to justify a conviction for child-marder, the jury must be satisfied that the entire body of the child was actually in the world in a living state, when the violence was offered to it. But Mr. Baron Parke has pronounced a more decided opinion on this point, than any of the other indges. In relation to an important case of infanticide, tried at the Herts Lent Assizes, 1841, (see Guy's Hospital Reports, April 1842,) he thus charged the grand jury: "With respect to all these cases (of infanticide,) there is a degree of doubt whether the infant has been born afive. The law requires that this should be clearly proved, and that the whole body of the child should have come from the body of the parent. If it should appear that death was caused during delivery, then you will not find a true bill!" On a more recent oecasiou (Reg. v. Christopher, Dorset Lent Assizes, 1845,) Mr. Justice Erlc drew a distinction between medical (physiological) and legal life. The medical evidence clearly established that the child had respired. It was found with its head nearly severed from the body. Mr. Justice Erle told the jury, that before they returned a verdict of guilty, they must be satisfied the child was completely born, that it had an existence distinct and independent from the mother, and that it was murdered by her. It was possible the child might have respired without being completely born into the world, and although this might medically be a live child, it was not one legally. In law the birth of the child must be complete. The jury acquitted the prisoner. (Prov. Med. Jour. April 23, 1845.)

From these decisions it will be seen, that it is not sufficient for a medical witness to declare, from the state of the lungs, that the child was alive at or about the time of its birth; according to the present views of our judges, it is indispensably necessary for him to prove that the child was born alive, or that it was living after its body had entirely come into the world.

Conclusions.—The general conclusions respecting the employment of the hydrostatic test, to be drawn from the contents of this chapter, are—

- 1. That the artificial inflation of the lungs of a child born dead, will cause them to float in water.
- 2. That while lying in the chest, the feetal lnngs are not easily inflated, and that the difficulty of inflating them is great in proportion as the child is immature.
- 3. That lnugs artificially inflated in situ resemble those organs in which respiration has been only imperfectly established.
- 4. That in cases of inflation in situ, hitherto tried, the air may be so far expelled from the divided portions of lung by firm compression, as to cause them to sink.
- That the same result occurs with lungs in which respiration has been imperfectly established.
 - 6. That when the lungs have undergone perfect respiration the air

cannot he expelled by compression of the divided parts, so as to cause them to sink.

7. That the artificial inflation of feetal lungs causes no alteration of weight, and as the weight increases in proportion to the degree of respiration, so in healthy lungs, with great hnoyancy, there should be great weight if the air have been derived from respiration.

8. That while respiration increases the absolute weight of the lnngs, it diminishes their specific weight by leading to the distension of the

pulmonary cells with air.

9. That when the lungs are very heavy, and contain but little air, it cannot be with certainty inferred, that respiration has been established. The facts, exteris paribus, may he explained hy supposing that the lungs have been artificially inflated.

10. That we should base our judgment of a child having breathed, upon great weight and great buoyancy of the lungs combined,—that the one condition without the other is open to the objection, that the

air may not have been derived from respiration.

11. That experiments on feetal lungs, artificially inflated with air after removal from the chest, have no practical bearing on this

inquiry.

- 12. That the floating of the lnngs in water proves, ceeteris paribus, that a child has hreathed either at, during, or after birth: it does not prove that the child was born alive, or that it has died a violent death.
- 13. That the sinking of the lungs as a result of the expulsion of air from them by compression, does not prove that the child was born dead. It merely proves that the air contained in them was derived either from artificial inflation, or from the imperfect establishment of the respiratory process.

14. That the hydrostatic test is not applicable to determine the fact of respiration or non-respiration in all cases of infanticide: but that with ordinary precautions, it may be safely employed in the majority of such cases.

15. That a child may breathe before, during, or after birth, but the hydrostatic test will not enable us to say, in the greater number of cases, at which of these periods the act of respiration was performed.

16. That respiration is a sign of life, and not necessarily of live-

birth.

17. That according to the present state of the law, the killing of a child which breathes during birth is not murder.

18. Hence medical evidence is required to shew whether the child breathed after it was *entirely* born; and whether the act of violence which caused its death was applied to it while so breathing.

These conclusions are here, for the sake of clearness, expressed with brevity. Some of them may appear to require qualification; but for the circumstances which qualify them, the reader is referred to the contents of the chapter.

CHAPTER XLIII.

ON THE PROOFS OF A CHILD HAVING BEEN BORN ALIVE—EVIDENCE FROM RESPIRATION — EVIDENCE FROM MARKS OF VIOLENCE—EVIDENCE FROM MARKS OF VIOLENCE—EVIDENCE FROM NATURAL CHANGES IN THE UMBILICAL VESSELS, THE FORAMEN OVALE AND DUCTUS ARTERIOSIS—CLOSURE OF THE FORAMEN AND DUCT BEFORE BIRTH.—EVIDENCE FROM THE DISCOVERY OF FOOD IN THE ALIMENTARY CANAL.—DETECTION OF LIVE BIRTH BY THE APPLICATION OF CHEMICAL TRSTS TO THE CONTENTS OF THE STOMACH—DEFECTIVE EVIDENCE.—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

THE great question on a trial for child-murder is, whether the child has been born alive, and in order to answer this, it is necessary to consider what are the proofs of live birth which are available to the medical witness.

Evidence from Respiration. - As a general rule there will be no perceptible difference in the state of the lungs, whether the act of respiration be performed by the child during parturition or after it is born, provided its death speedily follows its birth. But should we find that this process has been perfectly established, i. e. that the lungs present all those conditions which have been described as characteristic of full and perfect respiration, there is great reason to presume, that the process, even if it commenced during birth, must have continned after the child was entirely born. This presumption becomes still stronger, when the child is immature; for generally speaking, such children must be born and continue to respire for many hours after birth, in order that their lungs should present the characters of complete respiration. The process is seldom so established before birth, as to give to these organs the feeling of crepitation under pressure; the existence of this character should, therefore, be sought for. A witness who relied upon it as a conclusive proof of respiration after birth, might be asked by connsel, whether it were not possible for some children to remain so long at the outlet with the head protruding, as to render the lungs crepitant from frequent respiration before entire birth. Admitting the bare possibility of this occurrence, ho should endeavour to ascertain, whether there were any probable causes thus to protract delivery, while the head of the child was in this position; as also what natural cause could have produced its death when its head was protruding, and when respiration had been so freely formed as to give erepitation to the lungs. The presence of the usual scalp-tumour might throw some light upon the case. If it did not prove live birth, it might indicate protracted delivery, and show that the child had been recently living.

Evidence from marks of violence.—If marks of violence apparently inflicted about the same time, be found on different and remote parts of the body, and these marks bear the characters of those produced during life, (ante, p. 201,) it is rendered probable that the whole of the body of the child was in the world, when they were caused. severe violence on one part, as the head or breech, would not always instify such a presumption, because it might be fairly objected that they had been unintentionally produced by the woman in her attempts at self-delivery, and yet the child not have been born alive. It would be for a witness to form an opinion from the circumstances accompauying the particular case, whether they had been thus occasioned. From this, it will be seen, that in making a post-mortem examination, it is proper that every mark of injury on the body of a child, should be noted down. In March 1848, I was consulted by Mr. Prince, a former pupil, in reference to a very interesting case in which the presumption of live birth rested mainly on the degree of respiration, and the position and nature of certain marks of violence found on the child's body. The child, which was said to have been born dead, was exhamed two days after burial, and eleven days after birth, and the body examined by Mr. Prince. It was full-grown, and not putrefied; the skin pale. and free from lividity. There was a clean ent on the right arm, dividing the fascia and muscles, as if made by a sharp instrument. edges were much retracted, and the whole of the wound was of a florid red colour: but there was no swelling or other marks of inflammation. There was a large vesicle (like the vesication of a burn) on the scrotum, containing three drachms of yellow-coloured scrum. On the right leg the muscles and fascia were exposed for nearly the whole length: the surface of the wound was of a deep searlet colour, and the margin widely inflamed. It had the appearance as if fire had been applied to the lcg, although there was no sign of charring. These facts tended to show that the child was living when the injuries were inflicted, and their nature and situation rendered it impossible that they could have arisen from any accident during delivery. The state of the lungs was somewhat remarkable,—the left floated freely in water, and there was distinct crepitation in it: the right sank in water, -no portion of it when divided was observed to float. From the very buoyant and crepitant state of the left lung, there was reason to presume that if respiration had commenced during birth, it had continued afterwards. Mr. Priace therefore inferred that the child had been born alive;this inference was corroborated by the appearance of the marks of violence. It is probable that the child did not live long after birth. The air could not have been derived from putrefaction or artificial inflation: therefore the only question here was, whether the child had breathed after its body was wholly in the world. The facts abovementioned justify the inference drawn by Mr. Prince. From a confession subsequently made by the female, it appeared that the child had heen born alive, and had cried, hut owing to the injuries inflicted on it, it did not survive birth longer than a quarter of an hour.

Although it is a rare circumstance that one lung should become thus fully distended with air, while the other receives none, cases of this kind are on record. Chaussier met with the *left* lung much more distended than the right in the bodies of children which had survived birth some hours. (Capuron, Méd. Lég. des Accouchemens, 411). The general opinion is, that the right lung receives air more readily than the left, owing to the size and direction of the right bronchus.

Evidence from certain changes in the body.—In a child which has been horn alive, or which has survived its birth, that portion of the umbilical cord which is contiguous to the abdonen undergoes certain changes:—thus it becomes slowly corrugated and separates with or without cicatrization. The umbilical vessels hecome at the same time gradually closed. According to Billard, the obliteration of these vessels is effected in a peculiar way. The caliber diminishes as a result of the concentric enlargement of the parietes, so that while the vessel retains its apparent size, its cavity hecomes gradually blocked up. A quill would represent the form of the vessel in the fætal state, and a tobacco-pipe in the obliterated state. It is only by cutting through the vessel that the degree of obliteration can be determined.

The state of the umbilical cord has often furnished good evidence of live birth, when the other circumstances of the case were inadequate to furnish decisive proof. In the following case, for the particulars of which I am indebted to Mr. French, it might have been suspected, but for the state of the cord, that the child had heen stillborn, and that its lungs had heen artificially inflated. The body of the child had been exhumed soon after burial, in consequence of some suspicion respecting the cause of death. It weighed nearly five pounds, and was eighteen inches long; the opening for the navel was exactly in the centre of the body. The hair on the scalp was about an inch in length, and plentiful; the nails reached to the extremities of the fingers and toes. There was no mark of violence about it. The navel-string had separated by the natural process, but the skin around was not quite healed.

The tenden of the tibialis anticus was prominent, and apparently contracted at the instep. The left testicle alone had descended into the scrotum,—the right was still in the inguinal canal. This rendered it probable that the child had not quite reached maturity. It was by this peculiarity of the instep that the child was identified. In the first instance a different child had been brought from the same burial ground, but rejected, from the absence of this appearance of the foot. On opening the chest, the lungs were observed to be situated posteriorly, and not filling the cavity. They weighed together 861 grains—the right weighing 430, and the left 431 grains. The heart, thymus, and lungs were placed together in water, but they immediately sank to the

bottom. The lungs, when separated from the other organs, floated, but with a very slight degree of buoyancy. Indeed, this was established by the fact, that they sank with the heart and thymus attached. The lnngs were cut into twenty-two pieces—three from the anex sank; the remaining nineteen pieces floated, nor were they made to sink on pressure. The foramen ovale was but slightly open and contracted, as well as the ductus arteriosus, to about one-balf of the feetal diameter. The hladder was perfectly empty,-the intestines contained only mucus. The conclusions at the inquest, were: -1. That the child had been born alive, and had lived certainly not less than three days, and probably longer.—2. That respiration during that time had been but imperfectly established.—3. That in all probability it had died a natural death. The conclusions were well warranted by the medical facts. Experiments on the lungs were here not absolutely necessary, owing to the state of the umbilical cord. It might have been objected to any inference, from the condition of these organs, that the facts were explicable, on the supposition of their having been artificially inflated. (See ante. p. 450.) This case, therefore, furnishes another proof of the ease with which a speculative objection, on this ground, may be set aside. It was subsequently proved that the child had lived eight days after birth.

These changes in the umhilical cord, when found, clearly prove that a child has survived its birth, whatever may be the results of experiments on the lungs; but the difficulty is, that they require some days for their development, and in practice it is often necessary to procure some sign of survivance for only a few minutes, or at farthest of a few hours. The same remark applies to the explication of the cuticle in a new-born child: such a condition of the skin can very rarely be found in cases of infanticide. The absence of meconium from the intestines, and of urine from the bladder, are not proofs of live birth, for these may be discharged during birth, and yet the child not be born alive.

Evidence from changes in the heart and fietal vessels. Docimasia circulationis.—It has been supposed that the state of the foramen ovale, ductus arteriosus, and caualis venosus, would aid a medical jurist in forming an opinion whether a child had survived its birth. In general, as a result of the establishment of respiration, it is found that the communication between the auricles of the heart by the foramen ovale, becomes closed; and that the two vessels, after gradually contracting, become obliterated or are converted into fibrous cords. Whatever may be the results of experiments on the lungs, it has therefore been contended, that the closure of the foramen and vessels would infallibly indicate that the child had hreathed. This inference, however, has been too hastily drawn. Recent researches have shown, that there are some most serious objections to any conclusions based on the state of the feetal vessels. The entire closure of these parts, as a natural process, always takes place slowly, and some-

times it is not complete even many years after birth. Thus, then, in the generality of cases of infanticide, in which necessarily the child survives but for a very short period, no evidence of the fact will be procurable by an examination of the heart and feetal vessels.

Ductus arteriosus.-Professor Bernt, of Vieuna, who has made many observations on this subject, has come to the following conclusions respecting the period required for the ductus arteriosus in children which have lived after hirth:-1. If the child has lived only a few seconds, the aortal end of the duct appears contracted, and the vessel, instead of heing eylindrical throughout, acquires, the form of a truncated cone. - 2. If the child has lived for several hours, or a whole day, the duct becomes again cylindrical, although shorteued and contracted in diameter. Its size is about equal to a goose's quill; it is, therefore, much smaller than its root, and about as large as either of the two branches of the pulmonary artery, which have, in the meantime, become increased in size. - 3. If the child has lived for several days or a whole week, the duct contracts to the diameter of a few lines,-about equal to a crowquill, while the two branches of the pulmonary artery are equal in size to a goose's quill.—4. The duct is met with perfectly closed, and quite impervious, at a much later period; i.e. after the lapse of a very uncertain number of weeks or even months. Among the exceptional conditious, Bernt remarks, that the contraction may be first observed at the cardiac instead of the aortal cud. In one instance of a still-born child, which was resuscitated and breathed feebly for a short time, and in which the thymus gland was abseut, the duet was found of the size of a crow-quill, as in children which have lived several days. He also states, on the authority of Joseph Schallgruber. that the duet is sometimes entirely absent. (Das verfahren bey der gerichtlich-medicinischen Ausmittelung Zweiselhafter Todesarten der Neugebornen, von Joseph Bernt, S. 67, Wicn, 1826; also, Systematisches Handbuch der gerichtlichen Arzneikunde, S. 275, Vierte Auflage, Wien, 1834.)-I have here given the conclusions of Professor Bernt, in order to show that the natural closure of the duct is a very slow process; hut the conclusions are open to many more exceptions than those admitted by the writer. Neither in his works, nor in those of other authorities on medical jurisprudence, is any case recorded which shows that the duct can become quite impervious from natural causes in a child which has lived only a few hours.

Although the closure may take place as a result of the establishment of respiration, it is obvious that the time of its occurrence after birth is so uncertain, as to render any evidence derived from the non-closure altogether fallacious. I have examined the bodies of several children which had survived birth for some bours, and have not heen able to discover any perceptible alteration in the diameter of the duct either at its aortal or cardiac end. In other eases partial contraction has been apparent. As the closure depends on a diversion of blood

through the lungs, so it follows, that when respiration is feeble or imperfect, the duct will be found either of its natural patency, or, if closed, the closure must be regarded as an abnormal deviation. In the case of a child which died at the age of ten weeks, the ductus arteriosus was found to be freely open. (Med. Gaz. xl. 944.) The researches of Dr. Norman Chevers have shown, that there are numerous abnormal conditions which may give rise to non-occlusion of the duct. (Mcd. Gaz. xxxv. 187, and xxxviii, 961: see also, Orfila, Mcd. Lég. 1848, ii. 212.) From the numerous facts collected by Dr. Chevers, it would appear that the duct is liable to become contracted and even obliterated, before birth, and before the child has breathed. In these cases there has been, in general, some abnormal condition of the heart or its vessels; but this, even if it exist, might be overlooked in a hasty examination; hence the contracted or closed condition of the duct cannot be taken as an absolute proof that the child has been born alive or survived its birth. In January, 1847, Dr. Chevers prescuted to the London Pathological Society, the case of a child born between the seventh and eighth month, in which this vessel was almost closed, being searcely one-twelfth of an inch in diameter, and capable of admitting only the shank of a large pin. tissues of the duct had altogether an appearance of having undergone a gradual process of contraction, and its state proved that its closure commenced previously to birth. In fact, the child survived only fifteen minutes; while, according to Bernt's rule, the medical inference might have been that the child had lived a week. important to remark, that in this case the heart and lungs were normal. (Med. Gaz. xxxix, 205.)

The value of these facts, in a medico-legal view, will be apparent from a case recently tried in Scotland, in which the proof of live birth rested chiefly upon a closed condition of the arterial duet. (Reg. v. Frith, Ayr Circ. Court of Justiciary, Oct. 1846.) The body of a child was found concealed in a bag, and buried just below the surface of the ground. The prisoner, who it was proved had been delivered of a child about three weeks before, was charged with the murder. The circumstances against her were chiefly of a presumptive kind, and the most material medical question was, whether the deceased child had come into the world alive. When found, the body was slightly putrefied. The following is a detailed account of the appearances, from the report of the examiners:--" On inspecting the body externally, we found it in a state of putrefaction, with desquamation of the cuticle. It weighed five pounds, and was twenty inches in length. Its month and nostrils were stuffed with flax. The umbilious was in the centre of the body, the cord cut close to the abdomeu, and left without a ligature. The scalp was covered with hair, and the nails were full grown. There was an extensive ecchymosis all over the fore part of the neck, and an effusion of blood on the exterior aspect of the trachea. The heart and lungs weighed one ounce, the

latter organs were collapsed. The right lung was considerably decomposed, and sank when put in water: the left was of a red colour, firm in texture, and floated ou the surface when immersed in a vessel filled with water, but on pressure there was no erepitation. The right side of the heart was filled with coagulated blood, the foramen ovale being partly open, and the ductus arteriosus impervious. The liver was large, and of a leaden hue, the ductus venosus almost obliterated, and the meconium found in abundance in the lower bowels. The reporters were of opinion, from the perfect conformation of the child's body, and the above-mentioned appearances, that it had life at birth. The appearances met with they considered quite sufficient to account for death."

The circumstantial evidence established that not more than five hours could have elapsed from the birth of the child to the time at which its body was buried in the spot where it was subsequently found; and that, admitting it to have been born alive, there was the strongest reason to believe that it did not survive its birth ten mi-The results of experiments on the lungs were insufficient to show that the child had been born alive. The organs were light, and not erepitant; the right lung was decomposed, and yet it sank in water, while the left was firm, and floated. The principal medical fact, then, in favour of live birth, was that the arterial duct was impervious, and it became a question, on the examination of the medical witnesses, whether this vessel could become naturally closed within so short a period after birth, as that which was proved in thia instance. Two of them stated that, in their opinion, the child had been born alive, but they had known of no instance in which the arterial duct had become so speedily closed after birth. In the defence, one medical witness deposed that, from an impervious duct, he should infer a survivance of at least twenty-four hours, and the other of four or five days. The prisoner was acquitted, on a verdict of Not (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 897; and Ed. Monthly Jour. Nov. 1846, 385.)

So far as I am aware, there is no instance on record of the arterial duct hecoming impervious within the period of five or six hours after birth, as a result of free and perfect respiration in a healtby child; and therefore it is in the highest degree probable, that its impervious state, in this instance, was not the result of a natural process, but of some abnormal condition existing previously to birth,—a conclusion warranted by the case reported by Dr. Chevers (ante, p. 465). The facts that respiration had been most imperfectly performed, and that the child had been probably destroyed within a few minutes after its birth, are also strongly adverse to the view taken by some of the witnesses at the trial, that the occlusion of the duct was a result of the nsnal changes. Hence its condition could not safely be regarded as furnishing clear evidence of live birth. The only fact bearing on this, was the deposition of one witness, that he slept in the next room to the prisoner,

and heard what he thought was the cry of a child at the supposed

period of her delivery.

Admitting that this abnormal state of the duct, i. e. its closure previous to birth, is in general accompanied by malformation of the heart, or great vessels counceted with it, yet a case already related proves that this is by uo means a necessary accompaniment. Hence, considering the very serious responsibility attached to a medical opinion in a case of child-murder, the better rule will be to place no confidence on a contracted condition of the duct as evidence of live birth. It can only have any importance as evidence, when the death of the child speedily follows its birth, and these are precisely the eases in which a serious fallacy is likely to arise, for the contraction or closure may be really congenital, and yet pronounced normal. If a child has lived for a period of two or three days, (the time at which the duct naturally becomes contracted or closed,) then evidence of live birth from its condition may not be required. The facts may be sufficiently apparent from other circumstances. Hence this species of evidence is liable to prove fallacious in the only instance in which it is required.

Canalis venosus.—There is not, so far as I know, any instance of the obliteration of this vessel previous to birth. When respiration is fully established, it collapses and becomes slowly converted, in a very variable period of time, to a ligamentous cord or band which is quite impervious. There is no doubt that in those cases where it is stated to have become obliterated in children which could have survived birth only a few minutes or hours, the collapse of the coats has been mistaken for an obliteration of the caual. It is probably not until the second or third day after birth that its closure begins although nothing certain is known as to the period at which it is complete. The condition of this vessel, therefore, can throw no light upon those cases of live birth in which evidence of the fact is most

urgently demanded.

Foramen ovale.—This aperture in general becomes closed after the establishment of respiration; but I have found it repeatedly open in children which had survived birth several hours; and, as it will be hereafter stated, the period of its closure is as variable as in the ease of the ductus arteriosus. Hence it is not capable of supplying, with certainty, evidence of live birth, in those instances in which this evidence is required. According to Billard, the foramen becomes closed between the second and third days; but there are numerous cases in which it is found not closed at much later periods after birth. Dr. Handyside states, that it is more or less open in one case out of eight. In 1838, two subjects were examined at Guy's Hospital, one aged fifty, the other eleven years, and in both the foramen was found open. There is, however, another serious fallacy, which has been only recently brought to light: the closure of the foramen ovale has been known to occur as an abnormal condition previously to birth and the

performance of respiration. One case is mentioned by Capnron (Méd. Lég. des Accouchemens, p. 337), and another, of a very justructive kind, is reported in the Medical Gazette, (vol. xxxviii. 1076.) Other instances of this abnormal condition are adverted to by Dr. Chevers (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 967), and it would appear, that in these the arterial duet remained open, in order to allow of the circulation of blood not only before, but subsequently to respiration. The children rarely survive birth longer than from twenty to thirty hours. Chevers justly observes, that "Cases of this description are of the highest importance in a medico-legal point of view, as they fully disprove the opinion maintained by many anatomists, that obliteration of the foramen ovale must be received as a certain evidence that respiration has been established. It is assuredly impossible to deny that in the heart of a child which has died within the uterus, and has been expelled in a putrid condition, the foramen ovale may be found completely and permanently closed. In such cases as these, it would, however, probably be always possible to determine, by an examination of the heart and its appendages, that the closure of the foramen had occurred at some period or other antecedent to birth." It would, therefore, be unsafe in practice to rely upon the closure of this aperture as proof of live birth, without other good evidence; and in no case can its patency be regarded as a proof that a child has come into the world dead.

As a general rule, these peculiar feetal vessels are rarely obliterated by a normal process before the eighth or tenth day after birth. The obliteration, according to Bernt and Orfila, takes place in the following order:—1. The umbilical arteries.—2. The canalis venosus.—3. The ductus arteriosus, and, 4. The foramen ovale. (Orfila, Méd. Lég. 1848, ii. 210.)

The result of this inquiry respecting Professor Bernt's docimasia circulationis is essentially negative: it cither proves nothing, or it may lead the medical wituess into a fatal error. It has been the more necessary to point out the serious fallacies to which it is liable, because hitherto medical jurists have been disposed to place great reliance upon it, iu cases where evidence from the state of the lnngs was wanting. The necessity of these facts being known is shown by the case which occurred at Ayr (ante, p. 465), in which great reliance appears to have been placed upon the following statement by Beck:— "If, therefore, the ductus arteriosus be found cylindrical in its shape, and not contracted towards the aorta, and if it equal in size the trunk of the pulmonary artery, the inference would be, that the child was not born alive. On the other hand, if the ductus arteriosus be contracted towards the aortal end, and if its size be much less than the trunk of the pulmonary artery, the inference would be, that the child had been born alive." (Beck's Med. Jur. 5th edit. p. 251.) From a consideration of the preceding facts, it will be seen that such inforences would be quite erroneons, and might seriously mislead a Court of Law.

Evidence from the state of the alimentary canal.—Good evidence of live birth may be sometimes derived from the discovery of certain liquids or solids in the stomach and intestiues, such as blood, milk, or farinaceous or saccharine articles of food; for it is not likely that these substances would be introduced or swallowed during parturition. nor is it at all probable that they should find their way into the stomach or intestines of a child which was really born dead. In the case of a new-born child, Dr. Geoghegan discovered, by the application of iodine-water, the presence of farinaceous food in the stomach; hence the question of live birth was elearly settled in the affirmative. still more recent case, Dr. Francis, of Manchester, employed this mode of testing, with satisfactory results, even where the investigation was beset with many unusual difficulties. He was required by the coroner to examine the body of a new-born child found under suspicious cir-The examination of the lungs left no donbt that respiration had taken place; and that the child had been born alive, was fully established by the discovery in the stomach of a small quantity of farinaceous food. On digesting a fragment of the pulp found in this organ with distilled water, and adding a drop of a weak solution of iodine, an intense indigo-blue colour appeared immediately. The application of this chemical test, therefore, removed any doubts which might have been entertained on the question of live birth. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. p. 460.)

Sugar. In one case which I had lately to examine, the presence of sugar was easily detected in the contents of the stomach by the application of Trommer's test. In order to apply this test, a few drops of a solution of sulphate of copper should be added to a portion of the concentrated aqueous extract of the contents of the stomach. An excess of a solution of caustic potash is then added, and the liquid boiled. If sugar be present, the sub-oxide of copper is immediately precipitated of a reddish brown colour. With white sugar the decomposition is much more slowly effected. If starch be present, black oxide of copper may be thrown down, but there is no reduction. The production of the red oxide proves that some saccharine substance is present.

Milk. This liquid, or its principle casein, forms a rich violet-blue solution with a few drops of a solution of sulphate of copper, and an excess of caustic potash. The red sub-oxide will be thrown down on boiling if sufficient lactine (sugar of milk) be present. Casein, or the curd of milk, acts in the same way. The decomposition takes place

more slowly and is less perfect than with sugar.

Albumen forms a deep violet-blue solution with potash and sulphate of copper, but the red sub-oxide is not precipitated on boiling. Either there is no effect, or if the caustic potash be in large quantity, the black oxide falls down. An instance is related by Dr. Döring, where a spoonful of coagulated blood was found in the stomach of a new-

horn child. The inner surface of the cooplagus and trachea was also covered with blood. Dr. Döring inferred from these facts, that the child had been born alive; for the blood, in his opinion, must have entered the stomach by swallowing, after the hirth of the child, and while it was probably lying with its face in a pool of blood. (See on this subject Henke's Zeitschrift, 1842, ii. 219.)

In forming a judgment in a doubtful case, a question may arise whether any and what kind of food naturally exists in the stomach of the feetus born dead. This curious subject has been recently examined with great ability by Dr. George Robinson, of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, and I am indebted to that gentleman for the subjoined conclusions. His observations were made on the stomachs of two human feetuses, aud on those of the calf, lamb, and rabbit. His conclusions are:-1. That the stomach of the fœtus, during the latter period of its uterine existence, invariably contains a peculiar substance, differing from the liquor amnii, and generally of a nutritious nature. 2. That iu its physical and chemical properties, this substance varies very much in different animals, being in no two species precisely similar. 3. That in each feetal animal the contents of the stomach vary much at different periods: in the earlier stages of its development, consisting chiefly of liquor amnii, to which the other peculiar matters are gradually added. 4. That the liquor amnii continues to be swallowed by the feetns up to the time of birth; and consequently after the formation of those matters, and their appearance in the stomach. 5. That the mixture of this more solid and nutritious substance with the lignor nmnii, constitutes the material submitted to the process of chymification in the fætal intestines. He considers the contents of the alimeutary canal to be chiefly derived from the salivary secretion. It is his opinion that there is no gastrie juice scereted until respiration is established. The medical jurist will perceive, therefore, that the discovery of farinaceous food, milk, or sugar in the stomach, will furnish evidence of live birth; since substances of this kind are never found in the fætal stomach.

Defective evidence.—The slightest consideration will show that the signs of live birth above described are weak, and of purely incidental occurrence. If the child he destroyed during birth or within a few minutes afterwards, there will be no medical evidence to indicate the period at which its destruction took place. The external and internal appearances presented by the body, would be the same in the two cases. It is most probable that in the greater number of instances of child-murder, the child is actually destroyed during birth, or immediately afterwards; and, therefore, the characters above described can rarely be available in practice. If any exception be made, it is with respect to the nature, situation, and extent of marks of violence; but the presence of these depends on mere accident. Hence, then, we come to the conclusion, that although medical evidence can often show, from the state of the lnngs, that a child has really lived, it can

very rarely be in a condition to prove in a case of infanticide, that its life certainly continued after its birth. We could only venture upon this inference when the signs of respiration were full and complete, or food was found in the stomach. Why the destruction of a child should he treated in the one case as a venial offence, and in the other as a capital crime, is one of those anomalics in our criminal jurisprudence for which it is impossible to account. The inference which we may draw from these observations is, that if positive proof of entire live birth, he in all cases rigorously demanded of medical witnesses on trials for child-murder, it is scarcely possible, when the prisoner is ably defended, that any conviction for the crime can take place. only exception would be, where a confession was made by the accused, or the murder was actually perpetrated before eye-witnesses. numerous acquittals that take place on trials for this crime, in face of the strongest medical evidence, bear out the correctness of this opinion. The child is proved to have lived and breathed, but the medical evidence fails to show that the living and breathing took place or continued after entire delivery.

Conclusions.—The general conclusions which may be drawn from the facts contained in this chapter, on the question whether a child

has or has not been born alive, are as follows:-

1. That if the lungs be fully and perfectly distended with air hy the act of respiration, this affords a strong presumption that the child has been born alive, since respiration during birth is in general only partial and imperfect.

That the presence of marks of severe violence on various parts of the body, if possessing vital characters, (see aute, page 201), renders it probable that the child was entirely horn alive, when the violence

was inflicted.

3. That certain changes in the umbilical vessels, and the separation by a vital process and cicatrization of the umbilical cord, as well as a general exfoliation or desquamation of the cuticle, indicate live birth.

4. That the absence of meconium from the intestines, and of urine from the bladder, are not proofs that a child has been entirely horn alive,

since these liquids may be discharged during the act of birth.

5. That the open or contracted state of the foramen ovale or ductus arteriosus, furnishes no evidence of a child having been born alive. These parts may become closed and contracted before birth, and therefore in a child born dead; or they may remain open after birth in a child born living, even after the establishment of respiration.

6. That the presence of farinaceous or other food in the stomach

proves that a child has been entirely born alive.

7. That irrespective of the above conclusions, there is no certain medical sign which indicates that a child, which may have died at or about the time of birth, has been *entirely* born alive.

CHAPTER XLIV.

BULES FOR DETERMINING THE PERIOD OF SURVIVANCE IN CHILDREN THAT HAVE BEEN BORN ALIVE. APPEARANCES INDICATIVE OF A CHILD HAVING LIVED TWENTY-FOUR HOURS—FROM TWO TO THREE DAYS—FROM THREE TO FOUR DAYS—FROM FOUR TO SIX DAYS—FROM SIX TO TWELVE DAYS. UNCERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE. ON THE PERIOD WINICH HAS ELAPSED SINCE THE DEATH OF THE CHILD. PROCESS OF PUTREFACTION IN THE BODIES OF NEW-BORN CHILDREN. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

If we suppose it to have been clearly established, that the child not only lived but was actually born alive, it may be a question whether it lived for a certain number of hours or days after it was born. The answer to this question may be necessary in order to connect the deceased child with the supposed mother. It has been remarked that scarcely any appreciable changes take place in the body of a living child, until after the lapse of twenty-four hours; and these changes may be considerably affected by its degree of maturity, healthiness and vigour. The following may be taken as a summary of the appearances of a child which has survived its birth for different periods:—

- 1. After twenty-four hours.—The skin is firm and pale, or less red than soon after birth. The umbilical cord becomes somewhat sbrivelled, although it remains soft and blueish-coloured, from the point where it is seeured by a ligature, to its insertion in the skin of the abdomen. The meconium is discharged; but a green-coloured mueus is found on the surface of the large intestines. The lungs may be more or less distended with air, although in a case of survivorship for a period longer than this, no trace of air was found in them. With regard to the state of the lungs, it should be remembered, that when these organs are fully and perfectly distended, the inference is that the child has probably survived many hours; but the converse of this proposition is not always true. Many cases already reported, show that where the lungs contain a very small quantity of air, it does not follow that the child must have died immediately after it was born, (ante, p. 488.)
- 2. From the second to the third day.—The skin has a yellowish tinge,—the cuticle sometimes appears cracked, a change which precedes exfoliation or desquamation. (Devergie, i. 519.) The umbilical cord becomes brown and dry between the ligature and the abdomen.
- 3. From the third to the fourth day.—The skin is more yellow, and there is evident exfoliation of the cuticle on the chest and abdomen. The umbilical cord is of a brownish red colour. flattened, semi-tran-

sparent and twisted. The skin in contact with the dried portion presents a ring of vascularity or redness;—but Dr. Geoghegan met with this appearance in two cases of still-born children, and I have also seen it in four cases where the children were born dead. (G. II. Rep. April 1842.) The colon is free from any traces of green mucosity.

- 4. From the fourth to the sixth day.—The cuticle in various parts of the body is found separating in the form of minute scales or of a fine powder. The umbilical cord separates from the abdomen usually about the fifth day, but sometimes not until the eighth or the tenth. The membranous coverings become first detached, then the arteries, and afterwards the vein. If the umbilical aperture is cicatrized and healed, it is probable that the child has lived from three weeks to a month after birth. The ductus arteriosus may be found contracted both in length and diameter: the foramen ovale may be also partly closed.
- 5. From the sixth to the twelfth day.—The cuticle will be found desquamating on the extremities. If the umbilical cord was small, cicatrization will have taken place hefore the tenth day after birth. If large, a sero-purulent discharge will sometimes continue for twenty-five or thirty days. The ductus arteriosus is said to become entirely closed during this period; but this statement is open to exceptions which have been elsewhere pointed out, (aute, p. 465.) On the evidence derivable from the closure of the foramen ovale, see ante, p. 467. It need hardly he observed that the body rapidly ingreases in weight when the child has enjoyed active existence.

On the whole, it will be seen that the signs of survivorship for short periods after hirth, are not very distinct. There is commonly no difficulty in determining the fact after the second day. The changes stated to take place in the umbilical cord during the first twenty-four hours, may be observed in the dead as well as in the living child; and the other changes occur with much uncertainty as to the period. These are, however, I believe, the principal facts upon which a medical opinion on such a subject can be based, and it is in some respects fortunate, that great precision in assigning the time of survivorship, is

not demanded of medical witnesses.

Putrefaction in the new-born child.—A practitioner may he further required to state how long a period has elapsed since the death of the child. The answer to the previous question was derived from the changes which take place in the body of a child during life, while in relation to the present inquiry, we must look to those which occur in the body after death:—in other words, to the different stages of putrefaction. From the observations of Orfila, it would appear that the body of an infant putrefies more rapidly than that of an adult. (Traité des Exhumations.) In forming a judgment on this point, due allowance must be made for the influence of temperature, humidity, and the free access of air. If the hody has been sunk in water, putrefaction takes place more slowly than usual, and the process is slower in

running than in stagnant water. When the body is floating on the surface of water, so as to be at the same time exposed to air, then putrefaction takes place very rapidly:—and this also happens when the hody, after removal from water, has been exposed to the air for some time. Putrefaction is also retarded when the deceased child has been buried in the ground in a hox or coffin, unless the process had already commenced prior to interment. When the body has been cut up and mangled before heing thus disposed of, putrefaction takes place with much greater rapidity. (The Queen v. Railton, Stafford Winter Assizes, 1844.)

Conclusions. - The general conclusions respecting survivorship are: -

- 1. That the period for which a new-born child has survived birth, cannot be determined by any certain sign for the first twenty-four hours.
- 2. That after this period, an inference may be drawn from certain changes which take place progressively in the skin and umbilical cord externally, and in the viscera on inspection;—that these changes allow only of an approximate opinion within the first five or six days.
- 3. That the contraction of the foramen ovale and ductus arteriosus, takes place from natural changes at such nucertain intervals, as to render it difficult to assign a period of survivorship from the state of these parts.
- 4. That the period which has elapsed since the child died, after it was born, can only be determined by observing the degree of putrefaction in the body compared with temperature, locality, and other conditions to which it has been exposed.

CHAPTER XLV.

CAUSES OF DEATH IN NEW-BORN CHILDREN—PROPORTION OF CHIL-DREN BORN DEAD—NATURAL CAUSES OF DEATH—A PROTRACTED DELIVERY—DEBILITY—HÆMORRHAGE—LACERATION OF THE CORD —COMPRESSION OF THE CORD—MALFORMATION—DESTRUCTION OF MONSTROUS BIRTHS ILLEGAL—DEATH FROM CONGENITAL DIS-EASE. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

Causes of death in new-born children.—The next important question in a case of infanticide, and that upon which the charge of murder essentially rests, is,—what was the cause of death? 1. It is admitted that a child may die during birth or afterwards. 2. In either of these cases it may die from natural or violent causes. The violent causes may have originated in accident or in criminal design. The last case only, involves the corpus delicti of child-murder. If death has clearly proceeded from natural causes, it is of no importance to settle whether the cause operated during or after birth:—all charge of criminality is thenceforth at an end.

Proportion of children born dead.—It is well known that of children which are born under usual circumstances, a great number die from natural causes either during birth or soon afterwards: and in every case of infanticide, death will be presumed to have arisen from some cause of this kind, until the contrary appear from the evidence. This throws the onus of proof entirely on the prosecution. Many children die before performing the act of respiration; and thus a large number come into the world still-born or dead. The proportion of still-born among legitimate children, as it is derived from statistical tables extending over a series of years and embracing not less than eight ruillions of births, varies from one in eighteen to one in twenty (B. and F. Mcd. Rev. No. vii. 234.) Dr. Lever found out of three thousand births, that one in eighteen was born dead. immature and illegitimate children, the proportion is much greater,probably about one in eight or ten. In Göttingen they were found to amount to one in seven, and in Berlin, to one in ten. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. xxxvi. 172.) Males are more frequently born dead than females, in the ratio of 140: 100, -while the males to females born, has only a rutio of 106: 100. (Dr. Simpson, Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour., Oct. 1844, 395.) The preponderance of still-births among males is ascribed to the large size of the head, and the injury thus likely to be inflicted on the brain during parturition. Still-births are much more frequent in first than in after pregnancies. These facts. should be borue in mind, when we are estimating the probability of the cause of death being natural. Should respiration be established by the protrusion of the child's head from the outlet, or the birth of its body, the chances of death from nutural causes are considerably diminished. Nevertheless, as Dr. Hunter long ago suggested, a child may breathe and die. Thus, according to this author; -- "If the child makes but one gasp and instantly dies, the lungs will swim in water, as readily as if it had breathed longer and had then been strangled." In general, it would require more than one gasp to cause the lungs to swim readily in water; but waving this point, the real question is,if the child breathed after birth, what could have caused its death? The number of gasps which a child may make, or which may be required for the lungs to swim in water, is of no moment :- the point to consider is, whether its death was due to causes of an accidental or criminal nature. So again observes Dr. Hunter: "We frequently see children bora, who from circumstances in their constitution or in the nature of the labour, are but barely alive, and after breathing a minute or two or an hour or two, die in spite of all our attention. And why may not this misfortune happen to a woman who is brought to bed by herself?" (Op. cit.) The substance of this remark is, that many children may die naturally after birth; and in Dr. Hunter's time, these cases were not perhaps sufficiently attended to. In the present day, however, the case is different:—a charge of child-murder is seldom raised except in those instances where there are the most

obvious marks of severe and mortal injuries on the body of a child; and unless it be intended to defend and justify the practice of infanticide, it must be admitted that the discovery of violence of this kind on the hody of a new-horn infant, renders a full inquiry into the circumstances necessary. Among the natural causes of the death of a child, may be enumerated the following:—

1. A protracted delivery.—The death of a child may proceed in this case, from injury suffered by the head during the violent contractions of the nterus, or from an interruption to the circulation in the umbilical cord hefore respiration is established. A child, especially if feeble and delicate, may die from exhaustion under these circumstances. This cause of death may he suspected, when a sero-sanguinolent tumour is found on the head of a child, and the head itself is deformed or elongated:—internally by the congested state of the cerebral vessels. The existence of deformity in the pelvis of the woman might corroborate this view; but in primiparous females (among whom charges of child-murder chiefly lie,) with well-formed pelves, delivery is frequently protracted. It is presumed that there are no marks of violence on the hody of the child, excepting those which may have arisen accidentally in attempts at self-delivery.

2. Debility.—A child may be horn prematurely or at the full period, and not survive its hirth owing to a natural feebleness of system. This is especially observed with immature children; and it is the condition more especially dwelt on by Dr. Hunter. Such children may continue in existence for several hours, feebly respiring, and then die from mere weakness. These cases may be recognized by the

appearance of a general want of development in the body.

3. Hamorrhage, Laceration of the cord.—A child may die from hæmorrhage, owing to a premature separation of the placenta or an accidental rupture of the umbilical cord. In the latter case it is said the loss of blood is not likely to prove fatal, if respiration have been established; but an instance is reported where a child died from hæmorrhage even under these circumstances. (Ilcnke's Zeitschrift 1839, Erg. H. 200: also 1840, i. 347, and ii. 105. Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, 128.) Hæmorrhage from the cord has in some cases taken place at various periods after birth, and has led to the death of the child. (Ed. Month. Jour. July 1847, 70.) Death from hæmorrhage may be commonly recognized by the blanched appearance of the body, and a want of blood in the internal organs, but there are several cases on record where the cord has been ruptured close to the abdomen, without causing the death of the child. It was formerly a debated question whether in the event of the umbilical cord being left nntied after cutting or laceration, such a degree of hæmorrhage could in any instance occur as would prove fatal to a child. The case just now referred to, renders it unnecessary to discuss this question. Hæmorrhage is more likely to prove fatal, when the cord is divided by a sharp instrument, than when it is lacerated; and its dangerous effects on

the child are likely to be great in proportion as the division is made near to the umbilious. It has been improperly described as a case of infanticide by omission, where a self-delivered woman neglects to apply a ligature to the cord under these circumstances; because it is said she ought to know the necessity for this in order to prevent the Such a view assumes not only child dving from hæmorrhage. malice against the accused, but that in the midst of her distress and pain, she must necessarily possess the knowledge and bodily capacity of an acconcheur, a doctrine wholly repugnant to the common feelings of humanity. This question was, however, actually raised in the case of the Queen v. Dash, Aug. 1842. There was no doubt in this instance that the child had breathed, and that its death had been caused by hæmorrhage from the lacerated umbilical cord. The medical witness properly admitted, that the cord might have been torn through by the more weight of the child during labour; and the jury acquitted the prisoner on the ground that she might have been ignorant of the uecessity or not have had the power to tie the cord. The cord, especially when short, may become accidentally ruptured during delivery. A case of this kind is reported by Mr. Mackie. (Med. Times, July 24, 1847, p. 433.) The child was born alive, after a very strong pain, and on examination it was found that the cord was torn through at about an inch from the abdomen.

- 4. Compression of the cord.—When a child is born by the feet or buttocks, the cord may be so compressed under strong uterine contraction, that the circulation between the mother and child will be arrested, and the latter will die. The same fatal compression may follow, when during delivery the cord becomes twisted round the neek. A child has been known to die under these circumstances before parturition, the cord having become twisted round its neck in utero. (Med. Gaz. Oct. 1840, 122.) Other cases from this cause, during delivery, will be found in the same journal. (Vol. xix. 232, 933.) On these occasions, the child is sometimes described to have died from strangulation; but it is evident that before the establishment of respiratiou, such a form of expression is improper. There are few or uo appearances indicative of the cause of death. There may be lividity about the head and face, and cerebral congestion internally: it is, however, proper to state, that the brain of a child is always more congested than that of an adult.
- 5. Malformation.—There may be a deficiency of some vital organ, which would at once account for the child dying either during delivery or soon after its birth. Two cases are reported, in one of which the child died from an absolute deficiency of the esophagus,—the pharynx terminating in a cul-de-sac: in the other, the duodenum was obliterated for more than an inch, and had occasioued the child's death. (Med. Gaz. xxvi. 542.) In a third, recorded by Mr. Fairbairn, a child was suffocated by retraction of the base of the tongue owing to defect of the frænum. (North. Jour. Med. March 1846, p. 275.)

The varieties of malformation are very numerous, but there can be no difficulty in determining whether it be such as to account for death. Individuals are not allowed to destroy these monstrous births; and the presence of all marks of violence in such cases should be regarded with suspicion. It is the more necessary to make this statement, as there is an idea among the vulgar, that it is not illegal to destroy a monstrous birth. Mr. Pooley, of Circnester, informs me that the following ease occurred some years since in his practice. A lady was delivered of a most hideous dicephalons monster. In his absence, and at the earnest solicitations of the friends, the unree destroyed it. The question was-Was this woman guilty of child-murder? The only case in reference to this point which is recorded by medico-legal writers, is that of two women who were tried at the York Assizes in 1812, for drowning a child which was born with some malformation of the eranium, in consequence of which it was likely that it could not survive many hours. There did not appear to have been any coucealment on the part of the prisoners, who were not aware of the illegality of the act. (Paris and Fonblanque, Mcd. Jur. i. 228.) The absence of malicious intention would probably lead to an acquittal on the charge of murder: but such an act would doubtless amount to manslanghter. The degree of monstrosity or the viability of the offspring cannot be received as extenuating circumstances: as to the first, if a liberty of judging what was monstrous and what not, were conceded to any ignorant unrsc, children simply deformed might be put to death on this pretence :- as to the second, it is held in law that whoever accelerates death causes it, -hence the fact that the offspring is not likely to live more than a few hours, does not justify the act of one who prematurely destroys it.

6. Congenital disease.—It has been elsewhere stated, that a child may be born labouring under such a degree of congenital disease, as to render it incapable of living. The discovery of any of the feetal organs merely in a morbid condition, amounts to nothing, unless the disease has advanced to a degree which would be sufficient to account for death. There are, doubtless, many obscure affections, particularly of the brain, which are liable to destroy the life of a child without leaving any well-marked post-mortem changes. According to Dr. Burgess, apoplexy and asphyxia are very common causes of death among new-born children. (Med. Gaz. xxvi. 492. Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1843, p. 67.) Probably diseases of the lnngs are of the most importance in a medico-legal view; because by directly affecting the organs of respiration, they render it impossible for a child to live or to survive its birth for a long period. These diseases in the feetal state are principally congestion, hepatization, tubercles, scirrhus, and ædema,—the existence of any of which, it is not difficult to discover. They render the structure of the lungs heavier than water; and thus prevent the organs from acquiring that buoyancy which in their healthy state they are known to have. (See ante, p. 437.) It is not common to find the lungs diseased throughout:—a portion may be sufficiently healthy to allow of a partial performance of respiration. The lungs may not be found diseased, but simply in that state which has been elsewhere described under the name of atelectasis, (ante, p. 437.) The causes upon which this condition of the lungs depends, are not well understood. The nonestablishment of respiration sometimes arises from the mouth and fauces of the child being filled with mucus. Au enlargement of the thyroid gland has occasionally led to the death of a new-boru child by suffocation. (Ed. Month. Jour. July 1847, 64.)

Conclusions.—The following conclusions may be drawn from the preceding remarks:—

- 1. That a large number of illegitimate children, especially when immature, are boru dead from *natural* causes.
- 2. That a child may die from exhaustion, as the result of a protracted labour.
- 3. That if the child be prematurely born, or if it be small and weak even at the natural period, it may die from mere debility, or want of power in the constitution to commence or continue the act of respiration.
- 4. A child may die from hæmorrhage, owing to accidental rupture of the cord during delivery. It may even die from this cause after it has breathed.
- 5. That fatal homorrhage is more likely to occur when the cord has been cut close to the abdomen, than when it has been lacerated, or cut at a distance from the navel.
- 6. That the division of the cord, whether by rupture or incision, without ligature, is by no means necessarily fatal to a healthy mature child.
- 7. That a child may die from accidental compression of the cord during delivery,—the circulation between the mother and child being thereby arrested before respiration has commenced.
- 8. That death may speedily follow birth, from some malformation or defect of important organs.
- That it may die from congenital disease, affecting the organs of respiration or the air-passages.

CHAPTER XLVI.

VIOLENT CAUSES OF DEATH. — FORMS OF VIOLENT DEATH UNATTENDED BY MARKS OF EXTERNAL VIOLENCE—SUFFOCATION — DROWNING—IN THE SOIL OF PRIVIES—POWER OF LOCOMOTION AND EXERTION IN FEMALES AFTER DELIVERY.—DEATH OF THE CHILD FROM COLD AND EXPOSURE—STARVATION—IMMATURITY IN CASES OF ABORTION.—WOUNDS, EVIDENCE FROM, IN NEWBORN CHILDREN — FRACTURES OF THE SKULL SPONTANEOUS AND CRIMINAL.—DEATH OF THE CHILD FROM DELIVERY IN THE ERECT POSTURE—ACCIDENTAL INJURIES IN UTKRO—DEFICIENT OSSIFICATION—TWISTING OF THE NECK.—VIOLENCE IN SELFDELIVERY.—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

Violent causes of death.—In this chapter we shall have to consider all those modes of death, which are totally independent of the existence of congenital disease or other natural causes. It is necessary for the medical jurist to remember that there are certain forms of child-murder which are not necessarily attended with any appearance indicative of violence,—these are, suffocation, drowning, exposure to cold, and starvation,

1. Suffocation.—This is a very common cause of death in newborn children. A wet cloth may be placed over the child's mouth, or thrast into that cavity during birth or afterwards, and hefore or after the performance of respiration. To the latter case only, could the term suffocation be strictly applied. A child may be thus destroyed hy being allowed to remain closely compressed under the bed-clothes after delivery, or hy its head being thrust into straw, feathers, and such like substances. The post-mortem appearances are seldom sufficient to excite a suspicion of the cause of death unless andne violence has been employed. There is commonly merely lividity about the head and face, and slight congestion in the lungs. A careful examination of the mouth and fauces should be made, as foreign substances are sometimes found in this situation, affording circumstantial evidence of the mode in which the suffocation has taken place. Thus wood, straw, feathers, dust, or a hard plug of linen, may be, and in some cases have been found blocking up the mouth and fauces. Again, a child may be suffocated by having its head held over mephitic vapour, as in the exhalations of a privy or of burning sulphur; and it may be here necessary to remind the medical jurist that other highly poisonous vapours may be used by a criminal without leaving any traces upon the body, except, possibly, that which may depend upon their peculiar odour. There are few of these cases of suffocation in which a medical opinion of the cause of death could he given, unless some circumstantial evidence were produced, and the witness were allowed to

say, whether the alleged facts were sufficient to account for death. (Annales d'Hyg. 1832, 621.)

On the other hand, if it be even clearly proved that death has been caused by suffocation, it must be remembered that a child may be accidentally suffocated, and the crime of murder falsely imputed. Dr. Hunter, who was well aware of the risk to which a female might he thus exposed, observes in relation to this point,-"When a woman is delivered by herself, a strong child may be born perfectly alive, and die in a very few minutes for want of hreath, either hy being on its face in a pool formed by the natural discharges or upon wet clothes; or by the wet things over it collapsing and excluding air, or drawn close to its mouth and nose by the suction of hreathing. An unhappy woman delivered by berself, distracted in her mind and exbausted in her body, will not have strength or recollection enough to fly instantly to the relief of her child." (Op. cit. 35.) It may be added that a primiparous female may faint or become wholly unconscious of her situation; or if conscious, she may be ignorant of the necessity of removing the child, and thus it may be suffocated without her having heen intentionally accessary to its death. In such cases, however, there should be no marks of violence on the body, or they should he of such a nature and in such a situation as to be readily explicable on the supposition of an accidental origin. A young infaut is very easily destroyed by suffocation. If the mouth and nostrils be kept covered for a very few minutes, by heing closely wrapped in clothes, asphyxia may come on without this heing indicated by convulsions or any other marked symptoms. A suspicion of murder may arise in such cases: but the absence of marks of violence, with an explanation of the circumstances, will rarely allow the case to be carried heyond an inquest. Sometimes the body is found mal-treated, with marks of strangulation about it,-concealed in a feather-hed or privy;-or ent up and This kind of violeuce may properly excite a suspicion of murder, and lead to the belief that the allegation of death from accideutal suffocation, was a merc pretence. This, however, is purely a question for the jury, and not for a medical witness. Unless the case he of a very glaring nature, the violence is considered to have been employed for the purpose, rather of concealing the birth of the child than of destroying it. In the present day, these cases of death from accidental suffocation, when properly investigated, can never implicate an innocent woman in a charge of murder, although the facts may show in many instances, that the death of the child was really due to great imprudence, neglect, or indifference.

The following case, (the Queen v. Mortiboys), tried in 1841, will show that even when the evidence is very strong against a person, the circumstances will be favourably interpreted. In this instance, it was proved that the body of the child was discovered in a box containing wool: it was lying on its ahdomen with its face raised and its mouth open. A red worsted comforter had been passed twice round

the neck, and was tied a second time in a single knot over the chin. In the mouth, which was open, was found a small quantity of fine flocks of wool. The medical evidence showed that the child had been born alive, the left lung being fully inflated. The brain was cougested. There was no mark produced by the ligature on the neek, either externally or internally. Death was referred to obstructed respiration, (suffocation,) caused partly by the ligature and partly by the wool in the mouth,—but the latter was considered to be the more active cause. In the defence, it was urged that the ligature could not have produced strangulation, because the comforter was tied upon the chin,—that the medical evidence showed the wool in the month to have been the more active cause of death.—this was probably taken into the mouth by the child itself in the instinctive action of breathing, and not put there by the prisoner for the purpose of suffocation. The child had probably been placed carclessly on a quantity of wool, into which it had sunk by its own weight, and this had caused its death. ported, that the judge joined in this view, and in charging the jury, said, that had the prisoner intended to choke the child with the wool. she would have inserted enough to fill its mouth. The prisoner was acquitted. In this case, admitting that the evidence did not bear out the charge of murder, still it is pretty clear that death was caused by the child being placed on its face, with a ligature round the neck, in a closed box filled with wool. There appears here, admitting the facts to have been as represented, something more than an aecideut: for the prisouer must have known that a new-born infant was not likely to live under such circumstances, and had it been a week or a month old she would probably have been convicted of manslaughter or murder. A very juteresting ease of alleged infanticide, by suffocation, has been reported by Dr. Easton. (Cormack's Journal, Feb. 1845.) There is no doubt that the child in this case was suffocated by a quantity of mud being forced into its mouth and Its presence in the esophagus was incompatible with its having entered by gravitation. In the case of Mackinture, (Glasgow Aut. Circ. 1829,) several small pieces of straw were found in the stomach of the child, of the same kind as those which were in the bed where the birth took place.

2. Drowning.—The fact of drowning cannot be verified by any appearances on the body of a child which has not breathed. Thus, if a woman caused herself to be delivered in a bath, and the child were forcibly retained under water, (a case which is said to bave occurred,) it would of course die; but no evidence of the mode of death would be found in the body. [For a case in which a child was thus destroyed, probably however through accidental circumstances, see Cormack's Ed. Journal, Oct. 1845, p. 796.] After respiration, the signs of drowning will be the same as those met with in the adult. (See post, Drowning.) The main question for a wituess to decide, will be whether the child was put into the water living or dead. Infanticide by drowning is by

no means common:—the child is generally suffocated, straugled, or destroyed in other ways, and its hody is then thrown into water, in order to conceal the real manner of its death. The finding of the dead body of an infant in water, must not allow the witness to be thrown off his guard, although a verdict of "found drowned" is so commonly The body should be carefully inspected, in returned in these cases. order to determine what was really the cause of death. All marks of violence on the bodies of children that have died by drowning, should be such as to have resulted from accidental causes. It is not necessary that the whole of the body should be submerged, in order that a child should be destroyed by drowning. The mere immersion of the head in water, will suffice to produce all the usual effects. A case occurred in London, in 1842, where a woman attempted to destroy her child by immersing its head only in a bucket of water. The child was discovered and resuscitated.

New-born children may be drowned or suffocated by being thrown into mud, or into the soil of a privy. Sometimes the child is destroyed in other ways, and its body is thus disposed of for the purposes of con-Should there be a large quantity of liquid present, the phenomena are those of drowning. This liquid abounding in hydrosulphuret of ammonia, may then be found, if the child were thrown in living, ia the air-passages and the stomach. On these occasions, the defence may be,—1, that the child was born dead, and that the body was thrown in for concealment; but the medical evidence may show that the child had breathed and had probably been born living. 2. It may be alleged that the child breathed for a few moments after birth, but then died, and that the female thus attempted to conceal the body. A medical witness may be here asked, whether a woman could have had power to convey the body to the place,—a point which must, as a general rule, he conceded. 3. It is most commonly urged, that the woman being compelled to go to the privy, was there delivered unconsciously, and that the child dropped from her, and was either suffocated or prevented from breathing. All these circumstances may readily occur, hut on the other hand the explanation may be inconsistent with medical facts. Thus the head or the limbs of the child may he found to have heen separated or divided by some cutting instrument,-or a cord or other ligature may be found tightly bound around its neck, or a tightly fitting plug may be found in the fances. Then again the body may be entire, but the umbilical cord may be cleanly cut. This would tend to set aside the explanation of the child having accidentally dropped from the female; because in such a case the cord should be found ruptured. In an interesting case which occurred to Dr. Wharric, where the child fell from a female while sitting over a large jug containing water, (ante, p. 424,) and in which it was evident there had been no respiration, the cord was found secured. The child was removed from the vessel dead; therefore, the lighture must have been applied after death. When the cord is found lacerated

this will he exeteris parihus in favour of the woman's statement as to the mode in which her delivery occurred. (For a case involving this

question, see Med. Gaz. x. 374.)

Accidental delivery. The pains of labour mistaken for other sensations.-In cases like that reported by Dr. Wharrie, where a female under the impression that she was about to have a motion, sat over a large water-jug and was delivered of a child, it is proper to make full allowance for a mistake which may be compatible with A woman is often unable to distinguish the sense of fulness, produced by the descent of the child, from the feeling which leads her to suppose that she is about to have an evacuation: and thus it is dangerous, when a lahour has advanced, to allow a female to yield to this feeling; for there is nothing more prohable than that the child will he suddenly horn. Mr. Rankin, of Carluke, has reported two cases of this description, where there could not he the slightest suspicion of criminality. In one, a primipara, the child was actually born under these circumstances; but its life was fortunately sayed,had there heen no other convenience than a privy, it must have been inevitably lost. In the second, although a case of third pregnancy, the female was equally deceived by her sensations. (Ed. Month. Jour. Jan. 1846, p. 11.) It is true that this alleged mistaken sensation forms a very frequent and specious defence on charges of child-murder; but still a medical jurist is bound to assume that an accident which occurs to females of the middle class, may also occur to the poor without necessarily implying guilt.

Power of exertion in recently delivered females .- On these occasions, a witness will often find himself questioned respecting the strength or capability for exertion, evinced by the lower class of women, shortly after child-birth. Alison observes, that many respectable medical practitioners, judging only from what they have observed among the higher ranks, are liable to be led into an erroneous opinion, which may be injurious to an accused party. He mentions a case, where a woman charged with child-murder, walked a distance of twenty-eight miles in a single day, with her child on her hack, two or three days after her delivery. (Case of Anderson, Aberdeen Spring Circ. 1829.) Instances have even occurred in which women have walked six and eight miles on the very day of their delivery, without sensible inconvenience. (Criminal Law, 161.) In one case, (Smith, Ayr Spr. Circ. 1824,) the woman was engaged in reaping,-retired to a little distance, effected her delivery by herself, and went on with her work for the remainder of the day, appearing only a little paler and thinner! In the case of Macdougal, (Aberdeen Spring Circ. 1823,) the prisoner, who was sleeping in hed with two other servants, rose, was delivered, and returned to bed without any of them being conscious of what had occurred. Cases like the last have often presented themselves in the English Courts.

Circumstantial evidence. Whether in any instance, the drowning

of a child was accidental or criminal, must be a question for a jury to determine from all the facts laid before them. The situation in which the body of an infant is found, may plainly contradict the supposition of accident. On the other haud, a child may be accidentally drowned by its mouth falling into a pool of the discharges during delivery, although this would be rather a case of suffocation. The stomach of the child should always be examined on these occasions, as mud, sticks, straws, weeds, or other substances may be found, indicating, according to circumstances, that the child had been put into the water living, and that it had been drowned in a particular pond or vessel.

3. Cold.—A new-born child may be easily destroyed by simply exposing it uncovered, or but slightly covered, in a cold atmosphere. In a case of this kind, there may be no marks of violence on the body, or these may be slight and evidently of accidental origin. In death from cold, the only appearance occasionally met with, has been congestion of the brain with or without serous effusion in the ventricles. (See Cold.) The evidence, in these cases, must be purely circumstantial. The medical witness may have to consider, how far the situation in which the body was found,—the kiud of exposure and the temperature of the air, would suffice to account for death from the alleged cause. There is no doubt that a new-born child is easily affected by a low temperature, and that warm clothing is required for the preservation of its life. An inspection of the body should never be omitted on these occasions: hecause it may turn out, that there was some lateut cause of natural death which would at once do away with the charge of murder. Admitting that the child died from cold, it hecomes necessary to inquire whether the prisoner exposed it with the malicious intention that it should thus perish. Unless wilful malice be made out, the accused cannot be convicted of infanticide. In general, scmales do not expose their children for the purpose of destroying them, but for the purpose of abandoning them: hence it is rare to hear of convictions for child-murder, where cold was the cause of death, although some medical jurists have called this infanticide hy omission, an offence which does not appear to he recognized by the English law. In the case of the Queen v. Walters, (Oxford Autumn Assizes, 1841,) it was proved that the prisoner, while travelling in a waggon, had suddenly left it, and that she was delivered of a child, which was afterwards found dead and exposed on the road. was no doubt that the child had been born alive; for it was heard to cry after it was ahandoned by its mother, who appeared to have carried it some distance after it was horn. The child had died from exposure to cold. The woman was convicted of manslaughter, and sentenced to ten years transportation. (For other medico-legal cases of death from cold, see Henke's Zeitschrift, 1836; also, 1840, i. 168, Erg. H.)

4. Stavation.—A new-born child kept long without food will die, and no evidence of the fact may be derivable from an examination of the body. There may be no marks of violence externally, nor any

pathological changes internally, to account for death. This is a rare form of committing murder, unless as it may be accidentally combined with exposure to cold. In order to convict the mother, it is necessary to show that the child was wilfully kept without food, with the criminal design of destroying it. Mere neglect or imprudence, will not make the ease infanticide. The only appearance likely to be found on examination of the body, would be complete emptiness of the alimentary canal. Without corroborative circumstantial evidence, this would not suffice to establish the cause of death. A medical witness could only form a probable conjecture on the point. In a suspected case of this kind, the contents of the stomach should be tested for farinaceous food.

5. Immaturity in cases of abortion.—From a recent case (Reg. v. West, Nottingham Lent Assizes, 1848), it would appear, that if by the perpetration of abortion, or the criminal inducement of premature labour, a child be born at so early a period of nterine life that it dies merely from immaturity, the party causing the abortion, or leading to the premature birth, may be tried on a charge of murder. A midwife was alleged to have perpetrated abortion on a female who was between the fifth and sixth mouth of pregnancy. The child was born living, but died five hours after its birth. There was no violence offered to it; and its death appeared to be due entirely to its immaturity. The prisoner was acquitted, apparently on the ground that abortion might have arisen from other causes.

Among those cases of violent death, which leave on the body of the child certain marks or appearances indicative of the cause, may be mentioned wounds, strangulation, and poisoning.

6. Wounds.—Probably this is one of the most frequent causes of death in cases of infanticide. Wounds may, however, be found on the body of a child which has died from some other cause. The principal questions which a medical witness has to answer, are:-1, Whether the wounds were inflicted during or after birth, or, to adopt the legal view of the matter, before or after the body of the child was entirely in the world in a living state: for, according to the decisions of our judges (ante, p. 456), a child is not considered living in law, at least its destruction does not appear to be murder, until its body is entirely born. In most cases it will be atterly impossible for a medical witness to return any answer to a question put in this form. All that medical evidence can pretend to show, is whether the child was living or not when the wounds were produced:—for whether the whole of its body was or was not in the world at this time, they will possess precisely the same characters. In a few cases only, a conjectural opinion may be formed from the nature, extent, and situation of these injuries. -2. The witness will be required to state, whether the wounds were inflicted before or after death .- 3, Whether they were sufficient to account for death.-4. Whether they originated in accident or criminal design. The child may have been destroyed by

burning, and evidence must then be sought for by an examination of the state of the skin. (See case by Mr. Prince, ante, p. 461.) All these questions have been fully considered in treating the subject of Wounds; and they therefore do not require any further notice in this place.

A case of infanticide was tried at the Buckingham Summer Assizes, 1840 (the Queen v. Wood), in which the main question was, whether five severe wounds found on the head of a child, were inflicted before or after death, and accidentally or criminally. The mother confessed that the child was born alive, and had cried, but that it had died in five miuntes after its birth. Its body was buried, and it was assumed that the wounds might have been inflicted after death by a spade, which had been used for that purpose. The medical witness attributed death to the wounds, which, in his opinion, could not have been accidentally produced, but very properly admitted, in cross-examination, that the wounds would have presented the same appearances, had they been inflicted immediately after death, while the blood was in a fluid state. Answers to questions of this kind can of course be given only in those cases where the body is examined soon after the infliction of the wounds. It would be extremely bazardous to pronounce an opinion when the child has been long dead. In the case of the Queen v. Taylor (York Lent Assizes, 1843), the child had been dead about a year, and whou its body was found in a garret, it was so much dried up, that the medical witnesses were unable, with certainty, to state the sex. The left arm had been removed from the body, and on the throat was a cut extending nearly from ear to ear, which was considered to have been made by some sharp instrument, and which, from the retraction of the edges of the wound, the witnesses thought must have been produced during life, or immediately after death. The prisoner was acquitted. In this case there do not appear to have been any good medical reasons for the opinion expressed respecting the time at which the wound had been caused. Certainly, the retraction of the edges could furnish no evidence in a wound produced a year before, and in a subject so dried up as to render the recognition of the sex difficult. This may have been a case of child-murder, but there was no medical proof of it: it was not even proved that the child had come into the world living. Incised wounds found on the bodies of children, may be referred to the use of a knife or seissors by the prisoner, in attempting to sever the navel-string, and therefore be due to accident. This point should not be forgotten, for a wound even of a severe kind, might be thus accidentally inflicted. In such cases we should always expect to find the navel-string cut, and not lacerated. The end of it may, for the purpose of examination, be stretched ont on a niece of white card. In the ease of the Queen v. Wales (Central Crim. Court, Sept. 1839), it was proved that there was a wound on the right side of the neck of the child, not involving any important vessels, although it had caused death. The medical witness allowed, that it might have been accidentally inflicted in the way suggested, and

the prisoner was acquitted. As this question may be nnexpectedly put, at a trial, a witness should prepare himself for it by a careful examination of the wound and of the umbilical cord. This will in general suffice to show, whether an incised wound has been produced acciden-

tally in the mauner alleged, or hy criminal design.

Slight marks of external violence should not be overlooked:—minute punctures or incisions may correspond to deep-scated injury of vital organs. The spinal marrow is said to have been wounded hy needles or stilettoes, introduced between the vertebræ, the skin having been drawn down hefore the wound was inflicted, in order to give it a valvular character, and to render it apparently superficial. The brain is also said to have been wounded by similar weapons, through the cribriform plate of the ethmoid bone or the fontunelles.

Fractures of the skull.—The only injuries which require to be specially considered in relation to infanticide, are fractures of the skull; and here the question to which we may restrict our examination is, whether the fracture arose from accident or criminal violence. Although it has been a matter of frequent observation, that great violence may he done to the head of a child during parturition, without necessarily giving rise to fracture; yet it is placed beyond all doubt, that this injury may occur by the expulsive efforts of the uterus forcing the head of a child against the bones of the pelvis. Even the violent compression which the head sometimes experiences in passing the os uteri, may suffice for the production of fracture. (See Ed. M. and S. J. xxvi. 75.) Until within the last few years, it had been generally supposed that fractures of the cranium in new-born children were always indicative of criminal violence; but the cases collected by Dr. Schwörer of Freiburg, and others, have established the certainty of their accidental occurrence. These accidental fractures, it is to be observed. are generally slight; they commonly amount merely to fissures in the bones, beginning at the sutures, and extending downwards for about an inch or less into the body of the bone.

The following case occurred to Dr. Schwörer, while performing his duties at the Obstetric Institution:—The child was still-born; he received it into his hands at birth, so that the head could have sustained no ontward violence. On inspection, the skin over the vertex was found swollen; and on removing it, there was a large extravasation of blood beneath, especially over the right-parietal bone. The bone was fractured or fissured in two places. Blood in a half-coagulated state was found beneath the fissures, between the bone and the dura mater, as also between this and the tunica arachnoides. (Beit. zur. Lehr. v. d. Kindermord. Freiburg, 1836.) Here, then, were all the signs indicative of external violence; and possibly, had this woman been delivered in secret, and the body of the child found in a concealed place, she might have been charged with the murder. A second case is reported in Casper's Wochenschrift (Oct. 1840), where about half a drachm of hlood was extravasated on the right parietal bone, which

was compressed in the centre, and presented a radiated fracture. Coagula were fouad on the dura mater. (See also B. and F. Med. Rev. xxi. 254, and vii. 233.) In a third case, where there was deformity of the pelvis, the child was born dead, and there were two fissures, about an inch long, in the left parietal bone; and both parietal bones were considerably flattened. (Casper's Wochenschrift, Sept. 1837.)

Dr. West has quoted the following case of spontaneous fracture of the left parietal bone, during a natural but tedious labour, in which the head was five hours in the pelvic cavity, although the pelvis was There were three fissures in the bone; one running well formed. into the sagittal suture; one to the anterior inferior angle, and the other to the middle of the anterior edge of the hoae. The child was still-born. Much blood was effused beneath the scalp, but none under the skull. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 288.)

In respect to these accidental fractures and extravasations, it may be remarked that they are in general recognized by their very slight extent. In cases of murder by violence to the head, the injuries are commonly much more severe: the boues are driven in,—the brain protrudes, and the scalp is extensively lacerated. Such extensive injuries as these cannot arise accidentally, from the action of the uterus during parturition. In these eases, however, it may be fairly urged, that the woman was nnexpectedly seized with labour, that the child was expelled suddenly by the violent efforts of the uterus, and that the injuries might have arisen from its head coming in contact with some hard surface—as a floor or pavement. It must be admitted, that a woman may he thus suddenly and unexpectedly delivered while in the erect posture, although this is not common among primiparous females; and that injuries may he thus produced on the head of a child.

Delivery in the erect posture.—An interesting case of sudden delivery in the erect posture in a primiparous female, without injury to the child, is reported by Mr. Ryan in the Lancet, June 21, 1845, p. 707. The umbilical cord was in this instance ruptured at the distance of about two inches from the navel. In another case, also of a primiparous female, sudden delivery took place while the woman was in the act of sitting down. The child was forcibly expelled, and fell with its head on the floor of the room. It was taken up dead, the cord being still attached to it and the placenta, which came away shortly after the birth of the child. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 808.) It would appear from cases collected by Dr. Klein, that fractures of the cranium under these circumstances, are of very rare occurrence. Out of one hundred and eighty-three cases reported by him, in which the women were rapidly delivered while sitting, standing, or inclined on the knees,—the child falling on the ground or floor, there was only one instance in which the child was killed; and there was not a single case in which the bones of the cranium were fissured or fractured, so far as could be ascertained by external examination. (Devergie, i. 631; Briand, 271.)

Chaussier performed some experiments on the bodies of still-born children, ullowing them to fall with their heads downwards on a paved floor from a height of eighteen inches; and he found that out of fifteen cases one or other of the parietal bones was fractured in twelve. Although these results are conflicting, yet Klein's observations appear more to the purpose; because they were made under circumstances in which the question would really arise in a case of infanticide. They are strikingly supported by the following ease which occurred to Mr. Blacklock. (Lancet, July 26, 1845.) A married woman was suddenly delivered while standing: —the child fell to the floor, but sustained no injury. The umbilical cord was ruptured close to the umbilious. (See also Mr. Ryan's case, supra.) A case unalogous to these, also in a primipara, is reported in the Gazette Médicale (26 Juin, 1847.) A womau, set. 27, was delivered of a child while in the act of walking to the hospital, at the distance of a mile. She stated that she had lost a large quantity of blood. The child, which she brought in her apron, was mature and living: the umbilical cord had been ruptured close to the These observations would lead to the inference that such accidents are not very likely to occur, yet we cannot deny the possibility of their occurrence; therefore a barrister is fully justified in endeuvouring to exculpate a person charged with child-murder upon this ground. A medical witness would find no difficulty in determining the probability of this explanation of the origin of the fractures, if he were made acquainted with all the facts connected with the delivery. But the acquisition of this knowledge must be accidental; and it will in general be out of his power to obtain it. Sometimes the fractures will be accompanied by incisions, punctures, or lacerations of the scalp or face:—in this case, although the origin of the fractures might be accounted for by the alleged fall during parturition, the cause of the other injuries would still remain to be explained .— (See the case of the Queen v. Reeve, Cent. Crim. Court, Feh. 1839. The Queen v. Stevens, Bodmin Leut Ass. 1845.) The medico-legal importance of this subject will be apparent from the evidence given in a case tried before the Criminal Court of New York, in November, 1834. Gaz. xviii. 44.) One of the medical witnesses positively decied that the hones of the cranium could be fractured by the action of the uterus during parturition! It appeared highly probable that the fractures had been here occasioned by the accidental fall of the child during delivery—and the prisoner was acquitted. Dr. Wharrie has published an interesting case, also the subject of a criminal charge, in which it is prohable that a fracture of the cranium of a child was produced by the expulsive action of the uterus. The body of the child had been found secretly buried. It was fully developed, but had evidently not breathed. The navel-string had been cut and tied; six inches of it still remained attached to the body. On the left side of the cranium, near the vertex, there was a small extravasation of blood; and on removing this, a fissure half an inch in length was found in the

edge of the left parietal bone, close to the line of the sagittal suture, and near the posterior fontanelle. On shaving off the hair, there was no discolouration, nor any mark on the skin indicative of a blow. There was no evidence to show that any violence had been used to the child at its birth, and from the description of the fissure, it was a fair presumption that it had arisen during delivery from the muscular contractions of the interns. (Cormack's Monthly Jonr. Nov. 1845, p. 847.)

Length of the umbilical cord.—It has been recommended on these occasious, that we should observe the length of the umbilical cord, and notice whether it be cut or lacerated, as these facts may, it is presumed, throw some light on the question. But a medical witness can seldom procure the cord for examination, although it will generally be in his power to ascertain whether it was cut or lacerated by examining the portion which is attached to the hody of the child. The cord varies in length,—the average being from eighteen to twenty inches; but it has been met with so short as six inches. (Lancet, June 13, 1846, p. 660. :) and even five inches. (Lancet, July 11, 1846, 49.) In a twiu-case which occurred to Mr. Stedman, of Guildford, the cord was ouly four inches long. (Laucet, Aug. 28, 1841.) On the other hand, in one instance, where it was found twice twisted round the child's ueck, it was fifty-three inches long. Dr. Churchill found, out of three hundred and uinety-one cases, that the shortest cord was twelve inches, and the longest fifty-four inches in length. whole of the cord can rarely he obtained, it is unnecessary to discuss the question, whether it were long enough to admit of the falling of the child witbout rupture. It has been remarked that when the cord is ruptured from accidental causes during delivery, the rupture takes place either very near its placental or umbilical end. In twenty-one of the cases observed by Klein, it was found to have been forcibly torn out of the abdomen; but it may be torn or lacerated at any part of its length, although the rupture is commonly observed to occur near one or the other extremity. It does not appear how the examination of the cord can throw any light upon the origin of these fractures of the cranium.

Injuries accidentally sustained in utero.—A practitioner must remember that if at an advanced stage of preguancy, a female should accidentally fall, the child may sustain injury by a blow through the abdominal parietes. This is not to be strained into a specious defence for violence which has obviously occurred subsequently to birth, but the fact itself is of sufficient importance to merit attention, as the following ease will show:—A pregnant woman, within five days of the ordinary term of gestation, fell while running, so that her abdomen struck sharply against an angular stone. There was an immediate loss of blood, and the motion of the child ceased. Four days after the accident, parturition came on. Dr. Stanelli found the head of the child much enlarged, and in a putrid state. The female died in an hour. Ou examining the child, the skull was found almost crushed, the parietal having become separated from the temporal boxes as if by

external violence. The marks of injury were entirely limited to the (Gazette des Hôpitaux, Nov. 7, 1846, p. 523.)

In accidents of this kind it is most probable that the child would be born dead. There might also be marks of violence on the abdomen of the mother. Some observers have described eases in which the limbs of the fætus in utero have become deeply indented or spoutaneously amputated, by the twisting of the umbilical cord around them. (Dublin Hospital Gazette, Jan. 1846, 153.) It is not possible that these accidental injuries could ever be mistaken for violence inflicted on the body of the child after its birth.

Defective ossification simulating violence.—In reference to injuries of the bones of the head in a new-born child, it may be proper to mention the particulars of a case referred to me by Mr. Lord, of Hampstead, in 1847. The dead body of a new-born child, wrapped up in brown paper and a towel, was found in a pond. Mr. Lord examined it for the inquest. The bead was very much decomposed, and the scalp was extensively lacerated and destroyed over the parietal bones, which readily separated. The brain was reduced to a sanious pulp. The umbilieal cord, which had not been tied, was cut obliquely at about six inches from the umbilieus. The lungs, which were very crepitant, readily floated on water, and bore up the heart. The body was generally bloodless. The point of difficulty which the case presented, consisted in the presence of two apertures on one parietal bone. These apertures were small and rounded; and it was at first doubtful whether they had not been wilfully produced by some perforating instrument applied to the cranium. It was remarked that one aperture was situated near the temporal ridge, and in this situation the scalp was entire and uninjured. The other was situated in that part of the bone, which corresponded to the lacerated portion of sealp. It was ascertained that no violence bad been used in the removal of the body from the water. The bone was macerated, and earefully examined by the aid of a lens. It was then perceived, that the apertures were quite regular at the edges, which were remarkably thin, evidently passing into a membranous condition. The internal table was also deficient, so that from the interior, the bone was beyelled off gradually from each aperture. This examination left no doubt that the holes in the bone were due not to any mechanical violence applied during life, but to deficient ossification. These spaces had been membranons, and the membraue destroyed by decomposition. The putrefaction of the scalp and its separation, might have been accelerated by a bruised condition of these parts during a difficult labour.

Twisting of the neck.—Children are sometimes destroyed in the act of birth by the neck being foreibly twisted, whereby a displacement of the cervical vertebræ, with injury to the spinal marrow, may occur and destroy life. Such injuries are immediately discovered by an examina-It should be remembered, however, that the neck of a child is very short, and that it always possesses considerable mobility.

Violence in self-delivery .- When the marks of violence found on the head, neck and body of a child, cannot be easily referred to an accidental fall, it is very common to ascribe them to the efforts made by the woman in her attempts at self-delivery, and without any intention on her part of destroying life. The rules to guide a medical opinion in such a case, must depend upon the nature, situation and extent of the injuries; and each case must be therefore decided by the circumstances attending it. (The Queen v. Horder, Abingdon Sum-This should be contrasted with two other cases mer Ass. 1840.) (the Queen v. Trilloe, Hereford Summer Ass. 1842.; Queen v. Turner, Worcester Winter Ass. 1843.) In the two first cases, the children were admitted to have been living; -in the one the violence was chiefly confined to the head, and the prisoner was acquitted,—in the other the marks of violence were upon the ueck, and the prisoner was convicted. These eases show the uncertainty attendant on a plea of this kind.—See also two other instances, B. and F. Med. Rev. viii. Sanguineous tumors simulating fractures are sometimes found on the heads of new-boru children. These depend on natural causes, and must not be confounded with marks of violence. xxxvi. 1082.) They may be known by the unruffled state of the skin. A medical witness, however, must be prepared to allow that a woman at the time of her delivery, may from pain and anxiety become deprived of all judgment, and may destroy her offspring without being conscious of what she is doing. It is therefore a sound principle of law that mere appearances of violence on the child's body, are not per se sufficient, unless there be some evidence to show, that the violence was knowingly and intentioually committed, or they are of such a kind as themselves to indicate intentional murder. (Alison.) The benefit of a doubt will always be given in favour of the accused. See PUERPERAL Mania, post.

Conclusions.—The conclusions to be derived from the contents of this chapter are:—

- 1. That a new-horn child may die from violent causes, arising from accident.
- 2. That some forms of violent death are not necessarily attended with external signs, indicative of violence.
 - 3. That a child may be accidentally suffocated during delivery.
- 4. That the marks of death from drowning are not apparent, except in children which have breathed.
- 5. That the state of the umbilical cord may often furnish important evidence.
- That some females recently delivered, may have strength to exert themselves and walk great distances.
- 7. That a new-horn child may speedily die from exposure to cold and privation of food.
- 8. That slight fractures of the bones of the cranium may arise from the action of the uterus on the head of the child during delivery.

9. That females may be unexpectedly delivered while in the erect posture; the umbilical cord is, under these circumstances, sometimes ruptured, and the child may sustain injury by the fall.

10. That the violence found on the body of a child may be sometimes referred to attempts innoceutly made by the female to aid delivery.

CHAPTER XLVII.

DEATH OF THE CHILD FROM STRANGULATION—DECEPTIVE APPEARANCES ON THE BODY.—STRANGULATION BY THE UMBILICAL CORD

4— DIAGNOSIS.— ACCIDENTAL MARKS RESEMBLING THOSE OF STRANGULATION—CONSTRICTION BEFORE AND AFTER DEATH—BEFORE AND AFTER ESTRATION—CONSTRICTION BEFORE AND AFTER ENTIRE BIRTH—BEFORE AND AFTER THE SEVERANCE OF THE IMBILICAL CORD.—CONSTRICTION WITHOUT ECCHYMOSIS—EXAMINATION OF THE MOTHER—SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE—DEATH OF THE CHILD AFTER BIRTH FROM WOUNDS DURING DELIVERY.—GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

Among the forms of violent death, which are almost always attended with appearances indicative of criminal design, are the following:—

7. Strangulation.—The destruction of a new-born child by strangulation, is not an unfrequent form of child-murder; and here a medical jurist has to encounter the difficulty,—that the strangulation may have been accidentally produced by the twisting of the umbilical cord round the neck during delivery. We must not hastily conclude from the red and swollen appearance of the head and face of a child, when found dead, that it has been destroyed by strangulation. There is no doubt that errors were formerly made with respect to this appearance; for Dr. Hunter observes,—"When a child's head or face looks swollen, and is very red or black, the vulgar, because hanged people look so, are apt to conclude that it must have been straugled. But those who are in the practice of midwifery, know that there is nothing more common in natural births, and that the swelling aud deep colour go gradually off if the child live but a few days. This appearance is particularly observable in those cases where the navel-string happens to gird the child's neck, and where its head happens to be born some time before its body."—(Op. cit. 27.) Strangulation by the umbilical cord can of course refer to those cases only in which the cord becomes firmly twisted round the neck after the respiratory process is established, and this is rather a rare occurrence; because death more commonly takes place by compression of the cord under these circumstanees,

and by the consequent arrest of circulation before the act of breathing is performed. (See ante, p. 477.) The appearance of ecchymosis on the scalp, and lividity of the face, are very common in new-born children when the labour has been tedious and difficult; and, therefore, unless there were some marks of injury about the neck, this would not justify any suspicion of death from strangulation. The only internal appearance is a congested state of the cerebral vessels.

Strangulation by the umbilical cord.—It has been supposed, that the strangulation produced by the wilful application of any constricting force to the neck, would be known from the accidental strangulation caused by the cord, by the fact that in the former case there would be a livid or ecchymosed mark or depression on the neck. But in answer to this view, it may be observed, that such a mark, although, from the unnecessary violence used, a common, is not a constant accompaniment of homicidal strangulation. On the other hand, ulthough it was formerly a disputed question, it is now certain that the umbilical cord may itself produce, in some instances, a livid or ecchymosed depression. Among various cases which might be quoted in support of this view, is the following, reported by Mr. Foster. In April 1846, he was summoned to attend a lady in labour with her first child. Owing to the size of the head, the labour was of a lingering kind, and the child came into the world dead. The umbilical cord was found coiled three times round the neck, passing under the right axilla; and upon removing it, three parallel discoloured depressions were distinctly evident. These extended completely round the neck, and corresponded to the course taken by the umbilical cord. The child appeared as if it had been strangled. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 485.) Ilad this child been born secretly, the state of the neck might have ereated a strong suspicion of homicidal juterference. Strangalation after birth could not, however, have been alleged, because there would have been no proof of respiration. When a blue mark is found on the neck of a child whose bungs retain their feetal characters, it is fair to presume, exeteris paribus, that it has been occasioned accidentally by the twisting of the umbilical cord during delivery. Mr. Price has also communicated to the same journal the account of a case in which the cord was so tightly twisted around the neck of the child, that he was compelled to divide it before delivery could be accomplished. There was in this case a deep groove formed on the neck, and it conveyed the impression to himself and a medical friend that, in the absence of any knowledge of the facts, they would have been prepared to say that the child had been wilfully straugled by a rope. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 40.) In this iustance the cord was very short. A diagnosis might have been formed, as in the preceding case, by examining the state of the lungs. Dr. Mutter met with a case in which the child was born dead, and the cord was tightly twisted round its neck,—when removed, the neck exhibited a livid ring of a finger's breadth, smooth, and shining; but on cutting into this mark, no subcutaneous ecchymosis was found. (North. Jour. Med. Jan. 1845, p. 190.)

From two of these cases, it will be nerceived that by trusting to ecchymosis in the mark as an absolute means of distinction between construction produced by criminal means, and that which results from the umbilical cord, a serious error may be committed. As in the following case (reported in the Annalcs d'Hyg. 1842, 127), a female charged with the murder of her child by strangulation, may be nnjustly condemned. The child had fully and perfectly respired:—the lungs weighed one thousand grains, and when divided, every portion floated on water, even after firm compression. There was a mark on the neck, which was superficially ecchymosed in a part of its course. From an investigation of the facts, this appeared to have been a case in which the mark was produced accidentally by the umbilical cord. during attempts at self-delivery on the part of the woman. She was, nevertheless, convicted and condemned to a severe punishment. The case establishes three points: 1, that partial ecchymosis may be produced on the neck by the umbilical cord becoming twisted around it; 2, that this may strangle a child after it has breathed at the ontlet,the cord was twenty-four inches long; 3, that a child's lungs may in a few seconds become sufficiently distended with air to give satisfactory evidence of respiration with the pulmonary tests. (See p. 448.) In the same journal, p. 428, will be found the report of another case, suggesting many important reflections in regard to the medical jurisprudence of infanticide. In this instance the umbilical cord and memhraues were actually used by the female as a means of strangulation; the child had not breathed, but was thereby prevented from respiring. There was superficial eechymosis on each side of the neck over the sterno-cleido-mastoidei muscles. The defence was, that the child was horn with the cord round its neck, and that it was, from this circumstance, accidentally strangled; but the medical evidence tended to show, that the cord had been violently stretched and used as a means of strangulation. The child had not breathed, and the witnesses considered It to have heen born dead, owing to the violence used by the woman. The cause of death here was certainly not strangulation, but arrested circulation. In the meantime, the case proves that ecchymosis may he the result of the constriction produced by the cord. (For additional remarks on this subject, see Henke's Zeitschrift, 1837, iv. 352; also Ed. M. and S. J. Oct. 1838, p. 282.) A case occurred to Mr. M'Cann, in September 1838, in which the umbilical cord, which was of its full length, had been used as the means of strangulation. It was twisted once round the neck, passed under the left arm over the shoulders, and round the neck again, forming a noose or knot, which, pressing upon the throat, must have caused strangulation, as the tongue was protruded, and there were other clear iudications of the

child having been strangled. The hydrostatic test applied to the lungs

proved that respiration had been performed.

Diagnosis. - When the mark is deep, much ecchymosed, and there is extravasation of blood beneath, with ruffling or laceration of the skin, it is impossible to attribute this to the effect of the umbilical cord. The lividity produced by the cord in the cases hitherto observed, has been only slight and partial, and unaccompanied by laceration of the skin or injury to deep-seated parts. (For a very instructive case by Dr. Scott, in reference to this point, see Ed. M. and S. J. xxvi. 62.) On the other hand, in homicidal strangulation, much more violence being used than is necessary for destroying life, we should commouly expect to find great ecclymosis and extensive injury to the surrounding soft parts. On some occasions, all difficulty is removed by the discovery of the rope, tape, or ligature round the neck; or if this be not found, the proofs of some ligature having been used, will be discovered in the indentations or irregularly ecchymosed spots left on the skin, the depressed portions of skin being generally white, and the raised edges livid.

Marks on the neck may be produced by the umbilical cord without necessarily destroying the child's life; two cases of this kind are reported by Prof. Busch: (Br. and For. Med. Rev. x. 579;) or the child may be destroyed without ecchymosis being a necessary consequence of the constriction produced by it. (See case by Dr. Hanff, Henke's Zeitschrift, 1836, Erg. H.) There is much less risk of strangulation from twisting of the cord than is commonly believed. Out of one hundred and ninety cases, Dr. Churchill found the cord round the neck in fiftytwo children. The shortest cord so disposed, was eighteen inches

long, and it occurred twice in seventy-five cases.

Accidental marks resembling those of strangulation.—In the fore part of the neck of a child, a mark or depression is sometimes accidentally produced by forcibly beuding the head forwards on the chest, especially when this has been done repeatedly and recently after death. It may happen also during labour. Such a mark must not be mistaken for the effect of homicidal violence. It has been a question whether, independently of the constriction produced by the cord,the cervix uteri might not cause, during its contractions, an ecchymosed mark on the neck. I am not aware that there is any case reported which bears out this view; and it seems highly improbable that any such result should follow. The mark on the neck is sometimes such as not to be explained by an accidental constriction produced by the umbilical cord. The ecchymosis may be in detached spots or patches,-situated in the fore part of the neck, and evidently not arising from the employment of any ligature. These marks may depend on the forcible application of the fingers to the fore part of the neck of the child, and the indentations have been known to correspond,—a fact which at once led to a suspicion of the mode of death.

It may be alleged in defence, that the marks might have been accideutally caused in two ways: 1. By the forcible pressure produced by the child's hand during labour, an explanation which is highly improbable if respiration have been performed:—although a child bas been known to breathe in breech-presentations, while the head was still in the 2. They will be more commonly referred to the violent attempt made by a woman at self-delivery, during a paroxysm of pain. This explanation is admissible, so long as it is confined to injuries probably received during labour; but supposing the marks to have been certainly produced after birth, it will not of course apply. The following case (the Queen v. Ancliffe, Nottingbam Leut Assizes, 1842) is in this respect worthy of attention; for it appears to me, to show how a defence of this kind may be sometimes strained:-Tbc evidence proved that the prisoner was delivered of a child, under much suffering, on a stone floor, and in the presence of another woman, a witness. The child was born alive, and was beard to ery several times. The witness left it in charge of its mother, and on returning shortly afterwards, she found it dead, with black marks upon its throat. The female midwife, who separated the child from the mother, deposed that it gave a sort of balf cry;—she thought it was dead when she first saw it, and the marks on the neck were not more than a woman might bave caused in attempting to deliver herself. The medical evidence showed that there were many ecchymosed marks about the throat of the child; and on the right side of the neck, blood was extravasated. The marks might bave been produced by the fingers :- death had been caused by pressure on the windpipe. judge left it to the jury to say, whether the marks of violence might not have been unconsciously inflicted by the prisoner during labour. The jury returned a verdict of acquittal. (See also a case by Bellot, Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, ii. 205, autc, p. 423.) Among marks simulating violence, which are sometimes found on the necks of new-born children, Mr. Harvey has pointed out one of a very singular kind. In February 1846, he was present at a delivery in which the child was expelled rather suddenly; and after making two or three convulsivo gasps, it died. Whilst endeavouring to restore animation, he observed a bright red mark extending completely across the upper and fore part of the neck, from one angle of the lower jaw to the other, exactly as though it bad been produced by strangulation with a cord, except that the mark was not continued round to the back of the neck. It was of a vivid red colour, and not like a bruise or cechymosis: it had very much the appearance of a recent excoriation. It was most clearly defined in front, where it was about a quarter of an inch in breadth, and it became diffused at the sides. The face was not swollen, and there was no fulness of the veins. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii, p. 379.) diagnosis here would have been based upon the colour of the markthe unabraded state of the epidermis, and the absence of congestion of the face and venous system. Nevertheless, the case is of great importance, and the facts should be borne in mind, in the examination of the body of a new-born child alleged to have been strangled.

An interesting case, which was the subject of a coroner's inquest, has been published by Mr. Rose in the same journal (xxxvii. 530), in which red marks on each side of the nose of a new-born child were mistaken for the effects of violence applied to the nostrils during an attempt at suffocation. Mr. Rose examined them closely, and considered that they were newi, and had nothing to do with the death of the infant!

Constriction before and after death-before and after respiration .-A medical witness is sometimes asked to state on these occasions, whether the ligature or the fingers had been applied to the neck of the child, before or after death, -or before or after it had breathed. It is proper to observe, that so far as the external marks of strangulation are concerned, there is no difference in the appearances, whether the constriction take place during life or immediately after death while the body is warm. Casper's experiments render it highly probable, that when the constricting force is applied to the neck of a dead child at any time within an hour after death, the marks cannot with certainty be distinguished by any appearance from those made on a living body. (Wochensehrift, Jan. 1837.) With regard to the second point, it may be stated, that whether the child has breathed or not, provided it he living, the marks of violence present precisely the same characters. The following ease is related by Casper. The body of a new-born child was found concealed in a cellar, and the mother was charged with baying murdered it. She confessed that she heard the child erv at the birth, but that it soon died. In about an hour afterwards, she tied tightly round its neck, a band made of a few straws, which she bad hastily twisted together for that purpose, in order, as she alleged, "to prevent it from awaking." On the fifth day, the body was examined; the child was mature, well-formed, and had evidently The examiners referred death to strangulation: the woman was convicted, and sentenced to be imprisoned for life. was made against this sentence, and Casper's opinion was called for on the propriety of the medical inference of strangulation during life, from the mark on the neck. The witnesses had stated :- "that each straw in the band had produced a well-defined depression, which was whiter than the surrounding skin, while the little folds or elevatious between the straws were red; -- and on cutting into these reddened portions, slight eccbymosis was found beneath." Casper gave his oninion, that the slight eechymosis observed, might have resulted from the application of the straw-band soon after death, -while the body was warm; and the circumstantial evidence allowed, that the ligature might have been applied at some time within an hour after death. Hence he declared, that there was a want of proof that this child had In consequence of this opinion the punishdied from strangulation.

ment was mitigated. It is impossible to deny the correctness of the inference drawn by Casper, since the mark was undoubtedly such that it might have been produced either before or recently after death. Which of these two suppositions was the more probable; and whether it was more likely, that a ligature should be put round a child's neck an hour after death to prevent it from awaking, (!) or before death for the alleged purpose of destroying it, it was of course for a jury, and not for a medical witness to decide. If there was nothing more in tbe prisoner's favour than her own statement as to the time when she applied the ligature and her object in applying it, it is certain that a very humane interpretation was put upon the facts. If the Court entirely believed Casper's opinion, the woman should bave been altogether acquitted, instead of having the punishment merely mitigated. It can be no crime, however absurd and maccountable it may appear, for a person to place a ligature round the neck of a child after death, to give the appearance of strangulation. When such an extraordinary plea as this is raised, it is a fair matter of inquiry for a jury, to consider the motives of human conduct, and to judge of such a defence on the principles of common seuse. If carried too far, no one who was not seeu by others to perpetrate the act, could be convicted of homicidal strangulation. In the case of the Queen v. Wren, tried at the Winchester Lent Ass. 1840, the medical evidence went to show that the child had breathed, and was born alive. There was a piece of tape tied round its neck very tightly, and fastened behind, and there was a discoloration of the skin beneath. The tongue was livid and swollen, and blood was extravasated beneath the scalp. The medical witness admitted that the mark on the neck might have been produced after death: and as he could not positively say that the child had been destroyed by strangulation, the prisoner was acquitted. (See also the Queen v. Hyland, Cent. Crim. Court, Aug. 1844.)

Constriction before or after entire birth.—Judging from what has occurred on several recent trials, a medical witness must prepare himself for another and more difficult question. Let us suppose it to be admitted as proved, that the ligature was applied to the neck of a child while it was living, and after it had breathed; -it still remains to be determined, whether it was applied before or after the legal birth of the child, or as some indges bave laid down the rule, before or after an independent circulation has been established in the child's body. In the case of R. v. Enoch, Judge Parke held "that there must be an independent circulation in the child before it can be accounted alive." (Archbold, 367.) By an "independent circulation," we can only understand that condition in which respiration is established, and the blood no longer passes from the mother to the child. Thus, this state would be proved by a cessation of pulsation in the cord; and the crying or audible respiration of the child. It will be seen that this is tantamount to insisting upon absolute proof of respiration, as evidence of life; and, therefore, entirely conflicts with the opinions of many

other judges, who have held that proof of respiration is not necessary on a charge of murder, because a child might be born alive and not breathe for some time after its birth. (R. v. Brain, Archbold, 367; see ante, p. 457.) Ou the other hand, if the presence of an indcpendent circulation be the test of a child being legally alive at the time of the violence, the entire birth of its body is certainly not necessary for this; because, as it is well known, respiration may be established, and consequently an independent circulation acquired, before the body of the child is entirely born. Here, again, this judgment is opposed to the opinions of most judges, who have repeatedly held that whether a child has breathed or not, entire live birth must be proved. One of the most common judicial objections to the hydrostatic test, is, that a child may breathe, i. e. substantially acquire an independent circulation, but die before its body is born. In this state of uncertainty, it is difficult to say what medical evidence is required to If an independent circulation alone is sufficient, it cannot be prove. nlways necessary to prove entire live birth: but if proof of entire live birth be sufficient, then it cannot be always necessary to show that the child had acquired an independent circulation when the violence was offered to it! In a celebrated case of tenancy by courtesy, (Fish v. Palmer, 1806, post, BIRTH,) the judges of that time held that the quivering or spasmodic motion of a lip after birth without respiration, independent circulation, or any other sign of vitality, was sufficient to show that that child was born alive-and that it thereby had acquired civil rights which it could transmit to others,—its heirs. Why is the proof of an independent circulation in a child, to be demanded of medical witnesses in a case involving a question of its murder; when in respect to its acquisition of civil rights such a proof is not called for? If the question were fairly considered by all the judges, probably proof of an independent circulation in this sense would not be required; at any rate it could not be consistently demanded in the face of other decisions, that proof of respiration was not absolutely necessary to constitute live birth in law, even in cases of child-murder. The last case in which this question was raised, was on the Oxford Spring Circuit, 1841, (the Queen v. Wright.) The child was found concealed in a garden, its throat was completely cut, and there was a stab under the left arm. Baron Gurney is reported to have stopped the case; because there was no proof that the child had had "an independent existence" when the wounds were inflicted. It is worthy of remark, that one form of murder may be the actual prevention of the establishment of an independent circulation or existence in the child, as where the cord is designedly tied before the commencement of the respiratory process. It has been suggested that ignorance of this point, among midwives, may be a cause of numerous still-births. In the meantime one fact is obvious, that whether the means of strangulation, if that be the form of murder, be applied to the neck of a living child before the entire birth of its body or afterwards, - before the establishment of an independent circulation (i. e. the act of respiration) or afterwards,—the appearances will be the same; and from these, it will be

impossible to say, when the strangulation was accomplished.

Constriction before or after severance of the umbilical cord.—There is still another novel form which this question has taken. The witness may perhaps be asked, whether the strangulation occurred before or after the ambilical cord was severed. It would appear that the severance of the cord has been regarded in law as a test of an independent circulation being established in the child:—but this is obviously an error depending on the want of proper information respecting the phenomena which accompany birth. Respiration, and therefore an independent circulation, may exist before the cord is divided; and its severance, which is never likely to take place until after entire birth, cannot consequently be considered as a boundary between a child which is really born alive, and one which is born dead. A premature severance, as it was just now stated, might positively endanger the life of the child, instead of giving to it an independent existence. A healthy and vigorous child may continue to live and breathe independently of the mother, before the division of the cord, and the time at which the severance is made depends on mere accident. Hence the marks of strangulation on the neck of a living and breathing child, must be the same, whether the cord be divided or not. The object of putting such a question is not apparent, unless it is intended to he implied, that no child is legally born alive until the accoucheur or the woman herself chooses to sever the cord. It would therefore follow on this doctrine, that to strangle a living child (entirely born) with the umbilical cord, provided this be not lacerated in the attempt, would not constitute infanticide! If this inference be incorrect, it is impossible to see what can have been the object of asking a medical witness such a question on these occasions. A case in which the cord was actually used as the means of destruction has been already given. (See ante, p. 496.)

The following cases will illustrate the difficulties which a witness may have to encounter when it is alleged that the child has been des-The first is that of Rex v. Crutchley, troved by strangulation. (Monmouth Lent Assizes, 1837.) In this case the hody of the child was discovered by a medical man (one of the witnesses) under the bed of the prisoner, who had been secretly delivered. There was a riband tied in a knot so tightly round its neck, as to have prevented respiration. The child had evidently been dead some honrs, and the prisoner alleged that it was horn dead. On inspection, the face was found swollen and the lips livid: the lungs contained air, and were of a florid colour; they were crepitant and floated on water, so as to leave no doubt that the child had breathed. The vessels of the brain were gorged; the other viscera perfectly healthy. He attributed death to strangulation;—he thought that the ligature had been placed round the neck before the umbilical cord, which had not been ticd, was secured; but the reason for this opinion is not stated. He considered that the child had been born wholly alive; hut admitted that the ligature would have produced the same appearance on the neck, had it been applied hefore the complete hirth of the child. Another witness, however, stated that he thought the ligature might have been placed round the neck hefore the entire body of the child was horn, defence was, that the ligature had been used hy the woman for the purpose of assisting herself in the lahour, and that the medical evidence allowed, whether this was the motive or not, that it had been applied hefore the child was actually horn. The judge desired the jury to consider, whether the prisoner wilfully killed the child, -if so, whether the killing occurred before or after the entire birth of its body, -and lastly, whether the killing took place while it was still attached to the body of its mother. Unless the child was destroyed after entire hirth, the prisoner would be cutitled to an acquittal:-if destroyed, while still attached to the hody of its mother, the point would be reserved for the consideration of the judges. The prisoner was acquitted. There can he no doubt that, provided a child be born entirely in a living state, the destruction of it would be murder, whether the cord were severed or not.

In the case of the Queen v. Byron, (Chester Aut. Ass. 1838,) the dead body of the child was found with a piece of rag tied round its neck, which in the opinion of the medical witness had caused death by strangulation; but on cross-examination by the judge, he admitted that the appearances might be explained by supposing that the prisoner had produced them in attempting to deliver herself. In the case of the Queen v. Millgate (Central Criminal Court, Nov. 1842), the child was discovered dead, and on examination the face was livid, the tongue protruded, and the hands were clenched. Around the neck was a ligature which had been passed round four times, and was tied tightly. vessels of the brain were turgid, the luugs partially inflated, and the general appearance of the body was healthy. The medical witness thought that the child had been born alive, and had died from the effects of the ligature on the neck. The judge told the jury they must be satisfied that the child was completely born at the time the ligature was put round the neck. The prisoner was acquitted. In another case, the Queen v. Webster, (Worcester Lent Ass. 1839,) the following facts were deposed to by the surgeon:-The child was full-grown and was born alive: this was inferred from the lungs being completely in-A ligature was found round the neck-it had heen passed round twice-was very tight, and fastened in a knot :- it had caused two deep indentations. The vessels of the scalp and hrain were turgid with blood, hut there were no marks of external violence. Death was caused by strangulation. The judge left it to the jury to say, whether they were satisfied that the child was wholly born into the world alive; and if so, whether the prisoner had knowingly and wilfully destroyed it after it was horn. The prisouer was acquitted.

Constriction without ecchymosis. - It may be an important question

whether, in these instances, the absence of any mark of discolouration of the skin by the ligature, should be taken as evidence of the means of constriction not having been applied during life. What we are entitled to say from observed facts, is, that ecclymosis from the ligature is not a necessary consequence of constriction either in a living or dead child:-although we might expect that there would be few cases of child-murder in which, when strangulation was resorted to, there would not be some ecchymosed mark or discoloration, chiefly on the presumption, that great force is suddenly applied. Besides, it is not improbable that a slighter force would cause eechymosis on the skin of a new-born infant than would be required to produce such an effect on that of the adult. When there is no mark from the ligature, an attempt may be made to show, that death could not have been caused by strangulation, as in the following case (the Queen v. Haya), which was tried at the Carlisle Summer Assizes in 1841 :- The medical evidence was to this effect. The deceased ebild was discovered with a tape tied tightly round its neck. It was full-grown and healthy, and had been born alive, as respiration bad been fully established. lungs filled the chest, floated on water, and crepitated when pressed. From the livid appearance of the face and neck; -the congested state of the brain and extravasation of blood on the surface, combined with the ligature round the neck, the witnesses were of opinion that the child had died from strangulation. On cross-examination, they said that a child may breathe when partially born. The floating of the lungs in water is of itself an uncertain test, if the body is at all decomposed. With other tests it affords a proof of a child having been born alive. One witness said, the ligature had produced no mark of discoloration on the neck, while others said it was perceptible. The inference is, that the mark could not bave been very apparent, or there would have been no doubt on this point. It was very ingeniously urged in the defence, that the child could not bave died from strangulation, because a tape tied so tightly round a child's neck as to cause death in this way, would necessarily leave a discoloration, of which no person could have any doubt. The prisoners were convicted. Had the defence heen, as in the former cases, that there was no proof whether the ligature had been applied before or after entire hirth, or the establishment of an independent existence in the child, the result might have been different. From the cross-examination it will be seen, in what way the objections to the hydrostatic test are ingeniously made to affect medical evidence. An answer to a general question is rendered applicable to a particular case. A witness admits on a trial that the lungs may float from putrefaction or artificial inflation:-in short, from other causes than respiration. If this answer he not qualified, an impression is immediately conveyed to the Court, and not always reinoved hy a re-examination, that some of those causes may have given rise to the floating of the lungs in this particular instance,—when in fact there may have been not the least trace of putrefaction,—nor the

least ground for suspecting that artificial inflation had been practised. As contrasts to this case, see report of a case which occurred to Mr. Coales (G. H. Rep., 1842); and another by Dr. Scott (Ed. Med. and Surg. J. xxvi. 62).

Poisoning.—This is placed among the probable means of perpetrating child-murder, but we rarely hear of new-born children being thus destroyed. The earliest age at which I have known a trial to take place, for the nurder of a child by poison, was two months (R. v. Sonth, Norf. Aut. Circ. 1834). A quantity of arsenie was given to an infant, and it died in three boors and a quarter after the administration of the poison. At this age, the case can scarcely be called one of infanticide, in its medico-legal signification; because all that it would be necessary to prove would be the canse of death,—the question of life or live birth would not require to be entered into. If, in a case of child-murder, death from poison should be suspected, it must be sought for in the usual way.

Examination of the mother.—The duties of a medical witness, as they relate to the mother of the child, generally the accused party, are slight. All that he is required to do, is to show, by an examination made under an order from proper authority, whether or not she had been recently delivered of a child, and to state the probable period at which the delivery took place, (See post, Delivery.) This examination may be necessary in order to connect her delivery with the period which may have elapsed since the birth and death of the child. Unless the examination of the female be made within twelve or fifteen days, no satisfactory evidence of delivery can in general be obtained. It has happened, on more than one occasion, that medical men have assuncd to themselves the right of enforcing an examination of a suspected female, and by threats or otherwise, have compelled her to undergo this. Such a course of conduct is in the highest degree indeccut and improper: - if a female willingly consent to the examination, or an order be obtained from a magistrate or other official person, the case is different. In taking this authority upon himself, a medical practitioner is forcibly compelling an accused party to produce positive proof of her guilt, -a principle which is entirely opposed to the spirit of English jurisprudence !

Conclusions.—The following conclusions may be drawn from the preceding remarks:—

1. That congestion of the face and head, in a new-born child, is not a proof of death from strangulation.

2. That strangulation can only take place in children which have breathed.

3. That a child may be strangled during birth by the accidental twisting of the umbilical cord round its neck,

4. That the umbilical cord may produce a livid or ecchymosed depression on the neck, like any other ligature.

5. That marks on the neck, arising from accidental causes, may

resemble those which arise from strangulation.

6. That the effect of constriction on the neck, either by the umbilical cord or any other ligature, is the same if the child be living, whether it has or has not breathed.

7. That the effect is the same whether the child has been partially

or entirely born.

8. That the effect of a ligature on the neck of a living child is the same, whether the nmbilical cord has or has not been severed.

9. That a new-born child may die from strangulation, without this being necessarily indicated by ecchymosis on the neck. This depends on the nature of the ligature, and the amount of force used.

Summary. Frequent acquittals, in spite of medical evidence of criminality.—From the foregoing considerations it will be seen, that the two great points to be established by medical evidence, in a case of child-murder, are,-1st, that the child was entirely born living when the alleged violence was applied to it; and, 2d, that its death was due to that violence and to no other cause whatever. niency with which such cases are regarded by the law, and the extreme rigour with which the medical evidence of live-birth, as well as of the cause of death, is treated, must show that they who consider that the use of the hydrostatic test can ever lead to the conviction of an innocent woman, have taken a very limited and incorrect view of the subject. The question of murder rests here, as in all other cases, upon clear and undoubted proof of the cause of death :- and more than this, it must be shown that the violence was criminal, and not by any possibility accidental. Then it should be proved that this violence, if criminal, must have been applied to the body of a child at a particular period; i. e. after entire birth; a case which, from what has already been stated, can rarely admit of clear medical proof. If strangulation, for example, be rendered probable from the facts,—the woman cannot be convicted, unless proof be afforded,-lst, that the child was strangled after its entire hody was born :-- and, 2d, that she could not possibly have produced the marks of strangulation in her convulsive or half-conscious attempts at self-delivery. Medical evidence can rarely be in a condition to establish either of these points, and the assumptions will therefore be, as in the numerons cases already reported, in favour of the prisoner. A serious question will probably here suggest itself from the number of impossible proofs, so to term them, which the law requires in these cases, namely-How can a conviction for child-murder ever take place where there are no eye-witnesses to the crime? The answer is, that these difficulties may not be raised in the prisoner's favour; but this of course is a

matter of accident. On most charges of infanticide, if the counsel for the defence insisted upon distinct medical proof of the child having heen entirely born alive, when the violence was offered to it :- or that respiration, if clearly established by evidence, took place, not during labour, but after complete birth, or after the child had acquired an independent circulation; -neither of these proofs could be possibly afforded; and the case, so far as medical evidence was concerned. would fall to the ground. That this is not an exaggerated view of the subject, will be evident from the following case, tried at the Lancaster Lent Assizes, 1846 (Reg. v. Hacking). A female servant was charged with the murder of her infant child. The evidence went to prove that she had attempted to conceal her pregnancy. It was ascertained that she had been delivered of a child, and the medical evidence was to the effect, that its throat had been cut by some thin-bladed sharp instrument—a portion of the gullet and wind-pipe having been The prisoner stated that the child was born dead, and confessed that she had, as she believed, cut its throat with a peu-knife, which she had afterwards wiped, and put away. The weapon was found in her pocket. The medical witness deposed, that the child had certainly breathed, and he was inclined to think it probable that it had heen born alive. He admitted that a child may breathe when partially horn, and die hefore it is wholly born; also, that the appearance of the wound, whether inflicted before or immediately after death, would be very similar; and it was impossible, from the examination of it, to say whether the child had heen partially or wholly horn at the time of its infliction. The counsel for the prisoner contended that no evidence had heen adduced which could satisfy the jury that the child had been fully born alive;—a circumstance, without which the charge must fall to the ground. The jury acquitted the prisoner of the murder. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 382.)

In examining this case, it may he observed, that such a wound with a pen-knife was hardly likely to have been inflicted on the child by any accident, or for the purpose of aiding its expulsion during delivery. As the child had hreathed, it is absurd to suppose that the wonan waited until it had died from some other cause, of which there was no appearance; and that after death, without any conceivable motive, she cut out a portion of its throat. So far as the report goes, the acquittal appears to have depended on the allegation, that the child was destroyed before it was wholly horn; and although it had breathed, there was a want of evidence to show that this hreatking had continued after it was entirely in the world. (See also another case in the same vol. p. 1007.)

The frequent acquittals which take place on charges of childmurder, in spite of strong evidence of criminality, most prohably depend on the fact, that there are many extenuating circumstances in the prisoner's favour. She may he young, unfortunate, friendless, and perhaps tempted by a seducer, or hy utter destitution, to the perpetration of the crime. According to the present state of our law, the jury have no alternative, but to convict her of a capital offence, or acquit her of the charge of murder and find her guilty of the concealment of birth, the extreme punishment for which is two years' imprisonment. This is substantially the punishment at present admitted for the crime of infanticide in this country; for it is not to be concealed, that medically speaking, these technical points relative to "live birth," to "cntire birth," or to an "independent circulation in the child;" or lastly, "concealed birth," are only so many ingenions means for evading convictions on the capital charge. Whatever doubt may exist according to the forms and principles of law, there can be no doubt, medically, that living children are often criminally destroyed; and that the law, from the severity of the punishment attached in all cases to the crime, cannot reach the perpetrators. of these cases the punishment of death would be as much too severe, as the punishment of two years' imprisonment for "concealed birth" is too slight; and with a full contemplation of this difficulty, the Civil code of France (Art. 319) wisely permits the Court, on proof of extenuating circumstances, to mitigate the punishment. Some such provision is required in our law; and the unnecessary perplexities which are now thrown on medical evidence, as well as the conflicting opinions on what is live birth and what is not, would then disappear. A change of this kind might undoubtedly be made, without prejudice to the accused, or interference with the course of justice.

It is a question which it would be here out of place to discuss, whether a verdict of manslanghter might not be proper on many of these occasions; for to say that the whole offence consists in concealing the birth of a still-born child, is virtually to disbelieve and reject the clear and satisfactory medical evidence often adduced. A verdict of manslaughter would not, however, cover those numerous cases where it is assumed that the child only lived to respire during the act of birth, and not afterwards. Dr. Christison, in commenting upon the frequent acquittals on the capital charge, and convictions only on a minor offence, which cannot always be proved, attributes it to a feeling sometimes entertained in the present day, that the killing of a new-born child, when perpetrated under the impulse of injured honour and the fear of disgrace, should not be classed with the other varieties of murder. (See Ed. M. and S. J., xxvi. 76.) There can, I think, be no doubt that this is the true explanation. (See also, case by Mr. Coales, G. H. Rep. April, 1842.)

It may be mentioned, in concluding this subject, as the point has given rise to a trial for malapraxis, that if injuries should be criminally inflicted on a child during birth, and the child be born alive and afterwards die from the injuries so caused, the case would be murder or manslaughter, according to the circumstances. The following instance is reported by Chitty (Med. Jur. 416; also Archbold, 345):—A man of the name of Senior, who, it appears, was an unlicensed medical practitioner, was tried, in 1832, for the manslaughter of an

infant, by injuries inflicted on it at its birth. The prisoner practised midwifery, and was called on to attend the prosecutrix, who was taken in labour. The evidence showed, that when the head of the child presented, the prisoner, by some mismanagement, fractured and otherwise so injured the eranium, that it died immediately after it was born. It was argued in defence, that as the child was not boru (in ventre sa mère) at the time the wounds and injuries were inflicted, the prisoner could not be guilty of mauslaughter. The judge, however, held that as the child was born alive and died, the case might be This opinion was afterwards confirmed by the one of manslaughter. other judges, and the prisoner was convicted and sentenced to imprisoument. From the decision in this case, it will be seen that the law makes the question of criminality to depend upon the period at which the injuries prove futal, and not upon the time at which they are inflicted on the body of a child. The distinction appears to depend on this principle of the criminal law, that the person killed must be a reasonable creature in being and under the king's peace: - therefore to kill a child in its mother's womb, is no murder. (Archbold, 345.) The child, unless born alive, does not come under the description above given. Admitting the wisdom of adopting some fixed rule of this kind in a legal view, it is undoubtedly proper that the lives of children in the act of birth should be protected; -at any rate, that their destruction should not be treated, as it now appears to be, with perfect inpunity.

If a child be born alive, as a result of criminal abortion, and die, not from any violence applied to its body, but as an effect of its being immature, this will be sufficient to render the party causing the abortion indictable for murder.

It is difficult to determine the number of cases of infanticide which take place annually in this country. But in France, where criminal statistics are more closely attended to, there were, in 1838, one hundred and twenty-nine cases; and in 1841, one hundred and forty-seven cases. (See Annales d'Hygiène, Oct. 1840.)

PREGNANCY.

CHAPTER XLVIII.

PREGNANCY IN ITS LEGAL RELATIONS—CASES OF RARE OCCURRENCE
—SIGNS OF PREGNANCY—SUPPRESSION OF THE MENSES—PROMINENCEOF THE ABDOMEN—CHANGES IN THE BREASTS—QUICKENING
—UNCERTAINTY OF THE PERIOD AT WHICH IT OCCURS—SOUNDS OF
THE FŒTAL HEART—KIESTEIN IN THE URINE—CHANGES IN THE
OS AND CERVIX UTERI—TOUCHER—FEIGNED PREGNANCY—DE
VENTRE INSPICIENDO—PLEA OF PREGNANCY IN BAB OF EXECUTION
—THE JURY OF MATRONS AND THEIR MISTAKES—CONCEALMENT
OF PREGNANCY A CRIME IN THE SCOTCH LAW—PREGNANCY IN
THE DEAD—PREGNANCY IN A STATE OF UNCONSCIOUSNESS.

Pregnancy.—Legal relations.—The subject of pregnancy, in so far as the proofs of this condition in the living female are concerned, very rarely demands the attention of a medical jurist. Some exception has been taken to this opinion, but having now for a considerable period collected some hundreds of cases, which have been the subject of inquisition or trial in England and Wales, I find that there are but very few in the whole collection, in which the signs of pregnancy became a matter of evidence; and in some of these, the fact of pregnancy was actually referred to the decision of a jury of women, and not to a medical man! In relation to medical practice or to midwifery, the subject is undoubtedly one, the importance of which cannot be overrated; but this is entirely foreign to the object of this work, which is solely that of examining medical subjects in their strictly legal rela-The remarks here made are therefore brief, and for additional information on the subject, I must refer the reader to an excellent article by Dr. Montgomery, in the Cyclopædia of Practical Medicine; and to the standard works on Midwifery. If we except the very few instances, in which a magistrate requires an opinion from a medical man respecting the pregnancy of a pauper female brought before him there are only two cases in the English law in which pregnancy requires to be verified; and these so seldom present themselves, that the questions connected with the pregnant state, rather belong to the science, than the practice of medical jurisprudence.

SIGNS OF PREGNANCY.

Suppression of the menses.—It is well known that in the greater

number of healthy females, so soon as conception has taken place, this secretion is arrested. But there are certain abnormal conditions, which must not be overlooked. There are some cases recorded which show that women, in whom the menses have never appeared, may hecome pregnant. This, however, is allowed by all acconcheurs to be rare: and when it occurs, which we may readily learn from the account of the female, it will be proper to search for other signs in order to determine the question of pregnancy. Irregularity as to period at which the secretion takes place, is very common among females. This irregularity may depend either upon the age of the party, or upon disease, either of which causes it will not be difficult to recognize. It is well known that there are nunerous disorders of the uterus under which, irrespective of pregnancy, the menses may become suppressed. absence of the menstrual discharge as a consequence of pregnancy, is generally indicated by the good health which the female enjoys: -and, although disease may coincide with pregnancy, yet an acute practitioner will he able to estimate from the symptoms, to what cause the suppression is due.

On the other hand, a discharge perfectly analogous to the menstrual, sometimes manifests itself, not merely for several periods in a pregnant woman, but during the whole course of preguancy. (Dr. Murphy's Obstetric Report, 1844, p. 9: also, Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A., 1844, 265.) Mr. Whitehead has collected seven well-marked eases of menstruation during pregnancy. (On Abortion, 218.) These facts show that we must be cautious in our diagnosis; and not declare, that, because a discharge continues, pregnancy cannot possibly exist: or that

because it is suppressed, the female must be pregnant.

Feigned menstruatio.—The menses may be really suppressed; but if there be any strong motive for the conecalment of her condition, the female may feign menstruation. Dr. Montgomery detected a case of this kind, hy an examination of the arcolæ of the hreasts. The woman had stained her linen with blood, in order to make it appear that the menses continued; but she subsequently admitted that this was an imposition. It has been stated that there are differences between menstrual and ordinary blood, hut there are no certain chemical means of distinguishing them. (See Bloodstains, ante, p. 281.)

Prominence of the abdomen.—A, gradual and progressive enlargement of this cavity, is one of the most well-marked consequences of pregnancy. The skin hecomes stretched, and the navel almost obliterated. The enlargement in general begins to he obvious about the third month, although there are some females of peculiar organization, in whom the enlargement may not become perceptible until the fifth or sixth month, or even later; but still it is to be detected. In fact, this sign can never be absent in pregnancy, although it may not be so apparent in some females as in others. The objection which exists to it is, that numerous morbid canses may give rise to prominence of the abdomen. This is undoubtedly the fact,—as we have occasion to witness

in ascites, ovarian dropsy, or in amenorrhea, - diseases which, in several instances, have been mistaken for pregnancy by eminent practitioners. On the other hand, instances are not wanting, in which, owing to the persistence of menstruction, and the absence of quickening, the gravid uterus has been actually tapped, by mistake, for an ovarian tumor: the operation being speedily followed by the birth of a full grown child! (See Whitehead on Abortion, p. 186;) but the history of the case will in general enable the practitioner to form a diagnosis. (An interesting case of amenorrhoea strongly simulating pregnancy, is reported by Dr. Rüttel, Henke Zeitschrift, 1844, 240.) The enlargement may be owing to disease when it has been observed by the female for a time longer than the whole period of gestation: it may have been accompanied by a generally diseased condition of the system, and an absence of the other symptoms of pregnancy. The most embarrassing cases are inquestionably those, in which abdominal disease coexists with pregnancy. Here time alone can solve the question, and the medical jurist should give the benefit of his doubt to the side of chastity, mercy, and humanity. (Ou au important case in which an abdominal tumor was mistaken for pregnancy, see Lancet, Oct. 16, 1847, p. 408.)

While the abdomen enlarges from pregnancy, the margins of the abdominal muscles become more clearly defined. The unbilicus is less depressed, and gradually acquires the level of the surrounding skin. As pregnancy advances, it becomes more prominent, and in the last mouth it assumes the character of a tumor, instead of a depression.

(Whitehead, loc. cit., 209.)

A change in the breasts.—These organs in the pregnant female become full and prominent, and the arcolæ around the nipples undergo changes which Dr. Montgomery, and others, regard as highly characteristic of the pregnant state. A mere fulness or pain in the breasts, and even in some rare instances, the sceretion of milk, may arise from other causes than pregnancy. Severe uterine irritation may cause the breasts to become painful and swollen. The fulness of the breasts from pregnancy, is not commonly observable until about the second or third month; and with regard to the secretion of milk, in nou-pregnant females,—the few rare cases of its occurrence on record, show that it takes place under circumstances, which cannot well be mistaken for the pregnant condition. (See Henke Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, 269.)

The areola is generally observed, during pregnancy, to become considerably darker in colour, and larger in diameter. The skiu of which the areola is formed, becomes soft, moist, and slightly tunnid. The little glandular follicles about it are prominent, and often bedewed with a secretion:—among these changes, that of colour has been the most attended to. They are commonly well marked in from the second to the fourth month of preguancy,—the intensity of colour being the last condition of the areola to appear. The prominence of the glandular follicles does not always exist in pregnancy; and the areola may

become large and dark-coloured, from other causes: consequently, these signs are only to be looked upon as corroborative. In females of dark complexion, the arcolæ are dark, irrespective of pregnancy; and in some cases of advanced pregnancy, these changes in the arcolæ are entirely absent. (Ed. Month. Jour., March 1848, 693.) Dr. Montgomery has recently described as a sign of pregnancy, the existence of a brown line extending from the pubes to the umbilicus, especially in females of dark complexion, and a dark-coloured but not raised arcola of ahout a quarter of an inch in breadth, around the umbilicus.

Quickening.—The signs above given, are applicable to the early as well as to the late stages of utero-gestation: but that which we have here to consider, is one which is rarely manifested until about the fourth or fifth month. Quickening is the name applied to peculiar sensations experienced by a female about this stage of pregnaocy. toms are popularly ascribed to the first perception of the motions of the feetns, which occur when the uterus begins to rise out of the pelvis; and to this change of position the sensation is perhaps really due. The motions of the factus are perceptible to the mother before they are made evident by an external examination. The term is derived from the old Saxon word "quick," signifying living, as, at the time when medicine was in its infancy, it was considered that the fœtus only received vitality when the mother experienced the sensation of its motions! On the occurrence of quickening, there is generally great disturbance of the system: -indicated by syncope, nausea, and other distressing symptoms. After a short time the female recovers, and if sickness has hitherto attended the pregnant state, it has been frequently observed to disappear when the period of quickening is past.

No evidence but that of the female, can satisfactorily establish the fact of quickening, and this it is important to bear in mind; since, in some cases in which pregnancy becomes un object of medico-legal interest, proof of quickening is demanded by the law. The discovery of the motions of the child by an examiner, is really a proof that the usual period of quickening is past, but their non-discovery, at the time of examination, is no proof whatever that the woman has not quickened; since the motious are by uo means constant, and may be accidentally suspended even at several successive examinations. Besides, cases every now and then occur, in which well-formed, healthy females, do not experience the sensation of quickening during the whole course of pregnancy: and what is of more importance, the motions of the child may be at no time perceptible to the examiner. The uncertainty of quickening, as a sign of pregnancy, is too well known to require more than adverting to. Females have been known to mistake other scusations for it, and in the end it has been proved that they were not pregnant. A woman may also declare that she has felt quickening when she has not: and unless the motions of the child be perceived by the examiner at the time, how is he to disprove her statement? ing, then, (so far as it concerns the statement of the female) cannot be relied on as a proof of pregnancy: but if the motions of the child can be felt by the examiner through the abdominal parietes, this is clear evidence, not only of the woman being pregnant, but of her

having passed the period of quickening.

We have now to consider the period of pregnancy at which this symptom ordinarily occurs. Our law seems to jufer, that it is a constant, uniform, and well-marked distinction of the pregnant state; and in some instances insists upon proof accordingly. Taking the general experience of accoucheurs, quickening happens from the tenth to the twenty-fifth week of pregnancy; but the greater number of instances occur between the twelfth and sixteenth week; or between the fourteenth and eighteenth week after the last menstrua-It is a popular opinion that quickening takes place exactly at the end of four calcudar mouths and a half: but it mostly occurs two or three weeks earlier than this period. Many females estimate that they are four months advanced in pregnancy when they quicken: but this mode of calculation is open to numerous fallacies. Dr. Rodrique knew a lady who invariably quickened at two mouths, and went full seven months after, with all her children,—five in number. (Amer. Jonr. Med. Sci. Oct. 1845, p. 339.)

From these observations, it will be seen that an examiner may sometimes detect the motions of the child about the third or fourth month,—at others not until the lifth or sixth;—and in other instances not at all, throughout pregnancy. Even in those cases, in which the motions of the child bave indisputably existed, they are not always to be perceived: hence several examinations should be resorted to, before an opinion is expressed from their absence. In making these examinations, the diagnosis is often facilitated, by previously immersing the hand in cold water, and then suddenly applying it to the abdomen. When the motions of the child are distinctly perceived through the parietes of the abdomen, they constitute a certain sign of pregnancy, but their non-discovery at a particular time is no proof that the female is not pregnant. The jury of matrons probably trust to this sign: benee their verdicts commonly turn out to be erroneous.

Sounds of the fietal heart.—Another sign is that which is derived from anscultation. By the application of the ear, or a stethoscope, to the abdonnen, at about the fifth month of pregnaucy, rarely earlier, the pulsations of the feetal heart may be recognized and counted. These pulsations are not synchronous with those in the arteries of the mother: they are much more rapid, and thus it is impossible to mistake them. Their frequency, according to Dr. Hope, is in an inverse ratio to the stage of gestation, being 160 at the fifth, and 120 at the ninth month. This sign, when present (like the motions of the child), not only establishes the fact of pregnancy beyond all dispute, but shows that the child is living. The sound of the feetal heart is, however, not always perceptible:—when the child is dead, of course it will not be met with: but its absence is no proof of the death of the child,

because the hearing of the pulsations by an examiner, will depend very much upon the position of the body, the quantity of liquor amnii, and other eircumstances. Thus it may be distinctly heard at one time, and not at another. It may be abseat for a week or fortnight; and then will reappear: -so that, although its presence affords the strongest nffirmative evidence, its absence furnishes very nucertain negative evidence; and several examinations must be made in the latter case, before an opinion is drawn. The earliest time at which the pulsations may be heard has been stated to be about the fifth month: but they will be best heard between the sixth and eighth. The reason why the sound is not always perceived, is owing not only to changes in the position of the child, but to the vibrations having to traverse the liquor amnii and the soft parietes of the abdomen. The point of the abdomen, where the sound can be best heard, is in the centre of a line drawn from the undificus to the anterior inferior spinous process of the ilium oa either side-perhaps most commonly on the right. When elearly detected, it is the most unequivocal sign of the pregnant state.

Besides the sound of the fætal heart, anscultation has led to the discovery of what is called the placental murmur. This sound is more

likely to create fallacy than that of the feetal heart.

Kiestein in the urine .- A substance called Kiestein bas been found in the urine of pregnant females. It appears as a fatty iridescent pellicle on the surface of the urine about twenty-four hours after it has been There are various opinions concerning the nature of this substance, some regarding it as a mixture of cascin and oil with earthy phosphates, (Dr. Bird, G. H. Rep. April 1840, p. 26,) and others as a modification of albumen, (L'Heritier, Chimie Pathologique, 483). From the late researches of Dr. Möller, its presence in the urine is subject to so much uncertainty, as to render it wholly unfitted to serve in medical jurisprudence as a diagnostic character of pregnancy (Casper's Wochenschrift, ii. 1845, S. 21). Dr. Mickschick has arrived at the same conclusion (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 264). Mr. Kane obtained kiestein in equally great quantity from the nrine of a virgin aged fourteen, and that of a woman who had nursed for two months (Whitehead on Abortion, 231.) Golding, however, entertains a high opinion of its value as a sign of pregnaacy in its earlier stages when the other signs are obscure. According to this gentleman, it is present in the urine at all periods of pregnancy-it is identical with milk in a crude form, and is to be regarded as a secretion of the mammary glands (Obstetric Record, 3, 45). Dr. Rees has detected in it milk-globules, and considers it to be caseous matter altered by passing through the kidney (Anal. of Blood and Urine, 217).

In reference to the above signs, it may be observed, that if the motions of the child or sounds of the heart be perceptible, no other evidence of pregnancy need be sought for. The mere suppression of the menses;—prominence of the abdomen and fulness of the mamme,

cannot alone establish the fact, hut, unless the morbid causes of these abnormal states of the system be clearly and satisfactorily obvious to the examiner, it is a fair presumption that the female is pregnant. In every case where a doubt exists, we should require sufficient time for a clear diagnosis.

Changes in the os and cervix nteri.—The signs hitherto meutioned are chiefly relied on in medical practice; but it must be remembered that no case can possibly occur in civil or criminal jurisprudence, in which it will not be in the power of a medical witness to make au examination of the female. He may then form a safe diagnosis from the changes which take place in the cervix nteri, and from the sensation imparted to the finger by the prescuce of a rounded body (like the fætus) floating in a liquid, when an impulse is given to the uterus from below. Up to the lifth or sixth month of pregnancy, the neck of the uterus may be commonly felt projecting into the vagina; it is of its usual length, hard and firm,—after that period the uterus rises into the pelvis, and the neck becomes spread out, shorter and softer, the aperture increasing in size and becoming rounder. Towards the end of gestation, the neck of the uterus appears to be lost, becoming like a thin memhrane, and sometimes no aperture can he felt.

A well-marked test of pregnancy is the motion perceptible to the finger ou giving a sudden impulse to the neck of the uterus. Capuron calls this the touchstone in the diagnosis of the pregnant state:—without it, he considers, the medical jurist may be easily deceived. To this passive motion of the child, the name of ballottement is given. It cannot he easily determined before the fifth or sixth month; but after the latter period, especially as pregnancy becomes advanced, it is always available. In the French schools, the method of applying the toucher and ballottement to pregnant females is systematically taught,

and by a little practice it may be easily acquired.

As most of these signs refer to an advanced stage, a witness may he asked what are the unequivocal indications of pregnancy before the fifth or sixth month? The answer to this question is of little moment to the medical jurist, since he is rarely required to give an opinion under these circumstances. In all legal cases, when preguancy is alleged or suspected, it is the practice for the judge or magistrate, on a representation being made by the medical witness, to postpone the decision one, two, or three months, according to the time required for obtaining certain evidence. This evidence will consist in plainly distinguishing a rounded hody floating freely in the uterus, us well as the motions of a fectus. The most experienced men agree that hefore the sixth month, the changes in the cervix and os uteri are of themselves too uncertain to enable an examiner to form a safe diagnosis; and à fortiori it is impossible to trust to external signs. Mr. Whitehead dissents from this view, and considers that a specular examination of the os is not only more satisfactory than any other mode of exploration, but that it will enable a person to determine with certainty the existence of pregnancy during its earlier stages—from a few days after conception to the middle or cud of the fourth month, when auscultation first becomes available. In the fourth week the labia of the os at the centre of their margins, become permanently separated to the extent of one or two lines; and the os tineze itself, which was before a mere chink with parallel boundaries, forms an elliptical, or sometimes rounded aperture, which is occupied by a deposit of transparent, gelatinous mucus. At six or eight weeks it becomes decidedly oval or irregularly circular, with a puckered or indented boundary, baying a relaxed and lobulated character. The whole circumference of the cervix is enlarged, and the commissures or angles of the os tiucæ are obliterated. The os continues of this irregular form throughout the whole period of gestation; hat from the period of quickening to the end of the seventh month, the progressive changes are not so marked as to form a guide for determining the period of pregnancy. (On Abortion, 204.) This condition of the os uteri must not be confounded with its menstrual state in the early stages, nor with a diseased state in the latter stage of gestation.

Feigned prequancy.—Pregnancy is sometimes feigned or simulated for the purpose of extorting charity, of obtaining a settlement in a parish, or of compelling marriage; but it is searcely necessary to observe, that the imposture may be easily detected by a well-informed practitioner, since the woman always feigns an advanced stage of pregnancy. Although she may state that she has some of the symptoms depending upon pregnancy (and, unless she bas already borne children, she will not be able to resist a cross-examination even respecting these), yet it is not possible for her to simulate without detection a prominence of the abdomen or the state of the mamme. If she submit to an examination, the imposition must be detected: if she do not, the inference will be that she is an impostress. Pregnancy may be feigned by a female in order to avoid being sent by a magistrate's order to a distant parish, or to escape the punishment of hard labour, to which she may have been sentenced. If in the latter case the slightest doubt should exist whether the female be really pregnant or not, an affirmative opiniou should be given, at least for a time, since very great mischief might result by taking an opposite course.

In civil cases of feigned pregnancy, an examination should always be insisted on, or the reputation of a practitioner may suffer by his giving a hasty opinion on the subject. In this respect the case of Devonald v. Hope, Q. B., December 1838, is of some interest. A medical man having given an opinion that a female patient was pregnant, subsequently brought an action against ber for medical attendance. It turned out, however, that she was not pregnant, and that there were no satisfactory medical grounds upon which his opinion was based. The plaintiff complained of having been deceived by the female as to her condition; but it is obviously in the power of every medical man to prevent such a deception being practised on him. An

external examination only, will not suffice either to affirm or negative, the allegation of pregnancy, except where it is stated to be far advanced. For a singular case in which, on a charge of assault, evidence of this kind was tendered, see Med. Gaz. xxvi. p. 1083, 1169. On the fallacy of the signs of pregnancy, and the simulation of this state, see a paper by M. Tardicu, Ann. d'Ilyg. Oct. 1845, p. 429; also 1846, i. 83.

De ventre inspiciendo.—One of the cases in the English law, in which pregnancy requires to be verified, is of a civil nature. It is in relation to the Chancery writ "de ventre inspiciendo." A woman may assert that she is pregnant at the time of her hushand's death, and the heir-at-law may sue out a writ to require some proof of her alleged pregnancy, as his right to the estate of which the hushand died possessed, may be materially affected by the result. Until within a recent period, the decision of the question of pregnancy was left to twelve matrons and twelve respectable men, according to the strict terms of the ancient writ; hut in one of the most recent cases, it was considered advisable to depart from this absurd custom, and to place the decision

in the hands of medical practitioners.

In May 1835, a gentleman named Fox died, leaving a widow, to whom he had not been married more than six weeks. By his will, made some months hefore his death, he left the great bulk of his property to the use of Ann Bakewell, spiuster, for the term of her natural life, so long as she remained sole and unmarried; and after her decease or marriage, to one John Marstou. Soon after the making of the will, this Ann Bakewell became the wife, and subsequently the widow of Mr. Fox. Notwithstanding that she had married the testator himself, the plaintiff Marston elaimed the property from the widow. on the ground of her having infringed the terms of the will by her marriage with the testator! She pleaded pregnancy, and in August 1835, the writ "de ventre inspiciendo" was sued out of Chancery by Some discussion took place in Court as to whether the writ should be issued in its original indelicate form or not: i. e., whether the female should undergo examination by the sheriff, assisted by twelve matrous and twelve respectable men! The widow petitioned the Court not to issue the writ; and put in an affidavit from her ordinary medical attendant, to the effect that she was pregnant and too weak to undergo the proposed examination. Ultimately it was decided that two matrons, with a medical man on each side, should visit Mrs. Fox once a fortnight until her delivery. There was no doubt of her pregnancy, and she was delivered at the due time, to the great disappointment of the residuary legatee. (See Med. Gaz. xvi. 697; xvii. 191.) The nature of this judicial examination will be understood by quoting the terms of the writ addressed to the sheriff. "In propria persona tua accedas ad præfatam R. et eam coram præfatis videri et diligenter examinari et tractari facias per ubera et ventrem omnibus modis quihus melius ecrtiorari poteris utrum impregnata sit neene." brevium. There can of course be no difficulty in forming an opinion

in such a case, provided the pregnancy be at all advanced. It is, however, not a little singular, that in the present day, any attempt should be made to apply the fendal customs of a rude and barbarous age, to the determination of questions which belong exclusively to an advanced state of medical science.

Plea of pregnancy in bar of execution.—The second case in which pregnancy requires to be verified in English law, is in relation to criminal jurisprudence. When a woman is capitally convicted, she may plead pregnancy in bar of execution. The judge will then direct a jury of twelve married women, "de circumstantibus," to be empanelled, and sworn to try in the words of the law, "whether the prisoner be with child of a quick child or not." If they find her quick with child, she is respited; otherwise the sentence will take effect. In admitting the humanity of the principle by which a pregnant woman is respited until after delivery, there are two serious objections to the practice of the common law, whereby it is made to fall far short of what, in a civilized country, society has a right to expect from it: these are, 1, that the question of pregnancy is allowed to be determined by a jury of ignorant women accidentally present in Court; and 2d. that the respite is made to depend, not upon proof of pregnancy, but upon the fact of the woman having quickened! This sign of the pregnant state (ante, p. 514) has been known to occur so early as the third, and so late as the sixth month; some females have even reached the seventh month without observing it: hence, the infliction of capital punishmeat, under these circumstances, becomes a matter of accident. Quickening is a sign not easily established, except by extorting a confession from the female; and this is the only possible way in which, in a doubtful ease, the question could be determined by the jury of matrons. They commonly trust to feeling the motions of the child externally, but this at particular times is a purely accidental circumstance. It must be obvious on the least reflection, that the means resorted to by the English law to determine such a question are bad, and quite unfitted for the present state of society. Several modern eases show that a jury of matrons may be very easily deceived with respect to this sign of pregnancy. In the case of Rex v. Wright, the prisoner was found guilty of the murder of her husband by poison. She pleaded The judge empanelled a jury of pregnancy in bar of execution. matrons; and they, after a form of examination had been gone through, brought in a verdiet of not quick with child. woman would have been executed, had not several medical practitioners of Norwich represented to the judge, that the method taken to determine pregnancy and quickening, was so unsatisfactory, that no reliance should be placed upon it. The prisoner was then examined by some medical men, and was found to have passed the usual period of quickening! The judge respited the prisoner, and the correctness of the medical opinion was confirmed by the female being delivered, within four months afterwards, of a healthy full-grown

(See Med. Gaz. xii. 22, 585; Rer v. Wright, Norwich Lent Assizes, 1832.) In a case tried in March 1'38, a woman was convicted of murder, and pleaded pregnancy. A medical opiniou was hero required. The pregnancy, if it existed, had so little advanced, that the practitioner was unable to give a satisfactory report; and the judge respited the prisoner for a mouth, in order that the witness might have full opportunity to ascertain the fact. Still the jury of matrous is occasionally resorted to. Thus in the case of Reg. v. Westwood, (Stafford Winter Assizes, 1843,) the matrons were summoned to examine a female capitally convicted, and they negatived the plea! It is not a little remarkable that, although in so many cases the matrons bave given a wrong verdict, and that in no instance can they give a right one except as a matter of pure conjecture, the practice still continues. Thus this antiquated system was again revived at the Central Criminal Court, in 1847. (Reg. v. Hunt, September 1847.) This woman was convicted of murder: she pleaded pregnancy, and the matrons were empanelled and directed to use "their best skill" to determine whether the prisoner "was big with a quick child or not." It was left to their option to have the assistance of a surgeon. half au hour they returned a verdict that "she had not a living child within her." The law was directed to take its course; and the woman would have been executed, but for the interference of the Secretary of He directed that the prisoner should be examined by competent medical men, who ascertained that she was really pregnant, and had passed that stage at which quickening is most commonly perceived. She was therefore respited, and the error in the verdict of the matrons, was clearly proved by the birth of a child on the 28th December!

It is nunecessary in the present day to discuss the question, whether until the period of quickening, the child be or be not "pars viscerum matris." The vulgar opinion is, that the focus only receives life when the woman quickens: but the law should not base its decisious in reference to capital punishment, upou vulgar opinious. As ovum, embryo and focus, the contents of the uterus are as much endowed with special and independent vitality in the earlier, as in the later periods of gestation. It is, then, absurd to fix upon an accidental and uncertain symptom, occasionally felt by the pregnant female, as the point at which elemency may be shown. The bare proof of prepnancy as in the law of France (Art. xxvii. of the Penal Code) should be sufficient to antborize a suspension of the sentence. The doctrine of quickening has been abandoned in relation to the law of criminal abortion; and there is still greater reason for its immediate abolition in reference to pregnant females capitally convicted.

This change would, however, be attended with but little benefit, if the decision of the question of pregnancy were still to remain in the hands of "matrons." The record of their mistakes sufficiently establishes the correctness of this view: for if they are unable to recognize the pregnant state at the fifth month, they cannot fail to be mistaken in their verdiets at earlier periods. It is, moreover, an extraordinary circumstance, that when married women advanced in pregnancy are themselves continually deceived, and are obliged to consult medical men respecting their condition, they should be specially selected by the law as the persons best qualified to pronounce an opinion upon the pregnancy of a female, in a case involving the infliction of capital punishment. It would be considered inhumante to execute knowingly a pregnant woman, but the imputation of inhumanity is not the less deserved by a law, which virtually leaves the issue in the hands of ignorant and incompetent persons. The Americans are certainly in advance of us in their legislation on this subject. Thus by the revised statutes of New York, when pregnancy is pleaded in bar of execution, it is enacted that the sheriff shall summon a jury of six physicians, and shall give notice to the district attoracy, who shall have power to subpona witnesses.

These are, I believe, the only two cases in which pregnancy has any direct relation to medical jurisprudence; and it is remarkable, that with respect to them, the law of England has expressly provided that they should be left to the decision of non-medical persons! The following conclusions may therefore be drawn:—1. That the cases in which the signs of pregnancy become a subject of legal inquiry in England are exceedingly rare:—2. That there is no case in English law, in which the medical man will not have an opportunity of performing an examination per vaginam:—3. That a medical opinion is never required by English law-authorities, until the pregnancy is so far advanced as to render its acteetion certain. Hence discussions concerning arcolæ, the coadition of the breasts, the presence of kiestein in the nrine, &c. are really uninportant to the medical jurist.

Concealment of pregnancy.—According to the law of Scotland, proof of pregnancy is required in charges of concealed pregnancy, under the 49th Geo. III. c. xiv. This has rendered it necessary to establish this condition by the more common and outward signs, derived from the appearance of the woman's person,-i. e. by the arcolæ around the nipples, the presence of milk in the breasts, &c.; but the Scotch juries wisely appear to place so little confidence in these signs, that in the only two cases quoted by Alison, (Smith, 1761; Ferguson, 1809,) they ucquitted the females. (Criminal Law of Scotland, 154.) These casual and uncertain signs of pregnancy may be therefore of more importance in Scotch than in English practice. editor of a respectable Scotch journal, (Dr. Seller, Northern Journal of Medicine, August 1845,) who did me the favour to notice the first edition of this work, appears to have entirely forgotten that there are any differences between the laws of Scotland and England in this resnect; for he expresses perfect astonishment at the statement made by me, that (the signs of) pregaancy rarely demanded the attention of a medical jurist. The facts above meutioned appear to me to establish the correctness of this statement with respect to England: and that, so eminent a writer on the Criminal Law of Scotland as Alison, was

obliged, in 1832, to go back seventy years for a case in illustration, does not favour the view that questions connected with this subject, are either frequently raised, or when raised, create much difficulty in that country.

Pregnancy in a state of unconsciousness.—It was formerly a question whether a woman could become pregnant without her knowledge. This may undoubtedly happen, where intercourse has taken place during profound sleep (lethargy,) or where a female has been thrown into this state by narcotic drugs. But it is very difficult to admit that any woman should remain pregnant up to the time of her delivery, without being conscious of her condition, if the intercourse took place during the waking state. A woman endowed with ordinary intellect, could not avoid suspecting her condition after the fourth or fifth month: and this alone would be sufficient to induce her to seek advice whereby the fact would become known to her. When a woman is impregnated in a lethargic state, it is very unlikely that she should go beyond the sixth month without being fully aware of her pregnancy, as a female with innocent motives would undoubtedly make some communication to her friends. Capuron mentions a case of this kind, where the fact of pregnancy was first ascertained at the end of the fourth month, by the female having complained to one of her sisters of a strange sensation which she experienced in the lower part of her (Méd. Lég. des Accouchemens, 86.) In a case related by Mr. Skey, a young female who had intercourse knowingly, was not aware of her pregnancy until the seventh month. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. p. 212.) It is quite possible that women who are living in connubial intercourse may become pregnant without being conscious of it. Rittel mentions the case of a female, act. 41, who had been married upwards of sixteen years, and who while returning from a neighbouring village was suddenly delivered of her first child, when she had only a few days before been complaining that she was not likely to have any children. The child was born living and mature. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, 264.) Many of these cases of alleged unconsciousness of intercourse and pregnancy are, however, quite unworthy of belief.

Pregnancy in the dead.—I am not aware of any case in law, wherein the fact of pregnancy requires to be verified after the death of a female. The discovery of an embryo or fectus in the uterus, would of course at once solve the question, when the necessity for an examination occurred. If the woman had obviously been pregnent and the child was no longer in the womb, then several medico-legal questions may arise in reference to delivery.

DELIVERY.

CHAPTER XLIX.

DELIVERY IN ITS LEGAL RELATIONS-DELIVERY IN THE LIVINO-CONCEALED DELIVERY - ABORTION IN THE EARLY STACES OF PREGNANCY-THE SIGNS SPEEDILY DISAPPEAR-EARLY EXAMI-NATIONS—SIGNS OF RECENT DELIVERY IN ADVANCED PRECNANCY -EVIDENCE FROM THE SKIN OF THE ABDOMEN-THE ORGANS OF GENERATION-THE PRESENCE OF THE LOCHIA-SIGNS OF DELI-VERY AT A REMOTE PERIOD-FEIONED DELIVERY-DELIVERY IN A STATE OF UNCONSCIOUSNESS-CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THIS MAY OCCUR-NATURAL AND MORBID SLEEP-ADMISSION OF THE PLEA IN CASES OF ALLEGED CHILD-MURDER-SIGNS OF DELI-VERY IN THE DEAD-APPEARANCES OF THE INTERNAL ORGANS IN CASES OF RECENT DELIVERY-THEIR RAPID OBLITERATION-TRUE AND FALSE CORPORA LUTEA-FALLACIES TO WHICH THEY GIVE RISE - EXAMINATION OF THE OVUM OR EMBRYO - ITS CHARACTERS FROM THE FIRST TO THE SIXTH MONTH-ABORTION OF MOLES AND HYDATIDS. MEDICO-LEGAL CASES.

Legal relations.—Delivery is a subject which much more frequently requires medico-legal intervention than pregnancy. It will be sufficient to state, that the concealment of birth,—the crimes of abortion and infanticide, with questions relative to supposititions children, are closely dependent on the proof of parturition. This subject will admit of being considered under two heads :- 1. As it relates to delivery in the living; -2. As it relates to delivery in the dead. In undertaking the investigation, we ought, if possible, to ascertain, either from the female herself or from those around her, whether there was reason to suspect that she had been pregnant. If we can acquire any knowledge on this point, it will materially facilitate our inquiry: but this is not always possible. It has generally happened, that previons pregnancy has been so concealed, that few who saw the woman suspected her condition: then again, as the admission of her delivery by a living female, would be the strongest proof of her criminality, she will perhaps resolutely deny it; and a medical practitioner has no right to extort this admission from her. From this it will be seen.

that a medical witness must often be prepared to prove the fact of delivery, against the subject of the criminal charge.

Delivery in the living. Concealed delivery.— The signs of delivery in the living female, will vary materially, according to the time at which that event has taken place. In common language, if the contents of the uterus be expelled before the sixth mouth, the woman is said to miscarry, or to have an abortion: if after the sixth month, she is said to have a premature labour. The law does not admit any such distinction: the expulsion of the ovum, feetus, or child by criminal violence, at any period of ntero-gestation, is regarded as a miscarriage or abortion. It will therefore be proper, in treating this subject, to commence with the carliest period at which the contents of the uterus may be expelled, and to make no artificial distinction between the signs of abortion and delivery.

It has been well observed, that the signs of delivery are indistinct in proportion to the immaturity of the ovum. Thus, when it takes place at the second or third month, there are searcely any proofs which can be derived from an examination of the female. All the ordinary signs of delivery at the full period will be absent,—the development of the embryo not having been sufficient to cause any prominence in the abdomen, or to give rise to those changes in the system which take place previously to the birth of a mature child, e.g. enlargement of the mamme, and dilatation of the os uteri. Abortion at this period (the second or third mouth), is generally accompanied by a loss of blood, which may manifest itself by its effects on the body. This, however, can only give rise to a suspicion. At a later period of gestation there may be a discharge resembling the lochin, and the os uteri may be found enlarged and soft; but from the small size of the feetns, the outlet will present no positive evidence of delivery. The quantity of blood lost may be greater, and may have a more decided effect on the system. Of course, if the ovum or feetus be found, then the presumption of abortion is strongly supported: but those females who designedly conceal their condition, will commonly take effectual means to prevent the examiner from obtaining evidence of this kind.

These remarks relative to the state of the female, apply to an examination made recently after the abortion. If any delay take place (and this is a very common occurrence), even the ambiguous signs which have been mentioned, speedily disappear; so that after a period, which is short in proportion to the carliness of the expulsion, no traces whatever will be discovered. Dr. Montgomery met with a case, in which abortion took place with considerable hæmorrhage at the close of the second month. Twenty-four hours afterwards the os and cervix uteri were almost completely restored to their natural state. The vagina and external parts were hardly, if at all dilated, and very little relaxed; the breasts exhibited, very imperfectly, the appearances which accompany pregnancy, the ordinary sympathetic symptoms of which had been almost entirely absent. (Cyc. Pr. Mcd.

504; Devergic, i. 682.) In such a case as this.—and for such cases the medical jurist must be prepared,—scarcely a presumption could have been entertained of the fact of delivery. After twentyfour or thirty-six hours, in the greater number of cases of early abortion, we may expect to find, by a personal examination of the female. no proofs whatever of this event.

In order to determine the signs of a miscarriage, as it is termed by our law, at an advanced period of gestation, it will be necessary to describe those which are considered to be characteristic of delivery at the full period. There will he, in these cases, only a difference in degree; the signs being more numerous and more clearly marked in proportion to the lateness of the period at which the contents of the uterus are expelled. The signs of delivery may be enumerated in the

following order:-

Signs of recent delivery in the living.—The female is weak, the countenance pale; the eyes surrounded by livid areolæ, and there is an appearance of general indisposition. Any severe illness may, however, give rise to similar symptoms. Their sudden occurrence, from a state of previous good health, especially when preguancy was known or suspected, will create a strong suspicion. The breasts are full, especially about the third or fourth day; the nipples are tnrgid, and the areolæ around them present all the characters of advanced

pregnancy.

The skin of the abdomen is relaxed, sometimes thrown into folds; the cuticle interrupted by light-coloured broken streaks, passing especially from the groins and pubes towards the umbilious; and the navel is more or less stretched and altered. The round form of the enlarged and semi-contracted uterus may be felt at the lower part of the abdomen, generally lying towards one or the other side. The apparent size of this organ will depend upon the degree to which it has contracted, and therefore greatly upon the time at which the examination is made. Dr. Montgomery has pointed out the existence of a dark line, extending from the pubes to the umhilicus, with a dark arcola around the latter, in cases of recent delivery; but he has found this line to exist independently of pregnancy and delivery,—in one case in a girl aged ten, and in another instauce, in a lady, labouring under ovarian tumours.

The organs of generation will be found externally swollen, contused, or even lacerated, with coagula of blood about them. The outlet is much dilated; the os uteri is considerably open, and its margin

completely relaxed.

The presence of the lochia. This is a discharge, at first of a serosanguincous liquid, but which afterwards appears as a brown or greencolonred serum. It commences soon after delivery, and continnes from a week to a fortnight, or even longer. The lochial discharge has so peculiar an odour, that some have regarded this alone as furnishing very strong evidence of recent delivery.

The signs which have been here enumerated are found only when no delay has taken place in making the examination, and the woman has been recently delivered. In some strong and vigorous females, the body resumes its natural state within a few days, and the traces of parturition may have either wholly disappeared, or have become so ambiguous, as to furnish no satisfactory evidence. In others, again, evidence of delivery will be obtainable for a fortnight or three weeks In most cases, however, it is difficult if not imafterwards. possible to say, after the lapse of eight or ten days, that delivery has certainly taken place, the signs having commonly by that time disappeared. In all eases, the earlier the period at which the examination is made, the more satisfactory will be the evidence obtained. Montgomery once examined a female, five days after delivery, at the full time, and he was particularly struck with the degree to which the parts had become restored to their natural condition, especially the os aud eervix uteri, which hardly differed from their natural unimpregnated form. (Cyc. Pr. Med., loc. cit.)

Signs of delivery at a remote period.—A question may arise, whether it be in the power of a medical practitioner, to determine the period at which delivery took place; i.e. how long a time has elapsed. This becomes necessary, where, in cases of concealed birth, ahortion, or infanticide (some time after suspected parturitiou), a child is found, and it is required to determine, whether the time which has elapsed since the birth of the child, either dead or living, correspond with the supposed delivery of a suspected female. An opinion may be given, within eight or ten days after delivery, from the state of the hreasts, of the lochia, and of the os uteri; but it becomes difficult after the sixth day: and where the tenth or twelfth day has passed, it becomes still more difficult. After two or three months, it may be regarded as impossible to assign the period of delivery, with any degree of precision. (See Devergie, Méd. Lég. i. 446.)

Again, in a case of pretended delivery, contested legitimacy, or disputed chastity (Frazer v. Bayley, see post, Defloration), the medical jurist may be required to say, whether a female has, at any antecedent period of her life, been delivered. This question, it must be remarked, can only be raised in respect to delivery at the full period, since there is no doubt, that ahortion in the early stage of pregnancy may take place, and leave no traces of such an event discoverable in after life. Indeed, a few days or weeks are sometimes sufficient to obliterate all evidence of the fact. With respect to delivery at the full term, certain signs have been mentioned, which hy some are considered indelible. These are, the shining streaks on the skin of the abdomen, a hrown mark reaching from the numbilicus to the pubes, and the state of the os uteri, which is said never to close so effectually as in the virgin. In regard to the appearance of the skin of the abdomen, it may be remarked, that any morbid cases giving rise to the distension of the

cavity,—as ovarian enlargement, or ascites,—will produce the same effect:—so also, to a certain extent, will extreme emaciation from a state of obesity. Then, again, these marks on the skin are not always persistent throughout life. Besides, a woman may be, according to the statements of good observers, not only once, but repeatedly delivered, without having these marks produced.

With regard to the state of the os uteri, it is liable to vary in different females, and to he affected by disease, -so that a certain judg. ment cannot always be formed from its condition. In a female who has not horne children, the mouth of the uterus is in the form of a slit, the angles being bent down, and giving to it the appearance of the os tineæ. Mr. Whitehead has observed, that in a woniau who has borne children, the os becomes clougated, and loses the slight hend at each of its extremities; the lahia are thickened, and more nearly of equal size; the commissures are less clearly defined, and the whole cervix is enlarged, and not so compact in texture. (On Abortion, p. 195.) must be remembered, however, that the coudition of the os nteri, even iu the virgiu, varies at each meustrual period. Should there he occlusion of the vagina, or the hymen be found imperforate, this will at once negative a previous delivery; but the latter condition will not negative a previous pregnancy, since a woman may have been impregnated and have had an abortiou in the early stage of pregnancy, without the necessary destruction of the hymen. This sort of negative evidence will sometimes he of great value.

But there is a total want of good affirmative evidence of delivery at a remote period, in the living: so that even a conjectural opinion should be expressed only with caution. It is extremely rare, however, that any decision on this subject is required in medical jurisprudence. It might be demanded in a question of infanticide, where a woman was accused of having destroyed her alleged offspring some mouths or years before; or in cases of contested legitimacy, where a female is accused of having substituted a child of which she pretends she has been delivered at some remote period of time.

Feigned delivery.—Delivery has been often feigned by females, for the purpose of extorting charity, compelling marriage, or disinheriting parties who have claims to an estate, and in other cases without any assignable motive. Of course, an imposition of thia kind could not be sustained before a medical practitioner; and detection is rendered easy, because it is recent, and not remote delivery which is assumed. The latter would, if pretended, be generally cleared up by an examination, as well as by circumstantial evidence. (See case, Med. Gaz. xix. 231; also another by Capuron, Méd. Lég. des Accouchemens, 110.)

Can a female be delivered unconsciously?—Another important question, relative to delivery in the living female, is whether a woman can be delivered without being conscious of it. The aigns of delivery may be discovered by the practitioner; the offspring may also be

found. The female may admit the fact of her delivery, but allege that she was totally unconscious of it. The only medico-legal case, in which this plea is occasionally raised, is in infanticide; and as the possibility of the occurrence may be questioned, the practitioner must be provided with a knowledge of those facts which medico-legal writers have accumulated respecting it. There is no doubt that a female may be delivered unconsciously, if she be labouring under coma, apoplexy, asphyxia, or syncope; or if labouring under the effects of narcotic poisons, the vapours of chloroform and ether, or intoxicating liquors. It is said also, that delivery has taken place spontaneously, while a female was in the act of dying. This, however, has no bearing on the present question. It is in those cases where a female, after her recovery, pleads unconsciousness of delivery, that medical practitioners are chiefly consulted. Besides the cases enumerated, hysteria, when accompanied by loss of sense and motion, has been mentioned as a state in which parturition is liable to occur unconsciously. We need not be surprised at delivery taking place under these circumstances, when we consider that the contractile power of the uterus is altogether independent of volition: but it is difficult to believe, unless the morbid states already mentioned are accompanied by the most profound lethargy and entire loss of sensation, that the contractions of this organ in its efforts to expel the child, should not suffice at once to rouse the individual into conscionsness. We ought particularly to expect this in primiparous females, i. e. in those who have never borne children. At the same time it must be remembered, that parturition with some females, especially where the pelvis is wide and the child small, takes place with such rapidity and ease, as scarcely to be accompanied by pain.

It has been observed, that when a woman has frequently horne children, delivery sometimes takes place without effort, and without any consciousness on her part. On other occasions, the female may lie in a kind of torpor or stupor, and have no recollection of her delivery. Mr. King has described the case of a woman, aged thirtysix, the mother of nine children. She received his assistance in her tenth lahour: when summoned, she was lying calm and placid in bed, and perfectly insensible. He found that the child had been expelled with the placenta. She did not recover her sensibility for ten or twelve honrs, and then stated that she had no recollection of the birth of the child, or of any circumstances connected with that event. She suffered no pain or uneasiness. Another case is mentioned by this gentleman, in which sensation appeared to be entirely paralysed during labour. (Med. Times, May 15, 1847, 284.) It is heyond doubt that profound lethargy occasionally makes its appearance about the time of Dr. Schulze met with a case, in which the female remained in a state of sleep for three days, and was delivered while in this nnconscious condition: on awaking, she had no recollection of having suffered any pain during delivery. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1845, i. 216; Med.

Gaz. xxxvi. 40.)

The results obtained by the use of the vapours of chloroform and ether, show that the expulsive efforts of the uterus are as energetic in the unconscious, as in the conscions state. It may appear extraordinary, however, that a primiparons female, unless rendered unconscious by narcotic substances, should be delivered without suffering pain: nevertheless, a case of this kind is recorded by Dr. Wharrie. The woman's age was twenty-one: she had been in labour shout six hours; she complained of no pain, and the child was been without effort or consciousness. The child was healthy hut small, weighing rather more thau four pounds. (Cormack's Journal, Jan. 1846, 12.) Notwithstanding this case, it is in the highest degree improbable that any primiparous female should be delivered, during ordinary sleep, without being roused and hrought to a sense of her condition.

Dr. Montgomery relates the case of a lady, the mother of several children, who, on one occasion, was unconsciously delivered during sleep. (Cyc. Pr. Med. See also case in B. and F. Med. Rev., No. ix.

p. 256.)

There is another condition in which a woman may state that her delivery took place unconsciously; and this, from its being one of the most common species of defence, set up hy a female charged with child-murder, must here claim our attention. Thus she will allege, that while suffering from pain, she felt a strong desire to pass a stool: that she went to the water-closet for that purpose, and was there delivered without knowing anything of the occurrence, until it was too late to save the child. This kind of desire is a very constant symptom of the parturient state; and, as it has been elsewhere remarked, it is often difficult in private practice to restrain a woman from yielding to the feeling, when it certainly would be attended with hazard to the child. We must therefore admit, that an accident of this kind is quite within the range of probability; although here, as in every other instance where unconscious delivery is pleaded, the medical witness ought to inform himself of all the particulars which are stated to have attended delivery, before he gives an answer specially applicable to the case. As a general truth, it cannot be denied that delivery may take place, under these circumstances, without the woman being conscious of it: but before we make the admission in regard to any particular instance, we ought to have a full statement of the facts from the female herself. It is thus that we shall avoid the risk of seeing a premature medical opinion set aside by the subsequent production of circumstantial evidence. Besides, it has been very properly observed, that after an accident of this kind, a woman cannot he ignorant of her having been delivered.

Females who have raised this plea in cases of child-murder, have often been known to maintain, that they were unconscious of their pregnancy; and thus have attempted to exouse themselves for not having prepared the articles necessary for child-hirth. It is possible that a female may not be aware of her pregnancy in the earlier

stage; but it is searcely credible that she should remain ignorant of it in the later period of gestation, or up to the time of her delivery. It is at least to be presumed that she must have some reason to suspect her condition; and if only a suspicion existed in the mind of a woman who did not contemplate the destruction of her future offspring, there would assuredly be many circumstances forthcoming, which would viudicate her innocence.

Signs of delivery in the dead.—It will now be proper to examine the sigus of delivery, which are derivable from a post-mortem examination of the body. Occasionally, we may obtain some account of the female during life, by which our labour will be much facilitated: but, on the other hand, every fact may be studiously concealed from us, and then we may be required to prove not only the delivery, but the previous pregnancy. These investigations relative to pregnancy and delivery in the dead body, are almost exclusively confined to cases of eriminal abortion, where the contents of the uterus have been expelled at the sacrifice of the life of the womau. Death commonly ensues in these cases, within two or three days after delivery; and then satisfactory proofs are obtainable ou an examination of the body: but if the female has survived three or four weeks, it will be as difficult to determine delivery in the dead, as in the living subject. This remark applies to delivery at the full period: for if the uterus have expelled its contents in the first months of pregnancy, the traces of this expulsion will have generally disappeared in the course of a few days.

According to Burns, the following may be taken as the chief appearances when the body is examined soon after delivery at the full period. The nterus is like a large flattened pouch from niuc to twelve inches loug, its mouth being wide open. The eavity contains coagula of blood or a sauguineous fluid; and its surface is covered with the remains of a decidua. In the part to which the placenta has been attached. the substance of the organ appears exposed, presenting several large semilunar or valvular openings. This portion of the uterus is of a very dark colour, so as to have given rise to a suspicion that the organ was gangrenous. The vessels are extremely large and numerous. The Fallopiau tubes, round ligaments, and ovaria, are so vascular that they have a purple colour. The spot whence the ovum has escaped, is more vascular than the nest of the ovarian surface. Obstetric writers differ greatly in their statements respecting the size of the uterus at different periods after parturition; and these differences may be explained, partly by the fact that the vagina contracts more rapidly in some females than in others, and partly, perhaps, by the circumstance of the birth having been, in some instances, premature. According to Dr. Montgomery, after delivery at the full period, and under perfect contraction of the uterus, if the body be examined within a day or two, it will be found seven inches long and four broad. Its parietes on making a section will be from an inch to an inch and a half in thickness, and will present the orifices of a great number of large vessels. At the end of a week the organ is between five and six inches. and at the end of a fortnight about five inches in length:—the density of the parietes has during this period increased, but their thickness or substance has considerably diminished. The inner surface is still bloody, and covered partially with a pulpy substance resembling the decidua. The orbicular direction of the fibres around the internal orifices of the tubes, is at this time very distinct. In about a month the uterus will have become fully contracted, but the os uteri rarely, if ever, closes so completely as in the virgin state.

From this statement of the appearances it will be seen that there must be considerable difficulty in determining the period prior to death at which parturition took place. The difficulty is increased when the female has been prematurely delivered, or if death has not taken place until some time after delivery. Our opinion may be then in some degree strengthened by searching for those signs which have been described as characteristic of parturition in the living. These, if present, will always furnish strong corroborative evidence, not only of the fact of delivery, but of the period at which it had probably occurred.

Iu a case examined by Dr. Barnes, where a primiparous female, aged 26, died from puerperal fever on the sixth day after delivery, the following appearances were met with in the uterus. The internal surface was blackened and congested, especially in those parts to which the placenta had been attached. There was the appearance of suppurative action in this part. The substance of the uterus appeared healthy: there was no pus in the sinuses. The os uteri showed considerable ecchymosis. The vagina was healthy; the iliae veins contained nothing but loosely coagulated blood. There was in the left ovary a small well-marked corpus luteum, having a central cavity. (Med. Gaz. xli, 294.)

Evidence afforded by the presence of corpora lutea.—The condition of the ovaries has been considered to furnish very strong evidence in the dead hody, not so much of delivery as of previous preguancy. These organs, as it has been already stated, when examined soon after delivery, are found of a deep purple coloar, owing to their extreme vascularity. If the female has really been pregnant, we may expect to find on one or the other of these hodies the appearance which is denominated a corpus luteum. The accounts given by obstetric writers of the characters of corpora lutea, and the evidence which they are capable of furnishing in legal medicine, are very con-Dr. Montgomery states, that in the true corpus luteum flicting. the ovary presents a protuberance with a distinct cicatrix on some part wheave the ovum has escaped. The protuberant part will be found on section to have an oval form, and to be of a dull yellow colour. It is very vascular, and in texture resembles the section of a kidney. In the centre of this section there will he either a cavity or a radiated white cicatrix, according to the period at which the exami-

nation is made. The cavity remains for about three or four months after conception, and is surrounded by a strong white cyst:—as gestation advances, the opposite sides approximate, and a radiated white eleatrix results. The size and vascularity of the corpus luteum are considerably diminished by the time gestation is completed; and in about five or six months afterwards, i. e. fourteeu mouths after its first formation, it disappears altogether from the ovary, so that the corpus luteum of one conception is never to be found with that of another, unless a premature expulsion of the contents of the uterus has taken place. (Cyc. Pr. Med., Pregnancy, 496; see also Edinb. Monthly Journal, Jan. 1845, p. 58.) The presence of a corpus luteum, as it is here described, does not prove that a woman has borne a child. In the opinion of some obstetric authorities, it establishes that conception has taken place: but the embryo may have been converted into a mole or a blighted fortus, and expelled at an early period. was formerly supposed that one true corpus luteum only was met with in preguancy with one child; hut among other facts which show that such an inference is erroneous, is a singular case reported by Dr. Renaud to the Manchester Pathological Society. He examined the body of a female who died in the seventh month of her pregnancy, and from whose uterus he extracted a fectus. There were no traces of a blighted ovum. The ovary, however, presented two distinct and wellmarked corpora lutea. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 599.) Had the ovary alone been examined, it might have been supposed that this female had had twins.

The characters of what has been hitherto denominated the false eorpus luteum have been thus described:-1. There is no promiuence or enlargement of the ovary generally, at the part where it is situated. 2. The external cicatrix is wanting. 3. There are often several in 4. The texture is not glandular, nor can it he injected, both ovaries. 5. When laid open hy section, it has neither central cavity nor the cicatrix which results from its closure. Dr. Paterson has published some remarks on this subject, with medico-legal cases and plates. (Ed. Med. Sur. Jour. liii. p. 49.) According to this gentleman, the false are to be distinguished from the true corpora lutea by the following signs. They have in general an irregular form, and want either the ceutral cavity lined with a distinct membrane or the puckered cicatrix. They have no concentric radii, and are frequently numerous in both ovaries. He relates the following ease in order to show that the presence or ahsence of a true corpus luteum may be sometimes important in a question of disputed identity in the dead. Four medical students were charged with having disinterred the body of a lady; but the body was so disfigured that the deceased could not be identified by her relatives. one of the ovaries a true corpus luteum was reported to bave been found, a discovery which, if true, proved that it could not be the body of that lady, since she was a virgin, and advanced in life. On the trial the medical evidence was very conflicting:—one half of the witnesses maintained that the body which was found in the ovary was a true corpus luteum, while the others conteuded that it was not!

Since the first appearance of this work, there have been many contributions to our knowledge on this subject. In opposition to the views of Dr. Montgomery and Dr. Paterson, Dr. Knox, an experienced anatomist, asserts that there is no distinctive character. whereby what has been called the true, can be known from the false corpus lutenm, the only difference being that the latter is smaller. What have been called corpora latea may be formed in virgin animals, independently of intercourse, and the time of their disappearance from the ovary, varies from three months to an almost indefinite (Med. Gaz. Dec. 22, 1843.) That there is considerable difficulty in distinguishing the true from the false corpora lutea, is proved by reference to a case reported in the Medical Gazette (xxxiv. 623), in which two experienced observers differed. Dr. Lee thought that the preparation which was the subject of examination, was not a corpus luteum, while Mr. Wharton Jones thought that it was, -found-This difference of ing his decision on a microscopical examination. opinion shows that a diagnosis is hy no means so simple a matter as some writers assert. Mr. W. Joues agrees with Dr. Knox in considering that a corpus luteum may occur in the ovaries, independently of coitus; and the existence of one in this organ, would therefore afford no proof whatever of coitus having taken place. The discovery of the ovnm in the uterus, in process of development, could alone, in the present state of our knowledge, warrant an affirmative opinion on this point in a court of law, and this I believe to be the safest view of this much-contested question. On the other hand, the absence of a corpus luteum from the ovary would not warrant the opinion that coitus had not taken place.

These views, regarding the evidence derivable from the presence of corpora lutea, have received considerable support from the researches of Professor Bischoff. (Med. Gaz. xxxv. 443, et seq.) mental investigations of this gentleman sppesr to show that the extrusion of an ovum, or the production of a corpus luteum, is hy no means necessarily connected with coitus:-that the ova undergo a periodical maturation, about the time of menstruction, and escape whether there he coitus or not; -therefore that fecundation is only likely to occur when intercourse is had about this period. This is also the opinion of Racihorski: indeed, most physiologists now regard menstruation as the alternative of conception (see Duh. Quart. Jour. May 1846, p. 426), and consider that there is no period so favourable to conception, as that which immediately follows the cessation of the menses. In this respect the Koran appears to conflict with the laws of physiology, since it is laid down by Mahomet that females are impure for eight days before, and eight days after menstruation. (Rostan, Cours d'Hyg. ii. 438.) It is not a little singular that this comprises the period at which, according

to recent physiological researches, conception commonly takes place. Women may conceive during the flow of the menses: it is also well ascertained that a woman who has never menstructed may conceive, and that conception may take place one or two days before the period of menstruction. Raciborski has met with several instances in illustration (Advances in Physiology, Baly and Kirkes, p. 59.) of these views. In the theory above given, we have an explanation why corpora lutea, or bodies closely resembling them, are so often found in virgin animals, and it would also account for those differences of opinion among experienced men, which almost invariably occur when it becomes a debated question whether a corpus luteum be true or false. This theory would further explain eases like the following, reported by Mr. Elkington:—A woman aged forty-two, who had not horue a child for seven years, died from diseased lungs. On the right ovary were two corpora lutea; and the Fallopian tube on that side was larger and more vascular than on the other. The deceased expected to menstruate the day she died, or at least one day later. (Prov. Med. Jour. Feb. 1845, 104.) Dr. Ritchie, of Glasgow, has lately examined this subject, and has arrived at results which tend to confirm the views of Professor Bischoff and Mr. W. Jones. He calls the bodies corpora menstrualia vel periodica. They may, in his opinion, be formed independently of pregnancy, and may possibly assume all the characters of what are called corpora lutea, by some reflex excitement in the uterine organs. According to this gentleman, there are no less than eight varieties, which are liable to have their characters intermixed. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 985, 1058.)

A very full account of the general and microscopical characters of the true and false corpora latea, by Dr. Renaud, will be found in the Edulurgh Monthly Journal, August 1845, p. 589; and an excellent summary of the present state of physiological knowledge on this subject, is given by Drs. Balv and Kirkes. (Recent Advances in Physiology, 1848, p. 40) These gentlemen conclude from their researches, that cases can saldom occur where the mere presence of a corpus luteum can be taken as a proof of previous impregnation, and they consider the following rules to, he deducible from the facts which they have collected. 1. A corpus luteum in its early stage (that is, a large vesicle filled with coagulated blood, having a ruptured orifice, and a thin layer of yellow matter within its walls) affords no proof of impregnation having taken place __2. From the presence of a corpus luteum, the opening of which is closed, and the cavity reduced or obliterated, only a stellate cicatrix remaining, also, no conclusion as to pregnancy having existed can be drawn, if the corpus luteum be of small size, not containing as much yellow substance as would form a mass the size of a small pea. -3. A similar corpus luteum of larger size than a common pea, would be strong presumptive evidence, not only of impregnation having taken place, but of pregnancy having existed during several weeks at least; and the evidence would approximate more and more to complete proof, in proportion as the size of

the eorpus luteum was greater. (Op. cit. p. 57.)

From this statement, it will be perceived that the difference is only relative and arbitrary, chiefly depending on the size: and, as in pregnancy, corpora lutea are found of very variable size, while in menstruation they may, under great excitement, attain a large size, it is obvious that no safe inference can be drawn from their presence, irrespective of other signs of impregnation. The terms true and false, therefore, are inappropriate; and the most serious mistakes may arise by a reception of evidence on this point. The law requires absolute certainty, not mere probability or presumption; and in the present state of physiology, the proof falls short of what is necessary to guide the verdiet of a jury. At a trial for attempted abortion, Reg. v. Goodall, (Notts Lent Assizes, 1846), on examining the body of the female on whom the attempt was alleged to have been made, it was found that she was not pregnant: but on inspecting the ovary, a corpus luteum was there discovered. This was described as false, apparently because there was no proof of impregnation. Had an embryo been found in the uterus, or had there been proof of its expulsion, it would probably have been described as true. Dr. Meigs very justly says, that corpora lutea may vary in size, but in all cases they are real. Physiologically speaking, they do not admit of a division into true and false. (Females, and their Diseases, 1848, p. 33.)

From these considerations, therefore, it appears to me, we can only come to the conclusiou expressed in the last edition of this work, that medical evidence respecting the nature of a corpus luteum in an unknown case, if received in a Court of Law at all, should be received with the greatest caution, and only from a witness of great experience. The old doctrine on this subject, that the presence of such a body on the ovary affords certain evidence of impregnation, may be regarded

as completely subverted.

Characters of the ovum or embryo to the sixth month.—Hitherto the examination has been confined to the female; but it is now necessary to describe the characters of the ovum or embryo at the early stages of pregnancy, since, when this can be procured, good medical evidence may be derived from an examination of it. If the ovum be expelled within a month after conception, it is scarcely possible to detect it, owing to its small size and its being enveloped in coagala of blood. Burns examined three uteri, within the first month, where no expulsion had taken place, but even under these favourable circumstances, he failed in discovering the ovum. At first the ovum contains no visible embryo; but it appears merely to consist of vesicular membranous coverings. According to this writer, when first distinctly seen through its membrance, it is of an oblong form and about a line (the twelfth of an inch) in length. At the sixth week, it is slightly curved, resembling, as it floats, a split pea. In the seventh week it

is equal in size to a small bee; and hy the end of the second month, it is bent, and as long as a kidney bean. After this, development goes on rapidly, the features are in part well marked; and the extremities are gradually formed. At the third month, the feetus weighs from one to two onnces: - when stretched out, it measures about three inches, and the genital organs, although the sex is not distinguishable, are large in proportion to the rest of the hody. The membranes are larger than a goose's cgg. At the fourth month the feetus is from five to six inches long, and weighs from two to three ounces; at the fifth month it measures from six to seven juches, and weighs from five to seven ounces; at the sixth month, its length is from eight to ten inches, and its weight about a pound. (For the characters of the child heyond this period, see ante, p. 416.) The great difficulty will consist in determining the nature of the supposed ovum or embryo between the second and third month. In making the examination, it should he placed in water, and all coagula gently washed away or removed by some hlunt instrument. Alcohol may be used as a substitute for water, after the blood has been removed. If the embryo cannot be found, the decidua and chorion may be recognized:—the former, hy its forming the outer investment with its smooth internal and rough external or uteriue surface; -the latter, by the villous appearance of that portion of it, which would have become the placents. Between the third and fourth month, the feetus may be commonly identified without much difficulty.

Moles.—The substance expelled from the womh may have been what is termed a mole-a morbid production of a flesby or of a bloody structure, appearing like n blighted ovum or placenta. It has been said that a mole is never formed in the virgin uterus; but that its presence always indicates previous sexual intercourse: - this point, however, is far from settled. The term mole is also applied by some to coagula of blood, polypi, or hydatids. In one case reported, n mole and an ovum were expelled together, a fact which shows that they may The symptoms accompanying a mole strongly resemble those of pregnancy: - and the appearances produced by its expulsion, are not to be distinguished from those attending the abortion of a feetus at an early period of gestation. The only means of diagnosis would he derived from an examination of the expelled matters. local injury produced by the expulsion of these hodies on the organs of generation, is by no means so great as that caused by delivery nt the full period.

Hydatids.—The signs of pregnancy and delivery may be present in a female; and yet these may be owing to the existence of hydatids in the womh. It was formerly a question, whether conception or previous impregnation was or was not necessary to their formation. Dr. Koch, of Heiligenbeil, has reported a case where they were probably produced independently of sexual intercourse. A healthy strong woman, 32 years of age, had been married nine years, and had horne

four children without difficulty. At this time she was living apart from her husband, so that according to the declaration of both there could have been no intercourse. The menstrual function ceased after the weaning of the last child, and the patient observed that her abdomen became enlarged as if she were again pregnant. After three months suffering, during which she was continually upbraided by her husband in consequence of her condition, pains came on, and a hydatid mole (a cyst of hydatids) about the size of two fists was extruded. The hydatids were collected in a grape-like cluster, and the cysts varied in size from a hemp-seed to that of a walnut. (Wildberg, Jahrbuch der gesammten S. A. 1837, 1 Heft. 145, 3 Bund.) In a case communicated by Mr. llunter to the Lancet, hydatids co-existed with pregnancy, and the mass came away on the birth of the child. (April 18, 1846, 430.) When the mass is expelled, it is found to consist of a group of vesicles or cysts of various sizes:—but sometimes when this disease follows intercourse, the cysts are found mixed with the remains of a blighted ovum or a coagulum of blood. Unless the expelled matters be produced, it would be very difficult to say from an examination during life or after death, whether the uterus had contained an embryo or hydatids. These morbid growths may even be enclosed in an investing membrane similar to the decidua, and there may be the remains of a corpus luteum in the ovary; but it is not likely, when carefully examined in water, that they can be mistaken for an ovum or embryo.

In examining the bodies of those who have died while labouring under uterine hydatids, it has been found that occasionally the whole of a blighted ovum is converted into them; but sometimes only a part is thus converted. The cysts vary in number: there may be only one large cyst, and it is said this condition is more frequently met with when hydatids are combined with pregnancy or with a mole, than when alone. The hydatid cysts appear to be connected with the inner surface of the uterus, by the unchanged portion of the ovum or placenta; and thus, upon their removal, we might expect to find the uterine surface more or less similar to that of the gravid state, according to the degree of change which may bave taken place in the ovum. Barns observes, that the relative magnitude of the vessels in the two states, has not been ascertained; few opportunities being afforded of examining the state of the organ in this disease. According to Mdme. Boivin, the hydatids are sometimes surrounded by an investing memhrane similar to the decidua. In a case which occurred to Mr. Brown, the symptoms caused by uterine hydatids were mistaken by the female (a married woman who bad bad children) for those of true preguancy. The catamenia bad ceased for about four months, the breasts were enlarged—there was a darting pain through them, with soreness of the nipples and morning sickness. Iu about a month flooding took place, and the bydatids came away. (Obstetric Record, i. 21.) These facts may have an important bearing on medico-logal practice, and in this re-

spect, the following case, reported by Dr. Chowne to the Westminster Medical Society, Nov. 1843, will be found of interest:—A woman was scized with pains, resembling those of lahour, and a mass of nterine hydatids was expelled, which were supposed to have been in the uterus about five months. When the woman was examined, thirty-six hours afterwards, there were all the signs of recent delivery about her. The parts of generation presented the usual appearances met with on the expulsion of a feetus; the breasts were enlarged, the areolæ elevated, of a brown colour, the follicles prominent, and the organs evidently contained milk. The occurrence of this case led Dr. Chowne to think, that had the body of an infant been found murdered and concealed in the house where this woman lived, it would probably have been pronounced to be her child. A medical man might have strengthened the suspiciou of criminality by declaring that there were all the signs of delivery about her. It may be observed, however, that in such a case, the woman would prohably state that no child, but some tumor had come away from her; and a medical man would not he justified in swearing, that the appearances of delivery absolutely indicated, under all circumstances, that the woman must have been delivered of a child. On the contrary it is a well-known medical fact, that similar appearances might arise from the expulsion of a mole or hydatids. Circumstantial evidence would be against her, only on the assumption that some person had wilfully concealed or made away with the substantial proof of her innocence, i. e. the group of hydatids which had been expelled.

Some of the questions which have been here considered, were raised on the trial of Angus, for the murder of Miss Burns, at the Lancaster Assizes, 1808. It was alleged that the deceased was pregnant,—that the prisoner had administered corrosive sublimate to her for the purpose of inducing abortion, and that this had caused her death. A question was raised at the trial, relative to the appearances presented hy the uterus as indicative of recent delivery. On examining this organ, it was found to he considerably enlarged, and on its inner surface was a mark, ahout four inches in diameter, plainly discernible, to which the placenta had been apparently attached. The os uteri was much dilated. Indeed the appearances were described to he such as might have been expected to be found, two hours after the hirth of a full-grown child. The evidence respecting previous pregnancy was conflicting; and the prisoner was acquitted, because the death of the deceased could not be distinctly traced to any criminal act on his part. The ovaries were not examined until after the trial, when a hody which was considered to he a true corpus luteum, was found on one of them; and some eminent authorities agreed that it indicated an advanced state of pregnancy. (See Paris and Fonhlangne, Med. Jur. ii. 179.) One medical witness appeared for the prisoner: and he contended that the state of the uterus did not justify the medical inference that there had been recent delivery. He assumed that the appearances might have

been due to the expulsion of a group of hydatids. On the whole, the medical defence, so to term it, appears to have been more ingenious than sound; and to have rested upon assumptions, which, if generally admitted, would effectually do away with all medical evidence in cases of criminal abortion. The contents of the uterus were not produced, a fact which left the ease in mystery.

CONCEALMENT OF BIRTH.

CHAPTER L.

MEDICAL EVIDENCE REQUIRED IN REFERENCE TO DELIVERY—
CONCEALMENT OF THE BIRTH OF A CHILD—DEFINITION OF THE
CRIME—FEMALES ACQUITTED OF INFANTICIDE FOUND GUILTY OF
CONCEALMENT—MEDICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE REMAINS OF THE
BODY—ANALYSIS OF BONES—THE CHILD MUST BE DEAD—CONCEALMENT OF THE OVUM OR EMBRYO—NOT NECESSARY TO PROVE
WHEN THE CHILD DIED.

Concealment of birth.—Medical evidence respecting delivery, is required in two cases: 1, where the birth of the child is wilfully concealed; and 2, where the contents of the uterus have been prematurely expelled by criminal meaus. The concealment of pregnancy is no offence in the Euglish law; but the concealment of delivery or of the birth of a child, is a misdemeanour by the 9th Geo. IV. c. xxxi. sec. 14, the words of which are to the following effect:—

"Be it enacted that if any woman shall be delivered of a child, and shall, by secret burying, or otherwise disposing of the dead body of the said child, endeavour to conceal the birth thereof, every such offender shall be guilty of a misdemeanor; and heing convicted thereof, shall be liable to be imprisoned with or without hard labour in the common gaol or house of correction for any term not exceeding two years; and it shall not be necessary to prove, whether the child died before, at, or after its birth."

This is the offence of which those females who are charged with infanticide are most commonly convicted (see ante, p. 508), while the Scotch law punishes for concealment of pregnancy. (Alison's Criminal Law, 153.) The medical evidence on trials for this offence, is exclusively derived from an examination of the mother; and thus, much

will depend upon the time at which this is made. With respect to the child, its body need not even he produced, provided there he satisfactory evidence of its death. In the case of the Queen v. Varney, (Oxford Lent Assizes, 1837), it was proved that the prisoner had been pregnant and subsequently delivered of a child. Its body had been hurut, and only a few remains of the hones of a human fectus were found in the ashes of a grate. The prisoner was convicted of the offence. In a case like this, where an attempt has been made to destroy the hody of a child hy harning, it will, of course, be necessary to have good evidence that the bones are those of a human factus or child. They may retain their shape whether hurnt in a close fire or in the open air: in the latter case alone they will be white. A small fragment only of either end of any well-marked bone, will suffice for identification. If hurnt to a complete ash or powder, it will then be difficult to identify them. Orfila was consulted in a case of this kind, where a woman had burnt her child in an oven, and its ashes had become mixed with those of wood. He suggested, that on calcining the residue with potash, the ashes of a human foctus might he known hy their yielding eyanide of potassium, owing to the uitrogen which would remain in and about them. The ashes of wood do not yield the eyanide under similar circumstances. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1845, ii. 129.) The conclusious at which Orfila has arrived, might, it appears to me, lead to a serious error;—the presence of a flannel dress, of an old hat, shoe, or any nitrogenous substance, would, on incincration, give risc to precisely similar results. When the form of a bone cannot he recognised, all that medical evidence can, as it appears to me, accomplish, is this: - The detection of a large quantity of phosphate of lime in the ash, would indicate that bones were present, and thus distinguish the ash of hone from the ashes of other substances. Still the hones might have helonged to an animal, and not to a human fectus. no means of distinguishing the ash of human, from that of animal hone.

According to the statute, the child must be dead—the coucealment of the hirth of a living child not heing any offence, unless it should happen to die hefore its birth was made kuown. In the case of the Queen v. Woodman, (Kingston Lent Ass. 1845), the woman was acquitted hecause the child was living when concealed. Mr. Chitty says that to constitute the offence, the child must have advanced to the end of the seventh month (Med. Jur. 412); but it is to he presumed that the concealment of the hirth of a dead child at the sixth or under the seventh month, would he as much an infringement of the statute as if it were more advanced. The concealment of the aborted but nndeveloped ovum—a monster, i.e. of a child without human shape, a mole or other morhid growth, would not probably he considered, a contravention of the statute. Mr. Lane has communicated to the Medical Times (Aug. 1845) a case in which a charge of conceald-ment referred to a child horn at the eighth month in its membranes.

The woman stated that she did not consider it to be a child! If this view be correct, the main object of the statute (i. e. to prevent secret delivery, so often leading to murder) may be effectually evaded. The case being entirely new, should have been sent to trial, and the decision left to the proper interpreters of the law. A magisterial decision can furnish no precedent on a question of this kind.

It will be perceived, that it is not material here, as it is in a ease of alleged infanticide, to prove when the child died,—whether before, during, or after its birth; and thus those subtleties and technicalities which have been elsewhere pointed out (ante, p. 508), are avoided. In regard to proof of concealment, and what constitutes it, these are essentially legal points:—but a medical practitioner may sometimes benefit an accused party, if he can prove that the female had made application to him on the subject of her pregnancy and delivery. The law is especially lenient under such circumstances. Questious connected with concealment of birth do not fall under the jurisdiction of a coroner:—the medical evidence is therefore required by a magistrate. Medical witnesses were, until lately, exposed to much trouble and inconvenience in giving their evidence on these occasions (see Med. Gaz. xix. p. 287); but the defect has been remedied by a recent statute. (I Vic. e. xliv.)

CRIMINAL ABORTION.

CHAPTER LL.

GENERAL REMARKS ON THE CRIME OF ABORTION—ABORTION FROM NATURAL CAUSES—LIFS FREQUENCY. CHIMINAL CAUSES—LIPCAL VIOLENCE—ABORTION BY MECHANICAL MEANS—FROM VENESECTION—MEDICINAL SUBSTANCES—POPULAR ABORTIVES—SIGNS OF ABORTION IN THE FEMALE—SPECIFIC ABORTIVES—THE ERGOT OF RYE—ABORTION NOT ALWAYS A RESULT OF POISONING—LOCAL APPLICATIONS. FEIGNED ABORTION—LEOAL RELATIONS—MEANING OF THE WORD NOXIOUS AS APPLIED TO DRUGS—ON INDUCING PREMATURE LABOUR — MEDICAL RESPONSIBILITY — PROOF OF PREGNANCY NOT NECESSARY—ABORTION OF MONSTERS—EXTRAUTERINE CONCEPTIONS—ABORTION OF MOLES AND HYDATIOS.

General remarks.—By abortion is commonly understood, in medicine, the expulsion of the contents of the uterus before the sixth month of gestation. If the expulsion take place between the sixth and ninth

month, the woman is said to have a premature labour. The law makes no distinction of this kind, but the term abortion is applied to the expulsion of the fœtus at any period of pregnancy, and in this sense it is synonymous with the popular term miscarriage. Criminal abortion is rarely attempted before the third month :- it is perhaps most common between the fourth and fifth month; because then a female begins for the first time to acquire a certainty of her pregnancy. The causes of abortion may be either natural or violent. The latter only fall under the cognizance of the law :- but a medical witness should be well acquainted with the causes which are called natural, in contradistinction to others which depend on the application of violence. These natural causes are so frequent, that according to Mr. Whitehead's observation, - of 2000 pregnancies, one in seven terminated in These causes are commonly ascribable to peculiarities in the female system,—to the presence of uterine or other diseases, or to some moral sbock sustained by the woman during pregnancy. Any diseases which strongly affect the uterns or general system of the female, may give rise to abortion. An attack of small-pox has been known to produce it: and it has been suggested by Mr. Acton. that the presence of constitutional syphilis in the father is not only a cause of infectiou in the offspring, but of repeated abortion in the female. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 164.) These facts deserve attention when it is proved that a woman has really aborted, and an attempt is unjustly made to fix an alleged act of criminality on another. For further information on the numerous natural and accidental causes which may give rise to abortion, the reader may consult the work of Mr. Whitchead (On Abortion and Sterility, p. 252). In considering the operation of these causes, it is proper to bear in mind that during pregnancy, the nterus is subject to a natural periodical excitement, corresponding to what would have been the menstrual periods dating from the last Hence comparatively trivial causes operating at these periods, may lead to an expulsion of the feetus.

The violent causes of abortion may be of an accidental or criminal nature. In general, the distinction will not be difficult:—the kind of violence and the adequacy of the alleged cause to produce abortion,

will commonly clear up the case.

Criminal causes. Local violence.—These causes are either mechanical, or they depend on the use of irritating medicinal substances. They operate with greater certainty in proportion to the advanced state of the pregnancy. Among the mechanical causes, may be mentioned undue exercise, the violent agitation of the body, as by riding or driving over a rough pavement, in which case no marks of violence would be apparent. Any physical shock, sustained by the body, may operate indirectly on the uterus. Blows or violent pressure on the abdomen are sometimes resorted to; but in these cases the marks of violence will be perceptible. Instruments have been devised for the purpose of picreing the membranes, destroying the child, and thereby

leading to its expulsion. Devergie speaks of such instruments being well known in England, and of English midwives deriving a living from the practice of this crime, a statement which it need hardly be said is founded in error (i. 285.) Although mechanical means are more effectual in producing abortion, than medicinal substances, yet from the fact of such attempts being made by ignorant persons, the woman generally dies from hysteritis, peritonitis, or other serious after-consequences. A case was tried in the North of England some years since, in which the evidence showed that the prisoner had attempted to produce abortion in the deceased, by thrusting wooden skewers into the substance of the uterus. Inflammation and gangrene took place, and the woman died. The prisoner was convicted and executed for murder. (For a similar ease by Mr. M'Pherson, see Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 102.) This kind of injury to the nterns, always implies the interference of some other person in the perpetration of the crime. These mechanical means can seldom be applied to the uterus, without leaving marks of violence on that organ, as well as on the body of the child. If the mother die, a result which generally takes place, an inspection will at once settle the point. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1834, 191; 1838, i. 425; 1839, ii. 109.) If the mother survive and the child he expelled, then marks of violence will be found on its body. These marks may not be sufficient to account for its death, but this is not here the question. If it can be proved that they have not resulted from accidental causes subsequently to delivery, then their presence will furnish strong corroborative evidence of the actual means by which abortion was attempted. It is said that abortion has been in some instances accomplished by frequent venescction. This effect may follow from the violent shock produced by the loss of a large quantity of blood. An examination of the veins of the arms, would show whether any such attempt had been made.

Medicinal substances. - These are perhaps more frequently resorted to for inducing erininal abortion than other means; hat they rarely answer the intended purpose, and when this result is obtained, it is generally at the expense of the life of the mother. Mineral poisons have been ignorantly employed for this nefarious object, as arsenic, corrosive sublimate, sulphate of copper, and other irritants. Croton oil, gamboge, aloes (Heuke, Zeitsehrift, 1844, ii. 203), elaterium and other drastic purgatives, have also been used for a similar purpose. Purgatives which produce much tenesmus, - powerful emetics or diuretics, will readily excite abortion in the advanced stages of pregnancy; but these violent medicines fail in their effect at the earlier stages. The substances just mentioned exert an indirect action on the uterus by producing a shock to the general system :- but it is said there is a certain class of bodies called emmenagogues, which have a specific action on the uterus itself. Among these, the Ergot of rye, or Secale cornutum, is particularly mentioned. This substance has been found, in many instances, to bring on violent action of the uterus at

an advanced stage of gestation, or when efforts at parturition had already commenced. There is, however, considerable difference of opinion respecting its emmenagogue properties. According to Dr. Lee, it has no effect, at least in the carly stages of gestation, although given in very large doses. (Mcd. Gaz. xxv. 10; see also Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. liii. 27.) Dr. Kluge, of Berlin, found that its properties varied according to whether it was gathered before or after harvest ;-in the former case, it had an energetic action, while in the latter it was powerless. The properties of the secale are not at all known to the vulgar; and this may account for the fact of our rarely hearing of cases where it has been criminally administered to pregnant females. Dr. Beatty has lately stated that when used in obstetric practice it is liable, by absorption into the system of the mother, which may take place within two hours, to endanger the life of the (Dub. Med. Jour. May 1844, 202.) This question was actually referred by the French Government to the Academy of Medicine in 1845, as there was reason to think that under its employment children were frequently born dcad. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1846, i. 204.) In confirmation of this statement, Drs. M'Clintock and Hardy report, that out of thirty cases in which it was administered, tweuty children were born dead. (Practical Observations, 95.)

Among substances which have acquired popular repute as abortives, are savin, ruc, iron-filings, squills, black hellebore and cantharides. Noue of them have any influence on the uterus, except in affecting it indirectly by their irritant action on the system. (For an account of the properties of savin, see ante, p. 204.) In the coroner's return for 1837-8, there were four cases of the administration of savin and other drags with the view of procuring abortion. In three of these cases, the mother dicd undelivered; in the fourth, the child perished.

Specific abortives .- On trials for criminal abortion perpetrated or attempted, a medical witness must be prepared for a close examination on the specific emmenagogue properties of the drug administered. A very instructive case which occurred n few years since (Reg. v. Calder, Exeter Lent Assizes, 1844), has been ably reported, with comments, by Dr. Shapter (Prov. Mcd. Journal, April 10, 1844). It was alleged in this case, that savin, cantharides, and ergot, had been respectively given by the prisoner, a medical man, for the purpose of procuring miscarriage. The prosecutrix was a woman of notoriously bad character, and the prisoner was acquitted. There were three medical witnesses, who agreed that savin and cantharides were only likely to occasion abortion indirectly, i. e. by powerfully affecting the system -the view commonly entertained by professional men. Some difference of opinion existed with regard to ergot. Dr. Shapter stated in his evidence, that he did not think the ergot would act unless the natural action of the nterui had commenced,—a statement supported by a number of authorities. Subsequently to the trial, he collected the observations of many obstetric writers, and so far modified his

opinion as to admit, that the ergot might occasionally exert a specific action on the nterus, in cases of advanced pregnancy, where uterine action had not already commenced. His summary on this subject is one of the best which has been published. Dr. Ramshotham has reported three cases, from which it would appear, that the ergot may in some instances exert a direct action on the impregnated uterus. In these instances, the females were in or about the eighth month of pregnancy. (Mcd. Gaz. xiv. 434.) Dr. J. H. Davis also believes that it is a specific excitant of uterine action, and points out the cases in which, in his opinion, it may be safely employed. (Laucet, Oct. 11, 1845, 393.) Mr. Whitchead, who has had considerable experience on this subject, has found that its action is very uncertain. In a case under his care, where, in a woman with deformed pelvis, it was considered advisable to procure abortion in the fifth mouth of pregnancy, the ergot alone was employed and at first with the desired effect. It was given in three successive pregnancies; and in each instance lahourpains came on after eight or ten doses had been administered, and expulsion was effected by the end of the third day. It was perseveringly tried in a fourth preguancy in the same individual, and failed completely. (On Abortion, 254.) Nevertheless, the balance of evidence is now decidedly in favour of its specific action; and, according to Dr. Griffiths, this is so well known to the inhabitants of the United States, that it is in very frequent use as a popular abortive. Perhaps the differences which have been observed in its action may depend on the period at which it has been administered to a preguant woman. Admitting what is now helieved to be the ease, that the uterus is suhject to periodical excitement, corresponding to the menstrual periods, it is probable that the action of the ergot may be more powerfully abortive at these than at other times. The reader will find a large collection of cases, illustrating the properties of this drug, in Wibmer, (Arzneimittel und Gifte, ii. 80. Sphacelia Segetum.)

There appears to be less doubt about the action of Savin. In a case which I was required to investigate in 1845, various questions were put as to whether this substance, which had here been taken in the state of powder and had caused the death of the female, exerted any specific action on the nterus to induce labour. The reply was given, that it acted only indirectly as an ahortive hy its irritant properties. (See Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 646.) It is proper to remember, that the infusion is more powerful than the decoction; since the poison, being a volatile oil, is dissipated by long boiling. Savin is, however, most commonly taken or administered in the form of powder.

It is remarkable, that the action of the most powerful mineral irritant poisons has sometimes no effect on the gravid nterus. In July 1845, a case was referred to me for examination by Mr. T. Carter, of Newbury, in which a female, aged twenty-two years, who had passed the fifth month of pregnancy, took a large dose of arsenic, and died in less than seven hours, having suffered from severe vomiting

and purging during that time: yet abortion did not take place! In reference to the medicinal use of mercury, it may be proper to state, that Dr. Salomon has reported two eases, in which premature delivery appeared to follow the mercurialization of the system. (Casper's Wochenschrift, June 1845; Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 658.)

Local applications.—In a case which occurred recently in France, it was proved that abortion had been caused by the injection of some corrosive and irritating substance into the vagina. The female genitals, as well as the abdominal viscera, were found in a high state of inflammation. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 171.) This is a very unusual mode of perpetrating the crime; and it is one which could hardly escape detection. An analysis of the tissues might be required, to determine the nature of the substance used.

Signs of abortion. — These have been already fully considered in a previous chapter. (See Delivery, ante, pp. 525 and 530.) The examination may extend to the female living or dead. In the former case, there will be some difficulty if the abortion have occurred at an early period of gestation, and some days have elapsed before the examination is made. In the latter case the diagnosis is more easy, although not always free from difficulty. (See the case of Miss Burns, ante, p. 538.) Our fact here requires to be especially noticed. It is now very generally admitted, that menstruction is a state, in some measure, vicarious to conception: and the appearances presented by the generative organs during the menstrual period, is somewhat similar to that which they have after conception in its early stage. Whitehead remarks, that in persons who have died while the menses were flowing, the uterine walls have been found thickened and spongy; and the mucous lining more or less turgid and suffused. The ccrvix and labja of the uterns were turned, the orifice patulous, and the vaginal membrane and clitoris involved in the increased action. One of the ovaries was found larger and more congested than ordinary, presenting evidences of the recent escape of an ovum. (On Abortion, Unless these facts he attended to, the examiner may form a very erroneous opinion respecting the chastity of a deceased female.

Feigned abortion.—For various motives, into the consideration of which it is unnecessary to enter, a woman may charge another with having attempted or perpetruted the crime of ahortion. Such a charge is not common, hecause, if untrue, its falsity is easily demonstrated. A young woman, admitted into Guy's Hospital, in April 1846, charged a policeman, who, according to her statement, had had foreible intercourse with her, with having given her some substance to produce abortion, and with having subsequently effected this mechanically. She was not examined until nearly two months after the alleged perpetration of the crime, when Dr. Lever found that there was no reason to believe she had ever been pregnant. This was a ease of feigned ahortion. When charges of this serious kind are brought forward, they are always open to the greatest suspicion, unless made immediately

after the alleged attempt, as it is then only that an examination can determine whether they he true or false. If so long delayed as in this instance, without any satisfactory reason, the presumption is that they are false.

Legal relations.—The English law relative to criminal abortion, is laid down in the statute 1 Vict. e. lxxxv. s. 6. By it, capital punishment, which formerly depended on whether the female had quickened or not, is abolished. The words of the statute are as follows:—

"Whosoever, with the intent to procure the miscarriage of any woman, shall unlawfully administer to her, or cause to be taken by her, any poison or other noxious thing, or shall unlawfully use any instrument or other means whatsoever, with the like intent, shall be guilty of felouy, and being convicted thereof, shall be liable, at the discretion of the Court, to be transported beyond the seas for the term of his or her natural life, or for any term, not less than fifteen years, or to be imprisoued for any term not exceeding three years."

It is considered doubtful, whether, under this statute, a woman could be tried for abortion attempted on herself. The cousent, or even the solicitation of the female to the perpetration of the crime, does not excuse the offender. The crime would never be attempted without the consent of the woman; and, therefore, to admit this as a sufficient defence, would be equal to an entire abrogation of the law. The means must have been used with the intent to procure the miscarriage of the woman,—a point which will be sufficiently established by a plain medical statement of the means employed. Supposing that a drug has been used, the witness will have to state whether it be "a poisou, or other noxious thing;" for this must be proved, in order that the prisoner should be convicted of the crime. I must refer the reader to what has been said elsewhere (ante, p. 8), in order that he may he able to judge how far the substance administered would fall under the description above given. Whether the substance administered would or would not have the effect intended, i. e. of inducing abortion, is perfectly immaterial. Some uncertainty may exist as to the strict meaning of the word noxious:—all will allow that the word implies something injurious to the system; but a difference of opinion may arise among witnesses with respect to its application to the substance under discussion, - as, for example, with respect to rue or savin. A substance must be regarded as injurious to the system or noxious, either according to the form, quantity, or frequency with which it is administered. Saviu and rue are irritant; and become noxious when given in large doses, or in small doses frequently repeated. (Aun. d'Hyg. 1838, ii. 180.) Aloes and castor oil are innocent when taken in small doses; but they acquire noxious or iujnrious properties when administered frequently, or in large quantity, to a pregnant female. To confine the term noxious, therefore. to what is strictly speaking a poison, would be giving a latitude to

attempts at criminal abortion, which would render the law inoperative. (See the case of Reg. v. Stroud, Abingdon Summer Ass. 1846.) The quantity of the substance taken at once does not affect the question, provided the dose be frequently repeated. A case in which I was consulted by Mr. Reynolds (a former pupil), was tried at the Exeter Winter Assizes, 1844. Two powders, weighing each one drachm, were prescribed by the prisoner, - one consisted of colocynth, the other of gamboge, and with them was half an onnce of a liquid (balsam of copaiba). They were to be mixed together, and a fourth part to be taken four mornings following. Mr. Reynolds said, iu answer to the question, whether such a mixture was noxious or injurious, that each dose would be an active purgative, and might thereby tend to produce abortion. One dose would not be productive of mischief in a healthy country woman, but its frequent repetition might have serious consequences. In an interesting trial, which took place at the Norwich Lent Assizes, 1846 (Reg. v. Whisker), it was proved that the prisoner had caused to be taken, by the prosecutrix, a quantity of white hellebore, in powder, for the purpose of procuring abor-One medical witness said, he considered hellebore to be noxions to the system, but he knew of no case in which it had produced death; and under these circumstances he did not consider himself justified in calling it a poisou. Another medical witness declared, that in his opinion it belonged to the class of poisons. judge, in summing up, told the jury that that was to be regarded as a poisonous drug which, in common parlance, was generally understood and taken to be such; and he thought the evidence sufficiently strong to bring beliebore within the meaning of the statute. The jury found the prisoner guilty, alleging that in their belief, white hellebore was a poison. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 830.) The only circumstance calling for remark in this case, is, that any doubt should have been entertsined by a medical practitioner respecting the poisonous properties of white hellebore. It is a powerful vegetable irritant, and has eaused death in several iustances; yet on this occasion it appears to have been admitted to be noxious, but not poisonous!

In reference to proof of this crime, it is not required, under the circumstances, that any specific injury should have been done to the woman, or that abortion should have followed, in order to complete the offence. There is every reason to believe that this crime is very frequent; but its perpetration is secret. Applications are continually made to druggists by the lower class of people, for drugs for this purpose:—the applicants appear to have no idea of the criminality of the act.

On inducing premature labour. Medical responsibility.—It may be proper to offer here a few remarks upon the practice of inducing premature labour, which is adopted by some members of the profession, in cases in which there happens to be great deformity of the female pelvis. This practice has been condemned as immoral and

illegal; hut it is impossible to admit that there can be any immorality in performing an operation to give a chance of saving the life of a woman, when by neglecting to perform it, it is almost certain that both herself and the child will perish. The question respecting its illegality, cannot be entertained; for the means are administered or applied with the bond fide hope of benefiting the female, and not with any criminal design. It is true that the law makes no execution in favour of medical men who adopt this practice, nor does it in the statute of wounding make any exceptions in favour of surgical operations; but what is performed without evil intention, would not be held unlawful. The necessity for the practice ought to be apparent; thus, for instance, it should be shown that delivery was not likely to take place naturally, without seriously endangering the life of the woman; it is questionable whether, under any circumstances, it would be justifiable to bring on premature expulsion, merely for the purpose of attempting to save the life of the child, since the operation is necessarily accompanied with risk to the life of the mother. grounds upon which many eminent authorities have objected to this practice, are: -1. That there are few cases in which parturition, if left to itself, might not take place at the full period.—2. The toleration of the practice might lead to great criminal abuse.—3. It is attended with danger to the mother and child. It is undoubtedly true, that parturition will sometimes take place safely at the full time, even when the deformity of the pelvis is apparently so great, as to lead many acconcheurs to suppose natural delivery to he utterly impossible. Dr. Lilhuru has reported the ease of a female who laboured under great deformity of the pelvis, but who was twice delivered in safety, and the child survived. (Med. Gaz. xix. 933.) It is, therefore, not improbable that many cases of the kind are prematurely treated. which, if left to themselves would probably do well without interierence. Hence a cautious selection should be made; hecause the operation is necessarily attended with some risk, -it does not ensure safety to the woman and child. All that we can say, is, that according to general professional experience, it places her in a better position than she would be in, if the case were left to itself. It appears to me that before a practitioner resolves upon performing an operation of this kind, he should hold a consultation with others; and before it is perforuncd, he should feel well assured that delivery caunot take place without greater risk to the life of the mother, than the operation itself would create. These rules may not be observed in practice; but the non-observance of them is necessarily attended with some responsibility to a practitioner. In the event of the death of the mother or child, he exposes himself to a prosecution for a criminal offence, from the imputation of which, even an acquittal will not always clear him in the eyes of the public. If the child were born alive, and died merely as a result of its immaturity, this might give rise to a charge of murder. (See Reg. v. West, ante, p. 486.) Within a recent period

several practitioners have been tried upon charges of criminal abortion, whether justly or unjustly it is not necessary to consider; but one fact was clear, they neglected to adopt those simple measures of prudence, the observance of which would have been at once an answer to a criminal charge. Because one practitioner may have frequently and successfully induced premature labour without observing these rules, and without any imputation on his character, this cannot shield another who is less fortunate. A charge is only likely to arise where a man has been unfortunate; and the responsibility of one operator cannot be measured by the success of others. For a case in which a surgeon was transported for seven years, on conviction for this act criminally perpetrated, see Alison's Criminal Law, 628.

Is proof of pregnancy necessary?—A female may imagine that she is pregnant, when she is labouring under ovarian dropsy, or other uterine or abdominal disease. Under this mistaken view, an attempt may be made by another, also deceived as to her condition, to procure ahortion, and the proof of the corpus delicti will here rest with the medical evidence. The pregnancy of the female is not alluded to in the recent statute :- the words heing, - " procure the miscarriage of any woman." These might at first sight appear to include the state of pregnancy; but the term "miscarriage" has a much more extensive meaning than this in a popular sense. The question in reference to the necessity of the proof of pregnancy has been hitherto variously decided by our judges. A case was tried on the Midland Circuit, July 1838, where a medical practitioner was charged with this crime. Chief Justice Tiudal held that without positive proof of the woman's pregnancy, which, however, was distinctly alleged in the indictment, a convictiou could not take place. In this instance, the woman herself denied her pregnancy, and there was no evidence in support of it. The judge directed an acquittal. On the Spring Circuit of the same year, a man was tried at Lincoln, on a charge of administering a certain noxious drug to a female, with the intent to procure a miscarriage. The jury stated their opinion, that the girl was not pregnant when she took the drug. In this case the prisouer was discharged. More recently, in the case of Reg. v. Haynes (Cent. Crim. Court. 1843), the prisoner was found guilty of administering a drug with intent to procure abortion, when the woman was clearly proved, by the dissection of her body, not to have been pregnant.

The question whether the state of pregnancy be or be not an essential condition in reference to charges of criminal abortion, has, however, been lately decided in the negative, on a conference of the judges, in the case of the *Queen v. Goodall* (Notts Lent Ass. 1846). The deceased, believing herself to be pregnant, applied to the prisoner to procure abortion by puncturing the membranes. Some instrument was used for this purpose; and deceased, who had laboured under chronic cough, died, as it was alleged, from the maltreatment of the prisoner.

The body was inspected, and it was clearly proved that deceased was not preguant. The defence was-a want of proof that the prisouer believed deceased to have been pregnant, and therefore that the mechanical operation alleged to have been performed, might have been resorted to for the purpose of relieving her from other symptoms under which she was suffering. The most important point urged in the defence, however, was, that the crime of abortion was not complete without preguancy; and therefore the prisoner could not be convicted under the statute. A verdict of guilty was returned, but Coltman J. on this oceasion reserved the question for the consideration of the judges. At the following Assizes, Coleridge J. delivered judgment. indges held that the conviction was right. (Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 831.)

It is, therefore, established by this decision that a person believing a female to be pregnant, and perpetrating on her an act which would amount to an attempt at abortion, if the female were really pregnant, may be equally convicted under the statute. Hence the words, "procure the miscarriage of any woman" do not necessarily imply proof of pregnaucy, nor can the term "miscarriage" be considered to apply to a woman in the pregnant condition. It is remarkable that the same questions arose under the old statute, 43 Geo. III. c. 58, in which the words being "with child," were used; yet even here, Lawrence J. held that pregnancy was not necessary to he proved, and that the crime of abortion would be complete although the woman was not (Rex v. Phillips, Paris, Med. Jur. iii. p. 88). pregnant.

An attempt made on non-pregnant females should certainly be treated as a crime, and punished accordingly: but, medically speaking, abortion presupposes pregnancy: and if a woman be not pregnant, the carrying out of the intent hy the prisoner is a physical impossibility; yet, as the law is now expounded, a person may be convicted of attempting to procure miscarriage in a female who cannot miscarry.

It would appear that, according to the law of France, proof of pregnancy is not essential. Dr. Bayard relates a case in which a woman was convicted, in 1846, of au attempt to induce abortion in a female who was subsequently proved not to be pregnant, but to be labouring under ovarian disease. The prisoner was sentenced to eight years'

imprisonment. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, i. 466.)

Abortion of monsters .- Would the law apply if the child were dead in the nterus, or if it were a monster without human shape? The symptoms indicative of the death of the child in utero, have been elsewhere stated. The death of the child subsequently to the attempted abortion, might perhaps be addreed as corroborative evidence of the crime; but even if it were dead at the time of the attempt, a conviction would follow. (Reg. v. Goodall, supra.) cannot be doubted that the expulsion of a dead child would come under the popular signification of a miscarriage; and if the words were strictly interpreted, a prisoner might be convicted whether the child were living or dead, for it has been already said, that it is not necessary that any ahortion should have taken place. With respect to monsters, the question actually arose in a case tried at Drôme, in France, in 1841. (Gaz. Méd. Juillet, 1841, also Brit. and For. Rev. xxiv. 563.) A girl was accused of procuring abortion. The aborted fœtus of about the sixth month, was acephalous, and there was no vertebral canal for the spinal marrow. Other organs were also deficient or imperfectly formed. The medical witnesses declared that it had never breathed, and that its life had ceased with gestation. Ou the upper part of the body was a wound, which had been produced by a pointed instrument, probably just before it was expelled. This they thought The counsel for the prisoner contended that this had caused death. could not be regarded as a case of criminal abortion, owing to the monstrosity of the offspring; and the jury acquitted her. As in this country, proof of pregnancy is no longer required, monstrosity would make no difference in the crime.

Extra-uterine conceptions.—Would the law apply to eases of extrauterine pregnancy? There can be no doubt that the crime of abortion would apply to eases of this description; and a person would be equally amenable for the attempt, whether the fectus were in the uterus or in the Fallopian tube. The symptoms of extra-uterine pregnancy, especially of the tubal kind, are very similar to those of ordinary pregnancy;—they are not to be distinguished from them in the early stages (see Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 103).

Abortion of moles and hydatids.—The use of the word miscarriage, in the statute, without any explanation of the meaning assigned to it, might, but for the decision in the case of Reg.v. Goodall, have created some difficulty on trials for abortion. In a popular sense (and here a popular appears to have been purposely selected in preference to a professional term), miscarriage signifies the violent expulsion not merely of a child, hnt of moles, hydatids, and other diseased growths, or even of coagula of blood. In these last-mentioned cases, the woman is not actually pregnant; although she and the prisoner may imagine that she is. The recent decision in the case of Reg. v. Goodall, shows that it is unnecessary to speculate on this subject. Whether the nterus coutain these morbid growths, or whether it be in the virgin state, the party accused may still be convicted of an attempt to procure abortion.

BIRTH. INHERITANCE.

CHAPTER LII.

EVIDENCE OF LIVE BIRTH IN CIVIL CASES—LEGAL RIGHTS OF THE FŒTUS IN UFERO—DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ENTIRE AND PARTIAL BIRTH—CASE.—SIGNS OF LIVE BIRTH INDEPENDENT OF RESPIRATION OR CRYING.—CONFLICTING MEDICAL EVIDENCE IN THE CASE OF FISH v. PALMER.—MOTION OF A LIP A PROOF OF LIVE BIRTH.—VAGITUS UTERINUS—POSSESSIO FRATRIS—TENANCY BY COURTESY.—CÆSAREAN EXTRACTION OF CHILDREN—LEGAL BIRTH.—POSTMORTEM BIRTHS.—DATE OF BIRTH—MINORITY AND MAJORITY.—MEDICAL EVIDENCE IN RELATION TO PLUBAL BIRTHS.—MONSTERS—WHAT CONSTITUTES A MONSTER IN LAW—BEPRIVATION OF LEGAL RIGHTS—DOUBLE MONSTERS—CHRISTINA RITTA—THE SIAMESE TWINS.

Live birth in civil cases.—The law of England bas not defined the meaning of the term birth, in reference to civil jurisprudence; but if we are to be guided by the numerous decisions, which have been made on trials for infanticide, it must be regarded as signifying "the entire delivery of a child," with or witbout its separation from the body of the mother (ante, p. 457. Sce, also, Chitty, Med. Jur. 412). So long as an infant remains in the uterus it is said in law to be "in ventre sa mère;" but it is legally supposed to be born for many purposes. (Blackstone's Comm. i. 130.) A child in the womb may have a legacy or an estate made over to it, - it may have a guardian assigned to it, but none of these conditious can take effect unless the child be born alive. So the fectus may be made an executor; but an infant cannot act as such until it has attained the age of seventeen years! The most important medieo-legal questions connected with this subject, are those which arise in contested suits relative to succession or the inheritance of property. A child which is born alive, or has come entirely into the world in a living state, may by the English law inberit and transmit property to its heirs, even although its death has immediately, and perhaps from morbid eauses, necessarily followed its birth. Should the child be born dead, whether it died in utero or during the act of birth, it does not acquire any civil rights; for it is not regarded as a life in being, unless it manifest signs of life after it is entirely born. Some have

considered that partial birth, provided the child be living, should suffice to confer the same rights on the offspring, as the proof of entire birth. The following case has been adduced by Dr. Locock, in support of this view, although the question here was rather in reference to the actual date of birth, than to the acquisition of eivil rights therefrom :- the principle is, however, the same. On a Saturday evening, a lady was in labour with her first child. The head and one arm were born two or three minutes before a neighbouring clock struck twelve. There was a cessation of pain for several minutes, during which time the child cried and breathed freely. The rest of the body was not expelled until full five minutes after the same clock had struck twelve. Was this child horn on the Saturday or on the Sunday? tainly the birth was not completed until the Sunday :- the child was still partly within the mother, the circulation was still kept up through the umbilical vessels; "but," continues Dr. Locock, "I gave my opinion that the child was born on the Saturday. I considered that the child had then commenced an independent existence. The feetal life had then to all intents and purposes ceased; and respiration—a function incompatible with the condition of a feetus—had commenced. The umbilical cord will, it is true, go on pulsating for many minutes after an infant has been brought completely into the world crying and kicking, unless at he compressed artificially; and yet no one will say, that the child in such a case is not born until we choose to take the trouble to tie the navel-string. The child would not have been damaged, if it had remained for honrs, or even days, with merely its head and arms extruded: it could have been fed in this situation." (Med. Gaz. xii. 636.) However reasonable this view may appear, a medical jurist must shape his evidence according to what the law demands. It has been elsewhere stated (INFANTICIDE, aute, p. 457), that our judges have distinctly laid down the law, that up child can be considered to he born until the whole of its body has come entirely into the world. This is in relation to criminal jurisprudence, in which case, if in any, the rule should be relaxed; because its relaxation would tend to punish the wilful destruction of living infants partially born. This child could not, therefore, have been born on the Saturday, because the law does not regard partial birth as entire birth; and respiration and birth are not synonymous terms. Supposing this child to have died before its body was entirely extruded, it could not be said, even medically, that it was born alive; and certainly it could not be considered, according to the present state of the law, to have acquired the rights of a child born living. The reasonableness of the opinion that partial birth should suffice for all the legal purposes of entire birth, is an entirely distinct question, and one over which a medical witness has no sort of control. Whatever apparent injustice may be done by adhering to this rule in respect to the civil rights of persons, there is no doubt that the evil is really of great magnitude in relation to criminal jurisprudence; for it would appear from the present state of the criminal law, that partially-born children, although alive and healthy, may he wilfully destroyed with impunity.

On the other hand, some difficulty might arise in civil cases, if the hare extrusion of a part of the body sufficed for all the legal purposes of entire birth. It might become a casuistical question. as to how much of the body should he in the world, in order to constitute legal hirth; for there is no reason why, in a medical view, the extrusion of the head and shoulders should constitute birth any more than the extrusion of a hand or a foot. If it he said that the act of respiration should be combined with partial extrusion, this would be unjust; because a child is alive,—its heart is evidently pulsating, and its blood circulating, as freely before the act of respiration as afterwards. Besides, it is admitted that children may be born alive and live for some time without respiring; nor is this want of respiration any objection to these children being considered living in law. will be related presently where a child was legally pronounced to have been born alive, although it had certainly not respired. If, then, proof of respiration were not demanded in cases of entire, it could scarcely be required in cases of partial birth. In the event of partial, being treated as synonymous with entire birth, there would be no cud to litigation; and medical opinious would vary in every case. doubtful whether, under such circumstances, the law could be administered with any degree of certainty or impartiality. Admitting, then, that a child must be entirely born, in order that it should acquire civil rights, it will next be necessary to examine the medical proof required to show that it has been born alive. The question here is different to that of live birth in reference to child-murder. We must presume that the practitioner is present at a delivery, in which a child is born in a doubtful state, or where its death speedily follows its birth. civil rights of the child and its heirs will depend upon the eareful observation made by a practitioner, of the circumstances attending the delivery. In some instances, a witness will be required to form an opiniou from facts proved by non-professional persons.

Signs of live birth independently of respiration or crying.—The visible respiration of a child after its birth, or as it may be manifested by its crying, is an undoubted sign of its having heen born alive: but, as it has just been stated, a child may acquire its civil rights, although it may be neither seen to breathe nor beard to cry. The pulsation of a child's heart, or even the spasmodic twitching of any of the muscles of the body, is regarded as a satisfactory proof of live-birth. The latter sign has been judicially so pronounced,—à fortiori, therefore, the motion of a limb will be considered good evidence in an English court of law, of life after birth. It is to be observed, that the length of time for which these signs of life continue after the child is born, is wholly immaterial:—all that is required to be established is, that they were positively manifested. A child which survives entire hirth for a single instant, acquires the same civil rights, as if it bad cou-

tinued to live for a month or longer. These facts will be hetter understood from the following case (Fish v. Palmer), which was tried in the Court of Exchequer, in the year 1806:—The wife of the plaintiff Fish, who was possessed of landed estate in her own right, died about ten years previously to the trial, after having given birth to a child, which was supposed at the time to have heen horn dead. Iu consequence of the plaintiff's not having had a living child (as it was assumed) hy his marriage, the estate of the wife was claimed and taken by the defendant Palmer, her heir at law, the hushand being ohliged to surrender it under these circumstances. From information derived many years subsequently from some women, who were present at the delivery of the wife, the plaintiff was led to think that the child had not been boru dead, and that the estate had been improperly surreudered. The action was therefore brought to contest the possession, ten years after the death of his wife; and it lay with the plaintiff to prove his allegation-that the child had been born living. Lyon, the accoucheur who attended the plaintiff's wife, had died some time before the trial: but it was proved that he had declared the child to have been living an hour before it was born, that he had directed a warm hath to he prepared, and when the child was horn, gave it to the nurse to place in the bath. The child ucither cried nor moved after its hirth, nor did it manifest any signs of active existence : hut the two women who placed the child in the hath, swore that, when it was immersed, there appeared twice, a twitching and tremulous motion of the They informed the accoucheur of this, and be directed them to blow iuto its throat; but it did not exhibit any farther evidence of life. The principal question on the trial was: - Whether this tremulous motion of the lips was sufficient evidence of the child having been horn The medical witnesses differed. Dr. Bahington and Dr. Haightou gave their opinion that had the vital principle been extinct. there could have been no muscular motion in any part of the body: therefore the child had, in their opinion, heen horn alive or manifested life after its entire birth. Dr. Denman gave a contrary opinion: he contended that the child had not been born alive, and attempted to draw a distinction between nterine and extra-uterine life. He attrihuted the motions of the lips after hirth, to the remains of uterine life. The jury, however, under the direction of the Court, did not adopt this view of the ease:—they pronounced the child to have been horn living; and by their verdict, the plaintiff recovered the estate of which he had been for ten years deprived.

From the result of this case, it would appear that the law does not recognise the distinction attempted to be drawn by Dr. Denman, hetween what he called uterine and extra-nterine life. A distinction of this kind appears to he purely artificial;—respiration is commouly set down as a mark of extra-uterine life: hut a child may breathe and die hefore it is horn, or it may he entirely horn and manifest indultitable sigus of life without respiring. Respiration therefore is properly

regarded by the English law as only one sign of life,—the proof of the possession of active and vigorous life, is not absolutely required. It cannot be admitted physiologically that any tremulous motion in the muscles could ever take place spontaneously in a really dead hody; and the spasmodic motion of the lips differs only in degree from the active motion of a leg or an arm. If a certain quantity of life, so to term it, were required to be proved, instead of the bare fact of its presence or absence, the most subtle distinctions would be continually drawn:-thus it might be contended that unless a certain degree of respiration had taken place, it should be assumed, contrary to wellknown facts, that the child had been born dead. In this respect it appears to me that the law of Scotland must operate unjustly. The law of that country in respect to tenancy, declares that a child caanot he born alive unless it has breathed;—it therefore requires exclusive evidence of respiration. (Ed. Mcd. and Surg. Jour. xxvi. 369.) It would be as reasonable to demand for exclusive proof of life, the motion of one of the extremities, as to insist upon exclusive proof of respiration: for this so varies in degree, that a child may breathe and survive its birth many hours, scarcely receiving any air into its lungs (aute, p. 439). Would this be better evidence of live birth, than the distinct motion of a limh? Non-professional persons might be easily deceived as to the fact of respiration in these feeble subjects, and a post-mortem examination would not always remove the doubt; --- but no one is likely to he deceived about the motion of an arm or a leg. The power by which a limb is moved, is the same as that by which the intercostal mascles are moved in the act of respiration. Besides, it is forgotten by those who would thus restrict the proof of life, that such a restriction would be attended with great injustice; for morally speaking, the right of a husband to enjoy for life the estate of a wife, should not he made to depend upon the mere accident of a child being boru, or of its having survived its birth for a few moments. been objected to this view of the ease, that the motion described, may have been the mere remains of irritability and not a sign of actual I am unable to perceive the force of this objection. Irritability as manifested by spontaneous motion is not a property of dead matter; and the remains of irritability, must, physiologically speaking, be regarded as the remains of life or of a vital power in the muscles. Could any witness have sworn that a child whose lips twice manifested a tremulous motion after its hirth, was born dead? It appears to me that he would not have been justified in so doing. He would be compelled to admit that such spontaneous contractions are not observed in bodies really dead, and that they are the certain indication of some vital power still remaining. The English law recognises no intermediate state between life and death; and it does not require a certain amount of active life to be manifested, but merely satisfactory proof that there are some signs of vitality in the child's body after it has been brought entirely into the world. (For a case by M. Marc, somewhat similar, but in which the medical opinions were opposed to these views, see Ann. d'Hyg. 1838, i. 98')

On these occasions the mere warmth of the body of a child at its birth, would not be evidence of life:—the slightest trace of vital action in its common and true physiological acceptation, would, however, without doubt, be deemed by our law a sufficient proof of the child having been horn alive.

Vagitus uterinus.—Let us suppose that the evidence of a child having been born alive, is stated to be that it was heard to cry:—it may be a question for a medical witness in cross-examination, whether this is to be taken as an absolute proof of live-birth. The auswer must be in the negative, because a child may ery before its hody is entirely born:—or it may be what is called vagitus uterinus,—a nterine ery after the rupture of the membranes. (See ante, Infanticide, p. 455.) As in all cases of this description, there must be eyewitnesses, whether professional or not, the evidence cannot rest solely upon the mere medical possibility of the occurrence of such a cry before birth: and proof will be required of the erying of the child after it was born.

There are two eases in which the determination of the momentary existence of children after birth, becomes of importance in a legal point of view. These are in cases involving the questions of Possessio Fratris and Tenancy by the Courtesy.

Possessio Fratris.—In the event of a man twice married, dying intestate, and leaving a daughter by each marriage, his estate would be equally shared by the daughters of the two marriages: but if we suppose that there is a son of the second marriage, born in a doubtful state, the legal effect of this child momentarily surviving birth, manifested by some slight sign of life, would be to disinherit the daughter of the first marriage entirely, and transfer the whole of the estate to the daughter of the second marriage, she being sister to the male heir, while the daughter of the first marriage is only of half blood. The determination of this point, which does not often occur, must rest essentially upon medical evidence, when there is a want of clear proof of life after birth. (See Amos, Med. Gaz. i. 738.)

Tenancy by Courtesy.—This signifies, according to Blackstone (Com. ii. 426), a tenant by the courts of England. The nature of this tenancy has been already explained. See the case of Fish v. Palmer (ante, p. 556). If a married woman, possessed of fee simple estate, die, it passes from the husband to her heir at law, unless there has been a child born tiving of the marriage, in which case the husband acquires a life-interest in the property. The only defence of this singular

enstom is, that it is of great antiquity. An unsuccessful attempt was made a few years since to substitute for it the reasonable provision, that the marriage should entitle the husband to a right, which he can now only acquire by the fulfilment of certain accidental conditions. Incurable sterility, a protracted lahour, or deformity in the pelvis of the wife, or the necessary performance of craniotomy on a healthy well-formed child, may, under this custom, lead to an aversion of the inheritance. The tenancy, in contested cases, is generally established or disproved by medical evidence: and the following are the conditions which the law requires in order that the right should exist. 1. The child must he horn alive. A case has been already related wherein the tremulous motion of a lip was held to be a sufficient proof of live 2. The child must be horn while the mother is living. From this it would appear that if a living child were removed from the outlet after the death of the mother, or extracted by the Casarean operation from the uterus, the husband could not become entitled to enjoy his wife's estate: although the child may survive its removal or extraction, and succeed to the estate on attaining its majority. How such a case would be decided in the present day it is difficult to determiuc: but one instance is quoted by most medico-legal writers from Lord Coke, where, about three centuries since, the case was decided against the husband, in consequence of the child having been removed from the uterus by the Cæsarcan section after the death of the wife. (For a very singular case involving this question in France, see Ann. d'Hyg. 1838, 98.)

Casarean operation.—The Casarean operation has rarely been performed in England, except when the female was actually dying or dead. Dr. Goodman, of Manchester, has collected and published, from the table of Dr. Merriman and other sources, an account of thirty-eight of these operations performed in this country since 1737. It appears that out of this number only three mothers have recovered, the children, with one exception in the three cases, having died. eighteen cases the children were extracted living. (Obstetric Record, No. 4, 1848, p. 3.) Dr. Goodman himself performed this operation successfully on a female in November 1845. The child was extracted alive, and the woman perfectly recovered from the operation. Gaz. xxxvi. 1392.) The practice on the continent has been to nudertake it while the woman was living, and the result has shown that it may thus he performed successfully both with regard to mother and (See Med. Gaz. xix. 829, 878; Cormack's Monthly Journal, child. July 1845, p. 541-543.) For a case in which this operation was successfully performed three times on the same person, see Brit. and For. Med. Rev. July 1836, 270. Important legal consequences may hereafter ensue from the more general adoption of this practice in England in respect to deformed females. Thus, supposing in any case the child were removed alive while the mother was living, both of

them dying shortly afterwards.—Would the husband become a tenant by the courtesy? The law says the child must be born: and some lawyers would find ground for argning whether extraction by the Cæsarcan operation should be regarded as "legal birth." autem valde controversum est inter jurisconsultos, an is qui editus est execto matris ventre reputetur partus naturalis et legitimus et successionis capax." (Caranza.) According to Fonblanque, the question is now settled in the affirmative—a child extracted is a child born. (Med. Jur. i. 226.) Our ancient law-authorities do not appear to have eoutemplated that the operation would ever be undertaken on a living The words of Lord Coke, which are considered to express the state of the law, are:-" If a woman seized of lands in fee taketh husband, and by him is bigge with childe, and in her travell dyeth, and the child is ripped out of her body alive, yet shall he not be tenant by the curtesic, because the child was not born during the marriage, nor in the life of the wife, but in the mean time her land descended." According to Mr. Hobler, the Casarcan operation does not divert the course of descent, or divest the life estate of the husband, provided that the child be born alive and the mother was living when the ehild was born. (Obstetric Record, iii. 66). Birth and extraction by the Casarean operation are, therefore, treated as synonymous terms.

As a proof that this operation is not always necessary where circumstances may appear to call for it, the following case mentioned by Sir B. Brodie as having occurred in a French hospital, is of some interest. It is that of a woman whose pelvis was considered to be too narrow for the egress of the child. As she was at the full term of gestation, the Caesarcan section was proposed, but before the operators were ready to commence, the child was expelled by the natural efforts of the uterus, or as Sir B. Brodie expressed it, the child preferred coming into the would be the old read of Caesarch by a 1842.

coming into the world by the old road! (Lancet, Dec. 1843.)

This, however, is not the only case of the kind on record. There is great reason to believe that continental practitioners are too officious in suggesting the performance of this operation, and that it is often uudertaken to the serious risk of the life of the female, when the ease, if left to nature, would have done well. A ease occurred in Scotland in 1847, in which the Cæsarean operation was considered by several practitioners of experience to be the only means by which delivery could be accomplished. Fortunately for the female, the labour was somewhat rapid, and she was delivered of a dead child, weighing about three pounds, before the arrival of those who had cousidered that the operation would be required. (Ed. Monthly Journ. July 1847, p. 30.) The fact is, on these occasions, nature often adapts means to ends in a most unexpected manner. An interesting ease of the performance of this operation on a living female has been reported by Mr. Skey. Here sufficient time was allowed for the advancement of the labour, aud it was evident to all that delivery could not take place by the outlet; that embryotomy could not be performed; and that unless the

operation was resorted to, the female would infallihly sink from exbaustion. The child was extracted, but the mother died in about

thirty-six hours. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 212.)

It has been a question among medical jurists as to the period of geststion at which the operation should be performed. This would of course depend on the earliest period at which a child might be born canable of living. In reference to tenancy by courtesy, the child might be extracted alive as early as the fifth month; but it would not be likely to survive unless it was at or about the seventh month. (See post, p. 573.) Some have alleged that unless performed immediately after the death of the mother, the child would not be extracted living. The condition of the feetus in utero is, bowever, peculiar, and quite distinct from that of a child living by the act of respiration. It is possible, therefore, that there may be a limited survivorship, and that the operation may be performed so late as an hour after the death of the mother with the possibility of extracting a living child. There are incredible accounts of children having been extracted living, many hours after the death of the mother. Dr. Kergaradec states that this happened in the case of the Princess Pauline of Schwartzenburgh, who, while pregnant, was burnt to death at the ball given on the occasion of the marriage of the Empress Maria Louisa in 1810. The body was not examined until the following day, and the feetus was then found living! (Ann. d'Hvg. 1846, i. 454.)

3. The child must be born capable of inheriting; therefore if it be a monster the hushand does not acquire the right of tenancy. There are some other legal conditions which must also be fulfilled, hut I have here confined myself to what may become matter for medical evidence.

Admitting that there are legal ways by which the obnoxious parts of this custom may be set aside during the life of the mother, it is hardly just that the knowledge of the necessity for these precautions should be left to be acquired by accident. It would be better to abolish tenancy by courtesy altogether, than to allow the succession of the husband to rest upon a casualty of this kind. (See the case of Fish v. Palmer, ante, p. 556.)

Post-mortem births.—That a child may be born after the death of the mother, and survive its birth, is proved by the following case. A woman died during labour. The accoucherr, who was summoned, found the head of the child presenting, but too high up in the pelvis to allow of the application of the forecps. He immedistely introduced his hand into the uterus; and a quarter of an hour after the death of the mother, and twenty hours after the rupture of the membranes, he extracted a male infant in a state of apparent death. The child, which was well formed, was speedily resuscitated by the application of the ordinary means. (Berlin. Medicin. Zeit. July 1836.) Had this case occurred in England, it would probably have been decided according to the old precedent, that the husband could not become a tenant by

the courtesy, because by the death of the mother the marriage was dissolved, and the land descended before the child was born.

Date of birth.—Medical evidence has occasionally been demanded in Courts of law respecting the actual date of birth of individuals, in cases where a period of a few days, hours, or even minutes, was required to prove the attainment of a majority,—and therefore legal responsibility for the performance of civil contracts into which the parties had entered, either knowingly or ignorantly, when minors. Some such cases have been decided by the evidence of the accoucheur himself,—others, when the accoucheur was dead, by the production of his case-books; and it is worthy of notice that the strictness and punctuality of some medical practitioners in making written memoranda of the cases attended by them, have, in more than one instance, led to a satisfactory settlement of such suits, and the avoidance of further litigation. The proof of the date of birth is also of considerable importance in cases of contested tegitimacy.

Minority and Majority.—The word minor is synonymous with that of infant, and is applied in law to any one under the age of twenty-one years. The age of a person may render him incompetent to the performance of civil duties. Minors are frequently called upon to act as witnesses in civil and criminal cases. In rapes committed upon young females, it is especially important to notice whether the prosecutrix be or be not competent to give evidence. The law has fixed no age for testimonial competency; and I have never heard of the question being referred to a medical practitioner. The child is always orally examined by the Court; and it is soon rendered apparent whether the witness possesses a proper knowledge of the nature and obligations of an oath. If not, the trial is postponed, and the child is placed under instruction, to appear again at the following sessions or assizes. The competency of a child as a witness, therefore, does not depend on age, but upon its understanding.

According to the principles of our law, a male at 12 may take the oath of allegiance; at 14 he is considered to be at years of discretion, and becomes then responsible for his actions; at 21 he attains majority, and is at his own disposal, and may alienate his lands, goods, and chattels, by deed or will. It is only when this age has been attained that an individual can be sworn to serve on a jury. The period at which a male is considered to have attained full age varies in some countries: thus, in the kingdom of Naples it is fixed at 18 years; in Holland at 25; but generally thronghout the states of Europe the law prescribes 21 years, the same as the common law of England.

A person is completely of age after the first instant of the day before the twenty-first auniversary of his birthday, although forty-seven hours and fifty-nine minutes short of the complete number of days counting by hours; and this mode of calculating age and time is applicable to all the other ages before and after twenty-one. This is on the principle that part of a day is equal to the whole of a day in a legal point of view. The following case in reference to this question was decided by appeal in the House of Lords in Fehruary 1775. An estate was bequeathed to a Thomas Sansom so soon as he should arrive at the age of 21. He was horn between the honrs of 5 and 6 on the morning of the 16th August, 1725, and died ahout 11 in the forence on the 15th August, 1746. The question was, whether he had, at the time of his death, arrived at the full age. In the Court of Chancery it had been so decided; but it was urged that more than sixteen hours were wanting to complete the term. This plea was overruled by their Lordships, and the decree confirmed, because the deceased was living on the day which would have completed the period. A few minutes or hours may thus determine the attainment of majority and the responsibility of minors for civil contracts.

Plural births.—This has been regarded as a subject appertaining to medical jurisprudence; but I am not aware that there is any case on record in which the evidence of a medical man has been called for respecting it. It is a simple question of primogeniture, which has been generally settled by the aid of depositions or declarations of old relations or servants present at the birth. Women may have two, three, four, or five children at a birth. Twins are comparatively frequent, hut triplets and quadruplets are very rare. According to Dr. Rüttel, out of 574,293 hirths in Prussia in 1840, there were 6381 cases of twins, 72 triplets, and one quadruplets. This writer knew an instance in which a woman had six children at a birth. Zeitschrift, 1844, 266; and Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 607.) The only circumstauce with respect to these plural births which it has been recommended that an accouchenr should attend to, is the order of their The first horn child, according to the ancient principle of the common law of this country, succeeds to the inheritance. In cases of twin or triplet males, a practitioner would find himself much embarrassed to express an opinion as to which was first born after the lapse of a certain period, unless there were some personal peculiarity or deformity which would at once stamp the identity.

There is one case in which the law has interfered to prevent the inheritance of offspring, and this is in relation to monstrous births.

MONSTERS.

The connection of teratology with medical jurisprudence has been most ahly investigated by M. St.-Hilaire. Although questions connected with these beings do not often occur, yet it is proper that a medical witness should be acquainted with certain facts respecting them. The law of England has given no precise definition of what is intended hy a monster. According to Lord Coke, it is a being "which hath not the shape of mankind; such a heing cannot be heir to or inherit land, although brought forth withiu marriage." A mere deformity in any part of the body, such as supernumerary fingers or

toes, twisted or deformed limbs, will not constitute a monster in law, so far as the succession to property is concerned, provided the being still have "human shape." Even a supernumerary leg would not probably he allowed to avert inheritance! The trisceles monster, in which the third leg was a fusion of two legs, was lately exhibited in London. (See Med. Gaz. xxxvii. 619.) From Lord Coke's description, it is obvious that the law will be guided in its decision by the description of the monstrous hirth given by a medical witness. It would not rest with the witness to say whether the heing was or was not a monster-the Court would draw its inference from the description given by him. Various classifications of monsters have been made, but these are of no assistance whatever to a medical jurist, because each case must be judged of by the peculiarities attending it; and his duty will not be to state the class and order of the monster, but simply in what respect it differs from the healthy organized being. In consequence of the want of a sufficient number of precedents on the subject, it is difficult to say what degree of monstrosity would he required in law in order to cut off the civil rights of the heing. There are acephalous, dicephalons, and disomatous monsters; others, again, like the Siamese twins, have two hodies united by a mere band of integument. Would an acceptalous monster he considered as devoid of human shape? Would a disomatous monster be allowed to inherit as one?-to marry as one,-or how would legal punishment be inflicted in the event of one of the hodics infringing the laws? Such are the singular questions which have been proposed by medical jurists in relation to these heings; and there is obviously ample room for the exercise of much legal ingenuity in respect to these questions. According to St.-Hilaire, the rule which has been followed in all countries respecting these monstrosities, is to consider every monster with two equally developed heads, whether it he disomatous or not, as two beings; and every monster with a single head, under the same circumstances, as a single being. He ascribes the origin of this rule to the performance of the rite of baptism in all Christian countries upon each head, where the monster was dicephalous. This view certainly appears rational, when we consider that with two heads there are two moral individualities; while with a single head, there is only one will and one moral individuality. But it is doubtful how far this doctrine would be received by jurists and legislators. The question whether, in a dicephalo-disomatous monster, the two beings should be bound by the act of one, either in civil or criminal jurisprindence, is a matter which, if these monstrosities were more frequent, would give rise to serious difficulties. Such a question is not purely speculative, because it might easily have been raised in respect to the Siamese twins during their stay in this country; and according to St.-Hilaire, a case of this kind was actually decided in Paris in the seventeenth century, in relation to a double-headed monster. This author relates that the double monster killed a man by stabbing him with a knife. The being was condemned to death, but was not executed on account of the innocence of one of its component halves! (Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 331.) According to the same authority, compound monstrosity is not transmissible by generation. The reader will find an account of the most remarkable monsters born during the present century in a paper by Dr. Rüttel (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, 229.) Among them is mentioned a tricephalous monster born living in Paris in 1830. Each head was baptized under a separate name. Monsters, especially the dicephalous, are either born dead or die very soon after birth; yet within a recent period two have been known to live, the one, Christina Ritta, for nine months,—the other, the Siamese twins,

for many years,—the latter may be still living.

Christina-Ritta was born in Sardinia in 1829. This monster was double from the head to the pelvis; the two vertebral columns being distinct as far as the os coccygis. The left bust was christened by the name of Christina, the right by that of Ritta. The monster was brought to Paris, where it died about nine months after its birth. An excellent model of it may be seen in the museum of Guy's Hospital, together with some good specimens of the dicephalous and disomatous varieties. In the further description of it, it may be observed, that below the pelvis the monster is single. There are two heads resting on two necks; and the union or fusion of the two busts is effected laterally towards the middle portion of the chest, so that the two corresponding breasts are almost blended. The abdomen, as well as the pelvis, evidently formed by the junction of two primitive pelves, is single. In the chest there were found two distinct sets of lungs and two hearts; but these were enclosed in a single pericardium. During life, the pulsations of these organs were so uniform that there was considered to be only a single heart. There was only one diaphragm, a fact which accounted for the simultaneous death of both bodies; one only having been previously indisposed.

The Sianese Twins may be regarded, from the age which they had attained, and the probability of their continuing to live, as forming the most remarkable monster of modern times. Many professional men must have had an opportunity of sceing them when exhibited in London in 1831. They had distinct volitions, and would converse at the same time on different subjects; their movements were simultaueons, so as to appear like those of a single being. In short, they could be regarded in no other light than as two distinct beings united by a narrow band. This band of union was, however, so intimate as to render it probable that they had only one peritoneal cavity between them. When either conghed, the band swelled np in its whole length. This formed an insurmountable obstacle to their separation. It would, however, have been impossible, in relation to criminal and civil jurisprudence, to have made both responsible for the acts of one, since

they occasionally differed in opinion!

For an account of a case of a monocephalic disomatous monster, which was born alive, but died soon after birth, see Ed. Med. Jour. lv. 76;

and at page 435 of the same volume is an account of a dicephalous monster born at Manchester in 1840.

Malpositions, transpositions, or defects of the internal organs of any of the cavities, cannot form monstrous births within the meaning of the English law. The legal question relates only to external shape, not to internal conformation. It is well known that many internally malformed persons live to a great age; and it is not until after death that malpositions and defects of this kind are discovered. In French iurisprudence the case appears to be different; if the malposition or defect were such as to become a cause of death soon after birth, the child would be pronounced not "viahle," and therefore incapable of acquiring its civil rights. Some medical jurists have discussed the question of "viability" in new-born children, i. e. their healthy orgauization with a capacity to continue to live, as if it were part of the jurisprudence of this country; but I am not aware of any facts which bear ont this view. The English law does not regard internal monstrosity; and the case of Fish v. Palmer shows clearly, that the simple question in English jurisprudence is, not whether a child be or be not "viable," but whether it has manifested the least sign of life after it was entirely born. The French law is much more complex, and throws a much greater degree of responsibility on French medical jurists. (See Viability, post, p. 572.)

LEGITIMACY.

CHAPTER LIII.

LEGAL PRESUMPTION OF LEGITIMACY—DATE OF CONCEPTION NOT REGARDED—DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ENGLISH AND SCOTCH LAW,—CHILDREN BORN AFTER DEATH.—NATURAL PERIOU OF GESTATION—DURATION FROM ONE INTERCOURSE.—PREMATURE BIETH—SHORT PERIODS OF GESTATION.—VIABILITY—EARLIEST PERIOD AT WHICH A CHILD MAY BE BORN LIVINO.—FAMA CLAMOSA—EVIDENCE FROM THE STATE OF THE OFFSPRING.—CAN FULLY DEVELOPED CHILDREN BE BORN PREMATURELY?—PROTRACTED BIRTHS,—LONG PERIODS OF GESTATION—CASES—LONGEST PERIODS YET KNOWN—THE SEX OF THE CHILD HAS NO INFLUENCE—PERIOD NOT FIXED BY LAW.—OARDNER PEERAGE CASE—EVIDENCE FROM THE STATE OF THE CHILD—LEGAL DECISIONS—MISTAKES IN THE MODE OF COMPUTATION,—CASES.

Legal presumption of legitimacy.—Every child born in lawful matrimony is considered by the English law to be the child of the husband,

unless the contrary be made clearly to appear hy medical or moral evidence, or by both combined. It is only in reference to medical evidence that the subject of legitimacy can here be considered; but it is extremely rare to find a case of this kind determined by medical evidence alone. There are generally circumstances which show that the child, whose legitimacy is disputed, is the offspring of adultery, while the medical facts may be perfectly reconcileable with the supposition that the claimant is the child of the husband. These cases have been therefore repeatedly decided from moral evidence alone.—the medical evidence respecting the period of gestation or physical capacity in the parties, leaving the matter in doubt. The law which formerly prevailed in this country was to the effect, that if a child were horn during marriage,—the husband being within the four seas of the realm, (intra quatuor maria,) and no physical impossibility heing proved, the child was legitimate. Access was presumed, unless he could prove that he was "extra quatuor maria" for above nine months previously to birth. (Blackstone, i. 456.) But the present state of the English law on the subject appears to be this. A child born during marriage is deemed illegitimate, when by good medical or other evidence it is proved that it was impossible for the husband to be the father,whether from his being under the age of puberty, from his lahouring under physical incapacity from age or natural infirmity,—or from the length of time which may have elapsed since he could have had intercourse, whether from absence or death. With proof of non-access or immorality on the part of the mother, so important on these occasions, a medical witness is not in the least concerned. In some instances, the law assumes without medical evidence that the offspring is illegitimate. as where the husband and wife have been legally divorced "a vinculo matrimonii." When children are born where the divorce is "a mensa et thoro," they are presumed to he illegitimate until the contrary appear. There is a peculiar difference in relation to legitimacy between the laws of England and Scotland. A child born of parents in Scotland hefore marriage, is rendered legitimate by their subsequent marriage. Iu England the offspring is illegitimate, whether the parents marry or not after its birth; and under the Poor Law Act, 4 and 5 Will. IV., if a man marry a single woman having a child or children living, of whom he is not the father, he is hound to maintain them as if they were his own and born after marriage. At the same time the children are not legitimated by the marriage. In the case of Birtwistle v. Vardell, decided on appeal by the House of Lords in August 1840, it was held that a child thus legitimated by the law of Scotland, could not be allowed to succeed to his father as heir to real estate in England. The Scotch rule appears to be more consistent with natural justice; since according to the English practice, it is inflicting confiscation on the offspring for a fault in the parents, which they had done all in their power to amend. (See also the cases Munro v. Munro, Dalhousie v. M'Douall, on appeal to the House of Lords, March 1840.) In the

case of Munro v. Munro, the child was horn during the residence of its father, a Scotchman, in England, but this was not considered to invalidate the application of the principle of Scotch law. These suits arc chiefly instituted in respect to the right of succession to property or claims for pecrages; and medical evidence is then frequently required to clear up the case. From what has been already said, the English law does not regard the date of conception, which cannot he fixed, but the date of birth, which can be fixed. Medical evidence may relate-1, to the actual length of the period of gestation:-this may be in a given case so short or so long, as to render it impossible that the hushand could be the father. 2. There may he physical incapacity in the hushand-he may he too old or too young-or he may labour under some physical defect rendering it impossible that he should he the father. 3. There may be sterility or incapacity in the female, reudering it impossible that the child should be the offspring of a particular womau:-in other words, it may be a supposititions child. (See Supposititious Children, post, p. 603.)

Children born after death .- It appears that a child horn after the death of the mother, provided she he lawfully married, is legitimate, although the marriage is dissolved by the death. This is not a mere hypothetical question. A case has already heen given (ante, p. 561) in which there was a post-mortem hirth of a living child, and the facts are of especial interest in relation to tenancy by the courtesy. Whether the birth take place by the aid of art through the outlet, or hy eventration as in the Casarean section, the hasband, if the wife he at the time dead, cannot claim the estate: but the child thus horn out of marriage is legitimate, and if it live, may, on attaining its majority, take the estate of which the mother was seized. (See ante. Casarean Extraction, p. 560.) The fact-that the English law disregards the date of conception might, therefore, give rise to a singular question. A child may have heen conceived before the marriage of the parents, and he hrought into the world hy the Cæsarean operation after the death of the mother. Hence it would neither be begotten nor born in wedlock, and yet according to the principle of the English law, it would he the legitimate offspring of the marriage!

Natural period of gestation. Duration from one intercourse.—
The first point to he considered is—what is the natural period of gestation, and whether this be fixed or variable. According to the testimony
of the most experienced accouchenrs, the average duration of gestation
in the human female, is comprised hetween the thirty-eighth and
fortieth weeks after conception. Numerous facts show that the
greater number of children are naturally born hetween these two
periods. Out of 186 accurately observed cases reported hy Dr.
Murphy, the greater number of deliveries took place on the 285th
day. (Obstetric Report, 1844.) The cause of this variation may
he, that the common mode of calculation hy reference to the suppression of the menstrual discharge, even in a healthy female, must

lead to a possible error of two, three, or even four weeks, since there is no sign whereby, in the ruajority of women, the actual period of conception can be determined; although the late researches of Bischoff tend to show that it must always be at or about the time of menstrution, and not at an intermediate period. (Med. Gaz. xxxv. 443, et seg.: Baly and Kirkes' Recent Advances in Physiology, 1848, 46.) On the other hand, accidental and isolated cases have already proved that a great difference naturally exists among females, with respect to this period; and it is probable that in no two is it necessarily the same. Thus, where there has been only one intercourse, the duration of pregnancy might be easily calculated without reference to any changes in the female constitution: for thereby the date of conception would Observations of this kind have shown that be accurately fixed. females have differed from each other; and in several instances they have exceeded or fallen short of the period of forty weeks, which has been usually set down as the limit of natural gestation. In three cases of this kind known to Dr. Rigby, labour came on in 260, 264, and 276 days. (Med. Times, March 14, 1846, 471.) Iu three other instances of recent occurrence, privately communicated to me by Dr. S. W. J. Merriman, labour commenced at 281, 283, and 286 days respectively after one intercourse; and in a case that occurred to Mr. Skey, which will be mentioned hereafter (p. 587), the labour did not commence until after the lapse of 293 days from a single intercourse. Hence it will be perceived that in well-observed cases, where there could be no motive for misstatement, and in which the characters of the females, some of whom were married, and who had already borne children, were beyond the reach of suspicion—a difference of not less than thirty-three days has been observed to occur, i. e. between the carliest case recorded by Dr. Rigby, and the latest reported by Mr. This is worthy of remark, because in a case to be related hereafter (Luscombe v. Prettyjohn, post, p. 591) the judge held that 299 days, only six days longer than in Mr. Skey's case, was an impossible period for human gestation!

Dr. Lockwood has published the following as the result of his experience. The actual duration of the term of gestation in the human subject was ascertained by him in four cases:—No. 1, aged 19, duration 272 days, first confinement; No. 2, aged 30, first confinement, duration 276 days; No. 3, aged 17, duration 270 days; No. 4, aged 44, seventh confinement, duration 284, the child weighing fourteen pounds. (Brit. Amer. Jonr. Dec. 1847, 214.) M. Devilliers has still more recently published the particulars of nine cases, in which the date of conception, from a single intercourse, was accurately determined. Delivery took place at the following periods:—229, 246, 257, 267, 301, 276-281, 278-283, 270, and 266-272 days. (Gaz. Méd.

Mars 4, 1848.)

Cause of the variations.—From analogical observations made on animals, it has been supposed that this variation in the period depended on the male: others have assigned it to peculiarities in the female constitution. It appears probable from recent researches, that the duration of the pregnant state is really dependant on the relative excitability of the uterine system at the menstrual periods. Numcrous facts tend to show, that notwithstanding the general suppression of the menses, there is great excitement of the uterine system at what would have been in the unimpregnated state, the regular menstrual periods. Sometimes, as it has been elsewhere stated, this really amounts to a periodical discharge of blood. There is also great reason to believe that abortion takes place more readily at these than at other periods. Hence many eminent accoucheurs are inclined to consider, that the duration of pregnancy is really a multiple of the menstrual period; and that in the majority of females it will occur at what would have heen the tenth menstrual period, or forty weeks from the date of conception, (Gaz. Médicale, 4 Decembre, 1847, p. 968); and according to the degree of excitement of the uterine system, the child may be expelled a period carlier or a period later than that which is assigned as the more usual natural term. It is a remarkable confirmation of this view, that the menstrual function is again commonly established one month after parturition. Admitting that conception may occur at any time hetween two menstrual periods, this theory will explain the variations which have been noticed in the duration of pregnancy after one intercourse. Dr. Rigby thinks that parturition takes place at the fortieth week, because the development of the child then acts by distending the uterus, which, in its irritable state, tends to throw it off. It is not, however, found that the duration of pregnancy is at all dependent on the size and weight of the child, or that children, born at the fortieth week, resemble each other in these respects. Hence the commencement of parturition cannot be ascribed to the physical conformation of the child. It would be desirable to know whether this periodicity can be invariably traced in the time at which labour commences. Some females menstruate every three weeks: so far as I can ascertain, it has not been shown that in them, the correspondence of gestation to the menstrual periods has been made out. Such females should, according to the theory, bear children to the thirteenth period from the date of the last cessation. Dr. Clay believes from the observations which he has made, that the variation in the period of gestation is dependant on the age of the female as well as of the male. He considers that the term of gestation is extended in proportion to the age of the female, and that while in a female of 17, the period may be taken at 270 days,—in a woman of 44, it would extend to 284 days. Again, when a female has heen impregnated by a male much older than herself, the term of utero-gestation is, in his opinion, longer than would be assigned to a female of this age, and vice vered. (Record of Obstetrio Medicine, Jnne 1848, 212.) A very extensive series of observations will he required in order to verify this ingenious theory.

Date of conception.—Another cause of the differences may be that the date of conception is not precisely the same in different females. It is customary for physiologists to date conception from intercourse: but the rescarches of Bischoff and Raciborski have shown that a variable interval may elapse according to the situation of the ovum at the time. Bischoff believes that the ovum escapes from the Graafian follicle at the time when the menstrual discharge is about to ccase; and he is of opinion, that to be fecundated, it must he acted on while it is in the Fallopian tube. Hence he considers, in order that impregnation should take place, that there must be intercourse within eight or twelve days from the cessation of the menstrual discharge. Raciborski thinks the time more limited. Out of sixteen women who gave him such information as enabled him to determine the time of fecundation, there was only one in whom this occurred so late as ten days after the cessation of the menstrual flux; and in this one, the menses had been suddenly arrested several days before their usual time of cessation, so that the extrusion of the ovum did not prohably take place until about two days prior to the act of intercourse to which it owed its fecundation. (Balv and Kirkes's Recent Advances in Physiology, 1848, 58.) These authors also state that Nacgcle is accustomed to reckon the duration of pregnancy at nine months and eight days from the last menstrual period, and in normal cases he bas found this to be correct. The variation in the period from this cause, is, however, probably slight.

Whatever may be the explanation adopted, it is obvious that in a medico-legal view, the only conclusion at which we can arrive is, that the period of gestation in the human female is not as it was

formerly supposed to be, a fixed and invariable term.

Prenature births. Short periods of gestation.—From the preceding remarks we may regard all births before the thirty-eighth week as premature, and all those which occur after the fortieth week as protracted cases; and one great point for a medical witness to determine will be, whether the characters presented by a child correspond to those which it should present, snpposing it to be legitimately born. When the birth is premature, this sort of corroborative evidence may be sometimes obtained; because, assuming that there has been no access between the parties before marriage, children born at the fifth, sixth, or even seventh month after marriage, cannot, if the offspring of the husband, present the characters of those born at the full period. It is not so with protracted births; for children are not more developed in protracted cases, than they are in those which occur at the usual period. (For an account of the characters presented by children at different ages, see ante, pp. 416, 536.)

In judging from the marks of development on the body of a child, we must make full allowance for the exceptions to which they are liable. The nearer the supposed premature delivery approaches to the full period of gestation, the more difficult will be the formation of an

opinion. Although the characters of a seven months' child are usually well marked, and may be known by common observation, it is not easy to distinguish a child born at the eighth from one born at the ninth month. Burns observes that it is possible for gestation to be completed, and the child perfected to its natural size, a week or two sooper than the end of the ninth month, and other accoucheurs corroborate this view. In a series of cases which occurred to M. Devilliers, the following were the weights of children born at the respective periods:—

229 days		4.6	pounds av.	270	days		6.8	pounds av.	
246 "		4.88	"	272	ı.		7.3	* **	
257 "		6.68	cc	283	"			**	
267 "		7.71	***						

Hence the weight of a child born in the fortieth week may be less than that of another horn in the thirty-seventh week of gestation. The weight in the third case may he taken as the average weight of the mature child, and the delivery took place three weeks hefore the usual period. (See Gazette Médicale, 4 Mars, 1848, p. 163.) Thus, then, a child, born at the eighth month, may be the offspring of the husband:—at the ninth, of an adulterer; but medical facts could not enable a witness to draw any distinction. It is here that moral proofs are necessary; for without these the legitimacy of a child in such a case could not be successfully disputed.

The survivorship of a child has been supposed to furnish additional evidence; for it is well known, that under a certain age children are not born living, or if born living, they speedily die. Therefore it has been argued, if a child born at the fifth or sixth month after the first cohabitation, be born living or survive, this should be taken as a proof of its illegitimacy. The following remarks will, however, show

that an argument of this kind may be overstrained.

Viability. Earliest period at which a child may be born living.—According to the English law, it is not necessary that the child, when born, should be capable of living, or viable, in order that it should take its civil rights. Thus it may be horn at a very carly period of gestation:—it may be immature, and not likely to survive: or again, it may be born at the full period of pregnancy, but it may be obviously labouring under some defective organization, or some mortal disease, which must necessarily cause its death within a very short period after its birth. Fortunately, all these points are of no importance in relation to the right of inheritance: the English medical jurist has only to prove that there were signs of life after birth,—whether the child were mature or immature, diseased or healthy, is a matter which does not at all enter into the investigation.

In this respect, our law appears to be more simple and just than that which prevails in France. By Art. 725 of the Code Napoléon, no child which is born alive, can inherit, unless it be born, as the law terms

it, viable. The meaning of this word is not defined by the law itself, and there are probably no two lawyers, or physicians, of that country, who place upon it the same interpretation. The Fronch law seems to intend (Devergie, i. 700; Briand, 173), by viability in a new-born child, that it should be capable of living out of the womb of its mother, and independently of her;—also that it should he capable of living for a longer or shorter period after its birth. It would have been difficult for any system of jurisprudence to have laid down a more vague or incorrect principle than this; and medical witnesses may consider themselves fortunate, that in this country they have not to take part in the litigation to which such a principle must necessarily give rise.

The effect of the French law is this:—a child may be born alive; it may breathe and ery, and survive its hirth for some considerable time; yet upon arbitrary medical principles, founded upon the period of gestation at which the child is born, on its length, its weight, the colour of its skin, the length of its hair, and form of its nails, it may he pronounced not viable; i.e. not capable of inheriting and transmitting property. But then, again, the child may he externally pronounced viable, and live four or five days; yet, on inspecting the body after death, if disease of the lungs, hrain, or any organ, which had its origin previous to birth, be found, it will be pronounced the contrary, and the rights of property are thus made to rest upon the most trivial and unsettled conditions. The presumption is, however, in favour of the legal rights of the offspring, when it has been clearly proved that it has lived after it was horn. The viability of the child is presumed, and those who would then benefit by the allegation of non-maturity, must prove it. (Briand, Man. Complet de Méd. Lég. 1846, 173.)

It may at first sight appear to some not quite consistent with justice, that a child which is born immature, or labouring under disease, owing to which it cannot long survive its hirth, should possess the same rights of inheritance as one which is born mature and perfectly healthy; but this evil to society, if it be admitted as such, is of far less magnitude than the adopting of a system which must constantly lead to subtle casuistical distinctions, and thereby create error and confusion. So long as there is no well-defined line, between a child which is considered capable of living and one which is not, gross injustice must necessarily be inflicted, by any rule of law similar to that which is admitted in the Code of France.

The question to be considered is,—What is the carliest period at which a child can be born, to enable it to live and to continue in life after its birth? It is now universally admitted, that children born at the seventh month of gestation are capable of living, although they are more delicate, and in general require greater care and attention to preserve them, than children born at the ninth month:—the chances are, however, very much against their surviving. It was the opinion of Dr. William Hunter, and it is one in which most obstetric

authorities agree, that few children born before the seventh month are capable of arriving at maturity. They may he horn alive at any period between the sixth and seventh months, or even, in some instances, earlier than the sixth: but this is rare, and if horn living, they commonly die soon after hirth. There is one case on record, of a child having been born living so early as the fourth month of gestation (Brit. and For. Med. Rev. ii. 236); and another of recent occurrence. in which a female ahorted at the fourth month and a half of pregnancy. M. Maisonneuve was not called to this case for two hours: he then found the feetus in its membranes, and on laying these open, to his surprise it was still moving. He applied warmth, and partially succeeded in restoring it; for in a few minutes the respiratory motions were performed with regularity, but the child died in about six hours. (Jonrnal de Médecine, and Med. Gaz. xxxix. 97.) instances of abortion about the fifth month, Dr. Davies, of Hertford, noticed that the feetus showed signs of life after its birth, by moving its limbs (Med. Gaz. xl. 1022); and the following case, in which a child, born at the fifth month, survived upwards of twelve hours, is reported by Mr. Smythe. A female in her second pregnancy, and in the 147th day of gestation, had severe flooding with rupture of the membranes. Labour occurred on the following night, when a small hut well-formed fœtus was expelled, giving no other indication of life than a feeble action of the heart and a strong pulsation in the umbilical cord. It was resuscitated, and cried as strongly as a child born at the full period of pregnancy. It weighed less than two pounds, and measured exactly twelve inches. It swallowed some nourishment, but died about twelve hours after birth. The membranæ pupillares were entire,—the testicles had not descended,—the head was well covered with hair. The length and weight, as well as the presence of hair, indicate a feetus between the sixth and seventh months; hut, as it is alleged by the reporter, that from peculiar circumstances, the mother of the infant was correct in respect to dates, we are compelled to infer, that this was an extraordinary case of premature development. There was clearly nothing in the organization of the child to prevent its growing to the age of maturity; in other words, it was viable. (Med.-Chir. Rev., July 1844, 266.) Another case is reported, in which a child born at five months and a half survived its birth between three and four honrs (Mcd. Gaz. xix. 865); and on a recent trial for child-murder (Reg. v. West, Nottingham Lent Assizes, 1848), a midwife was indicted for causing the death of a child, by bringing about the premature delivery of the mother, when she was between the fifth and sixth month of pregnancy. The child in this instance lived five hours after its birth. Capuron mentions an instance where a child was born at the sixth month and a half of pregnancy, and at the time he reported the case, the child was two years old and enjoyed excellent health. In another instance, the child was horn at the same period, and lived to the age of ten years. (Méd. Lég. des Acc.

pp. 162, 208.) In a case which fell under my own knowledge, a child was born at the sixth month and a half of gestation, and lived a fortnight. (See another case, Med. Gaz. xxxii. p. 623.) Capuron considers that a child born at the 180th day, or at the sixth month after eonception, might be sufficiently mature to live; i.e. that there would be no reason to presume that it was illegitimate, merely because it survived its premature birth. On the other hand, if born before the sixth month with safficient maturity to live, this fact, although by no means a proof, affords, in his opinion, a strong presumption of its illegitimacy. Of eight cases of children born living (by abortion) at the sixth month, Mr. Whitehead states that seven perished within six hours after birth, and one only attained to the age of ten days. (On Abortion, 249.) Dr. Rüttel, who has examined this subject with great care, states, as the result of his experience, that he attended a married woman, who was afterwards delivered of a living child in the fifth month of her pregnancy. The child survived its birth for tweuty-four hours. He delivered another woman in the sixth month of her pregnancy, of twins, -one was dead, and the other continued alive for three hours, its life being indicated only by the visible pulsation of the heart: there was no perceptible respiration. corroborates the remarks made elsewhere, as to life without respiration, in cases of infanticide (ante, p. 438): it has also au immediate bearing on the proof of life in reference to tenaucy by courtesy (ante, p. 556). In another instance of the birth of male twins at the sixth month, each weighed three pounds. He saw them a year after their birth, and they were then two healthy strong children. (Henke, Zeitsehrift der S. A. 1844, 241.) Iu a case which occurred to Dr. Outreport, of Bamberg, reported in the sixth volume of the same journal, there was the strongest reason to believe that gestation could not have exceeded twenty-seven weeks. The child weighed, when born, one pound and a half, and measured thirteen and a half inches. The skin was covered with down, and much wrinkled,—the extremities were small,—the nails appeared like white folds of skin, and the testieles had not descended. It breathed as soon as it was born, and by great care its life was preserved. It is singular that its development was very slow, until it reached what would have corresponded to the forty-second week of gestation. Dr. Outrepont saw this child when it had attained the age of cleven years, and then it appeared of the size of a boy of eight years. The only remarkable point about this case, is the length of time which the child lived. It is therefore clear, that children born at the seventh, and even at or about the sixth month, may be reared, and that their survivance for months or years cannot be taken as evidence of illegitimacy. In forming a judgment on these occasions, we are bound to look less at the period at which the child is born, than to the marks of development about its body. The case of Mr. Smythe (supra) is corroborative of this view. Such, I believe, are the principal medical facts connected with the

question of premature births; and the following singular case wing serve as an illustration of the difficulties sometimes experienced in

forming a medical opinion.

The Kinghorn case.—In October 1835, an investigation (cama) clamosa) took place before one of the Presbyterics of Scotland, in reference to certain reports which had been circulated, to the prejudice of a minister of the district. It appears that the marriage of this gentleman took place on the 3d of March, and his lady gave birth to a female child on the 24th of Angust following: i. c. one hundred and seventy-four days, or nearly sir calendar mouths after the marriage, and the child continued to live until the 20th of March, 1836. When born it was very weak, and according to the evidence of the acconchenr and others, who saw it, it was decidedly immature. The birth of a living child, together with its survivorship for so long a period, led, however, to the report that there must have been intercourse between the parties previous to the mar riage. It was contended that the period was too short for the chike to have been begotten in wedlock. Dr. Hamilton, of Ediuburgh, or being applied to by the Presbytcry, said that his own experience was opposed to the probability of a child born at the sixth lunar month surviving: (the time in this case was six lunar months and six days:) but he referred to two cases, where children born under similar circomstances had survived their birth for a long period. In one, the lady was delivered within five lunar mouths (twenty weeks) after the marriage, and Dr. Pitcairn and others gave it as their opinion, that it had been begotten within wedlock: in the other, a woman gave birth to a child nineteen weeks after conception, and it lived a year and a half. Dr. Thatcher, who examined the child in the case here reported. nineteen days after its birth, gave it as his opinion, that it might have been begotten on or after the 3d of March; and the circumstance of its having been reared in the premature state in which it was born on the 24th of August following, was no objection to this opinion. He considered the complaint made against the minister, groundless, case weut through several appeals, and was not finally decided until. May 1839, when the libel was found not proven, and the defendant was absolved from censure. Many medical witnesses gave evidence. on the occasion—the majority of them being strongly in favour of this having been a legitimate and premature birth. (See Record of Pract ceedings, &c. Edinburgh, 1839; Med. Gaz. xvii. 92; also Med.-Chi Rev. xxxi. 424.) Although not connected with the medical part the case, it should be observed, that the ebaracter of the parties w free from all suspicion, that no concealment had been practised them, and that no preparation had been made for the early birth. the child. There were, it is true, unusual marks of developm about this child, considering the early period of its birth, yet the were not sufficient, any more than the fact of its surviving, thind the belief that it had been begotten out of wedlock. One case has

already mentioned, where a child horn at a still earlier period, survived several hours, and others, where children born rather later, lived for two and ten years. It would be in the highest degree unjust to impute illegitimacy to the offspring, or a want of chastity to the parents, merely from the fact of a six months' child being born living and surviving its birth. There are, indeed, no justifiable medical grounds for adopting such an opinion, a fact clearly brought out by a question put to Dr. Camphell, the chief medical witness in favour of the alleged antenuptial conception. In his examination in chief, he admitted that he had himself seen the case of a six months' child who survived for several days. He was then required to say, whether he could assign by reason why, if after such a period of gestation, it is possible to folong life for days, it should not be possible to extend it to months! He could ohviously give no reason. (Record of Proceedings, &c. 55.) The great injury which may be done by speculative medical opinions, such as those given against the chastity of the parties concerned in these proceedings, will be apparent from the record of a case which occurred to Dr. Halpin, of Cavan, in 1845 :- A healthy woman, pt. 34, the mother of five children, was delivered in the sixth month of her pregnancy of a female child. It was rolled in flannel, and laid in a warm place. Contrary to expectation, the child survived, sucked vigorously, and was healthy in every respect. The ossification of the hones of the head was very imperfect, and the sutures broad enough to admit of the middle finger being laid between them, and the fontanelles were of correspondingly large size. The weight of the child, on the fourth day after hirth, was two pounds thirteen ounces; and on the thirty-fourth day, three pounds seven ounces. The child was alive and well when last seen on the 4th of March, i.c. tour months after birth: she then weighed eight pounds eight ounces. After this Dr. Halpin lost sight of her, as the mother left that part of the coun-.y. (Dub. Quart. Jour., May 1846, 563.)

ill the facts of this case he compared with those of the Kinghorn case, it fill he found that there were no just medical grounds for the imputation hat the child had been begotten out of wedlock. In the latter, a six mouths' child was living and healthy after four mouths; in the former, it was supposed that the child must have passed the sixth month (of aterine life), hecause it survived seven months. In Dr. Halpin's case, he child, four days after hirth, weighed two pounds thirteen ounces—
ix months' child rarely exceeding two pounds): in the Scotch se, it was considered that it must have been much beyond the sixth path, because (a fortnight after its birth) it weighed three pounds!

Lese cases deserve to he borne in mind, where much reliance is need upon the appearances presented by children, as evidence of the

Evidence from the state of development of the offspring.—The et that a class born at nine months is small, and resembles in size reight a seven or eight months' child, cannot be taken as a

proof of illegitimacy. It has been already stated, that children born at the full period vary considerably in size and weight; yet, although small, there are commonly about them, the appearances of This is especially apparent in the features. If there be a general want of development, and if certain foctal peculiarities remain -as, for example, the membrana pupillares, or (in the male) the testes do not occupy the scrotum,-these facts may lead to a strong presumption that the child has not reached the full period. On the other hand, when a child is born with all the signs of maturity about it, at or under seven months (from possible access of the father), then there is the strongest reason to believe that it is illegitimate. No instance is recorded in which children have reached maturity two mouths earlier than the natural period. There are many eases of retarded development; but so far as I know, this kind of premature development in the fectus has never been observed. In the Scotch case above related, the child was more developed than such children commonly are at the same period of uterine life; but these differences are slight. The great progressive stage of development is in the two last months of gestation: the changes which the feetus undergoes are greater, and more marked at this than at any other period. mouths there might be some difficulty in forming an opinion: but it appears to me, that at seven months it would be impossible for an accoucheng to commuit an error on this point. If the body of the child were large and fully developed, he would consider it to have been born at the full period of gestation; and attribute any opinion which had led to the supposition that it was a seven months' child, to have arisen from some mistake in the calculation. states it as barely possible that a child born at seven months may occasionally be of such a size as to be considered mature; yet be qualifies this statement by the remark, that the assertion is most frequently made by those whose character is in danger of being destroyed. The question is, however,-Has a really seven months' child ever been born, so developed as to be mistaken for one that was mature? He adduces no .ease of this kind in support of his opinion. cau be no doubt of the correctness of his statement, that a mature child, born before seven full months after connection, ought to be considered illegitimate; but it would be difficult to maintain this position, consistently with the above admission; for a child is as likely to acquire premature development during the latter half of the sixth as at the seventh month. In making this remark, I ought to mention that Dr. Rüttel, an experienced observer, has met with several cases where females have been delivered two and even three weeks before the expiration of the ordinary term (two hundred and eighty days); and the children were as perfectly developed, to all appearance, as those born at the full period. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1844, p. 246.)

This question derives some interest from a case which was tried in the Common Pleas, in February 1846, in which I was consulted by

the defendant (Hargrave v. Hargrave). The plaintiff contended that he was the child of John Hargrave, deceased; the defendant, that he was the illegitimate offspring of the same mother, and not the son of John Hargrave. The evidence in support of the illegitimney was, as usual, partly medical and partly moral. The husband and wife had been separated for a considerable time prior to the birth of this child, and he chiefly resided in France. The wife resided in London, as it was alleged, in adulterous intercourse with another person. The plaintiff was born on the 18th November, 1836; and it was argued for the defeudant, that there was no possibility of access on the part of the husband, except at periods which would full far short, or go much beyond, the limits of human gestation. Defendant alleged that the father was ubsent from Loudon from October 1835 to about the latter end of April or the beginning of May 1836: hence, in order that the child should have been begotten by him, this must have been a case either of therteen months or seven months' gestation. The former supposition was out of the question. It became, therefore, necessary to ascertain, whether this child when horn was mature, or whether it hore about it the characters of a seven mouths' child. On this important point there was no satisfactory medical evidence. The delivery had taken place ten years before,—the practitioner who had attended the female, had no distinct recollection of the circumstances, -he could not even remember the sex, and the only fact to which he could depose, was, that when the child was born he observed nothing particular in its uppearance. It did not differ from other children; and in answer to a question from Lord Chief Justice Tindal, he said that there was but little difference between a seven months' child and a nine months' child, and one might be mistaken for the other! No observation was made as to the descent of the testes or other peculiarities; and in short it remained as a mere presumption, whether, from the attention of the witness not having been particularly drawn to its condition, the child was not in fact mature. This presumption, however, was greatly weakened by his admission that there was no great difference in appearance between children horn at the seventh or minth month of gestation.

Additional evidence was produced by the plaintiff at the trial, to show that the husband had been in London at other periods than those alleged by the defendants. Thus it was stated by some of the witnesses, that be was in this city in February 1836, (making the period thirty-nine weeks and three dnys,) again on the 3d March, (making it 259 days or thirty-seven weeks,) and again on the 3d May, (making it 198 days or twenty-eight weeks and two days.) In his charge to the jury, the learned judge threw out the latter period, and directed them, if they believed the evidence, and that there had been possibility of access at either of the two former periods, to find for the plaintiff,—whether the time was seven, eight, or nine ealendar months, would, he saggested, make but little difference as to the

appearance of the child! A verdiet was returned for the plaintiff establishing his legitimacy: hut there was so much doubt about the case that in November 1846, Lord Langdale granted a new trial, making at the same time the following remarks :- " Cases of this kind are often very difficult to determine, and but for rules and presnmptions of law, it would often be impossible to arrive at any satisfactory conclusion. A child born of a married woman is presumed by law to be legitimate, but this presumption may be removed by evidence. is not enough, however, in order to rebut it, that suspicious circumstances should be shewn, but it is necessary to shew circumstances, such as impotency or absence, from which it would clearly appear that sexual intercourse could not have taken place. It is difficult to conelude against legitimacy, in the absence of conflicting evidence, where some society has coutinned between the parties, so as to afford an opportunity for intercourse. If the husband and another person hoth had opportunities of intercourse, whatever might be the probabilities, no evidence could be admitted to shew that the husband was not the father of the child. Evidence against legitimacy ought to be strong, distinct, satisfactory, and conclusive, and attention must be paid to the circumstances. In the present case it appears that Mr. Hargrave had, for some years previous to the birth of the plaintiff, usually resided in France, but that he was in the habit of coming to England from time to time, and that he had occasional interviews and communication with his wife; and whether they were of such a nature as to enable him to be the father of the plaintiff is the question. The plaintiff was born on the 18th of November, 1836, and the question is whether the husband had had an opportunity of intercourse with his wife in the early part of that year. There is evidence that he had been in England at particular times, viz., January, March, April, and May in that year, and it is clear that he was intra quatuor maria. Then the question is, whether, though in England, he could be supposed to have had intercourse with his wife. Two witnesses have said they saw a person go into Mrs. Hargrave's house in the latter part of 1835, or beginning of 1836, and in February 1836, and that Mrs. Hargrave had afterwards said that that person was her husband. In March 1836 Mr. Hargrave was at the Ship Tavern, and said to the waiter that he would hring Mrs. Hargrave with him next time. He accordiugly came in May with a lady, and they lived together as man and The keeper of the tavern knew him, as he used to be there several times a year, and had seen Mrs. Hargrave in the house with him: but he did not know what lady was with him in 1836. it is not proved that the visitor in February was Mr. Hargrave, nor that Mrs. Hargrave was the person who went to the hotel with him in May. There was no concealment, however, made by Mrs. Hargrave of her pregnancy or of the birth of the plaintiff; and six or seven months after his birth, his mother had him baptized by the name of John Robert Hargrave, the son of John and Mary Hargrave.

I find nothing in the circumstances of the separation, or in the character or conduct of Hargrave, which renders intercourse in any degree improbable, nor does the alleged course of life of either make any difference. Even the alleged adultery of the wife, if proved, would not affect the question; and if I were bound to decide on the present state of facts I would decide in favour of the legitimacy. As, however, there is some obscurity in the case, and there may be additional evidence produced. I think there ought to be a new trial, though I do not agree to the grounds on which the application has been made. I am the more inclined to this as the Lord Chief Justice had latterly some doubts about the verdict being in accordance with the evidence, and the unfortunate death of that distinguished judge bas prevented a revision of the case, which otherwise might have been made." Times, Nov. 21st, 1846.) It will be perceived that the inclical bearings of this case, although of some importance, were considered not to demand serious inquiry. A practitioner engaged in a large midwifery practice may be quite unable to speak to the characters of a child born ten years before; nevertheless the proposition laid down at this trial cannot be assented to, namely, that there is so little difference among children born at seven or nine months, that one may be easily mistaken for the other. Mctzger observes in relation to this subject: If a child acquired maturity at seven months in a strong and bealthy woman, the delivery of such females of fully developed seven months' children would be a very frequent occurrence: but it is never observed to happen (Ger. Arzneyw. 345): hence he draws the conclusion that if it be a seven months' child, it cannot be mature, and if mature, it cannot be a seven months' child. A child may be born alive at the thirtieth week, and live; but a child which has about it signs of maturity must have reached at least the thirty eighth week of utero-gestation. (351.) Henke remarks that a child prematurely born, must not therefore be assumed to have reached maturity earlier than another. It is born in an immature condition, although it may have sufficient strength to live and survive birth. (Ger. Mediein, 73.)

At the second trial, which took place in the Common Pleas on the 22d June, 1848, direct evidence was adduced by the defendant to show that the bushand was absent during the two first periods; and as it was admitted on both sides that the child was mature, the period of the end of April or beginning of May would not be consistent with its being the offspring of the bushand, since this allowed only of a seven months' gestation. The jury after a short deliberation returned a verdict for the defendant, thus finding the plaintiff illegitimate. Williams J. who tried the case, left it to the jury, 1st, whether entire absence on the part of the bushand at the only two periods at which he could in the course of nature have been the father of the child, had been clearly proved; and 2d, if not thus proved, and they thought the husband might bave bad access to the wife,—whether from the evidence he had availed himself of those opportunities.

The following case in reference to development bas been communicated to me by one of my pupils. It is well calculated to show the ebaracters of a seven months' child, and to corroborate the views adopted by physiologists respecting the means of determining the period of uterine life which the feetus may have reached. Mrs. F. was married on the 7th April 1846, and was delivered by my informant of a male child at seven o'clock on the evening of the 19th October following,—the period of gestation being equal to 195 days or twentyeight weeks. The infant cried strongly, and lived until nine o'clock the following morning. The skin was of a deep pink or rose colour, beautifully soft, and covered with a fine down. The membranæ pupillares were absent, and the pupils were well formed,-tbe nails were complete,—the testicles bad not descended into the scrotum,—its length was fifteen inches, and its weight two pounds eight ounces. Its weight and the non-descent of the testicles at once referred it to a uterine age of seven months.

In addition to the other circumstances mentioned, it is observed that children at the seventh month do not so readily take the breast as those which have reached the ninth; and their power of sucking is much more feeble.

When the question comes to this, that to be the offspring of the husband, it must be a six months' child, and it is born mature, there can be no room to doubt its illegitimacy. This question was raised in the Exchequer Sittings (January 1847,) on a motion for a new trial in the case of Eager v. Grimwood. The action was one of seduction, and the principal witness in the cause, a young girl, on being cross-examined, stated that she was first connected with the defendant a few days before Christmas 1845, and that the birth of the ebild took place in the June following, i. e. in about six calendar mouths. Under these circumstances, as the child appeared to have been full grown, the Chief Baron, assuming the statement of the dates to be correct, intimated it to be his opinion, that the action could not be maintained, as the foundation of it was the loss of service, arising from the defendant's intercourse with the daughter, and her subsequent confinement; and that it was impossible that he could have been the father of the child in question. The jury found for the defendant. A rule for a new trial was granted, chiefly on the ground that the woman had from confusion in giving her testimony, made a mistake in the period.

Protracted births.—Long periods of gestation.—The questions connected with retarded gestation have given rise to considerable discussion in legal medicine. That gestation may be retarded or protracted beyond the fortieth week, is now, I believe, not disputed by any obstetric writer of reputation. Some individuals have denied it, because they have not met with such cases; but the medico-legal relations of such questions do not depend upon the solitary experience of practitioners. It is only by the accumulation of well-ascertained

facts from all anthentic sources that medical knowledge can be made available to the purposes of the law: otherwise, owing to the mere accident of a witness not having met with any exceptional case, a Court may be entirely misled in its judgment by trusting to bis opinion. It is the more important to attend to this, because most of the cases involving questions of contested legitinacy or the chastity of individuals, turn upon protracted rather than upon premature delivery.

In the standard works on midwifery will be found authentic reports of eases where gestation continued to the forty-first, forty-second, forty-third, and even the forty-fourth week. Dr. Murphy regards 301 days, or 43 weeks, as the average limit of gestation. Reports, p. 4.) Dr. Lee met with a ease in which he had no doubt that the pregnancy lasted two hundred and eighty-seven days:-the labour did not take place until forty-one weeks after the departure of the husband of this lady for the East Indies. (Med. Gaz. xxxi. 917.) Dr. William Hunter met with two instances where gestation was protracted until the forty-second week. Dr. Montgomery met with a case in which delivery did not ensue until between the forty-second and forty-fourth week. (Med. Gaz. xix. 646.) Dr. Merriman has published a valuable table on the subject of protracted gestation, on which the most experienced accoucheurs have been in the habit of relying. Of one hundred and fourteen pregnancies calculated by him from the last day at which the females menstruated, and in which the children appeared to be mature, the following were the periods:-

In the	37th	week		3	In the 41st week		22
	38th			13	42d .		15
	39th			14	43d .		10
	40th		_	33	44th .		4

Another well-marked case occurring forty-four weeks precisely after the cessation of the catamenia, has been communicated to me by Dr. S. W. J. Merriman.

From these results Dr. Merriman considers that the greater number of women complete gestation in the fortieth week from the cessation of the eatamenia, and next to that in the forty-first. In the evidence given by this gentleman before the House of Lords in 1825, the case of longest protraction on which he was able to rely, was that of a married female, who was in the habit of calculating from the last day on which her monthly period ceased. This lady was delivered 309 days, or forty-four weeks and one day, from the time at which she supposed that she had conceived. In another case mentioned by the witness the period was 303 days, or forty-three weeks and two days from the termination of the last monthly period. It was objected to this evidence by the Attorney-General that as it was impossible to fix the exact date of conception, and as the female might have really conceived only a day or two before the expected return of menstruation,

twenty-eight days or four weeks, might be deducted from the periods assigned by the witness. Admitting the validity of this objection, and the fact upon which it is based is indisputable, it followed that the longest protracted case observed by Dr. Merriman might have really been only a case of ordinary gestation extending to forty weeks and one day. An objection of this kind may of course be successfully urged in law to any inference from a calculation so made, and it was thus that in the Gardner Peerage case, the medical evidence failed to render it certain that gestation might be so protracted as to cover the legitimacy of the claimant. It is therefore obviously of the greatest importance, in considering this question, to make full allowance for a possible error; and in calculating the pregnancy from the last day of the last menstrual period, to deduct the interval of menstruation, if known, and at least twenty-eight days if nnknown. It must be remembered that in these cases of contested legitimacy, the offspring is commonly the result of a single intercourse. The date of conception is therefore fixed, and a comparison can only be instituted between the period of gestation thence deduced, and the periods taken in other cases free from any chance of error.

My friend Professor Murphy, of University College, has furnished me with some valuable data in reference to this subject. Out of 182 accurately observed cases in which special inquiries were made of the females, the deliveries took place from the date of the last appearance of the eatamenia at the following periods in weeks. The details are fully given in his Report of the Obstetric Practice of University College Hospital for 1844.

Iu the	33d week .				5	the 40th w	cek		25
	34th				3 !	41st			32
	36th				6	4 2d			25
	37th				11	43d			19
	38th				12	44tlı			9
	39th (9 months)				24	45th			11

The most protracted of the cases in the table was No. 182. The period of gestation was 329 days, or deducting twenty-eight days (the ascertained menstrual interval), 301 days, or forty-three weeks; i. e. three weeks beyond the usual period, or that allowed by those medical witnesses who gave evidence against the possible protraction of pregnancy in the Gardner Pecrage case.

It will now be proper to direct attention to some still more remarkably protracted cases which are recorded by writers of repute, and which have either fallen under their own observation, or that of friends on whose judgment they could rely. Among these a case is reported by Dr. Beck to have occurred in America in 1840, in which gestation is stated to have extended to 318 days, or forty-four weeks and five days; but, as the facts are not fully detailed, I prefer taking

for illustration two well-marked cases observed by Professor Murphy, and recorded in his Obstetric Report for 1844. In No. 183, a healthy married woman, et. 26, pregnant with her third child, was delivered 342 days from the last appearance of the menses. The date at which they were last observed by her was the 1st September, and the woman was delivered on the 9th August of the following year. In No. 184, a married woman, aged 33, pregnant with her fifth child, delivery took place at an interval of 352 days. The menses last appeared on the 1st March, and the child was born on the 16th of the following February. In both instances the menstrual interval was observed to be four weeks; therefore deducting twenty-eight days, the periods of gestation in these two eases will be—

As these eases are of a somewhat remarkable kind, the facts are specially detailed. Professor Murphy observes, in respect to the longest case, "that the date of the last menstrual discharge in this, as in other cases, was recorded before parturition took place, thus preventing the possibility of misstating this fact for the purpose of making it appear that gestation was inordinately prolonged. Menstruction, however, is sometimes suspended, or may return at irregular intervals during pregnancies; it was possible, therefore, that the catamenia might have appeared in this irregular way, occurring but once, and that time being put two months before conception. It was necessary to avoid this source of error. This irregularity did not take place in either of those cases, and in the last instauce there was an interval of four years between the present and the previous preguancy, during the whole of which period to the time of conception the menses were quite regular." (Report, page 7.) I am indebted to Dr. S. W. Merriman for a reference to another ease, which goes one day beyond the longest of Dr. Murphy's, i. e. 325 days, or forty-six weeks and three days. This is reported by Dr. Power, in his work on Human Pregnaucy.

These eases appear to me to be conclusive of the question, and to furnish a complete answer to the objection taken to Dr. Merriman's evidence in the Gardner Peerage case. All women may not have such anusually protracted pregnancies; indeed, it is well ascertained that no two women are alike in this respect, and no two successive pregnancies in the same female are alike in duration. Then, again, all practitioners may not have met with such protracted cases. The fact being clearly ascertained in one case, renders it unnecessary to search for more, unless we doubt the credibility of a reporter well qualified to observe, and who could have had no motive to serve but that of stating a plain trnth as it came before him. On this part of the question I think it is unnecessary to argue. The advocates of a fixed and limitable period differ from each other by a space of ten or twelve

days, and each must either take his own experience for the final decision of this question, or they must allow that men of equal powers of observation with themselves, have met with cases which have gone

beyond their own fluctuating limits.

Dr. Murphy has so completely anticipated the objection which might be urged on the ground of the menstrual function being possibly suspended from some hidden morbid cause one or two months before the actual date of conception, that it is scarcely necessary to make any remarks upon it. If it is to be admitted under these circumstances it would be only equally just to admit that in any given ease the ordinary and so-called fixed period, calculated from the cessation of menstruation, is based on a fallacy. Thus, it might be urged the monstrual function continues for several intervals after conception. The woman may have conceived in the month before the cessation of the catamenia, and thus four weeks should be added to the period. Dr. Murphy observes of his cases that periodic discharges resembling the catamenia took place during preguancy: in one case to the time of quickening, and then coased; in another up to the eighth month; in a third throughout the whole period of pregnancy. In all these cases the discharge was described as being in every respect similar to men-This view of the question may appear to prove that no reliance can be placed on the time of the cessation of the catamenia as evidence of the period of pregnancy; but if, as in case No. 184, a married woman had been perfectly regular for four years previously, the cessation of the discharge would furnish evidence of the strongest possible kind. Its continuauce might, as it happens daily, have given rise to error, and have actually led to the period being unduly shortened in the opinion of the female. In the Gardner Pecrage case the Attorney-General was quite willing to rely upon the cessation of the menstrual discharge as a good criterion of the duration of pregnancy, when by such a mode of calculation this was not made to exceed forty weeks. But this condition must be either taken or rejected altogether as evidence: if the former, we have no right, in alleged protracted cases, to refer the suppression to disease for the sake of shortening the period, when we do not refer its continuance to disease, because it would tend to lengthen it: if the latter, it would be in the highest degree unjust not to give a claimant the beneficial presumption of his having been born legitimate when the cases adduced in evidence against his claim are actually based upon the same mode of calculation! Such protracted cases as those observed by Dr. Murphy may not be frequent, but it is the fact of their possible occurrence rather than their frequeucy which should be regarded in determining questions of contested legitimacy.

It has been supposed that cases of lengthened gestation were nothing more than instances of protracted parturition: the pains indicative of delivery commencing at the usual time, but continuing over a much longer period than usual. In an instance mentioned by Dr. Jörg, a

woman went her full time, hut the parturition lasted a fortnight longer, the symptoms appearing and then disappearing. Admitting that this occasionally happens, still it shows that gestation from a particular pregnaucy may be protracted considerably beyond the ordinary It is impossible to admit that these protracted eases depend upon some unistake heing made in the calculation of the period, since this calculation was founded on the same principles as those adopted in cases of ordinary pregnancy. Hence, if there was a mistake in the oue case, there would be in the other: if an error in the exception, there would he an error in the rule. Either the average term of pregnancy is wrongly calculated by most accoucheurs at the thirty-eighth or fortieth week, or it is rightly calculated to extend occasionally to the fortyfourth, or, as in Dr. Murphy's case, to the forty-sixth week. But even setting aside the palpable answer to an objection of this nature, some of the cases were instances of impregnation from one intercourse, and therefore it is quite impossible that any such mistake could have arisen respecting them. One of the most recent of these is a case reported by Mr. Skey, in which a deformed female underwent, while living, the Casarcan operation for the removal of the child. temporary excitement, she had intercourse once with a young man living in the same house. This was on the evening of the 7th of April, 1846. She was not aware of her pregnancy until she had reached the seventh month, when she consulted a surgeon about it. She was received into St. Bartholomew's Hospital, and labour-paius first commenced on the morning of the 25th January, 1847, i. e. 293 days, or forty-two weeks, less one day, from the date of intercourse. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 212.) Another is referred to in the American Journ. of the Med. Sciences (July 1845, p. 241) in which the woman, a primipara, was delivered on the 309th day after a single intercourse.

The sex of the child has no influence.—There is no reason to believe that the sex of the child has any direct influence on the length of the pregnancy. It has heen supposed that gestation was longer with male than female children; and evidence of this kind was tendered in the Gardner Peerage case. The medical witness stated, that the average period was 280 days for a female, and 290 days for a male child. The Solicitor-General properly inquired—Supposing the child is an hermaphrodite, what then is the time? The witness said—He would take hetween the two! It is not observed that children labonring under sexual deformity are horn earlier or later than those in which the sexual organs are perfectly developed. As an answer to this singular hypothesis it may be observed, that of Dr. Murphy's two most protracted eases (Nos. 183 and 184, ante, p. 585) the one was a female, and the other a male child.

Period of gestation not fixed by law.—In all cases of contested legitimacy, the question respecting the period of gestation, when it arises, is left entirely open by the law. No period has been fixed within which, or beyond which, a child, if born in wedlock, should

be presumed illegitimate. The decision of a Court of law would be founded, quoad the duration of pregnancy, on the opinious of experienced practitioners selected for the occasion, and each case would he decided on its own merits. Precedents can have but little influence on these occasions, because a Court may think fit to pronounce illegitimate on non-medical grounds, a child born in the thirty-eighth week of gestation; while it may decide that another was legitimate that had been born in the forty-third week. By some law-authorities, forty weeks are set down as the "ultimum tempus pariendi:"-but the impression among jurists and physicians in modern times being that the period of human gestation is wholly independent of any legal dietum, it is not the custom of the Courts to act upon this as a rule. Nevertbeless it is clear that in some cases the law must interpose and pronounce for a reasonable limit. In the case of Cotterall v. Cotterall. decided in the Consistory Court, July 1847, the busband had proceeded against the wife for a divorce on the ground of adultery. The main proof was based on the fact, that in order to have been the child of the husband, it must have been born after twelve months' gestation. The busband had left his wife in New South Wales, and was absent for that period of time without possibility of access. Dr. Lusbiugton, without entering into the question of protracted gestation, upon proof of the allegation, at once pronounced for the divorce. Such a duration of pregnancy is not supported by any known facts and is altogether opposed to medical probability.

In two instances, children have been pronounced legitimate, which were born, the one in forty-one weeks and three days, and the other in forty-one weeks and four days, after the death of the husband. the following case (Anderton v. Gibbs, 1834), the Vice-Chancellor decided that a child born ten months, or about forty-two weeks after intercourse with the busband, was legitimate. A verdict had been already returned establishing the legitimacy of the plaintiff; and an attempt was now made to set this aside, among other grounds, upon the plea that the offspring was illegitimate, because it had been born at so long a period after possible access. It appeared that the mother of the plaintiff for some time before and at the period of the birth had been living in adulterous intercourse; and that about ten months before the birth of this child she had had a private interview with her husband, when it was assumed that there had been access, but the parties did not meet afterwards. Before the adultery, they had lived together two years without having had issue; and in the present instance the child was born after a period of forty-two weeks, facts which were considered to establish its illegitimacy. The opinions of Sir Charles Clarke and other medical men were adduced at the trial, and these limited the extreme period of gestation to forty weeks; but they at the same time declared that the subject was involved in darkness and uncertainty. The Vice-Chancellor considered that the jury at the trial had given a proper verdict by finding for the plaintiff's legitimacy. The jury were not to decide by whom the child bad been begotten, but whether it could by any possibility be the child of the husband. With respect to the period of gestation, there was no difficulty. Sir Charles Clarke and other authorities confessed that the subject was involved in darkness and mystery; and that the Faculty of medicine knew nothing certain about it. There was no positive evidence as to the exact day on which the child was born, nor on which the interview between the husband and wife took place. Therefore this would allow of the period of gestation being reduced to about forty-two weeks or less. The legitimacy of the plaintiff was in his opinion legally established. From this case it will be seen that a child may be affiliated on the husband, although the wife may be living at the same time in adulterous intercourse with another person.

Gardner Peerage case.—One of the most interesting cases in relation to this subject was the Gardner Peerage case, which came before the Ilouse of Lords in 1825; and a full account of which has been published by Dr. Ivall. (Med. Evid. in Gardner Peerage case, 1827.) Alan Legge Gardner, the son of Lord Gardner by his second wife, petitioned to have his name inscribed as a peer on the Parliament The peerage was, however, claimed by another person, Henry Fenton Jadis, who alleged that he was the son of Lord Gardner by his first and subsequently divorced wife. It was contended that the latter was illegitimate; and in order to establish this point, the evidence adduced was partly medical and partly moral. Lady Gardner. the mother of the alleged illegitimate child, parted from her husband, on board of his ship, on the 30th of January, 1802. Lord Gardner went to the West Indies, and did not again see his wife until the 11th of July following. The child, whose legitimacy was disputed, was born on the 8th of December of that year. Therefore the plain medical question, taking the extreme view, was, whether a child born 311 days (forty-four weeks and three days) after intercourse (from January to December), or 150 days (twenty-one weeks and three days) from July to December, could be considered to be the child of Lord Gardner. If these questions were answered in the affirmative, then it followed that this must have been a very premature or a very protracted birth. There was no pretence that this was a premature case, the child having been mature when born. The question then was reduced to this-Was this alleged protracted gestation of 311 days consistent with medical experience? Many medical witnesses, comprising the principal obstetric practitioners in the kingdom, were examined on this point. Their evidence was very conflicting, but a large majority concurred in the opinion that natural gestation might be protracted to a period which would cover the birth of the alleged illegitimate child. On the moral side of the question, it was clearly proved that Lady Gardner, after the departure of her husband, was living in open adulterous intercourse with a Mr. Jadis, and on this ground Lord Gardner obtained a divorce from her after his return.

He subsequently married a second wife, by whom he had the claimant, Alan Legge Gardner. It was contended that the other elaimant was really the son of Lady Gardner by Mr. Jadis. The decision of the House was, that this claimant was illegitimate; and that the title should descend to the son of the second Lady Gardner.

The decision appears to have been chiefly hased on moral circumstances; for had not the first Lady Gardner been living in open adulterous intercourse at the time of her husband's departure, it is highly prohable, from the medical evidence hearing that way, that the legitimacy of the child would have been allowed. Again, supposing the child had been horn two or three weeks earlier, the question would have resolved itself into this—who had hegotten the child?—the husband or the adulterer. This could not have been decided, and then, probably, as in the more recent case of Anderton v. Gibbs (supra), the rule of law would have pronounced the husband to have been the The House then must have considered, that the medical father. opinions without cases to support them, could not be safely received. It is obvious that the possibility of gestation being protracted must stop somewhere, and the Court probably thought that they had here reached that point. Morally speaking, the decision could not be impagned; but medically speaking, it was incorrect; inasmuch as a Court of law never pretends to settle who begat a child, where the pregnancy might by any possibility be ascribed to the hushand or an adulterer. The House of Lords, however, here decided that the adulterer begat the child; and by implication their decision involved this medical point,—that it is quite impossible the husband can be the father of a child horn forty-four weeks and three days after access. No case was adduced to show that so long a gestation had ever been known to occur; for, as it has been already remarked, the mode of calculation adopted in Dr. Merriman's cases rendered them unavailable as evidence. That in a medical point of view the decision of the Honse of Lords, so far as it related to protracted gestation, was erroneous, must now be apparent; for while their Lordships did not directly come to a resolution that the one claimant was illegitimate, because he could only have been born after 311 days' gestation, they decided that the other claimant was the only son and rightful heir of A reference to the cases reported by Dr. Murphy Lord Gardner. (ante, page 585), will show that gestation may be protracted to three, and even thirteen days, beyond the period denied to be possible on this occasion. Supposing this case to he reheard, and the evidence of Dr. Murphy called for, could the House vindicate its former decision? It would be found that they had virtually pronounced to he impossible what had actually come to pass; and either the decision would he the other way, or it would be contrary to that general rule of law upon which so many decisions have been framed, that even although the wife may he living in adultery, the husband shall be presumed to be the father of her children, unless there is a clear proof of non-access,

-or of absolute impossibility from the duration of the pregnancy. Of the seventeen medical witnesses examined on this occasion, five supported the opinion that the period of human utero-gestation was limited to about nine calendar months, i. e. from thirty-nine to forty weeks, or from 273 to 280 days; or, strictly speaking, from 270 to 280 days: one of the witnesses, indeed, said from 265 to 280 days. Those gentlemen, of course, gave their negative to the possibility, unless by miracle, that Henry Fenton Jadis, alias Gardner, could have been the product of a 311 days' gestation. On the other side, of twelve medical gentlemen who seemed to agree with respect to the above-mentioned period as the natural term of gestation, most of them maintained the possibility of pregnancy being protracted to nine and a half, ten, or eleven calendar months, and of course to 311 days, -the alleged term of gestation at which the counter-claimant was born; and thus admitted the possibility that Mr. H. F. Jadis, alias Gardner, might be a ten and a half months' child. (Lyall's Med. Evid. on the Duration of Pregnancy, &c. p. viii.) The conclusion at which the majority arrived has now been confirmed by the occurrence of well-marked cases: and the facts should serve as a caution to those witnesses who on speculative subjects lay down a limit to what is possible merely from the experience which they may have acquired. The decision of the House of Lords, admitting that it was consistent with justice in this justance, can, it appears to me, only be defended on the principle that when a married woman has had interconrse about the same period with her husband and an adulterer, her offspring should be bastardized on the mere proof of her adultery. But this is entirely contrary to the rule of law (ante, page 589).

Within a very recent period a case has been decided in the United States in favour of the legitimacy of a child, in which gestation was protracted to 317 days, or forty-five weeks and two days. (Commouwealth versus Porter; Amer. Journ. Med. Science, October 1845,

р. 383.)

Evidence from the state of the child.—In these protracted births it is not observed that the child is more developed, or of larger size, than at the usual period. In the longest ease of protracted gestation on record (324 days) the child was not above the average size, although, when Dr. Murphy saw it six months afterwards, it was unusually large and fat for a child of that age. (Obstetric Report, 1844.) This would lead to the inference, that when the child has reached a certain stage of development it ceases to grow. This view is borne out by the observations of Dr. Rüttel. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1844, p. 247.) This gentleman has not remarked that the size of the child increases in proportion to the length of the gestation. In protracted human and animal gestation the offspring is not remarkable for size and weight. In both cases robust mothers have had small children, and small mothers strong and unusually large children.

The following caso (Luscombe v. Prettyjohn, Exeter Summer Ass.

1840) will show how unsettled legal opinions are upon these points; and that disputed questions of gestation are sometimes decided without medical evidence, although there are few instances in which it is more urgently required. An action was brought against the defendant, by a farmer, to recover compensation for the loss of his daughter's services. It was alleged that the defendant had seduced her, and that she was delivered of a child of which he was the father. He denied that the child was his, among other reasons, on the ground that it was horn two hundred and ninety-niue days, or forty-two weeks and five days after intercourse. No medical evidence was called to show that gestation might he thus far protracted; but the judge, in summing up, made the following observations:-" Upon the evidence it was almost impossible that he (the defendant) was the Supposing that she (the woman) were right, that would place the birth at nine calcudar months three weeks and five days." [The last meeting between the parties was had on the 9th February, and the child was born on the 5th December, 1838, which is equal to an interval of 299 days. After adverting to some medical authorities relative to gestation, he said :- "He would rather believe that she had yielded to some other attempt on her chastity than that so wide a departure from the usual course of nature had taken place!" The jury did not concur in this view, and they returned a verdict for the plaintiff, thereby pronouncing an opinion which is well borne out hy medical experience, that the defendant might have been the father of the child, although forty-two weeks and five days had clapsed since the last access. (Lancet, Aug. 1840.) Had the verdict been the other way, there would have been fair ground, medically speaking, for a new trial; for the summing up was undoubtedly made on an entirely mistaken view of medical doctrines. It amounted to this, that the chastity of every woman who bore a child in the forty-third week of pregnancy was to be impeached,—and that the legitimacy of every such child was to he set aside on bare proof of the fact!

In a well-marked instance of gestation from a single interconrse. noticed by Mr. Skey (ante, page 587), the interval was 293 days, only six days earlier than the period here pronounced to he incompatible with legitimacy; and by referring to the cases of Dr. Rigby and Dr. Merriman (p. 569) it will be seen that the periods of gestation from a single intercourse have varied to a much greater degree than the two here put in comparison. It is very true that a limit must be placed somewhere: but the cases reported by Dr. Murphy (ante, page 585) prove that gestation is not so limited as it was here assumed to be. This shows the risk to which the decision of such questions is exposed, when medical evidence is not called for on matters so strictly professional. As a contrast to this case, the following, which was tried in the United States, in January term, 1844, (The Commonwealth v. Porter; Cambria is of some interest. County Pa.) The facts were somewhat similar. The defendant was

indicted for fornication and bastardy. Prosecutrix, aged 23, ha.1 interconrse with the defendant on the 24th September, 1842, and with no other person before or subsequently. She was delivered of a child on the 7th August, 1843,—i. e. 317 days, or after forty-five weeks and two days' gestation; she swore that the defendant was the father of the child. The catameaia ceased about three weeks after intercourse, and they only appeared again slightly about five weeks before the child was born. At this time she had pains, which continued more or less until her delivery. She first knew that she was pregnant three or four weeks after connexion. The defence was, that from the period of time which had claused, the defendant could not be the father of the child. He, therefore, merely proved his absence, and that he did not return until after the birth of the child. No evidence was adduced to impeach the character or conduct of the female. It was proved that she bad always borne a good reputation, and that she had been seduced by the plaintiff under promise of marriage. Dr. Rodrigue deposed, that, in a practice of nineteen years, he had attended some hundreds of cases of midwifery; and the longest period of gestation which he had known was ten months (?). Ile considered the pains described by prosecutrix to have been the commencing pains of labour. The Court charged the jury strongly in favour of the medical testimony on protracted gestation, and they returned a verdict of guilty, thereby finding that the defendant was the father of the child. It transpired that a wife of one of the jurymen had during one pregnancy gone ten months. (American Jour. Mcd. Sciences, October 1845, p. 338.) Dr. Rodrigue, who reports this trial, states that a case subsequently came to his knowledge, in which gestation continued for a period of 320 days.

It would appear that the question of protracted gestation is often raised in the United States under these circumstances. Another ease of bastardy (Commonwealth v. Hooner) was tried in May 1846, in which the alleged duration of pregnancy must have been 313 days, or 44 weeks and 5 days. The prosecutrix deposed that she had had intercourse with the defendant on March 23d, 1845, and not subsequently,-a fact established by the evidence; and the child, a large bealthy male, was proved to bave been born on the 30th January, 1846. Twelve physicians were examined on the trial, and as usual they differed from each other. Some regarded it as possible, but not probable, that gestation might be so protracted as to reach to 313 days. Various medical works were quoted on the subject. The Court charged the jury that, although unusual and improbable, this length of gestation was not impossible; and they returned a verdict finding that the defendant was the father of the child. (Dub. Med. Press, Nov. 4th. 1846, 296.)

In extra-uterine pregnancy, the fectus may be carried for many years. Dr. Craddock relates a case, in which gestation was thus protracted for the long period of twenty-two years. (Phil. Med. Exam. May 1846, 286.)

Mistakes in the mode of computation.—Great mistakes have arisen in the calculation of the period of gestation by the nse of the word month—some intending by this, lunar, and others calendar months. Nine lunar months would he equal to two hundred and fifty-two days, while the average of nine calendar months would be two hundred and seventy days—the latter period varying according to the particular months of the year over which the pregnancy might extend. To prevent such mistakes or that misunderstanding of evidence which has so frequently arisen, it would he advisable that medical witnesses should always express the period of gestation in weeks or days. It would be also proper to adopt the plan of always commencing the calculation from the period of the last eessation of the catamenia, rather than from two weeks later. The latter rule is often followed, and this discrepancy creates confusion.

It will be seen by the foregoing cases and remarks, that in these suits of contested legitinacy the general practice consists in establishing possibility of access on the part of the husband;—when this is proved, the medical question arises, whether the term of gestation falls within those limits assigned by the hest medical experience. Legitimacy has been allowed where gestation was probably protracted to the forty-third week (Anderton v. Gibbs, p. 588; and in the United States, where it extended to forty-five weeks and two days, Commonwealth v. Porter, p. 592). It has been disallowed in the English Courts, although probably on non-medical grounds, where it was protracted to forty-four weeks and three days. (Gardner Percage case.)

Cases in reference to proof of access. — In the case of Cope v. Cope (North. Spring Circ. 1833) an action was brought by the plaintiff, for his share of a legacy, to a part of which he declared himself entitled, as being the son of the deceased testator's brother. There was no doubt that the plaintiff was born during lawful wedlock; but it was contended that he was an illegitimate child:-therefore it remained with the defendants to establish bis illegitimacy by evidence. The defendants rested their case, 1st, on the entry in the parish register, which represented the plaintiff to be an illegitimate child; 2ndly, on non-access hetween the husband and wife. The husband, it appears, went to reside at about fourteen miles distance from the wife, having separated from her. He was absent for several years; but it was contended, that he was always within a short distance of the wife. During his abseuce, the wife formed an illicit connexion with another man, and at this time the plaintiff was horn: but it was rendered probable that the husband had visited the wife before and after the birth of the child. It appears that both the mother and her husband regarded this child; as illegitimate; and an attempt was made on the part of the defendant's counsel, to put in declarations to that effect; but the Court interposed; and Alderson B. said-"Lord Hardwicke had decided that the mother could not be allowed to give evidence on such a point, as she could not discharge the husband of the birth of the child;

and à fortiori the husband could not be permitted to discharge himself. Lord Mansfield and Lord Hardwicke had both decided, that illegitimacy could only be proved by the fact of there being no marriage, or by the proof of non-access: and it was held, on the grounds of decency and morality, that the parties themselves should not be allowed to prove non-access after their marriage." In summing up, he further observed, "that if a child be born in marriage during the life-time of the father, that child in law is presumed legitimate. The plaintiff in this case is the youngest child, and was born after four other children, and during the lifetime of the reputed father; and he is in law, thereforc, legitimate, unless the fact were proved, which it was for the jury to decide upon, viz. that the husband had not opportunities of necess. If a husband have access, and others at the same time have criminal intimacy with his wife, still a child born in such a case is legitimate in the eye of the law. But if the parties are living separate, and the wife is notoriously living in open adultery, and the husband have opportuuities of access, yet under such circumstances, it would be monstrous to suppose that he would avail himself of these,—then the legitimacy of a child, so born, could not be established." The jury returned a verdict for the plaintiff, finding that he was legitimate.

From this case we learn what kind of evidence the law requires in order to establish access or non-access. In order to defeat the legal presumption of access, where husband and wife are living near to each other, something more than mere probability of non-intercourse must be adduced. It is true, that in this case, the wife, while separated from the husband, was living in open adultery—but non-access of the husband was far from being clearly established. On the contrary, access was rendered probable by evidence:—therefore a verdict was returned, finding the plaintiff legitimate. It will be seen that very little value is set on baptismal registries, as evidence of legitimacy, or the contrary;—also that the declaration of a parent will not be received by a Court, as evidence of the illegitimacy of the reputed offspring.

The case of *Morris* v. *Danis*, which came before the Lord Chaucellor in 1830, is also of interest. This was a sait of contested legitimacy, which had been pending for eighteen years before the Coarts; and which was finally left by both parties to be disposed of by the judgment of his lordship, on the facts and on the law of the ease. The plaintiff was the son of a Mrs. Morris, and claimed to be the son of Mr. Morris; but it was contended that, although born in wedlock, he was illegitimate. The husband and wife had voluntarily separated; but lived for many years within a short distunce of each other. The wife was living with an adulterer; and fourteen years after the separation, this child, the plaintiff, was born. The wife saw her husband occasionally, but concealed the birth of the child from him. The man with whom she was living considered it, and always treated it, as his own; and Mr. Morris remained for seventeen years in ignorance of the birth, or even of the existence of the child.

His lordship having stated the law of the case, as already given, said the question was one of fact and not of law. There was an apparent difficulty in the ease, uwing to this,—that the parties, although separated, were proved to have met occasionally:—there was, therefore, inquestionably opportunity of access:—but it so happened, that none of these meetings would correspond with the time requisite for the birth of the child to render it legitimate. This fact, together with the general bad conduct of the mother, and her open adulterous intercourse, led him to pronounce that the plaintiff was an illegitimate child: and that he was not the son of Mr. Morris. This judgment was not opposed to the rule of law, nor was it founded upun a mere balance of probabilities, but entirely upon the facts of the case.

PATERNITY.

CHAPTER LIV.

DISPUTED PATERNITY — EVIDENCE FROM LIKENESS — DOUGLAS PRERAGE CASE—PARENTAL LIKENESS — AFFILIATION.—POSTHUMOUS CHILDREN.—SUPERFUTATION IN RELATION TO LEGITIMACY—CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH IT IS SUPPOSED TO OCCUR—SUPERCONCEPTION.—SUPPOSITITIOUS CHILDREN—RELATION OF THE SUBJECT TO FEIGNED DELIVERY AND LEGITIMACY.

Disputed paternity.—It has been stated that the law does not pretend to determine who begat a child when it has been born during wedlock, and from circumstances might be the child either of the hushand or an adulterer. But medical jurists have recommended that family likeness should be looked to on these occasions, not merely a likeness in feature and figure, but in gesture and other personal peculiarities which may have characterized the alleged parent. These are called questious of paternity: they seldom occur, and when they do present themselves, the evidence thus procured, even if affirmative, is properly regarded as only corroborative. In the Townshend Peerage case, brought before the House of Lords in May, 1843, this argument of family-likeness was used and admitted by their lordships. The party, whose legitimacy was in question, was sworn by one of the witnesses to bear so strong a likeness as a child to the alleged adulterer, that he should have known him among five hnndred children. The proceed-

ings in the Douglas Peerage case (1769) also show that evidence of this kind is of some importance. This pecrage was claimed on appeal to the House of Lords by the snrvivor of two brothers, Archibald Douglas, after the death of the alleged parents, Sir John and Lady Douglas. The claim was disputed, on the ground that the appellant and his deceased brother were supposititious children. Much stress was laid in favour of their legitimacy, on the fact that they closely resembled—the one Sir John, and the other Lady Douglas. The resemblance was general, -it was evident both in their features, gestures, aud habits. Lord Mansfield, in delivering judgment, made the following judicious remarks, which comprise all that can be said on the subject. "I have always considered likness as an argument of a child heing the son of a parent, and the rather as the distinction between individuals in the human species, is more discernible than between other animals. A man may survey ten thousand people before he sees two faces exactly alike, and in an army of a hundred thousand men, every man may be known from another. If there should be a likeness of feature, there may be a difference in the voice, gesture, or other characters; whereas a family likeness runs generally through all of these; for in every thing there is a resemblance, as of feature, voice, attitude, and action." From this account, it will be seen that evidence from family-likeness is not strictly medico-legal,—it can only be furnished by friends and relatives who have known the parties well, and are competent to speak of the facts from personal acquaintance with them. It will also be apparent that the affirmative evidence in such cases will be stronger than that which is negative; for it could hardly be inferred that a person was illegitimate, because he did not resemble his parent.

Parental likeness.—Parental likeness may be occasionally indicated by colour or peculiarities belonging to the varieties of mankind, as of the intermixture of the Negro or Mongolian with one of the Cancasian variety. In such a case the evidence afforded becomes much stronger; and supposing that two men of different varieties had had intercourse about the same time with the same female, the colour of the skin would enable a Court to determine the question of paternity. It is said to have happened on more than one occasion, that a black woman has given birth at one time to a black child and a mulatto; and Dr. Cunningham refers to a case in which a negress recently gave birth to twins, one a black and the other a white child. (Lancet, May 9, 1846, 525.) This was probably a case of superconception (post, p. 601).

Personal deformities are not necessarily transmitted from parent to child; yet it would appear from the subjoined case, that a disputed question of affiliation has been settled on this principle. A woman alleged that a gentleman in whose service she had lived, was the father of a child of which she had been recently delivered. The solicitor, who appeared to support the affiliation, rested his case chiefly on the fact that the child had been born with five fingers and a thumb on the

right hand, the defendant himself having heen born with a similar: malformation on both of his hands. It was argued on the other side, that the deformity might have arisen from the mother's imagination, as, while pregnaut, she was constantly in the hahit of seeing the defendant. The magistrates decided that he was the father of the child, and condemned him to pay the necessary expenses for its support. (Mcd. Times, March 6, 1847, p. 47.) It is very likely that the decision was here influenced by moral circumstances; for otherwise the defendant might have been the victim of a coincidence. Six-fingered children are, it is well known, born occasionally of five-fingered parents: and as the deformity existed only on one hand in the child, while it was on both hands in the parent, the medical proof that it was actually transmitted by generation was certainly not clearly made out. Iu some instances attempts have been made to fix the paternity of a child by the colour of the hair, but this evidence is far less conclusive than that afforded by the colour of the skin. In the case of Frazer v. Bayley (Feb. 1844), the wife of the plaintiff was alleged to have had criminal intercourse with the defendant, and the last two children were alleged to be the offspring of the latter. The plaintiff and his wife had dark hair, as well as all the children with the exception of the two last:-these had red hair, and it was further proved that defendaut had red whiskers and sandy hair. No particular stress was laid upon this evidence, but it was received as a kind of indirect proof. But little confidence can be placed on facts of this description, since red-haired children are often born to parents who have dark hair; and in one case the children horn in wedlock, were observed to Kare dark and red hair alternately.

Affiliation.—Questions of pateruity are involved in those relating to affiliation. A party may allege that he is not the father of a particular child, by reason of certain circumstances upon which a medical opinion may be required. The necessary transmission of gonorrhest or syphilis hy intercourse, may thus hecome a medical question. September 1844, a man was required, under the law of hastardy, a support two children alleged by a female to be his. The time of gestation was within nine months. The accused denied that he had had intercourse with the deceased, or that he could have heen the father, since he was at the time under medical treatment for the venereal disease. The medical questions may therefore assume this shape:—1. Are these diseases invariably transmitted by intercourse?—2. Do they interfere with the act of procreation? Under common circumstances they must both he answered in the negative.

A singular case of bastardy is reported to have occurred in the Canton of Appenzell. The question was, which of two persons, whad had intercourse with the same woman within a period of sevented days, was the father of the illegitimate child horne by the woman. The Council to which the case was referred, gravely resolved to post pone their decision until the features of the child were so far development.

loped as to enable them to decide from paternal likeness. The equity of this difficult ease would have been met by compelling each man to contribute to the support of the child! (Schneider's Annalen der Staatsarzacikunde, 1836, 1 B. S. 470.) The following, which is a more doubtful case, was the subject of a recent communication to the Lancet (March 13, 1847, 293.) Two men, A and B, had intercourse, unknown to each other, with a young woman of delicate health; and after this had continued for some years, she was delivered of a female child, nine calendar months and three days after sexual intercourse with A, and nine calendar months, less five days, after similar intercourse with B; or at the end of 279 days after intercourse with A, and at the end of 271 days after intercourse with Bthat is, a period of eight days elapsed between the periods of intercourse of the two men, and the woman had no menstrual discharge in the meantime, and it is not believed she knew any other man. She went her full time, had a good labour, and produced a fine healthy girl; had a plentiful supply of milk, and enjoyed hetter health during her pregnancy and suckling than at any other time. She has since died.

These circumstances have now become known to both A and B, and both refuse to maintain the child. A contends, that as the woman was not delivered until nine mouths and three days after connexion with him, it is physically impossible the child can be his. B contends, on the other hand, that 280 days, and not nine months, is the period of gestation; and that the child having been horn 279 days after connexion with A, and only 271 days after connexion with B, it was therefore probable that the child was begotten by A. There was no perceptible likeness of citber of the men in the child, but a marked likeness of the mother.

It is obvious, from the remarks elsewhere made (ante, p. 568), that the two periods, 271 and 279 days, are comprised within the common range of gestation: hence there would be no medical ground for affiliating the child to one more than the other. As in the former case, justice to the offspring and to each possible father, requires that they should be both bound to support the child. These questions of affiliation, where the interval is less than six or eight weeks, un rarely be determined by medical evidence. In a twin case, it would be only just that a child should he affiliated to each individual.

Posthumous children.—It has been supposed, that a case involving a question of paternity, might present itself on the marriage of a widow soon after the death of her first hushand. If a child were born after the lapse of ten months, it might be a question whether it were a child of the first or second msrriage—of the dead or the living husband; and although there might be no dispute concerning its legitimacy, yet it would be difficult to settle its paternity. Such a case appears hypothetical. In order that any doubt should exist, a woman must marry within, at the furthest, six weeks after the death of her

first husband, or else the hirth of the child would fall beyond the furthest limit of gestation, so far as he was coacerned. The customs of society are, however, a bar to such marriages; and admitting that a child was so horn, that it might be the offspring of either hushand, then the fact of its having been horn during the marriage of the second husband, would presumptively fix the offspring npon him, nuless it could be shown that there was no possibility of access on his part. If there were a supposed greater likeness to the first than the second husband, still this would not be allowed to defeat the legal presumption of the real parentage of the child. It appears to me, that evidence much stronger than this would be required for such a purpose. (See Henke, Zeitschrift, 1838, ii. 432.)

SUPERFŒTATION.

Superfactation in relation to legitimacy. — Most medico-legal writers, in treating of legitimacy, have considered it necessary to introduce the subject of superfectation. By this we are to understand, that a second conception may follow the first, and that gestation may go on to its full period in each case, independently of the other .- so that if a woman were impregnated, when in the third month of gestation, she would hear the first child mature at the end of nine months, and the second child, also mature, at the end of twelve months after the first conception. This subject has been said to involve "not only the conjugal fidelity of a wife, hat the disposition of property, and much of the comfort and happiness of society." Its importance to a medical jurist appears to me to have been here considerably exaggerated. So far as I have been able to ascertain, not only is there no legal case involving this question, to be met with in the judicial records of this country, but none, in reference to this state, is ever likely to occur which would present the least difficulty to a medical practitioner. we admit that a woman may, during marriage, present such an extraordinary deviation from the common course of nature, as to produce two perfectly mature and full developed children, the one three or four months after the other, how can such an event be any imputation on her fidelity? Superfectation, if it occur at all, may occur in married life, and during connabial intercourse. The following appears to be the only possible case wherein a medical opinion might be required respecting this alleged phenomenon. A married woman, six months after the absence or death of her husband, gives birth to a mature child, which dies. Three months afterwards, and nine months after the absence or death of her husband, she may allege that she has given birth to another child also mature: a medical question may arise, whether two mature children could be so born, as that the birth of one should follow three months after the birth of the other. - or whether this might not be a case, by no means uncommon, of twin children, the one being horn prematurely, and the other at the full period. (For a case of this kind, at two months interval, see Med.

Gaz. xxxvii. 27.) Admitting that both the children were mature, and therefore that it was a case of superfectation,—the first delivery must have taken place in the presence of witnesses,—and it would then have been known whether another child remained in the uterns or not. If the two children were born within the common period of gestation after the absence or death of her husband, then their legitimacy would be presumed, until the fact of uon-access were clearly established. The mere circumstance of both of them being apparently mature, and horn at different periods, would per se furnish uo evidence of their illegitimacy. On the other hand, if one or both of them were born out of the ordinary period, then, according to the evidence given, they might or might not be pronounced illegitimate. The law therefore appears to have no sort of cognizance of the subject of superfectation as such: it is entirely merged in the question of protracted gestation, which has already been fully considered.

Super-conception. - Whether superfectation can really take place or not, is a question which has given rise to much controversy. That one couception may follow another within a short period, and that twins may thus be the result of two distinct conceptions, is a probable occurrence. This, indeed, is what may he termed super-conception. But when gestation has already gone to the second month, it is highly improbable that there should be a second conception. In two eases, however, in which two men had intercourse with the same female within the period of seventeen and eight days respectively-cases favourable to super-conception,—there was, in each case, only one child. and the paternity was actually disputed. (See ante, p. 598.) It would appear, from the researches of Donné, that there is a limit to this power of super-conception. He has found that the mucus secreted from the vagina of pregnant females, is, by reason of its great acidity, completely destructive of the existence of the zoosperms, and therefore renders the spermatic fluid unprolific. (See post, STERILITY.) It does not appear, however, that the vaginal mueua becomes more acid at this period; but, according to Mr. Whitchcad, the effect is due to this acid secretion not heing partially neutralized, as in the unimpregnated state, by the alkaline mucous secretion of the uterns. (On Abortion, 406.) At what period of pregnancy the vaginal mueus begins to act destrnetively on the zoosperms, has not yet been determined; hnt further researches may show, that we have in this chemico-physiological effect a complete answer to the doctrine of superfectation.

Cases of alleged superfectation appear readily explicable, on the supposition that the woman was pregnant with twins, and that one was born prematurely, and the other at the full time, or later. The following case, reported by Dr. Möbus, of Dieburg (Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1837), will serve to illustrate this subject:—A healthy married woman, about thirty-five years of age, was safely delivered of a girl, on the 16th of Octoher, 1833. The child is described as having been well-formed, and having borne about it all the signs of ma-

turity. This woman, it is to be observed, had previously had several children in a regular manner. Soon after her delivery and the expulsion of the placenta, she felt, on this occasion, something still moving within her. On examination, the os uteri was found completely contracted, and the organ itself so drawn np, as to render it difficult to be reached: but the motions of a second child were still plainly distinguishable through the parietes of the distended abdomen. He delivery was not followed by the appearance of lochia or by the secretion of milk. The hreasts remained flaceid, and there was no fever. On the 18th of November, thirty-three days after her first confinement, this woman, while alone and unassisted, was suddenly delivered of another girl, which, according to Dr. Möbus, was healthy, and hore no signs of over maturity about it. The reporter alleges, that this case most unequivocally establishes the doctrine of superfectation. The two births took place at an interval of thirty-three days, and the two children were, it is stated, when born, equally well formed and mature: but Dr. Möhns did not see the second child until twentyfour hours after hirth.

This appears to have been nothing more than a twin case, in which one child was born hefore the other. Dr. Möbus considers that the first child was born at the usual period of gestation, it being described as mature; and the other, thirty-three days after that period, -having been, in his view, conceived so many days later than the first If, however, we imagine that in this, as it often happens in ehild. twin cases, one twin was more developed than the other, and that the most developed was the first expelled; or that it is not always easy to compare the degree of development in two children, when one is born before the other, and they are not seen together, we shall have an explanation of the facts, without resorting to the hypothesis of two distinet conceptions. As to the signs of over-maturity, alinded to, they are not met with. If we are to helieve anthentic reports, a child born at the thirty-ninth week is not to be distinguished from one born at the forty-third or forty-fourth (ante, p. 591); and children horn at the full period vary much in size and weight. A longer period may be required to hring the child to maturity in some women than inothers; and in a woman with twins, it is well known that the two children may arrive at the same degree of maturity within different periods,-one requiring, perhaps, several weeks longer than the other for its full development.

Cases of abortion of one twin, the other remaining in ntero, are by no means uncommon. Two cases of this kind are referred to in the Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. (July 1839, p. 289). In one, abortion took place at three months, while the woman went to her full time and was delivered of a healthy child at nine months. In the second, one fortus was expelled at about four and a half months, while four months afterwards, a full-grown child was horn. Even under a malformation which might be supposed to be favourable to its oc-

currence, namely, the presence of a bilocular uterus, it has been found that impregnation has taken place in one cornu only. (See Med. Gaz. xix. 507.) A singular instance is however recorded in the same journal (xx. 508), where a woman, six mouths after marriage, bore a four months' child, and forty weeks after marriage, mature twins. On examination, the uterus and vagina were both found double, and each agina had a separate orifice.

SUPPOSITITIOUS CHILDREN.

Another medico-legal case in relation to legitimacy, occurs where a woman feigns delivery, and represents the child of another person to be her offspring :- or she may substitute the living child of another woman for a dead child of which she herself has been delivered; or for a mole or hydatids which may have passed from her. So again, a male may be substituted for a female child, and vice versa. The practising of a frand of this nature may seriously affect the rights of inheritance of parties; but it cannot be accomplished without great dexterity and cunuing, or without the co-operation of several accom-Frauds of this kind have, in general, been committed by the aid of a low class of midwives. One instance occurred at Chelsea, in July 1842, where the frand was brought to light by the death of the supposititious child. The calling in of a professional man would infallibly lead to discovery, when the question was simply whether delivery had or had not taken place; but if it he alleged that one living child has been substituted for another, the proof of this can depend on medical evidence only, when the age of the supposititious child does not bappen to correspond to the pretended delivery. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 227.) The legitimacy of the claimant of the Douglas peerage was disputed on this ground, but without foundation (antc. p. 596). A remarkable case of this description will be found in Henke's Zeitsebrift der S. A. 1845, ii. 172; and a trial has recently taken place in England, involving the alleged substitution of a child, hut requiring no medical evidence for its elucidation. (Day v. Day, Leicester Lent Ass. 1845.)

The manner in which an imposition of this kind may be earried out, is well shown by a case which occurred recently in France. The female was, in this instance, a deaf and dumh woman, married; and it appeared that the busband was in collusion with her. It was not in her power to make any disposition of some property to which the children of her marriage would be entitled, and by the advice of her husband she simulated pregnancy in order to deprive the beir-at-law of the property, to which he would be entitled at her death. The fets, as far as they could be ascertained, were as follows:—The forman was forty-two years of age, and although married for a period of twenty years, had borne no children. On this occasion, admitting her statement to be true, she was delivered without any medical assistance. All her acquaintances and friends were ready to depose,

that for six months she had presented the usual appearances of real pregnancy, and that she had manifested the usual indisposition attending pregnancy, including occasional faintings at the parish church! For the heir-at-law it was contended, that she had substituted for her false accouchement, the child of a person named Peyrins, born only a few days before; and that she had made a false declaration of the birth. A midwife was ready to depose, that the deaf and dumb woman had never been a mother. The decision in this singular case is not stated. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, i. 463.) It is obvious that it can only be by the accident of the simultaneous delivery of another female in secresy (whose pregnancy is unsuspected), that a trick of this kind can be successfully practised.

HERMAPHRODITISM.

CHAPTER LV.

SEXUAL MALFORMATION—HERMAPHRODITISM—ANDROOYNUS—ANDROGYNA—DISTINCTION OF SEX—MISTAKES IN THE SEX OF CHILDREN—RULES FOR DIAGNOSIS—CASES.—CAUSES OF SEXUAL DEFORMITY IN THE FŒTUS—LEGAL RELATIONS—CASES IN WHICH THE DETERMINATION OF THE SEX IS NECESSARY.—IMPUTATION OF HERMAPHRODITISM—THE RIGHTS OF ELECTORS DEPENDENT ON A NOBMAL CONDITION OF THE SEXUAL ORGANS.—CONCEALED SEX.

General remarks.—The legitimacy of a child is open to be contested under other circumstances than those connected with the duration of gestation. The alleged parent may have laboured under physical incapacity; if a male, he may have been affected with impotency; if a female, with sterility; and if either of these conditions he proved, the illegitimacy of the child will be established, although the alleged period of gestation may he comprised within the ordinary limits. The sexual conditions now about to be considered have also an important bearing in relation to divorce, and occasionally to the civil rights of the child who may be the subject of the malformation. One of the most common and obvious causes of impotency or sterility is malformation of the sexual organs, to which species of monstrosity the term hermaphroditism is commonly applied.

SEXUAL MALFORMATION.

Owing to arrested development, during the growth of the feetns, the sexual organs, which can scarcely he distinguished in the feetus at the fourth month, occasionly assume an almormal arrangement. These organs appear to be at that time more or less mixed; and sometimes the male, and at others, the female characters predominate. In the former case, these heings are called androgymi, and in the latter androgyme. With this defective sexual development, the other peculiarities of the sexes are either wanting, or we find them more or less blended. When, therefore, the being is a male with malformation of the generative organs, it is called androgynus—when a female with a like malformation, androgyna. There can be no difficulty in identifying such cases, and, according to the degree of malformation, a medical jurist can have no hesitation in pronouncing these subjects to be incurably impotent. The organs are commonly so defective as be to wholly unfitted for the functions of either It is not meant to be said, that it is in all cases easy to assign the sex, but this is of minor importance;—the main question is, whether the malformation he or he not such as to justify divorce, or the imputation of illegitimacy upon children claiming to he the offspring of these heings.

Distinction of sex.—The determination of the sex in these cases of deformity has been considered to be necessary under certain circumstauces; as when, for instance, a title or entailed inheritance of lands is in question. Lord Coke has stated, that according to the law of England, an hermaphrodite may be either male or female, and it shall succeed according to the kind of sex which doth prevail. Thus, it is obvious that the law will decide each case according to the special circumstances attending it: hut it must not be supposed that the decision is so easy as Lord Coke's doctrine would imply. There are many cases in which neither sex can be said to prevail. The heings are positively neuter. The great character of the male would consist in the presence of testicles, and of the female in the presence of a uterus and ovaries. In a case which occurred to Mr. Grigor, hoth the testicles and ovaries were wanting: there was no essential character of either sex, and even during life it would have heen impossible to ssy whether this heing was male or female. (Cormack's Monthly Journal, July 1845, 492.) In the same journal (page 531) is reported another ease, where, notwithstanding the external resemblance to a female, the presence of one testicle in a scrotum, showed that this individual was of the male sex. Yet this person passed for a woman until he had reached his 26th year! It is rare that there is external malformation without internal defect, and even where the female character preponderates in the person, it is not improhable that the uterus or the ovaries may he absent, or the former may he malformed. Such beings are not known to menstruate, and even if there he capacity for intercourse, they are permanently sterile. Sexual desires are, however, commonly absent.

When the subject is young, mistakes respecting the sex are more common than when it is advanced in life. So soon as the period of puberty is past, certain changes take place in the configuration of the body, which may aid the medical practitioner in forming an opinion. Thus a grave tone of voice, the presence of a heard, the width of the shoulders, and narrowness of the pelvis, will indicate exeteris parihns the male sex: while, when these conditions are absent, and there is a rotundity of the members, with waot of prominence in the muscles, and a development of the mamme, we may pronounce upon the female sex predominating. Although no testicles he apparent, still the heing may he of the male sex, since it is well known that in persons otherwise well formed, these organs occasionally do not descend to occupy the scrotum. Dr. Harris, of Clarkesville, has related a very singular ease, in which, although uo testicles could be found, there was a short but naturally formed penis, through which the being regularly The female character predominated in the corporeal menstruated! development, and there was the rudiment of a vagina. (Med. Gaz. xl. 562.) The fact that the being menstruated, was here sufficient to assign it to the female sex. How easily mistakes may be made in the sex of young children is shown by a case which occurred to Mr. Terry, and is quoted in Cormack's Journal, April 1845, p. 307. The child was christened as a female, and so considered by the parents for two months, when, owing to some defect in the passage of the urine, it was brought to Mr. Terry, and he found that there was malformation of the penis-no vagiua, a scrotum, with oue testicle down and the other descending. He therefore pronounced it to he a male, and its costume was altered accordingly.

In some cases an external examination will entirely fail in indicating the sex, and even the opportunity of a post-mortem examination may leave the ease in doubt. An ingenious writer has laid it down that there are analogous organs in the two sexes which are never found in the same subject, and the separate existence of which would enable us to determine the sex. These analogous parts are the penis and the clitoris—the scrotum and the labia—the testicles and the ovaries—the prostate gland and the nterus. This, however, is an artificial, and as facts show, an incorrect means of diagnosis. If a penis could always be clearly distinguished from a clitoris, and a scrotum from the lahia, the rule might be serviceable: but it fails where it is most required, i. e. in the mixed conditions. As to the other means of diagnosis, even if correct, they will only enable an examiner to distinguish sex in the dead, whereas it is during the tife of the heing that the law requires the aid of medical science in the solution of these questions.

Mixed cases.—A case has heen already mentioned in which neither testicles nor ovaries were found after death, and more than one has occurred where both have been found,—a case of intermixture of the sexes or real hermaphroditism, physically speaking, hut of course without the power of self-impregnation. The following case is

mentioned by Briand: - The subject was about eighteen years of age when he died. The body was partly that of a male in configuration. and partly that of a female. An examination of the sexual organs, externally, led to no satisfactory diagnosis: - and on a post-mortem inspection, a testis was found in what was supposed to be the left labinm, with an epididymis and spermatic cord attached to it as usual; while on the other side, were an ovary, Fallopian tube, and the rudiments of a uterus. The authenticity of this case was for some time a matter of dispute: but another, which is of still more recent occurrence, the examination of the body having been made by Prof. Mayer of Bonn, will show that such extraordinary deviations may be met with in nature. The person examined by Mayer died in 1835, at the age of 55. Different opinions had been formed during his lifetime respecting his sex by the first anatomists in Europe: some affirming that it was a male, while others conteaded that it was a female. is sufficient to show, that an external examination does not always enable the inspector to pronomice an opinion on the probable sex of In his body were found, on the right side, a withered testicle, with a penis and prostate gland, as male peculiarities: - while on the left side was an ovarium, with a uterus, vagina, and Fallopian It should be stated, that the general configuration of the body in this case was that of a female. (Med. Gaz. xix. p. 135.)

Causes.—The causes of this singular malformation of the sexual organs, like all other kinds of monstrosity, are involved in mystery. We know that in the early part of ntero-gestation, the sex of the fectus cannot be distinguished; while even when it has reached the fourth month, the genital organs are so similar that the sex can seldom be determined on inspection. Some organs of the body appear to be formed by equal and symmetrical portions, which gradually approximate and unite in the median line. We observe this mode of union in the bones of the eranium, sternum, and spine, as also in the various fissures or raphes of the skin, which are the remains of a union between two equal and symmetrical parts of an organ, now become one. In regard to defects in organisation, it may he remarked, that they almost invariably occur in or about some part of the median line; and they proceed from a mere arrest of growth or development in those particular parts, either on one side or both, in the early stage of uterine existence. In this respect, the fissures sometimes observed in the palatine bones, in the velum palati, or in the lip,—the openings occasionally noticed in the sternum, diaphragm, anterior parietes of the bladder, as well as in the vertebral canal, are precisely analogous iu origin to the defective development of the sexual organs. There is nothing absolutely removed or lost, but there is an arrest of development; an opening, or fissure, which nature intended to be only temporary, becomes permanent through an arrest of growth. In the evolution of the male genital organs, the part corresponding to the scrotum is at first always divided by a considerable fissure; and the penis and the clitoris having, at this period of life, much the same kind of physical exterior, the sexual organs cannot be well defined. Should this fissure in the male not be filled up afterwards, then we shall have the most common variety of sexual malformation,—the hermaphroditic form, with the male predominating. These observations are not of course applicable to those cases in which the sexes are positively mixed. In these instances there appears to be a separate sexual organisation on the two sides of the body, with an imperfect development of each set of sexual organs. According to Weber, there is in the prostate gland a radimentary interns in every male. (Baly and Kirkes' Recent Advances in Physiology, 1848, 112.) (For some interesting observations on this subject, by Dr. Knox, see Mcd. Gaz. Nov. and Dec. 1843.)

One circumstance is worthy of note, namely, that sexual monstrosity appears occasionally to occur in the successive pregnancies of a well-formed female. My colleague, Dr. Lever, met with a singular instance of this in a female aged 28. She had given birth to four children in three confinements, the first being a twin-labour both the children males; and in both there was an arrest of development of the sexual organs. On the third delivery the child was a male, and its sexual organs presented the same deformity as those of the twins. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 946.)

Legal relations.—These beings, owing to defective development, are impotent and sterile. Questions connected with the legitimacy of offspring and divorce may, therefore, be raised with respect to them. This part of the subject will be considered bereafter. monstrosity is not a ground for depriving a being of the rights of inheritance except under peenliar legal conditions. Thus, a right of snecession or inheritance to landed estate may depend upon the sex of the offspring, as where, for instance, two children are horn, the first an hermaphrodite, the second a well-formed male child. The parents die, and a title of nobility or lands may fall to the first-born male. Here the sex of the first-born must be determined before possession can be claimed. In a case of this kind, if medical evidence should establish that male peculiarities predominated in the first-born, the second child would be cut off. Again, if an estate were limited by entailment, as where it is settled upon heirs male or female of a particular family, the birth of an hermaphrodite, an only child, would create the legal necessity for a positive determination of the predomi-So if the hermaphrodite live but a few minutes after birth, and then die, the rights of persons may be subsequently much affected by the medical attendant having come to an opinion respecting its sex. Since we cannot determine under what circumstances litigation may ensue, it is always right in a doubtful case to observe the sex and make notes on the spot, when a child thus malformed

survives its birth but for a short period. The question of tenancy by eourtesy, or the right of the father to landed estate of which the wife was seized, will depend entirely upon the attention of the accoucheur to this point. (See *Tenancy by Courtesy*, ante, page 558.)

When these heings have reached the adult age, other questions may arise with respect to them. According to an old law of France, an hermaphrodite was permitted to choose one sex, and thereafter compelled to keep to it! Hermaphrodites, or sexual monsters, were formerly ranked with infamous persons; and it has been a question in our Courts, whether the calling a man an hermaphrodite was not such a libel or slander upon him as to render it a ground for a civil In a case reported by Chitty (Med. Jur. 374) the use of this term was held not to he actionable unless it was proved that it had been attended with actual damage. A dancing-master brought an action against a party for calling him an hermaphrodite, and it was decided that it was not sustainable:—1. Because such a union of the sexes cannot exist in fact, and every one must be supposed to know it ;consequently the assertion could not be supposed to prejudice. Because, admitting the possibility of such double function, the party would be just as good, and perhaps even a safer dancing-master, than if only one perfect sex had been discoverable, -consequently the words would not, in legal presumption, injure him in his profession or occupation!

It would appear from a very singular case, reported by Dr. Barry, that in the United States the rights of citizenship, and the power of voting for members of Congress, have depended on the determination of sex. In March, 1843, he was requested to examine the case of Levi Suydam, aged 23 years, a native of Salisbury, Con. At the exciting and warmly contested election of the spring of that year, almost everything bearing the semblance of the buman form, of the male sex, was brought to the ballot-box. It was at this time, and under these circumstances, that the ahove-mentioned person was presented by the whigs to be made a freeman; be was challenged by the opposite party on the ground that he was more a female than a male, and that, in his physical organization, he partook of both sexes.

The following was the result of the first examination. On exposing his person, Dr. Barry found a mons veneris covered with bair in the usual way; an imperforate penis, subject to erections, and about two inches and a half in length, with corresponding dimensions; the dorsum of the penis was connected by the enticle and cellular membrane to the pubis, leaving about an inch and a half free, or not bound up, and towards the pubic region. This penis had a well-formed glaus,—a depression in the usual place of the meatus urinarius, with a well-defined prepuce, and foramen. The scrotum was not fully developed, inasmuch as it was but half the usual size, and not pendulous. In the scrotum, and on the right side of the penis, there was one testicle of the size of a common filbert, with a spermatic cord attached. In the

perineum, at the root of the corpora cavernosa, an opening existed through which micturition was performed,—this opening was large enough to admit the introduction of an ordinary sized catheter. Having found a penis and one testicle, though imperfectly developed, and without further examination, Dr. Barry gave it as his opinion, that the person in question was a male citizen, and consequently entitled to all the privileges of a freeman!

On the morning of the 1st Monday in April (election day), Dr. Barry was informed that Dr. Ticknor would oppose Suydam's admission. Suydam came forward; and Dr. Ticknor objected to him as a female. Dr. Barry then stated to the meeting, that from an examination he had made, he cousidered the person in question to be a male, and requested that Dr. Ticknor might, with the consent of Snydam, retire into an adjoining room, and examine for himself. This was done, when Dr. Ticknor stated to the meeting that he was convinced that Snydam was a male. Snydam accordingly was admitted a freeman—and his vote was received and registered.

A few days after the election, Dr. Barry heard that Suydam had regular catamenia. The sister of Snydam informed him that she had washed for him for years, and that he menstruated as regularly, but not so profusely, as most women. Suydam, when questioned, very unwillingly confessed that such was the fact. He was again examined hy the two physicians, when the following additional particulars were Said Snydam is five feet two inches in height, light-coloured elicited. hair, fair complexion, with a beardless chin, and decidedly of a sanguineous temperament, narrow shoulders and broad hips; in short, every way of a feminine figure. There were well-developed mamme with nipples and areolæ. On passing a female catheter into the opening through which micturition was performed, and through which, he again stated, he had a periodical bloody discharge monthly,-instead of traversing a canal and drawing off urine, the catheter appeared to enter immediately a passage similar to the vagina, three or four inches in depth, and in which there was a considerable play of the instrument. He stated that he had amorous desires, and that, at such times, his inclination was for the male sex; his feminine propensities, such as a fondness for gay colours, for pieces of calico, comparing and placing them together, and an aversion for bodily labour, and an inability to perform the same, were remarked by many.

Dr. Barry further learned from an old lady, who was present at the birth of Snydam, that on the second day after his birth, Dr. Delamater, who attended as accoucheur, made with an instrument the opening through which he has ever since performed micturition. (American Jour. of the Med. Sciences, July, 1847.)

This is certainly a very puzzling case, and one to which Lord Coke's rule for a decision, i. e. the prevalence of sex, is hardly applicable. The presence of a penis and one testicle referred the being to

a male, while the bodily configuration, and still more strongly the periodical menstrual discharge, referred him to the female sex. The right of voting might have been fairly objected to, because, while the female characters were decided, the organs indicative of the male sex are described as having been very imperfectly developed.

Concealed sex .- It is almost superfluous to say, that in some cases, sex cannot be determined by the dress, appearance, or even voice of the individual. Cases in which males have passed for many years unsuspectedly as females, and vice versa, have been very numerous. In some instances the secret has only been disclosed by death. Facts of this kind belong rather to the annals of imposture than to those of medical jurisprudence. A somewhat singular case of this description, that of Eliza Edwards, occurred to me in 1833. An unclaimed body was sent to Guy's Hospital by the Inspector of Anatomy, as a female! On removing the dress, however, it was found to be that of a male. From some suspicion respecting the cause of death, and the habits of this person, a coroner's inquest was held. It turned out that the deceased, whose age was 24, had assumed the dress of a female at the age of 14; and had performed in many parts of England as an actress. The features had a somewhat feminine character; the hair was very long, and parted in the centre; the beard had been plucked out, and the remains of this under the chin had been concealed by a peculiar style of dress. It was remarked during life that the voice was hoarse. The mamme were like those of a male, and the male sexual organs were perfectly developed. They had evidently been subject to great traction, and appeared to have been drawn forward to the lower part of the ahdomen. The state of the rectum left no doubt of the abominable practices to which this individual had been addicted. It was found that death had taken place from natural causes. The most remarkable circumstance in the case is, that the deceased had been attended in his last illness by an eminent physician for disease of the lungs; and so accurately was the imposition maintained, that his medical attendant did not entertain a suspicion of the real sex of his patient! (Med. and Phys. Jour. Feb. 1833, 168.)

IMPOTENCY. STERILITY.

CHAPTER LVI.

IMPOTENCY—DEFINITION—PHYSICAL CAUSES. PROCREATIVE POWER IN THE MALE—PUBERTY—AOE OF VIRILITY—LOSS OF VIRILE POWER BY AGE—DISEASES OF THE TESTIS—POWERS OF CRYPSOR-CHIDES AND MONORCHIDES — SUPERNUMERARY TESTES — ARRESTED DEVELOPMENT—MORAL CAUSES. STERILITY—CAUSES OF—PROCREATIVE POWER IN THE FEMALE—PUBERTY—EARLIEST AND LATEST AGES FOR CHILD-BEARING—FEMALE PRECOCITY—MENSTRUAL CLIMACTERIC—AGE FOR CESSATION—REAEDIABLE CAUSES OF STERILITY—LEGAL RELATIONS OF THE SUBJECT—CONTESTED LEGITIMACY AND DIVORCE.

IMPOTENCY.

Definition.—Impotency is defined,—An incapacity for sexual intercourse. It may depend—let, upon physical; 2d, upon moral causes. With regard to the latter, namely, the moral causes of impotency, a medical jurist has no concern whatever,—since no such causes are recognised by law; and he has no duty to perform beyond the application of the principles of medicine to the purposes of the law.

Impotency may depend on age, on certain physical causes, e. g. disease, -or on congenital malformation or defect. With regard to physical causes, a division must be made between those which are remediable and those which are not. The presence of disease of the testicle, such as atrophy, or fungous tumors, may give risc to incapacity; but the incapacity may be sometimes removed by an operation or by medical treatment, and therefore the physical cause may be removed:—in other words, it is remediable. Now to such cases as these the law does not extend; but it is always expected in alleged ineapacity, that the practitioner, examined on the subject, should be able to say whether there be or be not a prospect of cure. Upon this point, his knowledge of his profession can alone assist him; no rules can be laid down for his guidance, for there may not be two cases which will precisely resemble each other in their features. Hence it will be necessary to point ont the chief causes of impotency which are of an irremediable nature, or those in which the incapacity is absolute and permanent: a point upon which the law chiefly solicits a medical opinion.

In strictness of language, the definition of impotency as above defined, may exist in the female as well as in the male; and undoubtedly a physical incapacity for sexual intercourse may exist in either sex. As an instance of this incapacity in the female, may be mentioned occlusion or obliteration of the vagina,—a condition not necessarily indicative of sterility. The mere occlusion of the vagina may be a remediable form of the malady; but its entire obliteration would be absolute and irremediable. This latter condition, however, is the only instance of complete impotency in the female. Protrusion of the uterus or of the bladder into the vagina, are mentioned by some writers as causes of physical incapacity for intercourse: but these forms of disease may commonly be remedied by art, and therefore require no further notice.

In professional language, impotency is applied exclusively to defect in the male sex; and the term sterility is confined to all those conditions in the female, which not only render intercourse impossible,

but which render it unfruitful.

Procreative power in the male. Puberty.—Until the period of puberty the testes remain small, and increase very little in size in proportion to other parts. Mr. Curling found that the size of the seminal tubes differed but little at the ages of eighteen months and eight years. (Diseases of the Testis, 40.) The sexual function in the male depends entirely on the development of these organs; but the age at which it appears differs in different individuals. The age of puberty in a healthy male in this country varies from 14 to 17 years: its appearance is, however, affected by climate, constitution, and the moral circumstances under which the individual is placed. In some cases it is not fully developed until the age of 21.

The seminal secretion in the male is not considered to be prolific until it contains those peculiar filiform bodies which are known under the name of spermatozoa or zoosperms. These are regarded by some physiologist as parasitic animals, but by others, with greater probability, as freely moving eilia. (Recent Advances, Baly and Kirkes, 1848.) All agree that they are normal and essential constituents of the seminal fluid. They are peculiar to the spermatic secretion, and, in healthy subjects, are always present in it after the ago of puberty. They disappear in certain states of disease; and when not found, it is a fair inference that the individual is impotent, or that he has lost the power of pro-The age at which they appear in the spermatic secretion creation. varies with all those causes which affect puberty. Mr. Curling informs me that he found them in the secretion of a boy aged 18; but his observations have not been specially directed to this question. There is no doubt that in many cases they appear much earlier than this. Sexual propensities are often strongly developed in young children, and at a very early age they may be prolific. Dr. Ruttell met with a

case in which a female at the age of 14 became pregnant by a boy of the same age. (Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, 249). This is the earliest stage at which, so far as I can ascertain, the procreative power has appeared in the male. In a case of contested legitimacy or affiliation, this question may have an important hearing.

The person may be so young as to render it impossible that he should be the father of a child imputed to him. Cases involving questions of legitimacy on this ground are not heard of in the present day; but in ancient law-books there are decisions relative to the illegitimacy of children born during marriage because the alleged

fathers were seven, six, and even three years old! (Amos.)

The following case in reference to the affiliation of children occurred in 1840. A woman wished to affiliate a child on a youth, who was in his sixteenth year. The how denied that he was the father of the child; and there was reason to suspect that the imputation had been wrongly thrown upon him in order to divert suspicion from the real party. There was some difficulty in the ease: hut it appears to me that the rule for a medical man to follow on these occasions is this:not to regard the mere age of the youth, whether he be ahove or below the average age of puberty, but to observe whether the sexual organs be fully developed, and whether there be about him any of the ordinary marks of virility, indicated by muscular development, the growth of a beard, and a change in the voice. If these signs he present, whatever may be his age, there is strong reason to suppose that the sexual functions are developed. We occasionally hear of instances of extraordinary precocity; but the development of the sexual power is generally accompanied by other well-marked changes in the individual; and sometimes these do not make their appearance until after the age of twenty-one.

On the other hand, it may be a question at what time the procreative power disappears in the male. That impotency is one of the natural consequences of advanced age is undoubted: hut this, as we know, forms no legal impediment to the marriage of parties however old. The legal presumption is, that the generative faculty does not disappear through age; and if this he alleged, and legitimacy disputed on this ground, it must be satisfactorily proved. This amounts almost to an impossibility; hecause it is well known that there is no fixed age at which the sexual functions cease either in the male or female; and individuals, at least of the male sex, who had passed the ages of sixty, seventy, and even eighty years, have been known to be prolific. In relation to this question, it may be mentioned as an iuteresting physiological fact, that Mr. Curling found spermatozoa in the liquid taken from the testicles of a man upwards of seventy years of age, and on one occasion in the testieles of a tailor aged eightyseven. (Diseases of the Testis, 40.) Dr. Riittell mentions the case of a mau who, at the age of 92 years, married and had two children by his wife! When the procreative power even appears to be lost, the stimulus

for intercourse is often very great at an advanced age. The same authority mentions cases in which this was remarked by him in reference to men between 75 and 86 years of age. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1844, 252.) In all cases of protracted virility it is observed that the general bodily powers are also retained in an extraordinary degree, showing the very close relation which exists between sexual function and corporeal development even to the latest period of life.

The English law ou this subject was clearly laid down in the Banbury Peerage case, brought before the House of Lords many years since. Lord and Lady Banbury had been married twenty-one years without having had issue, when his lordship died at the age of eighty years. The peerage was claimed by an individual who called himself the son of Lord Banhury; but in fact it was alleged that he was the son of Lady Banbury by an adulterer, during her hushand's life. According to the evidence, Lord Banbury did not appear to be aware of his existence; and the child had always been known by another One of the grounds upon which the legitimacy of the descent of the claimant was contested, was that the deceased nobleman had become impotent through age; but it was then stated on good legal authority, that the law placed no limit on the powers and faculties of The assumed impotency of the alleged parent on the ground of age, could never he admitted as a proof of the illegitimacy of the The House decided against the claim, but not supposed offspring. on the gound of impotency from age in the husband. (See, also, in reference to this subject, Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1842, ii. 162, 330.)

Impotency from disease or accident.—The loss or destruction of the penis or testicles, either by disease, accident, or from necessary operations, would be sufficient to render an individual irremediably impotent. The loss of one or both testicles from any of these causes would be indicated by the presence of distinct cicatrices in the scrotum. When both have been removed by operation, the individual is inenrally impotent; but if the organs be healthy, a sufficiency of the spermatic fluid may remain in the ducts for two or three weeks after the operation to give procreative powers. The loss of one testicle only does not render a man impotent. Monorchides, as they are called, have been known to be prolific. Cases of this kind must not be confounded with those in which one or both testicles have not descended into the scrotum. In some rare instances, the organs do not descend into the scrotum at the usual period; hut one or hoth may remain in the abdomen, or in the inguinal canals, and only descend some time after birtb; or one may be found in the scrotum, and the other remain during life in the abdomen. In these cases the organs have been mistaken for, and treated as hernix by the application of a truss! (Ilenke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, i. 249.) When one of the organs only bas descended, there is no ground exteris paribus to impute impotency. When neither has descended, the scrotum will be found empty, witbout any cicatrix, but

all the other marks of virility may still be present. These individuals have been called Crapsorchides. It has been said that in all such cases the testicles are to be regarded as congenitally deficient; but this is an error. Dissection has clearly proved that they have merely not descended; and although remaining in the abdomen, there is no reason to believe that they were incapable of performing their functions. This absence of the testicles is a state very rarely seen. Mr. Marshall met with only one case of non-descent in the examination of 10,800 recruits. There were out of this large number only six in whom the left testicle was not apparent. There are three preparations, showing the non-descent of the testes, in the museum of Gny's Hospital; one of them was taken from a gentleman who shot himself from despondency at his sapposed Hunter thought that the undescended testicles defective condition. were imperfect both in their organization and functions, and that crypsorchides were invariably impotent. But the recent researches of Mr. Curling and others have shown that there is no foundation for this opinion. My friend Mr. Cock informs mc, that he has notes of the cases of two men whose testieles had not descended, and in whom the virile functions were perfect. One of them, before he had reached the age of thirty years, had already married twice, and had had children by each wife, besides illegitimate children which were affiliated on him during the time he lived in service! In general it will he found that the usual signs of virility have appeared about the person. If, in a ease of non-descent, there should be a non-development of the other external organs, and this is accompanied by a total want of the characters of virility, no doubt can be entertained that the individual is irremediably impotent. The testicles may, in such a case, be really congenitally absent; a fact only ascertainable by a post-mortem examination. I quite agree with the opinion expressed by Mr. Curling, which is confirmed by the facts above mentioned, that the detention of the testes in the abdomen is perfectly compatible with virility; and in cases where there are no external marks of effeminacy, or other grounds for suspecting impotency, and the patient is subject to erections, the imperfection does not offer any har to marriage, nor is it a justifiable ground for divorce.

The presence of what have heen called supernumerary testes, does not affect the virile powers of the individual. These have in general been found, by dissection, to be tumours connected with the healthy

gland, and not at all interfering with its functions.

In some cases there is an arrest of development in the external organs. In general, with this there is no sexual desire. Mr. Farr met with a case, in which, in a man aged forty-two, the sexual organs remained undeveloped and in an infantile state. There was some difficulty in finding the testes, in consequence of their smallness. On examining the contents of the gland microscopically, no spermatozoa were found. This person's voice was effeminate, and he was devoid of hair on the chin and puhis. (Med. Gaz. xl. 857.) It is not, however, always to

be inferred, that an individual with undeveloped organs is incurably impotent. The following case is quoted by Mr. Curling: - A gentleman, aged twenty-six, consulted Mr. Wilson on the propriety of his marrying. His penis and testicles very little exceeded in size those of a youth eight years of age, and he had never, until this acquaintance with his intended wife, felt the desire of sexual intercourse. He married, and became the father of a family; and at the age of twentyeight the organs had acquired the full development of the adult. (Op. Under wasting of the testis, or when the gland is extensively diseased, and the sexual desire disappears, there can be no doubt of impotency. The functions of these organs are not, however, very readily impaired by local disease. The spermatic secretion is still properly formed, even when only a small part of the gland remains healthy, -a fact proved by a microscopic examination of the discharge. Certain diseases of the appendages of the testes may, however, render a person impotent. The spermatic secretion is commonly suspended in most severe diseases which affect the body.

A very frequent cause of impotency in the adult, where the organs are apparently sound, is spermatorrhea, arising from abuse. This, however, is remediable to a greater or less extent by treatment.

Of moral causes it is unnecessary to speak. The sexual desire, like other animal passions, is subject to great variation; and there are instances on record, in which men, otherwise healthy-looking and healthily formed, have experienced no desires of this kind. They are in a state of natural impotency: a condition which the Canon law designates as frigidity of constitution. This is not to be discovered by examination, but rather from their own admission.

On the absence of the penis as a cause of impotency, as well as on its defective organization, some remarks have been already made in the preceding section. Sometimes the defect is merely connected with the urethra. Thus the orifice may open on the dorsum penis, and in other cases underneath the organ, so that the urethra may terminate at a variable distance from the perineum. Those labouring under the former defect are said to have epispadia; and under the latter, hypos-The power to have fruitful intercourse will depend on the situation of the urethral apertures. These malformations are sometimes remediable: but whether remediable or not, they are not, under any circumstances, regarded as absolute causes of impotency. Rüttel knew an instance of a hypospadian having several children. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1844, 258.) Lastly, the incapacity for intercourse in either sex may arise from extensive disease, affecting parts in and around the organs of generation. The medical opinion here must be regulated entirely by the circumstances attending each case.

STERILITY.

Definition.—Sterility is usually defined to be "the inability to procreate, or a want of aptitude for impregnation." It is not usual to speak

of sterility in the male, although there may be procreative incapacity; because the defective condition in this sex is fully expressed by the word impotency. In the strictness of language, a male who has been castrated is sterile; but it is sufficient to say that he is impotent. It is possible that many apparently healthy and well-formed males may be sterile without being impotent, i. e. that they may have intercourse without procreating—for the power to copulate must not be confounded with that of procreation: but it is more probable that the defect in such cases rests with the female, and not with the male. Sterility should, therefore, imply that condition of a female in which there is an "inability to conceive." This appears to be the true definition of the term, and the sense in which it is used, not only by the best writers, but in common phrascology.

Procreative power in the female. Puberty.—In the female, the procreative power is supposed not to exist until after the commencement of menstruation, and to cease upon the cessation of this periodical secretion. The menstrual function is commonly established in females in this climate between the ages of fourteen and sixteen; but it may occur much earlier: indeed, in some rare instances a discharge resembling the menstrual has been known to occur in mere infants. In other cases its appearance has been protracted to a nuch later period.

According to Dr. Rüttell, the menstrual function appears in the smallest number of females at 12, 13, and 14 years, and in the largest number at 16, 17, and 18. In many it is only first established at from 19 to 21 years; and he states that at this age he has often found the uterus very small, and quite undeveloped. Perhaps in this country, the most frequent age for menstruction may be taken at 15 vears. It is liable to be accelerated in its appearance by certain moral and physical conditions under which the female is placed. The most common intervals for the appearance of the function are twentyeight and twenty-one days. It is sometimes late before it appears. Dr. Camps found that it had not appeared in a married woman, æt. 30, who had borne no children. (Med. Gaz. xxxix. 409.) Another ease is mentioned in the same volume where it appeared for the first time at 47 (n. 567.) So soon as this function commences the female may be considered to have acquired progreative nower; but a female may conceive before the function has commenced, during the time of its occurrence, or after it has ecased. From facts elsewhere stated (ante, page 569), there is reason to believe that the period which immediately precedes or follows the discharge, is that which is most favourable to conception.

It is important to remember that these changes in the uterus may produce remarkable effects by sympathy with the brain and nervous system. At or about this period, more especially if any cause of obstruction exist, females become irritable, easily excited, and have been known to perpetrate without motive, erimes of great enormity, such as murder and arson. A propensity to steal also sometimes manifests itself.

It has been remarked that acts of arson have been frequently committed by young girls at this period of life, and the crime has spread by imitation. The case of *Brixey*, tried for the murder of an infant, and acquitted on the ground of insanity, will serve as another illustration of the morbid effect produced on the brain by disordered menstration. (See post, INSANITY.) The state of the mind should be therefore carefully watched at this period of life. Chlorosis or amenorrhea may give rise to temporary insanity. Puberty in the male may be attended with similar morbid propensities, but these are not so commonly witnessed in this, as in the female sex.

Pregnancy before menstruation.—The occurrence of menstruation is not indispensable to pregnancy. Many cases are on record where women who had never menstruated, have conceived and borne children. One case is reported, in which a female, aged 25, became pregnant and bore a child, and menstruation was only regularly established afterwards. (Lancet, Feb. 1842.) Dr. Murphy mentions another case of pregnancy previous to menstruation, in a woman aged 23. (Obstetric Reports, 1844. p. 7.) Numerons cases of conception without previous menstruation are quoted by Capuron. (Méd. Lég. des Acc. 96); and no less than nine instances of pregnancy before menstruation, have been collected by Mr. Whitehead. The females were all in excellent health during the whole time, and one did not menstruate until more than two years after the marriage had been consummated. (On Abortion, 223; see, also, Orilla, Méd. Lég. 1848, i. 257.)

Instances of premature puberty in the female are now very namerous:—they are far more common than in the male sex. more met with the case of a female child who, from a few days after birth, had her catamenia regularly at periods of three weeks and two or three days, until she had attained the age of four years, when she died. On inspection after death, she appeared like a much older girl. The breasts were musually large, and the female organs and lower limbs were considerably developed, (North, Jour. Med. July 1845, p. 70.) Another case has been recently reported in the Lancet (Jan. 29, 1848, p. 137.) This was a child aged three years. The breasts were as healthily developed as in an adult of twenty years; and the female organs were also as much developed as in a girl at the age of puberty. It was found that this child had the appearance of a little old woman, who had been regularly menstruating for twelve months. (For another case of menstruation at five years, see Med. Gaz. xxv. 548.) In these instances there is great reason to believe that the procreative powers are very early developed; but it is not common to hear of such young females becoming impregnated. A case is mentioned by Dr. Beek, where a female menstruated at one year, and became prognant, and was delivered of a child when a little more than ten years old. Dr. Walker met with a case in which the menstrual function was

established at the age of eleven and a half years, and the patient was delivered of a living child when only twelve years and eight months old. (Amer. Jour. Med. Sci. Oct. 1846, p. 547). In another observed by Rüttel, already referred to, a female of the age of fourteen became pregnant by a boy of the same age. He also refers to three others, where one girl of the age of nine, and two of the age of thirteen, became pregnant (loc. eit.) The first of these three cases represents the carliest age for pregnancy yet assigned by any author.

Age at which menstruction ceases. Menstrual climacteric.-The average period at which this function ceases in the female is usually at the age of from forty to fifty; but as it may commence very early, so it may continue very late in life. In one case it has been known to cease at the age of 23; and in another instance it has continued to the age of 66 and even of 75 years. (Whitehead, op. cit. 145, et seq.) In a case which occurred to Capuron, it continued beyond 60 (op. cit. p. 98); but a more remarkable case, both of late menstruation and late pregnancy, is quoted by Orfila from Bernstein. A female in whom the function appeared at 20, menstruated until her 99th year. Her first child was born when she was 47, and her seventh and last when she was 60. (Méd. Lég. 4ème éd. 1848, i. 257: sec, also, Briand, Man. Complet de Méd. Lég. 1846, 137.) From these facts, it is clear that it is impossible to fix the age of a female by the period at which this "change of life" occurs. At the best, it can only be an average of a certain number of instances. This question arose in the case of Clark v. Tatom (Kingston Lent Assizes, 1848) in reference to the identity of a female, through whom property was claimed by the hasband, who was the plaintiff in the action. The marriage had taken place in 1794; the parties separated in 1809; and the plaintiff's wife, as it was alleged, died in 1843, when, by direction of the defendant, the age of 55 was put upon the lid of her A medical gentleman who attended her in 1841, deposed that coffin. from her being then in her menstrual climacteric, he should consider her not to have been more than 50 at that time. He stated that the general period for the cessation of menstruation was 44: it was rarely protracted to the age of 50. On this assumption, it was impossible that the deceased could have been the plaintiff's wife, because at the time of the marriage she would have been only three years old! On the part of the plaintiff, direct evidence was given to show that the deceased was his wife; and it therefore remains to be considered whether the adverse medical opinion is consistent with medical experience. It is obvious, from the cases above quoted, that menstruation may continue to 66 or 70 years of age, and that this may have been an exceptional case. The plaintiff had a clear right to this medical presumption in his favour; and admitting that his wife was 17 at her marriage, she would have been menstruating in her 66th year. Hence it is evident that the medical facts of the case were compatible with the evidence adduced on the

part of the plaintiff. At the trial, these well-known exceptional cases of menstruation beyond the 50th year were not oven referred to: nevertheless the jury returned a verdiet in favour of the plaintiff.

Is it possible for a female to become pregnant after menstruation has ceased?—It is commonly asserted and believed that, under these circumstances, a woman becomes sterile. This is doubtless the general rule; but in a medico-legal view it is necessary to take notice of the exceptions. Mr. Pearson, of Staleybridge, communicated to the Lancet, some years ago, the case of a lady, aged 44, who, up to September 1836, had given birth to nine children. After this the eatamenia appeared only slightly at the regular periods until July 1838, when they entirely ceased. Owing to this, she supposed that she was not liable to become pregnant; but on the 31st December, 1839, therefore eighteen months after the entire cessation of the menses, she was delivered of her tenth child. Hence conception must have taken place at from eight to nine months after the final cessation of the discharge.

Latest age for pregnancy.—The age at which women cease to bear children is usually from forty to fifty years; but as they may menstruate, so they may conceive, beyond the last of these periods. Besides, the facts above mentioned show that the continuance of menstruction is not absolutely necessary for conception. Numerous instances are on record of females advanced in life bearing children. A ease is reported by Sehmidt in which a well-formed female, who was married at the age of nineteen, did not bear a child until she had reached the age of fifty. Ruttel observed in twelve women that they bore their last children at ages varying from forty-five to fifty. Ottinger met with an instauce of a female bearing a child at fifty. Ccderschiald another, where the woman was fifty-three, and menstruction still continued. Haller records two eases in which women at sixty-three and seventy respectively bore children. (Briand, Man. Complet de Méd. Lég. 137.) Nevermann has drawn up a table in reference to the late ages in life at which women have borne children. Out of 1000 cases in 10,000 births, he found that 436 children were borne by females at the ages respectively-

Of	41	years			101	Of 48 ye	ears		8
	42	•			113	49			6
	43	•			70	50		•	9
	44	•			58	52			1
	45		•		43	53			1
	46			•	12	54			1
	47				13				

A case has been communicated to the Medical Gazette (xxxix. 950) by Dr. Davies, of Hertford, in which a woman was fffty-five when her last child was born. She menstruated up to that time.

We cannot pretend to fix the age at which pregnancy ceases to be

possible, and beyond which it cannot occur. Questions of this kind have a very important bearing on the subject of legitimacy; and unless the law looks to something more than ordinary experience in such matters, the decisions of Courts may be inequitable. The legitimacy of the claimant of the Douglas Prerage, about the middle of the last century, was contested, among other grounds, on the presumed loss of procreative power in the female said to be the mother, who was in the fiftieth year of her age at the time of the alleged birth, and who therefore must have conceived when in her fortu-ninth year. Lords Camden and Mausfield decided that this was no objection to the legitimacy of the appellant. The fallacy of trusting to a ground of this kind as evidence of illegitimacy is proved by a reference to the numerous cases already quoted. One somewhat similar to that of the Douglas peerage occurred in France in 1754. François Fajat claimed an estate as heir to his mother. His claim was resisted on the ground that, by the baptismal registry, his mother could not have been the legitimate heiress of the party through whom the claim accrued: because ber alleged mother would then have been in her fifty-eighth year, and this, it was coatended, was beyond the age of child-bearing. Aucient records were searched, and the claim of legitimacy was admitted, because menstruction and conception had been known to occur at periods of life even later than this. (Capuron, Méd. Lég. des Accouch. 93.) This author quotes a case in which a healthy woman menstruated until she had passed her sixtieth year, and her last child was born when she was sixty years of age. (98.) Other cases of births at the ages of sixty-three and sixty-five arc referred to, but these appear to be of a less authentic kind.

The truth is, in giving a decision, the law is bound to look to the anomalies connected with the exercise of the generative function; and therefore the limited experience of a few witnesses, casually taken, can hardly be expected to supply satisfactory answers to questions of this kiud. It establishes no presumptions respecting the presence or absence of this power at any period of life; and it therefore leaves each ease to rest upon the whole of the circumstances which attend it.

Causes of sterility.—The causes of sterility in the female system are very numerous. Some of them depend upon peculiarities of constitution, the sexual organs being well formed and developed,—others upon latent changes or congenital defects in the uterus and its appendages, only discoverable by an examination after death. There is reason to believe, from the researches of Bischoff, that most females are sterile except at or about the time of menstruation (ante, page 533.)

Sterility rarely becomes a medical question in contested cases of legitimacy; for a claim on the part of an individual to be the offspring of a particular woman, unless the female herself were in collusion with the claimant, could only be made after her death; and if not disproved by medical evidence, showing that the woman could not have borne children, it would in general be easily set aside by circum-

stances. If the uterus, ovaries, or other parts, were congenitally defeetive or absent, or if there were external sexual malformation, accompanied by occlusion or obliteration of the vagina, a medical witness could have no difficulty in saying that the woman must have been A mere occlusion of the vagina, removable by operation, does not necessarily indicate sterility, for the internal parts may be healthy In some instances, the ovaries or the uterus may be and sound. cutirely absent, or the Fallopian tubes may be obliterated, -facts which cannot be in all cases determined during life; in other instances they may exist, but be defectively developed. Dr. Coley relates a ease in which, in a female set. 26, it was found after death that the uterus was not larger than in an infant of one or two years of age. The os and cervix uteri were not larger than a crow-quill in diameter, hut perfectly defined: one of the ovaries was incomplete. The patient had on a few occasions observed an appearance resembling menstruation. (Obstetric Record, May 1848, 169.)

Some of the physical causes of sterility in the female are, however, removable by art. Thus, where the vagina is nunaturally closed, this condition may be often remedied by operation. An instance of this kind is related by Mr. Dumville (Med. Gaz. xl. 1116) in which the female subsequently married and bore a child. It is a fact worthy of notice, that if the internal organs be in their normal condition, the slightest aperture will suffice for impregnation. Penetration is not necessary. Women have thus been known to conceive under circumstances which appeared quite adverse to the possibility of conception: and when they had arrived at the full time, it has been found necessary to make a free incision into the parts which resisted the passage of the child's head. A remarkable ease, which occurred to Dr. Sinnions, is quoted in the Lancet (June 19, 1847, p. 651), and there are many others of a similar kind on record. Sometimes the external passage is free, but the occlusion may be at the os nteri. This is a cause of sterility which, however, admits of remedy by operation. A case of this kind was lately successfully treated by my colleague, Dr. Oldham. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 919).

An absence of the menstrual function, (amenorrhæa,) has been mentioned as a cause of sterility, but several cases have been already mentioned, which show that females who have never menstruated, and who are otherwise healthy and well formed, may become impregnated. Aninordinate periodical discharge (dysmenorrhæa), depending on uterine disease, is a very frequent cause of sterility. The disturbed state of health which accompanies this morbid condition may be, however, itself unfavourable to conception. There is a popular notiou that women during menstruation and lactation are sterile, but this is incorrect. (Henke, Zeitschrift, 1844, 263.) Leucorrhæa, or that morbid state of the uterus and vagina which accompanies it, is commonly set down as a cause of sterility; but it is well known that some females, who have for years suffered from leucorrhæal discharge, have conceived and borne children. M. Donné thinks that this fact is explicable on chemical principles. 11c

has observed that the zoosperms, on which fecundation depends, live and are active in the vaginal secretion on some occasions, while their motions are speedily arrested on others. In the latter case, he has found the mucus strongly acid, and he considers that this may act noxiously, and destroy the zoosperms. Tho uterine mucus is alkaline. and in general the zoosperms are unaffected by it: in cases, however, where it was strongly alkaline, their motions were also destroyed. (Cours de Microscopie, 300.) Further observations are required before this theory can be admitted. The physiology of conception as to the precise time and circumstances under which it occurs, is altogether a Well organized and healthy women remain sometimes married for years without bearing children: when, without any apparent change of habit, they become impregnated, even after a barrenness of fifteen or twenty years. Any diseased condition of the system is unfavourable to impregnation, and à fortiori diseases affecting the uterus or ovaries. Of all these diseases chronic endo-uteritis, or what may be called irritable uterus, is, in Mr. Whitehead's opinion, one of the most frequent causes of sterility. (On Abortion, 400.) Change of air and climate have in some instances alone sufficed to remove sterility, probably by relieving this diseased condition of the generative organs. It has been remarked, too, of males and females. that there has often heen a return of procreative power after recovery from an attack of fever.

On the whole, the physical and irremediable causes of sterility in the female are not so apparent as in the male, because in the former the generative apparatus is placed internally, and slight changes in its various parts, sufficient to produce permanent sterility, cannot be determined by an examination.

Legal relations of the subject. Divorce.—Sexual malformation, involving impotency or sterility, constitutes one of the canonical impediments tu marriage, and if matrimony be cuntracted by a party lahouring under such malformation, the contract is voidable. Canonists have reckoned fourteen impediments to matrimony, enumerated in the following quaint hexameters (Poynter's Doctrine, 84):—

"Error, conditio, votum. cognatio, crimen, Cultûs disparitas, vis, ordo, ligamen, honestas, Si sis affinis, si forte coire nequibis, Si parochi et duplicis desit præsentia testis. Raptave sit mulier, parti nec reddita tatæ Hace facienda vetant commbia, facta retractant."

In the marriage contract there is implied a capability of consummatiou, and the incapacity of either party in this respect, constitutes a ground for annulling the agreement. "Vir et mulier si se conjunxerint, si postea dixerit mulier de viro quod non possit coire cum eo, si potest (per vernm indicium) prohare quod verum sit, accipiat alinm (Cans. 23). Quia matrimonium ordinatum fuit non solum ad evitandum fornicationem, sed etiam ad proles procreandas: si matrimonium (tale

quale) fuerit, inter virum et mulierem de facto solemnizatum, qui omnino inhabiles sunt, non propter actatem, sed propter aliquod naturale impedimentum ad proles suscitandas, utpote propter impotentiam et frigiditatem, maleficentiam, et similia, quee ipso jure reddant hujus-nodi matrimonium nullum. Hæe impedimenta naturalia aliquando continguut tam iu muliere quant in viro et pars gravata agere potest in causa millitatis matrimonii. (Onenton, tit. 193, sec. 17).

As to presumed incapacity from age, the law takes no cognizance of it. The Pappian law of the reign of Tiberius forbade women under fifty to marry men of sixty, and vice versî, but it is now known that

females are prolific beyond fifty, and males beyond sixty.

The impediment constituting impotency, may arise either from malformation, what the law calls frigidity of constitution, or any physical canse of whatever nature, which may render intercourse impossible. When the physical defect is not apparent and irremediable, a continued collabitation of three years is required before a suit can be entertained (Ayliffe's Parergon); but according to Oughton—"hee triennalis expectatio non est necessaria nbi statim possit constare de impotentià cocundi." Suit for a sentence of nullity may be promoted by either party, and the medical proof required to found a sentence must be such as to satisfy the Court that the incapacity pleaded was in existence at the time of the marriage, and that it still remains witbout remedy. There should be no delay in instituting the suit, and there should be proof that the impediment was not known to the complaining party at the time of the contract. A longer delay in making the complaint is allowed to a female, without prejudicing her case, than to a male, by reason of the modesty of her sex. In a case which came before the Ecclesiastical Courts in 1845, a singular question arose whether, when there was a capacity of intercourse on the part of the female, with a certainty that from physical defect it could never be prolitic, this was sufficient to entitle the husband to a divorce. female was examined by Drs. Bird, Lever, and Cape; and they reported that the sexual organs were undeveloped, like those of girls who had not reached puberty, that the vagina was only three-quarters of an inch in depth, and that there was no nterus. They stated that sexual intercourse might take place in an imperfect way; but that conception could not result. On a second examination, seven months afterwards, it was found that the vagina had become elongated, and had then a depth of two inches: but there were no medical means of improving its condition or removing the defect.

It was contended for the husband that the defect was natural and irremediable, and that he was entitled to a sentence of nullity of marriage. On the part of the wife, it was insisted that in order to entitle a party to this sentence, there must be an utter impossibility of sexual interconrse. The case, it was argued, was one of mere sterility, which was no ground for a sentence. Actual consummation had taken place. Dr. Lushington, in pronouncing judgment, said, that mere incapability

of conception is not a sufficient ground whereou to found a decree of nullity. The only question is, whether the female is or is not capable of sexual intercourse, or if at present incapacitated, whether that ineapacity admits of removal. A power of sexual intercourse is necessary to constitute the marriage bond: and this intercourse must be ordinary and complete, not partial and imperfect; yet it would not be proper to say that every degree of imperfection would deprive it of its natural character. If it be so imperfect as to be scarcely natural, it is, legally speaking, no intercourse at all. As to conception, there is no doubt that the malformation is incurable. If there were a reasonable probability that the female could be made capable of natural coitus, the marriage could not be pronounced void: if she could not be made capable of more than an incipicut, imperfect, and unnatural coitus. then it would be void. Dr. Cape stated that under present circumstances there could only be restricted and limited connection: it could not be cidled perfect and complete. The vagina might possibly become a little more clongated, but this would expose the female to danger. From these facts the marriage was pronounced null and void. (See American Journal of Med. Sci. Jan. 1848, 305.)

Hence we may infer that if the vagina had been of its natural length, notwithstanding the absence of the uterns and the impossibility of conception, a sentence of nullity would not have been pronounced. This is rather conflicting with the doctrine, that the main object of a

marriage, valid in law, is ad proles procreandas.

The nature of the medical evidence required on these occasions will be best nuderstood by the following extract from Oughton:-"Ad probandim defectus judex compellere potest virum ad exhibendum præsentiam snam et ad ostendendum in aliquo loco secreto (per judicem assignando) pudenda sua, seu illos corporis defectus quos mulier objicit (si ex inspectione corporis apparere possint) medicis et chirnegis peritis prius judicialiter in præsentia partis adversæ, de diligenter inspiciendo virum et de referendo in scriptis corum judicium juratis. Et si medicorum et chirurgorum judicium sit quod morbus vel defectus viri fuerit insanabilis et incurabilis (tamen tenentur in relatione corum judici ipsum morbum scu defectum specificare ne cireumveniatur Ecclesia) et quod in corum scientiâ, doctrinâ, experientiâ morbus aut defectus hujusmodi nullà re aut arte medicà curari possit mulier obtinebit in eausa: hoe addito et allegato ex parte mulieris, quod ipsa sit juvenis et ad proereationem apta ed quod per tres annos iusimul pernoctarunt et quod quamvis a marito coguosci cupiebat, ab eo tamen cognita non fuit ucc cognosci potuit. Et si defectus non possunt directe per medicos et chirurgos juratos, judicari ant decerni; vel forsan dubia sit eorum relatio; allegetur ex parte mulieris, non solum que nltimo recitata sunt, sed etiam hoe addito: - Quod sit nirgo intacta nec a quoquam cognita. Et ad hoe probandum judicialiter jurandæ sunt obstetrices ad juspiciendum mulierem, an vera sint Et si judicio hujusmodi obstetricum, reperta fuerit hree allegata,

virgo, saltem femina intacta nec a quoquam cognita; et si vir non possit aliquos defectus objicere contra uxorem, ob quos cognosci non possit; hæe dietarum mulierum relatio cum judicio medicorum et chirurgorum (quamvis dubio) una cum cæteris prædictis indiciis (videlicet in eo quod mulier sit juvenis, et quod concubnit cum viro, per trienuium, ac quod ex aspectu apta et idonea videatur ad procreationem) sufficiunt ad divortinm; seu potius ad pronunciandum nullum ab initio matrimonium fuisse inter hujusmodi personas; cusque ab invicem, et ab omni vinculo et fædere conjugali, liberas et inunnnes fuisse et esse. Et notu quod si defectus objiciantur contra mulierem probandi suut isto modo per inspectionem et relationem."

A case of this kind came before the Vice-Chancellor's Court, in February 1845 (Wilson v. Wilson), in which the femule produced medical certificates to prove that she was "virgo intacta!" In drawing up eertificates of this kind, the medical reporter should bear in mind, that females have become pregnant with what is commonly regarded as the chief sign of virginity intact. (See post, p. 636.) Indeed, the division of the hymen has been often rendered necessary for the delivery of the child. Negative evidence of non-consummation from the physical condition of the female, is therefore of much less value, exeteris paribus, than the affirmative evidence from the existence of a

physical defect in the male.

When the defect is not apparent on an examination, the case is attended with considerable difficulty. Divorce has, however, been granted even in these cases, when the busband bas acknowledged his incapacity, and when, notwithstanding cohabitation for some years, this admission has been confirmed by an examination of the wife. Even where the male organs do not appear well developed, and sexual desire is absent, great caution is required in drawing up a report. (See case, ante, p. 617.) In the case of Bury, the marriage was dissolved on the ground of impotency; but this man afterwards married, and had issue, -a fact which proved that "ecclesia circumveniatur." This gave rise to a difficult question: for it was contended, if the divorce was null, the second marriage was unlawful and the issue illegitimate. It was decided, bowever, that the second marriage was only voidable, and that, until dissolved, it remained a lawful marriage, and the children during coverture, were legitimate. In investigating a case of this kind, when there is no apparent physical defect or malformation, it is necessary to examine the bodily state of the individual, whether he be effeminate, or have about him any or all of the usual marks which attend a virile state. In the latter case the impotency may be temporary: and it would be decidedly masafe to pronounce an opinion adverse to the existence of a procreative power.

From these considerations, it will be perceived, that in order to justify a suit of divorce, on the ground of impotency or sterility, the impediment to interconrector procreation must be apparent and irremediable; it must also have existed before the marriage of the parties, and have

been entirely unknown to the person suing for the divorce: if it has supervened after the marriage, this is no ground for a suit. nature of the impediment is to be determined by private medical opinions or affidavits, based on an examination of both parties. There is one remarkable circumstance with respect to these cases; namely, that in nearly all of them, the suit is by the female against the male: although there is no reason whatever to suppose that impotency and sexual malformation are more common in males than sterility in females. We rarely hear of a man instituting a suit of divorce on the ground of sterility (incapacity) in the wife; it is, I believe, in most instances, that the wife promotes the suit on the ground of impotency The difficulty of establishing incapacity in the in the husband. female, and the facility of proving impotency in the male, may probably account for the difference. Suits of this kind are sometimes instituted many mouths and years after the union of the parties; but it is pretty certain that the desire for separation in these cases, often depends on some other cause, which the law would not recognize as sufficient of itself, while it would admit the plea of impotency. French law very judiciously applies the principle of condonation to such eases, so that no suit for nullity of marriage can be entertained, if cohabitation have continued for six months after the discovery of the personal defect. This appears to be more consistent with justice than our own law: but practically, these suits, after protracted cohabitation, are always regarded with great suspicion.

RAPE.

CHAPTER LVII.

NATURE OF THE CRIME—SOURCES OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE—RAPE ON YOUNG CHILDREN—LEGAL COMPLETION—PROOFS OF PENETRATION—ABSENCE OF MARKS OF VIOLENCE, PURULENT DISCULARGES FROM THE VAGINA—EVIDENCE FROM GONORRHEA AND SYPHILIS. FROM MARKS OF VIOLENCE—RAPE ON YOUNG FEMALES AFTER PUBERTY. DEFLORATION—SIGNS OF VIRGINITY—PROOFS OF INTERCOLUSSE. RAPE ON THE MARKED—RAPE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF NARCOTICS—ON IDIOTS. MICROSCOPICAL EVIDENCE—LEGAL BELATIONS. SODOMY.

Nature of the crime. Sources of medical evidence.—Rape is defined in law to be the earnal knowledge of a woman by force, and against her will. In ancient times it was punished by eastration,-a punishment which, according to Dr. Griffiths, is still retained in Virginia and Missouri, when the crime is perpetrated by a coloured man on a white woman. For a long period it was punished as a capital crime in this country, but transportation for life was substituted for this panishment by 4 and 5 Vict. c. lvi. s. 3. Since this change was made in the law, it has been alleged, on good authority, that the crime has undergone a considerable increase. On the average of four years, rapes increased 57 per cent. (Law Times, Jan. 4, 1845); and it was stated officially in parliament, in 1847-8, that the increase had actually amounted to 90 per cent, since the abolition of eapital punishment. Medical evidence is occasionally required to support a charge of rape, but it is seldom more than corroborative, because the facts are in general sufficiently apparent from the statement of the prosecutrix. There is, however, one ease in which medical evidence is of some importance; namely, when a false accusation is made. some instances, as in respect to rape on young children, the charge may be founded on mistake; but in others there is little doubt that it is often wilfully and designedly made for motives, into which it is here unnecessary to inquire. Professor Amos remarked, some years since, that for one real rape tried on the Circuits, there were on the average twelve pretended eases! In some few instances, these false charges are set aside by medical evidence ;-but perhaps in the majority, they

are developed by the inconsistencies in the statement of the prosecutrix herself. The duty of a medical witness on these occasions is very simple; and perhaps this will be best understood by considering the subject in relation to females at different ages. It may be observed, that the consent of the female does not excuse or alter the nature of the crime when she is nnder ten years of age, since consent at this period of life is invalid; and the earnal knowledge of such a female is rape in law. Even the solicitation of the child does not excuse it.

Ou being called to examine the person of a female on whom a rape is alleged to have been committed, the first circumstance which the practitioner should notice, is the precise time at which he is summoned,—taking an early opportunity of comparing his watch with some neighbouring clock. This may appear a very trivial matter, and one wholly irrelevant to the duties of a medical practitioner; but it is to be observed, that the time at which a surgeon is sent for to examine the prosecutrix may form a most material part of the subsequent inquiry. It will be highly important to the prisoner, if it can be proved that the female did not take the earliest opportunity to complain; and it may be also the meaus of defeating an alibi, falsely set up by the prisoner in his defence.

Medical evidence of rape may be derived from four sources:—
1. Marks of violence about the genitals. 2. Marks of violence on the person of the prosecutrix or prisoner. 3. The presence of certain stains from the spermatic fluid on the clothes of the prosecutrix or prisoner. 4. The existence of genorrhea or syphilis in one or both. This evidence will vary according to the following circumstances:—

ON YOUNG CHILDREN.

The sexual organs should in these cases present traces of injury if there has been any resistance whatever on the part of the child; for it is impossible to conceive that any forcible intercourse should have taken place without the production of ecchymosis, the effusion of blood, or the laceration of the pudendum. It has been propounded as a serious question, whether a rape can be perpetrated on a child of this age by an adult man; and medical witnesses at trials have been found to adopt diametrically opposite views on this point. For the legal establishment of the crime, proof of penetration only is demanded, and it would appear from one decision at least (Rex v. Russen), that a degree of penetration so slight as not to injure the hymen, would be sufficient to complete the crime. In the case alluded to. the hymen of the child was proved to be entire, and under the direction of the judge, the prisoner was convicted and executed. This trial took place in 1777; but since that period one judge, the late Baron Gurney, has ruled the contrary. He held in one case, that there must be a sufficient penetration of the male organ to rupture the hymen; and unless this membrane were found ruptured, the offence

would not be complete in law. (Rex v. Gammon, Archbold, Crim. Plea, 406.) According to this decision, the duty of a medical man would simply consist in determining whether the hymen was entire or It is, however, hereby left uncertain how those cases would be disposed of where the hymen had been destroyed by disease, or was congenitally absent; or where, as in a case which was lately tried, the hymen was only partially ruptured. But it is more than doubtful whether our judges would adopt this view; they would, most probably, require a medical opinion, whether there might not be some degree of penetration,—as into the vulva, without necessarily rupturing the bymen, and upon this point there appears to me, medically speaking, to be no doubt:--further, this penetration might take place without leaving any extensive marks of violence about the pudendum. Of course when the physical injury is so slight, it would be only proper to require good corroborative evidence, and in the absence of this evidence, the prisoner would probably be convicted of the assault with intent. It must not, however, be assumed by medical witnesses, that all these charges of rape on young children are frivolous, and that they impute an impossible crime. Medically speaking, some penetration may take place without a necessary destruction of the hymen; and morally speaking, the crime must be the same, whether the membrane be ruptured or not; for how is it possible to repress, what society agrees in regarding as a very heinous offence, if medical witnesses are to be allowed to dispute about degrees of penetration for its completion? It is doubtful whether, in any case, there could be a complete introduction of the male organ into the vagina, without laceration and destruction of the soft parts; but are we to be told, upon medical grounds, that no offence analogous to rape can possibly be perpetrated on female infants, unless such marks of physical injury be invariably present? This is making the proof of the earnal abuse of such children to depend upon mere accident: it is laying down a rule, that penetration to the vulva shall not constitute rape, while penetration to the vagina shall be visited by the usual punishment. The law, however, would not sanction this view; for on no pretence could a different punishment be assigned to the two acts. The moral injury to the female and to the laws of society, is the same in the two cases.

Proofs of penetration.—In a case brought before a magistrate a few years since, the evidence left no doubt that the crime had been committed on the person of a young girl about ten years old. The surgeon stated, that there were considerable marks of violence about the pudendum, but completion (i. e. penetration) was, in his opinion, physically impossible, in a child under ten years of agc. Upon this evidence the charge of felony was abandoned. In the following ease the child was older, but the facts bear immediately upon the question which we are here discussing. It was tried at the Central Criminal Court, March 1843; and the particulars were communicated to the profession by Mr. Adams. (Laneet, March 25, 1843.) A man was

charged with a rape upon his own child, aged fourteen. Mr. Adams examined the child about two days after the alleged perpetration of the erime; and he found no injury about the vulva or adjacent parts, and the hymen was unruptured. He gave a positive opinion at the trial, that no rape had been committed. Two other medical witnesses, men of experience and integrity, stated their belief that the crime had been perpetrated. It appears that they had examined the child soon after the alleged offence, and a day or two before Mr. Adams. The prisoner was acquitted of the rape, but found guilty of the assault. The absence of any marks of injury about the vulva, so short a time after the alleged criminal act, and the fact of the hymen being nuruptured, in some measure justified the opinion of Mr. Adams, that there was no medical proof of a rape having been committed: at the same time he candidly restricts his opinion, by saving, that if by rape we are to understand penetration to the vulva, then was it effected; but there was no evidence to show vaginal penetration: on the contrary, the unruntured state of the hymen in a forcible intercourse was against this view. The only remark which this case reonires, is, that the statute law says nothing about the rupture of the hymen as part of the evidence: it merely requires proof of penetra-This may occur and the hymen remain intact. Under these circumstances we shall probably find different judges taking different views of the degree of penetration required; although one cannot perceive that the offence is morally or legally lessened by the fact of the penetration having been so slight as to leave the hymen mainjured.

In Scotland this question came formally before the judges in the case of Macrae. (High Court of Insticiary, 1841.) It was insisted by the prisoner's counsel, that there should be proof of full and complete penetration; and there was no sufficient evidence to show that penetration had taken place into the canal of the vagina heyond the vulva. Lord Meadowhank charged the jury to the effect, that the evidence of the prisoner's guilt was complete; that scientific and anatomical distinctions as to where the vagina commenced, were worthless in a charge of ranc; and that by the law of Scotland, it was enough if the woman's body were entered. In a case like this, where there was no evidence of emission, and the girl was young, he did not consider it necessary to show to what extent penetration of the parts had taken place,—whether it had gone past the hymen, into what was anatomically called the hymen, or even as far only as to touch the hymen. The prisoner was convicted. (Cormack's Ed. Jour. January 1846, p. 48.)

Absence of marks of violence.—When, as in the case above related, there are no marks of violence or physical injury about the pudendum of a young child, whether hecause none originally existed, or they had existed and disappeared in the course of time, a medical witness must leave the proof of rape to others. He can only answer questions of possibility or probability, according to the special facts proved. On

the other hand, if marks of mechanical violence be present, they must not always he hastily assumed as furnishing proof of rape; for cases are recorded, in which such injuries have been purposely produced on young children, as a foundation for false charges against individuals. The proof or disproof of facts of this kind must rest more upon general than on medical evidence, unless the injuries obviously indicate the use of some weapon or instrument. It should be remembered that the hymen is not always present in young children:—it may he, according to some, congenitally deficient, or, what is more probable, it may have been removed by ulceration or suppurative inflammation of the parts,—a disease to which female infants of a scrofulous habit are very subject. The mere absence of the membrane, therefore, can afford no proof of the perpetration of the crime, unless we find traces of its having been recently torn by violence.

Purnlent discharges from the vagina,—The existence of a purulent discharge from the vagina, has been erroneously adduced as a sign of rape in these young subjects. The parents or other ignorant persons, who examine the child, often look upon this as a positive proof of impure intercourse; and perhaps lay a charge against an innocent person, who may have been observed to take particular notice of the child. Some cases are reported, by which it would appear that individuals have thus narrowly escaped conviction for a crime which had really not been perpetrated. This discharge is very common as a result of vaginitis in young children. It often arises from dentition, or local causes of irritation,—as worms or uncleanly limbits, and is observed especially in children of a scrofulous habit. It is met with in girls up to six or seven years of age; and children thus affected, have been tutored to lay imputatious against innocent persons, for the purpose of extorting money. This state may commonly be distinguished from the effects of violence, by the hymen heing entire—the non-dilatation or laceration of the vagina—the red and inflammatory condition of the mucons membrane, and the abundance of the purulent discharge, which is commonly much greater than that which takes place as a result of violence. Capuron mentions two cases, in which charges of rape on young children were falsely made against innocent persons, on account of the existence of a purulent discharge, the nature of which had heen mistaken. (Méd. Lég. des Accouchemens, 41.)

If the child he labouring under syphilis or gonorrhaa, this is positive evidence of impure intercourse either with the ravisher or some other person; hut we should he well assured, hefore giving an opinion, that the discharge is really of a gonorrhaal, and not simply of a common inflammatory character. The party accused might he at the time free from that disease, or if labouring under it, then we should expect that the discharge suddenly made its appearance in the child with its usual severe symptoms, at a certain interval of time after the presumed intercourse; i. e. from the third to the eighth day.

When these conditions do not exist, it is extremely difficult to form a medical opinion on the subject, since there are no certain means of distinguishing sporadic discharges from those which are gonorrheal. Under these circumstances, proof must be derived from non-medical sonrees. A case occurred to M. Bicssy, in which a mere mucous discharge in a young girl was pronounced to be syphilitic, and the party who was falsely accused of rape narrowly escaped conviction. (Briand, Mau. Complet de Méd. Lég. 1846, 81.)

As a summary of these remarks with respect to purulent discharges, we may observe, that they should never be admitted as furuishing corroborative evidence of rape, except—1, when the accused party is labouring under gonorrheal discharge;—2, when the date of its appearance in the child is from the third to the eighth day after the alleged intercourse;—3, when it has been satisfactorily established that the child had not, previously to the assault, any such discharge. It may be said, however, that all these conditions may exist, and yet the prisoner be innocent; for a child may either, through mistake or design, accuse an innocent person. This, however, removes the ease entirely from the hands of the medical jurist.

In the case of the Queen v. Mosely (Cent. Crim. Court, Sept. 1843), the prosecutrix, a child between twelve and thirteen years of age, charged the defendant with having committed a rape upon her, alleging that she had made all the resistance in her power. Dr. Merriman stated that he examined the prosecutrix two or three days after the alleged offence was committed, but could not give any decided opinion on the case, although there was every appearance of violence having been Another medical witness stated that the prosecutrix had been under his earc for the last eight or nine days for a disease (gonorthæa), with which, in his opinion, she had been infected for a considerable time; and a third proved that the prisoner was not infected with this disease. Dr. Merriman, however, is reported to have said that the prosecutrix was not labouring under the disease when he examined It is difficult to explain how this discrepancy on a matter of fact of some importance could have arisen. The jury acquitted the prisoner, probably not trusting to the statement made by the prosecutrix. another case, Reg. v. M'Donough (Cent. Crim. Court. Oct. 1843), Mr. French and Mr. Tucker deposed that the gonorrhea under which the prosecutrix (æt. 15) laboured, had probably not existed longer than a week,-it might have been of longer standing, but it certainly could not have existed for six weeks, the date at which it was alleged that the rape was perpetrated by the prisoner, and the disease communicated. Upon this evidence the prisoner was acquitted.

The following case was lately tried at the St. Louis' Criminal Court. A man named M'Comas was charged with an attempt to violate a child at. 9. The evidence against the prisoner was chiefly hased on an extorted admission from the prosecutrix, and on the discovery on her clothes of certain stains supposed to have been produced by semi-

nal fluid. The mother examined the pudends, and found them inflamed and discharging matter, although several weeks had elapsed since the alleged attempt. A medical practitioner was called to the girl; he found the nymphæ and orifice in a state of inflammation, which might have ariseu from some morbid cause; but he was unable to give any positive opinion respecting the nature of the discharge. About eight days after this, the girl was examined by Dr. Stevens: the parts were still much inflamed, and discharging muco-purulent The hymen was uninjured. The defence of the prisoner was, that he was not guilty of the assault, and that he was not labouring under gonorrhea at the time of the alleged attempt. He was couvicted and scutenced to three years' imprisonment. American Journal, May 1848, page 19.) It is quite possible that this was a case of vaginal catarrh mistaken for gouorrhoa; for, as it has beeu already stated, there are no certain means of distinguishing the two kinds of discharges. The jury, however, appear to have put faith in the testimony of the prosecutrix. The ease was therefore decided by moral circumstances, and not by medical evidence. The existence of an unruptured hymen merely proved that there had not been a violent attempt at earnal intercourse.

Marks of violence.—With respect to marks of violence on the body of the child, these are seldom met with, because no resistance is commonly made. Bruises or contusions may occasionally be seen on the

lower extremities.

ON YOUNG FEMALES AFTER PUBERTY.

When the crime is committed on a female from the age of teu to twelve years, the facts are much the same as those already referred to with respect to children below the age of ten years. There is, however, some difference in the legal complexion of the offence. If carual intercourse be had with the consent of a female between the ages of ten and twelve years, the offender is guilty of a misdemeanor only. Above the age of twelve years, the consent of the female does away with any imputation of legal offence. Females who have passed this age are considered to be capable of offering some resistance to the perpetration of the crime; and therefore in a true charge, we should not only expect to find marks of violence about the pudendum, but also injuries of greater or less extent about the body and extremities. It is probable that in these cases, if the charge were well founded, the hymen would be ruptured, as the intercourse is always presumed to be violent; but there might be some degree of penetration without this being a necessary result, especially if the membrane were placed far np. any rate a young female at this age may sustain all the injury, morally and physically, which the perpetration of the crime can possibly bring down upon her, whatever may have been the degree of penetration; and for this reason, it is laid down in our law, that the crime consists in the mere proof of penetration. The fact is, however, generally clearly made

out by the statement of the female. With respect to marks of violence on the person, the exact form, position, and extent of these should be noticed; because a false accusation of rape may be sometimes detected by the violence being in a situation in which it was not probable that the ravisher would have produced it. When bruises are found, the presence or absence of the usual zones of colour may oceasionally throw light upon the time at which the alleged assault was eommitted (ante, p. 206.) As these marks of violence on the person, are not likely to have been produced with the concurrence of the female, they are considered to furnish some proof of the intercourse having been against her will. But the physical appearances of rape about the pudendum may be found, whether the connection has been voluntary or involuntary. Thus rupture of the hymen, laceration of the vagina, with effusion of coagula of blood, swelling and inflammation of the vulva, and stains of blood ou the person or dress, may be met with in both cases.

Unmarried females of the age bere supposed, are liable to purulent discharges from the vagina, under which the hymen may be destroyed. At a more advanced age, they are frequently subject to leucorrhea. These cases are not likely to be mistaken for gonorrhea; as here the female has it in her power to give some account of the circumstances, from which a medical opinion may be easily formed.

Defloration. Signs of virginity.—It will be necessary to say a few words respecting the signs of virginity, a subject upon which, in some medico-legal works, a great deal of poetical discussion appears to me to have been wasted. Independently of eases of rape, this question may occasionally assume a practical bearing in relation to the signs of defloration. In civil cases a medical witness may be asked whether a particular female has ever had intercourse or not. Proof of this fact may be necessary in order to rebut or substantiate state-The question may be, not whether the ments made in evidence. female has had a child or not, for this would resolve itself into the proof whether delivery had or had not taken place :—it may be limited to the probability or possibility of intercourse on her part, at some antecedent period. Now a medical jurist, when consulted in such a case, can only be guided by the presence or absence of the external signs of virginity. The hymen may be intact, but this does not prove nonintercourse, because females have been known to conceive with the hymen uninjured; and an operation for a division of this membrane has been actually necessary before delivery could take place. (Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. 1843, ii. 149.) This may be explained by the membraue being hard and resisting, and at the same time small in extent i. e. only partially closing the vagina. Under opposite conditions, the persistence of this membrane might fairly lead to the inference that the female was chaste, and that there had been no interconrse; but the hymen may be destroyed by ulceration, as a result of inflammation of the genital organs. When the membrane has been thus destroyed by

disease or other causes, or when it is congenitally absent, the opinion must be more or less conjectural; for one intercourse could hardly so affect the capacity of the vagina, as to render the fact evident through life, and there is no other datum upon which a medical opinion could be based. The presence of the hymen is of course quite incompatible with the assumption that the female has borue a child. A question of this kind ineidentally arose in the case of Frazer v. Bayley (Common Pleas, Feb. 1844). It was alleged by defendant, that the plaintiff, a married man, had had adulterous intercourse with a young female, and that at an antecedent period she had left ber home for the purpose of giving birth to a child privately. Dr. Ashwell was called upon to examine the party, and he deposed that, in his opinion, the female was a virgiu, and had never had a child. (See also, Henke, 1844, i. 259.) It is possible, however, that there may be abortion at the early periods of pregnancy, without this being attended with the destruction of the hymen.

This question may become of importance, not only as it may affect the reputation of a female, but the credibility and character of the party who makes the charge of a want of chastity. In 1845, a gentleman, then Assistant-Surgeon in the Bombay army, was brought to a Court-Martial on a charge of having deliberately and falsely asserted that on several occasions he had had connection with a native female. This was denied by the woman, and evidence was adduced to show that she had still what is commonly regarded as the main sign of virginity, namely, an nuruptured hymen. In consequeuee of this, the gentleman was found guilty and cashiered. The native female was at the time about to be married, and this rendered the investigation all the more important. An Assistant-Surgeon who examined the girl, deposed that he found the membrane of a semilunar form, and tensely drawn across the vagina, and this evidence was corroborated by that of a midwife. The inculpated party took up a double line of defence: 1, That the examination of the female was incomplete; 2, that the bynicn, if present, would not justify the witnesses in saying that intercourse could not possibly have taken place. point, it is unnecessary here to make a remark: but it appeared, from their own admission, that the witnesses had never before examined females with this particular object. Assuming that there was no mistake, it becomes a question whether non-intercourse could in this instance be inferred from the presence of the membrane. Fruitful intercourse it is well known, may take place without rupture of the Some instances of this kind were referred to at the Court-Martial, hut such eases must be regarded as of an exceptional nature. The real question is, whether, unless it be in an abnormal state, intercourse ean possibly occur between young and active persons without a rupture of this membrane. Intercourse is not likely to be confined, under these eircumstances, to mere penetration of the vulva. The membrane in this female is stated to have been tensely drawn across the canal, and not tough; it was therefore in a condition rendering it most easy for rupture. In the case of an old man, or of one of weak virile power, vulval intercourse might be bad without destroying the membrane. Such a case could only be decided by the special circumstances which accompanied it. The presence of the bymen nuruptured affords a presumptive but not an absolute proof that the female is a virgin; and it shows clearly that there can bave been no vaginal penetration. Admitting the statements of the examiners to be correct, it is very improbable that this female had had sexual intercourse on several or even on one occasion; hence the imputation on her chastity was unfounded.

ON THE MARRIED.

The remarks already made apply to married women, with this difference, that where the female has already been in habits of intercourse with the other sex, there is commonly much less injury done to the genital organs. The hymen will, in these cases, be found destroyed, and the vulva dilated. Still, as the intercourse is presumed to be against the consent of the woman, it is most likely that under proper resistance. some injury will be done to the pudendum, and there will be also, most probably, extensive marks of violence on the body and extremi-Such cases are generally settled without medical evidence, from the statement of the female alone, corroborated, as it should be, by circumstances. When a charge of this kind is made by a prostitute. it is very justly received with suspicion, and the case is narrowly serntinized. Something more than medical evideuee would be required to establish a charge of rape, under these circumstances. The question turns here, as in all cases of rape upon adult females, on the fact of consent having been previously given or not. This is the point at which the greater number of these cases of alleged rape break down: and it need hardly be observed, that this question has no relation to the duties of a medical witness;—all that be can do is to establish, occasionally, whether or not sexual intercourse has been had with or without some violence. It is obvious that there may be some marks of violence about the pudendum or on the person, and yet the conduct of the female may have been such as to imply consent on her part. We must not suppose, as it appears to be commonly done, that medical proof of intercourse is tantamount to proof of rape.

Possibility of perpetrating rape.—Some medical jurists have argued, that a rape cannot be perpetrated on an adult female of good health and vigour; and they have treated accusations made under these circumstances as false. Whether on any criminal charge a rape has heen committed or not, is, of course, a question of fact for a jury, and not for a medical witness. The fact of the crime having been actually perpetrated, can be determined only from the evidence of the prosecutrix and other witnesses. Still a medical man may be able to point out to the Court circumstances which might otherwise escape

notice. Setting aside the case of infants, lunaties, and weak and delicate females, it does not appear probable that intercourse could be accomplished against the consent of a healthy adult female, except under the following conditions:—1. When narcoties or intoxicating liquids bave been administered to ber, either by the prisoner or through his collusion. It matters not in a case of this kind whether the nareotics have been given merely for the purpose of exciting the female, or with the deliberate intention of having intercourse with her while she was intoxicated,—the prisoner is equally guilty. (See Reg. v. Camplin, Law Times, June 28, 1845; also Mcd. Gaz. xxxvi. 433.) The nature of the substance whereby insensibility is produced is of course unimportant. Thus the vapours of ether and chloroform have been criminally used in attempts at rape. In a case, which occurred in France, a dentist was convicted of a rape upon a female, to whom he had administered the vapour of ether. The prosecutrix was not perfectly unconscious; but she was wholly unable to offer auv resistance. (Med. Gaz. xl. 865.) Even when the state of unconsciousness arises from natural infirmity, as in idiocy or insanity, carnal intercourse with a female will be rogarded as rape. (Reg. v. Ryan, C. C. W. Sept. 1846.) The female was in this case an idiot, and it was proved that her habits were not loose and indecent. Platt, B. held that if she was in a state of unconsciousness at the time the connection took place, whether it was produced by any act of the prisoner or by any act of ber own, any one having connection with her would be guilty of rape. The prisoner was convicted. It may be a question whether a man can have forcible intercourse with a female while in a state of unconsciousuess from natural sleep. A man was recently charged with rape before a Police-magistrate, and the prosecutrix swore that he had effected his purpose during her sleep. The bare possibility of the offence being perpetrated under these circumstances cannot be devied, but this admission would only apply to a case where the sleep was preternatural or lethargie. In this instance the female was a prostitute, and the charge improbable: all such cases can be determined only by the special facts which accompany them. When a woman falls into a state of syncope from terror or exhaustion, 3. When several persons are combined against the female, in which ease we may expect to find considerable marks of violence about ber person. 4. A woman may yield to a ravisher, under threats of death or duress, -in this case her consent does not excuse the crime: but this is rather a legal than a medical question.

Loss of physical evidence.—It is necessary to observe in relation to the examination of females, that the marks of rape, bowever strong in the first instance, soon disappear or become obscure, especially in those who bave been already babituated to sexual intercourse. After two, three, or four days, unless there has been a very unusual degree of violence, no traces of the crime may be found about the gonital organs. In unmarried females, and in children, when there has been

much violence, these marks may persist and be apparent for a week or longer. Supposing at the period of examination no such marks exist, it may be necessary to consider whether there has been time for them to disappear since the alleged perpetration of the offence; but in such cases it is rarely in a witness's power to express an affirmative opinion of the perpetration of the crime: he must leave this to be proved by the general and circumstantial evidence. Marks of violence on the person can never establish a rape; they merely indicate, cæteris paribus, that the crime has been attempted.

Pregnancy following rape.—It was formerly a debated question, whether, in a case of real rape, pregnancy could possibly follow; and this was even proposed as a rude test of the truth of a charge made by a female! Such a question requires no discussion in the present day. Impregnation, it is well known does not depend on the conscionsness or volition of the tenuale. If the state of the nterine organs be in a condition favourable to impregnation, this may

take place as readily as if the intercourse was voluntary.

Microscopical evidence.—Of late years, it has been proposed to add to the medical evidence in rape, the examination of snots or stains on the linea of the prosecutrix and prisoner. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1834, 210; 1839, 134.) Thus, it has been recommended to infuse the stained linen in water, and examine the liquid with a good microscope, in order to observe whether it contains zoosperms. Cases of rape have hitherto been tried in this country without reference to this species of evidence: nor is it easy to perceive, how this can be necessary to the proof of the crime, when the present law of England demands only proof of penetration, and not of emission. Thus, a rape may be legally completed without reference to emission; and, medically speaking, it appears quite possible that there might be emission without any penetration. Admitting that certain stains of this description are found on the clothes of an accused party.—Are these to be taken as furnishing undentable proof of the legal completion of rape? It appears to me that without corroborative proofs from the state of the female organs they cannot be so taken; and, therefore, the affirmative evidence from the microscope, under these circumstances, is as liable to lead to error as that which is purely negative. The fact that spermatic stains are found on the liuen of the prosecutrix may, however, become occasionally of importance in assaults, as the following ease, which was tried at Edinburgh, Nov. 27th, 1843, will show. (Reg. v. Hamilton.) The prisoner, who was at the time labouring under gonorrhea, was charged with a criminal assault on a child. shift worn by the prosecutrix, with other articles belonging to the prisoner, were submitted to Mr. Goodsir and Dr. Simpson for examination. Some of the stains on the linen were of a yellow colour, and were believed to be those of gonorrhoa; others, characterised by a faint colour and a peculiar odour, were considered to be stains caused by the spermatic secretion. Digested in water, they yielded a turbid

solution of a peculiar odour; and when submitted to a powerful microscope, spermatozoa were detected. The majority of them were untilated, the long slender filaments being broken off; but perfect specimens were seen, which differed from the living spermatozoa only in being motionless. The stains ou the linen of the prisoner and the proscentrix were similar. The prisoner was convicted of an assault with intent to ravish, and transported for fourteen years. (Cormack's Edinburgh Journal, April 1844.)

The zoosperm, or spermatozoon, has a flattened, oval, and perfectly transparent body, terminating in a filliform tapering tail, which together measure from 1-500th to 1-600th of an inch. (Curling, op. cit. 38.) It has been already stated that these bodies are the chief characteristic of the healthy spermatic secretion. They are not found in the very young, in the very old, or in those who are labouring under long-standing disease. They have been considered to be independent animalcules; but Müller and other physiologists deny this. (Receut Advances, by Baly and Kirkes, 43.) They move for many hours out of the body when kept at a normal temperature, and even retain their rapid motious when the spermatic liquid is mixed with water; but these motions cease immediately on the addition of urine.

Analysis of stains.—In order to detect the xoosperms, Mr. Hassall recommends that the stained linen should be digested in serum or albumen. M. Bayard employs water gently warmed, and continues the maceration for some hours. It is important that the linen should not be rubbed or handled roughly, as the forms of the zoosperms will be thereby broken down and destroyed. The aqueous solution should then be filtered, and a small quantity of the deposit left on the filter, placed in the field of a powerful microscope, when the zoosperm will be seen of the form above described. This, when observed, is a clear proof of the presence of the spermatic secretion: there is no other test, chemical or physical, which can be applied to the detection of it. It is worthy of remark, that the zoosperms in the different species of mammalia differ in size and shape: but there are none which precisely resemble those formed in the human secretion.

Mr. Hassall states that he has detected by the microscope, zoosperms in stains six weeks old (Micros. Anat. vi. 205); and M. Bayard has been able to detect them in stains after six years! (Man. Prat. de Méd. Log. 277.) M. Donné, however, an excellent microscopist, states that he has never been able to procure satisfactory evidence of the presence of these zoosperms in stains which have become dried. He believes that the fine rounded fibres of the stuff (liuen) might be very easily mistaken for them. (Cours de Microscopie, p. 304.) This is an important objection, and it shows that microscopical evidence should be received with caution.

Microscopical evidence from the female.—It may become necessary to determine whether intercourse has or has not recently taken place. All observers agree that within a certain period after connection the

fact may be established by the examination of the vaginal mucus. A small quantity of this placed upon glass, and diluted with water, will he found to contain zoosperms, if the suspicion be correct. M. Bayard states that he has thus detected them in the vaginal muchs of females, not subject to morbid discharges, at various intervals up to three days after intercourse (op. cit. 277); and Donné found them under similar circumstances in a female who had been admitted into the hospital the day before. (Op. cit. 305.) This evidence may become of great value in a charge of rape; but it may be destroyed by the presence of lencorrhoen: and it is open to an objection, that in certain morbid states of the vaginal muchs of the human female, there is found in it an infusory animalenle, called by Donué the Trichomonas vagina. This has a larger body, and a shorter tail, than the zoosperm; but the witness who trusts to the use of the microscope on such occasions, may be fairly asked, whether he is able to distinguish the spermatozoa from the trichomouades. They who are not used to microscopical investigations may be easily deceived, especially when the spermatozon are dead and mutilated.

Marks of blood.—Marks of blood upon the linen can, of course, furnish no evidence nuless taken with other circumstances. The linen may be intentionally spotted or stained with blood for the purpose of giving apparent support to a false accusation. Dr. Bayard met with a case of this kind, in which a woman charged a youth with having committed a rape upon her infant child. Ou examination, the sexual organs were found uninjured; and on inspecting the marks of blood ou the clothes of the child, it was observed that the stains had been produced on the outside, and hore the appearance of smearing. The whole fibre of the stuff had not even been completely penetrated by the liquid. These facts established the falsehood of the charge. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 219.)

Evidence of violation in the dead.—Sometimes the body of a female is found dead, and a medical witness is required to determine whether her person has or has not been violated before death. There is bere some difficulty, because there will he no statement from the prosecutrix herself. The witness can seldom do more than express a conjectural opinion from the discovery of marks of violence on the person and about the genital organs. Even if spermatozoa were detected in the liquid of the vagina, this would merely prove that there had been intercourse; whether violent or not, must depend on circumstantial evidence.

Legal relations.—The statnte-law which refers to this crime is the 9 Geo. IV. c. xxxi. s. 17, 18. According to the eightcenth section, "Carnal knowledge shall be deemed complete npon proof of penetration only." The words are, perhaps, not sufficiently precise; for by one judge, the law was thus interpreted,—carnal knowledge, i. e. penetration, is not complete, unless the hymen be ruptured. This, as it has been suggested, would divide penetration into vulval and vaginal,

the former not constituting rape, but a common assault. Other judges, however, have not admitted a distinction of this kind. They have strictly adhered to the obvious and literal meaning of the words of the law, and have regarded the rupture of the hymnen not as a uecessary proof, but as strong evidence of penetration. The question of penetration is not for the medical witness, but for the jury to decide from the whole of the facts. In one case of a young child, the prisoner was seen perpetrating the act, but it was proved that the hymnen, which was normally placed, was not ruptured; yet this case was decided like that of Rex v. Russen: the crime was considered to be complete. Thus, then, when the material evidence of penetration (rupture of the bymen) is wanting, proofs may be derived from other and non-medical sources.

Rape by females on males.—So far as I can ascertain, this crime is unknown to the English law. Several cases of this kind have, however, come before the French Criminal Courts. In 1845, a female, aged 18, was charged with having been guilty of an act of indecency, with violence, on the person of Xavier T., a boy under the age of fifteen years. She was found guilty, and condemned to ten years imprisoument. In another case, which occurred in 1842, a girl, aged 18, was charged with rape on two children,—the one 11, and the other 13 years of age. It appeared in evidence that the accused entired the two boys into a field, and there had forcible connection with them. This female was proved to have had a preteruatural contraction of the vagina, which prevented intercourse with adult males. She was found to be labouring under syphilitic disease; and the proof of her offeace was completed by the disease having been communicated to the two boys. She was coudemned by the Court of Assizes of the Seine, to fifteen years' hard labour at the gallies. (Aun. d'Hygiene, 1847, i. 463.) By the penal code of France, it is a crime in either sex to attempt intercourse with the other, whether with or without violence, when the ebild is under cleven years of age. That this offence is perpetrated in England cannot be doubted. It is by no means unusual to find in the wards of hospitals, mere boys affected with the venereal disease. In some instances this may be due to precocions puberty; but, in others, it can only be ascribed to that unnatural connection of adult females with mere children, which is punished as a crime in the other sex. The only accessible medical proof would consist in the transmission of gonorrhoa or sypbilis from the woman to the child.

SODOMY. BESTIALITY.

This crime is defined, the unnatural connection of a man with mankind, or with an animal. The evidence required to establish it is the same as in rape, and therefore penetration alone is sufficient to constitute it. There are, however, two exceptions: it is not necessary to prove the offence to have been committed

against the consent of the person upon whom it was perpetrated; and 2dly, both agent and patient (if consenting) are equally gnilty, but the gnilty associate is a competent witness. In one case (Rex v. Wiseman), a man was indicted for having committed this offence with a woman, and a majority of the judges held that this was within the statute. Unless the individual be in a state of insensibility, it is not possible to conceive that this offence should be perpetrated on an adult of either sex against the will of the party. The slightest resistance will suffice to prevent its perpetration. If it be committed on a boy under fourteen years, it is felony in the agent only; and the same, it should seem, as to a girl under twelve. (Archbold, 409.) The act must be in the part where it is unsually committed in the victim or associate of the crime, and if done elsewhere it is not sodomy.

The facts are commonly sufficiently proved without medical evidence, except in the case of young persons, when marks of physical violence will in general be sufficiently apparent. In some cases, proof of the fact may be obtained by resorting to microscopical evidence. (See Donné, op. cit. 305.) Trials for this crime are very frequent, although it was not, like rape, specially excepted from capital punishment by the 4th and 5th Vict. cap. lvi. It is also said to be on the increase. (Law Times, January 4th, 1845.) There cannot be the slightest doubt that false charges of this crime are more numerous than those of rape, and that this is too often a very successful mode of extortion. This is rather a legal than a medical question: but it is especially deserving of attention that these accusations are most frequently made by soldiers and policemen!

ASPHYXIA.

DROWNING.

CHAPTER LVIII.

DROWNING -CAUSE OF DEATH-BEATH NOT CAUSED BY APOPLEXY-ASPHYXIA-MIXED CASES-DEATH FROM SECONDARY CAUSES-PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES PLACE-PERIOD FOR RESUSCI-TATION-CASES OF RECOVERY-TREATMENT-POST-MORTEM AP-PEARANCES-BIGIDITY AMD SPASM IN THE DROWNED-EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL APPEARANCES-CASES-WAS DEATH CAUSED BY DROWNING?-SUBSTANCES GRASPER IN THE HANDS-WATER IN THE STOMACH -MUCOUS FROTH IN THE TRACHEA AND LUNGS-WATER IN THE LUNGS-DESTRUCTION OF POST-MORTEM APPEAR-ANCES-SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF THE HUMAN BODY LIVING AND DEAD-SURVIVORSHIP OF THE DROWNED-SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE - MARKS OF VIOLENCE ON THE DROWNED - ACCI-DENTAL FRACTURES -- WAS THE DROWNING THE RESULT OF HOMICIDE, SUICIDE, OR ACCIDENT ?-RULES FOR A DECISION-DROWNING IN SHALLOW WATER-BY PARTIAL IMMERSION OF THE BODY.

The cause of death.—Many opinions have been entertained respecting the manner in which death takes place by drowning. It was at one time supposed that the water which passes into the stomach of a drowning animal had an injurious effect, and operated as the immediate cause of death. This opinion prevailed before the importance of the respiratory process in the economy was fully understood. It would, however, have been easy to show the insufficiency of this explanation by a simple appeal to facts. Water is not invariably found in the stomachs of the drowned; and again, it may be introduced into the stomach in much larger quantity than we are accustomed to meet with it in the body of a drowned person, without producing any deleterious effect. The presence of water in the bronchi ramifications of the lungs has been suggested as the probable cause of death; it was thought that it operated here by arresting the circulation of blood in the minute pulmonary vessels. This explanation of

the cause of death in drowning would imply that water was always present in the lungs of the drowned, which, however, is not the case: and, indeed, when found, it is often met with in small and variable quantity—facts which sufficiently show that this hypothesis cannot be entertained. The spasmodic closure of the glottis tends to prevent the entrance of water into the trachen. Death has been also attributed to collapse of the lungs, by which the blood is presumed to be mechanically prevented from traversing the pulmonary structure. It is a generally admitted fact, that a considerable quantity of air is, in most cases, expelled from the lungs during the act of drowning, but these organs are not commonly found collapsed in drowned animals; and when this condition is observed, it is rather to be regarded as a consequence, than a cause, of death.

Death not caused by apoplery .- Some have ascribed death in drowning to a congested state of the cerebral vessels,—in other words, they conceive that death takes place in most cases by a species of apoplexy. That a congested state of the cerebral vessels is often met with in the bodies of the drowned, is a fact which cannot be disputed; but the same degree of congestion is observed, not only in other cases of asphyxia, but also in the inspection of bodies where death has proecceded from various causes unconnected with cerebral disturbance. There is no ground, therefore, for attributing death to an apoplectic attack:—a mere fulness of the cerebral vessels is certainly of itself insufficient to justify this view, for upon the same evidence, we might pronounce three-fourths of those deaths which are distinctly referable to other causes, to be dependent on apoplexy. obstruction to the passage of the blood through the luogs is sufficient to explain why we meet with a sanguineous congestion in the cerebral vessels of drowned subjects; and there is great reason to believe that the occurrence of this congestion is posterior to the interruption of the cerebral functions. The most characteristic post-mortem appearance of apoplexy-extravasation of blood-is rarely seen in the drowned; and probably where it exists, it might be traced to mechanical violence before submersion, or to the head coming in contact with hard bodies beneath the water. I have met with only two instances reported, where extravasation of blood on the brain was found:-the one was in the case of Leopold, Duke of Brunswick, who was drowned in the Oder, during the German war (see Henke, Gericht, Med. 327), and the other was a case which occurred in London in 1839. In general, the term apoplexy is applied to those cases of drowning where there is great fulness of the cerebral vessels: but, in most of these, there are also signs of death from asphyxia.

Asphyxia.—No doubt now exists among physiologists that death by drowning is due to asphyxia or suffocation, in which condition the blood is either circulated in a state unfitted to support animal life, or its circulation through the minute vessels of the lungs is wholly arrested. The latter view is now more commonly adopted, and to

this arrest of the pulmonary circulation may be ascribed the gorged or congested condition of the lungs of the drowned, when death has really taken place from asphyxia. The observations of Sir B. Brodie (Lectures on Pathology, 66) and others, clearly prove, however, that the circulation may be carried on for two or three minutes after respiration has ceased, so that there is not a sudden cessation of the heart's action. Asphyxia is induced in drowning, owing to a physical impediment to the introduction of air, and we have, therefore, in this form of death, a simple illustration of this state. The medium in which the individual is immersed acts mechanically and as effectually as a rope or ligature around the neck; for although air escapes from the lungs, and water may penetrate into the bronchiæ, yet no air ean enter to supply the place of that which has already expended a certain quantity of its oxygen on the blood. Hence this fluid must circulate, if it circulate at all, in a state unfitted for the support of existence, and death will ensue. When an individual falls into water, and is exposed to this kind of death, vain attempts are in the first instance made to respire. At each time the drowning person rises to the surface, a portion of air is received into the lungs, but owing to the mouth being on a level with the liquid, water also enters, and passes into the fauces. A large quantity of water thus usually passes into the mouth, which the individual feels himself irresistibly compelled to The struggle for life may continue for a longer or shorter period, according to the strength of the person, but the result is, that the blood in the lungs becomes imperfectly acrated, and exhaustion follows. The mouth then sinks altogether below the level of the water, air can no longer enter into the lungs, -a portion of that which they contain is expelled, and rises in bubbles to the surface, an indescribable feeling of delirium, with a ringing sensation in the ears supervenes,—the person then loses all consciousness, and dies asphyxiated. Before death, and while the body is submersed, frequent attempts are made to breathe, but at each effort air escapes from the lungs, so that these organs may, according to the duration of the struggle, hecome partially empticd and he found collapsed after death. action of the heart continues for a few minutes after the lungs have ceased to act, -dark-coloured blood is circulated, -convulsive motions of the body follow, and the contents of the stomach are sometimes ejected prior to dissolution. There is not the least sensation of pain, and, as in other cases of asphyxia, if the individual recover, there is a total unconsciousness of suffering during the period when the access of air was cut off from the lungs. I state this from having accidentally experienced all the phenomeua of drowning up to the complete loss of sensibility and consciousness.

Some persons who fall into water are observed to sink at once without making any attempt to extricate themselves. This may arise from the stunning produced by the fall; and if the fall take place from a great height, the effect is probably aided by the forcible com-

pression which the thorax then sustains, whereby the lungs become in great part emptied. Should the person be intoxicated or otherwise incapacitated, as by striking bis head in falling, he may not again rise. These different conditions under which death may take place, will sufficiently account for the great difference in the appearances met with in the bodies of those who have died under these circumstances. Some medical jurists have considered that they who are submerged while living, frequently perish by syncope, and in other instances by what has been termed syncopal asphyxia—a mixed condition. It has been supposed that the state of terror into which a person may be thrown prior to submersion, would be sufficient to bring on syncope; and this, it was presumed, offered an adequate explanation of the recovery of the apparently drowned, when the body had remained a long time in water. It may readily be admitted, that in some instances the mental shock may be so great to a person falling into water as to induce syneope; but it is impossible to determine how often this occurs, and its occurrence appears to be founded rather upon presumption than upon actual observation.

Mixed cases.—It is obvious that they who die from apoplexy, concussion, or syncope, at or about the time they fall into water, cannot be said to die from drowning. An individual so situated makes no effort to respire, and it is only by interfering with respiration that the water operates. Admitting, then, that in strictness asphyxia is the sole cause of death in drowning, these mixed cases are of interest in medical jurisprudence only because the apparent may be mistaken for the real cause. It may be occasionally necessary to determine whether the person really died by drowning or not, i. e. whether he was asphyxiated by water or not; since an answer to this question may materially affect the position of a prisoner charged with homicide. The only conclusion at which we can arrive is, that many persons may fall iuto water, and appear to be drowned, whose deaths have actually preceded their submersion. They may have died from fright or terror at their situation, or have been killed by their heads coming accidentally in contact with hard hodies during the fall, or even with the surface of water itself; for this may be sometimes sufficiently resisting to produce concussion of the brain when the fall is from a great height, and the head comes first in contact with the water. It is probable that some also perish owing to a shock received at the pit of the stomach by the violence of the fall. A shock thus received in the region of the heart might possibly suspend the functions of that organ, and kill the person by inducing sudden syncope. A case is mentioned in the Dublin Medical Journal, for May 1837, which appears to bear out this view.

Death from secondary causes.—Drowning may operate indirectly as the cause of death. Thus, it has been repeatedly remarked, that persons who have been rescued from water in a living state, have died in spite of the application of the usual restoratives, after the lapse of

some minutes or hours: others have lingered for one or two days, and then have sunk apparently from exhaustion. In those who perish soon after removal from the water, death may arise from the exhaustion produced by the struggles of the individual for life, aided by the long contact of the body with a cold medium. When death takes place at a remote period, it may be due to disease, and the question will then he, whether the disease was produced by the immersion in water or not. Such cases occasionally present themselves before our Courts of Assize. In one of these (Reg. v. Pulham, Gloucester Summer Assizes, 1845), the prisoner was charged with the death of the deceased, by pushing him into a pond of water, from the effects of which he died. The deceased was an old man; he was taken out of the water in a very exhausted condition, and died five weeks after-One medical witness referred death to the effects of the immersion; but as he had not attended the deceased after the violence, and there was no clear account of the cause of death, the prisoner was acquitted. In most of these cases, it will be found exceedingly difficult to connect death with the immersion, when the fatal result does not take place until after so long a period. We must rely upon the nature of the disease, e. g. inflammation of some eavity or organ, and its progress until death without intermediate recovery or interference by improper treatment, as the basis of our evidence.

According to M. Devergie (Méd. Lég. ii. 336), of one hundred individuals who fall into the water, or are exposed to the chances of drowning, the following may be taken as the numerical ratio of the causes of death:—

Asphyxia, pure	. 25.0) Alis			07.2
and Syncope	62.5	Aspnyxia	•	;	91.9
Syncope, Apoplexy, or Concussion			•	•	12.5

100.0

From this table we learn that out of one hundred bodies removed dead from water, where death was due either directly or indirectly to immersion,—if the body were removed immediately after death and examined soon after removal, the signs of drowning would be present in about 25:—they would be imperfectly apparent (asphyxia more or less marked) in about 62, and they would be wholly absent in about 12. This table may not represent the actual trnth, but as the medical jurists of Paris have ample opportunities of examining the drowned, it is probably as near an approximation as the present state of science will permit us to reach. (For a full examination of the causes of death in drowning, by Dr. Loeffler, see Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, i. 1.)

Period at which death takes place.—A witness may be asked how long a time is required for death to take place by drowning. In

giving an answer to this question, it must be remembered that all who fall into water, and are exposed to the risk of drowning, do not really die by this kind of death. Thus all eases of death from syucope or apoplexy must be excluded from our consideration. Again, some persons who are strong, who are good swimmers, and retain their presence of mind, -may support themselves for a length of time in water, while others who are weak and delicate, may struggle only for a few seconds, and then sink exhausted and lifeless. There are two very different points involved in this inquiry: 1. How long can a person remain beneath the surface of water without becoming asphyxiated (drowned?), and 2. After what period of entire submersion of the body, may we hope to resuscitate a person? In regard to the first point, it may be remarked, that when the mouth is so covered that air cannot enter, asphyxia supervenes in the course of one or two minutes at the farthest, and the time at which this occurs does not appear to vary materially with the individual. It has been observed that perfect insensibility has supervened after a minute's submersion, and it is probable that in most cases a few moments would suffice for the commencement of asphyxia. In the ease of a healthy diver who was accidentally submersed, at Spithead, in July 1842, for a minute and a half, without the power of breathing, at the depth of eighty feet, it was observed that when drawn up he was faint but sensible. (Med. Gaz. xxxi. 90.) Observations made upon sponge and pearldivers show for how short a period a human being, even when practised in the art of diving, can continue without breathing. Dr. Lefevre, of Rochefort, found that among the Navarino sponge-divers, accustomed as they were to the practice of diving, there was not one who could sustain entire submersion of the body for two consecutive minutes. The average period of entire submersion was seventy-six seconds. (Med. Gaz. xvi. 608.) According to Mr. Marshall, the best pearldivers of Ceylou could rarely sustain a submersion of more than fifty seconds. Thus, then, it would appear from these and other observations, that asphyxia is probably induced in most individuals in the course of a few seconds, and that at the furthest it occurs in from a minute to a minute and a half.

Period for resuscitation.—The second point to be considered is—how long a period of entire submersion is required for death to take place, i.e. when is there no further hope of resuscitating a drowned subject? This question is of great importance in relation to the treatment of the drowned. The insensibility which is the result of submersion will give to a body which has been immersed only a few minutes or even seconds, the oharacters of apparent death; but we are not, therefore, to desist from applying all the means in our power to restore animation. On the contrary, it is only a proper act of humanity that the means should be applied without delay, even to subjects which have remained so long in water as to afford, physiologically speaking, but little hope of ultimate resuscitation. A man

who would neglect the application of these, would cousign the body to certain death, while, by adopting an opposite course, he might, perhaps mexpectedly to himself, succeed in restoring a fellow-creature to existence. Hence we are not to allow ourselves to be influenced, in the treatment of the drowned, by the shortness of the period at which death most commonly takes place: for it is possible that two individuals may be drowned under the same circumstances, and treated, on removal from water, in the same way; yet the means of resuscitation will be effectual in one ease, while they will totally fail in the It ought to be borne in mind that the susceptibility to the restoration of life may be different in the two subjects: were this not the case, it would be impossible to explain why, under the most judicious treatment, every effort will fail in restoring animation in a subject which has been submerged only n few minutes, while the same means will perfectly succeed in resuscitating another subject which may have been submerged for more than twice the period. states that it has been found impossible to restore some who had not been cutirely submerged for more than a minute, and when the bodies were removed with all the warmth and pliancy of life about them: but, on the other hand, others have been resuscitated who, there was reason to believe, had been entirely submerged for several minutes. It is necessary that these circumstances should be clearly explained; for many of the marvellous recoveries reported, have no doubt been cases of the resuscitation of individuals who had not been entirely submerged, i. e. with the head below water, for the period alleged. If we are called upon to state physiologically, how we can reconcile the accounts of resuscitation after the body has remained for a quarter of an hour or even for a longer period, in water, with the fact of the general occurrence of death within the short interval of a few seconds or minutes from the time of submersion, we must look upon such accounts, provided their anthenticity be placed beyond all doubt, as extraordinary exceptious to a very widely-extended rule. It is necessary to observe that the head of the subject may not have been under water during the whole of this time; the individual may have struggled long, and have risen frequently to the surface, or the upper part of his body may have received support from some mechanical obstacle. All these circumstances, as well as the depth of the water in which the body is found, should be duly considered before we proceed to admit statements which are opposed to facts well established by experiment and observation. In most of the eases on record the evidence has been derived from ill-informed and ignorant persons, who were but little fitted to convey accurate information upon so important a question, and whose opinions we should be extremely cautious in receiving. Besides, the period of submersion has been stated upon conjecture, not upon actual observation of the time.

Recovery after long periods.—The following facts may, it appears to me, be relicd on, in relation to this question. Mr. Woolley, a

medical assistant of the Royal Humane Society, has met with only one case in the records of the Society, in which the individual was resuscitated after five minutes' submersion. (Lancet, Oct. 1841.) the Report of the R. 11. Society for 1840, there were two cases of successful resuscitation after one minute and a half,—and two eases after three entire minutes' submersion. In a case communicated to me by Mr. Bloomfield, iu 1841, a boy recovered after a suhmersion of from five to ten minutes. In another, communicated to the Lancet hy Mr. Smethurst (July 1841), a girl aged two years recovered after ten minutes' immersion-it is not quite certain whether, in this iastance, the head was under water during the whole of this time. A case of recovery after six minutes' alleged submersion will be found in the Med. Gaz. (xxix. 78). In the same journal (xxxi. 448) is perbaps one of the most remarkable of these cases, where an individual is stated to have been resuscitated after fourteen minutes' submersion; and the case earries with it great probability, although the time was derived rather as a matter of calculation from circumstances than from actual observation. This is the longest authentie period with which I have been able to meet. Cases of alleged recovery after half an hour, and even three quarters of an hour, will be found reported: some have endeavoured to explain these hy assnming that the individuals in question were restored from a form of syncope which had occurred in consequence of the mental shock experienced at the moment of submersion. It has been admitted that syncope may occur under these circumstances, and it is possible also that the susceptibility of resuscitation may remain longer in a subject labouring under syucope, than in one who has perished by asphyxia: hut the question here obviously presents itself, whether the lungs can cease to act, and the heart to circulate blood, for the period of half an hour consistently with the maintenance of life. The medical jurist must remember, that neither of these functions can continue when the body remains entirely submerged: for it is impossible that air can enter into the lungs, and we know that the circulation, provided syneope be not previously induced, is not maintained above three or four minutes in a person so situated. There are few indeed who would be disposed to admit that respiration and circulation could remain so long entirely suspended in any individual, whether he be in a state of syncope or asphyxia, without the complete destruction of life, or if they did admit the possible occurrence of so great a deviation from the common phenomena of vitality, they would require better evidence for such an admission than that by which these cases are usually supported. In numerous experiments on drowned animals, I have never found that life could be restored after the animal had remained entirely submerged for the space of four minutes. In one ease, where a stout healthy man had been submerged five minutes, and every means for resuscitation speedily used, the result was unfavourable. We are, then, bound unhesitatingly to declare, that in drowning, life is very speedily destroyed,—that the time within which resuscitation may be successfully attempted is subject to variation,—and, lastly, that the cases which have been hitherto recorded of restoration after the lengthened submersion of half an hour and upwards, are to be regarded as extravagant fables. I am glad to be supported in these views by the observations of so experienced a writer as Sir B. Brodie. (Lectures on Pathology, 90.)

Treatment. - A question has often arisen at coroners' inquests whether death may not have been really due to neglect in the treat-The principles to be observed are, 1. To wipe the body dry. 2. To keep the head and shoulders raised. 3. To restore the warmth of the body. This may be done, according to the means at hand-by warm blankets, bottles of hot water, hags of hot sand or salt, the warm water-bath, or the warm air-bath. (For an account of the latter, see Med. Gaz. Sept. 1838.) The warmth should be especially applied to the feet and epigastrium. 4. The cantious application of stimulants, such as diluted ammonia, to the nostrils. 5. Having cleared the month and fances, we should move the chest, in order to simulate the act of respiration. 6. The employment of stimulating embrocations, such as the Lin. Camph. Comp. rubbed by a warm flaunch on the trunk and the extremities. It is not advisable to employ venesection until signs of recovery appear, nor even then unless this treatment should be indicated by great eerebral congestion. Much difference of opinion exists on the propriety of introducing air into the lungs by artificial processes. Mr. Woolley, who has had considerable experience in the treatment of the drowned, denies its efficacy (Med. Gaz. xvii. 663), and states that in the cases in which he had been successful in resuscitating them, he had not inflated the lungs. This is certainly strong evidence against the alleged necessity for the practice, and it is corroborated by the observation of Dr. Douglass (Med. Gaz. xxxi. 449), in one of the most remarkable cases of resuscitation on record; for the individual here had been fourteen minutes under water, and no signs of returning animation were evinced, until the treatment-which consisted simply in the application of warmth and constant frictionhad been persisted in for eight honrs and a half from the time of the Inflation of the lungs was tried, but not persisted in, as, while it did not appear to be attended with any good effect, it interfered with the rubbing, on which the greatest dependence was placed.

Artificial inflation is, however, commouly used, and it is said successfully:—but other means, under which alone the apparently drowned have often recovered, have been simultaneously employed, so that it is rather difficult to say what share the inflation may have had in the recovery. Certainly it should never be allowed to interfere with the application of those means by which warmth is restored to the skin. M. Marc states, that more good is done by drawing air out of the lungs, than by artificially inflating the organs. His experience was against the latter practice. Theoretically speaking, artificial in-

flation appears to be strongly indicated. Dr. Harvey considers it to be the best mode of treatment (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 897); and Mr. Bloomfield informs me, that he has found it to be a most effectual plan to introduce air by applying the mouth to the mouth of the deceased. A very ingenious apparatus, for performing artificial respiration, has been contrived by Mr. Sibson. (Med. Gaz. xli. 271.) This should supersede the old plan of the bellows, or of a tube introduced into the nostrils. (Brodie's Lectures on Pathology, 78.) In employing any of these methods, the larynx should be pressed against the esophagus and spine, and the artificial respirations should be renewed at about the same intervals as the natural respiration; i. e. about sixteen iu a minute, and the same quantity of air, as nearly as possible, should be introduced each time, as would be respired naturally. Too much force must not be employed, or the lungs will be injured. In all cases the month and fances should, in the first instance, be cleared of muens and froth. Electricity, and electromagnetism to the spine and the region of the beart, have been recommended; but the obvious objection is, that the means are not commonly at hand, and whatever is done on these occasions, must be done quickly. Many years since, Dr. Durraut advised the employment of oxygen (Med. Gaz. xxv. p. 848); and if the gas were ready for use, there would be a good prospect of success. Dr. Wilson has suggested, that it may be readily procured by heating a mixture of five parts of powdered chlorate of potash with one part of powdered red oxide of iron. It has been long known that the chlorate mixed with one-eighth of its weight of oxide of manganese, readily evolves oxygen. These powders may be kept ready prepared in well-stoppered bottles. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. р. 434.)

Although the individual may bave been only one minute submerged, if much time has elapsed before the means for resuscitation are employed, there can be no hope of success. It has been stated, that after ten or fifteen minutes' submersion, there is but little hope of recovery; yet these attempts at restoring animation often fail from the delay which ensues in obtaining the means. Thus there will be a better chance of recovering one who has been five minutes submerged. where the treatment is immediate, than another who may have been only two minutes submerged, but where a delay of from ten to fifteen miuntes has occurred in the application of the means. This obstacle to recovery is often overlooked, -attention being paid to the period of submersion only. On these occasions we should not be justified in declining to employ the means for resuscitation, merely because the body was cold and apparently lifeless. Another point to be considered, is for how long a period should the efforts at restoration be continued. When the treatment is commenced under circumstances which justify a fair hope of success, it would be proper to continue the treatment for at least an hour. In Mr. Bloomfield's case, an hour and a half elapsed before there were any signs of returning auimation. In Dr. Donglass's ease, resuscitation began to be only feebly established after eight hours and a half spent in the treatment! There is no doubt that this ease would have been abandoned as hopeless by many long before this period, especially as the man had been submerged fourteen minutes: and thus, perbaps, many persons are lost who might be recovered by perseverance. The tendency to restoration is often evinced by the occurrence of slight lividity in the face with convulsive twitebings of the facial muscles; and before recovery takes place there are sometimes convulsive movements of the limbs and trunk. In Paris, from 1821 to 1826, out of five hundred and seventy-six cases of drowning, four hundred and thirty were resuscitated.

Post-mortem appearances.—In conducting the examination of the body of a drowned person, it is necessary to remember, that the exterual and internal appearances will vary much, according to the time which the body may have remained in water, or the period which may bave clapsed after its removal and before it is examined. Two subjects may be taken out of water at the same time—one may be examined immediately, while the examination of the other may be deferred for several days. In these cases, the post-morten appearances will be un longer similar; and the difference will be particularly great when the last-mentioned body has been exposed to a high temperature and to the free access of air.

External appearances.—Supposing that the body has remained in the water only a few hours after death, and the inspection has taken place immediately on its removal, the skin will be found cold and pallid,—sometimes contracted under the form of entis anserina. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour., Jan. 1837.) It is often covered to a greater or less extent by livid discolourations. The face is pale and calm, with a placid expression, the eyes are half-open, and the pupils dilated; the month closed or half-open, the tongue swollen and congested, frequently pushed forwards to the internal edges of the lips, sometimes lacerated by the teeth;—and the lips, together with the nostrils, covered by a nuncous froth which oozes from them.

Rigidity and spasm.—The body may be found rigid, and the hands clenebed. An important question arose in the case of the Queen v. George (Hereford Leut Assizes, 1847), as to whether drowning was likely to produce a convulsed or contracted state of the limbs. The prisoner was indicted for the murder of her infant child, by drowning it. When taken from the water (in the month of December), about niue days after the supposed murder, there were no marks of external violence. The arms and legs were contracted, and the hands closed. On inspection, the vessels of the brain were congested, the lungs were collapsed, and there was farinaccous food in the stomach, partially digested. The state of the trachea, and the presence or absence of mucous froth, are not referred to. It will he seen from this description, that there was no appearance to indicate death from drowning with

any certainty, and the medical witness admitted, that but for the discovery of the body in water, the suspicion of death from drowning would not have been entertained. From the state of the brain, it might have been referred to convulsions. The defence was, that the child had probably died of convulsions, and that in order to dispose of the body, the prisoner had stripped it of its clothes, and thrown it into the water after death. The medical evidence failed to show that the child had died from drowning, and the prisoner was acquitted.

The contracted state of the child's limbs appeared to create a diffically in the defence. The clothes of the child were neither cut nor torn, and the medical witness considered, that had the limbs been as contracted as they were when the body was found, they could not have been removed without cutting or tearing. The medical question therefore was, whether the state of the child's limbs did not prove that it had been put into the water while living. As the usual appearances of death from asphyxia were entirely wanting, it is proper to determine, whether there may not be some explanation of the fact consistently with death before immersion. The admission made by the witness in cross-examination, appears to supply all that is necessary for this explanation. If the child had died of convulsions, if the clothes were then removed, and the body thrown in immediately, the sudden effect of the cold water might have occasioned the contraction of the limbs; or the child may not have been really, but only apparently dead, when the mother stripped it. If some time had clapsed before immersion, so that the body had become cold, then the limbs would have been found either relaxed or stiffened in a straight position. The persistence of this contracted state for so many days, may be explained by the immersion having taken place at the coldest season of the year.

In general, when the dead bodies of the drowned are taken from water, the limbs are found relaxed; but this must depend on the period at which they are removed. Rigidity takes place after death in water, perhaps more rapidly than in air. If the water be intensely cold, and the individual have struggled violently, the last struggles of life may be indicated by the contorted state of the limbs persisting through rigidity. Mr. Beardsley, a former pupil, has communicated to me the following case which he had to examine. young man, while skating, fell through the ice of a pond about seven yards deep. This was in February 1847. He was not totally immersed, for he kept his head and shoulders out of the water above the ice, with his arms resting upon it; and as the ice gave way under his weight, he sprang on to a fresh portion. Before assistance could be rendered, he sank to the bottom. The body was removed the next day: it was found at the bottom of the pond, beneath the hole in the ice. The arms of the deceased were quite stiff, and still retained the position in which he had rested upon the ice; his legs were quite extended, and the muscles on the fore part of the thigh, were very much

contracted, as if they had been powerfully exerted in keeping him creet while he was hanging on the ice. There was no appearance of his having attempted to breathe after he had gone below the water. His countenance was quite natural,—there was no water or froth in his mouth,; and in Mr. Beardsley's opinion, the subject had the appearance of a body immersed after death from some other cause. It does not appear that there was any internal inspection. Mr. Beardsley's opinion was, that the water being about 32°, the man was in reality killed by cold, or frozen; and there is no doubt, that if this did not operate as the direct cause of death, it materially accelerated it.

This case is of interest in reference to a point to be presently adverted to; namely, the fact of drowned persons being often discovered with substances firmly grasped in the hands. A contracted state of the muscles at the time of death may pass into perfect rigidity by the effect of cold water; and thus the attitude, or the last act of life of the individual, may be preserved. It is precisely analogous to what has been called cadaverons spasm.

Changes from putrefaction.- If the body have been submerged for a long period, or have remained long exposed before inspection, the skin will be found variously discoloured, according to the degree to which putrefaction may have advanced. If three or four months have clapsed before its removal from water, the skin covering the legs may be, in the first instance, of a deep blue colour: but when the body is exposed to air, this colour gradually disappears, and the skin becomes brown. The influence of air upon the skin of a drowned subject is most remarkable in the face and thorax. When the body has remained for some days in water, and has been exposed for a few hours only after its removal, the temperature of the atmosphere being moderately high, the face will commonly be found livid and bloated, and the features so distorted that they will be with difficulty recognizable. change chiefly consists in the skin becoming at first of a livid brown colour, which gradually passes into a deep greeu. That these effects are to be ascribed to the free contact of air, appears evident from the fact, that they are most fully developed in those parts of the body which are the most exposed to the atmosphere. Thus, the changes of colour in the skin are not commonly met with where any parts of the cutaneous surface have been in close coutact, as in the axillæ and inner surfaces of the upper and lower extremities, where the former have been closely applied to the sides of the trunk, and the latter have remained in close proximity to each other. For the same reason, the discoloration is not commonly observed at the back of a subject, or in those parts where the body has been closely wrapped in clothes.

Abrasions.—There is another external appearance which is sometimes met with in the drowned; the fingers occasionally present abrasions, and gravel, sand, or other substances, may be found locked within the hands or nails of drowned subjects: for in the act of drowning, as common experience testifies, an individual will grasp at any object within his reach, and in his efforts to extricate himself, he may excoriate or wound his fingers. There are, however, many cases of drowning, in which this appearance is absent. There may be no substance for the drowning person to grasp;—this will depend in a great degree upon the fact of the water being deep or shallow, of its being confined within a narrow channel or not, and many other contingencies. In all cases, when the individual is senseless before he falls into water, or when his death is necasioned by syncope from sudden terror, he will, of course, be incapable of making those exertions which are necessary to the production of this appearance. The skin of the palms of the hands and soles of the feet is found thickened, white and sodden from imbibition, when the body has remained several days in water.

Internal appearances.—On examining the body internally, we may expect to find, in a recently drowned subject, that the viscera of the thorax will present the appearances indicative of asphyxia. cavities of the heart, and the vessels connected with them, are distended with blood,—the lungs are sometimes found gorged, and at others pale and collapsed. If death has not taken place from aspliyxia, or if the subject has remained a long time in water before the inspection is made, the viscera of the thorax will not present the characters above described. Independently of the changes which may have taken place in consequence of putrefaction, the right cavities of the heart, and the vessels immediately connected with them, may be found collapsed, and generally destitute of blood. Some physiologists have asserted, that the blood remains fluid in the bodies of the drowned. Orfila observes, that with one exception, he has not met with blood in a coagulated state, in the examination of a drowned person. Much more importance has been attached to this appearance than it really Some observers have found the blood congulated in the drowned; and I have repeatedly seen coagula, like those usually met with after death, in the bodies of animals which were drowned for the sake of experiment. If the blood he generally found liquid, this may be due to the imbibition of water or to putrefactive changes. The state of the blood in the drowned, formed a subject of inquiry in the case of Reg. v. Barker and others. (York Winter Ass. 1846.) From the remarks above made, it will be perceived that it may be found either coagulated or uncoagulated in those who go into the water living, and die by drowning. A greater or less fulness of the vessels of the brain is described as one of the appearances met with in a drowned subject; but this, when it exists, is prohably a consequence of the congested state of the lungs. Some remarks have been already made on this subject, and from these it is evident, that the state of the cerebral vessels can afford no presumption that death has tuken place by drowning. In regard to the cases which I have had an opportunity of examining, the quantity of blood contained within the cerebral vessels has rarely been so great as to call for particular notice.

In examining the viscera of the abdomen, it will commonly be found that the stomach contains a certain quantity of water, which appears to enter into this organ by deglutition. The quantity is subject to great variation; sometimes it is large, at other times small; and in some instances, no water whatever is to be met with. This appears to depend on the rapidity of death. Orfila has remarked, that the alimentary canal is occasionally much discolonred in drowned subjects. He observed, also, that when drowning took place while the process of digestion was going ou, the mucous membrane of the stomach often had a pinkish-red or violet tint. When the drowned subject had remained a long time in water, the lining membrane of the stomach was observed to acquire a very deep violet or brown colour. A knowledge of this fact will be of importance in those cases where the subject removed from water, is suspected to have been poisoned previously to submersion. Among the other appearances met with in the body of a recently drowned person, which require to be mentioned, is the presence of a mucous froth, sometimes of a sanguiucous hue, covering the lining membrane of the trachea, which may be itself slightly reddened. Wuter is also occasionally found in the ramifications of the bronchiæ, but in very variable quantity. If the body has remained a long time in water, or if, after removal, it has been exposed to the air several days previously to the inspection being made, there is commonly no appearance of mucous froth in the trachea or its ramifications.

Cases.—Mr. Bishop has recently communicated to me the result of an inspection made by Dr. Bull of Hereford and himself, in the case of a female whose body had been in the water about an hour and The inspection was made twenty-four hours after death. The contracted state of the skin (entis anserina) was well marked. vessels of the membranes of the brain were somewhat congested, the principal scat of eugorgement being at the base. The tougue was neither swollen nor indented, but pallid. Mucous froth in cousiderable quantity was found in the trachea :-- the vesicles were exceedingly minute in the upper part, but at the lower portion of the tube, they were as large as a mustard-seed. A small quantity of clear fluid flowed through the bronchial tubes when the lungs were raised. lungs were not collapsed: they crepitated on pressure, and were rather exsanguine anteriorly: -- posteriorly they were somewhat gorged with blood, apparently from gravitation. The stomach had about a pint of fluid in it, which seemed to be water mixed with some undigested meat. The lining membrane was slightly piuk in The right side of the boart was very flabby, and contained scarcely any blood. The blood throughout the body was quite fluid. The appearances of asphyxia were not so well marked in the lungs and

heart of this subject, as they usually are; nevertheless, the state of the trachea, bronchial tubes and stomach, was quite characteristic of death from drowning.

As a contrast to this, and as showing the variable nature of the appearances met with in the drowned, the following case, reported by

Professor Dunglison, may be quoted.

A woman, in full health, was observed intoxicated on the banks of the Schuylkill, U.S., about one hour before her hody was discovered in very shallow water. She had not, therefore, remained long under The body was examined by Dr. Farquharson, one of the resident physicians, about sixteen hours after death. The face was swollen, and of a mottled purple colour. The arms and thighs presented patches of discoloration, and a small quantity of whitish froth issued from the month, the amount of which was not increased by pressure upou the chest, although a small quantity of watery fluid escaped when the body was turned over. On opening the chest, numerous old plcuritic adhesions were found, on the removal of which, and by the consequent compression of the lungs, a discharge of watery froth took place from the mouth. All the parts of the pulmonary tissue were gorged with blood, and were much heavier and of a darker red colour than in the normal state. The posterior portions of both lungs were more eagorged hypostatically, or from position. The tracbea and bronchial tubes contained the same kind of watery froth or frothy mucus, as that which had issued from the mouth. The liver was large, engorged, and of a bright red colour. The right cavities of the heart and the coronary veins were filled with dark fluid blood. left cavities were empty. Such, observes the Professor, are the main pheuomena occasioned by the mode of death-asphyxia from drowning. (Phil. Med. Examiner, March 1845, 169.)

Mr. Semple, of Islington, reported in the Lancet (May 29, 1841), two cases of drowning, in each of which he had made a careful postmortem inspectiou. The subjects were both adult females. In one, the cerebral vessels were nearly empty,—the lungs rather voluminous:—the bronchial tubes containing a small quantity of frothy mucus,—and the right side of the heart filled with fluid blood (\(\frac{1}{2}\) lb.) There were slight marks of inflammatory redness about the membraues of the stomach and intestines,—accounted for in the stomach by digestion going on at the time of death. The organ contained about a quart of fluid matter, consisting of food mixed with water,—probably swallowed in the act of drowning; no traces of poison in the stomach or marks of violence on the body.

In the other case, the eyes were half open, hands not clenched, fingers straight:—cerebral vessels very much congested. The lungs were voluminous; trachea empty; bronchial tubes in their smaller ramifications filled with a soapy (?) tenacions mucus. The right side of the heart and larger veins were distended with fluid blood. The esophagus contained a clear watery fluid,—the stomach, three ounces

of a clear fluid destitute of smell and colour, with the exception of a green tint from a minute quantity of vegetable matter, resembling the confervæ of ponds. Liver much congested. This woman was found drowned in a shallow pond. Both subjects were examined recently after death.

Was death caused by drowning?—It is obvious that for a correct solation of this question, we shall have to consider the appearances met with in the hodies of the drowned, and to determine how far they are characteristic of this form of death. Among the external signs of drowning, when the body is seen soon after death, are paleness of the surface, and the presence of a mneous froth about the nostrils and lips. The absence of these appearances, however, would not prove that the individual had not been drowned; for if the body has remained some time in water, or if it has been long exposed to air before it is seen by a medical practitioner, the cutaneous surface may have undergone various changes of colour, and mucous froth may no longer be found adhering to the nostrils and lips. In speaking of the external appearances of the body, it was stated that foreign substances are sometimes locked within the hands or lodged under the nails of drowned subjects. This fact may occasionally afford strong circumstantial evidence of the manner in which the individual has died.

Substances grasped in the hands.—If materials be grasped within the hands of the deceased, which have evidently been torn from the hanks of a canal or river, or from the bottom of the water in which the body is found, we have strong presumptive evidence that the individual died within the water. For although it is possible to imagioe that the deceased may have struggled on the bank and have heen killed prior to submersion, yet in the value attached to this sign, we are presnming that there are no marks of violence on the person, nor any other appearances about the body sufficiently striking to lead the examiner to suspect that death has taken place in any other way than by drowning. If the substance locked within the fingers or fingernails he sand of the same character as that existing at the bottom of the river or pond, it is difficult to conceive any stronger evidence to establish the fact of death having taken place subsequently to submersion. The abrasion of the fingers is a circumstance of minor importance,-no value could be attached to this state of the fingers as an indication of the individual having perished by drowning, unless it were in conjunction with the appearances above described. A witness would he constrained to admit in many cases, that the extremities of the fingers might become abraded or excoriated after death, or even before submersion, while in no case could he be called upon to make, in regard to substances found grasped within the hands, an admission which would invalidate the evidence deducible from this condition. This must be regarded as a most satisfactory proof of the individual having been alive after his body was in the water. It is well known that when two or three persons are drowned by the same accident,

they are not unfrequently found clasped within each other's arms,—a fact which at once proves that they must have been living when submerged. So if a dead body be discovered still holding to a rope, cable, or our, no further evidence is required to show that the deceased must have died by drowning. The signs upon which medical jurists chiefly rely as proofs of death from drowning arc,—1, water in the stomach; and, 2, water with a mucous froth in the trachea and lungs.

Water in the stomach.—It has been remarked, that water commonly passes into the stomach of a living animal while drowning, and this most prohably takes place by the act of deglutition: for it has been observed, that when au animal is stunned prior to submersion, water does not pass into the esophagus. As a proof that its entrance into that organ depends on deglutition, it may he stated that the quantity contained within the stomach is greater when the animal is allowed to come frequently to the surface and respire, than when it is maintained altogether below the surface. The power of deglutition is immediately suspended on the occurrence of asphyxia, and in this way may we perhaps most satisfactorily account for the difference observed in the two cases. The water thus found is in variable quantity; and there are some cases of drowning in which water is not discovered in the stomach. It was found by Dr. Ogston, of Dundee, in five cases out of seven. (Ed. Med. and Sur. Jour. Jan. 1837.) In dissecting cats which had been drowned, I have repeatedly remarked the absence of water from the stomach; in these instances, the animals had been invariably kept under water from the first moment of their submersion. and thus in a condition but little favourable to the exercise of degluti-Water does not readily penetrate into the stomach of a subject which has been thrown in after death; the parietes of the œsophagns applying themselves too closely to each other, to allow of the passage of the fluid. If putrefaction has advanced to any extent, it is possible that water may enter; but the practitioner will easily judge from the general state of the body how far this process may have been concerned in the admission of fluid into the stomach and alimentary canal. Orfila has suggested that water may be found in the stomach of a subject apparently drowned, in consequence of this liquid having been drunk by the individual or artificially jujected by another into the stomach after death. It is difficult to conceive under what circumstances such an injection could be made, or what purpose it would The quantity would determine whether it was likely to have been drunk by the person before immersion. It is of course presumed, that the liquid contained within this organ is of the same nature as that in which the body is immersed: for it is possible that fresh water may be found in the stomach of a person drowned in salt water, and in such a case it would be obviously improper for a medical witness to affirm, from the mere existence of water in this organ, that the individual had died within the medium in which his body was discovered. If the water contain mud, straw, dnekweed, moss, or any substance

existing in the pond or river where the drowning occurred, this is a proof, when the inspection is recent, of its having been swallowed by a living person. In the well-known case of Mary Ashford (Rex v. Thornton, Warwick Summer Ass. 1817) duckweed with about half a pint of water was found in her stomach. The body was discovered in a pond in which duckweed was growing. This fact, notwithstanding the presence of other marks of violence, proved that the deceased must have been living when immersed. The following case occurred at Maidstone, in July 1843. The body of a young woman was found in the Medway, under circumstances that led to a suspicion of nuarder. The medical witness deposed that there were no marks of external violence, nor any sign of the deceased having struggled with the supposed murderers. There was some long grass at the back of the mouth, and in the fances. The grass was not the same as that growing on the banks of the river, but such as grew at the bottom, and which the deceased had probably swallowed after having gone living into the Oa this evidence the accused was discharged. The absence of water from the stomach cannot, however, lead to the inference that the person has not died by drowning, because in some instances it is not swallowed, and in others it may drain away and be lost after death.

Mucous froth in the trachea and lungs .- The interior of the trachea in a drowned subject is frequently covered by a mucous froth, and this is stated, in some instances, to have been so abundant, as to have filled the hronchi and their ramifications. It is sometimes disposed in a layer of minute vesicles tinged with blood. The origin of this appearance has been variously accounted for; but it is probable that it is produced by the simple agitation or admixture of the air respired during the act of drowning with the mucous secretion of the air-passages, which perhaps under these circumstances is more copiously poured out. This mucous froth is not always met with in drowned subjects: 1. It has not been found in the hodies of those who have sunk at once below the surface. appearance may not be seen when the subject has remained for a long period in the water after death, since by the free passage of this fluid into and out of the trachea, the mucous froth, although formed in the first instance, will disappear. 3. If, after removal from the water, the subject he exposed to the air for several days before it is examined, it is rare that this appearance is seen. 4. The mucous froth may have been formed in the trachea, but it may have entirely disappeared, owing to the incautious manner in which the hody has been handled on its removal from the water. Thus, if the subject he removed from water with the head depending, any fluid which may he contained within the lungs will escape; and in passing through the trachea, this fluid will effectually obliterate the frothy appearance. A similar appearance has heen found in the bodies of those who have heen hanged or who have died from apoplexy. The introduction of any liquid into the trachea during deglutition, may produce it. A case is reported where, in

poisoning by laudauum, water containing sulphuric ether was forced down the throat of a person after the power of swallowing had ceased. On dissection, a quantity of reddish froth was found filling up part of the trachea.

Water in the lungs.—Many contradictory statements have appeared relative to the presence of water in the lnngs of the drowned. an appearance only occasionally met with: for the glottis does not in every case of drowning hecome so effectually closed, as to prevent the introduction of a portion of liquid into the pulmonary cells. In certain cases no water is found in the hronchiæ after death, and when present, the quantity depends on many contingencies. It is commonly small, often about an ounce, but it is subject to variation, and is probably affected by the number of forced attempts at respiration made by the drowning animal. In experiments on animals, I have not remarked any difference in the quantity whether the animal was allowed to rise to the surface and respire, or whether it was maintained altogether helow. There is but little doubt that the quantity may hecome increased after death, because it is now well known that water will penetrate into the lungs, before the access of putrefaction, when a body has been thrown in dead. It is important for a medical jurist to bear this in mind, as it may influence materially the opinion which he may be disposed to form on the discovery of water in the lungs of an apparently drowned subject. Water may therefore be present in the lungs, and yet it will afford no evidence of drowning. It has been suggested that water may have been injected into the lungs after death, in which case, an incorrect opinion might he formed from its presence, if the body were discovered on the bank of a river or canal. This, however, is an obstacle but little likely to interfere with any medical investigation. On the other hand, the absence of water from the lungs of a subject found apparently drowned, must not be considered to indicate that death was not a consequence of drowning; for if the body of a drowned person be allowed to remain with the head depending, the water originally contained within the lungs, will drain out; or if it he long exposed hefore undergoing an examination, the prohability is, that none will be discovered in these organs, since in the progress of time it may disappear by imbibition and evaporation.

Want of evidence on inspection.—It may be fairly considered that after the lapse of five or six weeks, especially if the body have been removed from the water for the greater part of that period, none of the usual appearances of drowning will be met with: in the present day, no practitioner would think of seeking for evidence under such circumstances. The medical opinions expressed by the witnesses for the prosecution at the trial of Spencer Cowper, for the murder of Sarah Stout (Hertford Assizes, 1699), are, therefore, worthy of remark, if only as affording an example of what is to be avoided on these

occasions.

The hody of the deceased was found floating in a stream probably

not more than thirteen hours after she was missed. It was buried, and six weeks afterwards was exhumed and examined. No water was found in the stomach or lungs, which were not putrefied. medical men deposed, that when a person was drowned, water was invariably taken into the stomach and lungs; and as none was found in this instance, they were of opinion that deceased came to her death by some other means; -in other words, that, as alleged in the indictment, she had been murdered by the prisoner, and her dead body afterwards thrown into the water! The prisoner asked one of these witnesses, whether, after six weeks time, water would remain in the body? The reply was, that there should be some, because "it can't come out after the body is dead, but by putrefaction; and there was no putrefaction." The witness does not appear to have had the least suspicion that the deceased might have died without swallowing any water, or that the quantity swallowed might have been small, and entirely lost in six weeks by transudation through the soft parietes of the stomach! The prisoner was acquitted.

Specific gravity of the body .- At the trial of Spencer Cowper, above alluded to, the buoyancy of the human body, living and dead, formed an important part of the inquiry. The body of the deceased was found floating at about five or six inches below the surface of the water, which was only five feet in depth. From this circumstance it was assumed that she could not have gone living into the water, because, as it was alleged, and attempted to be proved by scientific and nautical testimony for the prosecution, the body of every person who died in water, sank, while a dead body thrown into water floated! A sailor was called to support this strange piece of nautical philosophy; and although his statements were contradictory, he swore that in all the battles and shipwrecks in which he had been engaged, he bad uniformly observed that those who were drowned, floated; while those whose bodies were thrown in dead, sank! Hence, he contended, it was necessary to attach weights to the bodies of those who died at sea. "Why," said this witness, "should Government be at that vast charge to allow threescore or fourscore weight of iron to sink every man, but only that their swimming about should not be a discouragement to others?" (Smith's Anal. of Med. Ev. 278.) The medical wituesses for the prosecution contented themselves with stating that the hodies of persons who were drowned, sank, without taking into consideration that there were circumstances here which might have accounted for the floating, and have entirely set aside the hypothesis of death hefore immersion. This female was drowned in her clothes; there were some stakes near the body, which might have aided in supporting it; and the presence of flatus in the abdomen, would have sufficed to explain a simple fact, hy which the Court and scientific witnesses appear to have been completely hewildered. Other sailors were called for the defence, who deposed, that after battles and shipwrecks, they had always observed the bodies of the dead to sink,

and that weights were attached to bodies buried at sea, not for the purpose of sinking them, but of preventing them floating afterwards by putrefaction.

Although it is not likely that the life of any one will ever again be endangered by a question of this kind, it is proper to state a few facts

connected with the specific gravity of the human body.

The specific gravity of the human body, in the living healthy state, is made up of the combined specific gravities of its different parts:so that, as in all heterogeneous solids, it is a very complex quantity. The only part of the body which is lighter than water, is fat. specific quantity of this is 0.92: the specific gravity of muscle is 1.085; and of bone,—the heaviest part of the body,—2.01. lightness of the fatty portions is far more than counterbalanced by the weight of the skeleton, so that the naked human body, placed on water, has always a tendency to sink. This tendency diminishes just in proportion to the quantity of the body immersed:-because, all those parts which are out of water, not being supported by water, become so much additional absolute weight to the portion immersed. Hence the frequeut cause of death by drowning. An inexperienced person exhausts himself by exertion, raises his arms contiaually out of the water, and as often siuks, owing to their weight having just so much effect upon his body as if a leaden weight had been suddenly applied to his feet to sink him. When the whole of the living body is immersed, the specific gravity, owing to the expansion of the chest, differs so little from that of water, that very slight exertion will suffice to keep an individual on the surface.

From what has been observed relative to the component parts of the body, there are two circumstances which must cause its specific gravity to vary. If the quantity of fat be abundant, it will be diminished; and such an individual will float more readily than another in an opposite condition. On the other hand, the abundance of bone will render a person heavier than his bulk of water; and his body will sink more rapidly than that of another. Now these two modifying causes of buoyancy are liable to constant variation; hence, the different accounts given by experimentalists relative to the specific gravity of the human subject. The bodies of females are, cateris paribus, of less specific gravity than those of males: the skeleton is smaller, and there is a greater abundance of fat. Very young children float with the greatest ease; the quantity of fat is usually in large proportion, and the bones are light,—the earthy matter being not yet freely denosited. Thus, in infanticide by drowning, the body rises very speedily to the surface, -if, indeed, it does not remain altogether unon it.

There are some other points to be considered in relation to the buoyancy of the living human subject. 1. Respiration.—It is the fact of the lungs being filled with air that gives the general lightness to it. If these organs were emptied, and the chest contracted, then

the specific gravity would be considerably increased: hence, it follows, that, cateris paribus, an individual with a large and capacious thorax floats more readily than one whose chest is small and contracted. Hence, also, the body has a tendency to rise out of water during inspiration, and to sink during expiration:—the quantity of water displaced under these two opposite conditions of the respiratory organs being very different.

The fact of clothes being on the person will make a difference; either, from their nature, serving to buoy him up, or to sink him more deeply. Females are sometimes saved from drowning by their clothes presenting a large surface; and it is owing to this, that the bodies of drowned females often remain floating on the water immediately after death. This happened in the ease of Sarah Stout (ante, page 664.

Sec also case, post, p. 672).

So far with the living subject. It may be laid down as a general rule, that the recently dead body is, when left to itself, always heavier than water and sinks when immersed. The emptiness of the chest, combined with the fact, that the bones and all the soft parts, excepting the fat, are heavier than water, offers a sufficient explanation of the sinking. After a variable period, generally not more than a few days, the body will rise again to the surface, and float. The period of its rising will depend—lst, on the specific gravity of the body; 2d, on the nature of the water; 3d, on the access of heat and air, in facilitating putrefaction. If the gases generated find an escape, the body will sink:—more gases may form, and then it will again rise, so that the sinking and rising may become alternate phenomena.

A very small quantity of air, derived from putrefaction, will suffice for the floating of the body. Thus, taking the specific gravity of the dead body even at 1.08, it would require but little air to keep it at or near the surface of the water. The bodies of the drowned, when they float from putrefaction, generally rise to the surface about the fourth or fifth day after submersion in shallow water, unless held down by mechanical obstacles. But a dead body may be prevented from sinking at all, partly, as it has been observed, in consequence of the clothes, and partly in consequence of its having been caught and supported by stakes, or other obstacles in the water,—or from the presence of a quantity of air in the alimentary canal.

Survivorship of the drouned.—Decomposition in water.—Some medical jurists have proposed the question, whether, when several persons fall into water at the same moment, and are drowned, we can determine which among them was drowned first, or which survived the others. M. Devergie attempts to bring forward an answer to this question, from the state of the heart, lnngs, and brain (op. cit. 321); but it is needless to say, that the condition of these organs can furnish no certain evidence. Even if a medical practitioner chose to adopt such hypothetical views, it is certain that they would not be received

as evidence by an English Court of Law. When two bodies are found in the same spot in a river, it is allowable to form a limited presumption (from the different degrees of putrefaction in the two) as to which

has been submerged for the longer period.

The body of a drowned person after lying for some time in water undergoes the usual putrefactive changes indicated by discolouration of the skin and muscles, with softening of the animal substance (ante, page 657); but in some cases, where the circumstances are favourable, n change of a peculiar kind takes place. This consists in the slow conversion of the fatty parts of the body into a species of soap called The experiments of Chevrenl have proved that this compound is nothing more than an animal soap with a base of ammonia or lime, the former alkali being the result of the decomposition of the nitrogenized principles of the body, while the fat becomes acidified. A medico-legal question has more than once arisen respecting the length of time which a body should remain in water, in order that this adipocerous transformation of the tissues may be observed. Dr. Gibbs, of Bath, found that by macerating muscle in water for about a month, he was able to procure only a small quantity of adipocere. Dr. Harlan, of Philadelphia, observed that the integuments of a cranium were, by maceration, converted into adipocere in about six weeks. In some experiments which I have made on the subject, the conversion of muscle and fat to adipocere, was not complete in stagnant water under a period of two months. Thus, then, we may say, that a month is about the earliest period at which the change is likely to be observed. The experiments of Orfila and Devergic prove, that with bodies interred in the soil the change is much longer in taking The following singular case, which was tried at the Warwick Lent Assizes, in 1805, will show the medico-legal relations of this subject. A gentleman, who was insolvent, left his home on the 3d November; and on the 12th December following, his body was found floating in the river much decomposed, and the dress rotten. was no doubt that be had committed suicide. A few days after he was missed, a commission of bankruptcy had been issued against him; and the question was, whether or not he was living at the time it was issued. If not living, then the commission was void. As nothing positive was known on the subject, the only evidence on the point was derived from an examination of the body. The muscles of the lower part of the abdomen and the glutei were found to have become converted into adipocere; and from this fact, it was inferred to be in the highest degree probable, that his body had been in the water during the whole period of his absence—thirty-nine days; in short, that he had drowned himself on the day he left the house. Several medical witnesses were summoned on both sides. Dr. Gibbs and two others gave a strong opinion, that from the slow formation of adipocere in the drowned, it was reasonable to infer that the

body of the deceased had been in the water for the whole period of five weeks and four days. The jury returned a verdict in accordance with this view, namely, that the deceased was not living at the time the commission was issued against him. Mr. Callaway has informed me, that he was required to give evidence in a similar case in the year 1836.

Summary of medical evidence.-We have now reviewed the whole of the evidence which the post-mortem examination of a drowned subject is capable of affording to a medical witness. It will he seen that the only characters met with internally, upon which any confidence can be placed to indicate that the individual has been drowned. are the presence of water in the stomach, and the presence of a inucous froth on the lining membrane of the trachea; but at the same time, the restrictions to the admission of these signs as positive evidence of drowning, may he such as to throw great uncertainty on the correctness of a medico-legal opinion founded simply on their existence. The practitioner must then determine, hefore he decides positively in a question of this nature, whether there be any appearance about the person which would lead to the suspicion that death has heen caused in another way. When he has provided himself with this uegative evidence, and he finds that the characters of drowning, already enumerated, are present; -or, if absent, he can, with any show of probability, account for their absence, he is then justified in giving a decided opinion ou the subject.

A man died suddenly in the Rue St.-Antoine, at Paris, in February 1830, and was soon after brought to the Morgue. The body underwent a minute examination; but there was no mark of violence externally, nor was there any morbid change to account for death internally. In the course of the dissection, it was found that the larynx, trachea, and hronchiæ, contained a frothy mucus. In the larynx, this was white, but it had a red colour in the bronchiæ. M. Devergic, who conducted the inspection, states, that it only differed from the froth, as it exists in the trachea of the drowned, in the circumstance of its being in larger vesicles:—but he candidly owns, that had he not becu certain of the contrary, he should have presumed that he was examining the hody of a person who had died hy drowning. Besides this appearance, there was a large quantity of water in the stomach, amounting to almost a pint; and the lungs were gorged with blood, as in cases of asphyxia.

Supposing that this body had been thrown into the river after death, it is clear that most medical men, relying upon what are usually regarded as well-marked proofs of this kiud of death, would have declared this to have been a case of drowning. Nor could an individual be condemned in forming such an opinion, for it would have been founded upon the best ascertained rules of past experience: and there are no others by which a medical jurist can be guided. In the meantime, we learn by the occurrence of such a case, how cautious we

ought to be in expressing a positive opinion in a question of this nature, even where medical circumstances exist to support it.

If, however, a ease of this kind be of rare occurrence, we will take an instance of an opposite description. An individual may be suffocated, or may die from apoplexy, or from the sudden attack of any fatal disease which may not be indicated by any well-marked post-mortem appearances; the body is thrown into water, and remains there a few days. When taken out, water may be found in the lnngs, but there may be none in the stomach; nor may there be any nuccous froth in the trachea:—yet, how is a practitioner to determine, whether death has actually taken place within the water or not? In the case of a suffocated body, without traces of external violence, it would be impossible; since we bave seen that individuals may die in the water, or at the moment of immersion, and, therefore, under eircumstances in which the appearances of drowning would be entirely wanting.

If, in examining a body taken from water, we discovered traces of mortal disease, or marks of external violence sufficient to destroy life, then we have always room for suspicion. Why the body of a person, who has really died from natural causes, should be afterwards thrown into water, it would not be easy to explain: but we can readily appreciate the motive where murderous violence has been used.

In consequence of the uncertainty attendant on the appearances of drowning, barristers have considerable advantage in cross-examining those medical witnesses who appear for the prosecution. Legal ingenuity is here often carried to the utmost, to show that there is no positive or well-defined sign of drowning; and, therefore, to draw the inference that the deceased must have died from some other cause. A trial took place at the Central Criminal Court, April 1841, in which the witnesses were very severely examined on the appearances caused by drowning. (The Queen v. Longley.) The general impression among non-medical persons appears to be, that, whether in drowning or suffocation, there ought to be some particular visible change to indicate at once the kind of death; but it need hardly be said that this notion is founded on very false views: and if the reception of medical evidence as to the cause of death be made to depend on the production of some such positive and visible change, then it would be better at once not to place the parties charged with the offence upon their trial, because the crime could never be proved against them. A medical inference of drowning is founded upon a certain series of facts, to each of which individually it might be easy to oppose plausible objections; but, taken together, they often furnish evidence as strong as is commonly required for proof of any other kind of death. In the case above referred to, the prisoner was cleverly defended. The deceased, a child, was drowned by the mother. When the body was removed from water, the mouth was closed: the prisoner's counsel wanted to make it appear that it was

most unusual to find the mouth open in eases of drowning; and then went on to say, "that the only proof of suffocation by drowning which had been adduced by the medical witness was the frothy mucus found in the air-cells;—that it could not have gone through the mouth was quite certain, because the mouth was proved to have been closed. The air might have passed into the air-cells of the child, whilst struggling in its mother's arms, just as well as whilst struggling in water!" After what has been stated, it is not necessary to point out the fallacy of the assumptions involved in this argument; but it is much to be regretted, that medical evideuce should be allowed to be presented to a jury in such a perverted form. The wonder is, that even in a case of undoubted criminality (as in that particular instance) a conviction should ever occur. (See also the case of the Queen v. Owen, Thomas, and Ellis, Stafford Lent Assizes, 1840.)

Marks of violence on the drowned.—The chief inquiry with regard to marks of violence on the bodies of the drowned, is, whether they have resulted from accident or design, and in forming an opinion, a witness must give due value to the accidents to which a body, floating loosely in water, may be exposed. Eechymoses of considerable size are sometimes seen on drowned subjects, where they have been carried by a current against mechanical obstacles in a river or canal. If the deceased fell from a considerable height into water, his body, in falling, may have struck against a rock or projection, and have produced a very extensive mark of violence. Dead bodies taken out of wells, the deceased persons having fallen in accidentally, or having thrown themselves in intentionally, often present considerable marks of violence of a vital charac-The presence of these must not create a hasty suspicion of It is manifestly impossible to lay down any specific rules for forming a decision in cases of this kind, since probably no two instances will be met with which will be perfectly similar. In clearing up these doubtful points, everything must depend on the tact and acumen of the practitioner who is called upon to conduct the investigation. The first point which he has to determine is, whether the injuries on the body were produced before or after death. (See ante, Wounds, p. 201.) If after death, then they ought to be obviously of accidental origin. Accidental violence may sometimes be of a very serious nature, - so scrions that a practitioner might well doubt whether it did not indicate that the deceased had been violently injured prior to submersion. If a dead body were taken out of water, with one or both extremities dislocated, or the cervical vertebræ fractured; and a surgeou were asked whether such injuries could be accidental, and coincident with, or consequent on drowning, the answer would probably be in the negative: but an instance has occurred where both arms have become accidentally dislocated at the shoulders in the act of drowning. I allude to the case of a man, who, some years since, jumped from the parapet of London Bridge into the Thames.

exploit, it appears, the man had previously performed with impunity, but in this instance he sank and was drowned. Both his arms were found dislocated, in consequence, it is presumed, of his having fallen with them in the horizontal position, instead of placing them closely to his sides. The concussion on falling into the water, had sufficed to produce the accident. (Smith's For. Med. p. 228.) Here, then, we have a proof that even the mechanical resistance offered by water alone, may give rise to marks of very violent injury on the person. Extravasation of hlood may take place into the cavities from this cause. Dr. N. Chevers informs me that he assisted in examining the body of a sailor who fell into the water vertex downwards; and it was found that there was an extravasation of blood in the head, hencath the arachnoid.

It has heen observed, with respect to superficial marks of violence, that bruises or contusions are not always visible on the bodies of the drowned, when they are first removed from water. This may be owing to the skin having abundantly imbibed water,—the colour of the ecchymosis being thereby concealed. After a short exposure to air, the water evaporates, and the bruise or contusion hecomes visible. The great point with regard to all marks of violence on the drowned, is to throw light upou the questions: 1, whether drowning was really the cause of death; and 2, whether, if so, the act was the result of accident, suicide, or homeide. This last question does not concern a medical witness so much as the jury, who will determine it from the facts proved before them.

There is one ease of rare occurrence, in which a practitioner would be apt to be misled by trusting to the appearances found on the drowned. If a dead body were removed from water with a deep eechymosed circle round the neck, evidently produced by a cord or ligature, but no traces of which could he found, it is not improbable that a suspicion would be at once raised, that the deceased had been murdered by strangulation, and the body afterwards thrown into water. An accident occurred a few years since, in which a gentleman and his wife were thrown into the water by the overturning of a small boat. The lady was drowned. On an examination of the body, suhsequently made, a livid circle was found round her neck, as if she had been strangled. She had evidently died by drowning, hut the mark had been produced by the string of a cloak which she wore at the time of the accident. In her struggles to reach the boat, it is presumed that the tide had drifted the cloak in the opposite direction, and thus produced the appearance of strangulation. It is not improbable that this accelerated death. Barzellotti mentions the case of a man who was drowned in the Po, while being escorted along the banks of the river, as a prisoner, by a party of soldiers. The man attempted to escape, and was drowned. Besides the ordinary marks of drowning, there was a deep livid circle, extending completely round the neck, and immediately below this, another mark, but paler in colour.

The skin over the trachea was ecchymosed. It was supposed that the deceased had been strangled by the soldiers, and his body thrown into the water, but from the appearance of the marks, and other circumstances, Barzellotti gave it as his opinion, that they were produced by the collar of a coarse linen shirt which had been tightly huttoucd around the man's neck,-the collar had retracted from the imbibition of water, and had thus caused the appearance of strangulation, like any other ligature. (Questioni di Medicina Legale, i.329. For another case, see Henke's Zeitschrift, 1840, i. 126, Erg. H.) The following case was mentioned to me as having occurred during the heavy floods in the winter of 1839. A man was carried away and drowned in attempting to ford a swollen stream. When the hody was found, it had been so placed by the current, that the fore-part of the neck was locked against the stump of a tree, giving rise to an ecchymosed patch like that which is commonly produced by manual strangulation. For an interesting case, in which there was much violence to the neck, see Henke's Zeitschrift, 1842, i. 258, Erg. H.

It might he said that in cases of this description circumstantial evidence would commonly show how the mark had originated. In admitting the truth of this observation, we must remember that circumstances, as matters of proof, do not always present themselves to our notice or occur to our judgment, at the precise time that the course of justice stands most in need of them. While, then, we use great caution in drawing an inference where there are such strong grounds for suspicion, we should not neglect to examine carefully the most trivial appearances. In one remarkable case of murder, where the body of the deceased was discovered in a mill-stream, there was only one slight ecchymosed depression in the fore-part of the neck, as if from a finger. The surgeon suspected from this, that the deceased had been strangled. The marks of drowning in the hody were wanting; and the suspicion of the real cause of death was afterwards confirmed by the detection of the criminal.

Accidental fractures in the drowned.—Fractures are not often met with in the drowned as the result of accident during or after the act of suhmersion. Certain fractures likely to be followed by immediate death may forbid the supposition of their having occurred after the act of submersion, and a careful examination of the body may show that they were not likely to have arisen from accident at or ahout the time of submersion. This point was raised in the case of Reg. v. Kettleband, (Nottingham Winter Ass. 1843.) where the prisoner was charged with the murder of his son, a hoy aged ten years. The deceased was found dead in a pond soon after he had heen seen healthy and well. An inquest was held, and as usual no inspection of the hody was required hy the coroner, and the jnry were directed to return a verdict of "found drowned." An inspection was, however, subsequently made. The neck was observed to he very loose, and on further examination the processus dentatus was found to he separated

from the atlas, and the ligaments were ruptured! The three medical wituesses who gave evidence at the trial, deposed that this displacement had caused death by compressing the spinal marrow,—that the injury had occurred during life,—that it was not likely to have been caused by aecident from a fall into the water, as there was no mark of a bruise about the head, and the pend was proved to be small, with a soft muddy bottom. All agreed that such an injury was not likely to have arisen from a blow or a fall under any circumstances, because it required for its production, that the body should be fixed, and the head forcibly rotated on the trunk. It was in itself sufficient to account for immediate death, and it could not occur by accident after death from any other cause. Hence it was inferred, -1, that death could not have been caused by drowning; 2, that it had resulted from the compression of the spinal marrow, by displacement of the second vertebra; and, 3dly, that this injury must have been intentionally produced by some person. Circumstances fixed the crime on the prisoner, and the jury returned a verdict of manslaughter; although the nature of the injury, admitting that it was not the result of accident, proved that the prisoner must have acted with a most cool and deliberate intention to destroy life! This case furnishes a serious commentary on the practice of certain coroners, in denying the necessity for an inspection, and in directing what is called an open verdict of "found drowned," where a body is taken out of water!

It is an important medico-legal question, whether fractures of the cervical vertebræ can occur from accident alone, about the time of drowning. In the above ease, the medical witnesses had probably good reasons for denying that the injury was accidental, although such opinion caunot always be expressed merely from the absence of marks of violence on the head. Mr. South quotes the case of a mau who threw himself into a river to bathe from a height of seven or eight feet, the water being only three feet deep. He rose to the surface, but fell back senseless. When he recovered his consciousness, the account he gave of the accident was, that he felt his hands touch the bottom of the river, but to save his head drew it violently back, npon which he lost all cousciousness. He died in about ten hours, and on examination, the back of the neck was much ecchymosed—the interspaces of the muscles were gorged, and the vertebral canal filled with blood. The body of the fifth cervical vertebra was broken across about the middle of its depth, and the two pieces were completely separated from the lateral parts. As there was no mark of coutusiou or dirt on the head, Reveillon, who reports the case, believes that the fracture aroso from muscular action, and not from a blow received by striking the bottom; but this is doubtful. In another instance related by Mr. South, a sailor jumped headlong into the sea to bathe, a sail being spread three feet below the surface. He immediately became motionless, and died in forty-eight honrs. The fourth and fifth ecrvical vertebræ were found extensively fractured, and the spinal marrow

was crushed and lacerated. (Chelius's Surgery, Part vi. Fractures.) In this case the fracture must have resulted from contact with the water or the sail; hut as the latter was freely floating, this would he a yielding medium: hence this serious injury may occur accidentally in cases in which we might not be prepared to look for it. (For an important medico-legal case, involving many questions connected with marks of violence on the drowned, see Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, ii. 195.)

Was the drowning the result of homicide, suicide, or accident?— Although the question, whether the act of drowning was the result of suicide or mnrder, properly falls within the province of a jury, there are certain points in relation to it which here require to be noticed by a medical witness. In the first place, it is not to be imagined that a postmortem examination of the hody will develope any differences in either of the three supposed kinds of death. So far as the phenomena of drowning are concerned, they are the same; and are accompanied by the same post-mortem appearances in each case. In drowning which is accidental or suicidal, it is not common, as it has already been observed, to meet with marks of violence on the person, except such as arc purely of accidental origin, and have commonly been produced after death. In accidental drowning, this is almost a constant rule : but if the individual has fallen from any height, his person may he injured in the fall either by projecting hodies on the hanks of a river or canal, or hy the mere concussion in the water, allowance for either of which we must be prepared to make, according to the situation of the spot from which the party is supposed to have fallen.

It is calculated that drowning is the cause of death in nearly one-half of all suicides; hut this of course will vary according to localities. In suicidal drowning we have a difficulty to encounter which we do not meet with in that which is accidental. A man may have attempted suicide hy some other means, previously to precipitating himself in the water: thus, then, hesides the accidental violence of accidental drowning, we may meet with violence on the person evidently indicating wilful perpetration. What is the nature of this violence? Is it to be defined? Can it always be distinguished from that which is positively homicidal? The answers to these questions must depend on the circumstances proved in each case.

A man may attempt to hang or to strangle himself, and not succeeding, may afterwards drown himself. Here we should find a mark on the neck, which many would at once deem presumptive of murder. If the suicide had neglected to remove the cord from his neck, death would be considered still more clearly to have arisen from murder. A suicide may produce a severe penetrating wound on his person, and afterwards drown himself. In such a case, it is not even the necessarily mortal nature of the iujury which would allow us to come to a prompt decision; for although a man labouring, for instance, under a penetrating wound of the heart, or a deeply incised wound of the neck, is not likely to run far, or to require to drown himself in order to die,

yet instances are on record where such wounds have been inflicted on the brink of the water, and even at the moment of precipitation, to ensure a speedy death. The same remark also applies where a gunshot wound of great extent exists on the hody, as where the abdomen, chest, or head is traversed by a pistol-hullet: for snicides have been known to shoot themselves immediately before throwing themselves into water. But of all cases, perhaps that of the evidence of poisoning, by the discovery of poison in the body of the drowned person, is calculated to produce the greatest ambiguity; since it is obvious that the deceased may have taken poison, and, hefore its fatal operation on the system, have had time to complete the act of suicide by drowning. These difficulties, it is true, are commonly removed by circumstantial evidence; but this is wholly collateral to the duties of a medical witness. He must treat the case as one which is purely medical; for when the Court puts a question to him relative to the possibility of any of the above coincidences existing, he is obliged to give an abstract answer either in the affirmative or negative. If his opinion were founded wholly on circumstantial evidence, and not on medical principles, it would be rejected, since it is for the jury alone to connect the circumstantial with the medical evidence.

Are there no rules, then, it may be asked, to distinguish suicidal from homicidal drowning? Medically speaking, the drowning is presumptively suicidal when there are no marks of violence; and it is presumptively homicidal when severe vital injuries, of a more or less speedily mortal or dangerous character, and not likely to have had an accidental origin, are met with. The latter presumption is founded on the fact, that murder hy drowning is extremely rare without previous violence being used hy the murderer. The homicide generally leads to his own detection hy inflicting more violence than is necessary for the destruction of his victim.

In all these eases, when the facts are unknown, there is a rule which, it appears to me, the examiner ought to follow; and that is, never to pronounce a positive opiuion in favour of homicidal drowning from violence on the person of the deceased, unless the violence be so situated on the body that it could not have heen self-inflicted, and nuless it be of a unture to have destroyed life speedily.

Drowning in shallow water.—Homicide has been sometimes presumed from the peculiar circumstances under which the body has been discovered. Thus, for instance, it has been a dehated question, whether a person intent on suicide can actually drown himself in shallow water. This question has been long since settled in the affirmative hy some well-authenticated cases: it appears to have been raised originally on the theoretical view, that the resolution of a suicide would fail him in such a situation, ar that having the means of escape, he would lose no time in extricating himself. It need hardly be stated that the mere immersion of the mouth in water not more than a few inches deep, will produce all the phenomena of death by drowning, with the

exception that little or no water would prohably be found in the stomach. Devergie movitions an instance which occurred in May 1833, where a man was found drowned in a small stream, his face towards the ground, and his head just covered by the water, which was not more than a foot in depth. On dissection, there were all the appearances of drowning present, and a large quantity of sand and gravel was found occupying the trachea and bronchial ramifications. (Op. cit. ii. 332.) A case is mentioned by Dr. Smith in which a woman committed suicide by breaking a hole in the ice of a pond, during the winter, and thrusting her head into the water, the rest of her body being out. In May 1837, a man was found dead near Mitcham in Surrey. He was discovered lying on his face in a small stream of water only six inches deep. The water was so shallow that it did not cover the deceased's body or his head. There was clear evidence that this was a case of suicidal drowning.

The discovery of bodies under these circumstances does not necessarily establish that the act was snicidal. It is quite possible that one or more morderers may hold a person's head in such a position sufficiently long to destroy life; but as the party might he capable of making resistance, we ought then to find marks of violence on the body. So, again, such a position is by no means incompatible with accidental drowning; and on this it may happen that you will be called to express an opinion. A mau, in a state of deep intoxication, may fall with his face in a gutter or ditch; he may die in this position, not having the power to extricate himself. Even violence on his person must not be too hastily construed into proofs of murder. Not long since, a case of this description gave rise to a trial for murder in one of our midland counties. A man was found dead with his foce in some melted snow; and there were several severe contusions on his body. The evidence showed, that after a quarrel, he left a neighbouring inn deeply intoxicated; and it was rendered extremely probable that he had perished accidentally on his way home. There was no reason to suppose that he had been murdered. Infants, from mere helplessness, may be drowned under similar circumstances.

Drowning from partial immersion.—There is no doubt that murder by drowning may be perpetrated without the whole of the body being immersed in water. An interesting case of this kind, which was the subject of a criminal trial, was referred to me by Mr. Aldred of Norwich, in March 1841. The case was tried at the Norwich Lent Assizes of that year (the Queen v. Yaxley), and the prisoner was convicted. It appeared that the mode in which the prisoner destroyed her infant child, was hy immersing its head for a few minutes in a pail of water. She removed it before it was quite dead, but it soon died with slight convulsive motions of the limbs. The case was rendered obscure by the fact, that the whole of the body had evidently not been immersed; and the only conceivable means of drowning were in a small duck-pond adjoining the house, which was covered

with weed, but no weed was found in the stomach, although a quantity of water was there present. The death of a child under these singular circumstances is, however, quite compatible with accident. Tubbs, of Upwell Isle, has communicated to me the following ease, which fell under his notice in April 1848. He was called to see a child get. 18 months, which was stated to be dving. On his arrival at the cottage, he found it dead: the skin was cold, and the countenance ealm and pale, with the exception of a livid discolouration in the centre of cach check. The cyclids, as well as the mouth, were half open. The pupils were largely dilated. A frothy mneus, tinged with blood, was escaping from the mouth and nostrils. The tongue was swollen, and protruded forwards. The mother of the infant, a respectable woman, gave the following account :- She was washing in one room, while the child was in an adjoining room, the door between heing kept open by a pail half full of water. She went out of the house for about two minutes, and on her return she found the child with its head downwards in the pail of water, the heels and part of the body hanging over the side of the pail. She snatched it out and tried to revive it, but without effect. There was no reason to doubt the truth of her statement, and at the inquest the jury returned a verdict of accidental death. The helplessness of an infant at this age, and the rapidity with which asphyxia supervenes, sufficiently account for its death under these eireumstances.

A case occurred in London, in 1841, where a drunken man was drowned by falling on the bank of the Surrey Canal, with his head partly in the water, while the greater part of his body lay ou the bank ont of the water. It was by partial immersion that the Italian boy, Carlo Ferrari, was destroyed some years since by Bishop and Williams, who afterwards attempted to sell the body for the purposes of dissection. The murderers first intoxicated the deceased, and then suspended him by the heels in a well, so that his mouth was but a few inches below the level of the water. A medical man, therefore, must not allow himself to be deceived respecting the cause of death on finding that the whole of the body has not been immersed. In this form of murder, when the inspection is recent, water with or witbout weeds or other foreign matters, may be found in the ear-passages.

Ligatures on the hands and feet.—When a drowned subject is removed from water with the hands and feet hound by cords, it is usually considered that we have therein strong presumptive evidence of homicide; but numerous cases are recorded where suicides have actually hound themselves in this manner before throwing themselves into water, probably for the express purpose of preventing any chance of their escaping death. In July 1832, the body of a full-grown man was removed from the Seine, his neck, legs, and hands, being secured together by a cord furnished with slip-knots. There was no doubt that he had died by drowning, and that the act was one of deliberate suicide, the cord being so placed on his body that an individual

could easily place it on himself. In this case there was no great degree of ecchymosis produced by the cord; nor is it likely there should be when it was arranged by a suicide, since his object would be merely that of rendering himself helpless by securing his arms and legs. This he would doubtless accomplish without giving himself much pain. If the marks bear the evidence of violent constriction, especially on both wrists or on the fore part of the neck, the presumption of murder becomes very strong. In a case of this kiud, it would be obviously of great importance to determine whether the deceased had really died by drowning or not; since, if his death had not been due to drowning, the fact of his body heing discovered in water so bound, would furnish the strongest possible evidence of murder. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1833, i. 207.)

Weights attached to the body.—If a body be taken out of water with heavy weights attached to it, the question of accident, as in the former case, is done away with. It must be either homicide or suicide; and doubtless many would be apt to suspect that it was a case of murder. Several instances have, however, occurred where persons have committed suicide by drowning, and heavy weights have been found attached to their feet and hands, or in or about the dress.

Aga.—Another question which may be considered is this:—Does the age of a drowned subject rebut the presumption of suicide, even when there is no other ground for suspecting marder? In the case of an infant, this question must assuredly be answered in the affirmative. If the body of a new-born child be discovered drowned in water, the case must be one of accident or murder. Murder is generally presumed by our law on the clear evidence that drowning was the cause of death; it is then for the accused party (commonly the mother) to satisfy the Court that the drowning was accidental. The discovery of any wounds, or other marks of wilful violence, would altogether do away with the presumption of accident. It must, however, be shown that the child died from drowning, since a woman may merely have placed its dead body in water for the purpose of concealment.

But we cannot so easily fix the age at which the suspicion of suicide would cease to he rebutted thereby. The question might arise on the discovery of the drowned body of a child not more than three or four years of age; and in this case suicide would be highly improbable. Such young subjects are often drowned hy accident, but then there are facts usually forthcoming which remove all the difficulties from the investigation. The youngest age of suicide by drowning which I have met with was in a boy of 13: in two other cases of girls aged 13 and even 11, suicide by drowning was attempted. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, ii. 402.) After 13, suicide by drowning has been known to have been committed at every period of life up to the age of 86. Suicide by drowning is, however, almost as rare in advanced age as in extreme infance.

HANGING.

CHAPTER LIX.

CAUSE OF DEATH—RAPIDITY OF DEATH—DEATH FROM THE SECONDARY EFFECTS—THEATMENT—PERIOD AT WHICH DEATH TAKES
PLACE—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—MARK OF THE CORD OR
LIGATURE—UNECCHYMOSED MARKS—OTHER APPEARANCES—WAS
DEATH CAUSED BY HANGING?—HANGING POST-MORTEM—SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE—CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE—
MARKS OF VIOLENCE ON THE HANGED—WAS THE HANGING THE
RESULT OF ACCIDENT, SUICIDE, OR HOMICIDE?—HOMICIDAL
HANGING—HIJURY TO THE CERVICAL VERTEBRE—THE POSITION
OF THE BODY—THE LIMBS SECURED—POWER OF SELF-SUSPENSION.

Cause of death .- By hanging we are to understand that kind of death in which the body is wholly or partially suspended by the neek, and the constricting force is the weight of the body itself; while, in strangulation, the constricting force is due to some other cause. In both cases death commonly results from asphyria, although this must depend in a great measure upon the position of the ligature on the neck. If this be loose, or applied to the upper part of the neck, a small quantity of air may still reach the lungs: and then the cerebral circulation becomes interrupted by the compression of the great vessels of the ueck. In this case, apoplexy of the congestive kind is induced, and operates as the immediate cause of death. It is easy to conceive that there may he a mixed condition of asphyxia and apoplexy, and according to the observations of Professors Casper and Remer, this is actually met with in the greater number of instances. The following tables represent the results at which they have arrived from the examination of a large number of cases :-

Apoplexy				Remer	Casper.			
				9			9	
Asphyxia				6			14	
Mixed coudi	tious	•	•	68	•	•	62	
Te	otal			83			85	

It has been frequently observed, in the execution of criminals, that death does not constantly ensue within the same period of time; and we may prohably hest explain this fact by a reference to the greater or less degree of constriction produced by the ligature. If the rope should press upon the larvax or above that organ, the occlusion of the airpassages will not he so complete as if it pressed upon the traches immediately below the ericoid cartilage. A slight degree of respiration might, in the former case, continue for a short interval, by which the life of the person would be prolonged; while in the latter, death would he immediate. If the traches be in part ossified, the pressure of the cord is less perfect, and death will then take place more slowly. It has been supposed that the immediate cause of the stoppage of respiration was a pressure produced by the cord on the nerves of the neek; but it must be considered as very improbable that, under the circumstances in which hanging generally takes place, the cord should exert any pressure on the nerves sufficient to produce death. In the greater number of cases of suicidal hanging, which are commonly unattended with much violence, the pressure on these nerves cannot obviously exist; and in violent hanging, the projection of the anterior parts of the neck must suffice to prevent these slender nervous filaments from becoming exposed to such a degree of compression as directly to impede the exercise of their functions.

There is an occasional cause of death in hanging, which appears to have been first brought to the notice of the profession by Louis. Having remarked that, in public executions, death sometimes took place with great rapidity, and in other cases more slowly, he was led to inquire into the eircumstances. He found that in the cases of rapid death, the executioner was in the hahit of giving a violent rotatory motion to the body of the criminal at the moment it was turned off, whereby a displacement of the dentiform process of the second cervical vertebra took place, so that the spinal marrow hecame suddealy compressed. This cause of death must be extremely rare: as a general rule, it is only likely to he observed in very corpulent subjects, where a long fall is given to the cord, and where much violence has been at the same time employed by the executioner. It is seldom met with in subjects criminally executed; and in eases of suicidal hanging it is so rare, that Devergie found the ligaments hetween the first and second cervical vertebræ ruptured only once in fifty-two cases. M. de la Fosse considers, from the observations which he has made on the subject, that, in violent hanging, the dentiform process of the second cervical vertebra is much more likely to be fractured than to become displaced, and he found this in the case of an executed criminal. an examination of the body of this subject, he discovered that the two first cervical vertebræ had been completely separated from the remainder of the spinal column by the rupture of the intervertehral substance, and that they were firmly attached by their ligaments to the occipital bone. The dentiform process and body of the second vertebra, were

detached from the bony ring, but were still connected as usual with the anterior arch of the atlas. The spinal marrow had become compressed by the fractured portions of the vertebre. Probably, further observations would show that the injury to the spine is not always of the same nature, and that fractures of the vertebræ are really more frequent than simple luxations of the odoatoid process; but in the meantime, we must admit that such injuries may occur in hanging, and that when they do occur, death must be very sudden. But death may proceed from mere effusion of blood on the spinal sheath, thereby giving rise to fatal compression. This is likely to happen when the head falls, or is bent suddenly backwards, so that the weight of the body is supported on the back of the neck. See an interesting case of this kind by Mr. Campbell de Morgan (post, p. 702.)

Rapidity of death.—Death from hanging appears to take place very rapidly, and without causing any suffering to the individual. It is observed, that in those who are criminally executed there are often violeut convulsions of the limbs and trank. There is no reason, however, to believe that the individual suffers pain, any more than in the convulsions of an epileptic fit. On recovery there is an entire loss of consciousness of pain in both cases. The circulation of dark-coloured blood through the brain and spinal cord may account for these effects. Efforts to inspire are made for from one to two minutes after the closure or compression of the trachea. The diaphragm and intercostal muscles act spasmodically, but no air enters the lungs, and it is probable that in the act of hanging, part of the air contained in the organs is convulsively expelled. When the suspension of the body has only continued a few minutes, it has often been found impossible to restore life; and indeed the period at which resuscitation may take place will vary in different subjects according to circumstances. Supposing the banging to be unattended with violence to parts about the neck, it is possible that some individuals might be resnscitated after five minutes' suspension or longer. Others, again, may not be recovered, when they are cut down immediately after suspension,-a fact which depends probably on the different degrees to which asphyxia or apoplexy has extended.

Death from the secondary effects.—It by no means follows that because we have succeeded in restoring the respiratory process, the individual is safe. Death often takes place by a fatal relapse at various periods after the accident. A case of this description has been published by Sir B. Brodie. A boy, æt. 17, was found hanging. When cut down be was insensible; his face livid, his lips of a dark purple colour, pulse not perceptible, pupils dilated and motionless. Artificial respiration was used, and in a quarter of an hour the diaphragm began to act. He breathed at irregular intervals with stertor, and with a rattling noise in the throat. The pulse became perceptible but often flagging, and the surface of the body was cold. The countenance was still livid, but the pulse and breathing improved. At the end

of another hour an attempt was unsuccessfully made to take some blood from the arm, and the patient was placed in a warm bath. The breathing was stertorous through the night, and in the morning twelve ounces of blood were taken from the arm, but there was no relief. continued insensible, cold on the surface, and frothing at the mouth, and he died twenty-four honrs after he was cut down. The body was carefully examined. The vessels of the brain were very turgid with blood:—this was the only morbid appearance. In another instance. a labouring man who had banged himself, was cut down in a state of inscusibility. He lay for a considerable time breathing with apoplectic stertor, but eventually recovered. (Lectures on Pathology, 72.) Dr. Sbearman has reported in the Lancet (Jan. 6, 1844), a case in which a powerful athletic man, who had been committed to prison for theft, hung himself. He was found apparently dead, hanging by his own handker**c**hief. He was ent down, and seen by Dr. S. half an hour after the occurrence. The man was then apparently lifeless, -he neither breathed nor moved, nor had any perceptible circulation. face and neck were much swollen and livid, and the ecchymosed mark of the cord was immediately below the thyroid cartilage: the fingers were bent, and the hands nearly eleuebed. His bead was raised, the windows were thrown open, and blood abstracted from the arm, which was put into hot water, in order to increase the flow. In a few miuntes the man began to breathe;—the bleeding was allowed to continue until the pulse was felt at the wrist, and the pupil contracted completely on the application of a lighted caudle. The breathing was stertorous. Brandy and water were poured into the stomach, and warmth was applied to the extremities. In the course of a few hours he rallied very much,—his pulse had become firmer and quicker (130), -lus bead was hot,-he was very restless, unmanageable, and violently convulsed in the arms and legs. Shortly before death he was calm and spoke several times. He suddenly became exhausted, and died nineteen hours after he was found hanging. This was probably a mixed case of asphyxia and apoplexy. The medical treatment appears to bave been very proper. The unsuccessful result may perhaps be ascribed to the injury sustained by the cerebral circulation from constriction of the neck. In hanging, as well as in drowning, therefore, a person may in the first instance recover, but subsequently die in spite of the best treatment.

Treatment.—Artificial respiration, cold affusion when the skin is warm, with the vapour of ammonia and other stimuli, may be employed on these occasions. If there should be much cerebral congestion on recovery, venesction may be resorted to. The introduction of oxygen into the lnngs, or the application of electricity or electroagnetism in the course of the spine, might be attended with benefit; but much will depend, as in drowning, upon the time at which assistance is rendered after the body has been cut down. The following ease of recovery, in which, however, asphyxia was not com-

plete, was reported in the Lancet, Nov. 1839. A robust woman, aged thirty-three, hung herself while slightly intoxicated. She was missed about ten minutes before she was found suspended to a bedstead, but how long she had been thus hanging it was impossible to determine. Medical assistance was rendered to her in about ten minutes after she had been cut down. She was then quite insensible,—her respiration slow and laborious, and her pulse barely perceptible. The countenance was pale, -there was no lividity; the lower jaw was depressed, the extremities were moderately warm, and the hands convulsively clenched,-the pupils were somewhat dilated, and barely susceptible of the stimulus of light. A dusky red mark, of a quarter of an inch in breadth, was distinctly observed eneircling the upper part of the neck, forming an angle over the ramus of the jaw on the right side, where the knot of the ligature (a silk handkerchief) had rested, and in consequence of this the constriction was incomplete. The patient was twice copiously bled, mustard sinapisms were applied to the calves of the legs, hot water to the feet, and cold applications to the After thirty-two ounces of blood had been abstracted in half an hour, the breathing became stertorous, the pupils fully dilated, the lower jaw fell further, the sphincters became relaxed, and the patient appeared to be rapidly sinking. Ammoniacal liniment was rubbed on the chest, and the woman so far recovered in an hour as to be able to swallow: but although she was conscious of pain, she remained comatose until the evening, when she became perfectly sensible of snrrounding objects. This was evidently a case of imperfect suspension, where, from respiration still continuing, there was every hope of reco-The ecrebral circulation had here become disordered.

In the following ease, which occurred to Mr. Noyee, cold affusion speedily resuscitated the individual. A man had been hanging about two or three minutes when he was cut down, and in four or five minutes afterwards he was seen by Mr. Noyee. He had then ceased to breathe: his features were pallid, and the conjunctiva injected with blood. The heart's action continued, although feebly, the pulse being about 80, and very weak. Artificial respiration was tried without any benefit, when cold affusion was resorted to. This, after a very short time, led to the complete establishment of respiration: at each affusion there was a deep inspiration. The man was bled to sixteen ounces, and he soon recovered his consciousness. (Mcd. Gaz. xxxvii. 75.)

This case bears out the views long since published by Sir B. Brodie, namely, that after respiration has ceased, the heart still continues to act, and to circulate dark-coloured blood for n period of three or four minutes, to the brain and other parts of the system. The exact period of time will, however, depend on the strength of the individual. It is on this ground that there is great hope of restoring the individual by artificial respiration. The dark-coloured blood acts as a poison, and slowly destroys life. (Lectures on Pathology, &c. 66-70.) In the after-treatment, it is advisable that blood should be only

sparingly abstracted, to relieve any cerebral congestion; because the vital powers are much reduced under the circumstances. Convulsions, and even paralysis, have been observed to precede recovery in

experiments on animals.

Period at which death takes place.—We learn from those who have been resuscitated, as well as from experiments performed by judividuals upon themselves, that asplyxia comes on in the most insidious manner in death from hanging; and that the slightest constriction of the trachea will speedily produce iusensibility. (Devergie, ii. 370.) The symptoms of which the persons have been conscious, were a ringing in the ears, a flash of light before the eyes, then darkness and stupor. The only profitable inference, in a medico-legal view, which ean be drawn from observations of this kind, is, that asphyxia is not only very rapidly induced, but that it supervenes under circumstances where it would not be generally expected to occur, i. e. when the body of the individual is in great part supported. M. Fleichmann found that a cord might be placed round the neek between the chin and os hyoides, and tightened either laterally or posteriorly without perceptibly interrupting respiration: but while the respiratory process was thus carried on, the face became red, the eyes prominent, and the head These symptoms were followed by a sense of weight, a feeling of incipient stupefaction, and a hissing noise in the cars. On the occurrence of this last symptom, the experiment should be discontimued, or the consequences may be serious. The first experiment lasted two minutes; but in the second, owing to the cord by its pressure more completely interrupting respiration, the noise in the cars appeared in half a minute. When the pressure was applied on the trachea, the effect was instantaneous, but when on the cricoid cartilage it was not immediate. When it was applied between the os hyoides and the thyroid cartilage, or on the os hyoides itself, the period during which an individual could respire was extremely sbort; and this result was more striking, when the act of expiration was performed at the moment of applying the pressure. The death of Scott, the American diver, in January 1840, shows how very readily asphyxia may be induced by slight compression of the throat, even where a person might be supposed to have both the knowledge and the power to save himself. This man was in the habit of making public experiments on hanging, and had frequently before gone through them without danger; but on this occasion, it is probable that a slight shifting of the ligature from under the jaw-bone, caused so much compression on the throat between the chin and larynx, as speedily to produce asphyxia. attempt was made to save him until it was too late, and he was not brought to an hospital until thirty-three minutes had elapsed. was allowed to hang thirteen minutes,—the spectators thinking that the deceased was only prolonging the experiment for their gratification I The very insidious and painless manner in which an individual who is suspended passes from life to death, is well illustrated

in the report of the case of Hornshaw, published by Dr. Chowne. (Lancet, April 17, 1847, 404.) This man was on three occasions resuscituted from hanging,-a feat which, like Scott, he had performed for public gratification. He stated that he lost his senses almost at once; that it seemed as if he could not get his breath, and that some great weight was attached to his feet; he felt that he could not move his hands or legs to save himself, and that the power of thinking was gone. It is not improbable that many persons have thus lost their lives by privately attempting these experiments, and their cases have been wrongly set down as cases of snieide. There is, I think, no doubt that boys have thus frequently but unintentionally destroyed themselves out of a strange principle of imitation or curiosity. The following is one among many cases of this kind. In August, 1844, a boy, aged fourteen, witnessed an execution at Nottingham, and he was afterwards heard to say he should like to know how hanging felt. On the same afternoon, he was found suspended by a cord from a tree unite dead; and from the circumstances there could be little doubt that he had been experimenting on the theory and practice of hanging, and that he did not intend to destroy himself. The jury returned a verdict of "accidental hanging."

Post-mortem appearances. - The following external characters of the body are laid down as indicative of hauging by most medicolegal writers. Lividity and swelling of the face, especially of the hips which appear distorted:—the cyclids are swollen, and of a blueish colour; -the eyes red, projecting forwards and sometimes partially forced out of the orbitar eavities; - the tongue enlarged, livid, and either compressed between the teeth or frequently protruded:the lower jaw is retracted, and a sanguineous froth sometimes exists about the lips and nostrils. There is a deep and eechymosed impression around the neck, indicating the course of the cord, the skin being occasionally exceriated; --laceration of the muscles and ligaments in the hyoideal region; -- laceration or contusion of the laryux or of the upper part of the trachea. There are also commonly eigenmeribed patches of ecchymosis varying in extent about the upper part of the trunk and the upper and lower extremities, with a deep livid discolouration of the hands. The fingers are generally much contracted or firmly elcuched, and the hands and nails are livid. The urine and freces are sometimes involuntarily expelled at the moment of death. Internally, we meet with the appearances described under the head of asphyxia. The right side of the heart and the great vessels connected with it are commonly distended with blood. But when the inspection has been delayed for several days, this distension may not always be observed. The vessels of the brain are commonly found congested; and, in some rare instances, it is said extravasation of blood has been met with on the membranes and in the substance of the organ. Extravasation of blood is, however, so rare, that Remer found this appearance only once among one hundred and one cases; and in one hundred and six cases, observed by Casper, it was not found in a single In one case of death from hanging, Sir B. Brodie found a large extravasation of blood to the substance of the brain; and he refers to another ease, in which there was a considerable extravasation between the membranes. (Lectures on Pathology, 58.) The venous congestion of the cerebral vessels is rarely greater than in other cases of asphyxia, and is probably dependent on the degree to which the lungs have become engorged. In most instances there is increased vascularity of the substance of the brain, so that on making a section of the bemispheres, a greater number of bloody points than usual will appear. In addition to these morbid changes, a mucous froth, sometimes of a sanguineous line, bas been described to exist in the trachea; but this is only likely to be met with in eases in which the obstruction to respiration has been incomplete. A more important circumstance has been noticed by Dr. Yelloly, namely, that in examining the stomachs of five criminals who had been hanged, he found great congestion in all; while there was blood extravasated and coagulated upon the mucous membrane in two. Such an appearance might, it is ohvious, he attributed in a suspicious case to the action of some irritant substance. (See Aun. d'Hyg. 1830, 166; 1835, 208; 1838, 471.)

These external post-mortem appearances have been chiefly derived from the examination of the hodies of executed criminals. Such wellmarked characters are not generally met with in cases of suicidal hanging; and therefore it will be proper to state what are the principal differences. Thus, the face is sometimes pale,—a coadition commonly seen in those cases in which there has been but little obstruction to the cerebral eirculation, either from the softness or looseness of the ligature. Esquirol found in one instance, that when the body was examined immediately after death, the face was not livid; but it first began to assume a violet hue in eight or ten hours. lle thought that when the cord was left round the neek the face would be livid; but, if removed immediately after suspension, pale. This view is not, however, borne out by observation. The tongue is not always protruded. Devergie found that there was protrusion of this organ only ia eleven cases out of twenty-seven. This protrusion was formerly supposed to depend upon the position of the ligature:-thus it was said when this was below the ericoid cartilage, the whole of the larynx was drawn upwards, and the tongue carried forwards with it, while, when above the os hyoides, the tongue was drawn hackwards. The protrusion or non-protrusion of the tongue does not depend upon any mechanical effect of this kind, but simply upon congestion; for it is occasionally met with thus protruding in cases of drowning and in other forms of asphyxia. Besides, the protrusion has not been found to have any direct relation to the position of the ligature.

Mark of the cord or ligature. - The most striking external appear-

ance, however, is the mark produced on the neck by the ligature. The skin is commonly depressed, and sometimes ecchymosed, but rarely throughout its whole extent: it is very frequently free from all traces of eccbymosis, the skin in the depression being then hard, brown, or of a parchment colour and consistency, or there may be only a thin line of ecchymosis in the upper or lower border of the depression. The course of the mark is generally oblique, being lower in the fore part than behind. If the noose should happen to be in front, the mark may be circular, the jnw preventing the ligature from rising upwards in the same degree hefore, as it commonly does behind. The mark is generally single, but we may meet with it double, as where the ligature has been formed into two circles or loops previously to its application. Its other characters will depend upon the nature of the ligature employed. Thus a large and wide ligature rarely produces eccbymosis,—the mark is wide and superficial, but a small ligature produces a narrow and deep impression, sometimes necompanied with faceration of the cuticle and effusion hencath the skin. From the statistical returns of Devergic and Casper, it would appear that a cord or rope is employed in more than one half of all the cases of hanging which occur. In other iustances various articles of dress were found to bave heeu employed.

Medical jurists have considered it proper to examine into the position of the ligature, as this may sometimes form a question in cases of suspected murder by banging. The following table will show that in more than two-thirds of all cases of suicidal hanging, the ligature is found encircling the neck between the chin and os hyoides.

				Remer.			Devergie.		Casper.			
Above the larynx			٠		38			20			59	
On the larynx					7			7			9	
Below the larynx	٠	•	•	•	2	•	•	1	•		0	
						•						
					47			28			68	

Unecchymosed marks or depressions.—It was formerly believed, that the impression produced by the cord was invariably ecchymosed, but more correct observation has shown that this is probably the exception to the general rule. When ecchymosis does exist, it is commonly superficial, and of very slight extent. There is rarely, if ever, effusion of blood in the cellular tissue. Dr. Riecke, of Stuttgard, in his observations on hanging, found only once in thirty cases, an extravasation of blood beneath or on both sides of the depression produced by the ligature. The tongue was generally between the teeth, and in most cases wounded by them. He attributed death to stretching of the spinal marrow. (Henke's Zeitschrift, 1840, 27 Erg. H. 332.) In individuals who have been criminally executed, it is not unusual to find ecchymosis; hut even here it is not always present. In a case which I had an opportunity of examining some

years since, there was only a slight trace of eechymosis in one spot where the knot in the cord had produced contusion. That it should commonly occur in criminal executious is not surprising, considering the violence employed on these occasions; but it has been somewhat too hastily assumed that these appearances in executed criminals are met with ju all cases of death from hanging. This doctrine has been carried so far, that a livid mark in the course of the cord has been pronounced to be the hest criterion for distinguishing hanging in the living from hanging in the dead body! It will be seen, however, that no reliance can be placed on this appearance. In fifteen cases examined by M. Klein, in twelve examined by M. Esquirol, and in tweuty-five cases of suicidal hanging which occurred to M. Devergie (op. cit. ii. 394), there was no ecchymosis whatever in the course of the ligature. (Annales d'Hyg. 1832, 413; 1842, 146.) Out of six cases, Fleichmann met with only one instance. In three cases of suicidal hauging which I have had an opportunity of examining, no ecchymosis had been produced by the ligature. In all of these instances, the skin, instead of being blue or livid, or presenting effusion of blood in the cellular tissue heneath, was hard and of a yellow colour, resembling parchment. It had that appearance which the cutis commonly assumes when the cuticle has been removed from it for two or three days; and on dissecting it off, the cellular membrane beneath often appears condensed and of a silvery whiteuess. In some instances, the mark, justead of being livid or brown, has prescuted itself simply as a white depression. This has been observed in very fat subjects. The observations of Casper on this point are as follows :-Out of seventy-one cases, there was no ecchymosis produced by the cord in fifty; and thus in two-thirds of the cases examined, it was entirely absent. Casper also found that there was no difference in the result, whether the ligature was removed sooner or later after Remer considers ecchymosis in the course of the cord to be a frequent appearance in hanging; but Devergie very properly objects to the inference which he has drawn from his cases (op. cit. ii. 397).

The following singular case, which occurred to Dr. Hinze of Waldenburg, will show that the presence of ecchymosis in the mark does not depend, as Esquirol supposed, on the ligature being left around the neck. A young man in a fit of drunkenness hung himself with a stout cord. In ahout half an hour afterwards, he was cut down, and attempts were made to resnscitate him. It was perceived that the cord had merely produced a superficial impression on the neck, destitute of any appearance of ecchymosis. Signs of returning life began to manifest themselves:—the attempts at resuscitation were continued for several hours, but all signs of vital reaction disappeared: and now, when life was about to become again extinct, to the astonishment of those present, the mark on the neck, which had heen hitherto colourless, became deeply ecchymosed. On an inspection being made the next

day, it was found that this cechymosis continued; and that it was owing to a real suheutaueous effusiou. From the appearances in the head, it was concluded that the deceased had died from congestive

apoplexy.

Other appearances. - Injuries to the muscles and deep-scated parts of the neck are, of course, only likely to be seen where considerable violence has been used in hanging. In one or two instances, the lining membrane of the common carotid artery has been found lacerated. Congestion and tumefaction of the genital organs in either sex, have been set down among the common consequences of hanging,-but many observers have never met with these conditions; and it is doubtful whether, unless the body be examined speedily after suspension, any marked difference would be discovered. A more common sign perhaps is the discharge of the spermatic secretion in the male; but according to Casuer, it is the mucous secretion of the prostate gland, which is thus discharged at the moment at which death takes place. He states that traces of this are met with in from one-third to one-fourth of all eases of death from hanging in the male. It appears to me that very little reliance can be placed upon evidence derivable from this sign, and yet it has sufficed to give rise to a most violent controversy among French medical jurists. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1839, i. 169, 467; ii. 393; 1840, ii. 314.) It is, I think, clear that unless death from hanging be pretty strongly established by other facts, neither the exexamination of the linen of the deceased, nor the application of the microscope to the nuncons fluid found in the urethra, would be of any practical value in clucidating the question,—at least to the satisfaction of au English jury. M. Donné justly considers evidence of this kind to be a piece of scientific refinement, in which, by nttempting to prove too much, we prove nothing. Spermatic fluid may be found in the urethra of a person who has died from natural causes; and he has ascertained that the ejaculation of a portion of this fluid into the urethra may even take place in a subject hanged up after death. He has found the fluid in some of these cases to contain living zoosperms. (Cours de Microscopie, 303.)

Summary.—The following may be regarded as a summary of the post-mortem appearances, when death has taken place from aspliyxia. The countenance is livid, or sometimes pale, the eyes are prominent, the tongue congested and occasionally protruded, the lower jaw retracted:—the skin is covered with patches of esdaverous ecchymosis, the hands are livid and clenched,—an oblique mark is found on the neck,—sometimes presenting traces of ecchymosis: commonly, however, the skin is only brown in colour and hardened. The larynx, trachea and subjacent muscles, are lacerated, depressed or discoloured. The vessels of the brain are congested, as well as those of the lungs and the right cavities of the heart. A mucous froth is occasionally found in the trachea. These appearances will of course be modified, or they may be altogether absent, when death has taken place from

disorder of the cerebral circulation, or from injury to the spinal marrow, either by effusion of blood, fracture, or displacement.

Was death caused by hanging?—When a person is found dead and the body suspended, it may be a question whether death really took place from hanging or not. In investigating a case of this kind, it is necessary to draw a distinction between the external and internal appearances of the body. The former alone can assist us in returning an answer to this question,—the internal appearances of the body can only enable us to say whether any latent cause of death existed or not. The microscopical examination of the blood as contained in the vessels above and below the seat of constriction, has failed to throw any light upon this question. (See Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 1042.) Neither the state of the countenance or skin, nor the position of the tongue, cau

afford any evidence on the subject of death from hanging.

Evidence from the mark of the cord.—It is to the mark produced by the cord on the neck, that medical jurists have ehiefly looked for the determination of this question. The form, position, and other characters of this mark having been already described, it will be only necessary to allude to it, as furnishing evidence of life at the time of its production. It has been stated, that so far from being constantly livid or ecchymosed, this condition is, in reality, not seen in more than one half of the eases which occur. But admitting that we find ecclymosis in the course of the ligature, are we always to infer that this must have been applied while the individual was living? The ease which occurred to Dr. Hinze (p. 689) proves that the presence of active life is not necessary for the production of an ecchymosis in the mark: and from the experiments of Devergie, it would appear that if a subject he hanged immediately or a short time after death, an ecchymosed mark may be produced by the application of a ligature to the neck (op. cit. ii. 408). If a few hours were suffered to clapse, so that the hody had hecome cold, no ecchymosis was produced by the ligature. Professor Vrolik, of Amsterdam, found that a slightly livid mark was produced on the neck of a dead body, which had been suspended an hour after death. (Casper, Woch. Feb. 1838.) Hence this condition of the mark in a body found dead, indicates either that the deceased must have been hanged while living, or very soon after the breath had left his hody. It would he for a jury to decide between these two assumptions; and to consider why, when a man had really died from other causes, he should have been hanged in secreey immediately after death! (See Aun. d'Hyg. 1842, i. 134.) The eireumstance that an eeehymosed mark may be produced by suspending a recently dead subject, bears out the statement of Merzdorff-that it would be in the highest degree difficult, if not utterly impossible, to determine medically by an inspection of the body, whether a man had been hanged while living, or whether he had been first suffocated, and hanged up immediately after death. In making this admission, it is proper to bear in mind, that that which is difficult to a conscientious medical jurist, is

often very easily decided by a jury from the general evidence afforded to them.

Sometimes, besides ecchymosis, there are excertations of the skin in the course of the cord; and these are known to be vital by the effusion of blood. Devergie never met with this appearance in the dead body even when the hanging took place immediately after death. The discovery of effused coagala in or about the deep-scated layers of the neck, the larynx, or trachea, or in or about the spinal column, would render it very probable that the deceased must have been langed while living. Such marks of violence are, however, rare in eases of hauging: and when they are found, it might be assumed that the effusion and coagulation of blood had been caused by violence offered to the neck immediately after death; but this assumption may be met by the question already suggested, namely, why death by hanging should be simulated in the body of a person, who was alleged to have died from another cause!

With regard to the other, or more common kind of mark in suicidal hauging, it can scarcely be said to furnish any evidence in relation to the question which we are here considering. The depression may be hard and brown, although it does not usually acquire this colour until some hours have elapsed after death; for it appears to depend simply upon a desiceation of the portion of skin which has been compressed by the ligature. Sometimes the upper and lower borders only of the depression, present a faint line of redness or lividity; and it is worthy of remark, that when the ligature presents any knots or irregularities, those portions of skin which sustain the greatest compressiou are white, while those which are uncompressed may be found more or less ecchymosed. It is in this way that the form of the ligature is sometimes accurately brought out. It may be remarked of these depressions produced by the cord, that the characters which they present are the same, whether the hanging take place during life or soon after death:—the appearances may be very similar in the two cases.

Hanging post mortem.—The following are the results of some experiments performed by Casper:—1. A man aged twenty-eight, was suspeaded an hour after death, by a double cord passed round the neck above the larynx. The body was cut down, and examined twenty-four hours afterwards.* Between the larynx and os hyoides, there were two parallel depressions about a quarter of an inch deep—the skin having a brown colour with a slight tinge of blue, and a leathery consistency: in certain parts it was slightly excoriated. There was no extravasation of blood beneath, but the muscles which had undergone compression were of a dark purple colour, and the blood-vessels of the neck were congested. The appearance of this subject was such, that any individual unacquainted with the facts, would have supposed, on looking at it, that the person had really been hanged while living. There was nothing to indicate that the hanging had taken place an

hour after death. 2. The body of another young man was hanged an hour after death, and an examination was made the following day. The two depressions produced by the double cord were of a yellowish brown colour, without eechymosis. The cutis appeared as if it had been hurnt or cut, and felt like parchment. 3. An old man who had died from dropsy, was hanged two hours after death. The impressions presented exactly the same characters as in the preceding case. (Wochenschrift, für die G. H. Jannar, 1837.) When the constriction took place at a later period after death, there was no particular effect produced.

We learn from these experiments, as well as from those performed by other observers, that the mark which is most usually seen in vital hanging, (non-ecchymosed,) may be also produced by a ligature applied to the neck of a subject within two hours after death,—consequently the presence of this mark on the neck, is no criterion whether the hanging took place during life or after death. The changes in the skin beneath the mark, are also destitute of any distinctive characters: there is the same condensation of the cellular membrane whether the hanging have occurred in the living or dead. These changes are the simple result of a physical cause,—mechanical compression.

Summary of medical evidence. - From the foregoing considerations, we draw the conclusion that there is no distinctive sign by which the hanging of a living person can be determined from an inspection of the dead body. All the external marks may be simulated in the dead subject, and the internal appearances furnish no evidence whatever. Still, when the greater number of the sigus enumerated are present, and there is no other satisfactory cause to account for death, we have strong reason to presume that the deceased has died from hanging. We must not, however, abandon medical evidence on these occasions, merely because plausible objections may be taken to it. Facts may show that, however valid such objections may be in the abstract, they are wholly inapplicable to the particular case under investigation. Perhaps the greatest medical difficulties occur in refereuce to cases of suicide, owing to the slight appearances which here attend this form of death; but on these occasions, moral and circumstantial proofs are so generally forthcoming, that even an inspection of the body is scarcely ever deemed necessary by a coroner! If, then, it be admitted by a medical jurist, that it is not in all cases possible to distinguish hanging in the living from hanging in the dead, the admission must be considered as having reference to cases wherein individuals destroy themselves, and not to cases where they are destroyed by others. Even if a doubt were raised in any particular instance, it is more than probable that eircumstantial evidence would furnish data for a decision, and thus satisfactorily make up for the want of ordinary medico-legal proofs. If, when we found a deeply ecchymosed mark around the neck of a dead subject, we said, all other circumstances being equal, that the individual had most probably died by hanging, we should not be

departing from a proper discharge of our duty; since although it is medically possible that such a mark may be produced after death, yet as it would be only a murderer who would think of hanging up a recently dead body to simulate suicide, so it is certain, that in such a ease we should most probably find some very obvious indications of another kind of violent death about the person. The absence of these, and the presence of ecchymosis in the course of the cord, would, it appears to me, leave the question of vital hanging decidedly settled in the affirmative. It is necessary that great caution should be used in expressing an opinion that the hanging probably took place after death, merely from the absence of eechymosis in the seat of the ligature: because, while this is generally true, it may in particular cases lead to the concealment of the real mode of death. Many facts already adduced show that numerous cases of hanging during life would be pronounced to be post-mortem, if this were taken as a criterion. The mere discovery of violence about the person, is not of itself sufficient to rebut the presumption of death from hanging on these occasions. The violence should at least be of such a nature as to account for the immediate destruction of life, or it can throw no light upon the questiou whether the individual might not have died from hanging, in spite of the marks of maltreatment about him.

If, in the case of a person found hanging, a medical jurist should assert that death had not taken place from that cause, this would be tantamount to declaring that the deceased must have been murdered: -because it is impossible to admit that any but a murderer would hang up a recently dead person. This has been frequently done for the purpose of concealing the real means of death, and making the act appear to be one of suicide. The following case is reported by Deveaux. A female was found suspended to a beam in a barn. From the absence of all the marks of hanging about the face and neck of the deceased, a careful examination of the body was made. In the course of the inspection, a small penetrating wound, evidently inflicted by a round instrument, was discovered on the right side of the chest, but in great part concealed by the mamma of that side. On tracing the wound, it was found to pass between the fifth and sixth ribs, completely perforating the heart from the right to the left side. A conaiderable extravasation of blood had taken place internally, which had heen the cause of death. It was therefore evident from the result of this examination, that the deceased had been killed, and her body suspended after death. (For a precisely similar case by Prof. Vrolik, sec Casper, Woch. Feb. 1838.) Foderé refers to a case in which an individual was found hanging under somewhat similar circumstances, and on examination it was discovered that death had been caused by the administration of poison,—the body having been subsequently suspended. In one instance Devergie discovered a quantity of plaster of Paris in the stomach and intestines of a person found hanging. There are, however, cases in which some embarrassment may occasionally arise. It may be a question whether the discovery of poison in the body of a person found hanging, is not incompatible with previous attempts at suicide by poison. An individual has even been known to hang himself after or about the time that he had swallowed

a strong dose of prussic acid. (See Ou Poisons, 662).

Circumstantial evidence.-Circumstantial evidence has more thau once assisted in clearing up a doubtful case. Louis states that on removing the hody of a man who was found hanging, the rope was observed to be clotted with blood. This simple circumstance led to further investigation, by which it was discovered that the person had heen murdered, and his body afterwards suspended. The presence of marks on the neek indicative of strangulation, such as the cord was not likely to have produced, may lead to a suspicion that the hanging followed death. In April 1829, a boy was found hanging perfectly Ou inspecting the hody, a round ecchymosed mark, about the size of a dollar, was seen ou the fore part of the neck; and near it were several impressions as of fingers in the surrounding skin. There was neither depression nor eechymosis in the course of the cord. The inspection left no doubt that the deceased had died from asphyxin. It was subsequently discovered that the boy hud been first strangled, and afterwards hanged. In another case a man was found hanging in a room. His body was so suspended from a hook, that the trunk was not more than nine inches from the floor; and his legs were stretched out at length. The cord was from two to three feet long, and but loosely passed round the neck. The furniture of the room was in great disorder, and some marks of dried blood were seen on one part of the floor. The right side of the head and face of the deceased presented several excoriated and ecclymosed marks. There was a circular impression around the neck produced by the cord; but it was entirely free from ecchymosis. On the left side, a little above this impression, there was a strongly ecchymosed mark, which could be traced round to the back of the head. Blood was found extravasated beneath this mark. The lungs presented the characters of asphyxia, but the examiners referred this to strangulation and not to hanging, considering that the body had been suspended after death in order to give the appearance of suicide. Had there been an ecchymosed mark on the neck, which could not have resulted from the suspeoding cord, the case would have remained, medically speaking, doubtful; because it is well known that the affirmative signs of hanging may be absent, and yet the individual may thus have died.

Marks of violence on the hanged.—The presence of marks of violeoce on the body of a hanged person is important; and it will be proper for n witness to notice accurately their situation, extent, and direction. Having satisfied himself that they must have been received during life, he will have to consider the probability of their being of accidental origin or not. These marks of violence are not always to he

regarded as furnishing unequivocal proofs of murder; for it is possible that they may bave been inflicted by the individual himself before hanging, and not succeeding in committing suicide by these attempts, he may subsequently have resolved to accomplish his purpose by suspending himself. Let the witness duly reflect on these circumstances before he allows his opinion to implicate any party,—let him consider that a hanged subject may bear the marks of a gun-sbot wound, his throat may be cut, his person lacerated or disfigured, and yet before a suspicion of homicide is allowed to be entertained, it ought to be clearly shown that such injuries could not, by any probability, have been self-The importance of observing cantion in such a case will be still more manifest, when there is no ecchymosis produced by the cord, and the face does not present the usual characters of hanging. Marks of violence on a hanged subject may in some cases be fairly ascribed to accident. If the individual have precipitated himself with any violence from a chair or table in a furnished apartment, he may have fallen against articles of furniture, and have given rise to lacerations and contusions, especially on the extremities. Again, it is possible to imagine that the rope may have given way, and the individual in falling, have injured his person; but he may afterwards have had resolution enough to suspend himself again, Such an ocenrrence may be rare; but when the presence of these injuries is made to form the chief ground of accusation against a party as the murderer, their accidental origin ought not to be lost sight of by a considerate witness. If we suppose the person to have been hanged in a state of intoxication or stupefaction, medical evidence alone will rarely suffice to determine the question of homicide or suicide. The abscuce of all marks of violeuce from the body might actually hill suspicion. It is proper on these occasions to look to the hands of the deceased. since it is with these that a person defends himself; and, unless taken unawares, it is almost cortain, if the hanging were homicidal, that there would be traces of violence on these parts. The clothes would be torn and discomposed, and the whole appearance of the deceased would be that of one who had done bis ntmost to resist a violent murderons attack. There are some injuries which could not be attributed to accident nuder the circumstances. Among these we may enumerate fractures, dislocations, deeply penetrating jucised or gun-shot woulds. Now the question is, Do these serious jujuries necessarily establish homicide? The answer must be in the negative :- although where fractures or dislocations exist, there are very strong grounds for suspicion.

Suicides, it must be remembered, are capable of making many attempts on their lives by various means. In the spring of 1836, a geutleman was found dead, hanging in his bed-room at an inn. His dress was much disordered, and blood, which had issued from a deep wound in his throat, was found scattered over the floor. From the facts proved, there was no doubt that this had hecu an act of suicide;

and that the deceased, previously to hanging himself, had first attempted to cut his throat. Ilad his body been found in an exposed situation, this wound in the throat might have given rise to a suspicion of murder. The following somewhat remarkable case occurred at Walworth in 1836. A young man was found hanging in his hedroom, quite dead. He was suspended by his cravat, and his feet were within an inch of the floor. The door of the room was fastened on the inside, and it was proved that no one could have had access to it. An earthen pau was found near the bed, containing about a pint of blood, which appeared to have proceeded from a very deep incision in the hend of the left arm of the deceased. The razor with which this had heen inflicted was found on the mantelpiece. It came out in evidence, that on the night previously the deceased had swallowed a quantity of arsenic, and had suffered severely from the effects of the poison: although at the time it was supposed that bis illness was due to other causes. In this case there were three modes by which suicide was attempted. The deceased had first taken poison, then wounded, and afterwards hung himself. There could be no doubt that death was caused by hanging; and had the wound been inflicted, and the poison administered by other parties, this opinion might have been safely expressed. Had the body been found banging in a suspicious locality, these circumstances would have created a strong presumption of murder. The following case is reported by M. Degranges :- A man was found hanging in a room by a cord attached to a nail in the ceiling. In the upper and fore part of his neck there was a deep wound, through which the cord passed. A ladder was placed against the wall by the side of the body. About a pound of coagulated blood was found on the floor, as well as in different parts of the apartment; and some linen, covered with blood, was discovered near the body. In a table-drawer, in the apartment above, was found some cord sprinkled with blood, as if a bloody hand had been searching in it. Ou the staircase hetween the two apartments there was no trace of blood. The deceased's apartment was secured on the inside by the door being holted. An opinion was demanded of the reporter respecting the manuer in which the deceased had died, and the probability of bis having been murdered.

The deceased's clothes were spotted with blood, and his hands were also bloody. The body externally did not present the slightest trace of any ecchymosis or other mark of violence. The hands were likewise free from violence; the fingers contracted, and the nails blue. There were patches of cadaverous lividity scattered over the trunk; and it was evident that the faces had been discharged. The face had a slight violet tint, and the tongue projected ahout an inch from the mouth. This organ had been forcibly compressed by the teeth. The wound in the throat was situated between the chin and os hyoides, and extended from the angle of the jaw on one side to the opposite

angle. It had penetrated through the cavity of the month to the pharynx, hut had only divided some small branches of the thyroideal artery: it had evidently heen inflicted after several attempts, for its edges were irregularly cut. The cord, in passing through the wound, had laccrated and extended it at the two extremities. The cerebral vessels were filled with blood; the cervical vertehræ were uninjured, and the stomach was free from any trace of poison. The opinion given from these data was to the effect, that the deceased had died from

hanging, and that he had hanged himself.

When we consider that in this case the deceased had laid open his throat as far as the vertebral column, dividing the right superior thyroidcal artery, hy which so much blood had been lost that it was not unlikely he would have soon fallen into a state of syncope, it is remarkable that he should have had sufficient presence of mind and muscular power to have done what the evidence shows he must have done, namely, to have placed a haudkerchief on his wound in order to arrest the hæmorrhage; to have gone up stairs to another room, and have searched in a table-drawer for the cord with which he intended to hang himself; to have placed a ladder against a wall, and to have made use of this for the purpose of fixing a cord to a uail in the ceiling, an act which could only be accomplished with great difficulty. we duly reflect on all these circumstances, it does not appear extraordinary that the magistrate who ordered the examination should have been prepared to receive an account of the deceased having heen murdered. A great deal, it is true, rested upon the moral and circomstantial proofs: as, for example, on the previous state of mind of the deceased, and the fact of his room having been found secured on the inside.

The remarks made relative to incised wounds will also apply to gunshot wounds. A suicide may attempt to destroy himself with a pistol; he may fail in the attempt, and ultimately hang himself. Any description of gun-shot wound, provided it he such as to allow of the individual surviving a sufficient time, may thus he found on a hanged subject, and yet constitute no proof whatever of homicide. If there he circumstances about the wound which prove that it could not have been self-inflicted, this of course alters the question; hut where such circumstances are not met with, the cautious medical jurist should say, in answer to inquiries respecting the origin of theso wounds, that they may have been inflicted either by the individual himself or hy another. There might be no medical facts which would directly establish either view. Of course, if, in any case, the wounds or injuries be of a decidedly mortal nature, and have probably caused death, the presumption of murder amounts almost to positive certainty; for who hut a murderer would suspend the dead body of a person so wounded immediately after death? (Ann. d'Hyg. 1835, ii. p. 410.) In one instance of snicidal hanging, there were lacerated wounds upon the head, and a handkerchief was found blocking up the mouth. (Henke's Zeitschrift, 1838, ii. 257; 1839, i. 207; also 1840, i. 135; also B. and F. Med. Rev. No. xxiv. p. 560.)

Was the hanging the result of accident, homicide, or suicide?-Most medical jurists have passed over the subject of accidental hanging, prohably helieving it to he impossible. In the sense commonly implied by the term, it is certainly unusual, but although rare, it is a possible occurrence. Dr. Smith mentions a case which occurred some years since, in which a girl of the age of thirteen, was hanged by pure accident. She was swinging in a hrewhouse, and near the rope used by her for that purpose, was another for drawing up slaughtered sheep. In the course of the exercise, her head got through a noose of this second cord, which pulled her out of the swing, and kept her suspended at a considerable height until dead. The following case was communicated to me hy one of my pupils. In December 1833, nn inanest was held on the body of a hoy aged ten years. It appeared in evideuce that he had heen playing with a child eight years old, who was the only witness of his death. The deceased had heen amusing himself in swinging by fastening a piece of plaid gown to a loop in a cord which was suspended from a heam in the room. In the act of swinging, he raised himself up, and gave himself a turn, when the loop of rope suddenly caught him under the chin, and suspended him until life was entirely extinct. The boy who was in the room with him did not give any alarm for some time, thinking that the deceased was at play. The jury returned a verdict of "accidentally hanged." Another case occurred in London in 1836. A man who was in the hahit of exercising himself in gymnastics on the rope, was one morning found dead and suspended in his hed-room. The rope had passed twice round the hody and once round the neck, wherehy it had caused death, although the legs of the deceased were resting on the floor. There was no doubt that the deceased had been accidentally hanged. These are two among several instances which have come within my knowledge, and it will he seen that the circumstances under which they occurred were sufficiently decisive of the manner in which the hanging took place. Indeed, circumstantial evidence must always suffice for the discrimination of accidental hanging; and we have therefore merely to inquire whether, when an individual is found hanging under circumstances which do not allow of the suspicion of accident, the act be the result of suicide or of homicide. The medical witness must remember that this is strictly a question for the jury. It is not for him to say whether a man has hanged himself or heen hauged hy others, hut merely to state, when required, those medical circumstances which support or rebut one or the other presumption.

Homicidal hanging.—It has been very truly observed, that of all the forms of committing murder, hanging is one of the most difficult, and it is, therefore, but seldom resorted to. In most cases where an individual has been hanged by others, it has been after death, in order

to avert the suspicion of homicide. Hence the discovery of a person hanging affords prima facie evidence of suicide, supposing it to be rendered absolutely certain that death has taken place in this We must, however, admit that an individual may be murdered by hanging, and the appearances about his body will not nfford the smallest evidence of the fact. The circumstances which will justify a medical jurist in making this admission are the following. First, when the person hanged is feeble, and the murderer a strong healthy man. In such a case, a child, n youth, a female, or an individual nt any period of life, worn out and exbausted by disease or infirmity, may be in this way murdered. Secondly, when the person hanged, although usually strong and vigorous, is at the time in a state of intoxication, stupefied by narcotics, or exhausted by his attempts to defend himself. Thirdly, in all cases murder may be committed by hanging when many are combined against one individual. With these exceptions, then, a practitioner will be correct in deciding, in a suspected case, in favour of the presumption of suicide. Unless the person labour under stupefaction, intoxication, or great bodily weakness, we must expect, in homicidal hanging, that there will be evident marks of violence about the body; for there are few who would allow themselves to be murdered without offering resistance; notwithstanding the assertion of Mahon, that some might submit to this mode of death with philosophical resignation when they saw that resistance was hopeless! The following singular case of attempted murder by hanging is mentioned in Symes's Justiciary Reports, Edinburgh, 1827. A woman, aged sixty-uine, was charged with attempting to haug her husband, who was some years older. It appeared that the prisoner contrived to twist a small rope three times round the neck of her husband, while he was lying asleep. She then tied him up to a beam in the room in such a manner that when the neighbours entered he was found lying at length on the floor, with his head raised about one foot above it. He was quite insensible: his hands were lying powerless by his side, his face was livid, and it was some time before he could be roused. Had he remained three minutes longer in this position, he would have According to his statement, he went to bed quite sober, and he was not aware of anything which passed during the attempt to hang him, or afterwards, until he was resuscitated. The prisoner was convicted of the assault without previous malice, she having had no ill-will against her husbaud, and being at the time intoxicated. It can hardly be considered possible that any man should be so sound asleep as not to be awakened by the attempt thus made to hang him. The probability is, that the prosecutor was, like his wife, intoxicated.

Some medical jurists have thought that the mark left by the cord on the neck would serve as a criterion on which we might depend. Thus it has been said, if the mark be circular, and placed at the lower part of the neck, it is an unequivocal proof of murder. In hanging, the mark of the cord is generally oblique, being higher at the back part of the neck, in consequence of the loop formed by it yielding more in this direction than anteriorly. But it is an error to suppose that this want of obliquity in the impression, can afford any evidence in favour of the act having heeu homicidal. Its form will depend in a great degree upon the fact of the hody being supported or not, for it is the weight of the body which causes its obliquity: it will also depend on the manner in which the cord is adjusted. A case of suicidal hanging is related by Orfila, in which the mark of the cord extended horizontally round the neck from behind forwards. (Med. Leg. tome ii. p. 376.) The slip-knot of the cord was in front of the neck, and it is obvious that when the cord is thus adjusted by a snieide, there will be scarcely any obliquity in the depression produced by it. Equally ill-founded is the assertion, that the existence of two impressions on the neck affords positive proof of homicide. One of these impressions may be at the lower part of the neek, and circular; the other at the upper part, and oblique; it is therefore contended that the deceased must have been strangled in the first instance, and afterwards hauged. The possibility of a prior attempt being made by a suicide to strangle himself, is not adverted to: "si l'ou observe les deux impressions," says Mahon, "l'assassinat est alors parfaitement prouvé." fortunate that there are facts on record to oppose to this very decided statement. One of the first cases reported by Esquirol is that of a female lunatic, who committed suicide by hanging herself, and ou whose neck two distinct impressions were seen-the one circular, the other oblique! These appear to have arisen from the cord having been passed twice round the neek, the hody being at the same time partially supported. In some instances, a presumption of homicidal interference may exist if there be two distinct impressions, but it cannot be admitted that they establish the fact of murder.

The injury done to the neck by the cord can rarely afford any cluc to the manner in which hanging took place, unless the circumstances under which the body is found, favour the presumption of homicide or snicide. Thus the laceration of the muscles and vessels of the neck, the rupture of the trachea and the displacement of the larynx, stretching of the vertebral ligaments and effusion on the spinal sheath, may be observed in suicidal as in homicidal hauging. The presumption, however, is obviously in favour of the latter when these violent injuries are found to be accompanied by fracture or displacement of the cervical vertebra, and the hody of the deceased is not corpulent,—the ligature by which he is suspended is not of a nature to produce them, and the fall of the body has not heen great.

Injury to the cervical vertebræ.—A much disputed question has arisen in medical jurisprudence, whether the cervical vertebræ can become fractured or displaced in suicidal hanging. Most medical jurists deny the possibility of this accident occurring,—the displacement or fracture of these vertebræ heing rarely observed, even in criminal

executions, where the greatest violence has been often used by the executioner. So far as I am aware, there is no case of svicide on record in which this injury to the neck existed. The case referred to by Petit, which was left to the decision of Dr. Pfeffer, is unsatisfactory, because the body was not examined; and it is doubtful whether the act had really been one of suicide or not. M. Ansiaux, of Liége, in inspecting the body of a woman who had hung herself, found extravasated blood behind the two first cervical vertebræ, which were more widely separated posteriorly than usual. On removing the vertebræ, the posterior ligament of the spine was found ruptured, and the transverse ligament of the atlas so stretched that the odontoid process of the second vertebra was completely locked against the articular surface. The perpendicular and oblique ligaments were entire. The deceased was a stout healthy person; when discovered, her body was suspended from a heam at the distance of about a foot and a half from the floor. She had evidently fallen with cousiderable The case of this female will serve to show that severe injury to these deep-scated regions of the neck may be occasionally met with in suicidal hanging. A case somewhat similar to this has been reported by Mr. Campbell de Morgan. (Lancet, August 10, 1844.) A married woman, aged fifty, worn out and exhausted by disease, was found hanging quite lifeless from the rail of a bed, which was not more than five feet eight inches from the ground. The front of her body was turned round towards the hed, the head thrown forcibly back,-the knot of the ligature, an old silk handkerchief, being placed in the middle of the under side of the chin. Her heels were about three inches from the ground, -the knees being on a level with the bed-frame, and resting against it. The body was seen by a medical man about an hour after it was cut down,-the features were perfeetly calm, and there was no trace of congestion about the face: it was pale, and in all respects natural. There was no lividity; the eves were neither injected nor prominent; the tongue pale, lying far back in the month, and without uny mark of indeutation. The cordmark well defined, and, like parchment, dry, brown, and hard, without any cechymosis, but with a thiu line of congestion at the apper edge of the groove :- it was very deep at the back of the neck, just over the atlas, probably owing to the head hanging buckwards. The mucous meanbrane of the stomach was pale; the lungs natural; no congestion of the large veins or of the cavities of the heart; the two ventricles contained about an equal quantity of blood. These appearances seemed to show that death was not caused either by asphysia or by cerebral coagestion. Neither the trachea nor the great vessels of the neck could have sustained any pressure or constriction. The deep muscles over the second and third cervical vertebræ were ecchymosed: this ecchymosis extended to the sheath of the spinal marrow; and on the left side, and exterior to the sheath, there was an extensive effusion of blood firmly coagulated. There

was no displacement of the dentata or other vertebræ, and the ligaments were sound; but between the third and fourth vertebræ, there was unusual mobility, as if they had been stretched. In this case the body was not heavy, and the fall, if any, could have been but trifling. The effusion on the spinal marrow was the cause of death; and its origin was sufficiently explained by the falling back of the head and sudden bending of the cervical vertebræ. Iler husband and family were in an adjoining room, but heard no noise; it was only by accident that the deceased was discovered.

In all doubtful instances, we should not lose sight of moral and circumstantial evidence. We should ascertain whether the individual had been previously disposed to commit suicide or not;—we should observe whether the doors and windows of the apartment be secured on the inside or on the outside;—whether the dress of the deceased be at all torn or discomposed, or his hair dishevelled;—Instly, whether the rope or ligature correspond to the impression seen around his neck. These points fall, it is true, more within the province of the officers of justice than of a medical practitioner; but the latter is generally the first who is called to see the deceased, and therefore, unless such facts were noticed by him on his visit, they might often

remain altogether unknown.

The position of the body.—Lastly, it has been contended that the position of the body may serve to distinguish suicidal from homicidal This point was strenuously nrgued on the investigation which took place relative to the death of the Prince de Condé iu 1830. According to the opinions of some of the witnesses on that investigation, if the body of a man be found in an inclined posture, or so suspended that his feet are in contact with the floor, the idea of suicide by hanging is at once negatived,—we are rather to suppose that the person must have been otherwise destroyed, and his body nsterwards placed in that position by his murderers. Here, then, we are ealled upon to admit that suicidal hanging is improbable, if not impossible, unless the body of the deceased be found freely and absolutely suspended without any support! This very strong opinion, it will be seen, is not borne out by facts. In order that death should take place by hanging, it is not necessary that the body should be freely and perfectly suspended. Cases are of very frequent occurrenee, where the bodies of hanged persons are found with the feet on the ground, kneeling, sitting, or even in the recumbent posture. These are truly mixed cases of hanging and strangulation. I have now before me the reports of eleven cases of suicidal hanging or strangulation, which have occurred within the last few years. three the deceased were found nearly recumbent; in four, in a kneeling posture,—the hody being more or less supported by the legs; and in four, the persons were found sitting. (For many singular cases of this kind, with plates, see Annales d'Ilyg. 1831, p. 157; 1830, i. 186; 1834, i. 472.) In one instance, the deceased was

found on his knees at the foot of the bed, with his cravat round his neck,—the other end being thrown over the bed-rail, and then twisted tightly round his right hand. In another, the deceased, a prisoner, was found dead in the sitting posture. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, i. 196.) He was hanging to the iron bar of the window of his prison, which was so low that he was almost in a sitting posture. The ligature which he had employed was a cravat, but (what was more remarkable in the case) the hands of the deceased were found tied by another handkerehief. The body was warm when discovered. There was not the least doubt of this having been an act of suicide; yet, as the reporter observes, had the body been found in an unfrequented spot, the discovery of the hands tied, if not the position, would have led to a strong suspicion of murder. In the opinion of the reporter, the deceased had contrived to tie his hands together by means of his teeth. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, i. 419.) Among the cases collected by Esquirol, is the following. A patient in La Charité was found one morning hanging by a rope which was attached to the head of his bed. He had fastened this by a loop round his ucck, but his body was so retained, that when discovered he was on his knees by the side of his bed. There are one or two other similar instances related by the same author, which I shall omit, and describe one that fell within my own knowledge. In 1832, a man was found hanging in his room, with his knees bent forwards, and his feet resting upon the floor. He had evidently been dead for some time, since cadaverous rigidity had already commenced. The manner in which this person had committed suicide was as follows: -he had made a slip-knot with one end of his apron (he was a working mechanic), and having placed his neck in this, he threw the other end of the apron over the top of the door, and shutting the door behind him, he had sneeceded in wedging it in firmly. At the same moment he had probably raised himself on tip-toe, and theu allowed himself to fall: in this way he died. The weight of his body had apparently sufficed to drag down a part of the apron, for it seemed as if it had been very much stretched. (See also a case by Dr. Albert, Henke Zeitschrift, 1843, ii. 50.)

Remer found that out of one hundred and one cases of suicidal hanging, in fourteen the body was either standing or kneeding, and in one instance it was in a silting posture. Dr. Duchesuc has recently published an account of fifty-eight cases, in which the suspension of the hody was partial,—the feet or trunk being more or less supported. Twenty-six of these cases are new. The reporter comes to the conclusion that suicide by hanging is compatible with any posture of the body, even when resting upon the two feet. (Ann. d'Hyg. Oct. 1845, ii. 141 and 346.) Further evidence need not be adduced to show how unfounded is that opinion, which would attach the idea of homicidal interference to cases where a body is loosely suspended, or where the feet are in contact with any support. We ought rather to

consider these facts as removing all suspicion of homicide; for there are few murderers who would probably suspend their victims, other living or dead, without taking eare that the suspension was complete. Besides, the facts of many of these cases are readily explicable:—thus, if the ligature he formed of yielding materials or it he loosely attached, it will give way to the weight of the hody after death, and allow the fect to touch the floor, which they might not bave done in the first instance. If there be reason to believe that the body has not altered its position after suspension, we must remember the facility with which insensibility comes on, and the rapidity with which death commonly ensues in this form of asphyxia.

The limbs secured in suicidal hanging.—One or two other points are also worthy of notice in relation to this question. The hands or the legs, but more commonly the former, have heen frequently found tied in eases of undoubted suicidal hanging (Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, i. 419); and yet it has been gravely debated, whether it were possible for a person to tie or hind up his hands, and afterwards hang himself! It is unnecessary to examine the ingenious arguments which have heen urged against the possibility of an aet of this kind heing performed; since among many cases that might be quoted, two occurred in 1843, in this metropolis, where the persons died from hauging: the act was suicidal, and the hands were found tied in both instances with a silk handkerchief. A third case occurred at Worcester, in December 1844, in which the deceased tied his wrists with a silk handkerchief; and secured to this, two flat irons in order to increase the weight.

Power of self-suspension.—Again, it has been a debated question, whether corporeal infirmity, or some peculiarity affecting the hands, might not interfere with the power of an individual to suspend himself. This question can he decided only by reference to the special circumstances of the case. In the case of the Prince de Condé, it was alleged that he could not have hanged himself, in consequence of a defect in the power of one hand:—it was said that he could not have made the knots in which the cravats by which he was suspended were tied. Allegations of this kind appear to have been too hastily made in this and other instances. A determined purpose will often make up for a great degree of corporeal infirmity; and unless we make full allowance for this in suicide, we shall always be exposed to error in drawing our conclusions.

Is blindness a bar to suicidal hanging?—The answer to this question is decidedly in the negative,—not from theory, but from actual facts; although some might be inclined to doubt whether a man labouring under such an infirmity, could really thus destroy himself. In February 1837, an inquest was held in London, on the body of a hlind man, who was found dead hanging in an outhouse. The evidence left not the smallest doubt of his having committed suicide. Connected with this, is the question how far weakness or infirmity

from age may interfere with this form of suicide. Suicide under any circumstances among young subjects is rare. Out of one hundred and ninety-eight suicides, observed by M. Esquirol, at the Salpétrière, there were but two instances of subjects under fifteen years of age. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, ii. 400.) The youngest age at which I have met with a case of suicidal hanging was in a boy of nine years, who hung himself at Hampstead, in April 1837. The greatest age was in the case of a man of uincty-seven, which occurred in September 1842. In a former part of this chapter, it has been stated that asphyxia in hanging may be very insidiously induced, so that although the individual may appear to have the power of easily rescning himself, yet this is impossible. The transition from life to death in such a case is as rapid as it is imperceptible. This will explain why persons so readily die from slight constriction of the trachea, when their bodies are partly supported, either standing, kneeling, or sitting: -why, also, it is not necessary that the cord or ligature should be drawn tightly round the neck; and lastly, why, as it has frequently happened, this form of suicide should be easily perpetrated by persons labouring under discase or infirmity in a room where others are either present or near, but who are not aware of the act. This last circumstance has in more than one instance given rise to an ill-founded suspicion of murder. When an individual has obviously died by hanging, and the presumption of suicide is rebutted, or the act itself denied by a medical witness, the only alternative is, that it must amount to murder. It is not possible to conceive that the act of hanging another can ever admit of instification or excuse. When in the case of death from drowning or wounds, it is doubtful whether the act should be referred to suicide or homicide, the admission of its baying been homicidal, does not necessarily cut off all hope from the offender. The deceased may have been drowned or wounded accidentally, or he may have been drowned or wounded intentionally; but under circumstances of great The act, therefore, may turn out to be a form of manslaughter. In hanging, however, the defence could never be that the act was accidental, nor is it possible to believe that the law would admit provocation as a justification for what must have been so deliberately done. The act itself, like poisoning, would be at once evidence of malice. With this knowledge, then, of what the absolute denial of suicide must lead to in a suspected case, a witness is bound to examine closely every medical presumption which can be construed in the least degree unfavourably to an accused party. most remarkable cases on record is that of the Prince de Conde, which a few years since excited the attention of the medical jurists of France and England. It involves many of the questious counceted with the medical jurisprudence of hanging. (For a full account of this singular case, which was undonbtedly one of suicide, I must refer the reader to the Anu. d'Hyg. 1831, p. 157.)

STRANGULATION.

CHAPTER LX.

CAUSE OF DEATH — POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES — WAS DEATH CAUSED BY STRANOULATION, OR WAS THE CONSTRICTION APPLIED TO THE NECK AFTER DEATH ?—CASPER'S EXPERIMENTS ON POST-MORTEM STRANGULATION—MARKS OF VIOLENCE—ACCIDENTAL, HOMICIDAL, AND SUICIDAL STRANGULATION—CASES.

Strangulation,—Cause of death.—Hanging and strangulation are usually treated together; and some medical jurists have admitted no distinction in the meaning of these terms. In hanging, the phenomena of asphyxia take place in consequence of the suspension of the body, while in strangulation, asphyxia may be induced not only by the constriction produced by a ligature round the neck, independently of suspension, but by the simple application of pressure through the fingers or otherwise to the trachea. It may indeed be said, that every individual who is hanged is literally strangled; but hanging is only one form of strangalation, and sufficiently peculiar to claim a separate consideration. We have now, therefore, to direct our attention to the other means which have been employed to obstruct the respiratory process by external pressure on the trachea. These have commonly been arranged and treated under the head of manual strangulation. The cause of death is the same in the two cases, namely asphyxia: and the rapidity with which death ensues in strangulation, will depend in a great degree on the force employed, and on the completeness with which the respiratory process is obstructed. In strangling, a much greater degree of violence is commonly employed than is necessary to cause asphyxia; and hence, the marks produced on the skin of the neek, will he, generally speaking, much more evident than in hanging, where the mere weight of the hody is the medium by which the trachea is compressed.

Post-mortem appearances.—The post-mortem appearances externally and internally are the same in strangulation as in hanging, but the injury done to the parts about the neck is commonly greater in the former case than in the latter. If much force have been used in producing the constriction, the trachea, with the muscles and vessels in the force part of the neck, may be found out or lacerated, and the cervical vertebræ may be fractured. The face is more com-

monly livid and swollen, the conjunctive congested, and the pupils are The mark of the ligature, if a ligature has been used, is generally circular, and situated at the lower part of the neck. Justances have, however, been related where a circular mark has been observed in hanging; and it is possible that some degree of ohliquity may occasionally exist in the course of the depression produced by a ligature in strangulation. The medical inrist ought, therefore, to weigh all the circumstances connected with the position of the body, and the direction of the ligature, before he forms an opinion whether the individual has been hanged or strangled. Much more importance is to be attached to the lividity, ecchymosis, and abrasion of the skin in the course of the ligature, than to the circularity or obliquity of the depression produced by it. In the strangling of a living person by a cord, it is scarcely possible that a murderer could avoid producing on the neck, marks of violent injury; and in the existence of these, we have evidence of the manuer in which death has taken place, which we cannot always expect to find in hanging. On the other hand, a person may be strangled, and yet the ligature, in consequence of its being soft and of a yielding nature, will not cause a very perceptible depression or ecclymosis. Such instances must, of course, be rare; because murderers usually produce a much more violent constriction of the neck than is necessary to ensure the death of their victims. The general lividity of the hody; contraction of the fingers; protrusion of the tongue, are the same in strangulation as in hanging. Mucous froth may also be found in both cases. The internal appearances are those of asphyxia. The lungs and right eavities of the heart are distended with a thick black blood, while the left cavities are commonly empty. The state of the brain calls for no particular notice. The blood-vessels are sometimes found distended. In some instances of strangulation, it is said that blood has escaped from the cars during the act; but, with a prohable exception to he hereafter meutioned, I am not aware that there is any well authenticated instance in which effusion of blood was met with on the brain of a strangled subject. (For an account of the appearances in a strangled body thirty-eight days after interment, see Henke, Zeitschrift, 1842, i. 236; ii. 310.)

The medico-legal questions relative to strangulation are of the same nature as those which have been already discussed in treating of hauging. Thus, in examining the body of a person suspected to have been strangled, we may be required to answer the following question:—

Was death caused by strangulation, or was the constricting force applied to the neck after death?—The internal appearances of the hody will yield no evidence whereby this question can he solved; but the external appearances are commonly less ambiguous than in a corresponding case of hanging. The ecchymosis about the depression on the neck, when a ligature has heen employed, with the accom-

panying turgescence and lividity of the face, are phenomena not likely to be simulated in a dead body by the application of any degree of violence. When the constriction is produced within a few minutes after dissolution, an ecchymosed depression may result; but it is improbable that there should be any lividity or turgescence of the countenance.

The experiments of Prof. Casper, referred to in treating of the subject of hanging, bear directly upon the question which we are now discussing. He determined, from his observations, that where the constricting force was not applied to the neck until six hours after death, the mark indicative of vital strangulation could not be produced. The following is a summary of his experiments on strangulation in the dead body:—

1. Six hours after death, a double cord was tightly drawn around the neck of a female, below the larynx. On the following morning the cord was loosened, and the neek examined. There was no particular appearance. When the skin had assumed its natural position, the part where the cord had been placed was scarcely distinguishable. -2. A man died of apoplexy, and thirteen hours after death a cord was as tightly drawn around the neck, shove the larynx, as possible. Six hours afterwards, on examining the neck, a soft impression, easily removed by pressure, was perceptible. There was no discolouration, nor any other change to he discovered in the skin.—3. Twenty-four hours after death, a double cord was very tightly drawn around the neck of a male subject. On examination the next day, there was a slight double depression, but no colour, nor any other perceptible change. This experiment was repeated on another subject, with similar results. -4. The last experiment was on the body of a child, about one year and a half old. On the day after death, a small cord was tightly drawn and secured around the neck. Twenty-four hours afterwards, a slight bluish-coloured mark was perceived. It was quite superficial, hut sufficiently distinct to strike the eye. On cutting into the skin there was not any blood effused beneath.

We learn from these experiments, that when the attempt to simulate strangulation in a dead subject, is not made until six hours at least have clapsed, there is no risk of confounding the mark thus produced with that which is formed when the violence is applied to a living individual. It is probable, that so far as the ecchymosis is concerned, if the attempt were made after an hour or two hours had elapsed, it would wholly fail; and with regard to the non-ecchymosed mark, it is very doubtful whether it could be produced after three or four hours. These periods, it must be remembered, are not settled with positive certainty: the results would probably vary, according to

the degree of rapidity with which the body had cooled.

It is difficult to conceive under what circumstances an attempt to simulate strangulation in a recently dead body could be made, unless for the purpose of throwing suspicion upon an innocent person con-

nected with the deceased. When an individual has been murdered, it is not likely that the murderer would attempt to produce the appearances of strangulation on the body after death, under the idea of concealing his crime; for strangulation is in most cases a positive result of homicide, and is very rarely seen as an act of suicide. the absence of ecclymosis from the neek, it will be difficult to form an opinion, unless from circumstantial evidence. It must be remembered, however, that there may not always be an eechymosed circle, for an individual may be strangled by the application of pressure to the trachea through the medium of the fingers, or of any hard or resisting material. The eccepymosis in such a case will be in detached spots. In the absence of all marks of violence about the neck, we should be eautions in giving an opinion which may affect the life of an accused party; for it is not probable that homicidal strangulation could be accomplished without the production of some appearances of violence about the larynx or trachea. It is doubtful whether strangulation ever takes place without some mark being found on the neck indicative of the means used. The bare possibility of death being caused in this manner, without leaving any appreciable trace of violence, must be admitted; although the admission scarcely applies to those cases which require medico-legal investigation. Suicides and murderers generally employ more violence than is necessary for the purpose of destruction: hence detection is easy. But if a very soft and clastic band were applied to the neck with regulated force, it is possible that an individual might die straugled, without any external sign being discovered to indicate the manner of his death. Indian surgeous inform us, that the Thugs, and other robbers of the Himalaya mountains, are thus accustomed to destroy their victims, with the dexterity of practised murderers. A case involving this question of strangulation without marks of violence, was recently tried in France, and from the medical evidence, decided in the affirmative. (Gaz. Med. 9 Mai, 1846, 375.) The medical witness should, however, be prepared to consider whether, in the absence of any mark on the neck, death might not have proceeded from another eause, and leave it to the authorities of the law to decide from eircumstances in favour of, or against the prisoner. There is, I couceive, nothing to justify a medical witness in stating that death has proceeded from strangulation, if there should he no appearance of lividity, ecchymosis, or other violence about the neek or face of the deceased. The state of the countenance alone will scarcely warrant the expression of an opinion; for there are many kinds of death in which the features may become livid and distorted from causes totally unconnected with the application of external violence to the throat. Let not a witness, then, lend himself as an instrument in the hauds of a counsel, for the condemnation of a person against whom nothing hut a strong suspicion from circumstances may be raised, and where medical evidence is unable to throw any light upon the probability of death having resulted from strangulation.

(See the trial of Mrs. Byrne, for murder, Dublin Commission Court, Ang. 1842.) This trial is full of interest to the medical jurist. Some nost-mortem changes appear in this case to have been mistaken for marks of strangulation.

Marks of violence.—It is searcely necessary to state, that all marks of violence on the body of a supposed strangled person should be accurately noted, as the questions respecting them are material. The witness will be expected to state, whether they were inflicted before or after death; -if before, whether they were sufficient to account for death, or whether they were such as to be explicable on the supposition of an accidental, suicidal, or homicidal origin. It should be observed whether there exist any morbid changes, sufficient to account for death, in either of the three great cavities of the body, as this kind of evidence may be very essential in the progress of the case.

Was the strangulation the result of accident, suicide, or homicide? -Strangulation, like hanging, is occasionally the result of accident; but the occurrence may be looked upon as rare. When the body is not suspended, it is commonly more in the power of an individual to assist himself, and escape from the constriction: hence accidental strangulation is perhaps less frequent than accidental hanging. A few instances of accidental strangulation are on record. One is reported by the late Dr. Gordon Smith. The subject was a boy, who was accustomed to move about with a heavy weight, suspended by a string around his neck. One day he was found dead in a chair. The weight appeared to have slipped, and to bave drawn the cord tightly round the fore part of his neek. In June 1839, a girl was neeidentally strangled in the following way: - She was employed in carrying fish in a basket at her back, supported by a leathern strap passing round the fore part of her neck, above her shoulders in front. She was found dead, sitting on a stone wall; the basket had slipped off, probably while she was resting, and had thus raised the strap, which firmly compressed the trachen. A similar ease is recorded by Watson. (Homicide.) There will be no difficulty in deciding a question of accideutal strangulation, from the sight of the body and the means of constriction. Should it happen, however, as it is not unlikely, that the body has been removed from the position in which it was first discovered, we can only establish a presumption of accident from the description given by those who first discovered it.

When a charge of murder is instituted against a party, an uttempt is not unfrequently made by connsel for the defence, to show the probability that the deceased might have fallen while in a state of intoxication, and have become accidentally strangled by a tight cravat or by some foreign body exerting pressure on the trachea. If we admit the possibility of an occurrence of this nature, we must not lose sight of the existence of other more probable modes of death, nor should we allow our judgment to be so swayed as to abaudon what is probable for that which is merely possible.

Suicidal strangulation.—This mode of suicide must be regarded as of extremely rare occurrence, and except under particular circumstances, impossible. The possibility of an individual strangling himself was for a long time denied by medical jurists; for it was presumed that when the force was applied by the hand, all power would be lost so soon as the compression of the trachea commenced. This reasoning, which is physiologically correct, is, however, only applicable to those cases in which the tracken is compressed by the fingers. When an individual, determined on suicide, allows the trachea to become compressed by leaning with the whole weight of his hody on a ligature passed round his neck and attached to a fixed point, he may perish in this way almost as readily as if he had hanged himself; for insensibility and death will soon supervene. In the chapter on Hanging, it was stated that snieides were often found with their bodies in close contact with the ground; and cases were referred to in which strangulation was accomplished in the manner above described, while the suicide was in a sitting or kneeling posture. On other occasions, the peculiar disposition of the ligature has enabled a suicide to strangle himself without much difficulty. An instance is related by Orfila, where two cravats, which were twisted several times round the neck of the deceased, who was discovered lying on his bed, had effectually served the purpose of self-destruction. (Méd. Lég. iii. p. 389.) Other cases are related, in which suicides have succeeded in strangling themselves by tightening the ligature with a stick; or where this was formed of thick and rough materials, by simply tying it in a knot. A young female of Montevria, in the Canton of Lagny, was found one morning, dead in bed, lying on her face, with a woollen garter passed twice round her neck, and secured in front by two simple knots. strongly tied, the one ou the other. The body was in an incipient state of putrefaction, but still there was a mark, corresponding to the ligature. This was shallow, of a slight greenish colour, especially in front, and presented here and there ecchymosed spots; posteriorly the mark was scarcely visible. The face was livid and swollen: a quantity of sanguincous muchs escaped from the mouth and nostrils. The lips were livid; the tongue protruded, and firmly compressed between the teeth: the body presented, over the trunk and limbs, patches of ecchymosis. On cutting into the mark on the neck, there was no extravasation, neither was there any apparent injury to the deep-seated muscles or adjacent parts; the lungs were gorged with blood, but the other viscera of the body presented no particular annearance. The medical examiners gave it as their opinion, that the deceased had died from apoplexy resulting from strangulation. They stated that the head was not examined, and they judged that apoplexy was the cause of death, from the condition of the face. A more important question was, whether the strangulation was suicidal or homicidal. There was some reason to suspect the latter, and indeed a party was pointed out as the probable murderer; but a rigorous

medical investigation, relative to the state of the person and clothes, as well as numerous collateral circumstances, satisfuctorily established that this was ao act of self-destruction. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, 440. See also a case hy Dr. Simeons, Henke, Zeitschrift, 1843, i. 355.)

Sometimes the mark on the neck will allow us to establish n slight presumption for or against homicide. In homicidal strangulation, from the unuccessary violence used, we may expect to find the skin much ecchymosed, lacerated, or excertated, and the deep-scated parts, such as the muscles and vessels, more or less extensively injured. Such violence is not commonly to be expected in suicidal strangulations.

Supposing the marks of fingers to exist, the presumption is in favour of homicide; as also in all cases where the cause of strangulatioo is not at once opparent ou the discovery of the body. Suicides are not likely to strangle themselves in ony other way than by o ligature applied circularly. If the ligature be still oround the neck of the deceased, the position of the knot may throw some light upon the case: if tied in two or three knots at the posterior part of the neck, the presumption is assuredly in favour of homicide. Then, again, the nature of the ligature should be ottended to. Suicides generally employ those articles for ligatures which are nearest at hand,—such as cravats, stockings, or garters.

Some medical jurists have attempted to limit the varieties of suicidal strangulation; contending, that when o subject is found strangled in any other way than in one of those arbitrarily laid down as essential to suicide, it is evidence of murder. The fact is, cases as yet are few, and each oew instance of suicidal strangulation presents us with something novel in the means of its accomplishment; a sufficient evidence, therefore, that we ought to be very cautious how we decide

these questions by hastily preconceived rules.

The way in which the notorious criminal, Greenacre, attempted to destroy himself by snicidal strangulation, presented some novelty; and certainly it does not fall within the methods which, according to some medical jurists, suicides ought on these occasions to adopt. When, in March 1837, he was confided at the Paddington Station-House, he was found by the inspector, who entered the room, lying on the floor, with a handkerchief drawn tightly around his neck by means of a loop, into which he had inserted his foot. When first seen, his face was livid and he was apparently dead: the handkerchief was cut, and venesection, with other means of resuscitation, were employed with success. The manner in which General Pichegru was found strangled in prison, gave rise to a strong suspicion of murder, merely from the singularity of the method adopted. The ligature which he employed was found tightened around his neck by means of a stick, which had been twisted, and then fixed behind one ear. There was no lividity of the facc. It was contended, that Napoleon had caused the General to be strangled or suffocated, and that the ligature

was afterwards applied. The evidence of this having been an act of homicide, is very weak: and so far as the medical circumstances extend, there is no reason to doubt that it was an act of suicide. The only obstacle to the admission of this, in the opinion of some jurists, is the employment of a stick for the purpose of tightening the ligature; hut there are at least two similar cases on record, in which the suspicion of murder could not be entertained: one of these is referred to by Metzger (op. cit. p. 309). But there may be disease, such as paralysis, or deformity in one or both of the upper extremities, which may render it impossible for an individual to tie a ligature around his own neck. The only cantian here to be guarded against, is that we do not push this doctrine too far. When there is a fixed resolution, many apparent impossibilities may be overcome by a suicide. The following case is, in this respect, interesting:—

A middle-aged woman was brought into the Hôtel-Dieu, March 1833, labouring under such a degree of mental excitement as almost to amount to insanity. Very soon after her admission she destroyed herself by strangulation. The unrse, in going round the ward, saw her lying at the side of the hed, with her head hanging out. Upon examination, it was found that she was quite dead, and that there was a silk handkerchief around her neck. The handkerchief had been carried twice round the neck, and then tied in front. The conjunctivæ and eyelids were strongly injected and ædematous. The mark of the ligature around the neck was deep, eechymosed, and partially excoriated: the brain, though a little vasenlar, was healthy. The other viscera presented no appearance calling for notice. (Ann. d'Ilyg. 1833, ii. 153.) It is worthy of remark, that in this instance, where there could be no doubt of suicidal strangolation, the deceased had lost four fingers of her right hand, so that that member had been, from a very early period, of but little service to her; nevertheless she contrived to tie the cravat round her neck with great firmness and dexterity. It is easy to conceive, that had her body been found in a suspicious locality, a plausible opinion of homicidal strangulation might have been formed from the maimed condition of her hand. This case, then, will serve to teach us a proper caution in drawing our inferences as to what persons, labouring under any corporeal infirmity, are capable of doing, when they make attempts on their own lives.

Although the cases just related show that suicidal strangulation may be effected under very singular circumstances, yet, in a case of murder hy strangulation, it would not be easy to simulate suicide: it would, at any rate, require a great deal of art and premeditated contrivance, on the part of a murderer, so to dispose the hody of his victim, or to place it in such a relation to surrounding objects, as to render the suspicion of suicide probable. Thus, if the ligature should be found loose or detached,—if the ecchymosis or depression should not accurately correspond to the points of greatest pressure,—if, moreover, the means of compression were not very evident when the body

was first discovered, and before it had been removed from its situation, there would be very fair grounds for presuming that the act was homicidal. In all of those cases where the strangulation has resulted from compression of the trachea by the fingers, and where there are fixed eachymosed marks, indicative of direct manual violence, we have the strongest presumptive evidence of murder; for neither accident nor suicide could be urged as affording a satisfactory explanation of their presence.

Homicidal strangulation.—Strangulation does not often come before our Courts of law as a question of murder; and when a party has been tried upon a charge of this kind, the circumstances have been commonly so clear, as to have rendered the duty of a medical witness one of a very simple nature. Difficulties do, however, occasionally arise, as may be seen hy reference to the cases of the Queen v. Taylor (York Lent Assizes, 1842), and the Queen v. Greek (Salisbury Lent Assizes, 1843). See also the important case of the Queen v. Reynolds (Central Criminal Court, December 1842). Here it was left nucertain by the medical evidence, whether death was due to strangulation, or malicious exposure to cold; and as the indictment only charged the former act, the prisoners were acquitted! (See likewise the case of the Queen v. Fowles (Stafford Lent Assizes, 1841.) For a full report of a case in which the question was, whether the deceased had committed suicide by hanging, or had been strangled by her husband. I must refer the reader to Cormack's Journal for April 1844, p. 344. The prisoner was acquitted on a verdict of "Not proven." An interesting case of alleged murder by strangulation (Commonwealth v. Flanagan), is also reported in the American Jour. of Med. Sciences, Oct. 1845, p. 339.

Cuses .- The following case, reported in the Annales d'Hyg. (1829, ii. 447), presents several points of interest in relation to this form of It was pronounced to be a case of suicidal strangulation by some, and of homicidal, by others. A servant girl, far advanced in pregnancy, was found dead in her bed. According to the report of the surgeon who first saw her, the body was rigid, and there was a handkerchief so firmly tied around the neck, that it was with some difficulty removed. A quantity of air and sanguincous nineus escaped from the mouth and nostrils, on its removal. The body of the deceased, when first seen, was lying in a constrained position, with the face turned to the right. The knot in the handkerchief, which was tied round the neck, was on the left side, as it is customary to find it in left-handed people. This remark was particularly made by the surgeon, who happened to be himself left-handed. The deceased was not left-handed; and there was no reason to suspect that she had intended to commit suicide. She went to bed the night hefore in her usual health and spirits. Ou examination of the hody, no mark of violence was seen externally:-hut there were large patches of cadaverous eechymosis scattered over the skin. There was a deep impression of a necklace on

the skin of the neck, which had resulted, it was supposed, from the force with which the handkerchief had been tied. The neck appeared swollen, especially on the right side. On opening the cranium, the cerebral vessels were found much distended, especially on the right side; and on this side about half au ounce of blood was found extravasated. In the mouth, the tongue projected forwards between the deutal arches, but was uninjured by the teeth. The contents of the thorax and abdomen presented nothing musual:—the lungs were gorged with blood, as they are generally found in asphyxia.

The examiners attributed death partly to apoplexy, and partly to interrupted respiration, in consequence of the ligature on the neck. They considered that the strangulation was not suicidal for the follow-

ing reasons :--

1. The handkerchief was tied in two knots, and the deceased could not have made more than one! Her sense would have failed her before she could have made a second; or at least hefore she could have made it so perfect as the first.

2. If she had strangled herself, the knot of the ligature would rather have been on the fore part of the neck, than on the left side.

The position in which the body was found, the cheerful conduct of the deceased on the night before her death, and the absence of all motive to induce her to commit suicide, were facts also dwelt upon by the examiners; -but they are manifestly of less weight than the two first assigned. Strong suspicions fell upon a man with whom the deceased had cohahited. He was arrested and charged with the murder. He gave a very unsatisfactory account of himself, but it was clearly proved that he could not have been at the house of the deceased on the night on which her death took place. It was also shown that he was not left-handed. The prisoner upon this evidence was liberated. The master, in whose house the deceased lived as servant, was lefthanded; but there was no reason to suspect him of the crime. Other witnesses deposed that they saw no one in or near the house on the night of the supposed murder: and in consequence of no other cluc being discovered, and all means failing to find out the presumed murderer, it was ordered that the medical facts of the case should be reconsidered.

Many were inclined to suspect that the deceased had destroyed herself, others that she had died a natural death. The College of Brunswick was appealed to by the legal authorities, to express a formal opinion from the medical facts, whether the deceased had been mardered by straugulation, or whether she had died from natural causes. The question of suicide appears to have been wholly abandoned.

The College decided, that the deceased could not have died by strangulation; because there was no ecchymosis produced on the neck by the handkerchief. They assigned apoplexy as the probable cause of death, from the extravasation of blood met with in the right hemi-

sphere of the brain. They considered that the girl had herself tied the handkerchief round her neck, for the purpose of keeping herself warm, as the night on which she died was extremely cold. They admitted the probability that she might have imprudently tied the handkerchief too tightly:—a circumstance which had perhaps facilitated the congestion of the cerebral vessels and extravasation of blood.

The opinion expressed by the College was drawn up at a time when it was universally believed that the mark of the cord, in vital strangulation, was always accompanied by eechymosis; and that the nondiscovery of this, was sufficient evidence of strangulation after death. The error of this opinion has been already sufficiently exposed:therefore the argument, that the deceased had not died by strangulation, falls to the ground. The reason assigned for the handkerchief being placed around the neck, appears wholly inconsistent with the facts. It is scarcely to be imagined that any individual who did not contemplate suicide, would retire to rest with a handkerchief tied in a double knot so tightly around the neck, as to render it very difficult to remove. It was evidently so tight that strangulation might easily have resulted from the constriction. The apoplectic appearances in the head may really have been due to the impeded circulation of the blood, in consequence of the ligature:—at least it is as easy to conceive this, as to admit that they should have coincidentally arisen from spontaneous causes. There was, therefore, nothing to contradict the opinion of death from strangulation :- no morbid cause capable of giving rise to sudden death (excepting cerebral extravasation, which has already been accounted for) was discovered in the body. Whether the ligature was placed round her neck by the female herself, or by a murderer, is a matter of doubt:—yet when we consider that there was nothing absolutely impossible in the act on her part,-that there were no appearances of violence about her person or clothes,and no evidence of any individual having had access to the apartment, -it appears most probable that the strangulation was suicidal.

But it may be inquired whether marks of violence on the body do not afford strong evidence of homicidal strangulation. The answer is—if the marks of violence he such that they could not possibly have arisen from any accident before death, or that they could not possibly have been self-inflicted, they afford the strongest evidence of murder. But the cases wherein so positive an answer may he returned, are the exceptions to the rule. It is not always in our power to distinguish accidental or self-inflicted, from homicidal violence; and we should always look to the possibility of accident, or of previous attempts at snicide, heing the source of those personal injuries which may be apparent on a strangled subject.

In the following case, communicated to me hy Dr. Campbell, of Lishuru, the marks of injury to the neck clearly established homicidal

strangulation. The dead hody of an old man, aged 70 years, was found lying in a potato-field adjoining his house, on the 10th of Oct. 1842. His family consisted of a son, the son's wife, and a male servant, brother to the son's wife. The deceased had gone to gather potatoes for the servant, who was digging. On its being known to their neighbours that the hody bad been found in the field, suspicious were excited that his death had resulted from violence. An inspection of the hody was ordered. The depending parts of the body were very livid, owing to their position. On removing the calvarium, a large quantity of dark fluid blood escaped: the membranes of the hrain were greatly injected, the sinnses gorged with blood, and the hrain itself highly vascular. Several clots of blood were observed in the lateral ventricles, and some over the surface of the brain. In the chest the lungs were filled with very dark fluid blood, the air-cells were ruptured. and there was considerable emphysema. The right side of the heart was greatly distended with dark blood; there was nothing remarkable in the abdominal viscera, but the lining membrane of the stomach was congested. The stomach was about half filled with potatoes, the neck, over the left wing of the thyroid cartilage, there was a very slight mark of a concentric form, with a corresponding, though slighter mark on the opposite side; and on removing the skin over those marks a considerable amount of coagulated blood was seen immediately beneath the skin and in the substance of the muscles, moving this, the left wing of the cartilage, which was ossified, was found much depressed and traversed by a fracture nearly an inch in length. From the general appearances presented by the body, together with the injury to the thyroid eartilage, an opinion was given that death had arisen from manual strangulation, and from the particular form of the external marks over the neck,—by a left hand. Several witnesses were examined, who proved that the deceased and the servant were on bad terms, the deceased having threatened to dismiss the servant, and that before they had gone to dig the potatoes, the servant said he would be revenged of his master. The servant was committed for trial at the ensuing assizes. One of the magistrates present desired that the prisoner might be requested to throw a stone, in order to ascertain if he was left-handed, which he did with the left hand. At the trial the sister of the prisoner swore that she saw her hrother strangling the old man; and several witnesses proved that he had maltreated the deceased on many previous occasions. The counsel for the defence advocated his prisoner's case so well, and proved the sister to be of so improper a character, that the jury, having some doubt as to her veracity, aequitted him. Dr. Campbell forwarded to me the larynx, which was ossified, and fractured in the ossified portion, as described in the report of the case.

There may be several marks on the neck; but then the individual may have tried to straugle himself more than once. The throat may be cut, or there may be a deep-seated stah or gun-shot wound,

involving some of the important organs of the hody:-but in a purely medical point of view, how are we to know that the deceased did not actually inflict those wounds upon himself? In the chapters on Drowning and Hauging, we bave seeu what suicides can do, when they are desperately bent on destroying themselves. They often create the most serious difficulties to the medical jurist, which it requires the greatest caution and prudence on bis part to steer through. The prejudice of the public mind is such, that the discovery of a strangled persoa, with marks of personal injury, or of poisoning in his stomach, would, in every ease, lead to a declaration of murder; unless the facts rendered it clearly impossible that any attempt could have been made on his life. It is against this prejudice that the medical witness must strenuously guard bimself:—he may be abused for not joining in the ontery of the vulgar; but the lest recompense for this abuse will be the conviction, that he is interposing the shield of science to protect a possibly innocent fellow-creature from the senseless demneiations of ignorance.

It cannot be disputed that in contested questions of suicidal or homicidal strangulation, rare as they are, we must he very often greatly indebted to evidence founded on circumstances, as well as to moral presumptions. How far a medical jurist is allowed to make use of these in the formation of an opinion, it will be for the Court to determine. Generally speaking, his duty is rigorously confined to the furuishing of inedical evidence from medical data alone; but instances present themselves in which this rule must be departed from the course of justice will be impeded. Besides, there are numerous circumstances of a collateral nature which may materially modify a medical opinion. Thus, the sight of the ligature, the state of the dress, and the attitude of the deceased when discovered, although not strictly medical circumstances, hear directly upon them; and that evidence ought not to be objected to which is partly founded upon facts of this nature.

It must occur to all, that without circumstantial evideace the best medical opiuion in these cases will often amount to nothing. It may be, for example, no more than this: the case is either one of bomicide or snicide; and why is such an indefinite answer to be returned? Because, in the abstract view of strangulation, it is not casy to determine whether a ligature was suicidally applied round the neck or not. The appearances may be in many cases the same; and where they are different this difference may be due to accident: so that it is a mistake to suppose that we must look to medical circumstances alone for cleaning up this intricate question.

There is, perhaps, one instance which may justify a presumption of homicide. A man, in strangling himself, is not likely to vary the means: it is commonly due to a sudden impulse, if we may judge from the moral proofs afforded in the instances on record. The article which is nearest to the suicide is seized, and made the instrument of

destruction. It has already been stated as doubtful whether a person could strangle himself by the mere application of the fingers to the trachea; the discovery of such marks only as would indicate this kind of strangulation, therefore, renders snicide in the highest degree improbable. But if, hesides these marks of fingers, we find a circular mark, with the ligature still around the neck, the presumption of murder becomes, indeed, strong. It may he said, that an individual might at first try to strangle himself with his fingers, and, not succeeding, might afterwards employ a cord. But the degree to which the coincidental impressions exist will assuredly in general remove this objection. A murder was committed some years since in this country in the manner here stated. A gentleman of fortune was found strangled on board of a ship in the port of Bristol. Besides the mark of a rope drawn tightly round the neek, there were distinct impressions of nails and fingers in front of the throat. An investigation took place, and the result proved, as indeed this state of the neck rendered it almost certain, that the deceased had been murdered. It was afterwards confessed by one of the murderers that they had first strangled him with their hands, and then drew the rope about his neck, to insure the certainty of his death.

In concluding this account of strangulation, it may be remarked, that attempts are sometimes made to attribute traces of violence on the neek to accidental causes, notwithstanding the almost entire certainty of their heing homicidal. Thus, when a man is found dead with a mark on the neek, it will be argued that the deceased might have fallen while in a state of iutoxication, and have become strangled by his cravat, his head heing at the same time hent forwards. The witness will he asked whether death was not possible under such circumstances. In returning an answer, he should take care to let it be understood that what is physically possible is not always medically probable.

The marks of strangulation on the throat may be sometimes ascribed to the deceased baving fallen with his hand possibly applied to his neck, and the inference will be drawn that they have accidentally resulted from the pressure of his own fingers; but this is a very improbable mode of accounting for the production of ecchymosis or exceptation of the skin.

SUFFOCATION.

CHAPTER LXI.

SUFFOCATION FROM MECHANICAL CAUSES—VARIOUS FORMS OF— CASES—CAUSE OF DEATH—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—EVI-DENCE OF DEATH BY SUFFOCATION—ACCIDENTAL, SUICIDAL, AND HOMICIDAL SUFFOCATION—MEDICAL AND PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OF THE CAUSE OF DEATH—CASES—SMOTHERING.

Suffocation from mechanical causes.—By suffocation we are to understand that condition in which the air is prevented from penetrating into the lungs, not by constriction of the trachea, but by some mechanical cause operating on the mouth externally, or fauces and larynx internally. In this sense, it will be perceived that drowning is nothing more than death by suffocation.

There are many varieties of death by suffocation, all of which are of great medico-legal interest. 1. The continued pressure of the hand over the mouth and nostrils, or the placing of a plaster or cloth over these parts, combined with pressure on the thorax: this was formerly not an unfrequent form of homicidal suffocation. 2. Smothering, or the covering of the head and face with articles of clothing, &c. which effectually prevent respiration. 3. The foreible introduction of foreign bodies into the month and throat. 4. The plunging of the face into mud, snow, dust, feathers, or similar substances. In all of these cases death takes place from asphyxia, and with great rapidity if the parietes of the thorax sustain at the same time any degree of compression. 5. Œdema or spasm of the glottis produced by the contact of corrosive substances (ante, p. 43). A case was referred to me in July 1848, in which death was probably thus caused by the application of a strong solution of pernitrate of mercury to an ulcer in the fauces.

Suffocation may also arise from morbid causes, such as a discased state of the parts about the fauces, a morbid enlargement of the thymus gland, the sudden bursting of a tonsillary abscess, or the effusion of lymph, blood, or pus into the traceca, or about the rima glottidis. Any of these causes may suddenly arrest the respiratory function; a fact which can only be determined by a proper examination of the body. Two cases of great medico-legal interest have been lately reported of death from suffocation produced by mechanical causes, the one by Dr. Geoghegan, who has communicated to me the particulars, and the other by Dr. Jackson, of Leith. Dr. Geoghegan's case was that of a boy, who died in half an hour nuder alarming symptoms somewhat

resembling those of poisoning, and it appeared that a simple medicinal powder had heen given to him about five minutes before the attack! On inspection, the lower part of the trachea was found blocked up with cheesy scrofulous matter:—it was evident that the child had died from asphyxia. Dr. Jackson's case is perhaps one of the most remarkable on record. A man, aged 31, was put to bed drunk. having previously vomited; and shortly afterwards he was found dead. On inspection, Dr. Jackson discovered the usual appearances of asphyxia, i. e. congestion of the lungs and of the right cavities of the heart. He was thus led to examine the trachea carefully, and he found, lying over the rima glottidis, a thin and transparent piece of potato-skin so closely applied to the fissure as to prevent respiration. The man had died suffocated from this mechanical cause. He had had potatoes for dinner the day before; the piece of skin had probably been thrown up at the time of vomiting, and had been drawn back by inspiration into the singular position in which it was found. Owing to intoxication, the deceased was probably unable to cough it up. agree with Dr. Jackson in thinking that this case conveys a most important caution. In England, the verdict would most probably have been, "Died by the visitation of God," without a post-mortem inspection! The result clearly shows that in every case of sudden death, there should be a strict investigation of all parts of the body. (Ed. Med. and Surg. Journ. April 1844, 390). Young children are often sufficeated from small portions of food penetrating into the airpassages; and unless an inspection be made, death may be easily referred to some natural cause. (See case by Mr. Synnot, Med. Gaz. xl. 994; and also Lancet, May 16, 1846, 561.) In some instauces, a retraction of the base of the tongue may lead to the suffocation of a new-born child. (Seller's Journal, March 1845, 278.)

Cause of death.—It has been already stated that death takes place by asphyxia; and this occurs with a rapidity proportioned to the degree of impediment existing to the passage of the air. There does not seem to be any reason to attribute death to apoplexy. The congestion of the cerebral vessels may be regarded as a consequence of the disturbance of the functions of the lungs. If the veins of the neck were opened so as to prevent an accumulation of blood in the cerebral vessels, it is pretty certain that the prevention of respiration would destroy life under the same circumstances and within the same period of time. Therefore we may regard death from suffocation as resulting from pure asphyxia.

Post-mortem appearances.—There are rarely any considerable marks of violence externally. When the body has become perfectly cold, there may be patches of lividity diffused over the skin; but these are not always present. The lips are livid, but the skin of the face is often pale. The mouth, throat, and parts about the larynx, should be examined for foreign substances. Internally the lungs and right envities of the heart are distended with blood:—the left cavities either

contain but little blood, or are empty. The viscera may here and there present patches of ecchymosis. The cerebral vessels are sometimes congested; but at other times they do not appear more than ordinarily full. All other appearances are of an accidental nature, and are not at all connected with death by suffocation.

Evidence of death from suffication.—In medical inrisprudence, there is not, perhaps, an instance in which we have fewer medical data upon which to base an oninion, than in the case of alleged death from suffo-The inspection of the body of a person sufficiented presents so little that is peculiar, that a medical man, unless his suspicious were roused by circumstantial evidence, or by the discovery of foreign substances, would probably pass it over as a case of death without any assignable cause; in other words, from natural causes. In examining the body of the woman Campbell, who was murdered by Burke, in Edinburgh, Dr. Christison was unable to come to a conclusion respecting the cause of death until some light had been thrown on the case by collateral evidence. On this occasion a violent death was suspected because there were marks of violence externally, and the face of the deceased exhibited the characters of strangulation; but these conditions are by no means essential to death from suffocation, and when they exist, they can only be regarded as purely accidental accompaniments. Appearances similar to those found in the bodies of suffocated persons, are very frequently met with in post-mortem inspections when death has taken place as a consequence of disease or accident. They can, therefore, furnish no positive evidence of the kind of death; they do not even permit us to establish a presumption on the subject until, by a careful examination of the body, we have ascertained that there is no other cause of death depending on organic disease or on violence. Medical evidence may, however, he highly serviceable in some instances. Thus, let the general evidence establish that a deceased person has probably been suffocated,—the witness may have it in his power to state that the appearances in the body are not opposed to the supposition of this kind of death; that the body is in all respects healthy and sound; and that the death was probably sudden, as where, for instance, undigested food is discovered in the stomach. cases of this description, we must bear in mind that our opinion relative to the supposed cause of death, is to he formed from the medical circumstances only, unless it be otherwise ordered by the Court. From this want of clear evidence, great difference of opinion on the cause of death will exist among medical witnesses. In the case of Reg. v. Heywood (Liverpool Summer Ass. 1839), some of the witnesses referred death to suffocation, others to apoplexy. (Lancet, Sept. 14, 1839, 896.)

Accidental, suicidal, and homicidal suffocation.—Suffocation is by no means uncommon as an accident; and there are several varieties of accidents under which a person may die suffocated. 1. Disease about the tongue, larynx, or fauces, may advance to such an extent as

effectually to impede respiration. 2. The deceased may have fallen, so that the mouth became covered with dust, or other substances; and if the subject be helpless, as if an infant or an aged person or one who is intoxicated, death may thus easily take place. A child was found dead in a room, with its face in the ashes under the grate. It had fallen during the absence of the mother; and, from its helpless condition, had speedily become suffocated. Some of the ashes were (Med. Gaz. xvii. p. 612. For a case in which found in the trachea. suffocation was caused by a pea, see the same journal, xxix. 146.) In trials for murder or manslaughter, a medical opinion respecting the possibility of the accidental suffocation of a drunken person, under similar circumstances, is very often required. These subjects, it must be remembered, are generally to be considered as helpless as children: if they fall in a position so that the month be covered, it is possible that they may have been so intuxicated as not to be able to escape. 3. A portion of food may have remained fixed in the laryux or fauces. Children are often accidentally suffocated from drinking boiling water from a teakettle. The parts about the larynx then become edematous and swollen, and arrest respiration. 4. Accidental suffocation is not uncommon among young infants, where they sleep with adult persons. may be in this way very speedily destroyed. Even the close wrapping up of a child's head may effectually kill it, without any convulsive struggles to indicate the danger to which it is exposed. vulsions by no means necessarily attend on death from suffocation.

A few years since, a coroner's inquest was held on the body of a child, which was found dead in a bed; and I assisted a friend in the post-mortem inspection. It was lying in a composed attitude on the bed, with the face nearly covered. There were faint traces of cadaverous lividity about the neck and back; but the body did not present the least mark of violence. The face was pale, but the lips were livid. On examining the chest, the great vessels connected with the heart and lungs were found congested with blood. The vessels of the brain were empty. There were no morbid appearances whatever in any of the other organs. The account given by the girl, who attended the child, was, that she had laid it to sleep about nine o'clock in the morning, covering over the greater part of its face. She remained in the room; but in the course of an hour, not hearing the child breathe, she looked and found it dead. The only opinion which we were asked to give, was,-whether, from the circumstances, suffocation was probable? We answered in the affirmative; and a verdict of accidental death was returned. This case shows the case with which a young infant may be destroyed, even when its respiration is only partially impeded. The weight of the clothes may have combined to cause death, by preventing the free expansion of the chest.

Those instances of accidental suffocation, which depend on disease or the impaction of food, are easily known by a post-mortem examination:—they present no difficulty. But, in other cases, e. g. where a child or drunken persou is presumed to have been suffocated, owing to the position in which he has fallen, evidence as to the position of the body or even the actual sight of the body, is necessary before forming an opinion. The following questions may here arise:—Was the position such as to be explicable on the supposition of accident? Was it not such a position as might have been given to it by a murderer? Could not the deceased have had strength or presence of mind to escape? Could he have been actually suffocated in the position in which his body was discovered? A little reflection upon the circumstauces,—for here something more than medical circumstauces will be required,—may enable us to give satisfactory answers to these questions.

Suicidal suffocation.—As an act of suicide, suffocation is extremely It would require a peculiar adaptation of means, and considerable resolution, in order that a person should thus destroy himself. The following ease occurred in France some years since. A woman locked herself in her room with her young child:—she placed herself under the bed-clothes, and desired the child to pile the several articles of furniture in the room upon her. When the apartment was cutered some hours afterwards, the woman was found dead. dently been suffocated. Had not the child clearly detailed the eirenmstances, a strong and even a justifiable suspicion of murder might have arisen. In the ease of a body found with a plaster covering the mouth and nostrils, or the traces of such having been applied, the witness might he asked, whether this could have been so placed by the individual himself? No such case has ever occurred as an act of suicide; hut we are not, therefore, to say it is impossible:-all that we are justified in stating is, that it is a highly improbable mode of self-destruction.

Some singular cases are on record, in which individuals have wilfully destroyed themselves by blocking up the fauces mechanically. A remarkable instance of this form of suicide is reported in the Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. April 1842. A woman, confined in prison, forced a hard cotton plug into the back of the fauces. The cavitics of the chest and abdomen had been already examined, and a medical certificate given that the deceased had died of apoplexy! The body was sent to one of the anatomical schools, and, on re-inspection, it was then accidentally found that the fauces were firmly blocked up with a plug of spindle-cotton. A similar case was the subject of an inquest in London, in September 1843. The deceased here had thrust into her throat a large piece of rag, which had been used in applying a lotion. She speedily died suffocated, and after death the rag was found lodged at the back part of the larynx. The internal organs in these cases present no particular appearances indicative of the kind of Such cases are very likely to he mistaken for apoplexy, and they certainly show the absolute necessity for a careful post-mortem examination in every instance of sudden death. (See Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. liv. 149; also, Med. Chir. Rev. xxviii. 410.) The case of Reg. v. Heywood, Laneaster Sum. Ass. 1839; proves how easily a defence of apoplexy may he sustained in a case of alleged murder by suffocation.

Homicidal suffocation. — Homicide by suffocation is not very common, although it is a very ready means of perpetrating murder. Hitherto, the eases which have come before our Courts of law, have been those of young infants or aged and infirm persons. In regard to the latter subjects, the rigorous administration of the law has succeeded in putting a check to this crime: but with respect to young children, it prohably yet continues. Infanticide by suffocation is most difficult to detect; and, unless the murderer have employed a very unnecessary degree of violence, it is prohable that the crime may pass altogether mususpected.

Homeide by suffocation would not be attempted on healthy adult persons, unless they were in a state of intoxication, and thereby rendered defenceless. It is certain that most individuals would have it in their power, unless greatly incapacitated by disease or intoxication, to offer such a degree of resistance as would leave upon their persons indubitable evidence of murderous violence. Death by suffocation may be considered as presumptive of homicide, unless the facts be clearly referable to accident. Accidental suffocation is, however, so palpable from the position of the body and other circumstances, that when death is clearly traced to this cause, it is not easy to conceive a case in which it would be difficult to distinguish it from a case of murder. In some instances, the very means which have been adopted to produce it, may forbid the supposition of accident, and clearly establish the fact of murder.

The suffocation of new-born children, by the introduction of substances into the mouth, is not very unfrequent. (See ante, p. 481.) The nanccessary force employed generally leaves traces of violeuce, which may be easily discovered by a careful examination, even should it happen that the substance employed for the murderous purpose has been removed. M. Devergie has suggested an objection to evidence founded on a fact of this nature, that the substance might have been introduced after death in order to create a suspicion of infanticide against the mother; hut such an objection could hardly be received, since the fact is only one out of many which would be brought against an accused person. cording to Devergie, the appearances produced by the introduction of a plug of linen into the mouth, during life, are these:—the mouth contracting posteriorly, the pressure would be greater in this situation, consequently the blood would be forced out of the compressed mucous membrane of the palate. Anteriorly, the pressure would be less: and here the blood would accumulate, so that the mucous membrane in this situation would become swollen and red. In trusting to these characters, it must be remembered that similar appearances would probably result if the plug were introduced immediately after

death, as, also, that even when introduced during life, the characters might be lost if the plug vere removed from the month before the body had become entirely cooled.

It is necessary to point out a very dangerous practice common among ignorant nurses, which, without exciting suspicion on the part of a coroner or medical witness, may be not an unfrequent cause of death among young children. In order to quiet a child, and to enable the nurse to sleep without disturbance, a hag made of wash-leather or rag, containing sugar, is thrust into the child's mouth. It is thus completely gagged, and the child soon becomes quiet, respiring chiefly through the nostrils. If these by any accident become obstructed, or hy the act of respiration the bag should fall to the back of the fauces, death by suffocation must inevitably result, -- the infant being perfectly helpless! The suspension of respiration may be so gradual that the child may die without crying or convulsions. The removal of the hag from the mouth will remove every trace of the canse of death; for no pressure is exerted; and in order to exculpate herself, the guilty person may ascribe death to "fits." In one instance, within my knowledge, an infant was timely saved by the mother having discovered, while the nurse was sleeping, a mass of wash-leather projecting from the mouth of the child. woman awoke, and attempted to remove and conceal it; but was detected. The detection of this abominable practice can only be a matter of pure accident : hence, a fatal ease can be rarely the subject of a coroner's inquest, and medical evidence may fail to throw any light npon it. In one justance only have I known it to have given rise to a criminal charge. (Reg. v. Cox. Warwick Lent Assizes, 1848.) The mother, a pauper female, was tried for the attempt to suffocate her infant eleven days old. The child was discovered hy another person with a piece of rag hanging from its mouth. It was livid in the face, but when the rag was removed made a violent gasp, and recovered its There was no malice on the part of the prisoner, hut it was made a strong point in her favour that instances had occurred in the workhouse, of women putting rag with sugar on it into the mouths of infants to soothe and keep them quiet! The jnry acquitted her. This admitted practice of infantile suffocation in the Warwick workhouse appears to have passed without reprimand or even comment, although this plan of soothing infants is just as likely to be as fatal to them as surrounding their necks with a ligature.

SMOTHERING.

Smothering is only a variety of suffocation, and consists in the mere covering of the mouth and nostrils in any way so as to prevent the free ingress and egress of air. Like drowning, hanging, or strangulation, it produces death by asphyxia. In newly-horn infants it is not an unusual occurrence, sometimes originating in accident, and at others in criminal design. A young infant is very speedily destroyed

by smothering. If the mouth be only lightly covered over with clothing, or slightly compressed, so that respiration is interrupted, as in the act of carrying a child in the arms, this will suffice to cause death; and as it has been already remarked, death often takes place without being preceded by convulsious or other striking symptoms. Smothering is not often resorted to as a means of perpetrating murder, except in infants, or in debilitated and infirm adults. Certain trials which took place some years since, clearly proved that individuals, in a state of intoxication or infirmity, had been murdered by smothering for the sake of the money derived from the sale of the dead bodies! It will be sufficient to mention the trials of Burke and Macdougall iu Edinburgh, and of Bishop and Williams in this metropolis, as affording ample evidence of the past existence of this horrible system of secret murder. (See Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. April 1824, p. 236.) The victims were commonly destroyed by the nuarderer resting with his whole weight upon the thorax, so as to prevent the motion of the rihs, and at the same time forcibly compressing the mouth and nostrils by his hands, to prevent the ingress of air. A case of this kind was referred to me for examination in 1831, (Rex v. Elizabeth Ross, Old Bailey S. Dec. 1831). It was remarkable for the fact that the prisoner was convicted of homicidal suffocation, although the body of the deceased was never discovered (see Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 481). In Nov. 1844, a man was convicted at the Assizes of the Scine of the murder of a woman by placing a pitch-plaster over her face. A trial for murder by smothering took place at the Lincoln Leut Assizes, 1843. (The Queen v. Johnson.) The prisoner, while committing a burglary, tied the arms and legs of the deceased to a hed, so that she could not move, and then closely tucked the clothes over her head. After remaining some hours in this condition, the deceased died. The prisoner was convicted and For an important case, involving the question of death from homicidal smothering, or from apoplexy, see the Queen v. Heywood, Lancaster Summer Ass. 1839. As an accident, smothering may be conceived to take place when an individual falls in a state of intoxication and debility, so that his mouth becomes in any way covered, or the access of air to the mouth or nostrils interrunted. On an inspection of the body, the appearances described under the head of asphyxia will he met with in the organs of circulation and respiration; hence in a suspected case of murder, we must look for the common indications of asphyxia, and to the circumstances under which the body is found, before we can offer an opinion on the probable cause of death. (For some facts connected with this subject, see Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, ii. 485.)

CHAPTER LXII.

GASEOUS POISONS — MODE OF ACTION — ASPHYXIATING AND POISONOUS GASES—CAUSE OF DEATH MISTAKEN—CARBONIC ACID—SYMPTOMS — APPEARANCES — MODE OF ACTION—ABSORITION—TREATMENT — ANALYSIS — CHARCOAL VAPOUR — ITS EFFECTS—PRODUCTS OF BURNING WOOD—COAL AND COKE-VAPOUR—SULPHUROUS ACID—VAPOUR OF LIME AND BRICK-KILNS—CONFINED AIR—EFFECT OF CARBONIC ACID ON COMBUSTION—ITS DIFFUSION—COAL GAS—CARBURETTED HYDROGEN—CARBONIC OXIDE.

Mode of action of gaseous poisons .- In following common langusge, a medical jurist is obliged to apply the term suffocation to another variety of death; viz. to that of poisoning by gases. Physiological accuracy must here be sacrificed, in order that we may make ourselves generally intelligible. Thus, if a person die from the effect of carbonic acid,—of confined air,—of sulphurctted hydrogen, or other noxious gases, he is commonly said to die sufficiented. Strictly speaking, he dies poisoned; as much so as if he had taken oxalic or hydrocyanic acid. The only differences are,-1. That the poison, instead of being liquid or solid, is gaseous: and-2. Instead of being applied to the mucous membrane of the stomach, it affects that of the air-cells of the lungs. In the case of arsenuretted hydrogen (ante, p. 87), we have a clear instance of poisoning by a gas; and in the respiration of the narcotic vapours of chloroform and other, we have also illustrations of this form of poisoning. Owing to the fact that the poisonous material is in a finely divided state, and that in the aircells of the lungs it meets with a large absorbing surface, and instantly enters the blood, the effect is more rapid, and cæteris paribus, more powerful. It has been remarked, too, that some, and probably all of these aerial poisons, have an accumulative actiou; i. e. their effect continues to increase for a short period, even after the individual has ceased to respire them.

Asphyriating and poisonous gases.— The numerous gases with which chemists are acquainted, are found to vary materially in their operation when introduced into the lungs; and a division has been established among them, into those which have a negative and into those which have a positive action. The former alone can be considered to cause death by asphyria or suffocation; for the gases which have a positive influence must be regarded as poisons. Now, experiment has shown, that there are but two gases which are essentially negative in their operation,—these are Hydrogen and Nitrogen; all the others have a poisonous action when introduced into the body.

Indeed, with regard to HYDROGEN, some doubt may be fairly entertained respecting its claim to be considered as a truly negative agent; for the researches of Allen and Pepys in this country, and the observations of Wetterstedt in Sweden, have shown that it cannot be suhstituted for nitrogen in atmospheric air, without inducing somnolency and lethargy. (Berzelius, Traité de Chimie, vii. 106.) If, then, we admit that the greater number of the gases are poisonous, it is scarcely correct to regard these hodies as purely asphyxiating agents. The state of lifelessness which follows their introduction into the lungs, is not to be ascribed to the simple negation of air, as in the case of drowning, hanging, or strangulation, but to a deleterious impression produced on the system, something analogous in its effects to that which is observed to follow the ingestion of a poisonous dose of hydrocyanic acid. The difference is, that the poison is aerial, and applied to the surface of the lungs instead of the stonisch; but, strictly speaking, a person is no more suffocated by carbonic acid than

he is by arsenuretted hydrogen.

The cause of death mistaken.—The greater number of the poisources gases are chiefly complex products of art, and are never likely to be met with in the atmosphere so abundantly as to produce injurious consequences: -hence fatal accidents, arising from their inhalation, most commonly occur under circumstances which can leave no question respecting the real cause of death. The peculiar effects of all of these it will not be necessary to describe in this place; but there are two, a knowledge of the properties and operatious of which may, on certain occssions, he required of a medical jurist:—these are the CARBONIC ACID and SULPHURETTED HYDROGEN GASES. Agents of this description can rarely he employed with any certainty as instruments of murder; and if they were so employed, the fact could be established only hy circumstantial evidence. One alleged instance of murder by carbonic acid is, however, reported by M. Devergie. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1837, i. 201.) Death, when arising from the respiration of any of the gases, is generally attributable to suicide or accident. In France, it is by no means uncommon for individuals to commit self-destruction by sleeping in a closed apartment, in which charcoal has been suffered to burn; while in England, accidental deaths are sometimes heard of, where coal has been employed as fuel in small and ill-ventilated rooms. On such occasions a person may be found dead without any apparent cause to the casual observer,—the face may appear turnid and discoloured and the cutaneous surface may be covered with ecchymosed patches. The discovery of a body under these circumstances, will commonly be sufficient, in the eyes of the vulgar, to create a suspicion of murder; and some individual, with whom the deceased may have been at that period on bad terms, will, perhaps, be pointed out as the murderer. In such a case, it is ohvious that the establishment of the innocence of an accused party may depend entirely on the discrimination and judgment of a medical practitioner. An instance, illustrative

of the consequences of this popular prejudice, occurred in London in Six persous were lodging in the same apartment, where they were all in the habit of sleeping. Oue morning an alarm was given by one of them, a female, who stated that on rising she found her companions dead. Four were discovered to be really dead, but the fifth, a married man, whose wife was one of the victims, was recovering. He was known to have been on intimate terms with the female who gave the alarm, and it was immediately supposed that they had conspired together to destroy the whole party, in order to get rid of the wife. The woman who was accused of the crime was imprisoned; and an account of the supposed murder was soon printed and circulated in the metropolis. Many articles of food about the house were analysed, in order to discover whether they contained poison, when the whole of the circumstances were explained by the mau stating that he had placed a pan of burning coals between the two beds before going to sleep, and that the doors and windows of the apartment were closed. (Christison, 583.) A set of cases of a similar kind, iu which there was at first a very strong suspicion of poisoning, has been lately reported in the Medical Gazette, by Mr. Smith, of Liverpool (xxxvi. 937).

CARBONIC ACID.

Sources of.—This gas is freely liberated in respiration, combustion, and fermentation; it is also extricated in the calcination of chalk or limestone, and it is abundantly diffused through the shafts and galleries of coal-mines, where it is commonly called choke-damp. Carbonic acid gas is likewise net with in wells, cellars, and other excavations in the earth. In these cases it is found most abundantly generally on the soil or at the lower part of the well; and it appears to proceed from the decomposition of animal and vegetable matters confined in such situations. The slow evaporation of water stroagly charged with the gas, while trickling over the sides of these excavations, may likewise assist in contaminating the air. Damp sawdust or straw slowly absorbs oxygen from a conflued atmosphere, and sets free carbonic acid.

Symptoms.—The symptoms of poisoning by this gas will vary according to the degree of concentration in which it is present in the atmosphere respired. When it exists in a fatal proportion, the symptoms commonly observed are as follows:—A sensation of great weight in the head, giddiness, a sense of constriction in the temporal regions, a ringing in the ears, with a pungent sensation in the nose; a strong tendency to sleep, accompanied by vertigo, and so great a loss of muscular power, that if the individual be at the time in an erect posture, he instantly falls as if struck to the ground. The respiration, which is observed to be at first difficult and stertorous, becomes suspended. The action of the heart, which on the first accession of the symptoms is very violent, soon ceases. Sensibility is lost, and the person now

falls into a profound coma, or state of apparent death. The warmth of the body still continues; the limbs remain ferible, but they have been observed to become rigid or even occasionally couvulsed. The countenance is commonly of a livid or of a deep leaden colour, especially the eyelids and lips, but on some occasions it is stated to have been pale. The access of these symptoms has been sometines accompanied by a pleasing sensation of delirium, while at others the most acute pains have been suffered. In some instances there appears to have been irritability of the stomach; for the affected person has ejected the contents of the stomach in a semi-digested state. Those who have been resuscitated, have often felt pain in the head, or pain and soreness over the body for several days; while, in a few severe cases, paralysis of the muscles of the face has supervened on recovery.

Post-mortem appearances. - Externally, the whole of the body appears as if it were swollen, especially the face, which is generally livid, and the features are much distorted. The cutaneous surface is covered in parts by patches of a violet hue, but, in some justances, the skin has been extremely pale; the eyes are generally prominent, and, in many cases, retain their usual brilliancy for some time after death. The body of an individual who has perished from the inhalation of earbonic neid is said to retain the animal heat, exeteris paribus, for a longer period than usual; and hence, according to Orfila, cadaverous rigidity does not commonly manifest itself until after the lapse of many hours. In a case to be related presently, the body was, however, found to have cooled considerably within the short space of two hours. On making a post-mortem inspection, the venous system is found filled with blood of a dark colour; and the vessels of the lungs and brain are observed to be especially in a state of congestion. tongue appears swollen, and it is stated by Orfila that the mucous membrane of the intestinal canal is often interspersed with dark ecchymosed patches.

It will be seen that there is nothing very characteristic in the postnortem appearances, and thus it is always easy to ascribe death to
apoplexy or some other cause; but it should be remembered that
carbonic acid itself acts by inducing apoplexy or cerebral congestion.
A stove was actually allowed to be patented a few years since, the
principle of which was to allow of the escape of the products of combustiou in an invisible form into an apartment! There were many
educated persons so ignorant as to believe that, because the finmes were
invisible, they were inert; others speculated upon the quantity of carbonic acid evolved being small! The use of this stove appears to have
led to the death of a man named Trickey, in St. Michael's church, in
1838, and many other serious accidents. The case of Trickey is in
many respects worthy of the attention of the medical jurist. (See
Lancet, Nov. 1838.)

Mode of action on the body.—Some difference of opinion still exists respecting the manuer in which carbonic acid acts on the body.

Sir Humphry Davy ascertained that earbonic acid, in a perfectly pure state, did not pass into the traches when an attempt was made to respire it; the glottis seemed to close spasmodically at the moment that the gas came in contact with it. On diluting the carbonic acid with about twice its volume of air, he found that he could breathe it; but it soon produced symptoms of vertigo and somnolency. In fact, in a diluted state, it is certain that it must penetrate into the lungs, or otherwise it would be inmossible to explain why it should produce any other symptoms than those witnessed in the inhabition of hydrogen or nitrogen. The facts which have been collected by Dr. Christison show, in a striking point of view, that carbonic acid is a real and energetic poison of the narcotic kind. If, as Nysten supposed, it had a negative effect when respired, it ought to follow, that it might be substituted for nitrogen in the proportion in which that gas exists in atmospheric air. But a mixture of earbonic acid and oxygen, in atmospheric proportions, has been shown by M. Collard de Martigny, to produce rapidly fatal effects upon the animal system. Such a mixture cannot be breathed even for a period of two minutes, without giving rise to scrious symptoms.

Absorption.—When the gas enters into the pulmonary cells, it is probably absorbed by the blood, and circulated with that fluid throughout the body. Its specific action on the brain may be inferred from the headache, vertigo, somnolency and coma, which follow its introduction, as also from the loss of muscular power in persons labouring under its effects, as well as the paralysis which is sometimes seen in those who have recovered.

Poisonous proportions .- A very small proportion of carbonic acid, when respired for a certain time in combination with air, will suffice to destroy life in man or in any of the higher orders of animals. It is generally admitted by physiologists, that an atmosphere containing more than one-tenth of its volume of carbonic acid, will, if introduced into the lungs, speedily prove fatal to human life. M. Gnérard has lately called in question the general opinion that carbonic acid is very fatal to life. He says it may be mixed in very large proportions with atmospheric air without cansing death, and attributes the noxious effects of charcoal-vapour to carbonic oxide, which, he says, will prove fatal when in the proportion of only four or five per cent. (Ann. d'llyg. 1843, ii. 54.) If M. Guérard had extended his experiments to the Grotto del Cane, at Pozznoli near Naples, he would have found that mixtures which he describes as innocent, are speedily fatal to animal life. The air of the Grotto is a mixture of earbonic acid, common air, and aqueous vapour: it contains no carbonic oxide; and I have not only witnessed its fatal effects on animals, but have myself experienced the incipient symptoms of poisoning by carbonic acid from respiring it.

It is necessary in these cases to make a distinction between the contamination of air from the admixture of free carbonic acid, and the

ease where the carbonic acid is formed by combustion or respiration in a close apartment, at the expense of the oxygen actually contained in air. Every volume of carbonic acid formed by combustion, indicates an equal volume of oxygen removed. Such an atmosphere is, ceeteris paribus, more destructive than another where the air and gas are in a state of simple admixture. If we assume that in each case the noxious atmosphere contains ten per cent. of carbonic acid, then in the case of combustion, there will be seven per cent. less of oxygen and seven per cent. more of nitrogen, than where the gases are mixed, since the production of ten parts of carbonic acid implies the loss of ten parts of oxygen. This difference in the proportions may not be, practically speaking, correct; because there is no apartment sufficiently closed to prevent air rushing in from the exterior while combustion is going on within it; but, nevertheless, the above statement may be taken as an approximation to the truth. When the gas is respired in the lowest poisonous proportion, the symptoms come on more slowly, and the transition from life to death is frequently tranquil; this is what we learn from the bistories of suicides. The symptoms in such cases appear to resemble closely those which indicate the progressive influence of opium, or any other narcotic poison, on the body.

Treatment.—The best means for resuscitation are the employment of artificial respiration and cold affusion, with stimulating embrocations to the chest and extremities. If the surface be cold, a warm bath should be enuployed, and on the appearance of any signs of recovery, if there should be congestion of the cerebral vessels, venesection may be performed. If at hand, oxygen gas may be introduced into the lungs. A case, in which the use of this gas is said to have been successful, is quoted in the Lancet, July 26, 1844, p. 531. Oxygen gas was used for this purpose nearly forty years ago, by the late Dr. Babington. (Med. Chir. Trans. i. Art. 8.)

Analysis.—Sometimes a medical jurist may be required to state, for the purposes of justice, the nature of the gaseous mixture in which a person may have died. He will have but little difficulty in determining whether carbouic acid be the deleterious agent in such a mixture. When it exists in a confined atmosphere, its presence may be identified, if previously collected in a proper vessel, by the following characters. I. It extinguishes a taper if the proportion be above twelve or fifteen per cent.; and from the extreme density of the gas, the smoke of the extinguished taper may be commonly seen to float 2. Lime-water, or a solution of subacetate of lead, is instantly precipitated white when poured into a jar of the gas; and the precipitate thus formed may be collected by filtration, and proved to possess the well-known properties of carbonate of lime or lead. Air containing only one per cent. of carbonic acid, scarcely affects lime-3. When a solution of chloride of lime, coloured by litmus, is added, the blue colour, on agitating the bould in the gas, is discharged. This clearly distinguishes carbonic acid from nitrogen. The

proportion in which earhonic acid exists in a mixture, may be determined by introducing into a measured quantity, in a graduated tube over mercury, a strong solution of caustic potash. Absorption will take place after a certain time, and the degree of absorption will indicate the proportion of carbonic acid present. When this destructive agent exists in a confined spot, as in a well or cellar, it may be generally got rid of hy placing within the stratum a pan containing the hydrate of lime, loosely mixed into a paste with water, -hy exciting combustion at the mouth of the pit, or, what is better, where available, by a jet of high-pressure steam. Lives are often successively lost on these occasions in consequence of one individual descending after another, in the foolish expectation of at least being able to attach a rope to the hody of his companion. The moment that the mouth falls within the level of the stratum, all power is lost, and the person commonly sinks lifeless. The gas may be collected by lowering a bottle filled with fine sand hy means of a string attached to the neek, and guiding the bottle hy another string attached to its base. When the bottle is within the stratum, it should be turned with its mouth downwards, and when the sand has fallen out, rapidly raised with its mouth upwards, by pulling the string attached to the neck.

CHARCOAL-VAPOUR.

Products of burning charcoal.—The gas extricated during the combustion of charcoal, according to the experiments of Orfila, is not pure earhonic acid, but a very compound mixture. It operates fatally when respired, chiefly in consequence of the carbonic acid contained in it: the proportion of this gas is, however, subject to variation, according to whether the combustion be vivid or not. When the charcoal hurns vividly, the quantity of carbonic acid is, according to Orfila, less than when it is either nearly extinguished or beginning to hurn. In the former case, the carbonic acid is in the proportion of ahout cleveu per cent. by volume—in the latter, the proportion amounts to ahout four-teen per cent.; the remainder of the mixture is made up of air, of free nitrogen, and of a portion of carburetted hydrogen, if the charcoal he not too intensely ignited. (Orfila.)

Symptoms and post-mortem appearances.—The following case, illustrating the effects of charcoal-vapour, has been reported by Mr. Collambell. (Med. Gaz. xxvii. 693.) In January, 1841, a man was engaged to clean the windows of three small rooms on the basement-story of a house. The first room had a door opening into a court-yard—the others merely communicated with each other by a central door, and there was no fire-place in any one. A brazier of burning charcoal had heen placed in the outer room for the purpose of drying it, but it appeared that the deceased had shut the outer door, and had removed the brazier into the inner room of the three, leaving the communicating doors open. In two hours the man was found quite dead, lying on the floor of the middle room. The countenance was

pale, as well as the whole of the skin; the eyes were bright and staring, the pupils widely dilated; the lips exsanguine; the jaw firmly fixed; the tongue protruding, and the face and extremities cold. Some frothy mucus had escaped from the mouth. The person who discovered the deceased found the asbes in the brazier still burning, and he experienced great oppression in breathing. An inquest was held without an inspection, and a verdict of accidental death returned. The body was afterwards privately inspected by Mr. Collambell. opening the head, the vessels on the surface of the brain were found highly distended with dark liquid blood; the pia mater was bedewed The brain was of unusually firm consistence, and namerous bloody points appeared on making a section of it. The lateral ventricles were distended with about an ounce and a half of pale sernun, and the vessels of the plexus choroides were much congested. The cerebellum was firm, and presented on section numerous bloody points. About two ounces of serum, tinged with blood, were collected from the base of the skull. The lungs had a slate colour. On the left side of the chest there were eight ounces of serum, tinged with blood, and nearly an equal quantity on the right side. On cutting into the organs, a large quantity of serons fluid, mixed with blood, escaped. The bronchial tubes were filled with a frothy fluid, tinged with blood. The pericardium contained an ounce of pale serum: the heart was enlarged; the cavities contained no blood: the liver and kidneys were, however, much gorged. There was no doubt that the cause of death was the inhalation of carbonic acid; and it is probable that the man died from respiring but a comparatively small propor-The capacity of the chambers must have nearly reached two thousand cubic feet; the deceased bad been there only two hours, and when the person who discovered him entered the rooms, the air was not so vitiated but that he could breathe, although with some oppression. The fuel was then in a state of combustion.

Power of locomotion.—It often excites surprise on these occasions that no exertion is made to escape, when it would apparently require but very slight efforts on the part of the individual. The fact is, that the action of the vapour is sometimes very insidious; one of its first effects is to create an utter prostration of strength, so that even on a person awake and active, as in the case just related, the gas may specdily produce a perfect inability to move or to call for assistance. For some good remarks on the action of charcoal-vapour, by Dr. Bird, see Gny's Hospital Reports, April 1839; and for a case illustrative of the dangerous effects of the diluted vapour, see Ed. Med. and Surg. Jour. i. 541. In this instance, a charcoal brazier was left only for a short time in the cell of a prison. It was removed, and the prisoners went to sleep. They experienced no particular effects at first, but after some hours, two were found dead. Thus, then, an atmosphere which may be breathed for a short time with impunity, may ultimately destroy life.

In a case of alleged murder by carbonic acid, which occurred in Paris n few years since, a question was put to the medical witnesses, respecting the quantity of charcoal required to be hurut in a particular chamber in order to asphyxiate two adult individuals. (Ann. d'Ilyg. 1837, i. 201; 1840, 176: also, Brit. and For. Rev. xi. 240, and xxiii. 264.) This question could of course only be answered approximately; hecause in burning charcoal, the sole product is not carbonic acid, and the substance itself is hy no means pure carbon. Then, ngain, much of the carbonic acid formed may escape in various ways from an imperfectly closed apartment. An attempt was made to infer the quantity of charcoal consumed, from the weight of ashes found in the apartment; but no satisfactory answer could be given to the question. The prisoner was, however, convicted of murdering his wife by carbonic acid.

Products of burning wood .- M. Devergie has shown that the slow combustion of wood may lead to the evolution of a noxious vapour, and give rise to dangerous consequences (Ann. d'Hyg. 1835, i. 442). His remarks have been recently confirmed by two cases published by MM. Bayard and Tardicu. A man und his wife were found dead in bed. There was a smoky vapour in the upartment, but no fire hud been lighted in the grate, and the chimney was blocked up. The planks of the floor were widely separated, and there was a large hole in the hoards at the foot of the bed, communicating with the apartment below. It was found, on examination, that some joists connected with the flue of au iron plute, which had been heated for making confectionary the previous duy, were in a smouldering state; that the vapour had entered the bedroom of the deceased through the crevices in the floor, and not finding a vent by the chimney, had led to these fatal results. It is remarkable that the source of combustion was nearly nine yards distant, and one person, who slept neurer to the flue of the iron plate, entirely escaped. In the husband, the skin was of a reddish tint, the blood liquid, the cavities of the heart empty, the lungs gorged, and there were no subpleural ecchymoses. In the wife, there was less reduces of the skin, the blood was coagulated in the cavities of the heart, principally on the right side, extending to the vessels; less engorgement of the lungs, and a great number of subpleural ceebymoses, indicating that strong efforts had been made to respire. There was at first a rumour of poisoning, which was only disproved by a close examination of the locality. (Ann. d'Hyg. Oct. 1845, 369.)

COAL AND COKE-VAPOUR. SULPHUROUS ACID.

Products from burning coal and coke.—The gases extricated in the smothered combastion of coal are of a compound nature. In addition to carbonic acid, we may expect to find in the atmosphere of a close room, in which such a combustion has been going on, SULPHUROUS ACID GAS, and the sulphuretted and carburetted bydrogen

gases. These emanations are equally fatal to life; but in consequence of their very irritating properties, they give warning of their presence, and are therefore less liable to occasion fatal accidents. The sulphurous acid gas, when existing in a very small proportion in air, has the power of irritating the glottis so violently, that, if accidentally respired, it would commonly compel the individual to leave the spot before the vapours had become sufficiently concentrated to destroy life. Nevertheless, accidents from the comhustion of coal sometimes occur.

Symptoms and appearances.—The following cases will convey a knowledge of the symptoms and post-mortem appearances which are commonly met with ou these occasions. A few years since, four individuals, in a state of asphyxia, were brought to Guy's Hospital. appeared that on the evening before, they had shut themselves up in the forecastle of a coal-brig, and had made a fire. About six or seven o'clock the same evening, some of the crew accidentally placed a covering over the fire on the ontside, and thus stopped the escape of smoke from the fire, which was made of a kind of coal containing much sulphur. Early in the morning, oue of the crew, on opening the batches, observed three of the inmates lying on the floor senseless and frothing at the month; the fourth in his crib, in a similar condition. The air in the place was most offensive. After the men were brought on deck, one of them, aged twenty-one, began to recover, and when brought to the hospital, he seemed only giddy, as if intoxicated. He soon completely recovered. Another, aged forty, after hreathing oxygen gas and having brandy and ammonia exhibited to him, showed no symptom of recovery, but died in a few hours. third, aged seventeen, soon began to rally, and in a few hours was perfectly enabled to answer questions; he declared that he felt no pain, sense of oppression or weight either in his head or chest. The fourth, aged fifteen, died the following day, having exhibited no symptom of rallying. Stimulants were administered internally, and warm fomentations were used, but all efforts to produce reaction The appearance of the individuals when brought in, was as follows:—lips purple, countenance livid, surface of the body cold, hands and nails purple, respiration very quick and short; pulse small, quick, and feeble; pupils fixed, and there was total insensibility. The body of the man, aged forty, was inspected about four hours after death. The membranes of the hrain were congested, and there was a large quantity of fluid under the tunica arachuoides. The sinuses were gorged with blood. The lungs were in a state of great congestion, as also the right cavities of the heart. It was remarked, that this corpse was similar in appearance to that of an executed culprit. The body of the lad, aged fifteen, was inspected about thirty-three hours after death. Under the pia mater was observed one small ecchymosed spot; in the substance of the brain there were more bloody points than usual; a small quantity of fluid was found under the tunica arachnoides, and the sinuses were full of coagulated blood. The lungs showed no congestion, but the right cavities of the heart were much distended with blood. (For an account of two cases of recovery from the effects of coal-vapour, see Med. Gaz. ix. 935.)

An interesting case of the fatul effects of coal-vapour has been lately published by Dr. Davidson. The man lost his life from sleeping in a closed room with a fire to which there was no flue. The lnngs were found gorged with blood, and the trachea and hrouchi were filled with a frothy muce-sanguineous fluid; and the nuceous membrane beneath, slightly injected. There was a small effusion in each plenral cavity. The right side of the heart was full of dark liquid blood. The dura mater was much injected; the situates of the brain and the veins of the pia mater, were completely congested, and there was suth-arachnoid effusion. The substance of the hrain when cut, presented numerous bloody points. (Month. Jour., April 1847, 763.)

Analysis.—Sulphurous acid is immediately known by its powerful and suffocating odour, which resembles that of hurning sulphur. The best test for its presence is a mixture of iodic acid and starch, which speedily acquires a blue colour when exposed to the vapour.

VAPOUR OF LIME- AND BRICK-KILNS,

Gaseous products from lime-burning.—In the hurning of lime, carbonic acid is given out very abundantly and in a pure It has been owing to the respiration of the gas thus extricated, that persons who have ineautiously slept in the neighbourhood of a burning lime-kilu during a severe winter, have been destroyed. The discovery of a dead body in such a situation, would commonly suffice to demonstrate the real cause of death; hut a practitiouer ought not to be the less prepared to show that there existed no other apparent cause of death about the person. It is obvious that an individual might be murdered, and his body placed subsequently near the kiln by the murderer, in order to avert suspicion. If there he no external marks of violence, the stomach should he carefully examined for poison; in the absence of all external and internal lesions, medical evidence will avail hut little; for a person might he criminally suffocated, and his hody, if found under the circumstances above stated, would present no appearances upon which a medical opinion could he securely based. An accident is related by Foderé to have occurred at Marseilles iu 1806, where seven persons of a family were destroyed in consequence of their having slept on the ground-floor of a house, in the court-yard of which a quantity of linestone was being burnt into lime. They had evidently become alarmed, and had attempted to escape; for their hodies were found lying in various positions. The court-yard was euclosed, and the carboaic acid had poured into the apartment through the imperfectly-closed window and door. November 1838, a man died three days after being exposed to the vapours of a lime-kiln. (Guy's Hosp. Rep., April 1839.) The vapour

of a brick-kiln is equally deleterious, the principal agent being carbonic acid, although I have found that ammonia and muriatic acid are also abundautly evolved. In September 1842, two boys were found dead on a hriek-kiln near London, whither they had gone for the purpose of roasting potatoes. Although the cause of death in the two cases was clearly suffocation, in one instance the body was extremely livid, while in the other there was no lividity whatever! Such accidents are very frequent. In November 1844, an inquest was held at Manchester on the body of a man who had died under similar circumstances.

CONFINED AIR.

Symptoms and effects.—An animal confined within a certain quantity of air, which it is compelled to respire, will soon fall into a state of lifelessness. A human being in the same way may be suffocated, if confined in a close apartment where the air is not subject to change or renewal, and this effect is hastened when a number of persons are crowded together in a small space. The change which air, thus contaminated by respiration, undergoes, may be very simply stated. The quantity of nitrogen in a hundred parts will remain nearly the same, the quantity of oxygen will probably vary from eight to twelve per cent., while the remainder will be made up chiefly of carbonic acid. Such air will also have a high temperature, if many persons are crowded together, and will be saturated with aqueous vapour containing animal matter poured out by the pulmonary and cutaneous exhalants. From this statement, it is evident that air which has been contaminated by continued respiration, will operate fatally on the human system, partly in consequence of its being deficient in oxygen, and partly from the deleterious effects of the carbonic acid contained in it. The proportion in which carbouic acid exists in respired air, must be subject to great variation; according to the experiments of Alleu and Pepys, it never exceeds ten per cent. by volume of the mixture, how frequently soever it may have been received into and expelled from the lungs. Dalton found that the air in crowded rooms coatained about one per cent, of carhonic acid, the atmospheric proportion being therefore increased tenfold. It is certain that insensihility and death would ensue in a human adult, before the whole of the oxygen of the confined air had disappeared; but the opportunity can rarely present itself of analysing such a contaminated mixture, and hence it is impossible to specify the exact proportion in which carbonic acid would exist, when the confined air bad proved fatal to persons who had respired it. M. Lassaigne has shown, by direct experiment, that the carbonic acid in the air of close rooms is not collected on the floor, but equally diffused throughout. The whole mass of air is in fact vitiated and requires renewal. (Med. Gaz. axxvüi. 351.)

Combustion in mixtures containing carbonic acid.—In reference to poisoning by carbonic acid, there is one circumstance which requires attention. It is a matter of very popular belief, and, in fact, it is generally asserted by writers on asphyxia, that the burning of a candle in a suspected mixture of carbonic acid and air, is a satisfactory proof that it may be respired with safety. Recent observations have, however, tended to show that this statement is not to be relied on as affording an indication of security. A case is related by Dr. Christison, where a servant, on entering a cellar in which grape-juice was fermenting, was suddenly seized with giddiness. She dropped her caudle on the floor, but had time to leave the cellar and shut the door behind her, when she fell down senseless. Those who went to her assistance found, on opening the door, that the candle was still burning. ther case is referred to, where, in an attempt at suicide, on entering the apartment, the person was discovered to be in a state of deep coma, while the pan of charcoal was still burning; and in an instance just now reported, the same fact was observed (p. 736). The results of some experiments on this subject have led me to the conclusion that a candle will burn in air which is combined with even ten or twelve and a half per cent. of its volume of carbonic acid gas: and although such mixtures might not prove immediately fatal to man, yet they would soon give rise to giddiness, vertigo, insensibility, and ultimately death, in those who, after having been once immersed in them, did not hasten to quit the spot. In air containing a smaller proportion than this, - (five or six per cent.), -a candle will readily burn; but it is probable that such a mixture could not be long respired without causing fatal symptoms: hence the burning of a candle can be no criterion of safety against the effects of carbonic acid. is perfectly true that, in gascous mixtures where a candle is extinguished, it would not be safe to venture; but the converse of this proposition is not true; namely, that a mixture in which a candle burns, may be always respired with safety.

Diffusion of carbonic acid.—Of late years some important medicolegal questions have arisen, relative to the diffusion of this gas in air, when produced by combustion. It has been supposed that, owing to its great specific gravity (1.527), it would collect on the floor of an apartment, would gradually rise upwards, and suffocate individuals at different times, according to the level on which they might happen to be placed. Questions on this point have been variously answered, and great difference of opinion has arisen on the subject. Mcdical witnesses have often lost sight of two important points on which a correct answer to this inquiry must be based:—1. The law of the diffusion of gases; and, 2. The effect of heat in greatly diminishing the specific gravity of a gas naturally heavy. There is no doubt that, in a narrow or confined vessel, exposed to air, carbonic acid is slow in escaping,nevertheless it mixes and passes off with the air ;--and in the course of an hour or two, in spite of its great specific gravity, none will be

contained within the vessel. The well-known Grotto del Cane, at Pozzuoli, has been referred to by those who hold that carbonic acid always tends to remain on the lowest level; but it has been forgotten that in this, and other similar cases, carbonic acid is continually issuing from crevices in the soil, so that that which is lost by diffusion is continually replaced; bence the illustration proves nothing. It may suffice to state, that air and carbonic acid mix readily on contact in all proportions, although they enter into no chemical combination. Thus, then, at common temperatures, carbonic acid has no tendency to remain on the floor or soil, when there is a free access of air or contact with other gases. The heat of combustion diminishes the specific gravity of the gas, and the carbonic acid therefore ascends with the heated corrent of air, and diffuses itself in the upper part of an apartment, when there are no means of earrying it off. This is a fact demonstrable by many simple experiments. In burning a quantity of charcoal actively in an open brazier raised above the floor in a large apartment, I found that the proportion of carbonic acid was nearly cqual in air taken from a foot above and a foot below the level of the source of combustion, there being no currents to affect the results. Hence it follows that carbonic acid produced by combustion, has no particular tendency to collect at the lowest level; that it is uniformly diffused around, and probably it would be found by earcful experiments, that within apartments of small dimensions—those in which individuals are often accidentally suffocated—the upper strata of air contain as much or even more carbonic acid than the lower. For this reason, an apartment with a low ceiling is more dangerous under these circumstances, than one which is high-pitched.

Summary.—In a very large apartment, it would of course be improper to test the suffocating properties of the air, by the examination of it at a great distance from the source of combustion: since a person situated near this spot might be destroyed, while one at a distance might cseape—the earbonic acid not having completely diffused itself; or supposing it to bave become entirely diffused, the proportion may be so small as to render it harmless. It is well known, by the effects of the vapour of a lime-kiln, that one lying at the edge of the kiln may be destroyed, while another at ten yards distance, either on the same level or below it, may entirely escape; and it would not be possible, in such a case, to speculate upon the proportion of earbonic acid which had destroyed life, except by collecting the air from the spot where the accident occurred, and at or about the time of its Another fallacy appears to be, that because a dead body occurrence. is found recumbent, it is to be inferred that the individual must have lain down and have been destroyed while sleeping. The body of a dead person must always be found thus lying on a floor, unless it be supported; but suffocation may have actually taken place, or at least havo commenced, when the deceased was in the sitting or erect posture. Admitting that carbonic acid diffuses itself rapidly from com-

bustion in a small and closed apartment, it has been supposed that after having become mixed with the air, it would again in great part separate, and, owing to its superior density, fall to the lowest level on cooling. In answer to this it may be said, -1. That there are no facts to support the opinion, while there are many against it; for we do not find that the heaviest and lightest gases, when once really mixed, ever again separate from each other. -2. Practically this explanation amounts to nothing; because before the gas had cooled and reacquired its density, its asphyxiating properties would probably have had their full effect on all living persons within its reach. Persons are not suffocated by carhonic acid after the fuel is extinguished, and the apartment cooled; but the poisonous action of the gas is commonly manifested while the fuel is still hurning. The inferences which, it appears to me, we are entitled to draw from the preceding considerations, are,-1. That in a small and close apartment, individuals are equally liable to be suffocated at all levels, from the very equal and rapid diffusion of carbonic acid during combustion.—2. That in a large apartment, unless the gas be very rapidly diffused by a current of air,—the air around the source of combustion may become impregnated with a poisouous proportion, while that at a distance might be still capable of supporting life, because carbonic acid requires time for its perfect and equable diffusion in a very large space.

COAL-GAS. CARBURETTED HYDROGEN. CARBONIC OXIDE.

Since the introduction of coal-gas for the purposes of illumination, many fatal accidents have occurred from the respiration of air contaminated with it. Coal-gas is a very compound body, acting as a direct poison when respired. Its composition is subject to much variation, according to circumstances. Mitscherlich found that it was principally composed of light carburetted hydrogen, hydrogen, and carbonic oxide, in the proportions of 56 per cent. of the first, 21.3 of the second, and 11 of the third. M. Tourdes found that the proportions of light carburetted hydrogen and carbonic oxide were nearly equal, i. e. about 22 per cent. The difference in composition depends on the heat to which the gas has been submitted. Some consider that CARBONIC OXIDE is the poisonous principle; but there is no doubt that the hydrocarbons also have a noxious influence, although the use of the safety-lamp in mines proves that a mixture of protocarburetted hydrogen with air in a small proportion, may be respired without producing serious effects.

Symptoms and post-mortem appearances.—The symptoms produced by coal-gas when mixed in a large proportion with air, are vertigo, cephalalgia, nansea with vomiting, confusion of intellect with loss of cousciousness, general weakness and depression, partial paralysis, convulsions, and the usual phenomena of aspbyxia. The post-mortem appearances will be best understood from the following cases. In

January 1841, a family residing at Strasburg respired for forty hours an atmosphere contaminated with coal-gas which had escaped from a pipe passing near the cellar of the house where they lodged. On the discovery of the accident, four of the family were found dead. The father and mother still breathed, but, in spite of treatment, the father died in twenty-four hours: the mother recovered. On a post-mortem examination of the five hodies, there was a great difference in the appearances; but the principal points observed were congestion of the brain and its membranes, the pia mater gorged with blood, and the whole surface of the brain intensely red. In three of the cases, there was an effusion of coagulated blood on the dura mater of the spinal canal. The living membrane of the air-passages was strongly injected, and there was spread over it a thick viscid froth tinged with blood; the substance of the lungs was of a bright red colour, and the blood was congulated. (Ann. d'IIvg. Jan. 1842.) In two eases communicated by Mr. Teale to the Guy's Hospital Reports (No. viii.), there was found congestion of the hrain and its membranes, with injection of the lining membrane of the air-passages, cases, the blood was remarkably liquid. The circumstances under which the accident occurred were very similar. An old lady and her grand-daughter, who had been annoyed by the escape of gas during the day, retired to bed, and were found dead about twelve hours afterwards.

In the cases above given, the effects produced by coal-gas were owing to the long-continued respiration of it in a diluted state. The quantity contained in the air of the rooms must have been very small; in Mr. Tourdes' ease it was probably not more than 8 or 9 per cent., because a little above this proportion the mixture with air becomes explosive, and there had been no explosion in this case, although in the apartment in which the individuals were found dead, a stove had been for a long time in active combustion, and a candle had been completely hurnt out. In Mr. Teale's ease, those who entered the house perceived a strong smell of coal-gas; but still the air could be breathed. Coal-gas, therefore, like other aërial poisons, may destroy life if long respired, although so diluted as not to produce any serious effects in the first instance! This gas owes its peculiar odonr to the vapour of uaphtha: the odour begins to be perceptible in air when the gas forms only the 1000th part; it is easily perceived when forming the 700th part, but the odour is well marked when it forms the 150th part (Tourdes.) In most houses where gas is burnt, the odonr is plainly perceived; and it is a serious question whether health and life may not often be affected by the long-continued respiration of an atmosphere containing but a small proportion. The odour will always convey a sufficient warning against its poisonous effects. It should be known that this gas will penetrate into dwellings in a very insidious manner. In Mr. Teale's cases, the pipe from which the gas had escaped, was situated about ten feet from the wall of the bed-room

where the females slept. The gas had permeated through the loose earth and rubbish, and entered the apartment through the floor! It is impossible to determine exactly what proportion of this gas in air will destroy life. An atmosphere containing from 7 to 12 per cent. has been found to destroy rabbits and dogs in a few minntes: when the proportion was from $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 per cent. it had little or no effect. With respect to man, it may destroy life if long respired when forming about 9 per cent., i. e. when it is in less than an explosive proportion. (See B. and F. Med. Rev. xxix. 253; also, Ann. d'Hyg. 1830, i. 457.)

M. Tourdes has ascertained that rabbits died in twenty-three minutes when kept in an atmosphere containing 1-15th of its bulk of pure carbonic oxide. When the proportion was 1-30th, they died in thirty-seven minutes, and when 1-8th, in seven minutes. The action of this

gas on the body is that of a pure narcotic.

Analysis.—The circumstances under which the accident occurs will generally suffice to establish the nature of the gas. Coal-gas burns with a bright white light, producing carbonic acid and water. A taper should be cautiously applied to a small quantity; aince, when the gas is mixed with air in the proportion of from 11 to 14 per ceut., it is dangerously explosive. For this reason no lighted candle should be taken into an apartment where an accident has occurred, until all the doors and windows have been for some time kept open. The combustion of the gas, or its explosion with air, is a aufficient test of its nature; the peculiar odour, and the want of action on a salt of lead, will distinguish it from sulphuretted hydrogen.

Carbonic oxide is known by its burning with a pale blue light, and

producing carbonic acid and water by its combustion.

CHAPTER LXIII.

SULPHURETTED HYDROGEN GAS — ITS POISONOUS PROPERTIES— SYMPTOMS—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—EFFLUVIA OF DRAINS AND SEWERS—ANALYSIS—MEPHITIC VAPOURS—EXHALATIONS OF THE DEAD.

Poisonous proportions.—This gas, in a toxicological point of view, may be considered next in importance to carbonic acid. Individuals are occasionally accidentally killed by it; but the very offensive odour which a small portion of it communicates to a large quantity of air, is sufficient to announce its presence, and to prevent any dangerous consequences from taking place. The snlphuretted hydrogen gas, when

respired in its pure state, is almost instantaneously mortal. It exerts conally deleterious effects upon all orders of animals, and upon all the textures of the body. It is found to destroy life even when it is allowed to remain in contact with the skiu. Mr. Donovan states that a rabbit enclosed in a bladder of sulphuretted hydrogen gas, but allowed to breathe freely in the atmosphere, perished in ten minutes. introduced into the lungs of animals, even in a very diluted state, it has been known to give rise to fatal consequences. Thus, Thenard found that air which contained only one eight-hundredth of its volume of this gas, would destroy a dog; and that when the gas existed in the proportion of one two-hundred-aud-fiftieth, it sufficed to kill a The later researches of M. Parent-Duchâtelet, however, seem to show that the poisonous effects of the gas have been somewhat exaggerated, at least in the application of these results to man. He observed that workmen breathed with impunity an atmosphere containing one per cent. of sulphnretted bydrogen; and he states that he himself respired, without serious symptoms ensuing, air which contained three per cent. In most drains and sewers, rats and other vermin are found to live in large numbers; and, according to Gaultier de Claubry, the air in those localities contains from two to eight per cent. (Devergie, ii. 520.) Thus, admitting it to be a poison even more powerful than carbonic acid, it does not appear to be so energetic as Thénard's experiments would lead us to suppose. An atmosphere containing from six to eight per cent. of the gas, might speedily kill, although nothing certain is known of the smallest proportion required to destroy human life. One fact, bowever, is worthy of the attention of medical jurists, namely, that the respiration of an atmosphere only slightly impregnated with the gas may, if long continued, seriously affect an individual, and even cause death. M. d'Arcet had to examine a lodging in Paris, in which three young and vigorous men bad died suceessively, in the course of a few years, under similar symptoms. lodging consisted of a bed-room with a chimney, and an ill-ventilated ante-room. The pipe of the privy passed down one angle of the room by the head of the bed, and the wall in this part was damp from infiltration. At the time of the examination there was no perceptible smell in the room, although it was small and low. M. d'Arcet attributed the mortality in the lodging to the slow and long-continued action of the emanations from the pipe; and it is highly probable that this was the real cause. (Ann. d'Hyg. Juillet 1836.) The men who were engaged in working at the Thames Tunnel, suffered severely during the excavation from the presence of this gas in the atmosphere in which they were obliged to work. The case was referred to me for examination by Sir M. I. Brunel, in 1839. The air as well as the water which trickled through the roof, was found to contain sulpburetted hydrogen:-it was probably derived from the action of the water on the iron-pyrites in the clay. The gas issued in sudden bursts, so as to be at times perceptible by its odour. By respiring

this atmosphere, the strongest and most robust men were, in the course of a few months, reduced to an extreme state of exhaustion, and several died. The symptoms with which they were first affected were giddiuess, sickness and general debility; they became emaciated, and fell into a state of low fever, accompanied by delirium. In one case which I saw the face of the man was pale, the lips of a violet hue, the eyes sunk, with dark areolæ around them, and the whole muscular system flabby and emaciated. Chloride of lime and other remedies were tried for the purification of the air; but the evil did not entirely cease until the tunnel was so far completed that there was a communication from one side to the other, and free ventilation throughout.

Sumptoms.—The symptoms produced by sulphuretted hydrogen on the human system vary according to the degree of concentration in which it is respired. When breathed in a moderately diluted state, the person speedily falls inanimate. An immediate removal to pure air, venesection, and the application of stimulants, with cold affusion, may, however, suffice to restore life. According to the account given by those who have recovered, this state of inanimation is preceded by a sense of weight in the epigastrium and in the region of the temples; also by giddiness, nausca, sudden weakness, and loss of motion and sensation. If the gas in a still less concentrated state be respired for some time, coma, or tetanus with delirium, supervenes, preceded by convulsions or pain and weakness over the whole of the body. skiu, iu such cases, is commonly cold, the pulse irregular, and the respiration laborious. When the air is but very slightly contaminated by the gas, it may be breathed for a long time without producing any serious symptoms; sometimes there is a feeling of nansea or sickness, accompanied by pain in the head, or diffused pains in the abdomen. These symptoms are often observed to affect those who are engaged in chemical manipulatious with this gas. Sulphuretted hydrogen appears to act like a narcotic poison when highly concentrated; but like a narcotico-irritant when much diluted with air. It is absorbed into the blood, to which it gives a brownish-black colour, and it is in this state circulated throughout the body.

Post-mortem appearances.—On examining the bodies of persons who have died from the effects of sulphuretted hydrogen, the following appearances have been observed. The mucous membraue of the nose and faaces is commonly covered by a brownish viseid flaid. A highly offensive odour is exhaled from all the cavities and soft parts of the body. These exhalations, if received into the lungs of those eagaged in making the inspection, sometimes give rise to very unpleasant symptoms, and may even cause syncope or asphysia. The muscles of the body are of a dark colour, and are not susceptible of the galvanic stimulus. The lungs, liver, and the soft organs generally, are distended with black liquid blood. There is also great congestion about the right

side of the heart, and the blood is said not to become coagulated after death: the hody rapidly undergoes the flutrefactive process.

Effluvia of drains and sewers.—The most common form of accidental poisoning by sulphuretted hydrogen, for it is rare that a case occurs which is not purely accidental, is witnessed in nightmen and others who are engaged in cleaning out drains and sewers, or in the removal of the soil of privies. These accidents are much more frequent in France than in England, the soil being often allowed to collect in such quantities in Paris and other large continental cities, as to render the removal of it a highly dangerous occupation for the work-According to the results of Thénard's observations, there are two species of compound gases, or mechanical mixtures of gases, which are commonly met with in the exhalations of privies. first compound consists of a large proportion of atmospheric air holding diffused through it, in the form of vapour, the hydrosulphuret of ammonia. The hydrosulphuret is contained abundantly in the water of the soil, and is constantly rising from it in vapour, and diffusing itself in the surrounding atmosphere. It is this vapour which gives the highly unpleasant odour, and causes an increased secretion of tears in those who unguardedly expose themselves to such exhalations. The symptoms produced by the respiration of this gaseous mixture when in a concentrated state, bear a close resemblance to those which result from the action of sulphurctted hydrogea gas. If the person be but slightly affected, he will probably complain of nausea and sickness; his skin will be cold, his respiration free but irregular; the pulse is commonly frequent, and the voluntary muscles, especially those of the chest, are affected by spasmodic twitchings. If more seriously affected, he loses all power of seuse and motion, the cutaneous surface becomes cold, the lips and face assume a violet hue, the mouth is covered by a sanguineous mucus, the pulse is small, frequent, and irregular; the respiration hurried, laborious, and convulsive; and the limbs and trunk are in a state of general relaxation. If still more severely affected, death may take place immediately: or should the person survive a few hours, in addition to the above symptoms, there will be short but violent spasmodic twitchings of the muscles, sometimes even accompanied by opisthotonos. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 70.) the individual be sensible, he will commonly suffer the most severe pain, and the pulse may become so quick and irregular that it cannot bo counted. When the symptoms are of such a formidable nature, it is very rare that a recovery takes place. The appearances met with on making a post-mortem examination of the body, are similar to those observed in death from sulphuretted hydrogen. The inspection should be made with caution; for a too frequent respiration of the poisonous exhalations may seriously affect the practitioner. treatment is the same as in poisoning by carbonio acid.

A singular accident occurred in this metropolis in Angust 1847, in

which a man lost his life by the evolution of a quantity of sulphuretted bydrogen from a foul drain. It appears that shortly before the accident, a large quantity of oil of vitriol had been poured down the drain communicating with a privy. The deceased entered the yard, and was soon afterwards found on the pavement in a dying state. On inspection of the body, the brain was healthy; but the lungs were gorged with blood, which had the offensive odour of sulphuretted hydrogen gas. The medical witness referred death to this gas, and stated that lime had been thrown into the drain, that sulphuret of calcium had probably been formed, and that the sulphuretted hydrogen, which had led to the death of the deceased, had been evolved from this by the vitriol. It is more probable, however, that the gas was evolved by the decomposition of the hydrosulphuret of ammonia, which always abounds in such localities.

The following case, which has a close relation to this subject, occurred in London in 1831:-Twenty-two boys, living at a boardingschool at Clapham, were seized, in the course of three or four hours, with alarming symptoms of violent irritation in the stomach and bowels, spasms of the muscles of the arm, and excessive prostration of strength. One child, which had been similarly attacked three days before, died in twenty-five hours; and one, among the last attacked, died in twenty-three hours. Both of the bodies were examined after death; in the first, the mneous glands of the intestines were found enlarged, and, as it were, tuberculated. In the second, the mucous coat of the small intestines was found ulcerated, and that of the colon softened. At first it was suspected that the boys had been poisoned, but analysis of the food did not lead to the discovery of any noxious substance. The only circumstance which was considered sufficient to explain the accident, was, that two days before the first child was scized, a foul cesspool had been opened, and the materials diffused over a garden adjoining the children's playing-ground. This was the opinion expressed by six medical practitioners. (Christison on Poisons, 810.)

Analysis.—The recognition of these gases is a very simple operation. The odour which they possess is sufficient to determine their presence, even when they are diluted with a large quantity of atmospheric air. The sulphuretted hydrogen gas is at once identified by its action on paper previously dipped in a soluble salt of lead: if present even in very small proportion, the moistened paper speedily acquires a brownish black stain from sulphuret of lead. The sulphuretted hydrogen may be also thus proved to exist in the vapour of hydrosulphuret of ammonia when mixed with air; and the presence of ammonia is indicated in the compound, by the volatile alkaline reaction on testpaper; also by holding in the vessel containing the vapour recently collected, a rod dipped in strong muriatic acid: the production of dense white fumes announces the formation of muriate of ammonia. It is a fact, which cannot be too universally known, that a candle will

readily burn in a mixture of either of these bodies with air, which, if respired, would suffice to destroy life. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 69.) It is also worthy of remark, that the air of a cesspool may be often respired with safety, until the workmen commence removing the soil, when a large quantity of mephitic vapour may suddenly escape, which will lead to the immediate suffocation of all present. Several persons have been killed by trusting to the burning of a candle, in ignorance of this fact. The best plan for getting rid of the gas, is by a free exposure of the locality, or by exciting active combustion in it. According to Parent-Duchâtelet, meu can work in an atmosphere containing from two to three per cent. of sulphuretted hydrogen. The air of oue of the principal sewers of Paris gave the following results, on analysis in 100 parts:—oxygen, 13-79; nitrogen, 81-21; carbonic acid, 2-01; sulphuretted hydrogen, 2-99.

Mephitic vapour.—There is another species of deleterious compound present in these exhalations, of a very different nature. It is more rarely met with than the preceding, and consists, in 100 parts, according to Thénard,—of nitrogen, 90; of oxygen, 2, and carbouic acid, 4. Sometimes the carbonic acid gas is combined with ammonia, and then it may be regarded, chiefly, as a mixture of nitrogen holding diffused through it the vapour of carbonate of ammonia, which is sufficient to render it highly irritating to the mucous membrane of the cycs and nose. Its action on the human body when respired, will be readily understood from this statement of its chemical composition. In its operation it must be regarded as exerting an influence essentially negative; for the small proportion of carbonic acid or of carbonate of ammonia existing in it, cannot he supposed to give rise to the asphyxia which so rapidly follows its inhalation. The chances of recovery are much greater in persons who become asphysiated from the inspiration of this compound, than in those who are exposed to the influence of the preceding. Commonly the immediate removal to a pure air is sufficient to bring about a recovery; for the asphyxia is originally induced, owing to there being an insufficient portion of oxygen in the mixture to sustain life. Should death take place, it will be found, on a post-mortem inspection, that the internal appearances are the same as those which are met with in the examination of the bodies of the hanged or the drowned.

Analysis.—This compound extinguishes a taper: the carbonic acid contained in it may be removed by caustic potash, and then it will be seen, that the great bulk of the mixture is formed of nitrogen,—a gas which, by its negative properties, cannot be easily confounded with any other. In a mixed atmosphere of carbonic acid and sulphuretted hydrogen, the two gases may be separated by agitating the mixture with a solution of acetate of lead, and treating the precipitale with acetic acid, which dissolves the carbonate and leaves sulphuret of lead.

EXHALATIONS OF THE DEAD.

It may not be inappropriate to make a few remarks in this place, on the alleged danger of the exhalations given off hy dead hodies in a state of putrefactive decomposition. Formerly there existed a groundless fear relative to the examination of a putrefied dead body; and during the last century, on several important occasions, medical witnesses refused to examine the bodies of deceased persons, who were presumed to have been murdered, alleging that it was an occupation which might be attended with serious consequences to themselves. Orhia has collected many accounts of the fatal effects which are recorded to have followed the removal of the dead some time after interment. (Traité des Exhumations, vol. i. p. 2, et seq.) He allows, however, that the details of most of these cases are exaggerated, and attributes to other causes the effects which followed. Indeed, the observations of Thouret and Foureroy prove that these dangers are restricted within a very narrow compass, and that in general, with common precautions, the dead may be disinterred and transported from one locality to another, without any risk to those engaged in carrying on the exhumations. About the latter part of the last century, from fifteen to twenty thousand bodies, in almost every stage of decomposition, were removed from the Cimetière des Innocens in Paris; and the accidents that occurred during the operations, which lasted ten months, were, comparatively speaking, few. The workmen acknowledged to Fourcroy, that it was only in removing the recently interred corpses, and those which were not far advanced in decomposition, that they incurred any danger. In these cases, the abdomen uppeared to be much distended with gaseous matter. -if ruptured, the rapture commonly took place about the navel, and there issued a sanious fetid liquid, accompanied by the evolution of a menhitic vapour. probably a mixture of carhonic acid and sulphuretted hydrogen. Those who respired this vapour at the moment of its extrication, fell instantly iuto a state of asphyxia, and died; while others, who were at a distance, and who consequently respired it in a diluted state, were affected with nausca, vertigo, or syncope, lasting for some hours, and followed by weakness and trembling of the limbs. Chloride of lime was formerly employed for decomposing these vapours; but a strong solution of nitrate of lead, or chloride of zinc, may be substituted for it: -the latter has been found very efficacious.

Several lives have heen lost of late years from the crowded state of the burial-grounds of London. A deep grave is dug, and this is kept open to be piled with coffins until filled. Persons venturing into these graves are immediately suffocated. The earth in these localities is strongly impregnated with noxious exhalations; and no excavation can be made without its becoming immediately converted into a well of carbonic acid! This appears to he the poisonons gas to which fatal accidents in these localities are most commonly due. (See on this

suhjeet Henke's Zeitschrift, 1840, ii. 446. Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, 216; 1840, 131; 1843, 28, 32.)

In addition to these there are other gases of a poisonous nature which are for the most part artificial products. It is seldom that individuals are exposed to respire them in such quantity as to cause scrious symptoms or to endanger life. For an account of these, I must refer the reader to my work on Poisons.

LIGHTNING. COLD. STARVATION.

CHAPTER LXIV.

LIGHTNING—EFFECTS OF THE ELECTRIC PLUID—CAUSE OF DEATH
—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—CASES—LEGAL RELATIONS—
COLD—AN OCCASIONAL CAUSE OF DEATH—SYMPTOMS—CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ACCELERATE DEATH—POST-MORTEM APPEARANCES—CASE OF MURDER BY COLD—STARVATION—A RARE CAUSE
OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE—LEGAL RELATIONS.

LIGHTNING.

Effects of the electric fluid .- Death hy lightning is sufficiently common to require that a medical jurist should be prepared to understand the phenomena which accompany it: hut there is a more inportant reason why he should devote some attention to this subject; this is, that the appearances left by the electric fluid on the human body sometimes closely resemble those produced by extreme mechanical violence. Thus, a person may be found dead in an open field, or on the highway; his body may present the marks of contusion, laceration, or fracture; and to one unacquainted with the fact that such violence occasionally results from the passage of this subtle and invisible agent through the animal system, it might appear that the deceased had been maltreated and prohably murdered. The greater number of deaths from the electric fluid take place during the spring and summer. According to the annual report of 1838, there were 24 deaths from lightning registered during that year, occurring in the following seasons: -summer, 11; spring, 10; autumn, 2; winter, 1.

Cause of death.—The electric fluid appears to act fatally hy producing a violent shock to the brain and nervous system. there is no sense of pain-the individual falls at once into a state of unconsciousness. In a case which did not prove fatal, the patient, who was seen soon after the accident, was found labouring under the following Insensibility; deep, slow and interrupted respiration; entire relaxation of the muscular system; the pulse soft and slow; the pupils dilated, but sensible to light. (Med. Gaz. xiv. 654.) will he seen that these are the symptoms of concussion of the brain. The effect of a slight shock is that of producing stunning; and when individuals who have been severely struck, recover, they suffer from tinnitus aurium, paralysis, and other symptoms of nervons disorder. Insanity has even heen known to follow a stroke of lightning. (Conolly's Report of Hanwell, 1839.) In one case the individual remained delirious for three days, and when he recovered he had completely lost his memory. (Lancet, Aug. 3, 1839, 582.) Reaction is best brought ahout in cases of slight shocks by cold affusion.

It may he observed of the effects of lightning generally, that death is either immediate, or the judividual recovers. A person may, however, linger and die from the effects of severe lacerations or bnrns indirectly produced. A case occurred in this city, in July 1838, where death was thus caused indirectly by the effects of electricity. The following case of recovery illustrates the action of the electric fluid: -Three persons were struck by lightning at the same time. In one, a healthy man, aged 26, the symptoms were very severe. An hour and a half after the stroke, he lay completely unconscious as if in a fit of apoplexy; -his pulse was below 60, full and hard, his respiration snoring, his pupils dilated and insensible. There were frequent twitchiugs of the arms and hands; the thumbs were fixed and immoveable, and the jaws firmly clenched. Severe spasms then came on, so that four men could searcely hold the patient in his hed; and his body was drawn to the left side. When these symptoms had abated, he was copiously hled, cold was applied to the head, and a hlister to the nape of the neck, with mustard poultices to the legs. Stimulating enemata and opium were also administered,—in the course of twenty-four honrs consciousness slowly returned, and the man soon completely recovered. The only external injury discoverable was a red streak as broad as a finger, which extended from the left temple over the neck and chest; this disappeared completely in a few days. (Brit. and For. Med. Rev. Oct. 1842.)

Post-mortem appearances.—Generally speaking, the body, externally, presents marks of contusion and laceration about the spot where the electric current has entered or passed ont;—sometimes a severe lacerated wound has existed:—on other occasions there has been no wound or laceration, but a very extensive ecchymosis, which, according to Meyer, is most commonly found on the skin of the back. In one instance which occurred in London in May 1839, there were no

external marks of violence whatever, and several similar eases are quoted from American journals in the Medical Times (May 3, 1845, p. 82.) I bave not met with the account of any case where the appearance of a burn has been produced by the direct action of a stroke of lightning; for in those instances in which burns have been found upon the body, it appears that ignition of the clothes or articles of furniture had taken place, to which alone the burning was to be The clothes are in almost all cases rent or torn and partially singed, giving rise to a peculiar odour—sometimes even rolled up in shreds and carried to a distance. Metallie substances about the person present traces of fusion, and articles of steel have been observed to acquire magnetic polarity. Actual ignition of the clothes is far from being a usual attendant on the passage of a current of electricity through the human body. Wounds are sometimes met with on the body. These have commonly been lacerated punctures, like stabs produced by a blunt dagger. In the recent case of an individual who was struck, but not killed, a deep wound was produced in one thigh, almost laying bare the femoral artery. This individual was struck, as many others have been, while in the act of opening an umbrella during a storm. Fractures of the bones have not been commonly observed; in a case mentioned by Pouillet, the skull was severely fractured, and the bones depressed. (Traité de Physique, Elect. Atmosph.)

The following complete account of the external and internal postmortem appearances found in the body of a healthy middle-aged labourer, who was killed by a stroke of lightning, has been published by Dr. Schaffer. The man was working in the fields with several other labourers, just after a thunder-storm had passed over, and had apparently subsided. He was endeavouring to kindle a light with a flint and steel, when the lightning struck him. For a moment after the shock be stood still, and then fell heavily to the ground. The electric fluid entered at the upper part of his forchead, perforating and tearing his hat at that part: it seemed then to have become divided into two currents, which passed down the sides of the trunk, along the lower extremities, and out at the feet. On the upper part of the forehead was found a soft swelling, of a dark blue colour, and about the size of the nalm of a hand; the hair which covered it was minimed. snot two dark red streaks proceeded in different directions:—one of these nassed to the left, running over the temple, in front of the left car, down the neck to the surface of the chest, over which it passed between the left uipple and the axilla, and so made its way down the trunk to the left inguinal region, where it formed a large, irregular, seorchedlooking (brandige) patch on the skin. From this point the dark-red streak again continued its downward course, passing over the great trochanter, then along the outer surface of the left lower extremity to the back of the foot, where it terminated in several small dark-blue The other streak, which proceeded from the eechymosed snots.

swelling on the forehead, passed directly to the right ear, which was considerably swollen and of a dark-hlue colour:-from the ear it ran downwards and backwards along the neck, crossed the right border of the scapula, and eventually reached the right groin, where was found a seorched patch of skiu similar to that in the right groin. From this part the discoloured streak was continued down the outer side of the leg to its termination on the back of the foot, just as on the left side. It is remarkable, that although the hair on the forchcad was not burut, nor that which occurred in any part of the track taken by the electric current down to the groin, yet at the groin itself, and at every part heuce to the foot over which the electric stream passed, the hairs were completely burnt. The cause of the skin in the groins being burnt, may probably be ascribed to the buckles of a belt which the man wore round his abdomen at the time of the accident, and which was completely destroyed. Nothing further worthy of notice was observed on the exterior of the body with the exception of the face being very red. The swelling of the head was found to he due to the presence of a large quantity of extravasated blood. The bone beneath was not injured. Blood was extravasated at other parts of the scalp, corresponding to the swollen discoloured places outside; about four The vessels of the cerebral membranes ounces had been effused. were much congested, and the hrain itself contained a large quantity of blood, especially the choroid plexuses. A large quantity of reddish mucus was found in the larynx, trachea and bronchi. lungs were loaded with dark blood; there was a great deficiency of blood in the cavities of the heart and in the large vessels. The bloodvessels of the stomach and intestines were more than usually congested. The right lobe of the liver was of a dark-red colour, and loaded with blood, especially the part which corresponded to the burnt patch of integument at the lower part of the abdomen. The spleen also was large and loaded with blood. Much blood was found accumulated in the substance of the muscular walls of the abdomeu at those parts which lay heneath the burnt surfaces outside. (Oesterreich. Med. Wochenschrift, 6 Juni, 1846.)

In another ease, that of an old man killed by lightning, the external surface of the hody presented very slight marks of violence, except the left ear, which was severely lacerated. On opening the head, the left hemisphere of the brain was found entirely disorgan zed, forming a homogeneous mass, almost liquid, of a greyish colour, and without a vestige of normal structure, except a small portion of the corpus striatum, which had retained its natural appearance and situation. The left lung was partly injured. The skin of the abdomen was marked by black longitudinal superficial lines. On the skin of the left ankle there was an ecchymosed spot, and at the point of the foot a deep wound. The hat and shoes of the deceased had heen destroyed, but the rest of his clothes were uninjured. (Heller's Journal, Feb. 1845, 245.)

The blood is said not to become coagulated in the bodies of those who bave been killed by lightning, while the muscles of such subjects are described as being constantly in a state of perfect relaxation, and never displaying any appearance of cadaverous rigidity. These statements are not supported by observation. Experiments carefully performed, bave shown that blood through which electric discharges have been transmitted, will coagulate as quickly as that which has not been thus treated; and further, Sir C. Scudamore discovered that, on examining the bodies of animals killed by the discharge of a powerful galvanic battery, the blood in the veins was always in a solid state. There is obviously, therefore, nothing in the action of the electric fluid to retard or prevent the coagulation of the blood. With respect to the alleged absence of cadaverous rigidity, there are many circumstances which may accelerate or retard the accession of this state in the dead muscle,—it may take place and disappear quickly, and the subject may not be seen at that particular time by the medical examiuer. Sir B. Brodic remarked, that the body of an animal killed by electricity became, as usual, rigid after death. In an accident which occurred in France in August 1846, a group of labourers was struck by the electric fluid: -- four were killed on the spot, and five or six severely wounded. It was remarked, that the individual whose body bore the most extensive marks of injury, had worn a goat-skin. There were the most severe lacerations about it, and in three hours after death it became perfectly rigid. In most of those who were struck, the skin was reddened, but the clothes bore no marks of bnrning. (Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 351.) Putrefaction is also said to be hastened in these subjects; but putrefaction is modified by many varying circumstanees, and death by lightning usually takes place during summer, when the process is most readily developed. It does not appear that the process takes place more speedily than in sudden or violent death from any other cause. Very few reports bave been published of the appearances met with in the body, in cases of death from lightning. The body of a person who has died under these circumstances, is seldom examined for a coroner's inquest,—the cause of death being sufficiently obvious, without a post-mortem examination.

The following appearances were found in the body of *Professor Richman*, who was killed at St. Petersburgh, in 1753, while engaged in some experiments on atmospheric electricity. On the left side of the forchead, where the deceased had been struck by the electric current, there was a round ecchymosed spot. There were eight other patches of ecchymosis of variable size, extending from the neck to the hip, principally on the left side. Some of these, situated on the trunk, resembled the marks produced by gunpowder, when discharged in contact with the skin. The left shoe was torn open at the buckle without being singed or burnt; but the skin around was slightly ecchymosed. Internally a quantity of blood was found extravasated in the trachea, the lungs, and the layers of the omentum. The omen-

tum presented the appearance of having been violently contused. (Marbach's Enkyklopädie, Plitz.) For a further account of the effects of the electric fluid on the human subject see Henke, Zeitschrift der S. A. 1844, i. 193.

Legal relations.—Raro as the combination of circumstances must be, in which a medico-legal question can arise in reference to the action of the electric fluid on the hody, a case was tried in France, in October 1845, in which medical evidence respecting the characters of wounds caused by electricity, was of considerable importance. In August of that year some buildings were destroyed at Malannay near Rouen, as it was alleged, on the one side by a thunder-storm, on the other by a whirlwind; and as the parties were insured against lightning, they brought an action for recovering the amount insured. The evidence in favour of the accident having been due to electricity, consisted, -1st, in the alleged carbonized appearance of the leaves of some trees and shrubs growing near; and, 2d, in the characters of the wounds on the bodies of several persons who were injured at the time of the occurrence. M. Lesauvage stated at the trial, that there was an appearance of dark stains scattered over the bodies, and those who survived suffered from torpor, pains in the limbs, and partial paralysis of motion. He observed also, that decomposition took place very specdily in the bodies of those who were killed. In one instauce the muscles were torn and lacerated, and some small arterics divided. This witness attributed most of the wounds to a current of electricity. M. Funel deposed, that in some of the dead bodies which he examined, the face and neck were bloated and discoloured, as if death had taken place from asphyxia. It does not appear, however, that there were any circumstances decisively proving that the buildings had been destroyed by lightning. M. Pouillet has given an accurate description of the storm: he believed that, although, as deposed to by some of the witnesses at the trial, it may have been attended with thunder and lightning, the buildings with the surrounding trees were overthrown by the merc force of the wind, and not by the electric fluid. The description given bears out this view, but at the same time it is, I believe, a very rare circumstance that trees when struck, unless old or dry and withcred, bear any marks of combustion about the leaves or trnnk; and the wounds on a person are not likely to present the characters of burns, unless there are at the same time obvious marks of burning about the clothes. (See Comptes Rendus, Sept. 1845; also, Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 1133.) The scientific evidence was of the most conflicting kind. The Royal Court of Rouen decided that the disaster was occasioned by the atmosphere; and without entering into the various theories of storms, condemned the Insurance Companies to pay the amount claimed. (Law Times, March 14, 1846, 490.)

COLD.

An occasional cause of death.—The protracted exposure of the human body to a very low temperature may become a cause of death; and although in this country cases but rarely occur in which cold alone operates fatally, it is not unusual during a severe winter, to hear of persons being found dead in exposed situations, and in a state of misery and destitution. On these occasions we may reasonably suspect that the want of proper food and nourishment has accelerated death. It is, however, econvenient to make a distinction between the effects of cold and of inanition on the system, as the symptoms preceding death and the rapidity with which that event takes place, are very different in the two cases.

Symptoms.—A moderate degree of cold is well known to have an invigorating effect upon the body, but if the cold be severe and the exposure to it long continued, while the calorific function is not maintained by warmth of clothing or exercise, the skiu becomes pale and the muscles become gradually stiff and contract with difficulty, espeeially those of the face and extremities. Sensibility speedily disappears,—a state of torpor ensues, followed by profound sleep from which the person cannot be readily roused: in this state of lethargy, the vital functions gradually cease, and the individual finally perishes. Such are the general effects of intense cold on the body. The effect of cold on the nervous system is seen in the numbress, torpor, and somnolency which has been described as consequences of a long exposure to a very low temperature. Giddiness, dimness of sight, tetanus and paralysis, in some cases precede the fatal insensibility which involuntarily steals on the individual. It was observed during the retreat of the French from before Moscow, that those who were most severely affected by cold often reeled about as if in a state of intoxication,—they also complained of vertigo and indistinctness of vision, and sank under a feeling of lassitude into a state of lethargic stupor, from which it was found impossible to rouse them. Sometimes the nervous system was at once affected; -tetanic convulsions followed by rigidity of the whole of the voluntary muscles, seized the individual, and he rapidly Symptoms indicative of a disturbance of the functions fell a victim. of the brain and nervous system, have also been experienced by Arctic travellers during their residence within the Polar circle.

Circumstances which accelerate death.—There are certain conditions which may accelerate death from cold. In all cases where there is exhaustion of the nervous system, as in the agcd and infirm,—in those who are worn out by disease or fatigue,—or, lastly, in those who are addicted to the use of intoxicating liquors, the fatal effects of cold are much more rapidly manifested than in others who are healthy and temperate. It has been uniformly remarked, that whenever the nervous energy is impaired either by intoxication or exhaustion from fatigue, the subject falls an easy victim to cold. The exposure of per-

sons in a state of intoxication during a severe winter, may therefore suffice to destroy life; although the cold might not be so intense as to affect others who were temperate. Casualties of this nature some. times occur during the winter season in this metropolis; and a knowledge of the influence of intoxication in accelerating death under such circumstances, may occasionally serve to remove any doubt in the mind of a practitioner respecting the real cause. Young infants, especially when newly born, easily perish from exposure to cold (ante, p. 485). Cold, when accompanied by rain and sleet, appears to have a more powerfully depressing influence than when the air is dry-probably from the effects of evaporation. The following case by Dr. Currie, shows the fatal effects of cold winds accompanied by humi-"Of several individuals who clung to a wreck, two sat on the only part that was not submerged; of the others, all were constantly immersed in the sca, and most of them up to the shoulders. Three only perished, two of whom were generally out of the sea, but frequently overwhelmed by the surge, and at other times exposed to heavy showers of sleet and snow, and to a high and piercing wind. Of these two, one died after four hours' exposure, the second died three hours later, although a strong healthy adult, and inured to cold and hardship. The third that perished was a weakly man. The remaining cleven, who had been more or less completely submerged, were taken from the wreck the oext day, after twenty-three hours' exposure, and recovered. The person among the whole who seemed to have suffered least, was a negro: of the other survivors, several were by no means strong men, and most of them had been inured to the warm climate of Carolina."

Post-mortem appearances.—Opportunities rarely occur of examining bodies, when death results purely from exposure to cold. The surface is commonly pallid, and the viscera of the chest and abdomen as well as the brain, are congested with blood. Dr. Kellie, of Leith, found in two cases which he examined, a redness of the small intestines from turgescence of the capillary vessels, and a great effusion into the ventricles of the brain. A sufficient number of cases have not yet been inspected to enable us to determine how far these two last-mentioned appearances are to be regarded as consequences of death from cold: but all observers have found a general congestion of the vascular system internally. In consequence of the great turgescence uniformly met with in the vessels and sinuses of the brain, some pathologists have regarded death from cold as resulting from an attack of apoplexy; but the symptoms which precede death do not bear out this view. Extravasations of blood have not been met with, and a mere fulness of the cerebral vessels after death, is not in itself sufficient to justify this opinion. It will be observed, that on the whole these appearances are remarkably similar to those which are found in death from severe burns and scalds. (Sec ante, p. 396.) Thus, then, the medical jurist will perceive, that to come to a decision

whether, on the discovery of a dead body, death has taken place from cold or not, is a task of great difficulty. The scason of the year,—the place and circumstances under which the deceased is found,—together with the absence of all other possible causes of death (such as from violent injuries or internal disease), form the only hasis for a medical opinion. Death from cold is not to he determined except by negative or presumptive evidence, for there is no organic change either externally or internally, sufficiently characteristic of it to enable us to decide positively on the subject.

Case of murder by cold.—The following is a singular medico-legal case, involving the question of the fatal effects of cold upon the body. A man and his wife residing at Lyons, were tried for the murder of their daughter, a girl aged eleven, under the following circumstances: -On the 28th of December, at a time when there was a severe degree of cold, the female prisoner compelled the deceased to get out of her bed, and place herself in a vessel of ice-cold water. The child cried, and endcavoured to escape from the bath; but she was by violence compelled to remain in the water. The deceased complained of exhaustion and dimness of sight: the prisoner then threw a pail of iced water upon her head, soon after which the child expired. Death was properly ascribed to the effects of this maltreatment, and the parties were convicted. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, 207.) This case presents a refinement of cruelty which is rarely met with in the annals of crime. Such a case could only be proved by circumstances; for there would he no post-mortem appearances internally or externally to indicate the mode of death. We learn by this, that the death of young ehildren may he cansed by the external application of very cold liquids, coupled with exposure. It would also appear from this case, that the brain and nervous system become sympathetically affected through the skin, and not through the introduction of cold air into the lungs. Indeed, it is well known, from the experience of Arctic travellers, that air of a temperature considerably helow zero, may be respired without risk provided the skin be kept warm.

STARVATION.

A rare cause of death.—Death from the mere privation of food is an extremely rare event, although, if we were to form an opinion from the verdicts of ooroners' juries, its occurrence would not appear to he very uncommon in this and other large and populous cities. In the Registration Returns for 1838-9, it is stated that 130 persons died from starvation. Such cases must, however, be received with some distrust, as care is rarely taken to ascertain precisely how far bodily disease may have heen concerned in the death of the party. Still it cannot be denied, that starvation should he classed among the canese of violent death, being sometimes the result of criminal neglect or inattention in the treatment of children or of infirm and decrepid persous, and thus constituting homicide; or at other times, although

very rarely, arising from an obstinate determination to commit suicide in those from whom all other means of self-destruction are ent off.

Sumptoms.—The symptoms which attend on protracted abstinence are thus described by Rostan:-In the first instance, pain is felt in the epigastrium, which is relieved by pressure. The countenance becomes pale and cadaverous,-the eyes become wild and glistening,the breath hot,—the month dry and parched. An intolerable thirst supervenes, which, in all cases of attempted suicide by starvation, has formed the most prominent symptom. The body becomes emaciated, the eyes and checks sink, and the prominences of the bones arc perceptible: the feeling of pain is often so intense as to give rise to fits of delirium. There is the most complete prostration of strength, which renders the individual incapable of the least exertion. After a longer or shorter period the body exhales a fetid odour, the mucons membrane of the outlets becomes sometimes red and inflamed, and life is commonly terminated by a fit of maniacal delirium, or the most horrible convulsions. Dr. Donovan gives the following description of those who suffered from the Irish famine in 1847 :- they described the pain of hunger as at first very acute, but said that after twenty-four hours had been passed without food, the pain subsided, and was succeeded by a feeling of weakness and sinking, experienced principally in the epigastric region, accompanied with insatiable thirst, a strong desire for cold water, and a distressing feeling of coldness over the entire surface of the hody. In a short time the face and limbs becamo frightfully emaciated; the eyes acquired a most peculiar stare; the skin exhaled a peculiar and offensive fector, and was covered with a brownish, filthy-looking coating, almost as indelible as varnish. This I was at first inclined to regard as incrusted filth, but further expcrience has convinced me that it is a secretion poured ont from the exhalants on the surface of the body. The sufferer tottered in walking, like a drunken man; his voice became weak, like that of a person in cholera; he whined like a child, and burst into tears on the slightest occasion. As regards the mental faculties, their prostration kept pace with the general wreck of bodily power: in many there was a state of imbecility; in some, almost complete idiotism; but in no instance was there delirium or mania, which is often described as a consequence of protracted abstinence among shipwrecked mariners. (Dub. Med. Press, February, 1848, 67.)

Period of death.—The period which it requires for an individual to perish from hunger is subject to variation. It will depend materially upon the fact, whether a person has it in his power or not to take at intervals, a portion of liquid to relieve the overpowering thirst which is commonly experienced. The smallest portion of liquid thus taken occasionally, is found to be capable of prolonging life. It is probable that in a healthy subject under perfect abstinence, death would not commonly take place in a shorter period than a week or ten days. This opinion appears to derive support from the results of those cases

in which there has been abstinence owing to disease about the organs of deglutition.

Post-mortem appearances.—There are no very satisfactory details of the appearances presented by the hodies of those who have died of inauition: and the cases themselves are too rare to enable us to decide with certainty upon the accuracy of the reports which have hitherto appeared on the subject. The hody has been found much emaciated, the skin dry, and the stomach and intestines contracted and empty, the mucous membrane sometimes ulcerated; the gall-hladder much distended with bile; the lungs, heart, and great vessels connected with these organs, collapsed and destitute of blood. The following account of the appearances met with in a fatal case of starvation has been published by Dr. Sloan, of Ayr. A healthy man, aged 65, was by an accident shut up in a coal-mine twenty-three days. For the first ten days he was able to procure and swallow a small quantity of foul water. When found, he could not make the least exertion, nor could he speak above a whisper. Attempts were made to recover him, but he died in three days perfectly exhausted. On inspection, the hody was observed to he extremely emaciated: the intestines were collapsed, the stomach was distended with air, and slightly reddened at its cardiac extremity. The liver was small, and the gall-bladder distended. The other viscera were in their normal state. (Med. Gaz. xvii. 389.) Mr. Tomkius, of Yeovil, inspected the body of a man who died from starvation in Fehrnary 1838. The face was much shrunk and emaciated; the eyes open, and presenting a fiery red appearance, as intense as in a case of acute ophthalmia during life. This red appearance has been met with hy Dr. Donovan in death from exposure to cold. (Dublin Mcd. Press, Feb. 2, 1848, p. 66). The akin was tough, and there was scarcely any cellular membrane to he seen. The tongue, lips, and fauces were dry and rough. A peculiar odour exhaled from the hody. The lungs were shrunk and contracted; the pleura was slightly inflamed. The stomach and intestines were empty, but quite healthy; the gallhladder was nearly full of bile, and the surrounding parts were much tinged by this liquid. The urinary hladder was empty and contracted. (Lancet, March 1838.) In some cases inspected during the Irish faminc, Dr. Donovan states that the appearances which he witnessed were extreme emaciation, total absorption of the fatty matter on the surface of the hody, total disappearance of the omentum, and a peculiarly thin condition of the small intestines, which, in such cases, were so transparent, that if the deceased had taken any food immediately before death, the contents could he seen through the coats of the bowel: on one occasion (at an inquest), he was able to recognise n portion of raw green cabbage in the duodenum of a man who had died of inanition. This condition of the coats of the intestines he looks upon as the strongest proof of starvation. The gall-bladder was usually full, and the parts in the vicinity of it were much tinged from the eadaveric exudation of bile: the urinary hladder was generally

contracted and empty, and the heart pale, soft, and flabby: there was no abnormal appearance in the brain or lungs.

Summary of medical evidence.—These appearances, in order to throw any light upon the cause of death, should be accompanied by an otherwise healthy state of the body; aince, as it is well known, they may be produced by many organic diseases, and death may be thus due to disease, and not to the mere privation of food. It will not, therefore, be easy to any whether the emaciation depend on disease or a want of food, unless we are put in possession of the history of the case. On thia necount it is that in all charges of homicial starvation, the defence generally turns upon the co-existence of disease in the body, and the sufficiency of this to account for death. In some of these nileged deaths by starvation, ulceration of the bowels is met with. This has been considered to arise from a want of food; but Dr. Donovan did not meet with it in those who died of lingering starvation. (Dublin Med. Press, Feb. 2, 1848, 66.) See, in reference to medical evidence, the case of the Queen v. Pryke, Chelnisford Summer Ass. 1840.

Legal relations .- Starvation is commonly the result of accident or homicide; but this is a question purely for the decision of a jury; it cannot be elucidated by medical evidence. The withholding of food from an infant forms a case of homicide by starvation, on which a medical opinion may be occasionally required. Mr. Baron Gurney held that the mother and not the father was bound to supply sustenance to an infant. The child in this case was ten weeks old, and the father was charged with wilful murder, on the ground that he had not supplied it with food. The grand jury ignored the bill under the instructions of the judge, upon the ground above stated. (The King v. Davey, Excter Lent Ass. 1835.) But where the husband and wife were charged with the murder of an apprentice to the husbaud, by using him in a barbarous manner, and the opinion of the medical wituess was, that the boy had died from debility occasioned by the want of proper nourishment, it was held that the wife was entitled to be acquitted, us it was the duty of the husband and not of the wife to provide sufficient food and nourishment for the apprentice. (The King v. Squire, Starkie, ii. 947.) Starvation is rare as an act of homicide, but it must not be supposed that the law implies by this the absolute privation of food; for if that which is furnished to a person be insufficient in quantity, or of improper quality, and death he a consequence, malice being at the same time proved, theu the offender equally anbjects himself to a charge of murder. Not many years since, a woman who was accustomed to take parish-apprentices, was tried and convicted of the murder of two children, who had died in consequence of the bad quality and small quantity of food furnished to them by the prisoner.

INSANITY.

CHAPTER LXV.

LEGAL DEFINITIONS—LUNACY—NON COMPOS MENTIS—UNSOUNDNESS OF MIND—VARIETIES OF INSANITY—MANIA—HALLUCINATIONS—ILLUSION—DELUSION—MANIA DISTINGUISHED FROM DELIRIUM—MONOMANIA— KNOWN FROM ECCENTRICITY—MORAL
INSANITY—DEMENTIA—IDIOCY—IMBECILITY—HEREDITARY
TRANSMISSION—FRIGRED INSANITY—MODE OF DETECTION.

Legal definitions.—The law of England recognises two states of mental disorder or alienation. 1. Dementia naturalis, corresponding to idiocy; and 2, Dementia adventitia, or accidentalis, signifying general insanity, as it occurs in individuals who have once enjoyed reasoning power. To this state the term lunacy is also applied, from an influence formerly supposed to be exercised by the moon on the mind. Lunacy is a term generally applied by lawyers to all those disordered states of mind which are known to medical men under the names of mania, monomania and dementia; and which are generally, though not necessarily, accompanied by lucid intervals. The main character of insanity in a legal view, is said to be the existence of delusion, i. e. that a person should believe something to exist which does not exist, and that he should act upon this belief. Many persons may labour under harmless delusions, and still be fitted for their social duties; but should these delusions be such as to lead them to injure themselves or others in person or property, then the case is considered to require legal interference: otherwise not.

Unsoundness of mind.—Besides the terms Idiocy and Lunacy, we find another, frequently employed in legal proceedings, namely, "unsound mind"—(non compos mentis)—of the exact meaning of which it is impossible to give a consistent definition. From various legal decisions, it would appear that the test for nnsoundness of mind in law has no immediate reference to the mere existence of delusion,

so much as to proof of incapacity in the person, from some morbid condition of intellect, to manage his own affairs. (Amos.) Neither condition will suffice to establish nasoundness without the other; for the intellect may be in a morbid state, and yet there may be no legal incompetency, or the incompetency alone may exist, and depend on bodily infirmity or want of cducation—a condition which must not be confounded with insanity. Thus, then, a person may be of unsound mind, i. e. legally incompetent to the control of his property, and yet not come up to the strict legal standard of lunacy or idiocy. Hence it will be seen that it is impossible, in medical jurisprudence, to give any consistent definition of insanity. A medical witness who ventures upou a definition, will generally find himself involved in numerous inconsistencies. No words enn possibly comprise the variable characters which this malady is liable to assume. Soma medical practitioners have attempted to draw a distinction between insanity and unsoundness of mind. A case occurred in 1839 where n medical man hesitated to sign a certificate for the confinement of an alleged lunatic, because in it the terms "unsound mind" were used. said he would not have hesitated to sign it had the term "insane" been substituted. The difference, if any exist, is purely arbitrary, and depends on the fact, that unsound mind is a legal and not a medical phrase, referring to an incapacity to manage affairs, which insanity, in its most enlarged sense, may not always imply. The law, however, appears to admit some sort of distinction: for, according to Chitty, it is a criminal and an indictable not maliciously to publish that any person is afflicted with insanity, since it imputes to the party a malady generally inducing mankind to shun his society; although it is not libellons to say that a man is not of sound mind, because no one is of perfectly sound mind but the Deity! (M. J. i. 351.) In reference to the refusal to sign certificates, it is, however, an error to suppose that the use of one term can involve a practitioner in a greater share of responsibility than the usa of the other.

Varieties of insanity.—Medical jurists have commonly treated insanity under four distinct forms: Mania, Monomania, Dementia, and Idiocy. This division was proposed by Esquirol, and although of a purely artificial nature, it is 'highly convenient for the arrangement and classification of the facts connected with the subject. In some instances there is great difficulty in assigning a particular case to either of these divisions, which is owing to the circumstance, that these states of mind are frequently intermixed, and are apt to pass and repass into each other. On other occasions, a case may present characters which appertain to all the divisions. Some psychologists have proposed two subdivisions, namely, Incoherency and Imbecility; but the former is merely a mixed state of mania and dementia, while the latter is a term applied to those cases of idiocy wherein the mental faculties are susceptible of cultivation after birth, without reaching the normal standard. In a work on Medical Jurisprudence, it will be

ouly necessary to state briefly the principal features of each of these varieties of insanity.

Mania. - In this form of insanity, there is a general derangement of the mental facultics, accompanied by greater or less excitement, sometimes amounting to violent fury. The individual is subject to hallneinations and illusions, the difference in the meaning of which terms it may bere be proper to explain. Hallucinations are those sensations which are supposed by the patient to be produced by external impressions, although no material objects may act upon the scuses at the time. Illusions are sensations produced by the false perception of objects. (Marc.) When a man fancies he hears voices, while there is profound silence, he labours under a hallucination: when another imagines that his ordinary food has an earthy or metallic taste, this is an illusion. Illusions sometimes arise from internal sensations, and give rise to the most singular ideas. When a hallucination or illusion is believed to have a real and positive existence, and this belief is not removed either by reflection or an appeal to the other senses, the judividual is said to labour under a delusion: but when the false sensation is immediately detected, and is not acted on as if it were real, then the person is sane. Perhaps this is the most striking distinction which it is in our power to draw between sanity and insanity. The acts of the insanc are generally connected with their delusions; but it is extremely difficult to trace the connection between them except by their own coufession. It has been remarked, that in mania there is great insensibility to changes of temperature; but it must not be inferred from this that the patient is less susceptible than a sane person of the injurious effects of cold. The bodily susceptibility of insane persons is just as great, while they want that warning power which the sense of feeling gives to one who is sanc. It is necessary that a medical jurist should be able to distinguish mania from delirium depending on bodily disease. Delirium very elosely resembles the acute form of mania, -so closely that mistakes have occurred, and persons labouring under it have been improperly ordered into confinement as maniaes. The following are perhaps the best diagnostic differences. A disordered state of the mind is the first symptom remarked in mauia; while delirium is a result of bodily disease, and there is greater febrile excitement in it than in mania. Delirium being a mere symptom attendant on the disease which produces it, exists so long as that disease and no longer; while mania, depending on very different causes, is persistent. Delirium dissppears suddenly, leaving the mind clear; while mania commonly experiences only remissions. (See Pagan, Med. J. of Ins. 69.)

Monomania.—This name is applied to that form of insanity in which the mental alicnation is partial. The delusion is said to be confined either to one subject or to one class of subjects. One fact is well ascertained, that monomania varies much in degree; for many persons affected with it are able to direct their minds with reason and propriety to the performance of their social duties, so long as these do not involve any of the subjects of their delusions. Further, they have occasionally an extraordinary power of controlling their thoughts and emotions, as well as of conecaling the delusions under which they labour. This implies a consciousness of their condition not met with in mania; and it also appears to imply such a power of self-control over their thoughts and actions, as to render them equally responsible with a sane person for many of their acts. In a real case of monomania, it is not to be supposed that a man is insane upon one point only, and sane upon all other matters. The only admissible view of this disorder is that which was taken by Lord Lyndhurst, in one of his judgments. In monomania, the mind is unsound; not unsound in one point only, and sound in all other respects, but this unsoundness manifests itself principally with reference to some particular object or person. (Prichard.) There is no doubt that all the mental faculties are more or less affected: but the affection is more strikingly manifested in some than in others. Monomania is very liable to be confounded with eccentricity: but there is this difference between them. Iu monomania, there is obviously a change of character,—the individual is different to what he was: in eccentricity, such a difference is not remarked; he is, and always has been, singular in his ideas and actions. An eccentric man may be convinced that what he is doing is absurd and contrary to the general rules of society, but he professes to set these at defiance. A true monomaniae cannot be convinced of his error, and he thinks that his acts are consistent with reason and the general conduct of mankind. Eccentric habits suddenly acquired are, however, presumptive of insanity. It will be seen hereafter that the diagnosis is of some importance in relation to the testamentary capacity of individuals.

Most medico-legal writers admit that insanity is not uecessarily confined to the intellectual powers; but that it may also show itself without decided intellectual aberration in the feelings, passions, and emotions. Thus it may appear under the form of a causeless suspicion, jealousy, or hatred of others, especially of those to whom the individual ought to be attached; and it may also manifest itself under the form of a wild, reckless, and crucl disposition. This is what has been called by Dr. Prichard "Moral insanity," to distinguish it from the other form affecting the mental powers, namely, "Intellectual insanity." It is, however, very doubtful whether moral insanity ever exists in any individual without greater or less disturbance of the intellectual faculties. The mental powers are rarely disordered without the moral feelings partaking of the disorder: and conversely it is not to be expected that the moral feelings should become to any extent perverted without affecting the intellect. The intellectual disturbance may be very difficult of detection; but in every case of true insanity, it is more or less present, and it would probably be a dangerous rule to pronounce a man insane, where some evidence of its existence was not forthcoming. The law hesitates at present to recognize moral insanity, at least in civil cases: hence, however perverted the affections may be, a medical jurist must look for some indications of intellectual disturbance. Monomania may be accompanied with a, propensity to homicide or suicide, and according to many psychologists, with a disposition to incendiarism or theft. These forms will be referred to hereafter, in speaking of the criminal responsibility of the insanc.

Dementia.—In this state there is a total absence of all reasoning power;—the mental faculties are not perverted, but destroyed. There is a want of memory as well as a want of consciousness on the part of the individual, of what he does or says. It is hy no means an unfrequent consequence of mania or monomania,—that it has been known to occur suddenly in individuals, as an effect of a strong moral shock.

Idiocy.-Idiocy is characterized by the want of mental power heing congenital. While mania, monomania, and dementia, form the "dementia accidentalis,"-idiocy forms the "dementia naturalis" of lawyers. This intellectual deficiency is marked by a peculiar physiognomy, an absence of all expression, and a vague and unmeaning look, wherehy an idiot may in general he clearly identified. In many cases of congenital deficiency, the mind is capable of receiving a few ideas, and of profiting to a certain extent hy instruction. To this state the term *Imbecility* is applied. It may be regarded as a minor degree of idiocy. The mind of an imbecile can never be brought to a healthy standard of intellect, like that of an ordinary person of the same age. The degree to which congenital deficiency of intellect exists, is generally well marked by the power of speech, or of communicating ideas hy language. In idiocy there is no speech, or only an utterance of single words: - in the better class of imbeciles, the speech is but little affected: while there is every grade between these two extremes. medical jurists have arranged imheciles in classes, according to their capacity to receive instruction; -others according to their power of speech : hut such divisions are practically without value, -each case must be judged of hy itself. It is hy no means easy to draw a distinction between the better classes of imbeciles and those who are reputed sane,—since the minds of sane persons differ remarkably in their capacity to receive instruction. It has been well observed, that by endeavouring to make a very close distinction of this kind, one half of the world might reason itself into the right of confining the other half, as insane! Persons affected with idiocv and imbecility do not suffer from hallneinations and illusions, like those who lahour under mania or monomania. Idiots and imbeciles are what they always have been: there is no gradual loss or impairment of the intellectual functions. The term imbecility is often applied to that loss of mental power, which takes place as a result of extreme age: but this is with greater propriety called senile dementia.

Such are the forms under which insanity or mental alienation pre-

sents itself to our notice. This classification has been adopted for the sake of convenience; because by it, a practitioner may be led to form a safe diagnosis of the real state of mind of a person. It is not recognized in any of the law-proceedings conrected with the insane:—for in these the term unsoundness of mind, comprehending lunacy and idiocy, is almost exclusively employed. In adopting this arrangement, a medical jurist must take care not to fall into an error which has been sometimes committed, i. e. of pronouncing a person to be of sound mind, because his case could not be easily placed in any one of these four great divisions of insanity. This would be as serious an error as that formerly committed by some law-writers,—namely, of giving restricted and inappropriate definitions to lunacy and idiocy, and then contending that, whoever was not a lunatic or idiot according to these arbitrary legal definitions, must be a person of sound mind!

Hereditary transmission.—The hereditary transmission of the malady has sometimes presented itself as a medico-legal question in relation to the criminal responsibility of the insane. According to Chitty, it is an established rule of law, "that proof that other members of the same family have decidedly been insane, is not admissible either in civil or criminal cases." (Med. Jnr. i. 352.) But recent decisions have shown that this statement is not correct. In the case Rrg. v. Ross Touchet, 1844, tried and acquitted on the ground of insanity for shooting at a man, Maule J., held that evidence that the grandfather had been insane may be adduced, after it has been proved by medical testimony that such a disease is often hereditary in a family. It was also admitted in Oxford's case,—the prisoner having been here tried for shooting at the Queen. (Law Times, Oct. 26, 1844.) This kiud of evidence was, however, rejected in Greensmith's case, (post, p. 791,) and it is not admitted in the law of Scotland. (Gibson's case, Edinburgh, Pcc. 1844.) There can be no doubt from the concurrent testimony of all writers on insanity, that a predisposition to the disease is frequently transmitted from parent to child through many generations. The malady may not always show itself in sach cases, because the offspring may pass through life without being exposed to any exciting cause: but in general it readily supervenes from very slight causes. M. Esquirol has remarked, that this bereditary taint is the most common of all the eauses to which insanity can be referred, more especially as it exists among the higher classes of society. Among the poor, about one-sixth of all the cases may be traced to hereditary transmission; and other anthorities have asserted that in more than one half of all cases of insanity, no other cause can be found for the malady. As we might suppose,-children which are born before insanity manifests itself in the parents, are less subject to the disorder than those which are born afterwards. When one parent only is insane, there is less tendency for the predisposition to be transmitted, than when both are affected; but according to Esquirol, this predisposition is much more readily transmitted through the female than through the male parent. Its transmission is also more strikingly remarked when it has been observed to exist in several generations of lineal ancestors; and, like other hereditary maladies, it appears to be subject to atavism; i. e. it may disappear in one generation and reappear in the next. Further, the children of drunken parents, and of those who have heen married late in life, are said to he more subject to insanity than those born under other circumstances. When insanity is transmitted by hereditary descent, it appears often ahout the same age, under the same form, and is induced by the same exciting cause in the offspring as in the parent. This it is proper for a medical jurist to hear in mind, in examining a plea of insanity in criminal cases. The valuable tables of Esquirol show that the age at which insanity most commonly attacks persons, is thirty:—it rarely makes its appearance below the age of twenty, or above the

age of fifty-five.

Feigned insanity.—Insanity is frequently feigned by persons aceused of criminal offences, in order to procure an acquittal or discharge. In the first place, when this is suspected, it will be proper to inquire whether the party have any motive for feigning the malady. It is necessary to remember that insanity is never assumed until after the commission of a crime and the actual detection of the criminal. No one feigns insanity merely to avoid suspicion. In general, as in most cases of imposture, the part is over-acted—the person does too much or too little, and he hetrays himself by inconsistencies of conduct and language which are never met with in real cases of insanity. There is commonly some probable cause to which real insanity may be traced, but when the malady is feigned, there is no apparent cause :- in this case also, the appearance of the assumed insanity is always sudden :—in the real malady, the progress of an attack is generally gradual, and when the attack is really sudden, then it will be found to be due to some great moral sbock or other very obvious cause. We should ohserve whether there has been any marked change of character in the individual, or whether his conduct, when he had no interest to feign, was such as it is now observed to he. Some difficulty may arise when fits of eccentricity or strangeness of character are deposed to by witnesses; but these statements may be inconsistent with each other, and the previous acts of the person may bear no resemblance whatever to those performed by him in the recently assumed condition. A difficulty of this kind rarely presents itself, since in an impostor, no act indicative of insanity can he adduced for any antecedent period of his life: it is only after the perpetration of a crime and its detection, that any acts approaching to insane habits, will be met with. In real insanity, the person will not admit that he is insane :- in the feigned state, all his attempts are directed to make you believe that he is mad :--if told that he is insane, he does not contradict you; and an impostor may be induced to perform any act, if it be casually observed to another in his presence, that the performance of such an act will furnish strong evidence of his insanity.

Mania is perhaps more frequently assumed than any other form, hecause the vulgar notiou of insanity is, that it is made up of violent action and vociferous and incoherent language: but mania rarely comes on suddenly, or without an obvious cause :-- the patient is also equally furious night and day, while the impostor is obliged to rest Dr. Burrows recommends that close atafter his violent exertions. tention should be paid to the expression of the eye. The mobility of the features may be as rapid as the imagination is vivid: but when every feature may vary, or be kept under control and he steady, the eye will still indicate the erring thought. Its expression cannot he easily assumed. In mania the person sleeps hut little, and the sleep is disturbed: -- an impostor sleeps as soundly as a healthy individnal:—the violence of the maniac continues whether he is alone or not, while the impostor acts his part only when he thinks he is observed: heuce the imposition may be detected by watching him, when he is not aware that an eye is directed upon him.

Some stress has been laid on the fact, that assumed insanity commonly appears suddenly and without probable cause; but while this may be allowed to have a general value in forming a diagnosis, it is proper to bear in mind that the actual commission of a crime bas sometimes suddenly led to an attack of mania in a previously same person. Dr. Pagan has related a very singular instance of this kind. Two men were committed to prison on a charge of theft, and the officers requested a poor man, who was a shoemaker, to assist them in conveying the prisoners. The man took a gun with him for hetter security. During the journey, one of the prisoners leaped from the eart and ran off. The officers called to their assistant to fire, and he thinking himself warranted to do so, fired, and wounded the prisoner severely in the hack and loins. The man who fired the gun was bimself immediately committed to gaol as a criminal, and the event made such an impression upon bim that he became violently maniacal. scarcely recovered, he was tried for the offence; and it was supposed that he was feigning insanity. He was convicted and sentenced to six months imprisonment. (Med. Jur. of Ins. 82.) This case proves that a person may really he attacked by mania under circumstances in which a justifiable suspicion might arise that he was feigning.

The feigning of monomania would be a matter of some difficulty, and easily susceptible of detection. Dementia is more easily feigned:
—in general this state comes on slowly and is ohvionsly dependent on organic changes, as old age, apoplexy, paralysis or hemiplegia, or it is a consequence of long-continued mania or monomania. As this form of insanity consists in an entire abolition of all meutal power, so the discovery of any connected ideas, reasoning or reflection either by language or gestures, would at once show that the case was not one of real dementia. Idiocy and imhecility could hardly he feigned successfully, hecause these are states of congenital deficiency; and it would be easy to show, by reference to the past life of a person, whe-

ther or not he had always been such as he represents himself. The difficult cases of feigned insanity are really limited to those forms of the malady which are hable to attack an individual suddenly. In a sudden attack of real insanity, there should be some obvious cause:—the non-existence of this with the presence of a strong motive for deception, will always justify a suspicion that the malady has been assumed.

The following is a case of feigned insanity which was the subject of a trial in London, in 1833. A married woman, aged fifty, was charged with uttering a forged cheque :-- she had craftily procured the signature of a person under a false preteuce, and then forged his name to the When required to plead, she made no answer and appeared unconscious of the question. She took up some flowers placed in the dock, and crumbled them in her fingers, which were in continual mo-She stared wildly at times, changing her position,—turned her back on the court,-muttered indistinct exclamations, and made a humming noise. She was placed under some restraint in order to prevent her jumping out of the dock. The first question which the jury were directed to try, was whether she was of "sound mind or not,"-it being a rule of law, that no iusauc person can be called on to plead to an offence committed by him. Evidence was then adduced to show, that at previous periods of her life she had used incoherent language and was strange in her conduct. It was also shown that her mother, aunt, and sister, had been insane. Dr. Uwins deposed that at first he thought the prisoner was feigning; for she appeared to be fully aware of the importance of a plea of insanity, but when he heard that other members of her family had had the disease, he was induced to think her insane, and not accountable for her actions. Another medical witness, who had attended her family professionally, and had known the prisouer long, thought she was not insane, although he allowed that the apprehension of a crimical charge might bring on an attack of insanity in a mind subject to aberration. Other wituesses deposed that they had never observed any acts of insanity about her; and it was further proved that she was well acquainted with the method of drawing and procuring money on bills. When arrested, she tried to escape from the officer, and conceal the money which she had procured by means of the forged cheque. The surgeou of the gaol thought she was feigning: he visited her daily, and he observed that her manner was changed so soon as she saw him. When asked what counsel she would employ, she returned a rational answer, saying that "others would take eare of that:" when charged with feiguing, she made no observation. She put on a wild look when she knew that she was observed; but when privately watched, her behaviour was that of a rational person:—she generally slept soundly. The jury returned that she was of sound mind. She was then called on to plead to the charge, but she refused,—a circumstance rarely observed in the conduct of a really insane person. She was tried and found guilty of the

charge. There could be no reasonable doubt that this woman was an impostress, and that she faigned insanity, well knowing what would be the result of the plea, if admitted. Two circumstances rather tended to complicate the case: 1 the proof of hereditary predisposition: 2. her assumed silence, whereby she did not easily betray herself. In regard to hereditary predisposition, although valuable as collateral evidence, it cannot, of course, be allowed to outweigh general facts indicative of perfect sanity. For a singular case in which a verdict was returned against strong medical evidence of alleged insanity, see Lancet, January 18, 1845, p. 70: also Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 367, 376, and a case by Dr. Bayard, Ann. d'Hyg. 1847, ii. 230.

CHAPTER LXVI.

MEDICO-LEGAL QUESTIONS IN RELATION TO THE INSANE—IMPOSITION OF RESTRAINT—VIOLENCE OF TEMPER—CERTIFICATES OF INSANITY—RULES FOR THE DISCHARGE OF LUNATICS—INTERDICTION—COMMISSIONS OF LUNACY—EXAMINATION OF ALLEGED LUNATICS—LUCID INTERVALS.

Medico-legal questions.—Among the questions which may come hefore a medical jurist, in relation to the subject of insanity, are the following: A practitiouer may he required to say whether or not a person affected with the malady should be confined in a lunatic asylum,—whether he should be deprived of his civil rights by interdiction, or whether he he so completely cured of his malady, as to justify his liberation from confinement. Then, again, medical evidence may go far to determine whether a will or deed, executed hy an alleged lunatic, should he set aside; whether a marriage-contract should he annulled; and lastly, whether a criminal act was committed by a person labouring under insanity,—a question involving either the life or, according to circumstances, the perpetual imprisonment of an accused party.

Imposition of restraint.—By this we are to understand the separation of the lunatic from his friends or relatives with or without the confinement of his person hy force. What are the circumstances which will justify a practitioner in applying restraint to the insane? The law has given great power in this respect to memhers of the medical profession, but owing to certain ahuses, this power has heen of late years much restricted by various acts of the legislature. Most medico-legal writers agree, that we are never justified in ordering restraint, except when from the symptoms we have reason to apprehend that the lunatic will injure his person or property, or the persons or property of others. It is then not sufficient to seek merely for evidence of delusion: but if we discover that the individual labours under

some delasion, it is our duty to consider how far this may endanger the well-being of himself and his friends. Unless the delusion be such as to render it prohable that his own interests or those of others may be damaged by his insane conduct, careful and judicions superintendence will answer all the purposes of the closest restraint. Some have justified the act of resorting to restraint on all occasions, on the principle that it may tend to the cure of a patient by removing the delusion. In this point of view, the subject has no relation to legal medicine. It may he urged with more plansibility, that by withholding restraint in incipient cases, mischief may he done hy the lunatic to himself or others, and that then it will be too late to interfere; but even here proper superintendence will render close confinement unnecessary. A medical practitioner must not be too ready to lend himself to the signing of certificates for the imprisonment of persous who may he labouring under harmless delusions. In violent mania, or in monomania with a homicidal or a suicidal propensity, there can he no doubt of the propriety of applying some degree of restraint, for here the necessity is imminent. If a remarkable change has suddenly taken place in the character of the patient; if he has become irritable, outrageous, or threatened personal violence to any one, or if he has recklessly endangered the interests of himself and family, he is undouhtedly a fit (See Pagan, 75.) The more he approaches to subject for restraint. this condition, the less difficulty shall we have in coming to a decision, and in a really doubtful instance, there will be no impropriety in employing restraint; since although the person is thereby deprived of liherty, it is hetter that this should happen, than that he or his friends ahould incur the risk of suffering severely by his insane conduct.

Violence of temper must not be taken as a proof of insanity. man may have always had a violent temper, subject to occasional fits of aggravation, but this must not be confounded with mental disease. In order to determine whether the acts of a person he due to violent temper or insanity, it will be proper to ascertain what may have heen his natural habits. The great feature of insanity is change of character: a man who is really insone is different to what he has previously heen; but it may be proved of a violent-tempered man, that he has always been the same. The greatest abuses of the restraint-system have been chicfly observed in respect to monomania, where individuals have been forcibly imprisoned because they entertained some absurd delusions, over which, however, they had so great a power of control, as to render it somewhat difficult even for a shrewd and experienced examiner to detect them. When, at last, after many hours' cross-examination, the existence of delusion has been made apparent, the result has been looked upon as furnishing matter for triumph and exultation; but as Dr. Conolly justly remarks, one point in these cases appears to have been wholly lost sight of, namely,-What possible injury could have resulted to the patient or his friends from the existence of a delusion, over which he had such complete control and mastery, as to render it a

most laborious task to obtain any evidence of its presence? (Indic. of Ins.) It may be freely admitted, that where delusion does exist, there is reason to suppose that the mind must be more or less disordered in all of its faculties; but such patients require close watching, not a rigorous imprisonment in an asylum. The greatest danger is to be apprehended in all those cases where there is the least power of self-control.

Certificates of insanity.—It will here be necessary to state the circumstances which require the attention of a practitioner when he is called upon to sign a certificate of insanity, whereby a person may be placed in confinement in an asylum. The act which specially refers to this subject is the 8th and 9th Victoria, c. 100, ss. 45, 46, 47, 48, and 49. This act, which came into operation on the 4th August, 1845, is a consolidation of all the statutes on the regulation of the care and treatment of lunatics. Its provisions are very stringent, both with respect to medical men signing certificates, and those who keep asylums for the reception of lunatics.

According to section 45, no person (not a panper) can be received into or detained in any licensed house or hospital, without an order from some person, and two medical certificates which must be signed by two physicians, surgeons or apothecaries not in partnership, and each of whom shall separately from the other, have personally examined the person to whom it relates not more than seven clear days previously to the reception of such person into such house or hospital, and shall have signed and dated the same on the day on which such person shall have been so examined.

facts: viz.

(Signed)

Name.
Place of abode.

Dated this day of one thousand eight hundred and Under the same section, any physiciau, surgeon, or apothecary, who shall knowingly sign any such medical certificate as aforeseid, which shall untruly state any of the particulars required by this act, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

The 46th section orders for the first time, that every medical practitioner, signing such certificate, must specify facts upon which his opinion is formed, and whether these are derived from his own observation or from the information of any other person. The 47th section provides that in cases of emergency a person (not a panper) may, under special circumstances (these being stated in the order), be received into a house or hospital upon a certificate signed by one medical practitioner only, provided that within three days, another such

certificate shall be signed by some other medical practitioner, not being connected with such house or bospital, upon a like examination. The detaining of a person upon one medical certificate only, beyond the period of three days, without such further certificate, is a misdemeanor in the keeper of the house or hospital.

By s. 48tb the certificate of one medical practitioner only, signed according to the above rules, will suffice for a pauper lunatic, provided the party has been previously examined by a justice, clergyman, or overseer, and has received an order setting forth the particulars of the case.

By s. 49th no medical practitioner, who is interested in or attends a licensed bouse or hospital, or whose father, brother, son, or partner, is wbolly or partly the proprietor of or a regular professional attendant in such house or hospital, shall sign any certificate for the reception of a patient into it, "and any physician, surgeon, or apothecary, who shall sign any certificate contrary to any of the provisions berein contained, or without having complied with all the provisions hereby required in the case of the patient to whom the same shall relate, or who shall in such certificate describe his medical qualification untruly, or shall untruly state any thing therein, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."

As ignorance of the law is never allowed to be an excuse for its violation, so a medical man, unless acquainted with all the particulars above mentioned, may easily subject himself to a prosecution; and he is not likely to be spared the disgrace and mortification attendant upon this, should it so happen that the case is of a doubtful nature. The law expressly requires from each medical man, a separate visit, a separate personal examination of the alleged lunatic, and a separate medical certificate, setting forth the special fact or facts, whether observed by bimself or derived from the information of others, upon which his opinion is based.

Specifiation of facts.-Medical practitioners have had some difficulty in assigning the fact or facts, upon which their judgment of the insanity of a party was based. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 1434, and xxxvii. 485.) What will constitute the description of a fact to render the ccrtificate valid? This important question was raised and decided in the case of Shuttleworth (Queen's Bench, Nov. 17, 1847). An application was made for the discharge of a lunatic on the ground that the medical certificates did not set forth the facts from which the opinion of those who signed them, was derived. In one, it was stated that the lunatic laboured under a variety of delusions, and that she was dirty and indecent in the extreme. In the other the certificr stated that he had formed his opinion from the conversation which he had that day had with her. It was contended that the statement in the first certificate was not so much a fact as a conclusion drawn from other facts which onght to have been mentioned in the certificate itself. Lord Denman, in giving the judgment of the Court, held that the certificates were valid:—that it was not necessary to have all the delusions of an insane person stated on the certificate. The statement

that the lunatic was dirty and indecent in the extreme, was prima facie sufficient to justify the imputation of insanity, even if the certificate did not state that the patient laboured under a variety of de-The allegation that the opinion respecting insanity was founded upon a conversation, was also sufficient to render the certificate valid. Med. Gaz. xxxviii. 932; also Law Times, Nov. 21, 1846, 145.) It will thus be seen that a general statement of the circumstances which have led to the belief of the insanity of a party, will be a sufficient compliance with the 46th section of the statute to render the certificate valid. In other respects the terms of the certificate are snfliciently explanatory: and any violation of these will necessarily subject a practitioner to a trial for a misdemeanor. In June 1848, a surgeon was tried and convicted at the Central Criminal Court of having certified that he had examined a female lunatic on the day on which he put his name to the document, when he had not seen her for two or three months. There was no doubt of the insanity of the party, but as this was an untrue statement, he was convicted of the offence.

Discharge of lunatics.—In forming an opinion relative to the propriety of discharging a person who has once been confined as a lnuatic in an asylum, it is proper to examine the particulars of his case, with the same caution, as if the object were to confine him the first time. The question of liberation is commonly restricted, like that of restraint, to cases of mania and monomania. It may so happen, that an individual has a lucid interval at the time of examination, in which case it will be necessary to muke more than one visit. One who has been guilty of a heinous crime like murder, should never, on any pretence, bc discharged. There are often long lucid intervals in homicidal mania; and it is impossible to be certain that the disease is entirely If the individual has manifested the least disposition to suicide, we should be extremely cautious of liberating him; for suicidal mania is often artfully couccaled under a cheerful exterior. We cannot always test the propriety of granting liberation by the lightness of the offence for which a criminal lunatic has been confined. circumstances under which the most trifling offence has been committed, may show that the mind is wholly unsettled with regard to moral responsibility; and such lunatics can never be trusted, even when there is great improvement in their language and deportment. The unhappy result of prematurely discharging a criminal lunatic, was seen in the case of a man named Thom, otherwise styling himself Sir William Courtenay. He was shot while rioting with many others near Canterbury in June 1838. The whole life of this man seems to have been made up of a mixture of eccentricity and insanity. guilty of the most flagrant perjury, was tried, found insane, and confined as a lunatic. After the lapse of about six months, it was thought that he was so much improved as to allow of his discharge; although even at this time, it appears that he fancied himself to be the Savionr!

On his discharge, he was guilty of many extravagant acts; he collected a number of ignorant persons as his followers and infected them with his delusion. He resisted the military who were sent to appreheud him, and cleven lives were lost on the occasion. A medical man caunot always be responsible for unfortunate consequences of this kind; hut this and other similar instances show that great risk is incurred in hastily allowing the discharge of a lunatic, who has once heeu guilty of a crime, however slight, so palpably depending on a disordered mind. The 8th and 9th of Victoria, c. 100, has placed certain restrictions on the power of liberating lunatics. Under s. 72, the person, originally signing the order which is required in addition to the medical certificates, may write an order for his discharge or removal; hut under s. 75, this order is of no effect, if a medical practitioner certify that in his opinion such patient is dangerous and unfit to he at large, together with the grounds on which such opinion is founded, unless the commissioners or visitors shall, after the production of such certificate, give their consent in writing for the removal or discharge of such patient. Under other clauses, additional powers of discharge are given to the commissioners and visitors, subject to such restrictions as to leave the control for the most part in the hands of professional men. These powers of discharge do not, however, apply either to criminal lunatics, or to those found insane under a commission issued by the Lord Chancellor.

Interdiction. Commissions of lunacy.—By interdiction we are to understand the depriving a person labouring under mental disorder, of his civil rights; in other words, preventing him from exercising any control or management over his affairs. It may he with or without restraint, for one condition does not necessarily imply the other. When an individual, frem mental incompetency, is liable to be imposed upon by others, or is guilty of foolish and extravagant acts, whereby his property is damaged, a Commission is commonly granted by the Court of Chancery, in order to determine whether he be "compos" or "non compos mentis." This writ is well known under the name of "de lunatico inquirendo." Before it can he issued, it is necessary among other matters, that there should he affidavits, made by two or three physicians or surgeons, certifying to the insanity of the party. It has been already explained that the object of the law is to determine whether the incapacity to manage affairs he owing to some mental defect or disorder, and not merely to want of education or hodily infirmity,-otherwise all wealthy minors and infirm persons might he improperly deprived of the control of their affairs. It is unfortunate that these commissions are conducted on so expensive a scale, as to render them applicable only to the wealthy classes of society; and even here the expenses attending such a simple inquiry as that for which the commission is issued, are often of the most ruinous kind, and the results are hy no means satisfactory. (See the cases of Mr. Davies, Miss Bagster, and others.) When insanity is pleaded in a

criminal case, one judge and twelve jurors will decide the question, affecting as it does the life, of a party, in a few hours, and at very little expense! It is difficult to understand why, in a question of competency to manage affairs, so many more functionaries should be required, so much more time, sometimes amounting to twelve or fifteen days, occupied, so many witnesses examined, and such enormous expenses should be thereby incurred. (See cases of Miss Bagster, July 1832, and of Lady Kirkwall, Feb. 1836.) One source of difficulty on these occasions is, that medical witnesses are allowed to be summoned by both parties, and the opinions given often exactly neutralize each other; they are hereby converted into partisans in the cause, as much as if they were counsel. It has been well remarked, that a man, even unknown to himself, with the purest intentions and the most perfect rectitude, will insensibly lean to the side on which he has been employed. (Pagan, 301.) The public are apt to infer, from such conflicting opinions being given by meu of equal experience, that the difference cannot depend essentially on the medical facts of the case; and that the question might be better determined by non-professional (See the case of Carpenter, Dublin Med. Press, July 16, 1845, p. 46.) A remedy for this serious evil would be, that medical witnesses on such occasions should be appointed, like the commissioners, by the Chancellor, and they would be thereby made perfectly independent of both parties. At present they rather occupy the position of medical counsel than medical witnesses; for it is quite clear, that no one would be summoned whose views did not coincide exactly with those of the party summoning him; and it is an opinion among some solicitors, for which, unfortunately, there is an apparent reason, that medical evidence on these occasions is a marketable commodity, and may be purchased at graduated prices! The reader will flud some excellent remarks on this subject in the Medical Gazette, v. 719; xi. 740: and xvii. 816.

Examination of alleged lunatics.—To determine whether or not a person is a fit subject for interdiction, it is necessary to bear in mind that it is not cuough to show there is delusion, as in the lighter cases of monomania, but we are bound to determine how far the delusion affects the judgment of a party, so as to prevent him, like other men, from managing his affairs with provident care and propriety. In many instances, bowever, proof of delusion only is sought for; and if this be procured, it is somewhat hastily inferred that the party is entirely incompetent to the management of bis property. The most difficult cases are those involving questions of imbecility. In conducting the examination of an alleged linatic, we should compare his mind as it is with what it has been; and if it be a case of supposed imbecility, a proper regard must be had to age, society, education, and general conduct. We should also consider whether the person has been treated by his friends and relations as a linatic or imbecile prior to the issuing of the commission. A young person, whose education

has been much neglected, and who has never been entrusted with the care of money, cannot be expected to bave much knowledge of the method of managing a large property. Questions are sometimes put on the moral responsibility of man and the attributes of God to one who, perhaps, never heard of metaphysics. Arithmetical questions are asked which would embarrass many persons who are see down as sane and competent. In a case which occurred a few years since, one examiner asked the alleged imbecile, who said he had £1,200 in the bank, and received £20 for interest,—How much was that per cent.? He said "he could not tell; he was no good hand at arithmetic." The counsel who appeared against the brieve or commission afterwards put the same arithmetical question to one of the medical witnesses who had deposed to the imbecility of the party; and this witness, an educated man, confessed himself unable to answer it-a practical illustration of the impropriety of pronouncing a person to be imbecile merely because he is ignorant of what he has never been taught! (Case of David Yoolow, 1837.) If the capacity to manage affairs rested solely upon a knowledge of arithmetic, many now go free who ought to be immediately placed under interdiction. This is rather a commercial test of insanity: but it will be found that it has been applied in a very improper way to determine the capacity of young and ill-educated females. Unless the questions be confined to those subjects which the party has had either the opportunity or inclination to learn, a medical witness will always incur the risk of confounding mere iguorance with imbecility. Perhaps one of the best tests of mental capacity will be found in determining the degree to which, with ordinary opportunities, the individual has shown himself capable of being justructed. Too high a standard must not be assumed as a test for capacity. The mind of an alleged imbecile should not be compared with the most perfect mind, but with that of another person of average capacity, of the same age and station in society, and who has enjoyed like opportunities of instruction. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1836, i. 192.)

A medical witness must not allow himself to be embarrassed by medical or legal definitions of insanity. The malady may not bave the form of strict lunacy or idiocy in a legal view; nor of mania, monomanis, dementia, or idiocy, in a strict medical view; but still it may be a case of such mental disorder as to create an incapacity for managing affairs. This is the point to which a medical examiner has to direct his attention. Dr. Conolly has anggested one method of testing the state of mind, which it would be advisable to adopt, namely, to cause the individual to express his thoughts in writing. He would not here be led to suspect that he was being subjected to an examination for a hostile purpose. In many cases, the evidence of a strong delusion existing in the mind has been derived from a will, deed, or letters written spontaneously by the lunatic or imbecile, when there was considerable difficulty in obtaining this proof by a verbal examination.

Among many cases which might be here cited to illustrate the medical evidence required and received on commissions of lunacy, one may be selected which excited much interest at the time of its occurrence; I allude to that of Miss Bagster, which underwent inquiry in July 1832. It will serve to show upon what slight grounds a verdict of "unsound mind" may be returned under Commissions of hunacy, as they are at present conducted. The subject of this inquiry was shown by the evidence to be a frivolous and weak-minded girl, whose education had been much neglected. She was heiress to a large fortune, and contracted a clandestine marriage unsuited to her condition. A commission was taken out by her friends for the purpose of aunulling her marriage, by showing that she was not at the time competent to give rational consent. The general evidence established that there had been great neglect in her education, and that she had been especially indulged; but it did not appear that she had ever been treated by her friends as of musound mind, nor, indeed, that any question of her insanity had been raised until after the marriage. Seven medical witnesses, summoned to support the commission, deposed that she was of unsound mind. On the other side no witnesses were called, as it was considered that the allegation of insanity was not made out. Commissioners, however, themselves, called Dr. Morrison and Dr. Haslam, who deposed that her incompetency to manage her affairs arose, not from unsoundness of mind, but from ignorance. one strong proof of her sanity, namely, that she was aware of her deficiencies. It seems to have been allowed that she was capable of controlling herself, and concealing her defects; her maswers to the questions put to her were pertinent, and were for the most part correctly made, and she had capacity to receive instruction. She was ignorant of arithmetic, but this she had never been properly taught. She was young and inexperienced, and therefore unable to answer questions relative to the management of a household. The jury, by a majority of twenty to two, returned that she was of unsound mind, and had been so for the space of two years—a time which covered the marriage. (See, for an excellent medico-legal report of this case, Med. Gaz. x. 519, et seq.)

It is worthy of remark, that the only two medical witnesses, independent of both sides, who were summoned by the Commissioners, gave a very strong opinion that Miss Bagster was ignorant, and not of unsound mind; and that she might, by instruction, become competent to the management of her affairs. We should imagine that where the question arose, whether a young person was or was not to be deprived of all civil rights, there ought to be at least unanimity among the medical opinions, or, if this were denied, then more weight should be given to the negative than to the affirmative side of the question, providing if, as in this case, the negative view were supported by men impartially selected, and of great experience and knowledge on the subject of insanity. It is not improbable that besides ignorance, there

may have been some degree of weakness of mind ahont this person; yet, taking the whole case, we must attribute the verdict of unsonndness, not so much to mental infirmity as to iocapacity, for want of instruction, to manage a large fortune. But if every wealthy young lady, whose education had heen much neglected, had her sanify tested on tho same points as Miss Bagster, it is certain that many who are now free agents would he placed under interdiction! It has heen attempted to justify the verdict by the statement, that it saved her from the results of an imprudent marriage—the answer to which is, that Commissions of lunacy are not intended to shield persons whose minds are not really unsound, from the results of foolish and impradent acts!

Commissions may be superseded, but the evidence in such a case must be as strongly in favour of sanity as it was before in favour of iusanity. In Dyce Sombre's case, July 1844, the physicians of England and France came to directly opposite conclusions! See the judgment of the Lord Chancellor. (Law Times, Sept. 28, 1844.) There have heen few cases in which so great a difference of opinion has existed among medical witnesses as in this. Five English medical practitioners of good standing were, however, in favour of the sanity of the party. The decision was against superseding the Commission, chiefly on the ground of the existence of delusion: hut the most extraordinary part of this case is, that the alleged lunatic has been allowed to have the nneontrolled use of his property! (Med. Gaz. xl. 893.)

Lucid intervals.—By a lucid interval, we are to understand a temporary cessation of the insanity, or a perfect restoration to reason. Thus, then, it differs entirely from a remission, in which there is a mere abatement of the symptoms. It has been said that a lucid interval is only a more perfect remission; and that although the lunatic may act rationally and talk coherently, yet his hrain is in an excitable state: and he lahours under a greater disposition to a fresh attack of insanity than one whose mind has never been affected. Of this there can he no doubt, hut the same reasoning would tend to show that insanity is never cured; for the predisposition to an attack is nndouhtedly greater in a recovered lunatic than in one who is and has always heen perfectly sane. Even admitting the correctness of this reasoning, it cannot he denied that lunatics do occasionally recover for a longer or shorter period to such a degree as to render them perfectly conscious of, and legally responsible for their acts like other persous. The law intends no more than this hy a lucid interval: it does not require proof that the cure is so complete that even the predisposition to the disease should be entirely extirpated. Such proof, if it could even he procured, would he totally irrelevant. If a man acts rationally and talks coherently, we can have no better proof of a restoration to reason. If no delusion affecting his conduct remain in his mind, we need not concern ourselves about the degree of latent predisposition to a fresh attack which may still exist.

Lucid intervals sometimes appear suddenly in the insane. The per-

son feels as if awakened from a dream, and there is often a perfect consciousness of the absurdity of the delusion under which he was previously labouring. The duration of the interval is nucertain; it may last for a few minutes only, or may he protracted for days, weeks, months, and oven years. In a medico-legal view, its alleged existence must always be looked upon with suspicion and doubt when the interval is very short. These lucid intervals are most frequently seen in cases of mania and monomania; they occasionally exist in dementia when this state is not ehronic, but has succeeded a fit of intermittent or periodical mania. They are never met with in cases of idiocy and imhecility. It is sometimes a matter of great importance to be able to show whether or not there exists, or has existed, a lucid interval; since, under these circumstances, the acts of an individual are deemed valid in law. The mind should be tested, as in determining whether the patient be lahouring under insanity or not. He should be able to describe his feelings, and talk of the subject of his delusion, without betraying any signs of unnecessary vehemence or excitement. It may happen that a person who is the subject of a Commission of inquiry is, at the time of examination, under a lucid interval, in which ease there may he some difficulty in forming an opinion of the existence of insanity. This occurred in the case of Lady Seymour (July 1838): when examined before the Commission, her replies were so rational and collected that no verdict could be given, and the case was adjourned. When the inquiry was resumed, it was satisfactorily proved that she was insane, not merely by general and medical evidence, but by the terms of her will which had been drawn up by herself. same circumstance happened in the cases of Mrs. Hartley and Mr. Pearce, who were the subjects of Commissious iu 1843. It has been said that a person in a lucid interval, is held by law to be responsible for his acts, whether these be of a civil or criminal nature. In regard to criminal offences committed during a lucid interval, it is the opinion of some medical jurists that no person should he convicted under such circumstances; because there is a prohability that he might at the time have been under the infloence of that degree of cerebral irritation which renders a man insanc. (Prichard.) This remark applies more especially to those iostances where the lucid interval is very short. Juries now very seldom convict, however rationally in appearance a crime may have been perpetrated, when it is clearly proved that the accused was really insane within a short period of the time of its perpetration.

CHAPTER LXVII.

RESPONSIBLITY IN CIVIL CASES—INSANITY AS AN IMPEDIMENT TO MARRIAGE—DEEDS AND CONTRACTS—WILLS MADE BY THE INSANE—TESTAMENTARY CAPACITY—TEST OF CAPACITY—DELUSION IN THE DRED—ECCENTRICITY IN WILLS—WILLS IN SENILE DEMENTIA—WILLS IN EXTREMIS.

RESPONSIBILITY IN CIVIL CASES.

Insanity as an impediment to marriage.—Insanity is deemed in law to be a civil impediment to marriage, because it is considered that there cannot be that rational consent which is necessary to the validity of the contract. The marriage of a lunatic is therefore called a nullity, and is void ab initio. All that the law requires, is, that there should be good proof of insanity at or about the time of the contract. If this be offered, and it be then alleged that the contract was entered into during a lucid interval, then the party who would benefit by the allegation must prove it. The suitableness of the marriage, as well as the conduct of the party during or after its performance, will also be considered by the Court. In the case of Turner v. Myers, a lunatic who had recovered from his lunacy, instituted a suit to set aside a marriage which he had contracted while in that state! The marriage was declared void. (Med. Gaz. viii. 481.)

The validity of civil contracts entered into by lunatics, will depend mainly ou the circumstances which accompany the act. If there be nothing unreasonable in the conduct of the lunatic, and the party with whom he contracts has no knowledge or suspicion of the insanity, then the contract will be binding on the lunatic and his representatives. It was so held in one of the most recent cases (Moncton v. Cameroux, Exchequer Chamber, June 1848). This was an action by the administrator of a deceased person, to recover from the defendant, as secretary of an insurance-office, the sum paid hy him as the consideration for two annuities, the foundation of the action being, that at the time of the arrangement in question, the deceased was not in a sound state of mind. At the trial hefore Sir F. Pollock it appeared that the negotiation had been conducted by the deceased with apparent prudence, sanity, and judgment, and that the arrangement entered into hy him with the Office was just such as any ordinarily prudent person would have heen expected to make with a view to his own interest. The deceased, who died very soon after the business had been arranged, was both before and after in an unsound state of mind. Under these eireumstances this action was brought by his representatives, and a verdiet recovered by them, subject to the opi-

nion of the Court on their right to recover as on the entire failure of consideration. Pollock, C. B. pronounced the judgment of the Court in favour of the defendant. After going through the facts and various cases which had been cited during the argument, the learned judge proceeded to say that the result of those cases was, that in order to maintain this action it was necessary that the plaintiff should show that the defendant knew of the defective condition of the mind of the deceased, and took advantage of it in the negotiation. Without adopting either of the extreme views propounded during the argument, it was sufficient for the purpose of this case to lay it down as a general rule, that when a person of apparently sound intellect enters into a contract such as any other ordinary person would enter into with others who act bond fide, and the parties cannot be restored to their former condition, it is uo ground for setting aside the contract, that one of them was at the time non compos mentis. There ought. therefore, to be judgment for the defendant.

Wills made by the insane, Testamentary capacity.—Questions involving the testameutary capacity of individuals are of very frequent occurrence, and medical evidence is commonly demanded. When property is hequeathed by a testator out of the usual order of succession, it may he alleged by the relatives that he was wholly incompetcut to understand the nature of the deed-either from actual jusanity, the imbecility of age, or that natural failing of the mind which is so often observed to occur on the approach of death. Bodily disease or incapacity does not affect the validity of a will, unless the mind be directly or indirectly disturbed by it. Some time since a case occurred in France, in which a will was contested on the ground that the testator, when he executed it, was labouring under hemiplegia. The opinion of Esquirol was demanded, and he said that hemiplegia might undoubtedly affect the hrain, a fact clearly indicated by the sight, hearing, and other seases becoming weakened; yet this, in his opinion, did not necessarily indicate an impairment of the understanding. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1832, 203.) A man's mind, uuder these circumstances, may not he so strong as in robust health, but still it may retain a disposing power. In the case of Harwood v. Baker, decided by the Privy Conucil in 1841, a will was pronounced to be invalid, owing to the general state of bodily disease in which the testator was at the time of making it. It appears that he was labouring under erysipelas and fever, and these diseases had produced a degree of drowsiness and stupor which rendered him incompetent to the act. Iu the case of Day (June 1838), epilepsy was alleged to have affected the mind; and in the case of Blewitt (March 1833), paralysis was adduced as a ground of incompeteacy. In all cases of this kind, the law looks exclusively to the actual effect of the hodily disease upon the mind; and this is commonly a purely medical question. In the case of Penfold v. Crawford (C. P. Dec. 1843), it was shown that the

testator had lost his speech from an attack of apoplexy; but it was proved, by medical evidence, that his mental powers were good, and therefore a deed made subsequently to the attack, was held to be valid. Integritas mentis non corporis sanitas exigenda est.

Test of capacity.-A person is considered to be of a sane and disposing mind who knows the nature of the act which he is performing, and is fully nware of its consequences. From some decisions that have been made, it would appear that a state of mind for which a party might be placed under interdiction, would not render him incompetent to the making of a will. The validity of the will of a lunatic was once allowed, although made while he was actually confined in an asylum, because the act was rational, and it was such as the lunatic, some years prior to the attack of insanity, announced his intention of making. (Coghlan's case; see also, Re Garden, Law Times, July 6, 1844, p. 258.) The insauity of a party, when not already found insane under a Commission, must not, in these cases, rest npon presumption, but be established by positive proof. The commission of suicide is often hastily assumed to be evidence of insanity; but it would not be allowed as a proof of this state, even when a testator destroyed himself shortly after the execution of the will. A case bas been decided, where the testator had committed suicide three days after having given instructions for his will; but the act was not allowed to be a proof of insanity, and the will was pronounced A similar case has been thus decided in the French Courts. Besides, as we shall see hereafter, suicide is not deemed in law to be a proof of insanity (p. 807, post.)

Delusion in the deed.—The validity of deeds executed by persons affected with monomania, often becomes a subject of dispute. practice of the law here indicates that the mere existence of a delnsion in the mind of a person does not necessarily vitiate a deed, unless the delnsion form the groundwork of it, or nnless the most decisive cyidence be given, that at the time of executing the deed, the testator's mind was influenced by it. Strong evidence is often derivable from the act itself, more especially where a testator bas drawn it ap of his own accord. In the case of Barton (July 1840), the Ecclesiastical Court was chiefly guided in its decision by the nature of the instrument. The testator, it appeared, laboured under the extraordinary delusion that he could dispose of his own property to himself, and make himself his own legatee and executor! This he had accordingly done. The instrument was pronounced to be invalid. a will may be manifestly unjust to the surviving relatives of a testator, and it may display some of the extraordinary opinions of the individnal, yet it will not necessarily be void, unless the testamentary dispositions clearly indicate that they have been formed under a delusion. Some injustice may possibly be done by the rigorous adoption of this principle, since delusion may certainly enter into a man's act, whether civil or criminal, without our heing always able to discover it; hut after all, it is perhaps the anore equitable way of construing the last wishes of the dead.

Eccentricity in wills. - The evidence in these cases sometimes amounts to Broof of eccentricity only on the part of the testator, or in the deed itself; but a clear distinction must be here drawn. The will of an eccentric man is such as might always have been expected from him—the will of one labouring under insanity (delusion) is different from that which he would have made in an unaffected state:—the instrument is wholly different from what it would once have been (see page 767, ante.) In the case of a Mr. Stott, a medical electrician, whose will was disputed by his daughter on the ground of insanity, it was proved that the testator fancied he could deliver pregnant women by means of electricity, and he actually proposed to the wife of a baker living in the neighbourhood, to bring about her accouchement by an electrical machine! The will was prouounced invalid, not so much on account of this extreme absurdity, as of the violent and unnatural treatment to which he had subjected his daughter. It appeared that he had taken, as we now and then find in monomaniaes, a most unaccountable and causcless dislike to this girl from her earliest infancy. may appear, electricity has been lately used as a means of aiding parturition, but under circumstances very different from those which gave rise to the absurd delusion in the case just related. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 376.) It has become a grave question, whether proof of moral insanity; i. e. a perverted state of the moral feelings or affections, independently of any direct evidence of intellectual disturbance, should be a sufficient ground to set aside the act of a testator. In the case of Frere v. Peacocke (Prerogative Court, Oct. 1845), this was the principal question at issue. The counsel who maintained the validity of the will, argued against the admissibility of Pinel's doctrine of moral insanity, chiefly hecause there was a difference of opinion among those who adopted the doctrine—whether it was or was not invariably accompanied by some mental derangement. A doctrine thus novel, nnsettled, and not sufficiently developed, could not, it was urged, be safely applied to legal questions. If a man who was free from delnsions (as the deceased in this case was), and capable of acts of business (as he was), might nevertheless he held to have heen insane, it would involve this branch of testamentary law in atter confusion. A man who was not a subject for a commission of lunacy, might he held after death to have heen morally insane. The Court would have to deal with cases of kleptomania and pyromania, in which the individuals exhibited no trace of intellectual insanity or delusion of mind. It was safer to rely upon the ancient and general doctrine of these Courts, that there was no insanity without delusion,-its true criterion; and that in the present case the deceased, though eccentric, was not of unsound mind. The Court found that the will was valid, and that there was no proof of delusion. The deceased was a most unamiable being, but still his acts were not irrational, nor inconsistent with soundness of mind. (Prerog. Court, Aug. 1846.) In no ease, probably, has eccentricity come so near to insanity as in this. The reasoning of counsel in support of the sanity of the testator would, however, go to the extent of excluding a plea of insanity in many criminal cases in which our Courts have not hesitated to receive it; and therefore it would lead to the novel conclusion, that a man affected with moral insanity, who mandered his wife from perverted feeling, would be held irresponsible for the act in criminal law; but if, under the same perverted feeling, he bequeathed his property to an utter stranger, and left her penniless, the deed would be valid in civil law! There does not appear to be any reason why such a distinction should be made; even supposing the decision in the case of Mr. Stott not to be in some respects adverse to it.

Wills are sometimes contested more on the ground of eccentricity than of insane delusion; but if eccentricity only be proved, a Court will not interfere. In the ease of Morgan v. Boys (1838), it was proved that the testator, by his will, had left a large fortune to his housekeeper. The will was disputed on the ground that it bore intrinsic evidence of the deceased not having been in a sane state of mind at the time of making it. After having bequeathed his property to a stranger, the testator directed that his executors should "cause some parts of his bowels to be converted into fiddle-strings,—that others should be sublimed into smelling salts, and that the remainder of his body should be vitrified into lenses for optical purposes!" He further added, in a letter attached to his will—"The world may think this to be done in a spirit of singularity or whim, but I have a mortal aversion to funeral pomp, and I wish my body to be converted to purposes usen to mankind." Sir H. Jenner, in giving judgment, held that insanity was not proved:—the facts merely amounted to eccentricity, and on this ground he pronounced for the validity of the will. It was proved that the deceased had conducted his affairs with great shrewduess and ability; that he not only did not labour under imbecility of mind, but that he had been always treated during life as a person of indisputable capacity by those with whom he had to The best rule to guide the Court, the judge remarked, was the conduct of parties towards the deceased; and the acts of his relatives evinced no distrust of his sanity or capacity while he was living. The deceased had always been noted for his eccentric habits, and he had actually consulted a physician upon the possibility of his body being devoted to chemical experiments after death. In the case of Mudway v. Croft (Prerog. Court, Aug. 1843), a will, contested on the ground of insanity, but defended on the plea of cecentricity, Sir H. J. Fust said,—" It is the prolonged departure, without an adequate external canse, from the state of feeling and modes of thinking usual to the individual when in health, that is the true feature of disorder in mind." Sec also the case of Waring v. Waring (Prerog. Court, Feb. 1847).

Wills in senile dementia .- Wills made in incipient dementia arising from extreme age (senile imbecility) are sometimes disputed, either on the ground of mental deficiency, or from the testator, owing to weakuess of mind, having been subjected to control and influence on the part of interested persons. If a medical man be present when the will is made, he may easily satisfy himself of the state of mind of the testator, by requiring him to repeat from memory the way in which he has disposed of the hulk of his property. Medical meu have sometimes placed themselves in a serious position by becoming witnesses to wills under these circumstances, without first assuring themselves of the actual mental condition of the testator. always he a good ground of justification, if, at the request of the wituess, the testator had heen made to repeat substantially the leading provisions of his will from memory. If a dying person cannot do this without prompting or suggestion, there is reason to helieve that he has not a sane and disposing mind. It has been observed on some occasions, when the mind has been weakened by disease or infirmity from age, that it has suddenly cleared up before death, and the individual has unexpectedly acquired a disposing capacity. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, 370.) In the case of Durnell v. Corfield (Prerog. Court, July, 1844), where an old man of weakened capacity had made a will in favour of his medical attendant. Dr. Lushington held that there must be the clearest proof not only of the factum of the instrument, but of the testator's knowledge of its contents. (Law Times, July 27, 1844.)

Wills in extremis.—Wills made hy persons whose capacity during life has never heen doubted, while lying at the point of death, or, as it is termed, in extremis, are regarded with suspicion, and may be set aside, according to the medical circumstances proved. Many diseases, especially those which affect the hrain or nervous system, directly or indirectly, are likely to produce a dulness or confusion of intellect, under which a disposing power is lost. Delirium sometimes precedes death, in which case a will executed by the dying person would be at once pronounced invalid.

CHAPTER LXVIII.

THE PLEA OF INSANITY—HOMICIDAL MONOMANIA—MORAL INSANITY—CAUSES—SYMPTOMS—LEGAL TESTS—MEDICAL TESTS—MOTIVE FOR CRIME—CONFESSION—ACCOMPLICES—DELUSION IN THE ACT—SUMMARY—TEST OF IRRESPONSIBILITY—CASES IN ILLUSTRATION—SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE,

RESPONSIBILITY IN CRIMINAL CASES.

The plea of insanity.—The rule of law with regard to this subject is, that no man is responsible like a sane person for any act committed by him while in a state of insanity. This is a subject of considerable importance in a medico-legal view; for should a plea of insanity be improperly admitted in any criminal case, then punishment is made to fall unequally on offenders; and if, on the other hand, it be improperly rejected, punishment is administered with undue severity. A plea of insanity may be raised for the smallest offence up to the highest crime murder; but it is rarely raised in respect to smaller offences, because the close confinement to which the offender, if found insane, would necessarily be subjected, would often be a heavier punishment than that which the law actually prescribes for the offence which he may have committed. In a case of felonions assault lately tried, it was urged by the counsel in defence, that the prisoner was insane; but the evidence on this point was not by any means conclusive, - when it was intimated by the Court that if this plea were admitted, the party would probably undergo a much longer imprisonment, than if on conviction he received the legal punishment for the offence. (See the easo of the Queen v. Reynolds, Bodmin Aut. Ass. 1843.) The judge is reported to bave said that there was no proof of insanity. If the prisoner was pronounced insane, he might be imprisoned for life, and therefore he did not think that finding would benefit him! A verdict of guilty was returned, and the man was sentenced to eighteen months' imprison-This case shows at least that a defence of this kind may be sometimes indiscreetly put forward. Murder, incendiarism, and theft, are the crimes for which this plea has been chiefly raised; - and it has been more especially confined in this country to those cases where persons have been charged with murder of attempts at murder. attempt to establish this plea in cases of murder by poison, has generally ended in failure, although there was in one ease even proof of hereditary insanity. (Reg. v. Gallop, Somerset Winter Ass. 1844, and Reg. v. Allnutt, C. C. C., Dec. 1847.) The crime of poisoning indicates malice and deliberation in a greater degree than it would be in general safe to admit as co-existing with a state of insanity. Alison, however,

mentions one case of acquittal (Sparrow, 1829), in which this plea was admitted. The woman potred a large quantity of vitriolic acid down the throat of her own child. She then ran to a neighbour's house in a state of evident derangement, saying that she had killed the devil. Her insanity was clearly proved, and she was acquitted. (Crim. Law, 648). It is customary to say that they who commit these heinous crimes while lahouring under insanity, are irresponsible. By this we are not to nnderstand that they are allowed to go free. On the contrary, they are subjected to close confinement, commonly perpetual, as it assuredly ought to he in all cases of murder: but depending on their recovery in respect to crimes of less magnitude. A power is vested in the executive only, to discharge recovered lunatics, according to circumstances.

Homicidal monomania.—Homicidal monomania is commonly defined to he a state of partial insanity, accompanied by an impulse to the perpetration of murder; hut most medical jurists admit that individuals who may not appear to lahour under any intellectual aberration, are liable to be seized with a sudden destructive impulse, under which they will destroy those to whom they are most fondly attached, or any person who may happen at the time to be involved in the subject of their delusion. Sometimes the impulse is long felt, hut conecaled and restrained: there may be merely signs of depression and melancholy about the individual, nothing, however, to lead to a suspicion of the fearful contention which may be going on within his mind. Occasionally the murder may be perpetrated with great deliheration, and under all the marks of sanity. These cases are rendered difficult hy the fact that there may be no clear proof of the existence, past or present, of any disorder of the mind, so that it would appear the chief evidence of the existence of insanity is in the act itself; of the existence of the malady before and after the perpetration of the crime, there may be either no evidence whatever, or it may be so slight as scarcely to amount to proof. These cases are regarded as instances of iusanity of the moral feelings only, and this condition is called "Moral insanity." An unrestricted admission of this doctrine would, it is alleged, go far to do away with all punishment for crime, for it would then be impossible to draw a line hetween insanity and moral depravity, and the law will not excuse an act committed through moral depravity.

The works of Marc, Esquirol, and Prichard abound in illustrations of this form of monomania; but I prefer selecting some of those which have occurred in England. The following case was tried on the Midland Circuit, July, 1837. (Reg. v. Greensmith.) The prisoner in this case was charged with the murder of four of his young children. The facts here to be related were partly brought out in evidence, and partly by his own confession. He was a person of industrions habits and an affectionate father; but having fallen into distressed eircumstances, he destroyed his children by strangling thom, in order.

as he said, that they might not be turned into the streets. The idea only came to him, on the night of his penactrating the crime. he had strangled two of his children in bed, he went down stairs, where he remained some time; but thinking that he might as well suffer for all as for two, he returned to the bedroom, and destroyed the two whom he had left alive. He shook hands with them before he strangled them. He left the house and went to a neighbour's, but said nothing of the murder, until he was apprehended the next day and taken before the coroner, when he made a full confession. Not one of the witnesses had ever observed the slightest indication of insanity about him. made no defence, but several humane medical practitioners came forward to depose that he was insanc. The surgeon of the gaol said that the man was feverish, complained of headache, and had heen subject to disturbed sleep and sudden starts since the death of his wife, a short time before. He spoke of the crime he had committed without the slightest excitement, and the witness said he had heard enough of the evidence to satisfy him that the prisoner could not have committed such a crime as this, and be in a sauc state of mind. Dr. Blake, physician to the Nottingham Lunatic Asylum, said he was satisfied that the prisoner laboured under a delusion of mind. The prisoner's grandmother and sister had been under his care, the latter for eutertaining a similar delusion, namely, a desire to destroy herself and her children. The judge declined receiving this evidence; and, under his direction, the prisoner was found guilty and sentence of death was passed upon him. By the active interference of Dr. Blake and others, he was subsequently respited on the ground of insanity. (See Med. Chir. Rev. xxviii. 84.) For precisely analogous eases, followed by acquittals, see Reg. v. Frost. Norwich Summer Ass. 1844; and Reg. v. Dickenson, C. C. C., March. 1844. Other examples of homicidal monomania might be found in the cases of Nicholas Steinberg, who cut the throats of his wife and four children, and then destroyed himself, in Sept. 1834,—of Lucas, who destroyed his three children in March, 1842; and of a man named Giles, who cut the throats of two of his infant children at Hoxton, in January, 1843. In all of these cases, the unexpected act of murder was accompanied by suicide. They may be regarded as fearful examples of homicidal mania, in which there were no previous symptoms of intellectual aberration indicative of insanity, under the common meaning of the term, or any irregularity of conduct on the part of the homicides, to justify the least interference with their civil liberty. Ono remarkable feature in these unrecognizable cases is, that the murderous act is commonly directed against those who are most closely connected with the homicides in blood, and to whom they are attached by the tenderest ties.

It is impossible that such crimes as these can be regarded as the acts of sane individuals; and even those who are the most sceptical on the existence of such a form of insanity as homicidal monomania, are compelled to admit that these dreadful, motiveless murders are the acts of

insane, and therefore irresponsible agents. It may be a dangerous doctrine to adduce the crime as evidence of insanity, but these cases incontestably prove that there are some instances in which this is the only procurable evidence. (See also Reg. v. Brixey, C. C. C., May, 1845, post, p. 803.) Had not the homicides destroyed themselves, it is almost morally certain that they would have been acquitted on the ground of insanity. In the case of Stanioneyphi, an acquitted actually took place: this man, who had attempted suicide, recovered, was tried, acquitted on the ground of insanity, and he afterwards destroyed himself.

Causes.—The causes of homicidal monomania are assigned by Esquirol to cerebral irritation induced by bodily disease, excessive nervous excitement, vicious education, erroneous notions of religion, grief, destitution, and the power of imitation. With respect to the latter, it is a fact that the publicity given to horrible occurrences often excites the homicidal feeling. (See case of Hon. R. Touchett, post, p. 802.) The sight of a weapon, or of the intended victim, also determines in an instant the perpetration of the crime,—the individual feeling himself drawn on by an impulse which he can neither resist nor control. Disordered menstruction, by sympathy of the uterus with the brain, may likewise operate as a cause: and this it is the more important to observe, because the individual may not have previously manifested any sign of intellectual or moral insanity. (Case of Brixey, post, p. 803.) Esquirol alludes to the case of a female, who at every menstrual period experienced a strong desire to kill her husband and children, especially when she saw them lying asleep. Parturition is likewise a cause, and in this case the disorder assumes the form of what is called PUERPERAL MANIA. (See post, p. 812.) It is important for the medical jurist to hear in mind, that persons who are likely to be attacked by homicidal monomania, are not always characterized by a gloomy, melancholic, or irritable disposition: the disorder sometimes shows itself in those who have been remarkable for their kind and gentle demeanonr and quiet habits. Thus, then, in these cases, the murderous disposition gives no warning of its existence: this may, however, be sometimes indicated by a sudden change of character.

Symptoms.—Homicidal monomania may make its appearance at all ages, even in children not more than eight or ten years old:—it is usually periodical, and the paroxysm is preceded by symptoms of general excitement. The patient experiences colicky pains, a sense of heat in the abdomen or chest,—headache, restlessness,—the face is flushed or very pale,—the pulse hard and full, and tho whole body in a state of convulsive tremor. An act of violence is committed without warning, and the patient appears as if relieved from some oppressive feeling. He may be calm, and express neither regret, remorse, nor fear. He may coolly contemplate his victim, confess the deed, and at once surrender himself to justice. In some rare instances he may conceal himself, hide the weapon, and endeavour to do away with all traces of the crime. The symptoms just described have been observed to become

more aggravated in proportion as the homicidal impulse was strong. The propensity to kill is sometimes a fixed idea, at others intermittent, and the patient can no more banish it from his thoughts than can a person affected with insanity, divest himself of the delnsive ideas which

occupy his mind. (Esquirol, ii. 105.)

Legal tests.—Admitting, then, the existence of this state of homicidal monomania, it will become a question, how, when pleaded for one charged with murder, it is to be distinguished from a case where the crime has been perpetrated by a really sane person. Tests, both medical and legal, bave been proposed. The legal test was explicitly stated in the following terms by the whole of the judges in conference, in answer to queries put by the House of Lords in reference to the case of M'Naughten, tried and acquitted on the ground of insanity. (June 19th. 1843.)

Notwithstanding a party commits a wrong act while labouring under the idea that he was redressing a supposed grievance or injury, or under the impression of obtaining some public or private benefit, he is liable to punishment. The jury ought in all cases to be told that every man should be considered of sane mind until the coutrary was clearly proved in evidence. That before a plea of insanity should he allowed, and oubted evidence ought to be adduced that the accused was of diseased mind, and that at the time he committed the act, he was not conscious of right or Every person was supposed to know what the law was, and therefore nothing could justify a wrong act, except it was clearly proved tbat the party did not know right from wrong. If that was not satisfactorily proved, the accused was liable to punishment. If the delusion under which a person laboured were only partial, the party accused was equally hable with a person of sane mind. If the accused killed another in self-defence, he would be entitled to an acquittal; but if the crime were committed for any supposed injury, he would then be liable to the punishment awarded by the laws to his crime. (B. and F. M. R., July, 1843, p. 273.)

It would appear from this, that the law, in order to render a man responsible for a crime, looks for a consciousness of right and wrong and a knowledge of the consequences of the act. Thus, as it was laid down by the judge in Greensmith's case, the complete possession of reason is not essential to constitute the legal responsibility of an offender; and it is also to be inferred from the results of several cases, that a man may be civilly incompetent, but sufficiently sane to be made criminally responsible. The proofs required in the two cases are essentially distinct. It has very properly been objected to this legal test, that it is insufficient for the purpose intended: it cannot, in a large majority of cases, enable us to distinguish the insane homicide from the sane criminal. Many insane persons have committed acts which they knew to be wrong, and of the criminality of which they were at the time perfectly conscious. They have been known to murder others, in order to receive the punishment of death at the

hands of the law; and therefore they must have known that the act which they were perpetrating, was an offence against the law of man. In short, the criminal nature of the act has often been the sole motive for its perpetuation! (See case, Ann. d'Hyg. 1842, i. 363.) It has been suggested with some truth, that it is rather the imperfect or defective appreciation of the motives to right or against wrong action which leads to crime among the insane, and not the mere ignorance of right and wrong. In Greensmith's case (ante, p. 791,) there was no doubt that the man knew he was doing wrong and what was contrary to law; for after having murdered two of his children, he returned and murdered the others, considering that he might as well suffer for all as for two! The case of Hadfield, who was tried for shooting at George III. and acquitted on the ground of insanity, furnishes another striking example of the existence of insane delusion, coupled with a fall knowledge of the consequences of the act which he was about to commit. He knew that in firing at the King, he was doing what was contrary to law; and that the punishment of death was attached to the erime of assassination; but the motive for the erime was that he might he put to death hy others.—he would not take his own Again, Martin, the incendiary, admitted that he knew he was doing wroug according to the law of man, when he set fire to York Cathedral: he was conscious that the act was illegal, but he said he had the command of God to do it. Thus, then, we find a full consciousness of the illegality or wrongfulness of the act may exist in a man's mind, and yet he may he fairly acquitted on the ground of insanity.

Medical tests.—It will now be proper to examine the tests which have been proposed by medical jurists, for detecting these cases of homicidal mania.

1. The acts of homicide have generally been preceded by other striking peculiarities of conduct in the individual, often by a total change of character. 2. They have in many instances previously or subsequently attempted suicide: they have expressed a wish to die or to be executed as criminals.

These supposed criteria have been repeatedly and very properly rejected, when tendered as proofs of insanity in Courts of Law. They are of too vague a nature, and apply as much to eases of moral depravity as of actual insanity: in short, if these were admitted as *proofs*, they would serve as a convenient shelter from punishment for many sane criminals.

3. These acts are without motive: they are in opposition to all human motives. A man known to have heen tenderly attached to them, murders his wife and children:—a mother destroys her infant.

Motive for crime.—It is hereby assumed or implied that sane men never commit a crime without an apparent motive; and that an insane person never has a motive, or one of a delnsive nature only, in the perpetration of a criminal act. If these positions were true, it would be very easy to distinguish a sane from an insane criminal; but the

rule wholly fails in practice. In the first place, the non-discovery is here taken us a proof of the non-existence of a motive; while it is undoubted that motives may exist for many atrocious criminal acts without our being able to discover them, a fact proved by the numerous recorded confessions of criminals before execution, in cases where, until these confessions had been made, no motive for the perpetrntion of the crime had appeared to the acutest minds. In the case of Courvoisier, who was convicted of the murder of Lord William Russell in June 1840, it was the reliance upon this alleged criteriou, before the secret proofs of guilt accidentally came out, that led many to believe he could not have committed the crime; and the "nbsence of motive" was urged by his counsel as the strongest proof of the man's innocence. It was ingeniously contended "that the most trifling action of human life had its spring from some motive or other." This is undoubtedly true, but it is not always in the power of n man untainted with crime, to detect and unravel the motives which influence criminals in the perpetration of murder. No reasonable motive was ever discovered for the atrocious murders and mutilations perpetrated by Greenacre and Good, yet these persons were very properly made responsible for their crimes! On the trial of Francis for shooting at the Queen, the main ground of the defence was, that the prisoner had no motive for the act, and therefore was irresponsible, but he was convicted. It is difficult to comprehend under what circumstances my motive for such au act as this could exist: and therefore the admission of such a defence would have been like laying down the rule, that the evidence of the perpetration of so heinous a crime, should in all cases be taken as a proof of the existence of an irresponsible state of mind! Crimes have been sometimes committed without any apparent motive, by sanc individuals, who were at the time perfectly aware of the criminality of their conduct. No mark of insanity or delusion could be discovered about them, and they had nothing to say in their defence. They have, however, been very properly held responsible. On the other hand, lunatics confined in a lunatic usylum have been known to be influenced by motives in the perpetration of crimes. Thus they have often murdered their keepers out of revenge for ill-treatment which they have experienced at their hands. (See the case of the Queen v. Farmer, York Spring Assizes, 1837.) This man was noquitted as insane, while the clear motive for the homicide was revenge and ill-feeling; or the net may be perpetrated out of jealousy. (Reg. v. Goule, Durham Summer Ass. 1845.) On the whole, the conclusion with respect to this assumed criterion is, that an absence of motive may, where there are other strong evidences of insanity, favour the view of irresponsibility for crime; but the non-discovery of a motive for a criminal act, cannot of itself be taken as any proof of the existence of homicidal monomania in the perpetrator. It is right to state, however, that the law invariably acts on this humane principle;—the absence of a sufficient motive affords a strong presumption of innocence,—the presence of one is no proof of guilt.

4. The subsequent conduct of the individual:—he seeks no escape, delivers himself up to justice, and acknowledges the crime laid to his

charge.

Confession This is commonly characteristic of homicidal mania: for by the same criminal every attempt is made to conceal all traces of the crime, and he denies it to the last. A case occurred in September. 1843, which, however, shows the fallacy of this criterion. A man named Dadd, murdered his father at Cobham, under circumstances strongly indicative of homicidal mania: he fled to France after the perpetration of the crime, and was subsequently tried and acquitted ou the ground of insanity. (See also another case, Ann. d'Hyg. 1829, ii. 392.) On the other hand, it must be remembered that sane persons who destroy the lives of others through revenge or anger, often perpetrate murder openly, and do not attempt to deny or conceal the crime; for they know that devial or attempt at concealment would be Again, a morbid love of notoriety will often induce sane criminals to attempt assassination under circumstances where the attempt must necessarily be witnessed by hundreds, and there can be no possibility of escape. The attacks made upon the life of the Queen, are sufficient to bear out this statement.

5. The sane murderer has generally accomplices in vice or crime;

the homicidal monomaniae has not.

Accomplices.—Upon this it may be observed that some of the most atrocious mnrders committed in modern times, as those perpetrated by Greenacre, Good, Courroisier, and others, were the acts of solitary individuals, who had ucither accomplices nor any assignable inducements leading to the commission of the crimes. It is, however, a fact so far in favour of the existence of homicidal insanity, that the insane never have accomplices in the acts which they perpetrate. These criteria can hardly be described as medical; they are circumstances upon which a non-professional mau may form as safe a judgment, as one who has made insanity a special study.

Delusion in the act.—The presence of delusion has been said to characterize an act of bomicidal monomania, while premeditation, precaution and concealment, have been considered the essential features of the act of a sane criminal. With respect to delusion, it has been decided that the mere proof of the existence of this does not excuse the act: if the delusion be partial, the party accused is still responsible;—and if the crime were committed for an imaginary injury, he would be beld equally responsible. (See ante, p. 794.) Much stress was formerly laid upon the delusion being connected with the act in cases of insanity; but it must be remembered that, except by the confessions of insane persons during convalescence, it is not commonly easy for a sane mind, to connect their most simple acts with the delusions under

which they lahour. Every act of homidade perpetrated by a really insanc person, is doubtless connected with some delusion with which he is affected; but it by no means follows, that one who is sane should always be able to make out this connection; and it would be therefore unjust to rest the irresponsibility of the accused apon an accidental discovery of this kind. Let the following cases show how little a sane person is able to connect the delusions of the insane with their acts. Marc mentions that a patient of his was continually in the habit of lick. ing the plaster from the walls of his cell,—in some places they had heen licked quite bare by this disgusting practice. It was only accidentally discovered that the act was connected with a delusion, under which the man lahoured, that he was licking and tasting the most delicious Another patient was in the habit of running up and down the ward, heating his own shadow with a stick. It turued out that he fancied this shadow to he an army of rats in constant pursuit of him! As having closer reference to the present subject, I may refer to the case of a young man, upon whom an inquisition was held in 1843. He was a person of mild manners, and he lahoured under a delusion connected with windmills. He would go any distance to see a windmill, and would sit watching one for days together. His friends removed him to a place where there were no mills, in the hope that this strange propensity would wear away. He enticed a child into a wood, and in attempting to murder it, cut and mangled its limbs with a knife in a horrible manner. How would any sane person have connected this delusion respecting windmills with attempted murder? Yet it turned out that he had taken the resolution to commit this horrible crime, in the hope that he should be removed as a punishment to some place where there would he a mill! (See Report on Lunatics, Quart. Rev., 1844.) Lord Erskine's doctrine in *Hadfield's* case is therefore, medically speaking, wholly untenable. The connection of delusion with the act may exculpate an accused party; hut the non-establishment of this connection proves nothing. It may be further observed, that premeditation and precautiou are met with in crimes committed hoth hy sane and insane criminals; although these, with subsequent concealment, are certainly strong characteristics of sanity. It is also a question, whether, when they are proved to have existed in any criminal act, there might not have been such a power of self-control in the individual as to justify the application of punishment. Are such individuals more beyond the influence of example than one-half of the criminals who are punished?

Summary.—The foregoing considerations lead to the inference, that there are no certain legal or medical rules, wherehy homicidal mania may be detected. Each case must he determined by the circumstances attending it: but the true test for irresponsibility in these ambiguous cases appears to he, whether the individual, at the time of the commission of the crime, had or had not a sufficient power of control to govern his actions. If from circumstances it can be inferred that he had this power, whether his case may fall within the above rules or not, he should be

made responsible and rendered liable to punishment. If, however, he was led to the perpetration of the act by an uncontrollable impulse, (lésion de volonté, Esquirol,) whether accompanied by deliberation or not, then he is entitled to an acquittal as an irresponsible agent. The power of controlling an act appears to me to imply the existence of such a state of sanity, that nuless there be strong evidence of insanity from other circumstances, the party should be held responsible. It is said that such a rule would not include cases of intellectual insanity; but the proof here might be derived from other sources, such as the existence of delusion. In the meantime the rule is applicable to those cases in which it is most wauted, i. e. of moral insanity; and in one instance there were no other facts upon which a verdict could be founded (Reg. v. Brixey, C. C. C., May 1845, p. 803, post.) A test somewhat similar to this, is constantly applied by juries, under the direction of our judges, to distinguish murder from manslaughter; and it is quito certain, that sanity and homicidal mania are not more nicely blended, than are occasionally the shades of guilt whereby murder passes into manslaughter. The mauner in which a crime is committed, will often allow a fair inference to be drawn as to how far a power of self-control existed. A man in a violent fit of mania rushes with a drawn sword into an open street, and stabs the first person whom he meets; -another, worn out by poverty and destitution, murders his wife and children to prevent them from starving, and then probably attempts to murder himself, these are eases in which there is fair ground to entertain a plea of irresponsibility; but when we find a man (Reg. v. M'Naughten) lurking for many days together in a particular locality, having about him a loaded weapon,-watching a particular individual who frequents that locality,—a man who does not face the judividual and shoot him, but who could waits until he has an opportunity of discharging the weapon unobserved by his victim or others,—the circumstances appear to show such a perfect adaptation of means to ends, and such a power of controlling his actions, that one is quite at a loss to understand, why a plca of irresponsibility should be admitted except upon the fallacious ground, that no motive could be discovered for the act,—a ground, however, which was not allowed to prevail in the cases of Courvoisier, Francis, and the perpetrators of other atrocious crimes!

Test of irresponsibility.—There is no novelty in the test here proposed; it is more or less advocated by Esquirol, Marc, Ray, and the best writers on the medical jurisprudence of insanity. Esquirol makes three forms of homicidal monomania: 1 depending on aberration of intellect; 2. on perverted moral feelings; 3. on diseased volition depriving the individual of his moral liberty, i. e. the power of controlling his actions (impuissance de la volonté qui prive l'homme de sa liberté morale. Maladies Mentales, ii. 842.) M. Marc adopts throughout the opinions of Esquirol. (De la Folic, ii. 71.) Dr. Ray, an intelligent American writer, considers that all forms of homicidal monomania are characterized by an "irresistible motiveless impulse to destroy life:"

(Med. Jur. of Insanity, 268;) and DricPagan properly observes,—
"The very loss of the control over our bestions which insanity infers, is that which renders the acts which are committed during its continuance, undeserving of punishment." (Med. Jur. of Insanity, 211.) Thus, then, it would appear we have here the true criterion whereby the responsibility or irresponsibility of an accused party ought to be tested; and although there will be some difficulty in determining how far the individual did or did not possess control over his act;—whether the impulse was or was not irresistible, (impuissance de la volonté;) yet it must be borne in mind that the same objection applies with equal force not only to the present legal test, (the existence or non-existence of a consciousness of right or wrong under which persons are yearly acquitted or executed,) but to every test or rule, medical or legal, that has yet been proposed by physician or jurist.

Cases in illustration.—It is well known that individuals seized with a desire to kill, have been able, in some instances, to exercise a certain degree of control over the impulse, and have thus saved the lives of their intended victims, and themselves from the imputation of a heinous Among many cases of this description to be found in medicolegal works, there is perhaps none which illustrates the statement more forcibly than the following by Mr. Daniell. A patient labouring under disordered liver, without any sign of intellectual aberration, was found by him to be on one occasion in a state of great excitement. He confessed that whilst talking with his wife and family, his eye caught the poker.—a desire to shed blood came upon him which he felt he could not control. He shut his eyes and tried to think of something else, but it was of no nse. At last, he could hear it no longer, and with a voice of thunder he ordered them out of the room. Had they opposed him, he felt that he must have murdered them all. (Prov. Med. Jour. Nov. 12, 1845.) This was a sudden fit of homicidal monomania, and it presents a fearful picture of the contending feelings which agitate an individual labouring under it. There was here, it will be observed, not an entire deprivation of self-control, or he would have attacked his wife and children without giving them any warning. (For other cases, see Esquirol, Maladics Mentales, ii. 807.)

Much difference of opinion existed relative to the case of M'Naughten, who was tried for the murder of Mr. Drummond (Jan. 7, 1843), and acquitted on the ground of insanity. There is hardly a doubt, that had the deceased given any personal offence to this individual before the perpetration of the act, he would have been convicted; if the deceased, from feeling annoyed at his following him, had struck him or pushed him away before the pistol was fired, it is most probable that the plea of insanity would not have been received. In the acquittal of this man, it is evident that considerable importance was attached to the non-discovery of a motive; for had any kind of motive been apparent, it is pretty certain that an alleged "homicidal climax," occurring at the particular moment when the

deceased's back was turned and after several days' watching on the part of the assailant, would not have heen admitted as a sufficient exculpatory plca! If we except the case of Oxford, tried for shooting at the Queen, there is perhaps no ease on record in English jurisprudence where the facts in support of the plea of insanity were so slight; and when the cases of Bellingham, Lees, and Cooper are considered, the two latter tried and executed within the last few years, it must be evident that there are both uncertainty and injustice in the operation of our criminal law. Either some individuals are most improperly acquitted on the plea of iusanity, or others are most unjustly executed. If the punishment of death were abolished, there is no doubt that less would be heard of this plea; but in the meantime, it is unfortunate that there is no other way of avoiding capital punishment, than by striving to make it appear that the criminal is insanc! (See Pritchard, 399.) It is on this point that medical witnesses seem to me to lose sight of their true position. In giving an opinion of the mental condition of an offcuder, it is no part of their province to model that opinion according to the punishment which may follow if the plea be rejected, but according to the facts of the case. The Legislature only is responsible for the punishment adjudged to crimes. One great evil is, that under this system the law operates most unequally. One case becomes a subject of prominent public interest, and every exertion is made to construe the most trivial points of character into proofs of insanity: an acquittal follows. Another case tried at the assizes, may excite no interest,—it is left to itself,—the accused is convicted, and either executed or otherwise punished; although the evidence of iusanity, had it heeu as carefully sought for and brought out, would have been as strong in this as in the former instance (Reg. v. Stolzer, Cent. Crim. Court, Nov. 1843; also Reg. v. Laurence, Lewes Leut Ass. 1844, infra.) That this kind of defence is being carried too far will be apparent from the observation of Mr. Baron Gurney, in the case of the King v. Reynolds, where the judge said, that "the defence of insanity had lately grown to a fearful height, and the security of the public required that it should be watched." So also Mr. Justice Coltman, in the case of the Queen v. Weyman, remarked, "that the defence of insanity was one which was to be watched with considerable strictness, because it was not any slight deviation from the conduct which a rational man would pursue under a given state of circumstances, which would support such a line of defence." When the punishment attached to an offence is not capital, it would appear that much stronger evidence is required to establish a plea of insanity than under other circumstances. This will be seen hy reference to the case of the Queen v. Grove (Stafford Lent Assizes, 1843). The evidence of insanity was considerably stronger than that adduced in the case of M'Naughten, yet the prisoner was convicted! These two cases occurring so recently, the one after the other, display the great uncertainty attendant upon a plea of this kind. So again it would be difficult to reconcile, upon matical grounds, the conviction of Francis with the acquittal of Oxford, both of them tried for the same crime (shooting at the Queen), committed under similar circumstances. In the case of the Queen v. Stolzer (Cent. Crip. Court, Oct. 1843), where the charge was one of murder by stabbing, the plea was rejected, although no motive appeared, and there were some indications of insanity. In another case (the Queen v. Rowe), tried at the same time, the prisoner, an old man, deliberately fired a loaded pistol at his master, because he had discharged him from his service and would not take him back. There was no mark of insanity either in the act or in his previous conduct, but he was acquitted as insane, on the lenient presumption, that he might be labouring under the imbecility of age!

The case of Reg. v. Laurence (Lewes Lent Ass. 1844), affords a remarkable contrast to that of M'Naughten. The prisoner had been arrested by a constable for a petty theft: he was taken to the policestation, where the inspector, who was an utter stranger to him, was at the time engaged in talking to some friends, his back being turned to the prisoner. The man suddenly seized a poker and struck the inspector a violent blow ou the skull, from which he speedily died. The prisoner admitted that he struck the blow; that he had no motive for the act; and that he would have struck any one else, who had been standing there at the time. He also said he hoped the deceased would die; he was glad he had done it, and he wished to be hanged. evidence at the trial showed that there was no cause of quarrel between the parties, but that the prisoner appeared to be actuated by some sudden impulse, for which they could not assign the slightest reason. This man was left to a chance defence, for the Court was actually obliged to assign counsel to him. There was no eloquent advocate, to make a brilliant speech in his favour; there were no medical witnesses, profoundly versed in the subject of insanity, to contend for the existence of a "homicidal climax," or of impulsive homicidal monomania; but there was simply a formal plea of insanity, resting upon the fact of the deceased being a stranger to him, and of there being, consequently, no motive for the act of murder. The jury negatived this plea, and the prisoner was convicted and executed! The only differences between this case and that of M'Naughten, were, that there was in Laurence less evidence of deliberation, with stronger evidence of sudden impulse; and there was not sufficient interest about the deceased, the prisoner, or his crime, to attract any great public attention!

This case had not long occurred, when another of a similar kind, was the subject of a trial at the Central Criminal Court, in October, 1844 (Reg. v. Hon. Ross Touchett). The prisoner, a young man, entered a shooting gallery in Holborn, took up a pistol, and deliberately fired at the proprietor of the gallery while his back was turned, thereby inflicting a wound which ultimately led to his death, after

the long period of eleven menths. The prisoner was tried for shooting with intent to murder. The defence was insanity, founded on the absence of motive for the act and on the presumption of hereditary taint. After having fired the pistol he said he did it on purpose, for he wished to be hanged. There was no evidence of intellectual aberration! his landlady said he was a very regular and quiet person, and that he had complained of a sensation of boiling at the top of his head! Dr. Monro considered that at the time of the act the prisoner was labouring under mental derangement. He admitted to him that he had no knowledge of Mr. Smith (the person whom he shot), but that he wished to be hanged, and had been brooding over suicide for some years. He referred to the case of Laurence, who had killed a man at Brighton (supra), and said that he wished to do something of the same kind, in order to get himself hanged. He was acquitted on the ground of insanity. What distinction can possibly be made by physician or jurist between these two cases,—or how is it possible to lay down rules for the future guidance of medical witnesses under such capricious decisions? The acquittal of Touchett may have been perfectly right; but then the conviction and the execution of Laurence was a public wrong.

The principles of the English law have been closely scrutinised by medico-legal writers, and it has been abundantly proved, that the test of responsibility assumed by it, is of a purely theoretical kind and cannot be carried into practice. With this admission, it appears to me unnecessary to occupy space with metaphysical discussions regarding criminal responsibility; for however defective the rules, if the practice of the law be in any one case in conformity with that which has been advised by the best writers on medical jurisprudence of insanity, this is all with which we have to concern ourselves: the principle is admitted. The great defect in the English law is, not that it will not go to the full extent of the doctrine, but the uncertainty of its application. The foregoing cases show that an acquittal on the

plea of insanity, is left to be a mere matter of accident.

Among a large number of cases which have occurred within a recent period, I shall select one, because it shows plainly that the law makes no difficulty in admitting the plea of insanity,—evea when it depends only on perverted moral feeling. The most strenuous advocate of irresponsibility in eases of moral insanity, can desire no better precedent than that furnished by the case of Reg. v. Brixey. (Central Crim. Court, June 1845.) The prisoner was a quiet inoffensive girl, a maid-servant in a respectable family. She had laboured under disordered menstruation, and a short time before the occurrence, had shown some violence of temper about trivial domestic matters. This was all the evidence of her insanity—the rest was furnished by the act of murder, a species of evidence, which in Greensmith's case (ante, p. 791), the judge positively declined receiving. She procured a knife from the kitchen on some trivial pretence, and while the nurse

was ont of the room, cut the throat of her master's infant child. She then went down stairs and told her master what she had done. was perfectly conscious of the crime she had committed, and showed much anxiety to know whether she would be hauged on transported. There was not the slightest evidence that she was labouring under any The prisoner was acquitted on the ground of inganity. (See Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 166, 247.) In trying this case by the medical rules laid down for detecting homicidal monomania (aute, p. 795), we shall see that it falls under the 3d, 4th, and 5th only; i. e. absence of motive, -no attempt at escape, -no accomplices. Admitting the probability of a connection existing between amenorrhoa and insanity in the abstract, there was no more proof of insanity in the case of this girl, than in that of Laurence; - yet one was convicted and executed, while the other was acquitted! In the defence of Brixey, Mr. Clarkson uttered a plain medical and legal truth, in stating that "no general rules can be applied to cases of this sort. Each case must be decided by the peculiar facts which accompany it." Notwithstanding the precedent furnished by this case, the Court will commonly look for some clear and distinct proof of mental delusion or intellectual aberration. If there be no proof of delusion or of weakness of intellect on the part of the accused, the plea of homicidal insanity from irresistible impulse, will seldom be admitted. In the case of Reg. v. Burton (Huntingdon Summer Assizes, 1848), the prisoner was indicted for the marder of his wife, by cutting her throat. It appeared that he had no motive for killing her,—that he had been previously unwell, and restless at nights,—that he did not attempt to conceal or deny the commission of the crime, and that he expressed no sorrow or remorse for it when perpetrated. The medical witness attributed the act to a sudden homicidal impulse: the prisoner's reason was not affected, and he had not laboured under delusions. This appears to have been a proper view of the case. The learned judge, Mr. Baron Parke, dissented from the medical opinion, because the excuse of an irresistible impulse, co-existing with the full possession of reason, would justify any crime whatever. It must be remembered, however, that the plea of an irresistible impulse could not be made when any motive appeared for the act. There appears to have been no stronger reason for convicting this prisoner than for convicting Brixey. The jury, nevertheless, found him guilty, while Brixey was acquitted!

Since the former edition of this work appeared, numerous criminal trials, involving the plea of insanity in cases of murder, have taken place. Among these may be particularly specified the cases of Reg. v. Johnson, Reg. v. Ovenston, Reg. v. Allnutt, and Reg. v. Parker. In the two first the prisoners were acquitted on the ground of insanity; although I quite agree with Dr. Mayo, in thinking that in Johnson's case there was not the slightest proof of insanity. (Clinical Facts, 208.) In the case of Reg. v. Allnutt, the prisoner, a boy aged 12, was convicted of poisoning his grandfather, under circumstances indi-

cative of great contrivance and deliberation. The medical evidence entirely failed to show that the prisouer was insane, and he was convicted. The remarks made by the judge who tried this case (Rolfe, B.) are of some importance in relation to this plea. "The witnesses called for the defence had described the prisoner as acting from uncontrollable impulse, and they had made other statements, of the value of which it would be for the jury to decide; but he must say that it was his opiniou that such evidence ought to be scanned by jnries with very great jealousy and suspicion, because it might tend to the perfect justification of every crime that was committed. What was the meaning of not being able to resist moral influence? Every crime was committed under an influence of such a description, and the object of the law was to compel persons to control these influences; and if it was made an excuse for a person who had committed a crime, that he had been goaded to it by some impulse, which medical men might choose to say he could not control, he must observe that such a doctrine would be fraught with very great danger to the interests of society." The reader will do well to consult Dr. Mayo's remarks on this subject (Clinical Facts, 193, 1847).

Summary of medical evidence.- A strange and unaccountable notion prevails in the public mind, that a homicidal lunatic is to be distinguished from a sane criminal by some certain and invariable symptoms or characters, which it is the business of a medical witness to display in evidence, and of a medico-legal writer to describe. a perusal of the evidence given at a few trials will surely satisfy those who entertain this notion, that each case must stand by itself. It is easy to classify homicidal lunatics, and say that in one instance the murderous act was committed from a motive; i. e. revenge or jealousy; -in a second, from no motive, but from irresistible impulse; in a third, from illusion or delusive motive; i. e. mental delusion; in a fourth, from perverted moral feeling. This classification probably comprises all the varieties of homicidal insanity, but it does not help us to ascertain, in a doubtful case, whether the act was or was not committed under any of these psychological conditions. It will enable us to classify those who are acquitted on the ground of insanity, but it entirely fails in giving us the power to distinguish the sane from the insane criminal.

According to M. Esquirol, whose views, more or less modified, are adopted by all writers on the medical jurisprudence of insanity, the facts hitherto observed, indicate three degrees of homicidal monomania:—

1. In the first the propensity to kill is connected with absurd motives or actual delusion. The individual would be at once pronounced insane hy everybody. Cases of this description are not uncommon, and they create no difficulty whatever. The accused are rarely allowed even to plead to the charge.

2. In the second class, the desire to kill is connected with no

known motive. It is difficult to suppose that the individual had any real or imaginary motive for the deed. Fir appears to be led on by a blind impulse, which he resists and ultimately overcomes. (Caso by Mr. Daniell, aute, p. 800.)

3. In the third class, the impulse to kill is sudden, instantaneous, unreflecting and uncontrollable, (plus forte que la volontés). The act of homicide is perpetrated without interest, without motive, and often on individuals who are most fondly loved by the perpetrator. (Mala-

dies Mentales, ii. 834.)

These three forms differ from each other only in degree;—the two first being strongly analogous to, but lighter modifications of the third. All the cases which came before M. Esquirol had these features in common:—an irritable constitution, great excitability—singularity or eccentricity of character: and previously to the manifestation of the propensity, there was a gentle, kind and affectionate disposition. As in other forms of insanity, there was some well-marked change of character or in the mode of life. The period at which the disorder commenced and terminated, could be easily defined, and the malady could be almost always referred to some moral or physical cause. In two cases it was traced to the result of puberty, and in four to the power of imitation. Attempts at snieide preceded or followed the attack: all wished to die, and some desired to be put to death like criminals. In none of the cases was there any motivo for the act of homicide.

M. Esquirol believes that there are well-marked distinctions between this state and that of the sane criminal. Among these he enumerates, 1st, the want of accomplices in homicidal monomania. 2d, the criminal has always a motive—the aet of murder is only a means for gratifying some other more or less criminal passion; and it is almost always accompanied by some other wrongful act: the contrary exists in homicidal monomania. 3d, the victims of the criminal are those who oppose his desires or his wishes: the victims of the monomaniac are among those who are either indifferent, or who are the most dear to him.—4th, the criminal endeavours to conceal, and if taken, denies, the crime; if he confesses it, it is only with some reservation, and when circumstances are too strong against him; but he commonly denies it to the last moment. It is the reverse with the monomaniac.

The exceptions to which these characters are open have been already considered (ante, p. 795). They have, undoubtedly, greater value in their united than in their individual application, and when in any case they co-exist, there is strong reason to believe that the accused party is irresponsible.

Some doubt has existed, whether a medical witness, on a trial in which the plea of insanity is raised, could be asked his opinion respecting the state of the prisoner's mind at the time of the commission of the alleged crime,—whether the accused was conscious at the time of

doing the act that he was acing contrary to law, or whether he was then labouring under any and what delusion. It has been now decided, by fourteen judges out of fifteen, that facts tending to lead to a strong suspicion of insanity must be proved and admitted, before the opinion of medical witnesses can be received on these points. It is proper that a medical witness should remember, in examining an accused party, who is alleged to bave committed a crime while labouring under insanity, that the plea may be good, and yet the individual be sane, when examined. This was observed in the case of a lunatic. who killed his mother, in February 1843. There was no doubt that he was insane at the time of the act; but two days afterwards he was found to be of a perfectly sound mind. This sudden restoration to reason is sometimes met with in cases of homicidal mania. For a remarkable case of this description, where the motive for a man killing his wife was apparently jealousy, see report by MM. Leuret and Ollivier. (Ann. d'Hyg. 1843, ii. 187; also, 1836, ii. 122.) Hale mentions a case, where a woman soon after her delivery, killed her infant. She confessed the crime, was carried to prison, fell iuto a deep sleep, wakened quite sane, and wondered how she came there. (See also the case of M'Callum, Alison, 650.)

CHAPTER LXIX.

SUICIDAL MANIA—SUICIDE NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF IN-SANITY—SUICIDE A FELONY—IN RELATION TO LIFE-INSURANCE — HEREDITARY TAINT—PUERPERAL MANIA—PYROMANIA—KLEP-TOMANIA—DRUNKENNESS—CIVIL AND CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY OF DRUNKARDS—ILLUSIONS—RESTRAINT—INTERDICTION—DELI-BIUM TREMENS—SOMNAMBULISM—CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIA-BILITIES OF THE DEAF AND DUMB.

SUICIDAL MANIA.

Suicide not necessarily indicative of insanity.—In monomania, especially in that form which is called melancholia or lypemania, there is often a strong propensity to the commission of suicide. This may proceed from sudden impulse or from delusive reasoning. Suicidal mania is susceptible of being spread by imitation, more especially where the mode of self-destruction adopted, is accompanied by circumstances of a horrible kind, or exciting great notoriety. The sight of a weapon or a particular spot where a previous suicide has been committed, will often induce a person, who may have been hitherto unsuspected of any such disposition, at once to destroy himself. In some instances an individual fancies that he is oppressed and persecuted, that

his prospects in life are ruined, when, on the contrary, his affairs are known to be flourishing. He destroys himself under this delusion. In cases of this description, whether arising from a momentary insane impulse or from delusive reasoning, there cannot be a doubt that the act is one of insanity. It is very different, however, where a real motive is obviously present,—as where an individual destroye himself to avoid disgrace or impending ruin, because here the results are clearly foreseen, and the suicide calculates that the loss of life would be a smaller cvil than the loss of honour aud fortune. It may be urged that a motive of this kind will appear insufficient to the minds of most mcu; -but what known motive is there sufficient to account for parricide, infanticide, or any other crime of the like horrible nature? It appears to me we must allow either that all crime is the offspring of insanity, or that suicide is occasionally the deliberate act of a sane person. To say that suicide is always per se evidence of insanity, is to say substantially that there is no criminality in self-murder; for it is impossible to regard that act as a crime, which is committed under a really insane delusiou. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1831, i. 225.)

Snicide a felony.—The law of England very properly treats suicide as felony; those who have attempted and failed in its perpetration are treated as sanc and responsible agents, unless there should be very clear evidence of their insanity from other circumstances; and it is pretty certain, that the evidence required to establish this must be much stronger than that sometimes admitted in cases of homicide. Thus, had Oxford and M'Naughten attempted to destroy themselves and failed; and in making the attempt on their own lives by a pistol or otherwise, had accidentally led to the death of a bystander, and had afterwards been tried for the felony, it is almost certain that they would have been convicted. The hypothesis of a suicidal climax would have been rejected. The facts adduced at their trials, would most prohably, under these circumstances, have been deemed insufficient to establish their insanity and consequent irresponsibility for the attempts on their

own lives.

Some singular medico-legal cases have lately occurred, involving the question—how far the act of attempting suicide is indicative of insanity. In the case of the Queen v. Rumball, (Cent. Crim. Court, May 1843), the prisoner was charged with attempting to drown her child. It appeared in evidence, that she fastened her child to her dress and threw herself into a canal with the intention of destroying herself. She was rescued, and she was subsequently tried and convicted of the felony of attempting to murder her child by drowning. Had she not been rescued, and had she succeeded in her purpose of self-destruction, it is very prohable that the verdict of a jury would have been, as it so frequently is on these occasions,—"temporary insanity." In the case of Reg. v. Furley, (Cent. Crim. Court, April 1844), the prisoner was convicted of murder under similar circumstances, but the sentence was subsequently commuted. In the case of the Queen v. Gathercole, 1839,

a man was charged with the nanslaughter of the deceased, under the following singular circumstances. The prisoner threw himself into a canal for the purpose of drowning himself: the deceased, who was passing, jumped in and resened him; but by some accident he was himself drowned in the humane attempt. The defence was, that the prisoner was at the time insane, and therefore not responsible for the death of the person who attempted to save him; but this was negatived, and the prisoner was convicted. So if a man intending to shoot himself fails, and by accident shoots a bystander, he will be held responsible, unless there be very clear proof of insanity:—the act—the attempt itself, taken alone, will not be admitted as evidence.

Suicide in relation to life-insurance.—It is well known that a policy of life-assurance is forfeited by the act of snicide, according to the rules of many Offices; but supposing it to have been really an act of insanity, it has been doubted whether the policy would be legally forfeited. In an equitable view, the policy should not be forfeited under these circumstances, any more than if the party had died accidentally by his The condition truly implies that the party puts himself to death deliberately, and not unconsciously, while labouring under a fit of delirium or insanity. The question was raised in the case of Borradaile v. Hunter (Dec. 1841). This was an action brought to recover the amount of a policy of insurance effected on the life of a clergyman who threw himself into the Thames from Vauxhall Bridge, and was drowned. The whole question turned upon the legal meaning of the words "die by his own hand," which formed the exception in the provise to the payment of the policy. At the trial of the case, Erskine J. told the jury, that if the deceased threw himself into the river, knowing that he should destroy himself and intending so to do, the policy would be void:—they had further to consider whether the deceased was capable of distinguishing between right and wrong at the time, or, in other words, whether he had a sufficient knowledge of the consequences of the act to make him a felo-de-se. The jury found that the deceased threw himself into the water intending to destroy himself; and that previously to that time, there was no evidence of insanity. They were then directed to take the act itself with the previous conduct of the deceased into consideration, and say whether they thought, at the time, he was capable of knowing right from wrong. found that he threw himself from the bridge with the intention of destroying himself, but that he was not then capable of judging between right and wrong. The jury were here evidently perplexed with the strict meaning of the words right and wrong :- the first part of the verdict made the case one of felo-de-sc, the last part made it one of insanity. The verdict was entered for the defendants, i. e. that the deceased was a felo-de-se, and that the policy was therefore void. The case was subsequently argued before the four judges in the Common Pleas, May 1843: it was contended for the plaintiff, that according to the terms of the policy, there must have been an intention by the party assured, to

"die by his own hands;" and that an insanc person could have no controllable intention. The judges differed :- three considered that there was no ground for saying that the deceased was affected by an uncontrollable impulse, -on the contrary, the jury had found that he threw himself into the river, knowing that he should destroy himself and intending to do so. In their opinion, the act was one of felo-de-se, and the policy was void. Tindal C. J. considered, that the verdict should be for the plaintiff, thereby leading to the inference, that the act of suicide was in this case the result of insanity, and not of a felonious killing, to which alone he considered the exception in the proviso should apply. It is prohable that if the term "suicide" had been inscrted in the policy instead of "die by his own hand," the decision would have heen in favour of the plaintiffs; for to vitiate a policy from an accidental result depending on an attack of insanity, and flowing directly from that attack, is virtually vitiating it for the insanity itself! this respect, it appears that the learned Chief Justice took a most sound and equitable view of this question, so important to the interests of those who have insured their lives. It is impossible for a man to enter into a contract against an attack of insanity, any more than against an attack of apoplexy! The jury found that the deceased was irresponsible for the act, and it is clear that the insurers and insured intended no more by using the terms "die by his own hand" than the act of suicide. By this decision, therefore, the insurers received the henefit of a wider interpretation of the terms than that which either party could have foreseen.

This question was again raised in the case of Schwabe v. Clift, Liverpool Snmmer Assizes, 1845. (Med. Gaz. xxxvi. 826.) deceased, whose life was insured, destroyed himself by taking sulphuric acid. There was clear evidence of his being at the time in a state of The jury here, under the direction of Cresswell J., took a insanity. most proper view of the subject, and returned a verdict for the plaintiffs, thereby deciding that the policy was not vitiated by the mere act of suicide. The learned judge held that to bring the case within the terms of the exception, the party taking his own life, must have heen an accountable moral agent and able to distinguish right from wrong. In this case, the term "suicide" was used in the policy, which the learned judge held to imply "a felonious killing." Supposing that the insured party was killed by voluntarily precipitating himself from a window while in a fit of delirium from fever, this would be an act of suicide or dying by his own hand; but it surely cannot be equitably contended that his heirs should lose the benefit of the insurance in consequence of an event depending on an accidental attack of a disease, which no one could have foreseen, and against which no one could guard. If this principle be not admitted, the decision which must necessarily follow, would appear to be against all equity; if it he admitted, then it must apply equally to every case of mental disorder, the proof of the existence of this resting with those who would benefit

by the policy. On an appeal, the judgment in this case was, however, reversed, the judges again differing. It was argued for the insurers, that if a man retained just enough of intelligence to produce death by competent means, but was deprived of all moral sense, the policy was void. Against this view, it was urged by one of the judges, that whether the intellect was destroyed altogether, or only partially, it would make no If death was the result of disease, whether by affecting the senses or by affecting the reason (thus leading to suicide), the Insurance office was liable under the policy. If the act was not tho act of a sane and reasonable creature, it was not an act of snicide within the meaning of the proviso. Those judges who adopted the opposite view held that the meaning of the words, as introduced into the exception, was—if the party should kill himself intentionally. The words were coosidered to include all cases of voluntary self-destruction. If a party voluntarily killed himself, it was of no consequence whether The majority of the Court held this view, and a he was sane or not. new trial was granted. Had all the judges been present to give their opinions, the decision might have been different, for five have already expressed themselves, at various times, in favour of the view, that tho term "suicide" in policies, applies, as it ought to do, only to cases in which there is no evidence of insanity; while four have declared their opinion to be, that it includes all cases of "intentional" self-killing, whether the person be sane or insane. It is difficult to understand how a man in a fit of delirium or insanity can be said to kill himself voluntarily or intentionally. Will and intention imply the judgment of a saue man in regard to all civil and criminal acts; but a delirious or really insane person acts under a delusion, and as the law would hold him irresponsible in regard to others, his representatives should not suffer for an act which he was himself incapable of controlling. (Law Times, July 18, 1846, p. 342.)

The decision in this case is of great importance to persons whose lives are insured; for it may be made to govern others: and on this priociple, a man attacked with delirium, and who during the fit precipitated himself from a window, and was killed, would be declared a suicide within the meaning of the proviso, and a policy of insurance on his life would be ipso facto void. If the meaning of the words is to be taken so strictly as this judgment would imply, the fact of insured persons accidentally killiog themselves, would render a policy void where the words of the proviso were, "die by his own hands." There may be no intention to destroy life in such a case, nor can there truly be said to he an intentional killing where a person destroys himself in a fit of delirium or insanity, although in both cases he is the agent of his own death. (See ease, Prov. Med. Jour. Ang. 9, 1848, 428.)

From these cases one point is clear,—the act of suicide is not treated by the law as a necessary proof of insanity; and therefore the ingenious arguments which have been held on this subject, have but little interest for the medical jurist in a practical view. It has been else-

where stated, that acts of suicide have been mistaken for homicide, merely because the deceased had expressed no intention of destroying himself, and had manifested no disposition to the act by his previous conduct. This, however, is a very fallacions view of the subject; since suicide from sudden impulse is by no means unfrequent; and even where the act bears about it marks of deliberation, it is not to he expected that the individual should previously announce his intention; for this would be a sure way of defeating his object. Perhaps one of the most remarkable instances of suicide from sudden impulse, is the following, which is related by Sir Charles Bell. Many years since one of the surgeous of the Middlesex Hospital was in the habit of going every morning to be shaved by a barber in the neighbourhood, who was known as a steady, industrious man. One morning some conversation arose about an attempt at suicide which had recently occurred; and the surgeon remarked that the man had not cut his throat in the right place. The barber then casually inquired where the cut should have been made; and the surgeon pointed to the situation of the carotid artery. A few minutes afterwards, the surgeon was alarmed hy hearing a noise at the back of the shop, and on rushing to the spot, found that the harber had ent his own throat with the razor with which he had been shaving him. The man speedily died!

Hereditary taint.—The tendency to suicide is undoubtedly hereditary. Dr. Burrows relates an instance in which this propensity declared itself through three generations:—in the first, the grandfather hung himself: he left four sons, one hung himself, another cut his throat, and a third drowned himself in an extraordinary manner after having been some months insanc: the fourth died a natural death, which, from his eccentricity and unequal mind, was scarcely to be expected. Two of these sons had large families—one child of the third son died insanc—two others drowned themselves, another hecame insanc and made the most determined attempts on his life. Several of the progeny of this family, being the fourth generation, when they had arrived at puberty, hore strong marks of the same fatal propensity.

PUERPERAL MANIA.

A homicidal propensity towards their offspring sometimes manifests itself in women, soon after parturition. It seldom appears before the third day, often not for a fortnight; and in some instances not until several weeks after delivery. The most frequent period is at about the commencement of lactation, and between that and the cessation of the lochia. According to Esquirol, it is generally attended by a snppression of the lochia and milk. The symptoms do not differ from those of mania generally; but it may assume any of the other forms of insanity; and in one half of the cases it may be traced to hereditary tendency. According to Dr. Burrows, there is delirinm, with a childish disposition for harmless mischief. The woman is gay and joyous, laughing, singing, loquacious, inclined to talk obscenely,

and careless of every thing around. She imagines that her food is poisoned—she may conecal the suspicion, and merely avoid taking what is offered to her. She can recognise persons and things, and can, though perhaps will not, answer direct questions. Occasionally there is great depression of spirits with melancholia. These facts are of some importance in cases of alleged child-murder. This state may last a few hours or for some days or weeks. The murder of the child is generally either the result of a sudden fit of delirium, or of an uncontrollable impulse, with a full knowledge of the wickedness and illegality of the act, -so that the legal test of responsibility of a knowledge of right and wrong, cannot be applied to such cases. Mothers have been known, before the perpetration of the murder, to request their attendants to remove the child. Such cases are commonly distinguished from deliberate infauticide, by there being no attempt at concealment nor any denial of the crime on detection. Several trials involving a question of puerperal mania, have been decided, generally in favour of the plea, within the last few years. Dr. Ashwell has remarked, that unduc lactation may give rise to an attack of mania, under which the murder of the offspring may be also perpetrated, (Diseases of Women, 732).) Females in the pregnant state have been known to perpetrate this crime apparently from some sudden perversion of their moral feelings. I am not aware that a plea of exculpation on the ground of insanity, has been admitted in this country under these circumstances. (See case, Anu. d'Ilyg. 1831, i. 374.)

PYROMANIA.

Propensity to incendiarism,—This is described as a variety of monomania in which there is a morbid disposition of mind, leading to acts of inecudiarism without any motive. It is said to proceed from sudden impulse, or from delusive reasoning, but most commonly the latter. It has been chiefly remarked in females about the age of puberty, and is supposed to he connected with disordered menstruation. An extraordinary instance of pyromania is quoted in the case of Jonathan Martin, who fancied himself to be deputed from God to burn down the cathedral of York, in order to do away with the heresics which he supposed to exist in the church. It is said to he not uncommon in young persons about the age of puberty. Admitting that a morhid impulse of this kind may exist, it should be very eautiously received as an exculpatory plea, since it might be easily converted iuto a means for withdrawing real criminals from all legal control: and I would here especially direct the attention of the reader to an excellent essay on this subject by Professor Casper, of Berlin, in which he denies the existence of such a propensity as connected with insanity. He helieves that incendiarism is a criminal act, and, unless there he clear evidence of a perverted mind, that it should be always punished as such. (Denkwürdigkeiten zur Med. Stat. Berlin, 1846, 255.) This plea has been already admitted in English law (see

cases, Med. Gaz. xii. 80), but chiefly in those instances in which there was strong reason to suspect intellectual aberration. In one recent case (Reg. v. White, Wilts Summer Ass. 1846), the prisoner was convicted on the principle that, although of weak intellect, she knew right from wrong. (See Ann. d'Hyg. 1833, ii. 357; 1834, ii. 94). Among several important trials in which this plea has been urged in defence, the one most interesting to the medical jurist is that of James Gibson. tried before the High Court of Justiciary, Edinburgh (Dec. 23, 1844), and of which a very full report will be found in Cormack's Edinburgh Jonrnal, February 1845, page 141. The prisoner was charged with setting fire to certain premises, and the defence chiefly rested upon the allegation, that he was in a state of mind which rendered him irresponsible for the act. The medical evidence was generally in favour of the insanity. The Lord Justice Clerk (Hope) in a very elaborate charge to the jury, laid down most of the legal propositions for their guidance, which have been already discussed under homicidal mania. He remarked, that they were "not to coasider insanity according to the definitions of medical men—especially such fantastic and shadowy definitions as are to be found in Ray, whose work was quoted by the comsel for the panel, and in many other medical works on the subject." He adopted Mr. Alison's view, that the consciousness of right and wrong must be applied to the particular act, and not to crime in the abstract. "The duty of deciding this question is with the jury; it is not to be delegated to medical men, and by relying upon their own judgment, their decision would be nearer the truth than that of any body of medical witnesses." The jury negatived the plea, and the prisoner was sentenced to transportation for fourteen years. It appears to me, from the whole of the evidence, that there was no more insanity in the case of this man than in the case of M'Naughten; and had the latter robbed the late Mr. Drummond of his property, or burnt his house down, instead of shooting him, he would probably have been convicted and transported. In the case of Reg. v. Elderfield, Guildford Summer Ass. 1844, the prisoner was charged with arson, and Gnrney B. left it to the jury to say, not whether the prisoner had a weak or silly mind, but whether, at the time he committed the act, he was in such a state of mind as to know what he was about, and to be capable of distinguishing between right and wrong. The prisoner was acquitted on the ground of insanity. In another case (Reg. v. Watts, Norwich Winter Ass. 1844), the plea was negatived under the direction of the judge.

KLEPTOMANIA.

Propensity for theft.—This term has been applied by Marc to that form of monomania which manifests itself by a propensity to acts of theft. It has been remarked by him and others that this propensity has often shown itself in females labouring under disordered menstruation, or in those who were far advanced in pregnancy, the motive being the mere

wish of possession. Preguancy, according to him, should be a good exculnatory plea, where a well-educated woman, of strictly moral conduct, steals some unimportant article of no value compared with her worldly means and position in society. There are many instances on record where well-educated persons moving in a respectable sphere of society have been guilty of petty acts of theft. The articles taken have been valueless compared with their means. Instances of this kind have been brought before our Police-courts: and this motiveless impulse to theft has been occasionally pleaded; but in most of them the following facts have been established by evidence: -1. A perfect consciousness of 2. The article, although of trifling value, has still been of some use to the person,—thus these females have stolen articles only adapted to female use. There have been art and precaution in cadeavouring to conceal the theft; and 4, either a denial of the act when detected, or some evasive excuse. When circumstances of this kind are proved, either the parties should be made responsible, or theft should be openly tolerated. The evidence of a disordered state of the mind should not here be allowed to depend on the nature of the act. or every morally deprayed person might bring forward a plea of insanity for any crime or offence. (See case, Ann. d'Hyg. 1838, ii. 435.) When the plea of insanity is raised in respect to other cases of theft, the rule appears to be, per Tindal C. J., that there should be proof that the prisoner was incompetent to know that the particular act in question was a wrong one. (Reg. v. Vaughan, Monmouth Summer Ass. 1844.) In one instauce, an acquittal took place apparently on the ground of insanity (kleptomania) from amenorrhoa. (Carlisle Summ. Ass. 1845, Reg. v. Shepherd.) Cormack's Ed. J., Aug. 1845. p. 632.

DIPSOMANIA. DRUNKENNESS.

Civil responsibility of drunkards .- This state, which is called in law, frenzy or "dementia affectata," is regarded as a temporary form of insanity. Jurists and legislators have differed widely respecting the degree to which drunkards should be made responsible for their When the mind of a man is completely weakened by habitual drunkenness, then the law infers irresponsibility, unless it plainly appear that the individual was at the time of the act, whether of a civil or of a criminal nature, endowed with full consciousness and reason to know its good or evil tendency. Any deed or agreement made by a party when drunk is not invalidated by our law, except in the case where the intoxication has proceeded so far as to deprive him of all consciousness of what he is doing; and a Court of Equity will not interfere in other cases, unless the drunkenness were the result of collusion by others for the purposes of fraud. When the drunkenness has occasioned a temporary loss of the reasoning powers, the party is incapable of giving a valid consent, aud, therefore, cannot enter into a contract or agreement, for this implies aggregatio mentium, i. e. a mutual assent of the parties. Partial drunkenness, therefore, provided

the person knew what he was about, does not vitiate a contract or agreement into which he may have entered. Thus the law appears to make two states in drunkenness:—one where it has proceeded to hut a slight extent, and where it is considered that there is still a power of rational consent: another where it has proceeded so far that the individual has no consciousness of the transaction, and therefore can give no. rational consent. The proof of the existence of this last state would vitiate all the civil acts of a party. A confession made by a man while in a state of drunkenness, is legally admissible as evidence against him and others, provided it be corroborated by circumstances. In a case tried a few years since, the prisoner confessed, while drnnk, that he had committed a robbery and murder which had taken place some time hefore, but of which he had not been suspected. He mentioned a spot where the property of the murdered person had been conecaled by him, and the whole of the circumstances of the murder. The property was found as he had described, and the ease was clearly brought home to him, chiefly hy collateral evidence from his own confession. He was convicted.

Criminal responsibility of drunkards.—When homicide is committed by a man in a state of drunkenness, this is held to be no exeuse for the erime. If voluntarily induced, whatever may he its degree, it is not admitted as a ground of irresponsibility, even although the party might not have contemplated the crime when sober. (Reg. v. Reeves, Derby Winter Assizes, 1844.) Thus it would appear that when the state of drunkenness is such that any civil act of the person would be void, he may still be held legally responsible for a crime like murder. Some judges have admitted a plea of exculpation, where the crime has been committed in a state of frenzy arising from habitual drunkenness; hut even this is not general. The anestion, whether the person was or was not drunk at the time of committing a crime, may be, however, occasionally of some importance. It was recently held by Patteson J., that although drunkenness is no excuse for any crime whatever, yet it is of very great importance in cases where it is a question of intention. A person may be so drunk as to be utterly unable to form any intention at all, and yet he may be guilty of very great violence. (Reg. v. Cruse, 8 C. and P. 546.) Again, where it is a question whether the accused was actuated by malice or not, the jury will have to take the fact of drunkenness into consideration, and this may have an influence upon their verdict. While, then, druukenness does not furnish any excuse for a crime, it is often material with reference to the intent with which an act has been perpetrated. (Law Times, Sept. 27, 1845, p. 542.) It is ohvious that if drunkenness were to he readily admitted as a plea of irresponsibility, three-fourths of the whole of the crimes in this country. would go unpunished. In those cases where the head has sustained any physical injury, as often happens with soldiers and sailors, drunkenness, even when existing to a slight extent, produces sometimes a fit of temporary insanity, leaving the mind clear when the drunken fit is over. The law makes no distinction between this state and ordinary drunkenness, although juries occasionally show by their verdiets that some difference ought to be made. (See cases in Alison, 653.)

Rlusions.—Hallncinations and illnsions are a very common effect of drunkenness, and often lead to the commission of criminal acts. Marc relates a case, where two friends being intoxicated, the one killed the other under an illusion that he was an evil spirit. The drunkenness of the accused was held to have been voluntary; and he was condemned to ten years' imprisoament with hard lahour. A case of this description was tried at the Norfolk Lent Assizes, 1840. (The Queen v. Patteson.) A man while intoxicated killed his friend, who was also intoxicated, under the illusion that he was some other person who had come to attack him. The judge made the guilt of the prisoner to rest upon whether, had he been sober, he would have perpetrated the act under a similar illusion! As he had voluntarily brought himself into a state of intoxication, this was no justification. He was found guilty of manslaughter, and sentenced to two months' imprisonment.

The proof of drunkenness may fail, but still, if the party charged with the death acted under an illusion, he will be acquitted. In the case of Reg. v. Price (Maidstone Summer Ass. 1846), it was proved that the prisoner, who had been on friendly terms with the deceased, was going home at night, having previously been in company with the deceased at a public-house, when, according to his statement, a man sprang upon him from the hedge by the road-side, and demanded his money and his watch, or else he said he would have his life, and the prisoner closed with him, and heat him severely, inflicting such injuries that he died very shortly afterwards. This man turned out to he the deceased, and it was supposed that he made the pretended attempt to rob the prisoner out of a joke, which, however, ended in this fatal The prisoner all along told the same story, and there did not appear to be the slightest ground for believing that it was other than the truth. Coltman, J., after hearing the evidence of the witnesses, said it appeared to be quite clear that the prisoner had acted under the impression that he was protecting his own life from the attack of a robber, and under such circumstances he could not he held to he criminally responsible. The jury accordingly returned a verdict of not guilty, and the prisoner was discharged.

Restraint.—Interdiction.—Drunkenness, even when habitual, is not a sufficient ground for the imposition of restraint or interdiction in the English law. Thus, on a commission in Nov. 1836, (In re Holden) a jury returned that the party was of weak mind and given to habits of drunkenness, but that he was not of unsound mind. On

application, the Lord Chancellor refused to interfere.

DELIRIUM TREMENS.

This is a disordered state of mind which proceeds from the abuse of intoxicating liquids. Habitual drunkenness appears to be the predisposing, while abstinence from drink is the immediately exciting Thus, the disorder frequently does not show itself, until the accustomed stimulus has been withdrawn for a certain period. It commeuces with tremors of the hands, hy which it is known from ordinary delirium, and restlessness; and the individual is subject to hallucinations and illusions sometimes of a horrible kind, referring to past occupations or events. The patients are often violent, and prone to commit spicide or murder, more commonly the former; hence they require close superintendence. Persons labouring under this disorder are incompetent to the performance of any civil net, unless the mind should clear up before death. They are not responsible for crimiual acts committed while they are labouring under au attack. Acquittals have even taken place on charges of murder, where there was deliberation and an apparent motive for the net. Thus, then, although this disorder is voluntarily brought on by habitual drunkenness, the law admits it as a sufficient plea for irresponsibility; while in a case of confirmed druukenness, it rejects the Why the mere circumstance of the one heing a remote consequeuce, and the other not, should create irresponsibility, it is difficult A trial has recently taken place in which the evidence showed that the homicide had been committed by an individual while labouring under an attack of delirium tremens (Reg. v. Simpson, Appleby Sum. Ass. 1845). The prisoner's mind had hecome unsettled from an attack of this disorder brought on by habitual drunkenness. In another case, the plca was also admitted without difficulty. (Reg. v. Watson, York Winter Ass. 1845.)

SOMNAMBULISM.

It has been a contested question among medical jurists, how far a person should be held responsible for a criminal act, perpetrated in that half-conscious state which exists when an individual is suddenly roused from sleep. There is no doubt that the mind is at this time subject to hallucinations and illusions which may be more persistent in some persons than in others; but it is difficult to snppose, unless we imagine that there is a sudden access of insanity, that an individual should not recover from his delusion, hefore he could perpetrnte an act like murder. A remarkable case of this description, that of Bernard Schedmaizig, will be found in Marc (i. 56); and a trial involving this question occurred in England a few years since. A pediar who was in the habit of walking about the country armed with a sword-stick, was awakened one evening, while lying asleep on the high road, by a man, who was accidentally passing, seizing and shaking him hy the shoulders. The pediar suddenly awoke, drew his

sword, and stabbed the man, who soon afterwards died. He was tried for manslaughter. His irresponsibility was strongly urged by his connsel on the ground that he could not have been conscious of an act perpetrated in a half-waking state. This was strengthened by the opinion of the medical witness. The prisoner was, however, found guilty. Under such circumstances, it was not unlikely that au idea had arisen in the prisoner's mind that he had been attacked by robhers, and therefore stahbed the man in self-defence. (The Queen v. Milligan, Lincoln Aut. Assizes, 1836.) The following remarkable case is quoted by Mr. Best. Two persons who had been hunting during the day slept together at night. One of them was renewing the chase in his dream, and imagining himself present at the death of the stag, eried out, "I'll kill him! I'll kill him!" awakened by the noise, got out of bed, and by the light of the moon, beheld the sleeper give several deadly stahs with a knife on that part of the bed which his companion had jast quitted. Suppose a blow, given in this way, had proved fatal, and that the two men had been shown to have quarrelled previously to retiring to rest! (Presumptions of Law and Fact.) A defence of this kind may be unduly strained. Thus, where there is enmity, with a motive for the act of homicide, the murderer, while sleeping in the same room, may select the night for an assault, and perpetrate the act in darkness, in order the more effectually to screen himself. In the case of Reg. v. Jackson (Liverpool Autumn Ass. 1847), it was urged in defence, that the prisoner, who slept in the same room with the prosecutor, had stabled him in the throat, owing to some sudden impulse, during sleep; and the case of Milliagn above given was quoted by the learned counsel, in support of the view that the prisoner was irresponsible for the act. It was proved, however, that the prisoner had shown malicious feeling against the prosecutor, and that she had wished him dead. The knife with which the wound had becu inflicted bore the appearance of having been recently sharpened, and the prisoner must have reached over her daughter (the prosecutor's wife), who was sleeping in the same bed with him, in order to produce the wound. These facts were quite adverse to the supposition of the act having been perpetrated under an impulse from sleep, and the prisoner was convicted. In another case, Reg. v. French (Dorset Autumn Ass. 1846), it was proved that the prisoner, while sleeping in the same room, had killed the deceased, who was a stranger to him, under some delusion. There was, however, clear evidence that the prisoner was insane, and on this ground he was acquitted under the direction of the judge.

Somnambulism may become a subject of discussion under a contested policy of life-insurance, in which it may be provided that it shall be vitiated by suicide. If a man falls from a height, and is killed while in a state of somnambulism—would this be considered an act of suicide within the meaning of the policy? The proviso against suicide has been held to include only intentional killing. (See case

ante, Borradaile v. Hunter, p. 809; also, Med. Gaz. xxxvi. p. 826); and in death under these circumstances, the killing cannot be said to be intentional: it can only be regarded as an accident. Therefore it is reasonable to infer that the policy would not be void. It is impossible, however, to lay down any general rules, relative to cases of this description; since the circumstances attending each case will sufficiently explain how far it was likely that the act of murder or suicide had been committed during a state of somnambulism, or under an illusion continuing from a state of sleep.

THE DEAF AND DUMB.

It was formerly laid down in the old law-books, that a person born deaf and dumb was by presumption of law an idiot; but in modern practice, want of speech and hearing does not imply want of capacity either in the understanding or memory, but only a difficulty in the means of communicating knowledge; and where it can be shown that such a person has understanding, which many in that condition discover by signs, he may be tried and suffer judgment and execution. (Archbold.) A deaf and dumb person is not incompetent to give evidence unless he be also blind. He may be examined through the medium of a sworn interpreter who understands his signs. This condition does not justify restraint or interdiction, nnless there be at the same time mental deficiency. A deaf and dumb person who has never been instructed, is altogether irresponsible for any action, civil or criminal. Such a person caunot even be called on to plead to a charge, when there is reason to suppose the nature of the proceedings cannot be understood. A deaf and dumb female was charged with cutting off the head of her child. By signs she pleaded not guilty, but she could not be made to understand the nature of the other proceedings against her. Upon this she was discharged, and subsequently confined as a criminal lunatic. In Reg. v. Goodman, (Stafford Summer Ass. 1841,) a deaf and dumb man was convicted of theft and sentenced to imprisonment. He was made to comprehend the proceedings by signs and talking with the fingers. In Reg. v. Brooke, (Buckingham Summer Ass. 1842,) the prisoner could read and write well. He was charged with feloniously cutting and stabbing. The proceedings were reported to him in writing. He was convicted, and the judge (Alderson, B.) having sentenced him to a year's imprisonment, handed down his judgment in writing, which he recommended him to read and ponder over in prison! In Reg. v. Jackson, (Bedford Summer Ass. 1844), Alderson, B., held, that before the evidence of a dumb witness can be received, the Court must be satisfied that he understands the obligation of an oath. It has been decided in the Ecclesiastical Courts, that the consent of a deaf and dumb person given by signs, renders a matrimonial contract valid, provided the individual have a full and proper understanding of their meaning.

Feigned deafness and dumbness.—From these statements it will be perceived that medical evidence is but of little importance in relation to the deaf and dumb. Indeed, there are only two eases in which this kind of evidence is likely to be called for: 1, where there is accompanying mental deficiency, in which case the general rules given under insanity apply; and, 2ndly, where there is a suspicion that the deafness and dumbness are feigned. There can be commonly no great difficulty in detecting an imposition of this kind. It will be found that the alleged deafness and dumbness did not come on until a motive existed, and that there was no apparent cause, but the very suspicious one of evading the responsibility for some offence committed. requires great skill to maintain this imposture. Such persons are immediately thrown off their guard by addressing them in a voice a little above or a little below the common conversational tone. A change in the eve or the features, will at once indicate that they hear what is The ignorant impostor may be dealt with on the principle of "ars est celare artem," by seriously proposing in a low voice to some medical friend who may be present, the necessity for the performance of some formidable surgical operation. The production of amputating instruments has been known to have a wonderful effect! If the impostor can write, he may perhaps be detected by the ingenious plan adopted by the Abbé Sicard. When the deaf and dumb are taught to write, they are taught by the eye. The letters are only known to them by their form, and their value in a word can be understood only by their exact relative position with respect to each other. half-educated impostor will spell his words or divide them incorrectly. and the errors in spelling will have reference to sound, therefore indieating that his knowledge has been acquired through the ear, and not alone through the eye. A man who had defied all other means of detection, wrote down several sentences, in which the misspelling was obviously due to errors produced by the sound of the words. The Abbé pronounced the man to be an impostor without seeing him, and he subsequently confessed the imposition.

ADDENDA.

Page 71. Smallest fatal dose of arsenic.—In the text it is stated, that the smallest fatal dose of this poison yet known is two grains and a half. Dr. Castle, of Leeds, has since communicated to the Provincial Journal (June 28, 1848) the case of a female who died in four days from taking in divided doses half an onnce of Fowler's mineral solution (see page 84). This is equivalent to 1.83 grains of white arsenic. Less than two grains of arsenic have heen therefore known to destroy the life of an adult. (See Med. Gaz. xlii. page 87.)

Page 94. Recovery from large doses of corrosive sublimate.—I am indebted to Mr. Proeter, of York, for an account of a recent case, in which a girl, at. 18, recovered after having swallowed a dose of nineteen grains of corrosive sublimate. The girl procured a pennyworth at a druggist's, and emptying a part of it into some narm tea, drank the solution. Diluents with albumen were speedily given: there was copious vomiting, and in a few days the patient recovered without a bad symptom. Mr. Proeter ascertained that the druggist had sold her a drachm: sixteen grains were left in the paper, and at the bottom of the cup there were twenty-five grains: hence the dose taken amounted to nineteen grains.

Page 115. Poisoning by arsenite of copper.—This deadly compound, which contains about half its weight of arsenic, has recently caused the death of a gentleman hy reason of its having heen employed to give a rich green colour to some confectionary served at a public dinner. It was ignorantly used to give a green colour to some hlane-mange:—the party who employed it considering that emerald or mineral green was nothing more than an extract of spinach! It led to death under the usual symptoms, and the parties were convicted of manslaughter and sentenced to imprisonment. (Reg. v. Franklin and Randall, Northampton Snmmer Assizes, 1848.) Most of the colours used for confectionary arc of a poisonons nature: the pink colour given hy cochineal or madder is the only one which can be regarded as innocent.

Page 146. Smallest fatal dose of opium.—In the text the quantity of opium taken by Dr. Sharkey's patient is not stated. A man, et. 32, died comatose from the effects of two pills, coutaining each ahont one grain and a quarter of extract of opium. This quantity is equivalent to four grains of crude opium.

Page 179. Medical evidence of poisoning by the narcotico-irritant

vegetables.-A case has recently occurred (Reg. v. Bowyer, Ipswich Summer Ass. 1848), which confirms the statement made in the text, namely, that medical evidence of poisoning will often fail "owing to the poison having been taken in the form of extract, infusion, or In this case, the woman was charged with having decoction." poisoned her child by administering to it a decection of hemlock The stomach and intestines had been sent to me for examination, but the stomach had been previously opened, and the contents thrown away. This was unfortunate as the child had died in an hour after the alleged administration; and the body was inspected forty-four hours after death. No trace of leaf or vegetable matter could be discovered on the surface of the stomach or in the small intestines, the middle portion of which was filled by healthily digested chyme, free from any green tint and entirely free from any gallic or tannic acid,—the former of which I have invariably found in a strong and poisonous decoction of hemlock leaves. There was no odour indicative of hemlock; and this is known to be most peculiar and very persistent. So far as the *chemical* evidence was concerned. there was a total absence of proof that the child had died from poison. The gentleman who examined the contents of the stomach, stated that they were like water, free from any smell or any appearance of vegetable matter. The mucous surfaces of the stomach and intestines were free from inflammation or any morbid appearance, and the child had only been casually seen for a few minutes during life by a medical assistant, who had paid no particular attention to the symptoms under which it was labouring, considering them to be merely those of exhaustion proceeding from diarrheea. Four medical witnesses, including myself, agreed that there was no medical sign or symptom by which we could swear that the child had certainly died from hemlock. At the same time it was admitted by all, that, medically speaking, there was nothing incompatible with this cause of death. It was alleged that the prisoner had confessed that she had administered the decoction, but her plea was, not guilty; and the crime was required to be proved against her, irrespective of her own confession, to which a legal objection was taken. Further than this, the medical evidence of medical witnesses must never be based upon the confessions of prisoners, even supposing that they are made acquainted with them before the trial, and that they believe them to be true. It is most important that the medical witness should bear this in mind, and I would especially refer the reader to what has been said on this point at page 39 :- "Conclusions are to be based only upon medical A witness has no right to consider what may be the result of his evidence—a prisoner may be in his judgment morally guilty, but because a strict adherence to the above rule might lead to an acquittal, he is not justified in perverting his evidence so as to prevent this result. Nothing would be gained by such a mistaken view of his duties. A prisoner's counsel would speedily extract from the witness the admission that his opiniou that death was due to poison, was based not on any medical proofs, but on what he had been told the prisoner had confessed! The result would be, that his evidence would be immediately struck out. In Bowyer's case, the medical evidence amounted to this:—there was nothing inconsistent with death from hemlock, but there was no medical proof that the child had died from this poison. Further than this it was impossible to go, without nsurping the province of the jury by basing an opinion ou non-medical facts.

There is a vulgar notion that death from hemlock and other vegetable poisons may be as easily determined chemically and pathologically as death from arseuic; but I need hardly observe that this is quite contrary to the experience of Orfila, Christison, and all those who have made the subject of poisons a study. That such an erroneous view should be circulated in a newspaper is not surprising: but that it should be printed and diffused in a professedly medical journal is a matter which requires notice. A writer in the Medical Times, under an article in reference to the above case, headed "Is Hemlock a Poison?" (Aug. 18, 1848, 256) states his regret that anything should have occurred to lead vulgar minds to suppose that if heinlock "should produce death, it leaves no marks by which it can be discovered. If this opinion should extcusively prevail," he coutinues, "instead of arsenic being used so frequently to take away life, we shall have hemlock the favourite poison, and thus the profession generally will have ample opportunities of studying the symptoms and post-mortem appearances which this plant (decoction?) produces when taken in doses sufficient to cause death." If, justead of throwing out this suggestion to the public, the writer of the article had stated what were the uuequivocal symptoms, post-mortem appearances, and chemical tests for conia which would enable medical men to swear that a child had certainly died from a decoction of hemlock, and from no other cause whatever, as readily as they could swear to the cause of death in a case of arsenical poisoning, he would have rendered some service to science. As it is, we can only hope that the horrible suggestion here thrown ont, of substituting a mode of poisoning which cannot be detected for one which can be most easily discovered, will not be adopted. present, science is unable to cope with such eases, and this the writer either knows, or may readily determine for himself by trying a few experiments on animals.

In reference to my evidence at this remarkable trial, the writer says: "Mr. Taylor said that he found no traces of leaf, root, or stalk, or any thing of the kind. In answer to a question by the judge, this witness said that if, two days after death, a careful examination was made, and no trace of poison found, he should conclude that poison had not been administered. In this case, the woman acknowledges she gave the poison, and yet no marks are left behind." What the prisoner acknowledged before or after the trial, had, for reasons already stated,

no relation to my evidence. Why one answer, out of an examination of more than an hour, should he selected to convey the medical hearings of this case, I am at a loss to know. Such a mode of dealing with medical evidence necessarily conveys an erroneous impression, which, but for its appearance in a medical journal, I should not have cared to notice. The substance of my answers to the learned judge. Mr. Baron Parke, was to the following effect :- that if on an inspection made with ordinary care forty-four hours after death, no trace of leaf, root, or stalk, or other signs of vegetable matter were discovered,-if there were no odour and no post-mortem change in the stomach or intestines, and at the same time there were no well-marked symptoms during life, there was reason to conclude that the child had not died from vegetable poison. At the same time it was admitted, a decoction of hemlock might destroy life, and leave no changes in the body or traces of its presence. The quantity given might be sufficient to kill an infant, and yet the conia, or active principle, might be cutirely removed by The learned judge told the jury that the case rested chiefly upon the moral circumstances and the confession of the prisoner,-that the medical evidence failed to throw any light noon the real cause of death, and only went in support of the prosecution so far as this—that there was nothing medically inconsistent with the supposition that the child had died from hemlock. The jury, in returning a verdict of not guilty, stated that they entertained a doubt, and gave the prisoner the hencfit of it.

Had the medical witnesses departed from their plain line of daty in this very difficult case, and sworn that the child had certainly died from hemlock, the gentleman who has criticised the evidence would probably have been the first to remind them that there were no medical facts whatever to justify a positive opinion, and that the blood of the unfortunate prisoner was upon their heads! An opinion, on which no responsibility depends, given from theory in a medical journal, is one thing,—an opinion given from medical facts, in a witness-hox, when the issues of life and death depend upon it, is another; and it is creditable to the humanity and good feeling of all the legal gentlemen engaged in this prosecution, that they were fully satisfied with the verdict. There was room for doubt, owing to the very suspicious character of the paramour of the prisoner, the chief witness against her; and the jury were probably guided in their verdict by the consideration, that it is hetter that ten guilty persons should escape, than that one innocent person should suffer.

Page 447. Artificial inflation of the lungs as a defence in cases of inflaticide.—Another case in which this defence was urged on the part of the female is reported by Dr. von Siehold, of Göttingen (Henke's Zeitschrift der S. A. iii. 1845). Tho child, in this instance, was found with its head cut off, and the lungs contained air. The inconsistency of the woman's statement as to the mode in which she inflated the lungs was clearly proved, and the examiners did not hesi-

tate to give a decided opinion that the air found in the lungs had been derived from the act of respiration, and not from artificial inflation. The whole case shows clearly that when a theoretical objection of this kind comes to be tested practically, it ceases to present any difficulty.

Page 464. Ductus arteriosus.—The accidental omission of n word in the third line of this paragraph has rendered the meaning obscure. The words should run, "the period required for the closure of the ductus arteriosus."

Page 548. On inducing premature labour.—Medical responsibility.

—A case of some interest has recently occurred at Portsmouth, in which a female died from harmorrhage, which took place during an attempt to induce premature labour. A small aperture was discovered after death in the left common iliac artery, and more than a pound of blood had been effused. This was ascribed to a thinning of the coats of the artery, and not to a puncture of the vessel during the

operation. (See Laucet, July 22, 1848, page 107.)

Page 563. Monstrosity and Superfactation. - A most extraordinary case of monstrosity, involving the questions of superfectation and paternity, has recently occurred at Alexandria in Egypt. A Fellah woman was delivered of a dicephalous monster of which one head was white, and apparently about the eighth month of uteriue life, and the other head was black, possessing in other respects the negro confor-The monster was born mation, and this head was fully developed. dead, and the mother died soon after her delivery. The change in the colour of the skin commenced at the neck of the black head, and was found by M. Prus, an eminent sanitary physician at the port of Alexundria, to be due to the existence of a pigmentum nigrum similar to that found in the skin of the Negro race. The husband of the woman was a Fellah, whose skin was of a hrownish colour. There were Negro labourers in the port, but it could not be ascertained whether the woman had had intercourse with any of them. It is therefore impossible to say whether this was a case of impregnation about the same time by two men of different races. Admitting that this occurred, it is difficult to understand why the black colour should have been confined to the head only. (See L'Union Médicale, 5 Août, 1848.)

Page 619. Premature puberty and pregnancy.—I am indebted to Sir Eardley Wilmot, Bart for the particulars of an interesting case which has occurred subsequently to those reported in the text. At the Coventry Summer Assizes, 1848 (Reg. v. Chattaway), he conducted the prosecution against a man, et. 45, for a misdemeanour in having had carnal knowledge of a girl named Sprason hetween the ages of ten and twelve years. When intercourse was first had, the girl was eleven years and eight months old; it was repeated several times subsequently; and when the prosecutrix gave her evidence in Court, it appeared from the statement of the mother that the child was in the last month of her pregnancy. She was then not quite twelve years and six months old. Sir E. Wilmot ascertained by inquiry

that menstruation had commenced in this girl at the age of ten years and two months, and had continued regularly up to December 1847, which was about the time when she first had intercourse with the prisoner. It appeared that she was a factory girl, and to the heat, confinement, and association with males, to which girls are subjected in this employment, may be referred the early commencement of puberty. When menstruation has thus commenced, conception may always be the result of sexual intercourse. The prisoner was convicted, and sentenced to two years' imprisonment with hard labour.

Page 728. Case of Burke and Macdougall.—The reference to this

case is wrongly printed 1824 instead of 1829.

Page 811. Voidance of a policy from suicide in eases of Lifeinsurance.—It will be seen from the text that the law, as at present interpreted by the majority of the judges, is, that whenever a person destroys himself intentionally, whatever may be the state of his mind, the policy becomes void. It also appears that according to this legal view of the question, a person may have and exercise this intention although undoubtedly insane. Whether he have been so found under a commission, or a verdict to this effect have been returned by a coroner's jury, is therefore unimportant. It must be proved by those who would benefit by the policy that the party died from his own act without intending to destroy himself. If a man take poison, or shoot himself, or commit any other act leading to his own death, it must be shown that it was the result of accident, and not of design on his part. Some Insurance-offices now insert in the contract a proviso by which, whether the person be found felo de se or not, the policy shall be forfeited: but they reserve to themselves a power of returning a part or the whole value of the policy, calculated up to the day of death. In the meantime, they have the power of taking the full benefit of the act of snieide committed during a fit of delirium or insanity, in which, as medical men know, there can exist no coatrollable intention, no freedom of judgment, and no real exercise of will.